Date of	Report:	7/9/87

#### PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

1.	Type of	Report							
	[x] A. [ ] B.	Funding (Request for estimated FFF funds) Accomplishment Report							
2.	Type of	Action							
	[x] A. [ ] B.	Initial (estimated funding is first requested) Interim							
	[]c.	<ul><li>[ ] Updating the initial funding request.</li><li>[ ] Supplying information for accomplishments to date on emergency work underway.</li><li>Final</li></ul>							
		<ul><li>[ ] Best estimate for funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measure.</li><li>[ ] Following completion of funded work.</li></ul>							
		PART II - FIRE LOCATION							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Forest State: I County: Region: Forest: Ranger I Date Fin Date Fin Estimate	Utah 4							
12.	6.1	<pre>3 miles (firelines waterbarred) 4 acres (firelines seeded) 5 acres Other (identify) closure of 1.4 miles of access road and other disturbed areas incidental to fire control activities tensity: 50 % (low)30 % (medium)20 % (high)</pre>							
	,	PART III - NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	NFS Acre Water Re Vegetati Geologic Soil Erc	ed No.: 10 es Burned: 356 epellant Soil: 0 % of NFS acres burned con Types: 30 % sagebrush-grass, 20 % pinyon-juniper, 50 % oakbrush c Types: All Price River Formation, Nearly all conglomerate rock. esion Hazard Rating: (low)60 % (medium)10 % (high)							

<b>,</b>	
	7. Erosion Potential:6,455 cu. yds/sq. miles 8. Miles of Stream Channels by Regional Order or Classes: 1 = .5 miles 9. Miles of Forest Service Trails: None 10. Miles of Forest Service Roads by Maintenance Levels: None
	miles (Level I) miles (Level II) miles (Level II)
	PART IV - CALCULATED RISK AND CLIMATIC EVALUATION
	<ol> <li>Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period: 3 years. With revegetation work.</li> <li>Chance of Success Desired by Management 70 percent.</li> <li>Equivalent Design Recurrence Period: 25 years.</li> <li>Related Design Storm Duration: 6 hours.</li> <li>Related Design Storm Magnitude: 1.7 inches.</li> <li>Related Design Flow 61.9 cfsm.</li> <li>Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: _20 percent.</li> <li>Adjusted Related Design Flow: 74.3 cfsm.</li> </ol>
	PART V - SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS
	1. Skills Represented on Burned Area Survey Team ("x" appropriate boxes):
	<pre>[x] Hydrology [ ] Soils [x] Geology [x] Range [ ] Timber [x] Wildlife [ ] Fire Mgmt. [x] Engineering [ ] Contracting [x] Local Mgmt. [ ] Research [x] Other (identify)</pre>
	wintering big game (deer & elk) and increased erosion with the possibility of some flash flooding onto U.S. Highway 50-6.  3. Emergency Rehabilitation Objective: Reestablish vegetative cover to protect soils using species which will improve big game winter range and control invasion of undesirable plants such as cheatgrass, musk thistle, and other species.
-	4. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage producing Storm:
	Land95 % Channel % Roads95 % Other %
	5. Net Environmental Quality Benefit Index:
	[x] Significant [] Not Significant
	6. Net Social Well Being Benefit Index:
	[ ] Significant [x] Not Significant
ž	7. Benefit/Cost Ratio: .078 8. Net Benefits: \$ -15,561 9. Cost Effectiveness Index: [ ] I. [ ] II. [ ] III. [x] IV.

## PART VI - ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS

NOTE: Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.

				S Lands		<u> </u>	Other L		All Lands
Line Items	Units	Unit	No. of	FFF 092	Other \$	No. of	Federal\$	Non-Federal	Total
	1	Cost	Units	\$	ĺ	Units	1	\$	\$
	J	1	<b>[</b> .		[	l'		1	
	1		1	1	ident.	1	ident.	identify	[
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
TAND		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	
LAND		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	L
a. Seeding	Acres	65.9	6 256	16,885		<u> </u>	l <u> </u>		16,885
b.		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		1		<u></u>	
<u>c.</u>		L	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		l
<u>d.</u>	<u> </u>	L			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1	
e.		L				<u></u>	<u> </u>		
	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>		<u>L </u>		L .	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
. CHANNELS		<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	<u></u>	<u> </u>		
a. Opening water		L	<u> </u>		L	<u></u> ,		<u> </u>	
courses	Miles	<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
b. Stabilizing		<u> </u>	l						_
streambanks	Miles			]					
c.		L							
d.			L						
e.				<u> </u>					
DOADG AND EDATE C								L	<u></u>
. ROADS AND TRAILS			<del>~</del>					L	
a.				<u></u>					
<u>b.</u>			<u>.</u>	<u> </u>					
с.			<u> </u>						
. MAJOR STRUCTURES									
a. Preplanned -				<u> </u>					
from Forest				<u> </u>	710			L	
Plans	1 .							<u> </u>	
				L					
TOTAL	1 1		256	\$16,885	\$		\$	[\$	\$ 16,88

	PART VII -	APPROVALS
/s/		7-10-87
Forest Supervisor (Signature)		Date
/s/		
Regional Forester (Signature)	•	Date

### USDA-Forest Service

# EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM (REF. FSH 2509.13)

				•			
Fire Name Big Ja	me				Date of 7/9/8	Report 7	
	A. ENVI	RONMENT	AL QUALITY	BENEFIT	INDEX		
Environmental	Weight	Withou	t Treatment	With T	reatment	Diffe	rence
Factor	Factor	Actual	Weighted		Weighted		
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1. Erosion and		<u> </u>	3 7	1	1 - / -	18/	(11)
sediment	10	1	10	-l o	ο Ι	1	10
2. Aesthetic land						<u> </u>	T 10
quality	1	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
				<del>                                     </del>		<del></del>	ļ — <sup>0</sup>
3. Water quality	2	Ιo	0	0	0	0	0
4. Site				<del>                                     </del>		- 0	<del>                                     </del>
productivity	8	2	16	1	16		
5. Wildlife	<del>                                     </del>		10	<del> </del>	10	11	0
habitat	8	1	8				
	1 0		0	0	0	11	8
6. Fish habitat	1				_		
o: Pish habitat	<del>                                     </del>	0	0	0	0	0	0
7 Othon (NA)	]						
7. Other (NA)		***			-		
O MOMAT				]			
8. TOTAL	30		34		16		18
9. Average	}						
weighted index			1.1		1.9		.8
10. Net environ-							
mental quality							.8
benefit index					1		
,							L
	B. SOCIA	L WELL-	BEING BENE	FIT INDE	EX		
	Weight	Without	t Treatment	With Tr	eatment	Diffe	nongo
Social Criteria	Factor	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Wordented
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		
1. Life, health,			(4)	(6)		(g)	(h)
safety	10	1	10		_ [		
	1 10 1	<u>_</u>	10	0	0	1	10
2. Employment	1	^	0		_		
3. Recreational	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
			_				_
opportunity	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Economic					j		
stability	3	0	00	0	0	0	0
5. Income							
distribution	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Preserve							
special sites	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Other (NA)	-	_	_	_	_		
			*				-
8. TOTAL	17		10		0.		10
9. Average					<u>U</u>		10
weighted index			_		_		_
10. Net social well			.6		0		.6
being	-			}			~
9		ŀ					.6
benefit index							
	C.	REMARK	S				

#### D. EXPECTED DAMAGE REDUCTION BENEFIT SUMMARY

Note: At current						
interest r	ate 8.82					
Economic	Units	Without	Treatment	With Tr	reatment	Expected \$
Benefit	of	No. of	Present	No. of	Present	Damage
Indices	Measure	Units	Value (\$)	Units	Value (\$)	Reduction
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
I. Watershed Im-				, ,		(8)
pacts Sediments	_					_
Downstream						
water_storage					•	<b>-</b> ,
Sediment (from	cu.			· ·		
Removal highway	yds.	2103	594	285	139	455
·					<u> </u>	<u> Туу</u>
Fish habitat	_					. <u>-</u>
Water quality						_
II. Flood Water					•	
Land						
Water						-
Improvements	_	'				•
Subtotal,						<del>-</del>
Watershed			594		120	liee
III. Resource-					139	455
Related Impacts						
Range	_					
Wildlife and	Big	———— <u> </u>				
recreation	Game	11	057	_	11.00	l. a -
	dame		957	5	462	495
Timber	_					. ]
Subtotal, Re-						
source-Related			057			
			957	<del></del>		495
IV. Other Impacts	4	. ]				
lost time (high-						
way travel)	hours	_	0-1	_	.	
- "ay craver)	hours	2	374	0	0	374
Subtotal Other	ĺ		0-1			ς,
Subtotal, Other			374			374
V. TOTAL DOLLARS			40	1		
** TOTAL DOLLARD			1925		601	1324

#### E. REMARKS

The 356 acres burned is part of the 62,700 acres of winter range in the Diamond Fork deer herd unit. Revegetation would hold animals on the area longer in the spring with green up and reduce pressure on adjacent areas that are in worse condition, but overall impacts from the fire will be slight.

For more information on the highway damage and lossof travel time situation see the remarks section of form FS-2500-8b.

#### ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE DEVELOPMENTS SUBJECT TO HAZARDS

#### (Reference FSH 2509.13)

Fire Name BIG JANE	,			e of Report
	Type of	Numbers o		
Line Items	Units	Units		Value \$
(a)	(b)	(c)	i	(d)
1. Community and urban development	People		ĺ	
2. Municipal and domestic water supply	People		<u> </u>	
	Served		i	2
3. Transportation Systems	Miles	1.25	5	\$125,000
4. Water distribution (irrigation)	Miles			
5. Agricultural development (crops, facilities)	Acres [			
6. Industrial development (dams, power, manufacturing)	Number		   	
7. Power and communication lines	Miles			,
8. Recreation development	PAOT		<u> </u>	
9. Fish Habitat	Miles		<u> </u>	
10. Other (specify)				-
11. Total Hazard Potential	<u> </u>		<u>_</u>	\$ 125,000

12. Narrative (Optional - if additional space if needed, attach another sheet.)

THE FIRE BURNED ON STEEP SLOPES AND OPEN RIDGES ABOVE THE RED NARROWS AREA OF SPANISH FORK CANYON. THE LOWER EDGE OF THIS FIRE IS IMMEDIATELY ABOVE U.S. HIGHWAY 50-6 FOR A DISTANCE OF ABOUT 1.25 MILES. THE BURN INCREASES THE THREAT OF FLASH FLOODING FROM THE DRY DRAWS WHICH DRAIN ONTO THE HIGHWAY IN THIS VICINITY. THIS FLOOD SITUATION IS ALREADY A PROBLEM ON THE POORLY VEGETATED PORTIONS OF SLOPES LEADING INTO THE CANYON. THE CHIEF HAZARD HERE IS THAT FLASH FLOODING WILL COVER THE HIGHWAY WITH DEBRIS FLOWS CAUSING TRAFFIC DELAYS UNTIL THE HIGHWAY CAN BE CLEANED OFF. THE UTAH DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS ESTIMATES THE DELAY COST ON THIS SECTION OF HIGHWAY AT \$7480 PER HOUR. TREATMENT PROPOSED WILL NOT PREVENT THIS FROM HAPPENING THE FIRST YEAR, BUT WILL HASTEN RECOVERY SO THAT THE AREA SHOULD RETURN TO PREFLOOD CONDITIONS WITHIN ABOUT THREE YEARS.

Hazards from floods, floating debris, erosion, or sediment because a watershed is impaired by wildfire. (Do not include value of resources damaged or destroyed by the fire reported on FS-5100-29.)

Indicates values threatened by design storm. Does not enter into the B/C.

1 4 4011											. 5
USDA-Forest Service									Fire	Fire Name	
									BI	BIG JANE	
	SUMMARY OF EMERGENCY REHABIL	EMERGENCY	REHABIL	CTATION	NEEDS BY	LITATION NEEDS BY LANDOWNERSHIP	٥.		_Date	Date of Report	L
			(REF. FS	FSH 2509.13)	13)	**			_7_	7-9-87	
		B. Emerg	Emergency Rehab. Needs	beed .de		C. Source of Emergency Rehab. Funds for Needed Work \$	mergency Reh	ab. Fun	ds for N	eeded Work	65
	Α.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	1. FFF	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
	Acres	Land	Channel	Road & Other	Other		Emergency	)	Other	Non-	

		ה	1 - C	1.		0				`     		
		b. Eller	b. Emergency Kenab. Needs	ab. Need		c. Sour	ce of E	C. Source of Emergency Rehab. Funds for Needed Work \$	ehab. Fu	nds for I	Needed Wo	S Y
	Α.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	1. FFF	F	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
,	Acres	Land	Channel	Road &	0ther			Emergency		Other	Non-	
Landownership	Burned	(Ac)	(Mi)	_	(MI)	092	102	Flood	FR&T	Fed.	Fed.	Total
				(Mi)			-	Preven.		(Fund)	(Fund)	
Federal (NFS)	356	267		ACCESS 1.4	FIRI	ELIN 5.3 16,885	7,985	,				\$24,870
Other (Specify)								-				
Subtotal (NFS)		÷										
Non-Federal (State & County)												
Indian Reservation												
Private				,								
Subtotal (Non-Federal)					•							
TOTAL	356	267		1.4	5.3	5.3 16,885 7,985	7,985	·				\$24,870

# D. REMARKS

ELEVEN ACRES OF THIS 267 ACRES THE BURNED AREA IS ESTIMATED AT 356 ACRES OF WHICH 267 ACRES WOULD BE DESIREABLE TO RESEED. ELEVEN ACRES OF THIS 267 ACRES ARE DISTURBED AREAS INCLUDING FIRELINES, 1.4 MILE ACCESS ROAD DOWN THE RIDGE TO THE HEAD OF THE BURN AND OTHER SITES DISTURBED BY FIRE CONTROL ACTIVITIES. THESE ELEVEN ACRES HAVE ALREADY BEEN STABILIZED BY WATER BARRING AND BROADCAST SEEDING USING A HELICOPTER STATIONED ON THE FIRE WITH FFF-102 FUNDS AS LISTED ABOVE.

## -Cost Estimate

FFF-092 Seed Orde	er fo	r Big Ja	ane litre Total
316463	165	3-75	Tared
Smooth Brome (Lincoln)	400	3-25	1500.00
Orchard Grass (Piute)	250	5.00	1250.00
Hard Fescue	125	1.50	187.50
Fairway Crested Wheatquass	200	2.95	590.00
Intermediate Wheatgrass	400	3.95	1580.00
Pubescent Wheatgrass	250	4.50	1125.00
Indian Rizegrass	125	8,25	1031.25
Western Wheatgrass	200	3.75	750.00
Ladak Alfalfa	125	1.75	218.75
Small Burnett	125	1-10	137.50
Chiekpan Milkvetch	125	2.20	275.00
Palmer Penstemon	32	25.00	CO, COS
Yarrow	32	19,00	608.00
yellow Sweetelover	125	_65	81-25
Snow borry (Utah or Mfn.)	64	49.00	3,136.00
will Rose (words)	64	12.00	768.00
Big Sage brush (Vesseyana)	32	4.60	147.20
Bitterbrush	125	6.00	750.00
	2799		#14,935.4
		As as a second s	
1/2 day with Helicop HHOO/ hour Crews Mileage for s	terro	·	#1,600
#400/ hour		·	·
Crews Mileage for s	ice & Del	ivery,	#350
Landina etc.		<b>V</b>	

Total ~\$6,885,4

Fire Seel Diler	165	Prize per 1b	
Smooth Brome (Lincoln)	100	3.75	#375.00
Ordehard Grass (Piute)	100	5.00	#500,00
Fairway Crested Wheatgass	1 50	2.95	147,50
Intermediate wheatgrass	**50_	3.95	197.50
Pubes cent wheatgrass	50	4-50	225.00
Indian Rice grass	20	8,25	/65.00
Western wheatgrass	50	3.75	187.50
Laduk Alfalfa	50	7.75	87,50
Small Burnett	30	1.10	33.60
Chick pea Milkvetch	25	2.20	55.00
ius Palmer Panstemon	20	18.00 2	(5.0) <b>3</b> .60.00
Yellow Sweetclover	50	-65	32.50
Snowberry (Utah) Wild Rose	<b>50</b> .	49.00	2450.00
wild Kese	/5:	12.00	60.00
Cas Big Sage brush (Vasseyana)	5	4.00	4.60 20.00
Cas Big Sage brush (Vasseyana).  Four Wing Saltbush	20	2,50	50.00
	1.25		\$4 945 50