Date of Report: 9/8/03

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A. Type of Report	
[] 1. Funding request for estimated WF[] 2. Accomplishment Report[X] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	
B. Type of Action	
[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of for	unds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)
[] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding requ [] Status of accomplishments to c	uest based on more accurate site data or design analysis late
[X]3. Final Report (Following comple	etion of work)
PART II -	BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A. Fire Name: Blackwater	B. Fire Number <u>: P27072</u>
C. State: Wyoming	D. County <u>: Park</u>
E. Region: 02	F. Forest: Shoshone
G. District: Wapiti	
H. Date Fire Started: 8-16-03	I. Date Fire Contained: expected 9-15-03
J. Suppression Cost: \$1,210,000 as of 9-3-03	<u>3</u>
 K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): 2. Fireline seeded (miles): unkn 3. Other (identify): unknown 	<u>unknown</u>
L. Watershed Number: 100800120205	
M. Total Acres Burned: NFS Acres(1,462) Other Federal ()	State () Private ()
	whortle berry; Whitebark Pine/grouse whortle berry; Subalpine fortle berry/whitebark pine phase; Subalpine fir/common juniper, Canada thisle

O. Dominant Soils: Rock Outcrop, Talus, Cryolls, Cryorthents, and Eutrocrepts

Ρ.	Geologic Types: Absaroka Volcanics, Wapiti formation					
Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class:_Perennial = 2.9, Intermittent = 8.3					
R.	Transportation System					
	Trails: 0.0 miles Roads: 0.0 miles					
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION					
A.	Burn Severity (acres): 877 (low) 292 (moderate) 292 (high)					
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres):					
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (low) (moderate) (high)					
D.	Erosion Potential:tons/acre					
E.	Sediment Potential: cubic yards / square mile					
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS					
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 3-5					
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):					
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):					
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):					
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):					
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):					
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):					
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):					
	DART V. CHAMARVOE ANALVOIC					

PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

A. Describe Watershed Emergency:

Reconnaisance conducted on September 3, 2003 indicates the only values at risk are a foot bridge and road bridge that cross Blackwater Creek that are associated with the Blackwater Lodge special use permit. There are no reasonable treatments that can be implemented to mitigate the risk other than notify the permittee to remove the footbridge and monitor the road bridge during and after significant precipitation events. The permitte will be notified by the forest lands staff as soon as possible.

	•	•					
C. Probabili	tv of Comple	tina Treatme	nt Prior to First	t Maior D	amage-Produc	ina Stor	m:
			% Roads		-	9	
		_			<u>—</u>		
D. Probabili	ty of Treatmo	ent Success					
		ars after Trea		7			
Land	1	3	5				
Channel							
Roads							
Other				_			
]			
E. Cost of N	No-Action (In	cluding Loss) <u>:</u>				
F. Cost of S	Selected Alte	rnative (Inclu	ıding Loss) <u>:</u>				
G. Skills Re	epresented c	n Burned-Are	ea Survey Tear	m:			
[] Hyd	drology [] Soils	[] Geology	[]	Range	[]	
[] For	estry [ntracting [] Wildlife] Ecology	[] Fire Mgmt. [] Botany	[]	Engineering Archaeology	[] []	
[] Fish	neries [] Research	[] Landscape	Arch []	GIS		
Team Leade	er <u>: Kent Hou</u>	<u>ston</u>					
Email: <u>kho</u>	ouston@fs.fe	ed.us	Р	hone: 3	07.578.1242		FAX:307.578.1212

H. Treatment Narrative:

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land Treatments:
Channel Treatments:
Roads and Trail Treatments:
Structures:

I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

			NFS La	nds		X		Other L	ands.		All
		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other	X	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	Š	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
A Land Tasatas auto						8					
A. Land Treatments				Φ0		$\infty \times \times$		ф о		r c	Φ.
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0 \$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0 \$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$
				\$0 \$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
B. Channel Treatmen	ts			•		8		40	ī	1 60	
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
C. Road and Trails						8					
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		Š		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0		Ř		\$0		\$0	\$(
D. Structures				·		8					·
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Structures				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
E. BAER Evaluation				Ψο		8		Ψυ		Ψ.	Ψ,
El Brien Evaluation				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0 \$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0 \$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Evaluation				\$0 \$0		Ö		\$0		\$0	\$(
				ΦΟ		Ó		φυ		φυ	Φſ
F. Monitoring				Φ.		X		Φ.		Φ0	Φ.
				\$0 \$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Monitoring				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$(
G. Totals				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$

PART VII - APPROVALS

۱.		
	Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
2.		
	Regional Forester (signature)	Date