Date of Report: December 5, 2002

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A. Type of Report	
[] 1. Funding request for estimated WFSU[] 2. Accomplishment Report[x] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	-SULT funds
B. Type of Action	
[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds	s needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)
[] 2. Interim Report[] Updating the initial funding request[] Status of accomplishments to date	based on more accurate site data or design analysis
[x] 3. Final Report (Following completion	of work)
PART II - BU	RNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A. Fire Name: Cathedral Rock	B. Fire Number <u>: ID-SCF-2010 P46013</u>
C. State: Idaho	D. County: Lemhi
E. Region: 4	F. Forest: Salmon-Challis
G. District: North Fork	
H. Date Fire Started: August 1, 2002	I. Date Fire Controlled: August 8, 2002
J. Suppression Cost: \$1,100,568	
 K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Su 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles):)-0- water that was available. 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 3. Other (identify): 	ppression Funds No firelines, since it was inside the wilderness, used lots of
L. Watershed Number: 170602071204	
M. Total Acres Burned: 333 NFS Acres(x) Other Federal () State ()	Private ()
N. Vegetation Types: Subalpine Fir, Lodgep whortleberry, elk sedge.	oole Pine, Douglas-fir, White Bark Pine, beargrass, grouse

O. Dominant Soils: Gravelly sandy loam, gravelly loamy sand

P.	Geologic Types: Granite
Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: Order 1= ½ mile
R.	Transportation System
	Trails: miles Roads: 1 miles
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION
A.	Burn Severity (acres): 50 (low) 234 (moderate) 26 (high)
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres): 40
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): 100 (low) 158 (moderate) 75 (high)
D.	Erosion Potential: 1.1 tons/acre
E.	Sediment Potential: cubic yards / square mile
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 2-5
B.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS
A.	Describe Watershed Emergency: NONE

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives: NONE

C. Probabilit	y of Comp	leting Treatme	ent Prior to First M	ajor Damage-Produc	ing Stor	m:
	Land	% Channel _	% Roads	% Other %		
D. Probabilit	y of Treatr	ment Success				
	Y	ears after Trea	atment			
	1	3	5			
Land						
Channel						
Roads						
Other						
E. Cost of N	lo-Action (Including Loss	s) <u>:</u>			
F. Cost of S	elected Al	ternative (Inclu	uding Loss) <u>:</u>			
G. Skills Re	presented	on Burned-Ar	ea Survey Team:			
[X] Hy	drology	[X] Soils	[X] Geology	[] Range	[]	
[X] Fo	restry	[] Wildlife	[X] Fire Mgmt.	[] Engineering [] Archaeology	[] []	
[] Fish	neries	[] Research	[] Landscape Ar	ch [X] GIS	LJ	
Team Leade	er <u>: Gary Ja</u>	ackson				
Email: gljack	son @fs f	ed us	Pho	ne: <u>208-756-5110</u>		FAX <u>: 208-756-5151</u>
a <u>g.j.a.o.</u>			. 110			. 7 0 1 200 7 00 0 10 1

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land Treatments:

Channel Treatments:

Roads	and	Trail	Treatments:
-------	-----	-------	-------------

Structures:

H. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

			NFS La	nds	-	X		Other La	ands		All
		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other	Š	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	8	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
						X					
A. Land Treatments						X					
				\$0		∞		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0			
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$ 0
B. Channel Treatment	ts										
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0		∞		\$0		\$ 0	\$0
C. Road and Trails						X	,	-			
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		Š		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		Şί		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
D. Structures						X				•	
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation				40		X		—		***	
El Biter Evaluation				\$0		Ø		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		Ø		\$0		\$0	Ψ0 \$0
				ΨΟ		∞		ΨΟ		ΨΟ	ΨΟ
G. Monitoring Cost				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
o. monitoring cost				ΨΟ		8		ΨΟ		ΨΟ	ΨΟ
H. Totals				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
11. 10(013				φυ		X		φυ		Ψυ	φu

PART VII - APPROVALS

Forest Supervisor	(signature)	Date