P. Geologic Types:

Date of Report:

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A.	. Type of Report							
	[] 1. Funding request for estimate [X] 2. Accomplishment Report [] 3. No Treatment Recommendate							
В.	. Type of Action							
	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)							
	[] 2. Interim Report[] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis[X] Status of accomplishments to date							
	[] 3. Final Report (Following completion of work)							
	PAR1	II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION						
A.	. Fire Name: Dark Lake Fire – Olalli	e Complex_B. Fire Number:_ORMHF-151						
C.	. State <u>:_</u> OR_	D. County: Marion						
E.	. Region <u>:</u> 06 _	F. Forest:_Mt Hood						
G.	. District: Clackamas River							
Н.	. Date Fire Started:_ 8/12/01 _	I. Date Fire Controlled:						
J. :	Suppression Cost:							
K.	 K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Funds Fireline waterbarred (miles): Fireline seeded (miles): Other (identify): 							
L.	Watershed Number: 1707030618							
M.	. Total Acres Burned: 2622_ NFS Acres(384) Other Federal () State () Private () Tribal/CTWS (2238)						
N.	. Vegetation Types <u>:</u>							
Ο.	. Dominant Soils <u>:</u>							

Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class:					
R.	Transportation System					
	Trails: miles Roads: miles					
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION					
Α.	Burn Severity (acres): (low) (moderate) (high)					
	Water-Repellent Soil (acres):					
	. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (low) (moderate) (high)					
D.	Erosion Potential:tons/acre					
E.	Sediment Potential: cubic yards / square mile					
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS					
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years):					
	Design Chance of Success, (percent):					
	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):					
	Design Storm Duration, (hours):					
E.	. Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):					
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):					
G.	. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):					
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):					
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS					
Δ	Describe Watershed Emergency:					
Λ.	Describe Watershed Emergency.					
В.	Emergency Treatment Objectives:					

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm:							
Land _	_ % Channel % Roads % Other %						
D. Probability of Trea	atment Success						
	Years after Treatment						
1	3 5						
Land							
Channel							
Roads							
Other							
E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss): F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team: [] Hydrology [] Soils [] Geology [] Range [] Forestry [] Wildlife [] Fire Mgmt. [] Engineering [] Contracting [] Ecology [] Botany [] Archaeology [] Fisheries [] Research [] Landscape Arch [] GIS Team Leader:							
Email: Phone:_ FAX:							
H. Treatment Narrative: (Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)							
Land Treatmer	<u>its</u> :						
Channel Treatr	nents:						

Roads and Trail Treatments:

Structures:

H. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

#14 Straw Wattles linear ft 6.82	Part VI –	Lillei g					s and			ilius by	
Line Items						IX.	., .				
#14 Straw Wattles linear ft 8.83 3863 \$34,110 \$											
#14 Straw Wattles linear ft 8.83 3863 \$34,110 \$0 \$0 \$34,110 #14 Straw Wattles linear ft 6.82 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$42,5 \$9,719 \$9,719 \$9,719 \$14,25 \$9,219 \$14,25 \$9,2	Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$ Ŕ	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
#14 Straw Wattles linear ft 8.83 3863 \$34,110 \$0 \$0 \$34,110 #14 Straw Wattles linear ft 6.82 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$42,5 \$9,719 \$9,719 \$9,719 \$14,25 \$9,219 \$14,25 \$9,2						8					
#14 Straw Wattles linear ft 6.82 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1425 \$9,719 \$9,719 \$19,719						8					
#19 Critical Seeding acres 466 18 \$8,388 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3				3863		Ø					\$34,110
Subtotal Land Treatments		linear ft	6.82						1425		\$9,719
Subtotal Land Treatments	#19 Critical Seeding	acres	466	18							\$8,388
Subtotal Channel Treat.			0	0		X					\$0
So	Subtotal Land Treatments				\$42,498	X		\$0		\$9,719	\$52,217
Subtotal Channel Treat.	B. Channel Treatmer	nts				X					
Subtotal Channel Treat.					\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.					\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.					\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat. \$0					\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails \$ #8 Trail Rehab \$0	Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	C. Road and Trails					Ş					
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	#8 Trail Rehab				\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$0
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$					\$0	X				\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails \$0					\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails						X					\$0
D. Structures	Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$0
#10 Hazard trees trees 30.35 20 \$607 \$ \$0 \$0 \$607 \$ \$0 \$607 \$ \$0 \$0 \$607 \$ \$0 \$0 \$607 \$ \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	D. Structures				•					!!	
\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0		trees	30.35	20	\$607			\$0		\$0	\$607
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$						8					\$0
G. Monitoring Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					\$0					\$0	\$0
G. Monitoring Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0						Š					\$0
G. Monitoring Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Subtotal Structures					Ø					\$607
G. Monitoring Cost					700.	X		+-		7.	7001
G. Monitoring Cost					\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$0
G. Monitoring Cost						X					\$0
G. Monitoring Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					Ψ	X		Ψ0		Ψ3	ΨΟ
H. Totals \$43,105 \$0 \$9,719 \$52,824	G. Monitoring Cost				\$0	Š		\$0		\$0	\$0
H. Totals \$43,105 \$0 \$9,719 \$52,824	or morning cool				ΨΟ	8		ΨΟ		ΨΟ	ΨΟ
\$ \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	H Totals				\$43 105	8		\$0		\$9 719	\$52 824
	in iotaio				ψ-τυ, 100	8		ΨΟ		ψο,115	Ψ0 2 ;0 2 7

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.		
	Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
2.		
	Regional Forester (signature)	Date