Date of Report: 10-20-06

BAR COMPLEX BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST



(East fork of the New River looking into Trinity Alps Wilderness)

- A. Type of Report (first stage of a two part request due to scale of fires in the Bar Complex)
 - [x] 1. Funding request for estimated WFSU-SULT funds
 - []2. Accomplishment Report
 - [] 3. No Treatment Recommendation
- B. Type of Action
 - [] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete initial eligible rehabilitation measures)
 - [x] 2. Interim Report
 - [] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis
 - [] Status of accomplishments to date
 - [] 3. Final Report (Following completion of work)

PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION

A. Fire Name: Bar Complex

B. Fire Number: CA-SHF-1693; CA-SHF-2120

C. State: CA

D. County: Trinity

G. District: Trinity River
H. Date Fire Started: 7/26/2006 I. Date Fire Containment: projected is 10/30/06
J. Suppression Cost: \$ 60 millon current
 K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Funds 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles):30 2. Fireline seeded (miles):5 3. Other (identify):
L. Watershed Number: 26539846, 2653846 – Pigeon; 27252500 - Bakeoven
M. Total Acres Burned: 102,000 - (Bakeoven - Pigeon -) NFS Acres(77,000) Other Federal () State () Private ()
N. Vegetation Types: Mixed conifer and chaparrel
O. Dominant Soils: Neuns, Marpa, Holland, Goulding, Etsel
P. Geologic Types: Phyllite and Metavolcanic
Q. Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 30 miles total; (4 miles class 1, 16 miles class 2, 10 miles class 3) – only for nonwilderness areas consisting of 7,200 acres.
R. Transportation System: (only for nonwilderness areas consisting of 7,200 acres)
Trails:5 miles Roads:20 miles
PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION (Bakeoven area and Pigeon area that is nonwilderness)
A. Burn Severity (7,200 acres): Bakeoven: 1,200 (low) 500 (moderate) 100 (high) Pigeon: 2,600 (low) 2,000 (moderate) 800 (high)
B. Water-Repellent Soil (acres): 500
C. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): 80 (low) 1,560 (moderate) 5,560 (high)
D. Erosion Potential: Bakeoven: 30 tons/acre Pigeon: 40 tons/acre
E. Sediment Potential: Bakeoven: 13,440 cubic yards / square mile Pigeon: 17,920 cubic yards / square mile
PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS (Bakeoven area and Pigeon area that is nonwilderness)
A. Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 5

F. Forest: Shasta-Trinity

E. Region: 5

80

B. Design Chance of Success, (percent):

C. Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years): 10

D. Design Storm Duration, (hours): 24

E. Design Storm Magnitude, (inches): __5.6__

F. Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile): 135-165 (aver – 150))

G. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent): 20

H. Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile): <u>160-200 (aver – 180)</u>

PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

A. Describe Watershed Emergency: The Bar Complex fires consist of 3 separate wildfires. The Bakeoven and Little Fires were started by lighting on July 26th, 2006 and the Pigeon Fire started on Sept. 2nd, 2006. The cause is under investigation. The Bakeoven (Bakeoven Ridge) and Little (Canyon Ck. area) fires orginated in the Wilderness and the Pigeon fire began next to Highway. 299 near Pigeon Point and quickly spread north into the canyons above the main stem of the Trinity River. Currently the Bar Complex has burned 102,000 acres and is 78% contained. The values at risk are anadromous fish habitat (New River, North fork of Trinity River, and main stem of Trinity River), water quality, loss of soil productivity (erosion), exposure of archeological sites, domestic water supplies (the communities of Denny, Big Flat, and Helena), sediment and mud flows above the communities of Helena, Big Flat and on to Hwy 299, rolling rocks and trees onto Hwy 299, introduction of noxious weeds, road and trail damage due to fill burnouts and culvert plugging and topping. Due to the scope of the fire, the large component of wilderness, limited access and visibility. The initial focus of emergency stabilization will concentrate on the non-wilderness component of these fires. These areas consist of the New River area of Jim Jam Ridge above the east fork of the New River (Bakeoven fire) and the canyons above Big Flat and Helena (Pigeon fire).

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives: The objectives of the emergency treatments are to reduce impacts to water quality, minimize sedimentation, protect human life and property, protect fish habitat, protect hertiage sites, and to control the spread of noxious weeds. The majority of the values threatened are the areas above the east fork of the New River (JimJam Ridge) and the canyons above the communities of Helena and big Flat (Wheel and Hobo Gulch). Treatment objectives are to prevent erosion on the most highly erodible soils where burn intensity was high, capture eroded material high in the watersheds during the first winter and allow for a metered flow of sediment in years thereafter.

Land Treatments – Land treatments will focus on the upper parts of Wheel Gulch, Cutthroat Gulch, Logan Gulch in the canyons behind the communities of Big Flat and Helena and the draws along the lower East Fork of the New River. These areas have burned hot and hydrophobic layers extend to 4 inches in fine gravelly loam soils with mixed brush and scrub oak. If untreated these areas will severely erode depositing fine sediments into prime anadromous fish habitat of the New River, North Fork Trinity and main stem of the Trinity River. Helimulching will mitigate these effects and will significantly reduce erosion in these sensitive draws.

Road Treatments – Due to the fire emergency many roads have been severely impacted. Suppression rehabilitation will fix most of these issues but due to burned hillslopes, culvert repacement and mitigations will be necessary to buffer the effects of increased runoff. Culvert replacements, risers, trash racks, dissipators, and culvert cleanouts will be necessary. Fresh scraped road sidecast will need to be mulched to protect from erosion. Additional rolling dips will be necessary to construct to handle additional road runoff on native surface roads.

Protection and Safety Treatments – Burned areas pose a threat to public safety of falling trees and rolling rocks. Signing will be necessary to protect the public to warn them of burned areas and to control their speed in these areas. Control of public access is necessary to protect sensitive soils from OHV damange by gates

and boulder barriers. Noxious weed detection survey is necessary to insure that noxious weeds do not get introduced to the wilderness or the Manazinita Creek RNA.

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm:

Land <u>70</u> % Channel __ % Roads <u>90</u> % Other __ %

D. Probability of Treatment Success

	Years after Treatment							
	1	3	5					
Land	80	90	95					
	·	·	-					
Channel	Channel (too steep		capacity)					
Roads	90	95	100					
Other	80	90	95					

E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss): \$1,411,000

F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): \$63,000

G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

[x] Hydrology	[x] Soils	[] Geology	[] Range	[]
[] Forestry	[x] Wildlife	[] Fire Mgmt.	[x] Engineering	[]
[] Contracting	[] Ecology	[x] Botany	[x] Archaeology	[]
[x] Fisheries	[] Research	[1] andscape Arch	[x] GIS	

[x] Fisheries [] Research [] Landscape Arch [x] 515

Team Leader: Brad Rust

Email: brust@fs.fed Phone: <u>530-226-2427</u> FAX: <u>530-226-2485</u>

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

The following are proposed interim emergency treatments for the Bar Fire Complex to insure rehabilitation happens in a timely manner before the first damaging rains. The survey is not completed at this date, but enough preliminary data has been collected to make the following estimates of needs on the critial non-wilderness areas of the fire. The treatments proposed are thought to be reasonable applications of treatments that have been determined to be effective for their purpose when used under similar conditions in other wildfire areas. The number of treatments proposed are a conserative considering the size of the fire, values at risk, and burn intensities. The final set of treatments will be determined with the full input of all BAER survey team members, forest staff and local authorities when the fire is fully contained in late October.

Land Treatments:

Heli-mulching

Mulching with certified weed-free rice straw is proposed for 350 acres in Wheel, Logan, and Cutthroat gulches above the communities of Big Flat and Helena where soils burned hot. The purpose of the treatment is to provide suitable ground cover where there presently is none. The extent of this treatment is confined to sensitive areas of highly erodible soils in high value watersheds of the North fork Trinity and main stem Trinity River.

Interim #1 – This interim request is for increased cost for weed-free rice straw due to increase shipping costs, gas costs, harvesting cost, and the lack of large supplies.

Natural Recovery

Natural recovery is preferred on low to moderate severity burns since the seed source has not been burned out and recovery is rapid after the first rains. Severely burned areas need cover since seed source is lacking and organic matter is destroyed. The areas of concern for immediate cover are the upper reaches of Wheel, Logan, and Cutthroat Gulches above Big Flat and Helena.

Channel Treatments:

None planned – no storage capacity, gradients are too steep, and little destruction of riparian zone is present.

Roads and Trail Treatments:

Culverts

Fire effects to soil and vegetation will increase the inputs of watershed products to culverts draining burned areas including increased peak water flows and increased loading of woody debris and sediment. This increases the probability of exceeding culvert capacity and failure of crossings, with the added inputs of sediment, in some cases potentially delivered "dambreak" type failure scenarios. Where existing culverts drain a substantially burned watershed (>20% of the watershed) culvert sizing will be necessary to insure culvert can pass anticipated flows. If culvert is too small it will be replaced with proper sized culvert. If size is sufficient then trash racks will be installed or risers to mitigate floating debris that would clog culverts. There are 3 culverts that need redesigning and 6 culverts that need trash racks and end disipators on the 7N01, 7N03, 33N46A, and the 34N14 roads.

Rolling Dips

Many roads were scraped and bermed for fire suppression. Suppression rehabilitation will remove the berms and restore many of the rolling dips on natural sufaced dirt roads but with a dirt road surface, more rolling dips need to be installed to reduce road prism erosion. These will be located on 33N46 and 34N14 on fine textured non-gravelly roads.

Roadside Mulching

Road fill and sidecast slopes are sites of greatly increased surface runoff. When combined with the soil effects of severe burning, erosion hazard is increased, and cover is needed to minimize erosion in these areas. There are 6 miles of road within moderate to high burn severity areas along road 33N46, 33N46A, 34N14, and 7N01A. The soils within these areas have high erosion hazard ratings. The fill slopes will be treated by using straw blowers to mulch on the average a width of 30 feet, equating to a total area coverage of 30 acres.

Structures:

Signs

Many of the roadside safety signs were destroyed and need to be replaced to insure public safety. Also safety signs need to be installed warning the public of burned area of hazard trees and flooding. These signs are needed for areas that access wilderness trailheads.

Barriers

Boulder barriers and gates are needed to control public acess of OHV's to areas where sensitive soils need time for recovery. Boulder barriers are necessary on the East Fork of the New River trailhead and the 33N46 wilderness trailhead.

Gates are necessary on the 34N14 road to limit OHV access to the Trinity Alps Wilderness and to protect senestive soils that were severely burned.

Other:

Noxious weed detection survey

Due to multiagency response to the Bakeoven and Pigeon fires washing was done after a few days into each response, noxious weeds could have been introduced. Also with fires comes greater access for OHV traffic that brings noxious weeds with them. Due to these factors a noxious weed detection survey is necessary to insure noxious weed are not introduced. If detected they will be pulled, bagged, and eradicated.

I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Monitor mulched roadside fill to insure treatment is working by establishing photo points and monitoring every 6 months for 2 years.

Monitor hillside mulching to insure treatment is working by establishing photo points and monitoring every 6 months for 2 years.

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other \$	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$ 8	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
					X					
A. Land Treatments					X					
heli-mulching	acres	850	350	297500	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$297,500
machine mulch	acres	1200	20	24000	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$24,000
heli-mulching increase	acres	117		41,000	<u> </u>					\$41,000
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0፟፟፟፟፟፟፟		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$362,500	\$0፟፟፟፟፟፟		\$0		\$0	\$362,500
B. Channel Treatments					X					
				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails					×					
Culvert replacement & redesign	each	8000	1	8000	\$0 X		\$0		\$0	\$8,000
Dips 34N14 & 7N03	each	100	7	700	\$0 X		\$0		\$0	\$700
Trash racks	each	2000	8	16000	\$0 X		\$0		\$0	\$16,000
Outlet dissipators (rock)	each	85	5	425	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$425
Culvert end-sections	each	300	2	600	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$600
Culvert cleaning	each	300	10	3000	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$3,000
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0 &		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$28,725	\$0 X		\$0		\$0	\$28,725
D. Structures					- 8					
Replace road signs + post	each	670	5	3350	\$0 🖔		\$0		\$0	\$3,350
Boulder barrier	each	126	10	1260	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$1,260
Gates	each	1000	2	2000	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$2,000
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$6,610	\$0 X		\$0		\$0	\$6,610
E. Other					×					
Noxious weed detection survey	each	420	20	8400	\$0 X		\$0		\$0	\$8,400
					\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$8,400	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$8,400
E. BAER Evaluation					X					
BAER Assessment Team	each	15560	1	15560	\$0 X		\$0		\$0	\$15,560
Insert new items above this line!			Ţ	\$0	\$0 &		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Evaluation				\$15,560	\$0 X		\$0		\$0	\$15,560
F. Monitoring					8					
Effectiveness monitoring	each	3500	1	1750	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$1,750
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0 🞖		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Monitoring				\$1,750	\$0 🔯		\$0		\$0	\$1,750
G. Totals	I		Ī	\$423,545	\$0 &		\$0		\$0	\$423,545

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	_/s/ J. Sharon Heywood	23 Oct 06
	Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
2.	/s/ R. L. Sutton (for)	24 Oct 06
	Regional Forester (signature)	Date