USDA-FOREST SERVICE

Date of Report: September 25, 2009

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A. Ty	pe of Report							
[] 1. Funding request for estimated WFSU-] 2. Accomplishment Reportx] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	-SUL ⁻	T funds					
В. Ту	pe of Action							
I	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures							
[[] 2. Interim Report[] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis[] Status of accomplishments to date							
	[x] 3. Final Report (Following completion o	of worl	k)					
	<u>PART II - BUF</u>	RNED	-AREA DESCRIPTION					
A. Fir	e Name: Montana	B. I	Fire Number <u>:AZ-CNF-000014</u>					
C. Sta	ate <u>:Arizona</u>	D. (County <u>: Santa Cruz</u>					
E. Re	gion <u>: 3</u>	F.	Forest: Coronado					
G. Di	strict <u>: Nogales</u>							
H. Da	te Fire Started: 03/25/2009	I. Da	ate Fire Controlled: 04/06/2009					
J. Sup	pression Cost: \$400,000							
K. Fir	e Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): 4 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0 3. Other (identify): n/a							
L. Wa	atershed Number <u>:</u>							
	otal Acres Burned: <u>2400</u> FS Acres(2400) Other Federal (0) Stat	te (0)	Private (0)					
N. Ve	N. Vegetation Types: grassland and oak woodland							

O. Dominant Soils: Lithic Ustochrepts, Aridic Ustochrepts, Typic Ustochrepts

P. Geologic Types: Rhyolite

Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: First Order and Second Order: 11 miles
R.	Transportation System
	Trails:0 miles Roads: 0 miles
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION
A.	Burn Severity (acres): 2200 (low) 200 (moderate) 0 (high)
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres): trace
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (moderate) (high)
D.	Erosion Potential: <u>n/a</u> tons/acre
E.	Sediment Potential:n/a_ cubic yards / square mile
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 3
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches): <u>n/a</u>
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):n/a
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):n/a_
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS
A.	Describe Watershed Emergency: No watershed emergency exists
В.	Emergency Treatment Objectives: No emergency treatments planned

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm:

D. Probability of Treatment Success

	Years after Treatment					
	1	3	5			
Land	n/a					
Channel						
Roads						
Other	n/a					

- E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss):
- F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss):
- G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

[x] Hydrology	[x] Soils	[] Geology	[] Range	[]
[] Forestry	[] Wildlife	[] Fire Mgmt.	[] Engineering	[]
[] Contracting	[] Ecology	[] Botany	[] Archaeology	[]
[] Fisheries	[] Research	[] Landscape Arch	[1GIS	

Team Leader: Robert Lefevre

Email: <u>rlefevre@fs.fed.us</u> Phone: <u>520-388-8373</u> FAX: <u>520-388-8305</u>

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land Treatments:

Channel Treatments:

Roads and Trail Treatments:

Structures:

H. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Monitoring will be conducted as part of range allotment monitoring.

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

			NFS Lands	×		Other L	ands		All		
		Unit	# of	WFSU		w	# of	Fed		Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT\$			units	\$	Units	\$	\$
						8					
A. Land Treatments						Ø					
		0	0	\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
		0	0	\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
B. Channel Treatment	ts					X					
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails				·		8					
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
D. Structures						8					
0		0	0	\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0		X		\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$0				\$0		\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation						Ø		·			•
days	0	400	0	\$0		$\times \times \times \times \times$		\$0		\$0	\$0
-		.50		\$0		Ø		\$0		\$0	\$0
				Ψ0		Ø		1		1	Ψ0
G. Monitoring Cost				\$0		<u>8</u>		\$0		\$0	\$0
				7.		Ø					
H. Totals				\$0		8		\$0		\$0	\$0
				Ţ		Ř		1			

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	Forest Supervisor	(signature)	Date
2.	Regional Forester	(cianatura)	 Date