USDA-FOREST SERVICE

P. Geologic Types: sedimentary deposits

Date of Report: 03/06/06

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A.	Type of Report						
	[] 1. Funding request for estimated WFS[] 2. Accomplishment Report[x] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	U-SULT funds					
В.	Type of Action						
	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of fun	ds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)					
	[] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding reque [] Status of accomplishments to date	st based on more accurate site data or design analysis					
	[x]3. Final Report (Following completion	on of work)					
	PART II - B	URNED-AREA DESCRIPTION					
A.	Fire Name: Montezuma I	B. Fire Number: P3CC7U, AZ-CNF-021					
C.	State: Arizona	D. County: Cochise					
E.	Region: 3	F. Forest: Coronado					
G.	District: Sierra Vista						
Н.	H. Date Fire Started: 02/27/2006 I. Date Fire Controlled: 03/01/2006						
J. :	Suppression Cost <u>:</u>						
K.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with S 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0 3. Other (identify):	Suppression Funds					
L.	Watershed Number: 1505020201						
M.	Total Acres Burned: 3962 NFS Acres(450) Other Federal () State	te () Private () Mexico (3512)					
N.	Vegetation Types: woodland						
Ο.	Dominant Soils: typic haplustalf						

Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 0						
R.	Transportation System						
	Trails: 0 miles Roads: 0 miles						
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION	<u>1</u>					
A.	Burn Severity (acres): <u>450</u> (low) (moderate) (high)						
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres):0						
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (moderate) (high)						
D.	Erosion Potential:1 tons/acre						
E.	Sediment Potential: 42 cubic yards / square mile						
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACT	<u>ORS</u>					
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 1						
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):n/a						
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):n/a						
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):n/a_						
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches): n/a						
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):n/a						
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):n/a						
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):n/a						
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS	<u>i</u>					
A.	Describe Watershed Emergency: No emergency. See attached letter						

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives: none

\mathbf{C}	Probability	of Co	mnletina	Treatment	Prior to	First N	//aior	Damaga_	Producina	Storm:
U.	riobability		mpieting	Healineil	רווטו נט	LIIOLIV	viajui	Daillay e- r	Toducing	Storri.

D. Probability of Treatment Success

	Years after Treatment						
	1	3	5				
Land							
Channel							
Roads							
Other							

- E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss):
- F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss):
- G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

[x] Hydrology	[] Soils	[] Geology	[x] Range	[]
[] Forestry	[] Wildlife	[] Fire Mgmt.	[] Engineering	[]
[] Contracting	[] Ecology	[] Botany	[] Archaeology	[]
[] Fisheries	[] Research	[] Landscape Arch	[] GIS	

Team Leader: Robert Lefevre

Email: <u>rlefevre@fs.fed.us</u> Phone: <u>520-388-8373</u> FAX:<u>520-388-8305</u>

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land Treatments: n/a

Channel Treatments: n/a

Roads and Trail Treatments: n/a

Structures: n/a

H. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Monitoring will be done as part of our Forest Plan and Range Allotment monitoring. We have riparian data from within the fire area that we can use to compare pre- and post-fire condtions

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

		NFS Lands			X		Other L	ands		All	
		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other	X	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	8	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
A. Land Treatments						X					
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0			
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
B. Channel Treatmen	ts										
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		Š		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails						8					
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
D. Structures						8				•	
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		XXXXX		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation											
Robert Lefevre				\$0	350	X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0	,			\$0		\$0	\$0
						X					
G. Monitoring Cost				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
-						8					
H. Totals				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
						Ø					

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	/s/ Jeanine A. Derby_ Forest Supervisor (signature)	<u>3/9/2006</u> Date
2.	Regional Forester (signature)	Date Date