BURNED AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-8)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

1.	Type of Report
	[] A. Funding (Request for estimated NFFF-FW22 funds) [X] B. Accomplishment Report
2.	Type of Action
	[] A. Initial (estimated funding is first requested) [] B. Interim
-	 a. [] Updating the initial funding request. b. [] Supplying information for accomplishments to date on emergency work underway. [X] C. Final
	 a. [] Best estimate for funds needed to complete eligible b. rehabilitation measure. [X] Following completion of funded work.
	PART II - FIRE LOCATION
a. b. c. d. e. f. j. k.	State: CA County: Riverside, Orange, San Diego Region: 05 Forest: 02 Cleveland Ranger District: 52 Trabuco Date Fire Started: 10/18/89 Date Fire Controlled: 10/25/89 Estimated Suppression Costs: \$2.5 million
	15 miles (firelines waterbarred) 21 acres (firelines seeded) 3 Other: A. Road Closure B. Signing at Wilderness boundary C. Seeding dozer lines around Rancho Carillo
1.	Fire Intensity:50 % (low)30 % (medium)15 % (high)5% unburned islands
	PART III - NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY

a. Watershed No.: San Mateo Watershed #07030108

b.	Ownership type: (list acres if known)									
c.	(0)State; ()BLM; (925)PVT; (3421)Other <u>Military</u> Water Repellant Soil:50									
d.	Vegetation Types: Coastal sage scrub, Chamise-Ceanothus crassifolius									
u.										
e.	Chaparral Geologic Types: Meta-sedimentary, Granitic: Friant, Exchequre and Cieneba soil series.									
f.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating:									
	% (low) % (medium)100 % (high)									
g. h.	Erosion Potential: cu. yds/sq. miles Miles of Stream Channels by Regional Order or Classes: 22 miles of Class III.									
i.	Miles of Forest Service Trails: 10									
j.	Miles of Forest Service Roads by Maintenance Levels:									
	miles (Level I) miles (Level II) miles (Level II) miles (Levels III, IV, V)									
	PART IV - CALCULATED RISK AND CLIMATIC EVALUATION									
a.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period: 3_ years. 3 years for coastal sage									
	scrub. 5 years for									
	${\tt Chamise-Ceanothus}$									
	Chaparral.									
b.	Chance of Success Desired by Management: 80 percent.									
c.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Period: 25 years.									
d.	Related Design Storm Duration: hours.									
e.	Related Design Storm Magnitude: inches.									
f.	Related Design Flow cfsm.									
g.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: percent.									
h.	Adjusted Related Design Flow: cfsm.									
	PART V - SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS									
a.	Skills Represented on Burned Area Survey Team ("x" appropriate boxes):									
	[X] Hydrology [] Soils [X] Geology [] Range									
	[] Timber [X] Wildlife [] Fire Mgmt. [] Engineering									
	[] Contracting [X] Local Mgmt. [] Research [X] Other (identify):									
	Botanist/Ecology									
	Vegetation Mgmt									
	. Landscape Architect									
	Archaeology									
b.	Describe Emergency: High burn intensities around the Clark trail and the Verdugo trail in									
	the San Mateo Wilderness threatens loss of the trails during winter storms. (All									
	treatments were completed before major winter storms.)									
c.	Emergency Rehabilitation Objective: Reduce the potential for erosion and loss of									
	wilderness trails by improving drainage thus maintaining wilderness resource values.									
d.	Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing Storm:									
	Land0_ % Channel0_ % Roads80 % Other %									
е.	Net Environmental Quality Benefit Index:									

<u>.</u> -

. . . .

[] Not Significant

[X] Significant

g. Benefit/Cost Ratio: 3:1
h. Net Benefits: \$ 21,000.00
i. Cost Effectiveness Index: [X] I. [] III. [] IV.

PART VI - ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS

 ${\tt NOTE:} \quad {\tt Emergency \ rehabilitation \ is \ work \ done \ promptly \ following \ a \ wildfire \ and \ is \ not \ to \ solve \ watershed \ problems \ that \ existed \ prior \ to \ the \ wildfire.}$

			NFS Lands		Other Lands			All Lands	
Line Items	Units	Unit	No. of	NFFF-	Other \$	No. of	Federal\$	Non-Federal	Total
		Cost	Units	FW22	NFFF-	Units	l	\$	\$
				\$	PF12				
				1	ident.		ident.	identify	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
. 1) Land									
a. Seeding	Acres		1		\$ 750				\$ 750
b. Waterbars	miles	500	5		\$2,500				\$2,500
c.									
d.									
е.									
. 2) Channels						1			
a. Opening water									
courses	Miles								
b. Stabilizing									
streambanks	Miles								
c.									
d.									
е.									
. ROADS AND TRAILS									
a.Road Closure	Miles			**	\$1,500				\$ 1,500
b.Fencing & signs	Miles	5250	.10		\$ 525				\$ 525
c. Trails	Miles		5	\$ 9,379					\$ 9,379
. MAJOR STRUCTURES				I					
a. Preplanned -									
from Forest									
Plans									
. TOTAL				\$ 9,379	\$3,775		\$	 \$	\$13,154

^{**}funded by Camp Pendelton.

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	/s/Michael J. Rogers	7/30/90
	Forest Supervisor (Signature)	Date
2. G	Regional Forester (Signature)	8-3-90 Date