USDA-FOREST SERVICE

P. Geologic Types: Apache Group, Diabase

Date of Report: 7/24/05

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A.	Type of Report								
	[] 1. Funding request for estimated WFSL[] 2. Accomplishment Report[x] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	I-SULT funds							
В.	. Type of Action								
	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of fund	s needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)							
	 [] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis [] Status of accomplishments to date 								
	[x] 3. Final Report (Following completion of work)								
	PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION								
A.	Fire Name: Greenback	B. Fire Number: AZ-TNF-139							
C.	State: AZ	D. County:Gila							
E.	Region: 3	F. Forest: Tonto							
G.	District:Tonto Basin								
Н.	H. Date Fire Started: 07/17/2005 I. Date Fire Contained: 07/25/2005								
J. :	Suppression Cost <u>: 0</u>								
K.	 K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Funds 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 3. Other (identify): 								
L.	Watershed Number: 1506010308								
M.	Total Acres Burned: 850 NFS Acres(850) Other Federal () State	() Private ()							
N.	Vegetation Types: Juniper, Grassland, Chapa	<u>arral</u>							
Ο.	Dominant Soils:Typic Haplustalfs, Aridic Hap	<u>lustalfs</u>							

Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class:							
R.	Transportation System							
	Trails:_ miles Roads:0.3 miles							
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION							
Α.	Burn Severity (acres): (low) (moderate) (high)							
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres):							
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (low) (moderate) (high)							
D.	Erosion Potential:tons/acre							
E.	Sediment Potential: cubic yards / square mile							
PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS								
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years):							
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):							
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):							
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):							
Ε.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):							
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):							
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):							
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):							
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS							
A.	A. Describe Watershed Emergency: No known emergency conditions exist that can be effectively treated. Greenback fire burned approximately 850 acres. 50% was within the Salome wilderness area. Entire burned area drains into Salome Creek. Burn severity was primarily low with some moderate on north-facing slopes. Native fish, primarily Roundtail Chub, exist in Salome Creek. No known National Register eligible cultural resource sites within the burned area. There are private lands below the A Cross road crossing below Salome Creek. Flood hazards at the private lands are not expected to							

Entire burned area drains into Salome Creek. Burn severity was primarily low with some moderate on north-facing slopes. Native fish, primarily Roundtail Chub, exist in Salome Creek. No known National Register eligible cultural resource sites within the burned area. There are private lands below the A Cross road crossing below Salome Creek. Flood hazards at the private lands are not expected to increase as a result of the fire. Increased threat to the A Cross road, which crosses Salome Creek well below the burned area, is not expected as a result of the fire. Approximately 0.3 mile of FR 2733 lies within the burned area. This is a primitive, unmaintained 4 wheel drive road that lies primarily on flat or gently sloping ground. Recreational use occurs at the Jug on Salome Creek. Flash flood hazards already exist at this site and are not expected to increase significantly as a result of the fire.

B. Emerge	ncy Treatmer	nt Objectives:				
No treat	ments are rec	commended.				
C. Probabili	ity of Comple	ting Treatmer	nt Prior to Firs	t Major Damage-Producin	ıg Storn	n:
				% Other %	9 - 1	
	Lana 70	Chamilei	_ 70	/0		
D. Probabili	ity of Treatme	ent Success				
	Yea	ars after Treat	ment			
Land	1	3	5			
Land						
Channel						
				_		
Roads				-		
Other						
				J		
E. Cost of	No-Action (In	cluding Loss)	:			
	•	rnative (Includ	_			
		,	,-			
			a Survey Tea			
[x] H _i [] Foi		[x] Soils] Wildlife	[] Geology [x] Fire Mgm		[]	
		[] Ecology		[x] Archaeology e Arch [] GIS	[]	
	er <u>: Grant Loo</u>		[] =aooap			
			_			
Email: gilo	<u>omis@fs.fed.</u>	<u>us_</u>	F	Phone: <u>602-225-5253</u>		FAX:602-225-5295

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended t	0
do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For	or
seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)	

Land Treatments:

Channel Treatments:

Roads and Trail Treatments:

Structures:

I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

			NFS La	nds		***		Other L	.ands		All
		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other		# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$		units	\$	Units	\$	\$
A. Land Treatments											
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		***		\$0			
				\$0		***		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		***		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
B. Channel Treatmen	its										
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		***		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		88		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails											
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		88		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		88		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
D. Structures											
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		88		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation				•		***					·
				\$0		88		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
											*
G. Totals				\$0		88		\$0		\$0	\$0
								, -			•

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	/s/ Tom Klabunde	8/1/05
	for Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
2		
2.	Regional Forester (signature)	Date