Date of Report:

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A.	Type of Report	
	[x] 1. Funding request for estimated emerg[] 2. Accomplishment Report[] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	ency stabilization funds
В.	Type of Action	
	[x] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of fund	s needed to complete eligible stabilization measures)
	[] 2. Interim Report # [] Updating the initial funding request [] Status of accomplishments to date	based on more accurate site data or design analysis
	[] 3. Final Report (Following completion of	work)
	PART II - BUR	NED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A.	Fire Name: Sherwint	B. Fire Number: CA-INF-2008
C.	State: CA	D. County: Mono
E.	Region: 5	F. Forest: Inyo
G.	District: Mammoth	H. Fire Incident Job Code: P5EE10_
I. [Date Fire Started: August 3, 2008	J. Date Fire Contained: August 8, 2008, 18:00
K.	Suppression Cost: Estimated \$3.2 million	
L.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Sup 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles):5.75 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0 3. Other (identify): About 5 miles of	ppression Funds hand line, 0.75 miles of bulldozer line recontoured with topsoil, duff and litter replaced.
M.	Watershed Number: Calwater #4607, HUC 6	#180901020105 and 180901020104
N.	Total Acres Burned: 347 NFS Acres(347) Other Federal () State () Private ()
Ο.	Vegetation Types: Jeffery pine, mixed conife	<u>er</u>
Ρ.	Dominant Soils: Soils on moraine deposits, de	erived from granitic, basalt and mixed rocks.

Q. Geologic Types: Granitic glacial moriane deposits with some ash deposits

R.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 1.2 miles of Pe	rennial Stream						
S.	Transportation System							
	Trails: 2.1 miles Roads: 0 miles							
	PART III - WATERSHED C	ONDITION						
A.	Burn Severity (acres): <u>215</u> (low) <u>107</u> (moderate) _	7_ (high) _18(unburned)						
No	Note: See map on next page for severity, trails, and topography within the burned area.							
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres):							
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (low) (moderate) (high)						
D.	Erosion Potential:tons/acre							
E.	Sediment Potential: cubic yards / square mile							
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESI	GN FACTORS						
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years):	3-5 yrs for Brush, 10-20 yrs for Jeffrey Pine						
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):	NA – monitoring only						
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):	25						
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):	6						
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):							
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):	2.85						
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):	6.50						
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):	8.1 (1.25 increse)						

Map showing burn severity, trails, and streams within the Sherwin Fire Boundary

PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

A. Describe Critical Values/Resources and Threats:

Background: The following description is based on field reconnainance on August 8, 10, 13 and 14 by four specialists. The fire burned at mainly low to moderate severity through Jeffrey pine stands, with some small areas of sagebrush/bitterbrush. Initial values at risk include water quality in an unnamed tributary to Laurel Creek, slight trail destabilization, and noxious weed invasion by fire fighting equipment. Also, two heritage sites were at possible risk from erosion or hand/dozer lines.

- B. Emergency Treatment Objectives:
- 1. The BAER team observed that most of the fire area burned at low severity, or was unburned along the unnamed tributary to Laurel Creek. We believe this will be adequate to mitigate any off-site erosion and sedimenation to this creek. There may be some increased ash and sediment into the creek during the first flushing flows, but it should be minimal and not able to be completely eliminated through any treatment.
- 2. The heritage sites were evaluated and found to not be at risk from effects of the fire.
- 3. We are proposing assessment of Noxious weeds with this report. It is unknown whether the fire fighting equipment was cleaned before the fire and some of the equipment came from out of the area, increasing the risk of off-site noxious weeds. The area, particularly the dozer lines near the YMCA camp, needs to be surveyed in the spring of next year. Any plants found would be pulled.
- 4. The

C. Probabilit	y of Com	pleti	ng Treatmen	t Pric	or to Damaging S	Storm	or Event:	
	Land _	_%	Channel	_%	Roads/Trails	_ %	Protection/Safety	_ %

D. Probability of Treatment Success

	Years	Years after Treatment						
	1 3 5							
Land								
Channel								
Roads/Trails								
Protection/Safety								

E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss):

	`	9 / <u>-</u>				
G. Skills Represented	d on Burned-Ar	ea Survey Team:				
[x] Hydrology [] Forestry [] Contracting [] Fisheries	[] Ecology	[] Fire Mgmt.	[] Archaeology	[] [] []		
Team Leader: Todd I	Ellsworth					
Email: Tellsworth@	fs.fed.us	Phone	e: <u>760-873-2457</u>	FAX <u>:</u>		
do. This inform	mergency treati ation helps to c ents, include spe	determine qualifying		ied, and what they are appropriate funding au ection rationale.)		
Channel Treatm	<u>ients</u> :					
Roads and Trail	Treatments:					
Protection/Safet	y Treatments:					
1. Noxious wee	eds					
Objective: Evaluate and el	iminate the pot	ential for noxious w	eed establishment a	nd spread, as a result	of the fire.	

Methods

F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss):

The parking area and handline will be inspected for newly established weed occurrences. Monitoring will including documentation and hand pulling new weed occurrence at the time of inspection, and subsequent entry into the FACTS database.

Surveys will occur once likely in June of 2007. If any plants are found and treated based upon the first year's survey, additional funds for continued survey and treatment may be requested in the future.

I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Part VI – Emergen Line Items	Units		Units	BAER\$	\$ }		\$	Units	erim# \$	\$
Line items	Onits	0031	Office	DALK \$	ΨD	y units	Ψ	Offics	Ψ	Ψ
A. Land Treatments					- 8	3				
A. Lanu Treatments				\$0	\$0	×	\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0 \$0		~	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0	\$(
					\$0 \$0					
				\$0	\$0		\$0 \$ 0		\$0	\$(
nsert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$(
B. Channel Treatmen	ts				<u> </u>	S				
				\$0	\$0	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
nsert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0 }	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0	\$0 }	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
C. Road and Trails					3	8	,			
				\$0	\$0}	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
nsert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0	\$08	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
D. Protection/Safety					ß	8				·
				\$0	\$0	8	\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Structures				\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$(
E. BAER Evaluation				ΨΟ	ΨΟK	2	ΨΟ		ΨΟ	Ψ
Assessment				\$800	<u> </u>	2	\$0		\$0	\$(
Noxious weeds	day	350	2	\$700	<u> </u>	3	ΨΟ		ΨΟ	Ψ
Insert new items above this line!	uay	330		Ψ7 00 	\$0	3 1	\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Evaluation					\$0	3	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$(
					ΨΟ 3.	9	φU		φU	φ
F. Monitoring				¢o.	φ ₀ Σ	9	¢ο		¢ο	Φ.
				\$0	\$0 \$		\$0		\$0	\$(
nsert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0 \$	9	\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Monitoring				\$0	\$0 }	9	\$0		\$0	\$(
O T. (-1-				04 500	- 8	9	**		**	<u> </u>
G. Totals				\$1,500	\$0 \$	9	\$0		\$0	\$(
Previously approved				A	<u> </u>	9				
Total for this request				\$1,500	Ş	g 1				

1.	/s/ Jim Upchurch Forest Supervisor (signature)	08/27/08 Date
2.	/s/ Katherine Clement (for) Regional Forester (signature)	<u>9/3/08</u> Date