**USDA-FOREST SERVICE** 

A.

P. Geologic Types: rhyolite

Date of Report: 4/6/2006

### **BURNED-AREA REPORT**

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

# **PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST**

A.	Type of Report						
	<ul><li>[] 1. Funding request for estimated [] 2. Accomplishment Report</li><li>[x] 3. No Treatment Recommendation</li></ul>		T funds				
В.	Type of Action						
	[x] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate	of funds nee	eded to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)				
	<ul><li>[] 2. Interim Report</li><li>[] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis</li><li>[] Status of accomplishments to date</li></ul>						
	[] 3. Final Report (Following completion of work)						
	PART II	- BURNED	D-AREA DESCRIPTION				
A.	Fire Name: Burro	В.	Fire Number <u>: AZ-CNF-000024</u>				
C.	State: Arizona	D.	County:Cochise				
E.	Region: 3	F.	Forest: Coronado				
G.	District: Douglas						
Н.	Date Fire Started: March 25, 2006	I. D	ate Fire Controlled: April 1, 2006				
J. :	Suppression Cost: \$840,000						
K.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired v 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0 3. Other (identify):		esion Funds				
L.	Watershed Number:1504000601						
M.	Total Acres Burned: 370 NFS Acres(370) Other Federal ( )	State ( )	Private ( )				
N.	Vegetation Types:woodland, chaparral						
Ο.	Dominant Soils: lithic ustochrept						

Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 0.4 miles class 1					
R.	Transportation System					
	Trails: 0 miles Roads: 0 miles					
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION					
A.	Burn Severity (acres): (low)n/a_ (moderate) (high)					
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres): n/a					
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (low)n/a_ (moderate) (high)					
D.	Erosion Potential:n/a tons/acre					
E.	Sediment Potential:n/a_ cubic yards / square mile					
PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS						
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 3 to 5					
B.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):					
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):					
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):					
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):n/a					
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):					
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):					
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):n/a					
PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS  A. Describe Watershed Emergency: This is an extremely steep and rocky area, over 1.2 miles from the nearest unimproved road, and 9 miles from the Forest Boundary. A visit with the District Ranger indicates no						
wa	watershed emergency exists.					

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives: none

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm: n/a						
O. I TODADIII		J	% Roads	,	oing Otomi	. 11/a
		_	_			
D. Probabilit	ty of Treati	ment Success				
		ears after Trea				
Land	1	3	5			
Channel						
Roads						
Other						
E. Cost of N	No-Action (	(Including Loss	s) <u>:</u> n/a			
F. Cost of S	Selected A	Iternative (Inclu	uding Loss): n/a			
G. Skills Re	epresented	l on Burned-Ar	ea Survey Team:			
[ ] Cor	ntracting	[] Ecology	[] Geology [] Fire Mgmt. [] Botany [] Landscape Ar	[] Engineering [] Archaeology	[] [] []	
Team Leade	er <u>: Rober</u>	t E. Lefevre				
Email: rlefev	<u>/re@fs.fed</u>	.us_	Pho	ne: <u>520-388-8373</u>		FAX <u>:</u>

#### H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

ı	and	Tro	otm	ents:	
ı	_anc	116	aum	enis.	

N/a

### **Channel Treatments:**

N/a

Roads and Trail Treatments: n/a

Structures: n/a

### **H. Monitoring Narrative:**

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Monitoring will be carried out as part of range allotment and forest plan monitoring.

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

			NFS La	nds		X		Other L	ands		All
		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other	X	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	8	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
						8					
A. Land Treatments						8					
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0			
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
B. Channel Treatmen	ts					Š					
				\$0		Š		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails						8					
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0		$\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$		\$0		\$0	\$0
D. Structures						X					
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		XXXX		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation						V W					
				\$0	\$350	X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
						X					
G. Monitoring Cost				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
						8					
H. Totals				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
1						8				1	

# **PART VII - APPROVALS**

1.	_/s/ Randall A. Smith	_April 7, 2006_			
	Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date			
2.					
	Regional Forester (signature)	Date			