BURNED AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-A)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST .

1.	Type of Report						
	[X] A. Funding (Request for estimated FFF funds)						
	[X] B. Accomplishment Report						
2.	Type of Action						
	[] A. Initial (estimated funding is first requested)						
	[] B. Interim						
	[] Updating the initial funding request.[] Supplying information for accomplishments to date						
	on emergency work underway.						
	[X] C. Final						
	[] Best estimate for funds needed to complete eligible						
	rehabilitation measure.						
	[X] Following completion of funded work.						
	PART II - FIRE LOCATION						
1.	Fire Name (from Form FS-5100-29): Mendenhall						
2.	Forest Supervisor's Fire No. (from Form FS-5100-29): MNF 0332						
3.	State: California						
4.	County: Mendocino, Lake						
5.	Region: 5						
6.	Forest: Mendocino						
7.	Ranger District: Upper Lake						
8.	Date Fire Started: August 31 1987						
9.	Date Fire Controlled: October 31,1987						
10.	Estimated Suppression Costs: \$						
11.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with FFF 102 Funds:						
	miles (firelines waterbarred)						
	acres (firelines seeded)						
	Other (identify)						
12.	Fire Intensity: <u>30</u> % (low) <u>30</u> % (medium) <u>40</u> % ((high)					

Forest Service Mendocino N.F.

Reply To: 2520/6520

Date: May 5, 1988

Subject: Emergency Burned Area Rehabilitation -

Final Report (2500-8)

To: Regional Forester

Enclosed are the final Burned Area Reports (FS-2500-8) for the Mendenhall, Fouts, and Forks fires which occurred on the Mendocino National Forest during the Fall,

1987.

DANIEL K. CHISHOLM Forest Supervisor

Enclosures

RECEIVED

SEP 2 1988

Range and Watershed Management

PART III - NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY

1.	Watershed No.: 18010103-01						
2.	NFS Acres Burned: 29,840						
3.	Water Repellant Soil: 50 % of NFS acres burned						
4.	Vegetation Types: 10% True Fir, 55% Mixed Conifer, 35% Brush						
5.	Geologic Types: Franciscan Formation, Schist- Sandstone						
6.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating: 60% Moderate, 40% High						
	% (low)60 % (medium)40 % (high)						
7.	Erosion Potential: 3200 cu. yds/sq. miles						
8.	Miles of Stream Channels by Regional Order or Classes: Class II = 25,						
	111 = 80						
9.	Miles of Forest Service Trails:						
10.	Miles of Forest Service Roads by Maintenance Levels:						
	•						
	miles (Level I) 25 miles (Level II)						
	55 miles (Levels III, IV, V)						
	PART IV - CALCULATED RISK AND CLIMATIC EVALUATION						
1.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period: 10 years.						
2.	Chance of Success Desired by Management: 80 percent.						
3.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Period: 5 years.						
4.	Related Design Storm Duration: 24 hours.						
5.	Related Design Storm Magnitude: 5 inches.						
6.	Related Design Flow cfsm.60 in precip						
7.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: 50 percent.						
8.	Adjusted Related Design Flow: cfsm.						
	PART V - SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS						
1.	Skills Represented on Burned Area Survey Team ("x" appropriate boxes):						
	[X] Hydrology [X] Soils [X] Geology [X] Range						
	[X] Timber [X] Wildlife [X] Fire Mgmt. [X] Engineering						
	[] Contracting [X] Local Mgmt. [] Research [X] Other (identify)						
	B.L.M., CAL FISH AND GAME, S.C.S., DEPT OF WATER RESOURCES						
2.	Describe Emergency:POTENTIAL DAMAGE TO FISHERIES IN EEL RIVER, DOMESTIC						
	WATER SUPPLY LAKE PILLSBURY, ROAD AND WATERSHED DAMAGE						
3.	Emergency Rehabilitation Objective: REDUCE EROSIONAL IMPACTS						
4.	Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing						
	Storm:						
	Land 30 % Channel 5 % Roads 100 % Other %						

į

5.	Net Environmental Quality Benefit index.	1.7	
	[X] Significant []	Not	Significant
6.	Net Social Well Being Benefit Index:1.0		
	[X] Significant []	Not	Significant
7.	Benefit/Cost Ratio:		
8.	Net Benefits: \$		
9.	Cost Effectiveness Index: [] I. []	II.	[] III. [] IV.

PART VI - ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS

NOTE: Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.

					NFS Lands		Other Lands			All Lands
	Line Items	Units	Unit	No. of	FFF 092	Other \$	No. of	Federal\$	Non-Federal	Total
		1	Cost	Units	\$		Units		\$	\$
		1		[1	FFF 102	<u> </u>	BLM	PVT	1
					1	ident.		ident.	identify	1
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	L
				<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>L</u> .
. LAI	ND			<u></u>		<u> </u>	L		<u> </u>	
a	. Seeding	Acres	35	8674	303,590		680	<u></u>	23,800	327,390
b	. Straw Mulch	Tons	638	30	19,140				<u> </u>	19,140
С	. Erosion Blanket	Acres	5610	4.6	25,806					25,806
d.				L	1					
е.	•			<u> </u>					L	<u> </u>
				<u> </u>		!			<u> </u>	
CHA	ANNELS				<u></u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>
a.	. Install check								<u>L</u>	[
	dams	Dams	3709	3	11,127					11,127
ъ.	. Stabilizing				<u> </u>					
	streambanks	Miles	1299	28	36,372					36,372
c.	. Place log dams	Miles	387	28	10,836		1		<u> </u>	10,386
đ.	•					-	•			
е.	•				<u></u>					<u> </u>
					<u> </u>		1			<u> </u>
ROA	ADS AND TRAILS						1			L
a.	. Roads	Miles	4467	80		373,345	<u> </u>			373.345
b.	. ORV Barriers	1 :			5,000					5,000
с.	•				1				L	
MAS	JOR STRUCTURES									
a.	. Preplanned -									
	from Forest				l		l			
	Plans									
тот	ΓAL	1			\$411871	\$373345		\$	\$ 23,800	\$809,016

Date

Ε.	TOTAL				\$411871	\$373345		\$
		, j	Ω	PART	VII -	APPROVAI	LS	
	Manuel X. C	Ural	Lesk			ری	15/8	8
For	est Supervisor (Signatur	re)				<i>-</i>	Date	

Regional Forester (Signature)

BURNED AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-A)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

1.	Type of	veborc					
	[X] A.	Funding (Request for estimated FFF funds)					
		Accomplishment Report					
2.	Type of	Action					
	[] A. [] B.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	[x] c.	[] Updating the initial funding request.[] Supplying information for accomplishments to date on emergency work underway.					
		[] Best estimate for funds needed to complete eligible					
		rehabilitation measure.					
		[X] Following completion of funded work.					
		PART II - FIRE LOCATION					
1.	Fire Na	me (from Form FS-5100-29): FOUTS					
2.	Forest	Supervisor's Fire No. (from Form FS-5100-29): MNF 0367					
3.	State:	CALIFORNIA					
4.	County:	GLENN, LAKE, COLUSA					
5.	Region:	5					
6.	Forest:	MENDOCINO					
7.	Ranger	District: STONYFORD					
8.	Date Fi	re Started: SEPT 1 1987					
9.	Date Fi	re Controlled: OCTOBER 31, 1987					
.0.	Estimated Suppression Costs: \$						
1.	Fire Su	ppression Damages Repaired with FFF 102 Funds:					
		_ miles (firelines waterbarred)					
		_ acres (firelines seeded)					
		Other (identify)					
.2.	Fire In	tensity: 20 % (low) 50 % (medium) 30 % (high)					
-		<u> </u>					