Transportation System:

Trails: 0 (miles)

Date of Report: 2/27/95

Roads: \_\_\_\_\_ (miles)

Okanogan Ken did

## BURNED-AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-8)

	PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST
A.	Type of Report
	[ ] 1. Funding request for estimated EFFS-FW22 funds [X] 2. Accomplishment Report [ ] 3. No Treatment Recommendation
в.	Type of Action
	[ ] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligibl rehabilitation measures)
	<ul> <li>[ ] 2. Interim Report</li> <li>[ ] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data and design analysis</li> <li>[ ] Status of accomplishments to-date</li> </ul>
	[X] 3. Final report - following completion of work
	PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A.	Fire Name: Poorman B. Fire Number: WA-OKF-053
c.	State: WA D. County: Okanogan
E.	
G.	
	the rest of the companied his time is not the control of the contr
H.	Date Fire Started: 7/25/94 I. Date Fire Controlled: 7/30/94 Suppression Cost: \$ 300,000
u.	Suppression cost: 3 300,000
ĸ.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with EFFS-PF12 Funds:
	1. Fireline waterbarred (miles) 7.4
	2. Fireline seeded (miles) 2
	3. Other (identify) obliterate .2 miles
т.	Watershed Number: <u>1702000810020</u> 0
ш.	Water Sired Number: 17/02/05/25/02/05
M.	
N.	Vegetation Types: Ponderosa Pine, Douglas Fir, sagebrush, bunchgrass
٥.	Dominant Soils: Glacial tills covered with volcanic ash northern aspect Southern aspect soils are residual, shale derived.
P.	
Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class:

	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION
Α.	Fire Intensity (Acres): 325 (low) 445 (moderate) 43 (high)
в.	Water Repellant Soil (Acres):
c.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (Acres):  100 (low) 463 (moderate) 250 (high)
D. E.	Erosion Potential: .2 tons/acre Sediment Potential: cu. yds/sq. mile
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS
A.B.C.D.	0 m5m
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS
The veg	Describe Emergency: Poorman fire severly burned an unnamed tributary to Poorman Creek destroying retation and exposing soils on slopes with gradients of 100% or more. With no retation to cover the slopes, probable fall or summer rains will wash unificant amounts of sediment into the stream. This stream is a tributary to orman Creek where fish are present. Poorman Creek drains directly into the sp River, a Tier 1 Watershed in the President's Pacific Northwest Forest and
В.,	Emergency Treatment Objectives:
-	Maintain surface water flow in the stream channel
-	Minimize sediment impact on Poorman Creek resident fisheries
-	Maintain water quality of Poorman Creek
-	Maintain soil productivity
c.	Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing Storm:  Land 100 % Channel % Roads % Other %

## Probability of Treatment Success

		<years a<="" th=""><th></th></years>			
		1	3	5	
	Land				Ì
		60	80	100	Ĺ
	Channel	1		. :	T
٤				<u> </u>	İ
	Roads	ļ · i			T
					1
	Other	1			T
		1			İ

É.	Cost of No-Action (Including Loss):	\$ 11400
F.	Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss)	: \$ 7983
G.	Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Tear	n:
	[X] Hydrology [ ] Soils [ ] Geology	·
		gmt. [ ] Engineering
,		ch [] Archaeology
and the state of t	[X] Fisheries [X] Botanist []	
Теаг	n Leader: <u>Mel Bennet</u> t	The state of the s
		ess: :oka
17		Cook and the cook
H.	Treatment Narrative:	and the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of
	Describe the emergency treatments, where and	how they will be applied, and
	what they are intended to do. This informati	on helps to determine
	qualifying treatments for the appropriate fur	ding authorities. For seeding
	treatments, include species, application rate	s and species selection
	rationale.	
a	in the state of th	
Seed	the intensely burned area along the unnamed	tributary to Poorman Creek in
sec	21, T33N, R21E.	그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그
Plac	ce and anchor logs horizonaly along the slope	and the first of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second
Logs	s should be 6-10 inches or larger in diameter.	above the stream channel.
Tnat	and the state of t	
raha	call temporary fencing above and below the tre	atment area to protect the
T CITA	in investment from grazing livestock.	
	Seed mix:	
- 3-1 : 5a	and the second of the second o	
	Orchard grass 2 lbs/acre	en de descripción de
	Hard Fescue 3 lbs/acre	the state of the s
	"Regreen" 2 lbs/acre	
	and the state of t	
N 15 13		
		and the second section of the contraction of the co
V		<ul> <li>Description of the second of th</li></ul>
10 m		one de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya d La companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya del la companya del la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del la compan
	And the second of the second o	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

PART VI - EMERGENCY REHABILITATION TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP

NOTE: Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.

			NFS Lands		Other Lands			All	
Line Items	Units	•	Number	EFFS-	Other	Number	Fed	Non-Fed	Total
*	.	Cost   \$	of Units	FW22   \$	<b>\$</b>	of Units	<b>  \$</b> 	\$	\$
		<u> </u>			ident.		ident.	ident.	
					•				
A. LAND TREATMENTS									
Temporary Fence	miles			250	<u> </u>				250
Seed Cost	Acres			200	<u> </u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>	200
Contour Felling	1000′		3.1	1550	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			1550
Seed Application	Acres	30	10	300	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1	300
			ļ					1	
B. CHANNEL TREATMENT	S	<u></u>	1						
None			<u> </u>		ļ				<del></del>
			<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
					ļ				
					l				
					<u> </u>				
			ļ						
None									
None  D. STRUCTURES									
None  O. STRUCTURES									
None  O. STRUCTURES									
None  O. STRUCTURES									
None  O. STRUCTURES									
None  O. STRUCTURES  None									
None  D. STRUCTURES  None  E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADD	MINISTRAT	TIVE SU							
None  D. STRUCTURES  None  E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADD  BAER Eval	MINISTRAT	TIVE ST		300					300
None  D. STRUCTURES  None  E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADDITED	MINISTRAT	TVE SU		300					
C. ROADS AND TRAILS None  D. STRUCTURES None  E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADD BAER Eval Monitoring/Evaluation  F. TOTALS	MINISTRAT	TVE SU							300 100

## PART VII - APPROVALS

┷.	/S/ JUE M.	SANCHEZ	(IOT)		2/27/95	
	Forest	Supervisor	(Signature)		Date	
2	1 1					
۷.	/s/	·····				
	Regiona:	l Forester	(Signature)	<del>-</del>	Date	