Date of Report: August 6, 2000

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A.	Type of Report									
	[] 1. Funding request for estimated[] 2. Accomplishment Report[X] 3. No Treatment Recommenda									
В.	Type of Action									
	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of	of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures								
	 [] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis [] Status of accomplishments to date 									
	[X] 3. Final Report (Following completion of work)									
	PART II	- BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION								
Α.	Fire Name: Twin_	B. Fire Number: ID-SCF-1000								
	State: ID	D. County <u>: Lemhi</u>								
E.	Region: 04	F. Forest: Salmon-Challis								
G.	District: North Fork									
Н.	Date Fire Started: 7-23-2000	I. Date Fire Controlled: <u>Unknown</u>								
J.	Suppression Cost: \$5,415,000									
K.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired v 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0 3. Other (identify):									
L.	Watershed Number: 170602030507									
M.	Total Acres Burned: 458 NFS Acres(458) Other Federal ()	State () Private ()								
N.	Vegetation Types: Grouse whortleberry	y, beargrass, lodgepole pine, Douglas-fir, subalpine fir								
Ο.	Dominant Soils: Sandy loams									

P. Geologic Types: Granites and minor amounts of quartzites

Q. Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 1.25 miles of perennial flowR. Transportation System

PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION

- A. Burn Severity (acres): <u>273</u> (low and unburned/rock outcrop) <u>115</u> (moderate) <u>70</u> (high)
- B. Water-Repellent Soil (acres): 90

Trails: 0 miles

C. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres):
308 (low) 50 (moderate) 100 (high)

Roads: 0 miles

- D. Erosion Potential: 2 tons/acre
- E. Sediment Potential: 4 cubic yards / square mile

PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS

A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years):	2
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):	90
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):	<u>10</u>
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):	24
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):	<u>2</u>
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):	<u>46</u>
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):	1
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):	47

PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

A. Describe Watershed Emergency:

The burn is in the headwaters of a small tributary to the West Fork North Fork Salmon River. Field assessment resulted in the identification of areas of moderate to high severity burn. However, no significant effects on long-term soil productivity are expected. Areas that exhibit water repellency were also identified. However, it is believed this condition is due more to surface tension created by extremely dry soils and it will disappear during fall precipitation input and next springs snowmelt. As a result, soil erosion and sediment delivery are expected to be minor. Due to the small acreage that was burned and minor effects on infiltration and percolation, no significant changes in stormflow are expected either.

In summary, no watershed emergency exists.

B. Emergen	cy Trea	tment	Objectives:									
None												
C. Probability	y of Con	npletir	ng Treatmer	nt Prio	or to First	Major	Damage	-Produ	cing S	torm:		
	Land _	_ %	Channel	_ %	Roads _	%	Other _	_ %				
D. Probability	y of Trea	atmen	t Success									
		Year	s after Treat	ment								
	1		3		5							
Land												
Channel												
Roads												
Other												
[] Fore	presente ydrology estry tracting eries er: Greg Shosl 808 N	ed on ([]\ []] Bever	Burned-Are X] Soils Wildlife Ecology Research	a Sur [] Fir [] Bo [] La ogist	vey Tear X] Geolo e Mgmt. otany	ogy	[] Engine [] Archae	Range eering eology	[]	[]		
Email: gbeve	•			hono	307/578	1262		FAX <u>: 30</u>	7/570	1212		
H. Treatme (Descrido. The seeding	nt Narra ibe the e nis inforn	ative: emerg natior ents, nts:	ency treatm helps to de include spe	nents, eterm	where a	nd hov	v they wi eatments	ll be ap	plied, appro	and w	fundir	
Roads	and Tra	il Trea	atments:									

None
Structures:
None

H. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

None

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

			NFS Lands			X	Othe		ands		All
		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other	Š	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	8	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
						X					
A. Land Treatments						Š.					
				\$0		\$		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		Š		\$0			
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
B. Channel Treatmen	ts					X					
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		XX		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		$\times \times \times$		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails						8				*	
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
D. Structures						8				•	
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		Š		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		Š		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$0		Ŷ		\$0		\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation				7.0		Š				7 -	+ -
				\$0	\$1,000	X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0	. ,	X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				70		X		+ •		7-	7.0
G. Monitoring Cost				\$0		Š		\$0		\$0	\$0
						8					·
H. Totals				\$0	\$1,000	\$		\$0		\$0	\$0
						Š		-			·

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	/s/ George Matejko	August 7, 2000_
	Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
2.		_August 7, 2000
	Regional Forester (signature)	Date