

United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Forest  
Service

R6

---

Reply to: 2520

Date: August 16, 1994

Subject: Round Mountain Fire Rehabilitation

To: Chief

Region 6 is currently operating with limited funding authority for burned area rehabilitation. A copy of the completed 2500-8 form requesting authorization for funding to treat the Round Mountain fire on the Wenatchee National Forest is enclosed for your review and approval.

/s/James T. Gladen (for)

JOHN E. LOWE  
Regional Forester

Enclosure

BURNED-AREA REPORT  
(Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-8)PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

## A. Type of Report

- ☒ 1. Funding request for estimated EFFF-FW22 funds  
☐ 2. Accomplishment Report  
☐ 3. No Treatment Recommendation

## B. Type of Action

- ☒ 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)  
  
☐ 2. Interim Report  
    ☐ Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data and design analysis  
    ☐ Status of accomplishments to-date  
  
☐ 3. Final report - following completion of work

PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION

- A. Fire Name: Round Mountain B. Fire Number: P68542  
C. State: Washington D. County: Chelan  
E. Region: R-6 F. Forest: Wenatchee  
G. District: Lake Wenatchee  
H. Date Fire Started: 7/24/94 I. Date Fire Controlled: 8/8/94  
J. Suppression Cost: \$ 1,500,000  
K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with EFFF-PF12 Funds:  
    1. Fireline waterbarred (miles) 12.0  
    2. Fireline seeded (miles) 12.0  
    3. Other (identify) \_\_\_\_\_  
L. Watershed Number: 17020011 06 A Lower Nason  
                      17020011 06 D Butcher-Kahler  
M. NFS Acres Burned: 454 Total Acres Burned: 3400  
Ownership type:  
    ( ) State ( ) BLM ( 2946 ) PVT ( ) \_\_\_\_\_  
N. Vegetation Types: North slopes - Grand fir/vine maple  
                      South slopes - Douglas-fir/ceonothus  
O. Dominant Soils: SRI--137,138,139,143 - volcanic ash mantling glacial till  
                      and weathered sandstone. Steep slopes, highly erosive  
P. Geologic Types: Glacial till over interbedded sandstone bedrock. Extensive  
                      debris slides developing alluvial fans in valley bottoms.  
Q. Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class:  
    class I 3.0 mi. class III 2.3 mi. class IV 4.5 mi.  
R. Transportation System:  
    Trails: 3.4 (miles) Roads: 20 (miles)

### PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION

A. Fire Intensity (Acres): 1942 (low) 568 (moderate) 515 (high)

#### Burn Intensity Acres by Ownership and Drainage Round Mtn Fire

	High	Moderate	Low	Unburned	Total
<b>Kahler Creek</b>					
Forest Service	85	108	261	0	454
Longview Fibre	378	110	1268	275	2031
TOTALS (Kahler)	463	218	1529	275	2485
<b>Nason Creek</b>					
Forest Service	0	0	0	0	0
Longview Fibre	52	350	413	100	915
TOTALS (Nason)	52	350	413	100	915
TOTAL (All)	515	568	1942	375	3400

B. Water Repellant Soil (Acres): 150

C. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (Acres):  
                    (low)                      (moderate) 3400 (high)

D. Erosion Potential: \* 677 tons/acre  
E. Sediment Potential: 406 cu. yds/sq. mile

\* FOR HI AND MODERATE BURN INTENSITY ONLY.

### PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS

- A. Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period: 5 years.  
B. Design Chance of Success: 80 percent.  
C. Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval: 10 years.  
D. Design Storm Duration: 24 hours.  
E. Design Storm Magnitude: 4.3 inches.  
F. Design Flow: 91 cfs.  
G. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: 30 percent.  
H. Adjusted Design Flow: 133 cfs.

### PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

A. Describe Emergency:

The Round Mountain fire area contains many important factors that were considered in determining the proposed course of action. They are:

The fire area suffered flood damage in 1990;

It is directly adjacent to high quality streams and lake environments;

The area contains highly erosive soils and possesses a history of debris problems;

It is highly visible from 2 state highways, both of which are major recreational corridors.

Most of the High and Medium burn intensity is directly adjacent to stream channels.

This treatment targets both high and moderate intensity burn areas, stream channels, debris chutes, and road drainage problems. This treatment best addresses concerns relating to erosive soils and riparian and stream impacts due to the close proximity of high intensity burns to channels. Because a high percentage of the more severely burned areas are immediately adjacent to active stream channels, this treatment attempts to reduce detrimental impacts to water quality, soil productivity, and downstream values.

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives:

The emergency treatment objective is to provide soil cover and promote infiltration by establishing vegetation through seeding and fertilization. This should reduce soil loss from slopes thereby maintaining site productivity, reducing stream sedimentation and maintaining fish habitat.

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing Storm:

Land 90 %      Channel 90 %      Roads 90 %      Other 90 %

D. Probability of Treatment Success

		<----Years after treatment----->		
		1	3	5
Land		80%	85%	90%
Channel (seed&dams)		80%	90%	90%
Roads		80%	80%	80%
Other (slope structures)		80%	80%	80%

E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss): \$ 1,900,000

F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): \$ 170,000

G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Soils	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Range
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Timber	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildlife	<input type="checkbox"/> Fire Mgmt.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engineering
<input type="checkbox"/> Contracting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ecology	<input type="checkbox"/> Research	<input type="checkbox"/> Archaeology
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fish Bio	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Land. Arch.	<input type="checkbox"/> _____	<input type="checkbox"/> _____

Team Leader: \s\ Carl Davis

Phone: (509)662-4335 DG Address: C.Davis:R06F17A

H. Treatment Narrative:

Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.

Land Treatments (See Appendix 1 for summary seed mixes)

**Purpose:** To minimize soil loss by providing vegetative soil surface cover and subsurface root mass. This should help maintain site productivity and reduce sediment delivery to streams.

**Treatment:** Aerial seed and fertilize all high and moderate intensity burn areas. Seed 4 miles of channel (debris chutes) to reduce potential for debris delivery to Nason Creek (Use channel mix). See Appendix for species and rates.

**Treatment:** Contour fell small diameter trees in some high intensity burn areas.

Channel Treatments

**Purpose:** Prevent sediment from uplands to be delivered to streams.

**Treatment:** Install log terraces on 4 miles of 1st order stream channels.

Road and Trail Treatments

**Purpose:** Prevent materials from plugging culverts to reduce the hazard of channel blockage at those sites, reducing the risk of road washouts and downstream damage.

**Treatment:** Improve existing culverts and drain dips and install new culverts and drain dips. Close, rip and revegetate abandoned roads and do some spot surfacing. As part of road closure, some culverts will be replaced or removed.

## PART VI - EMERGENCY REHABILITATION TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP

NOTE: Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.

			NFS Lands			Other Lands			All
Line Items	Units	Unit Cost \$	Number of Units	EFFS- FW22 \$	Other \$  ident.	Number of Units	Non-Fed \$  ident.	Fed \$ 403 ident.	Total \$

## A. LAND TREATMENTS

Aerial seed & fertilize (upland forest)	acres	55.37	193	10,700		890		49,300	60,000
Aerial seed & fertilize (channels)	acres	67.17	25	1,700					1,700
contour felling	acres	50.00	85	4,250					4,250

## B. CHANNEL TREATMENTS

[illegible]

### C. ROADS AND TRAILS

[illegible]

### D. STRUCTURES

[illegible]

#### E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

[illegible]

F. TOTALS				184,145				53,300	237,445
-----------	--	--	--	---------	--	--	--	--------	---------

## PART VII - APPROVALS

1. /s/ Sonny O'Neal  
Forest Supervisor (Signature)

8/11/94  
Date

2. /s/ John E. Lowe  
Regional Forester (Signature)

8/11/94  
Date

ROUND MOUNTAIN FIRE  
SEEDING RECOMMENDATION

SEED MIX FOR CHANNELS

SPECIES	COST/LB	PLS LBS/AC	PLS SEEDS/ SQ. FT.	SEEDS/LB	COST/ACRE
soft white winter wheat (Madsen)	.12	50	15	12,000	6.00
Slender wheatgr. (Pryor)	2.00	12	42	150,000	24.00
Yellow sweetclover	.50	2	12	262,000	1
		--	--		----
TOTAL FOR SEED		74	72		31.00

Fertilize with Ammonium Nitrate Sulfate (ANS=30% N) to get 20 lbs of N/ac.

Fertilizer (30-0-0-6)	.09	66			6.17
Application (seed & fert)					30.00
					----
TOTAL COST/AC OF TREATMENT.....					67.17

SEED MIX FOR NON-FOREST

SPECIES	COST/LB	PLS LBS/AC	PLS SEEDS/ SQ. FT.	SEEDS/LB	COST/ACRE
soft white winter wheat (Madsen)	.12	50	15	12,000	6.00
Annual ryegrass	.50	3	15	217,000	1.50
		--	--		----
TOTAL FOR SEED		53	30		7.50

Fertilize with Ammonium Nitrate Sulfate (ANS=30% N) to get 20 lbs of N/ac.

Fertilizer (30-0-0-6)	.09	66			6.17
Application (seed & fert)					30.00
					----
TOTAL COST/AC OF TREATMENT.....					43.67

SEED MIX FOR FOREST LANDS

SPECIES	COST/LB	PLS LBS/AC	PLS SEEDS/ SQ. FT.	SEEDS/LB	COST/ACRE
soft white winter wheat (Madsen)	.12	50	15	12,000	6.00
Slender wheatgr. (Pryor)	2.00	6	42	150,000	12.00
Red clover	1.20	1	6.5	282,000	1.20
		--	----		-----
TOTAL FOR SEED		57	51.5		19.20

Fertilize with Ammonium Nitrate Sulfate (ANS=30% N) to get 20 lbs of N/ac.

Fertilizer (30-0-0-6)	.09	66			6.17
Application (seed & fert)					30.00
					-----
TOTAL COST/AC OF TREATMENT.....					55.37