Detailed instructions for use of this form are in the Burned-Area Emergency Rehabilitation Handbook (FSH 2509.13), Section 41.
1. Fire name 2. Request Initial Interim Final 3. Date of report
Process of report Office Other T. P. 200
Ruddell #2 Accomplishment report FFF Other January 7, 1980 4. State 5. County 6. Congressional 7. Region 8. Forest 9. Ranger District
Colifornia Con Remarking District of
10. Supervisor 11 Date fire started 12 Date control 12 Feet
California San Bernardino District 35 5 San Bernardino Cajon 10. Supervisor 11. Date fire started 12. Date controlled 13. Estimated suppression fire no. 117 July 26, 1979 July 30, 1979 cost \$700,000
14. Fire suppression damages repaired with FFF 102 funds
8 mi. firelines waterbarred acres firelines seeded
15. Fire intensity 10 % low 80 % medium 10 % high
NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY 16. Watershed no. 17. NFS acres burned 18. Water repellant soil
34 (35) 1809 10 % of NFS area burned 19. Vegetation types
Chamise - 30%: Ceanothus/interior live oak - 60%; Big Cone Douglas-fir - 10% 20. Geologic types
Recent alluvium: Pleistocene non-marine sediments: Pelona schiat
21. Soil erosion hazard rating 22. Erosion potential 23. Flood posk potential
21. Soil erosion hazard rating 22. Erosion potential 23. Flood peak potential 25% low 13% med.62% high 60,820 cu. yds./sq. mi. 80 cu. ft./sec./sq. mi.
44. Miles of stream channels by Regional order or classes
Order 1 - 4 miles; Order 2-2 miles
25. Miles of Forest Service roads and trails by maintenance levels mi. level I rds. mi. level II rds. 3 mi. levels III, IV, V rds.1.6 mi. trails
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CLIMATIC DATA 26. Annual precipitation 27. Design storm rainfall during 6 hour period
TO THE TENED TO TH
1
2 inches 0.29 inches 2 yr. frequency 0.54 inches 10 yr. frequency
SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS
30. Skills represented on burned area survey team (check)
Wildlife Fire Management
Engineering Contracting v Local Management Research Other
31. Describe emergency - The existing drainage structures on forest road 2N53 are
inadequate to handle the increased runoff. There is an increased risk of losing
the road Ill and initiating accelerated erosion in the channels and demoging
downstream improvements
32. Emergency rehabilitation objective - Prevent the loss of road fills and sub-
sequent accelerated erosion and possible loss of downstream improvements.
33. Personnel needs for rehabilitation project on NFS lands
0.2 man-years reassigned for \$3000 man-years new hires for \$
34. Probability of completing treatment prior to first major damage-producing storm
Land % Channel % Roads 90 % Other %
35. Net environmental quality benefit index 36. Net social wellbeing benefit index
Significant Not Significant Significant
37. Benefit/cost ratio Net benefits 38. Cost effectiveness index (check one) 1.76 \$19,500 II III MIII TIV
39. Forest Supervisor approval & date Regional Forester approval & date Date funding
Lood Lync 1/7/80 approved in wo
T 2500-8 (7/78)

Y .			•
USDA - Forest Service Fire Name		Date of Report	
ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE DEVELOPMENTS EROSION, OR SEDIMENT BECAUSE A WAY value of resources damaged or des	TERSHED IS IMPAIRED BY	WILDFIRE. (Do	not include
70.00		No. of units	Estimated value (dollars)
40. Community and urban developmen	nt ·	people	
41. Municipal and domestic water s		people served	
42. Transportation systems		miles 4 miles road	130,000
43. Water distribution systems (i	rrigation)	miles	
44. Agricultural development (cro	ps, facilities)	acres	
45. Industrial development (dams,	power, manufacturing)	number	
46. Power and communication lines		miles	
47. Recreation development		PAOT	
		miles	

NARRATIVE (Optional. May be left out or expanded on additional sheets as needed.)

TOTAL HAZARD POTENTIAL (Indicates values threatened by design storm. Does not enter into the R/C.)

48. Fish habitat

49. Other (specify)

USDA-Forest Service

Fire Name Ruddell #2

BURNED AREA REPORT

Date of Report January 7, 1980

SUMMARY OF EMERGENCY REHABILITATION NEEDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP

	50.	51. Em	Emergency rehabilitation needs	ehabilit eds	ation	Source o	f emerge	ncy reh	abilitati (dollars)	lon funde	s for nee	Source of emergency rehabilitation funds for needed work (dollars)
Land ownership	Acres			Road		52. FFF	F	53.	54.	55.	56.	57.
	burned	Land (acres)	Channel (miles)	and Trail (miles)	Other	760	102	216	FR&T	Other Federal (name)	Non- Federal (name)	Total
FEDERAL NFS	1809			3.1		9650			1410			090,11
Other (name)												
Subtotal Federal	1809			3.1		9650			0141			090,11
NON-FEDERAL State & county												
Indian reservation												
Private	100											
Subtotal Non-Federal	100											
TOTAL	1909			3.1		9650			1410			11,060

T 2500-8 (7/78)

Fire Name Ruddell #2 Date of Report January 7, 1980
ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS (Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.)

is not to s	olve w	atersh	ed probl	lems that	existe	1 prior	to the v	vildille.	,
			N	S Lands		l Ot	ner Land	15	total
		Unit	No. of	FFF 094	Other	No. of	Federal	Non-Fed.	dollars
į,	Units	cost	units	dollars	dollars	units	dollars	dollars	all
EQ TAND	OUTER	COSC	NFS		(Name)		(Name)	(Name)	lands
58. <u>LAND</u>			111.0						
0	Acres								
Seeding	1								
]									
	 								
ł									
}							!		
						i		į	
EQ. CITADOTT C	 							<u> </u>	
59. CHANNELS			}		1		l		1
Opening water	341	1		}	j	•	1	1	l
courses	Miles		<u> </u>		 			1	
Stabilizing			į.	Ì					l .
streambanks	Miles		ļ		 	 			
}			1	!				·	Į.
			<u> </u>			 		 	
		}	l	1		ĺ	1	1	l
	<u> </u>	ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	 	
]			l	ĺ			ļ		Į
				ļ			 		
60. ROADS & TRAILS		1		1	•	1		į.	į
		1	ļ	0500		İ	1		8500
Road Drains				8500	ļ	 			0500
·	1			ł		i	į	l	l
	<u> </u>	ļ <u>.</u>			 	 	 		
		1			1	1	1	ļ	1
		<u> </u>			 	!	 	1	
1		1	İ		1	ļ			1
		ļ.				 	ļ	 	
	1		l]		ł	
	<u> </u>					 	 	 	
61. MAJOR		1	1	1				1	
STRUCTURES			l l						
Preplanned	1	1	l		1	1		1	I
from Forest Plans	Each	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
				8500		1		1	8500
		TOT	CAL	0,00	<u> </u>	1	<u>L</u>	<u> </u>	1

EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM

62.	EXPECTED DAMAGE REDUCTION BENEFIT SUMMARY
	at current Water Resources Council interest rate of percent

		Damage expected					
	77-1	Without t		With trea	Expected		
Economic benefit indices	Units of measure	No. of units	Present value \$	No. of units	Present value \$	<pre>\$ damage reduction</pre>	
WATERSHED IMPACTS SEDIMENTS Downstream water storage							
Sediment removal							
Fish habitat							
Water quality							
FLOOD WATER Land							
Improvements	Miles	3.1	116,500	3.1	136,000	19,500	
Subtotal watershed			116,500		136,000	19,500	
RESOURCE RELATED IMPACTS Range							
Wildlife and recreation							
Timber							
Subtotal resource related							
OTHER IMPACTS							
Subtotal other							
Total dollars			116,500		136,000	19,500	

Fire Name Ruddell #2

EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM

ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA	Weight	Without	treatment	With to	reatment	Differ	rence
ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA	Factor	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted
Erosion and sediment							
Aesthetic land quality							
Water quality							
Site productivity				<u> </u>			
Wildlife habitat					<u> </u>		
Fish habitat						<u> </u>	
Other							
TOTAL							
Average weighted index							
Net environmental quality benefit index							0

64. (Table 5)										
SOCIAL CRITERIA	Weight	Without	treatment	With t	reatment	Differ	ence			
SUCIAL CRITERIA	Factor	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted			
Life, health, safety	0									
Employment	0									
Recreational opportunity	10	_1	10	0	0	1	10			
Economic stability	0									
Income distribution	0									
Preserve special sites	0									
Other	5	1	5	0	0	1	5			
TOTAL	15		15		0		15			
Average weighted index			1.0		0		1.0			
Net social well-being benefit index							1.0			

T 2500-8 (7/78)