USI	A Forest Service		BURNI	ED AREA	REPORT		Page 1
Spe	cific instruction	s for	use of this	form a	are attached.	Overall :	instructions are in
FSM	1 2523 and the Emer	rgency	Wildfire R	ehabil:	itation Handbo	ok (FSH 2	509.13).
1.	Fire name Cotter Bar II				☐Interim rt ☐FFF		3. Date of report 8/22/77
4.	State 5. County						9. Ranger District
	Idaho Idaho		District	1	1 Nezt	" 17	Clearwater
	fire no.0098	8/17	7/77		8/21/77		stimated suppression ost \$1650,000
14.	Fire suppression				FFF 102 funds acres fireline	hahaas s	
15	Fuel type fire in	ntengi	ty	120 6	icies filetine	3 Becaea	
Sec.	1 light	m. m	oderate ·	40%	extreme		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	\$ 0		30			
	\				1 PROBLEM INVE		
16.	Watershed no.			rned	18. Water		
10	17060305-22 Vegetation types		4,350	······································	0 %	or NFS are	a burned
17.	vegetation types	Por	derosa Pin	e, Doug	glas Fir, Sava	nnah	
20.	Geologic types						
			nite/Basak			·	
21.	Soil erosion haze						m peak potential
24	Severe Miles of stream of	.b	\ 352	cu.	yds./sq. m1.	1 0.1 0	cu. ft./sec./sq. m±.
44.	1st Order 13.9	nanne. 2nd	2.3 3rd	0.6	er or crasses		
25.	Miles of Forest S		e roads by	nainter		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	mi. level I	0.5	mi. leve	l II	mi	. levels	III, IV, V
		•	CT	TMARTO	T) A TT A	V	;
26.	Annual precipitat	don		IMATIC	rainfall duri	10 6 1	our period
1	• •		10.				
28.	25-40 inch Annual runoff		29. Maximu	n 30 m1	nute intensity	storm	
L.	5-10 inch	es	0.4inch	es 2 yr	. frequency	· o inche	es 10 yr. frequency
•					AND ANALYSIS		
30.	Skills represente						Air
	Hydrology So					Wildlife	
	Engineering		Contractin		Local Manageme		Research
31.	Describe emergence	y	•				•
	Down slope so:	il mov	ement on st	een ri	Ver canvon wa	11e	
			î ,	.ccp	ver canyon wa	113	
32.	Emergency rehabil	itatio	n objective	<u> </u>			
1	Stabilize surfa	300 80	il on steer	areni	tic slopes ab	oue South	Fork
ł	of Clearwater H		ii on steep	granı	tic slopes and	ove bouth	FOLK
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-1 /3 /		- 4 NEC 11		:
33.	Personnel needs f man-years reass				ot on NFS land 023 man-years		e for \$ 2 400°
34.	Probability of co						
L		hannel		Roads	% Oth	_	Language of other
35.	Net environmental	quali	ty benefit	index			ng benefit index
1 2=	Significant		t Significa	at			Not Significant
37.	Benefit/cost rati	o . 24			i		index (check one)
39.	Forest Supervisor		val & date	Region	I II	XII provel &	III TIV
	-11001 puberareor	appro	ANT OF CHAPE	WERTOU	ar rorester ab	broagt a	approved in WO

Fire Name

FEDERAL NFS.

Indian

ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE DEVELOPMENTS SUBJECT TO HAZARDS FROM FLOODS, FLOATING DEBRIS, FROSTON OR SEDIMENT BECAUSE A WATERSHED IS IMPAIRED BY WILDFIRE. (Do not include

value of resources damaged or destroyed by the fire as r	eported	on For	m 5100-29.)
Value of resources demands	No. of	units	Estimated value (dollars)
	people		
40. Community and urban development	- an 1 a	aarred	
41. Municipal water supply	peopre	BELVEG	
•	miles	9	\$900,000
42. Transportation systems	miles		
43. Water distribution systems (irrigation)			
44. Agricultural development (crops, facilities)	acres		
	number		
45. Industrial development (dams, power, manufacturing)	m1100		@ 1 X
46. Power and communication lines	people people served miles 9 \$900,000 miles acres number miles 9 \$ 6,500		
40. Fowel and communication limits	PAOT		
47. Recreation development	m1100	· · ·	
48. Fish habitat	miles	9	\$ 6,500
49. Other (specify)			
TOTAL HAZARD POTENTIAL	•		

SUMMARY OF EMERGENCY REHABILITATION NEEDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP 51. Emergency rehab needs Source of emergency rehabilitation funds 50. for needed work (dollars) 57 56 . 54 55 52 53 Acres Land Channel Road Land Other Non-Tota1 miles Other FFF 216 FR&T miles burned acres ownership Fed. Fed. 7 1 (Name) (Name)

49086 4190 3240 Other (name) Subtotal 49086 4190 3240 NON-FEDERAL State and county Private 2424 160 160

Subtotal 51510 4350 3400

TOTAL 51510 3400 4350

> (5/76)2500-8

Date of Report

ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS Fire Name (Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and

is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.)									
is not to solv	e war	er suc	MI	'S Lands		Other Lands			Total
				FFF 094	Other	No. of	Federal	Non-Fed.	dollars
(P		Unit	NO. OI	dollars			dollars	dollars	all
	Units	cost		dollars	(Name)	other	(Name)	(Name)	lands
58. LAND	L		NFS		(Name)	Oction	1478		
	F.	0 2/			1	160	FFF094		1
Seeding	Acres	9.24	3240	\$29. <mark>,938</mark>		100	946		
		- 01	2010		1	i	FFF094	ł	
Fertilizing	3400	15.91	3240				FFF U94		
		1	3240	19,148	3	l	Ì		1
	1	}	3240	13,140	`	<u> </u>			
	-	 	l			İ			1
	1	1		٠.	1			<u> </u>	
	 	 	 				1		1
	l	1			ŀ		1		
	L	 	<u> </u>	ļ	 	 			1
59. CHANNELS		1		ļ	1	į	1	1	1 1
	1	1	1	j			}		1
Opening water courses	Miles				 	 			
Stabilizing			1	1			ł		1
streambanks	Miles	3	<u> </u>	1	ļ	 		 	
			j			ł		l	
•	}	1					<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>
				1 .	i		}	1	1
	1		l			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	
	1			1	1	1		`	1
÷	Ì					1			-
60. ROADS	1		ŀ			1 .	1		1 1
oo. Rords			1		1				
Ditch cleaning	Mile	s	1		l			 	
Ditch Cleaning	1	1					ļ	ł	
		1				1			
	-		 -	1				\ *	
						1	·		 _
				 	+			I	
		1							
			-		+	-	1		
				1	1	1			
					 	1	1		1
61. MAJOR STRUCTURES	1				1		1.	1	
					1	1	1	1	l .
Preplanned structure	s			1		1	1	ı	1
from Unit Plans	Each	1							-
				6/0 000			2424	,	\$51,500
		TC	TAL	\$49,086		i	2424	_i	<u> </u>

EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM

62.	ECONOMIC BENEFITS	SUMMARY	WITH	PERCENT	INTEREST	RATE

	Units	Without	treatment	With t	reatment	Difference
ECONOMIC CRITERIA	of	No. of	Present	No. of	Present	in present
·	measure	units	value \$	units	value \$	value \$
SEDIMENTATION IMPACTS						
Downstream storage						
Sediment removal	·					
Steelhead	Angler	6	156	50	\$1,250	\$ 1,095
Fish habitat	Days	62	651 `	500 .	5,250	4,599
Rainbow Water quality	Acre Feet	3422	0	3,422	6,844	6,844
FLOOD WATER DAMAGE						
Land		1		·		
Property						
OTHER						
TOTAL DOLLARS			807		\$13,334	\$12,537

· ·							
63.	ENVIRO	NMENTAL (QUALITY BE	NEFIT IN	DEX		-
	Weight	Without	Without treatment With trea			tment Difference	
ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA	Factor	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted
Erosion and sediment	10	3	,30	1	10	2	20
Aesthetic land quality	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
Water quality	10	3	30	2	20	1	10
Ecological benefits	4	3	12	1	4	2	8
Fish & wildlife habitat	5	3	15	1	5	2	10
Other	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
TOTAL	30		88		40		48
Average weighted index			2.93		1.33		1.60
Net environmental							1.6

64.	SOCIA	L WELLBE	ING BENEFI	T INDEX			
SOCIAL CRITERIA	Weight	Without	treatment	With to	ceatment	Diffe	rence
COOLIN CHILDRIN	Factor	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighter
Life, health, safety	8	3	24	1	8	2	16
Employment	1	1	1	1	1	С	0
Recreational opportunity	6	2	12	1	6	1	6
Economic stability	1	1	1	1	1	C	0
Income distribution	1	1	1	1	1	C I	0
Preserve special sites	1	1	1	1	1	G.	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0		. 0_
TOTAL	18		40		18		22
Average weighted index			2.22		1.0		1.22
Net social wellbeing							1.2
<u> </u>						2500-8	(5/76)