USDA-FOREST SERVICE FS-2500-8 (6/06)

Date of Report: June 4, 2008

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

ency stabilization funds						
s needed to complete eligible stabilization measures)						
 [] 2. Interim Report # [] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis [] Status of accomplishments to date 						
work)						
RNED-AREA DESCRIPTION						
B. Fire Number: AZ-CNF-000037						
D. County: Hidalgo						
F. Forest: Coronado						
H. Fire Incident Job Code: P3D67E						
J. Date Fire Contained: 6/4/2008						
 L. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Funds 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): 0 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0 3. Other (identify): 						
M. Watershed Number: 1504000601, 1504000304						
() Private (1600)						
<u>s,</u>						
P. Dominant Soils: lithic ustorthents, typic haplustalfs						

Q. Geologic Types: alluvium, rhyolite

R.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 37miles first order; 9 miles second order	
S.	Transportation System	
	Trails:1.57 miles Roads: 7.45 miles	
	DART III WATEROUER OO	ANDITION
	PART III - WATERSHED CO	NDITION
A.	Burn Severity (acres):~2710_ (low) _~1336_ (moderate)	<u>0</u> (high)
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres): negligible	
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (moderate) (high)
D.	Erosion Potential:n/a tons/acre	
E.	Sediment Potential:n/a cubic yards / square mile	
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIG	N FACTORS
Α.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years):	2 years
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):	n/a_
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):	n/a_
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):	n/a_
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches): n/a	
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):	n/a_
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):	n/a_
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):	n/a_
	PART V - SUMMARY OF A	NAI YSIS
	FAILT V - SCHIMART OF AL	IAL I UIU
Α.	Describe Critical Values/Resources and Threats:	

There is no watershed emergency or threat to life, safey or property.

The Forest Boundary fence was badly damaged, allowing livestock from the private land adjacent to the Forest easy access to the burned area. The Forest plans to keep permitted cattle off the burn for two growing seasons, but recovery of the burned area is likely to be compromised by uncontrolled grazing by private cattle.

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives:

Protect recover growing season	•	from	non-Forest	Service	administered	livestock	grazing	impacts	for	at	least	two

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to Damaging Storm or Event:

Land <u>95</u> % Channel <u>n/a</u> % Roads/Trails <u>n/a</u> % Protection/Safety <u>n/a</u> %

D. Probability of Treatment Success

	Years after Treatment			
	1	3	5	
Land	85%	85%	85%	
Channel	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Roads/Trails	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Protection/Safety	n/a	n/a	n/a	

- E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss): \$38,620
- F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): \$15,138
- G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

[x] Hydrology	[] Soils	[] Geology	[x] Range	[]
[] Forestry	[] Wildlife	[] Fire Mgmt.	[] Engineering	[]
[] Contracting	[] Ecology	[] Botany	[] Archaeology	[]
[] Fisheries	[] Research	[] Landscape Arch	n []GIS	

Team Leader: Robert Lefevre

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land Treatments:

Construct a temporary drift fence that will keep cattle off the burn for at least two growing seasons.

Channel Treatments: n/a

Roads and Trail Treatments: n/a

Protection/Safety Treatments: n/a

I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

The entire area will be monitored as part of the ongoing range allotment monitoring. In addition, approximately 450 acres within the burned area are the Cascabel Watersheds, which are plots established to evaluate the impacts of prescribed burning treatments on hydrologic and ecological characteristics. The watersheds will have monitoring that will be available in published documents.

Part VI – Emergency Stabilization Treatments and Source of Funds Interim # NFS Lands Other Lands All Unit # of Other # of Fed # of Total Non Fed Line Items Units Cost Units BAER\$ units Units \$ \$ \$ \$ A. Land Treatments 0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 acres 0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$08 acres 3000 \$0 ഗ \$9,000 \$0 \$0 \$9,000 cattle exlusion fence miles \$0 \$0**X** \$0 \$0 \$0 sert new items above this line! **\$0** & Subtotal Land Treatments \$9,000 \$0 \$0 \$9,000 **B. Channel Treatments** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0**X** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 X \$0 \$0 sert new items above this line. \$0 \$0 **\$0**₿ **\$**0 \$0 \$0 Subtotal Channel Treat. C. Road and Trails \$0 \$0|8 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$08 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 sert new items above this line! Subtotal Road & Trails \$0 **\$08** \$0 \$0 \$0 D. Protection/Safety \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **X** \$0 \$0 \$0 nsert new items above this line! \$0 \{ \} \$0 \$0 \$0 Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation 400 \$800 \$0 \$0 person-days days \$800 \$0 X \$0 \$0 \$0 nsert new items above this line! ---\$800 X \$0 \$0 \$800 Subtotal Evaluation F. Monitoring \$0 \$0 X \$0 \$0 \$0

\$0

\$0

\$9,000

\$9,000

nsert new items above this line. Subtotal Monitoring

Previously approved Total for this request

G. Totals

PART VII - APPROVALS

\$08

\$08

\$800 8

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$9,800

1.	<u>/s/ Jeanine A. Derby</u> Forest Supervisor (signature)	<u>6/20/2008</u> Date
2.	_/s/ Gilbert Zepeda (for)	_7/2/2008
	Regional Forester (signature)	Date