Date of Report: October 30, 2003

# **BURNED-AREA REPORT** (Reference FSH 2509.13)

# PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A. Type of Report	
<ul><li>[] 1. Funding request for estimated WFSI</li><li>[] 2. Accomplishment Report</li><li>[X] 3. No Treatment Recommendation</li></ul>	U-SULT funds
B. Type of Action	
[X] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of fu	inds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures
[] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding reques [] Status of accomplishments to date	st based on more accurate site data or design analysis e
[] 3. Final Report (Following completion	of work)
PART II - BL	JRNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A. Fire Name: Shepard Canyon Fire	B. Fire Number: P4AXG9
C. State: Utah	D. County: Davis
E. Region: Intermountain (R4)	F. Forest: Wasatch-Cache NF (19)
G. District: Salt Lake Ranger District(D1)	
H. Date Fire Started: 23 October 2003	I. Date Fire Contained: 27 October 2003
J. Suppression Cost: estimated \$370,000	
<ul> <li>K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with S</li> <li>1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): 0</li> <li>2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0</li> <li>3. Other (identify): 0</li> </ul>	Suppression Funds
L. Watershed Number: 160201020503	
M. Total Acres Burned: 391 NFS Acres(391) Other Federal ( ) State	e() Private()
N. Vegetation Types: Mixed Mountain Shrub	

O. Dominant Soils: Lithic Haploxerolls - shallow, cobbly, siltloams and sand-clay over loamy skeletal subsoil

P. Geologic Types: Archean schist and gneiss, Holocene and Pleistocene landslide deposits.

Q.	0. Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: Perennial: order 1=0.8; order 2=0.5					
R.	Transportation System					
	Trails: 0 miles Roads: 0 miles					
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION					
A.	Burn Severity (acres): 368 (low) 23 (moderate) 0 (high)					
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres): 23					
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres):					
D.	Erosion Potential: No change over pre-fire tons/acre					
E.	E. Sediment Potential: No change over pre-fire cubic yards / square mile					
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS  nis section is not applicable because no change in flow is expected. Only 1.6% of the watershed has a derate soil severity and will not change the flow characteristics from pre-fire conditions.					
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): N/A					
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):  N/A					
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):  N/A					
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):					
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):  N/A					
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):  N/A					
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):  N/A					
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile): N/A					
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS					

#### A. Describe Watershed Emergency:

The fire occurred on steep slopes in a small canyon midway up the Wasatch Front above Farmington City, Utah. A perennial stream flows through the canyon, of which the eastern boundary of the fire burned at a low burn severity near the stream. At the mouth of Shepard Canyon, the stream flows through a five-foot culvert underneath a residential road and down through a narrow drainage into a small reservoir. The only man made structure affected by the fire is a burned powerline that leads to the FAA towers on Francis Peak. An irrigation diversion is located on Shepard Creek about 1.5 miles downstream of the fire. No fisheries are recorded for Shepard Creek.

Some short term erosion and sediment is expected primarily on moderate burned areas that are located on upper portions of the hill slopes. Low burned areas have a good buffer strip between the burned areas and the stream. The entire fire area is expected to recover rapidly next spring. The likelihood of ash and sediment reaching the stream from the moderate burned areas is minimal because of the distance from live water and small area of hydrophobic soils.

Loss of human life and property is not expected from post fire conditions.

	Em	nerge	ency	Treatment	Objectives:	No treatments	are	recommende	ed.
--	----	-------	------	-----------	-------------	---------------	-----	------------	-----

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm: N/A

Land \_\_ % Channel \_\_ % Roads \_\_ % Other \_\_ %

D. Probability of Treatment Success: N/A

	Years after Treatment								
	1	1 3 5							
Land									
	·	-							
Channel									
Roads									
Other									

- E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss): None
- F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): N/A
- G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

[X] Hydrology	[ X] Soils	[] Geology	[] Range	[]
[] Forestry	[] Wildlife	[] Fire Mgmt.	[] Engineering	[]
[] Contracting	[] Ecology	[X] Botany	[] Archaeology	[]
[X ] Fisheries	[] Research	[] Landscape	Arch []GIS	

Team Leader: Charlie Condrat

Email: <u>ccondrat@fs.fed.us</u> Phone: <u>(801) 524-3939</u> FAX: <u>(801) 524-3172</u>

H. Treatment Narrative: N/A

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended t
do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For
seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land	Treatments:

**Channel Treatments:** 

**Roads and Trail Treatments:** 

Structures:

## I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Survey to determine if natural revegetation is occuring. GS-11Botanist and GS-12 Hydrologist to survey for one day.

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other	X	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	Š	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
						Š					
A. Land Treatments						Š					
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
B. Channel Treatmen	ts					8				•	
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
C. Road and Trails						Ş				•	
				\$0	\$0	Ş		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	Ş		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	Š		\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0	Š		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0	\$0	Š		\$0		\$0	\$(
D. Structures						X				ļ	
				\$0	\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0	Š		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Structures				\$0	\$0	Š		\$0		\$0	\$(
E. BAER Evaluation				·		Š					·
hydrologist	each	350	2	\$700	\$0	Š		\$0		\$0	\$700
botanist	each	300	2	\$600	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$600
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Evaluation				\$1,300	\$0	•		\$0		\$0	\$1,300
F. Monitoring				+ /	,,,	8		1			+ ,
Reveg success surve	day	\$300	2	\$600	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$600
Insert new items above this line!	,	¥	_	\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Monitoring				\$600	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$600
				7.30	+ •	8		+ -		+ + +	7.0
G. Totals				\$1,900	\$0	Ş		\$0		\$0	\$1,90
				+ - , - 3 -		Ŕ		<del>                                     </del>			7.,50

### **PART VII - APPROVALS**

1.	_/s/ Thomas L. Tidwell Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
2.	_/s/ Bert Kulesza for Regional Forester (signature)	_08/03/2004_ Date