

BURNED AREA REPORT

DATE:

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

1. (List as appropriate) A. Funding Request B. Accomplishment report
2. A. Initial B. Interim C. Final

PART II - FIRE LOCATION

1. Fire name:FOXIE
2. Supervisors Fire Number:703031
3. State:MONTANA
4. County:RAVALLI
5. Region:1
6. Forest:BITTERROOT
7. Ranger District:DARBY
8. Date Started:JULY 19, 1985
9. Date Controlled:JULY 26, 1985
10. Estimated suppression costs:\$650000
11. Fire suppression damage repaired with FFF 102 funds:
a. .7. . miles of firelines waterbarred
b. .2.5. acres of firelines seeded
c.118AC. other (identify) HOT SPOTS SEEDED
12. Fire intensity 20 % low 20 % medium 60 % high

PART III - NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY

1. Watershed Number:1701020504D
2. NFS acres burned: 340
3. Water repellant soil: 70 % NFS acres burned
4. Vegetation types: AF/MEFE, AF/XETE/VAGL
5. Geologic types:QUARTZITE, GNEISS, CALC-SILICATE
6. Soil erosion hazard rating: % low 40 % medium 60 % high
7. Erosion potential: 275 cu.yd./sq.mi.
8. Miles stream channel by regional order or class: 1/4 MI 1ST ORDER
9. Miles FS trails:0
10. Miles FS roads by maintenance level:0
a. (level I) b. (level II) c. (level III, IV, V)

Skipped
in 93
form

PART IV - CALCULATED RISK AND CLIMATIC EVALUATION

1. Est. veg. recovery period: 10 years
2. Chance of success desired by management: 90 %
3. Equivalent design recurrence: 100 years
4. Related design storm duration: 1/2 hours
5. Related design storm magnitude: 1 inches
5. Related design flow: 90 cfs
7. Estimated reduction in infiltration: 45 %
8. Adjusted related design flow: 130 cfs

PART V SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS

1. Skills represented on burned area survey team (list as appropriate):
BOB HAMMER-HYDROLOGIST, NORM DAVIS-SOILS, DICK BABCOCK-SILVICULTURE, MICK DEZELL- FIRE
2. Describe emergency: POTENTIAL SOIL EROSION IN HEADWATERS OF SKALKAHO CREEK. STEEP, ERODIBLE SOILS IN HOT BURN AREAS.
3. Emergency rehabilitation objective: STABILIZE WATERSHED SOILS WITH GRASS ON HOT SPOTS BY AERIAL SEEDING.
4. Probability of completing treatment prior to first major damage producing storm:
Land 70 % Channel % Roads % Other %
5. Net Environmental-quality benefit index: 1.42
6. Net Social-well-being benefit: 0
7. Benefit/cost ratio:
8. Net benefits: \$
9. Cost effectiveness index (choose one): a. I b. II c. III d. IV

PART IV

ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS

(Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.)

NFS LANDSOTHER LAND

	Units	Unit cost	units #	FFB 092 \$	other \$	units #	federal \$	non-fed \$	total \$
A. LAND
SEEDING 200 Acres	.	.	.	9200
B. CHANNELS
opening water courses	Miles
stabilizing streambanks and posting	Miles
	National Forest bo
C. ROADS & TRAILS	Miles
MAJOR STRUCTURES	Each
E TOTAL	.	.	.	9200

PART VII - APPROVALS

Forest Supervisor approval and date: /s/
 Regional Forester approval and date: /s/