Date of Report: May 12, 2005

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A.	Type of Report	
	[] 1. Funding request for estimated W[] 2. Accomplishment Report[x] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	
B.	Type of Action	
	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of t	funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures
	[] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding req [] Status of accomplishments to	uest based on more accurate site data or design analysis date
	[x] 3. Final Report (Following complet	tion of work)
	PART II -	BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A.	Fire Name <u>: Beehive</u>	B. Fire Number: AZ-CNF-000021
C.	State: Arizona	D. County: Santa Cruz
E.	Region: 3	F. Forest: Coronado
G.	District: Sierra Vista	
Н. І	Date Fire Started: April 23, 2008	I. Date Fire Contained: April 30, 2008
J. S	Suppression Cost: \$867,500	
K.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired wit 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0 3. Other (identify):	
L.	Watershed Number: 1505030105	
M.	Total Acres Burned: NFS Acres(325) Other Federal () S	State () Private (1)
N.	Vegetation Types: grassland and mixed	juniper-oak woodland
Ο.	Dominant Soils: Typic Haplustalfs	
P.	Geologic Types: Granite bedrock a	and sedimentary deposits

Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: ~1 miles of first order streams					
R.	Transportation System					
	Trails: 0 miles Roads: 0 miles					
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION					
A.	Burn Severity (acres): 325 (low) (moderate) (high)					
B.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres): 0					
C.	5. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): 325 (low)(moderate) (high)					
D.	Erosion Potential:n/a tons/acre					
E.	Sediment Potential:na/_ cubic yards / square mile					
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS					
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years):					
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):n/a					
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):n/a_					
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):n/a					
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):					
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):n/a					
G.	6. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent): <u>n/a</u>					
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile): n/a					
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS					
A.	Describe Watershed Emergency: No emergency. See attached letter.					
В.	Emergency Treatment Objectives: None					

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm:					
Land <u>n/a</u> % Channel <u>n/a</u> % Roads <u>n/a</u> % Other <u>n/a</u> %					
D. Probability of Treatment Success					
Years after Treatment					

	Yea	Years after Treatment						
	1 3							
Land								
Channel								
Roads								
Other								

E.	Cost of	No-Action	(Including	Loss):	n/a
----	---------	-----------	------------	--------	-----

- F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): n/a
- G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

[x] Hydrology	[] Soils	[] Geology	[] Range	[]
[] Forestry	[] Wildlife	[] Fire Mgmt.	[] Engineering	[]
[] Contracting	[] Ecology	[] Botany	[] Archaeology	[]
[] Fisheries	[] Research	[] Landscape Arch	[]GIS	

Team Leader: Robert E. Lefevre

Email: <u>rlefevre@fs.fed.us</u> Phone: <u>(520) 388-8373</u> FAX: <u>(520) 388-8305</u>

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land Treatments: n/a

Channel Treatments: n/a

Roads and Trail Treatments: n/a

Structures: n/a

I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Monitoring will be done as part of our Forest Plan and Range Allotment monitoring. We have riparian data from within the fire area that we can use to compare pre- and post-fire condtions.

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

			NFS La	nds		X		Other L	ands		All
		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other	X	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	8	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
						8					
A. Land Treatments						X					
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0			
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
B. Channel Treatmen	its										
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails						8		•			
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
D. Structures						Ø					
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation						X					
Robert Lefevre	days	353	1	\$353				\$0		\$0	\$353
		0	0	\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
		0	0	\$0		Ø					
		0	0	\$0		X					
						Ø					
F. Monitoring				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Ī				-		X		1			,

PART VII - APPROVALS

5/14/2008

Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
Regional Forester (signature)	Date

/s/ Jeanine A. Derby

1.