12.

Date of Report: $\frac{10/28/89}{11/10/89}$

BURNED AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-A)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

1.	Type of	Report
	[] A.	Funding (Request for estimated FFF funds)
	[x] B.	Accomplishment Report
2.	Type of	Action
	[] A.	Initial (estimated funding is first requested)
	[x] B.	Interim, Pending final accounting of funds.
		[] Updating the initial funding request. [] Supplying information for accomplishments to date on emergency work underway.
	[x] C.	Final Accomplishments, with Interim fiscal accounting.
		[] Best estimate for funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measure.[x] Following completion of funded work.
		PART II - FIRE LOCATION
1. 2. 3. 4. .5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Forest State: County: Region: Forest: Ranger Date Fin Date Fin Estimate Fire Sup	me (from Form FS-5100-29): DOOLEY MTN. COMPLEX Supervisor's Fire No. (from Form FS-5100-29): OR-WWF-012 Oregon Baker 06 Wallowa-Whitman District: Baker re Started: 7/26/89 re Controlled: 8/6/89 ed Suppression Costs: \$4,500,000.00 opression Damages Repaired with FFF 102 Funds: Miles (firelines waterbarred)
	NONE	_ Acres (firelines seeded) _ Other (identify)

Fire Intensity: 23% (low) 22% (medium) 55% (high)

PART III - NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY

- 1. Watershed No.: 1705202-22D
- 2. NFS Acres Burned: 10,240.
- 3. Water Repellant Soil: 43% of NFS acres burned = 4,403 Acres
- 4. Vegetation Types: <u>CD-G1-11 (PP, DF/ELK SEDGE)</u> <u>CW-G1-11 (MIXED CONIFER/PINEGRASS)</u>
- 5. Geologic Types: Rhyolite, Andesite, and Schist
- 6. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating:

10% (low), 40% (medium) 50% (high)

- 7. Erosion Potential: 25,600 Cu. Yds/Sq. Mi.
- 8. Miles of Stream Channels by Regional Order or Classes:

 Class II 6.0 Miles

 Class III 20.9 Miles

 Class IV 18.9 Miles
- 9. Miles of Forest Service Trails: 0
- 10. Miles of Forest Service Roads by Maintenance Levels:

1.0 Miles(Level I), 1.0 Miles(Level II), 152 Miles(Level III, IV, & V).

PART IV - CALCULATED RISK AND CLIMATIC EVALUATION

- 1. Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period: 7 Years.
- 2. Chance of Success Desired by Management: 80 Percent.
- 3. Equivalent Design Recurrence Period: 35 Years.
- 4. Related Design Storm Duration: 24 Hours.
- 5. Related Design Storm Magnitude: 1.20 Inches.
- 6. Related Design Flow 8.7 cfsm.
- 7. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: 43% Percent.
- 8. Adjusted Related Design Flow: 70 cfsm.

PART V - SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS

1.	Skills Represented on Burned Area Survey Team ("x" appropriate boxes):									
	<pre>[X] Hydrology [X] Soils [X] Timber [X] Wildlife [] Contracting [x] Local Mgmt.</pre>	[] Geology [x] Range [] Fire Mgmt. [x] Engineering [] Research [x] Cultural Resources								
2.	Describe Emergency: <u>Potential thr</u> ds and to local Forest Users, State	eats to Human Life from flooding, System Highway 245, Site Productivity, Cultural								
Resc	ources, Reservoirs, Resident Fish Ha	bitat, Downstream Irrigation Facilities								
and	Farmland from flooding, and acceler	ated erosion due to large, contiguous								
chan	is of hydrophobic soils and sediment nels.	loaded first, second, and third-order								
ÇIICII	MCLS.									
3.	Emergency Rehabilitation Objective	: Stabilize watershed soils and protect								
inst	cream water quality, by seeding inter	nsively burned areas with grass, and to								
cont	pritical atmost reaches	by installing sediment-delay structures								
ın c	critical stream reaches.									
4.	Probability of Completing Treatment Storm:	t Prior to First Major Damage Producing								
	Land <u>80%</u> Channel <u>60%</u> Roads <u>80%</u>	Other %								
5.	Net Environmental Quality Benefit Index:									
	[X] Significant	[] Not Significant								
6.	Net Social Well Being Benefit Index:									
	[x] Significant	[X] Not Significant								
7.	Benefit/Cost Ratio: 2.39:1									
8.,	Net Benefits: \$258,748.									
9.	Cost Effectiveness Index: [x] I.	[] II. [] III. [] IV.								

PART VI - ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS

NOTE: Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.

					P	- 30 OI	WIIGHT		
1	NFS Lar						All Lands		
	Units			f FFF 092	Other	Units		NonFederal	Total
	. '	Cost	Unit	s \$'s		BLM +	\$'s	\$'s	\$'s
LINE ITEMS/COLUMN #'S	(2)	\$'s (3)	(4)	(5)	ident.	PVT.	BLM	Private	
A. LAND	1 (2)	T (3) I	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
a. Seeding	Acres	19	8.000	152,000	1 8 000	6,750	34,633	93,636	288,269
		<u> </u>	5,00	<u> </u>	1 0,000	0,700	J+,UJJ	1 75,050	200,209
b. Stream Mix 2	Acres	26	198	3 5,140		192	1,326	3,666	10,132
	I.a.			1					
c.Temporary Fence	Mile	2.5M	3.5	8,750	<u> </u>	2	5,000		13,750
d.Cattleguard	Ea	5.1M	1	5,100	1	· •		1	
SUBTOTAL - LAND] La	J.1M	Т	\$170,990		<u> </u>	\$40,959	* 07 202 4	5,100
		****		4110, 930	40,000	7-1	\$40,959	\$97,302	317,251
LINE ITEMS/COLUMN #'S	(2)	(3)	(4)	<u></u> (5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
									(-0)
B. CHANNELS									
*a. Log Sills W/ Large Woody	Ea	80	176	144 000	1 457 1	- 0 !	1		
Large woody	Ea	00]	176	14,080	17	50	5,360		19,440.
* b.Straw Bale Dams	Ea.	78 I	85	6,630	63	18	6,318	ı	12,948
c. Tree Falling in	11		<u> </u>	1 0,000	<u> </u>	10	0,510		12,940
Channels	Ea	18.2	562.5	10,237		0.19	107	1	10,344
d. Silt Fences	Ea	4	500	2,916					2,916
* NES costs - structures	on MEC	. D		<u>\$33,863</u>			\$ 11,785		\$45,648
* NFS costs = structures	OH NES	+ Pr	ivate	ior these	e two ite	ms.(Col	6 = unit	s, not \$\$'s	.)
C. ROADS AND TRAILS		ſ		1	1	1	1	ı	
	L1.	J_		_1,					
D. MAJOR STRUCTURES								1	
E CDAND MOMALO						,			
E. GRAND TOTALS			\$ <u>\$</u>	204,853	\$ 8,000	<u> </u>	\$ 52,744	\$97,3 02 \$	<u>362,899</u> .
			PART	UTT	ADDDOUAT	a			
			PARI	ATT -	APPROVAL	S			
/S/			•		,				
Forest Supervisor (Signat	cure)					Date			
l	•								
/S/ Kenneth Koon									
Baker District Ranger (S		Date							
/S/									
Regional Forester (Signat	urel					Doto			
Proprouge Loregier (Prairie	ur e)					Date			