Date of Report: 5-19-93

BURNED-AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-8)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A.	Type of Report
	[] 1. Funding request for estimated FFFS-FW22 funds [X] 2. Accomplishment Report
в.	Type of Action
	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)
	 [] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data and design analysis [] Status of accomplishments to-date
	[X] 3. Final report - following completion of work
	PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A.	Fire Name: BODEN B. Fire Number:
C. E. G.	
	Date Fire Started: MAY 9, 1993 I. Date Fire Controlled: MAY 16, 1993 Suppression Cost: \$ 525,000
к.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with FFFS-PF12 Funds: 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles) 5.5 mi. (\$4,875) 2. Fireline seeded (miles) 0 3. Other (identify)
L.	Watershed Number: 1807030401
м.	NFS Acres Burned: 585 Total Acres Burned: 1300 Ownership type: ()State ()BLM ()PVT (715)
N.	Vegetation Types: <u>SOUTHERN MIXED CHAPARRAL 1260 AC.</u> COAST LIVE OAK RIPARIAN FOREST 40 AC.
ο.	Dominant Soils: CIENEBA 900 AC. FALLBROOK 400 AC.
P.	Geologic Types: GRANITIC 100%
Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class:
R.	Transportation System: Trails: (miles) Roads: ?? (miles)

PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION

Α.	Fire Intensity (Acr	es): <u>10%</u>	(low) <u>90</u>	<u>)</u> 용 (1	moderate)	(high)	
в.	Water Repellant Soi	ll (Acres):	1200 ac2	<u>25</u> in.	deep			
c.		Rating (Acre		ce) .	100%	(high)		
D. E.			tons,		. mile			
	<u>P</u>	ART IV - HY	DROLOGIC DE	SIGN F	FACTORS			
Α.	Estimated Vegetativ	e Recovery P	eriod: 5-7	, vear	s.			
В.	Design Chance of Su							
c.	Equivalent Design B			уе	ars.			
D.	Design Storm Durati							
E.	Design Storm Magnit		nches.					
F.	Design Flow:							
G.	Estimated Reduction			perce	nt.			
н.	Adjusted Design Flo	ow: c:	rsm.			*		
		PART V -	SUMMARY OF	ANALY	<u>sis</u>			
A.	Describe Emergency	3						
NON	IE - NO DOWNSTREAM V	ATTIES OD DESO	ווסרפט אסט אי	r btev				
11011	E NO DOWNSTREAM V	THORD ON NEDO	OKCED AKE A.	I KIOK	•			
BOD	EN CANYON IS A TRIBU	JTARY TO SANT	A YSABEL CRI	EEK.	THERE IS	GOOD COVER	LEFT	
ALC	ONG THE RIPARIAN COR	RIDOR. THE C	REEK FLOWS	THROUG	H AN AGRI	CULTURAL PR	ESERVE	
	A NATURAL OPEN-SPACE DIMENT OR WATER FLOWS	\	S BURN WILL	CONTR	IBUTE LIT	TTLE TO INCR	EASED	
в.	Emergency Treatment	Objectives:		7				
	The state of the s	and the second of the second o						
Mon	IITOR RECOVERY OF THE	PROJECT.						
-		Charge and the Company of the Compan						
c.	Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing Storm:							
	Land %	Channel	% Roa	ads	%	Other	%	
D.	Probability of Trea							
		<years< td=""><td>aftor treatr</td><td>ment</td><td>></td><th></th><td></td></years<>	aftor treatr	ment	>			
		1	3	5				
	Land							
	Channel							
	Roads							
	Other							

E.	Cost of No-Act	tion (Including Risk)	\$ N/A	
F.	Cost of Select	ed Alternative (Incl	uding Risk):	\$ N/A
G.	Skills Represe	ented on Burned-Area	Survey Team ("	x" appropriate boxes):
	• •	[] Soils [X] Wildlife ng [X] Ecology []		[] Engineering [] Archaeology
Tear Phor		M WHITE 9-674-2955	DG Address	:: T.WHITE: RO5F02A

H. Treatment Narrative:

Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.

It is expected that the site will recover on its own due to the moderate burn intensity. Obligate seeding shrubs are expected to reestablish. The site has a high percentage of strong sprouting shrubs which should recover well based on other May burns in the area. There is a potential concern with the recovery of chamise due to the season of burn. This will be monitored. Even if high chamise mortality occures this site should recover quickly.

PART VI - EMERGENCY REHABILITATION TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP

NOTE: Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is

not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			NFS Lands				r Lands		A11
Line Items	Units	Unit	Number	FFFS-	a	Number	8	Non-Fed	Total
		Cost	of	FW22	\$	of	\$	\$	\$
		\$	Units	\$		Units		.	
		<u> </u>		ļ	ident.		ident.	ident.	
LAND TREATMENTS									
			 						
		 			-}	Į	ļ		
CHANNEL TREATMENTS	1	ı		1		8	1	1 8	
5									
	e			•	•		-		
ROADS AND TRAILS		1	,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
	-	 			 				
					1	i			
	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	
STRUCTURES	1	1	I	l	ı	E .	1		
		<u> </u>							
			•	•		•			•
BAER EVALUATION/ ADM	INISTRA	TIVE S	UPPORT	1	1	1	1	1	
		·ļ	· 	 	ļ	 			
TOTALS									
. TOTALS									•
		PA	RT VII	- APPR	OVALS				
/s/ Forest Supervi	70% / C.	~~~					ate		
rorest Supervi	sor (Si	ynatur	e)			ים	ace		
/s/									
Regional Forest	er (Sig	nature)			D	ate		