

USDA-FOREST SERVICE

FS-2500-8

Date of Report: **June 23, 2011****BURNED-AREA REPORT**

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

**PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST****A. Type of Report**

- ☒ 1. Funding request for estimated emergency stabilization funds  
☐ 2. Accomplishment Report  
☐ 3. No Treatment Recommendation

**B. Type of Action**

- ☒ 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible stabilization measures)  
☐ 2. Interim Report  
    ☐ Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis  
    ☐ Status of accomplishments to date  
☐ 3. Final Report (Following completion of work)

**PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION**

A. Fire Name: NorthTank

B. Fire Number: AZ-CNF-011052C. State: AZD. County: Santa CruzE. Region: 3F. Forest: Coronado National ForestG. District: Nogalas Ranger DistrictH. Fire Incident Job Code: 0305 P3F3N8I. Date Fire Started: 5-10-2011J. Date Fire Contained: 5-14-2011K. Suppression Cost: \$593,000

L. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Funds

1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): unknown
2. Fireline seeded (miles): None
3. Other (identify): None

M. Watershed Number: Duquesne Wash #150503010103, San Antonio Canyon #150503010107, Adams Canyon #150503010104N. Total Acres Burned: Total 1143 NFS Acres ( 756) Other Federal – BLM (0 ) State (0) Private (387 )O. Vegetation Types: The prominent vegetation type within the fire perimeter consisted of broadleaf evergreen woodlands and valley range grasslands, chaparral communities, and riparian vegetation occurring in the major drainages.

P. Dominant Soils:

Soil Series	Slope (%)	Rock Outcrop (%)	Surface Soil Texture	Rock Fragments (%)	Erosion Hazard Rating	K-Factor	Hydrologic Group	Acres
WgE - White House gravelly loam, 10 to 35 percent slopes	35	0	Gravelly loam	11	M	0.15	C	368.4
GaE - Gaddes very gravelly sandy loam, 5 to 30 percent slopes	30	0	Gravelly sandy loam	6	M	0.15	C	322.6
CsC - Comoro sandy loam, 5 to 10 percent slopes	10	0	Sandy loam	0	L	0.24	B	196.2
Ge - Grabe soils	1	0	Loam	6	L	0.32	B	128.6
GbB - Grabe-Comoro complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes	5	0	Sandy loam	0	L	0.24	B	57.4
WgE - White House gravelly loam, 10 to 35 percent slopes	35	0	Gravelly loam	11	M	0.15	C	368.4

Q. Geologic Types: Alluvium is primarily mixed igneous and sedimentary rock.

R. Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: Intermittent Channels = 5

S. Transportation System

Trails: 0 miles

Roads: 5 miles

### **PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION**

A. Burn Severity by total and FS (acres):

Soil Burn Severity (Acres)	Acres	Percent
High	0	0%
Moderate	120.3	10.5%
Low	833.9	73%
Unburned	188.6	16.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1142.8</b>	

B. Hydrophobic Soils: <100 acres. Hydrophobic conditions were inconsistent and are expected to exist in approximately 10% of the fire area or less.

C. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres):

Low	452.0
-----	-------

Moderate	691.0
High	0

D. Erosion Potential: The erosion hazard rating system used predicts that very few areas will have an increase above natural erosion levels as a result of the fire.

E. Sediment Potential:

Summary of Sediment Yield to HUC 6 Watersheds and other pourpoint watersheds

Watershed	Area (Mile <sup>2</sup> )	Pre Fire Sediment (yd <sup>3</sup> /mile <sup>2</sup> )	Post Fire Sediment (yd <sup>3</sup> /mile <sup>2</sup> )	Sediment Increase (x Pre Fire)
1. Adams Cyn	64.3	548	559	2
2. Duquesne Wash	7.2	499	604	21
3. San Antonio Cyn	8.3	209	235	13

F. Debris Flow Potential: Slopes are gentle for the most part, especially near structures etc. that were identified during the BAER survey. Increased flows from the burned areas may cause a slightly increased risk for flooding.

#### **PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS**

A. Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years):	understory forbs and grasses 2-3 years overstory oak woodland 7 – 10 years
B. Design Chance of Success, (percent):	<u>90</u>
C. Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):	<u>5</u>
D. Design Storm Duration, (hours):	<u>0.5</u>
E. Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):	<u>1.62</u>
F. Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):	<u>56</u>
G. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):	<u>0</u>
H. Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):	<u>61</u>

#### **PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS**

##### **A. Describe Critical Values/Resources and Threats:**

The North Tank Fire started on May 10, 2011 and is approximately 1143 acres in size. The fire includes burned areas within the Duquesne Wash draining the western portion of the burn which is the steeper part of the burned area and several unnamed drainages draining the eastern, flatter portion of the burn. The North Tank Fire burned flat to moderately steep area approximately 14 miles east of Nogales. The watersheds are characterized by moderately steep rock armored slopes on the west and flatter ground on the east. Recommend signing all road accessing the burned area warning of increased hazard during storm events. Recommend notifying NRCS to for final risk assessment on private land.

The climate is arid overall and precipitation in the fire area is moderate, averaging 19.5 inches per year. Rainfall occurs mostly during the summer months with the monsoons when the potential for intense and localized rainfall can occur. Vegetation consists of woodland forest with an overstory dominated by Oak and Mesquite and also desert grasses. The fire burn severity was mostly low overall with a few areas of moderate and unburned severity.

### **Summary of Watershed Response**

**Hydrologic Response:** The North Tank Fire has been analyzed by watersheds or pour points at different locations in or downstream of the fire area. Watersheds are various sizes and shapes and are dependent on the analysis of the desired outlet or pour point above a value at risk or area of concern. None of these watersheds are expected to have significant increases in post fire water or sediment yield. This is due to the fact that most of the fire had a low burn severity with small pockets of moderate. Larger rain events do have the potential to increase the risk of flooding and sedimentation, though these risks are present with or without the effects of the North Tank fire.

Hydrologic design factors used to analyze the effects of the North Tank fire considered the vegetative recovery period estimated to be 3-5 years; treatment chance of success as 90%. Storm recurrence interval of 5 years and 30 minutes using NOAA Atlas 14 for 5-year-30-minute precipitation yielded a design storm magnitude of 1.62 inches of rainfall. Estimated reduction in infiltration was based on the percentage of hydrophobic soil in the burn area which was assessed at 0%. Pre-fire design flow was estimated at 56 cubic feet per second per square mile and post fire design flow was estimated at 61 cubic feet per second per square mile.

**Erosion Response:** Soil burn severity is primarily low with areas of moderate. Slopes are gentle for the most part in proximity of possible values at risk. Moderate cobble and stone cover help protect the soil surface from erosion. Erosion resulting from fire is expected to be low.

**Geologic Response:** Fire area is geologically stable alluvium and bedrock.

### **Values at Risk**

The risk matrix below, Exhibit 2 of Interim Directive No.: **2520-2010-1**, was used to evaluate the Risk Level for each value identified during Assessment:

<b>Probability of Damage or Loss</b>	<b>Magnitude of Consequences</b>		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
	<b>RISK</b>		
Very Likely	<b>Very High</b>	<b>Very High</b>	<b>Low</b>
Likely	<b>Very High</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
Possible	<b>High</b>	<b>Intermediate</b>	<b>Low</b>
Unlikely	<b>Intermediate</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>Very Low</b>

**Life and Property:** Based on the estimated watershed response and terrain, the BAER Assessment team determined there are no significant values at risk as a result of the Tank Fire. Forest Roads within the fire area have several low water crossings that may receive some deposition and could be a safety concern during storm events. Stream crossings would need to be cleaned off after storm events but are considered low to moderate risk depending on storm intensity and duration.

Risk Assessment - Private Property

Probability of Damage or Loss: Unlikely

Magnitude of Consequence: Minor. This determination is due to the minimal change in watershed response. This determination was made based on the minimal change in watershed response.

Risk Level: Very Low.

#### Risk Assessment – Forest Roads

Probability of Damage or Loss: Unlikely

Magnitude of Consequence: Major

Risk Level: Intermediate

Water Quality and Quantity: No values at risk.

Threats to Soil Productivity: No values at risk. There is no emergency to soil productivity due to fire-adapted ecosystem and lack of productive timber stands.

Threats to Cultural Resources: No values at risk

Threats to Wildlife: The wildlife concerns for the NorthTank Fire are: Loss of vegetative cover, foraging habitat.

#### Risk Assessment – Wildlife

Probability of Damage or Loss: Unlikely

Magnitude of Consequence: Moderate

Risk Level: Low

Threats to Botany: There are no threatened or endangered plants in the fire area. There is no designated critical habitat for plants in the fire area.

Native Vegetation Recovery: Ecosystem stability of native plant communities in the Coronado NF is at risk. There is a high possibility of damage to the native plant community from noxious weed invasion. If new infestations are established the magnitude of the consequences would be moderate-to-major. The fire created conditions conducive to the spread of the noxious weeds known to be within or near the fire area. The invasion of exotic vegetation, especially grasses and annual forbs as a result of fires reduces or displaces native plant species, thus impacting native vegetative recovery. Suppression activities have likely vectored noxious weed seed from one or more locations. Vehicles and equipment were not washed prior to entering fire area.

### B. Emergency Treatment Objectives

No treatments recommended other than Noxious weed detection surveys and protection of life and property by signing roads and stream access areas to warn forest users of potential threats during storm events. Notify NRCS to evaluate private property.

#### C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to Damaging Storm or Event:

Land \_\_\_ % Channel \_\_\_ % Roads/Trails \_\_\_ % Protection/Safety \_\_\_ %

### C. Probability of Treatment Success

	1	3	5
--	---	---	---

Land	n/a	n/a	n/a
Channel	n/a	n/a	n/a
Roads/Trails	n/a	n/a	n/a
Protection/Safety	n/a	n/a	n/a

E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss): N/A

F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): N/A

G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Soils	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology	<input type="checkbox"/> Range	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Forestry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildlife	<input type="checkbox"/> Fire Mgmt.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engineering	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BAER coordinator
<input type="checkbox"/> Contracting	<input type="checkbox"/> Ecology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Botany	<input type="checkbox"/> Archaeology	<input type="checkbox"/> NRCS
<input type="checkbox"/> Fisheries	<input type="checkbox"/> Research	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Arch	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logistics

Team Leader: Randy Westmoreland

Email: [rwestmoreland@fs.fed.us](mailto:rwestmoreland@fs.fed.us)

Phone: 530-306-0349 FAX: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Core Team Members:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Eric Nicita – Soil Scientist</li> <li>▪ Curtis Kvamme – Soil Scientist (T)</li> <li>▪ Mary Moore – Hydrologist</li> <li>▪ Jennifer Bridgewater – Hydrologist</li> <li>▪ Jim Schmidt – GIS</li> <li>▪ Jason Dierberg – GIS (T)</li> <li>▪ Tom Goheen - Logistics</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Marcie Baumbach – Wildlife</li> <li>▪ Mike Friend - Botany</li> <li>▪ Tim Merten – Roads Engineer</li> <li>▪ Rebeca Franco - Information</li> <li>▪ Bob Ramirez – Information</li> <li>▪ Dave Young - BAER Coordinator</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

#### H. Treatment Narrative

The proposed treatments on National Forest System lands can help to reduce the impacts of the fire, but treatments will not completely mitigate the effects of the fire.

The treatments listed below are those that are considered to be the most effective on National Forest System lands given the local setting including topography and access.

#### Land Treatments

Noxious weed detection surveys are recommended. Common invasives to the area are present around the periphery of the fire, but are not currently present in much of the fire area; suppression activities have the potential to have spread invasive seeds to new areas. Reference the specialist report for species of concern and the recommended detection survey plan.

#### Channel Treatments

None recommended.

#### Road and Trail Treatments

Road: Install Flash Flood Warning Signs; no known trails.

#### Protection/Safety Treatments

## **I. Monitoring Narrative**

None

### **Recommendations**

This report is an initial funding request based on a rapid assessment. If additional treatment needs are identified through more site specific on the ground investigation in cooperation with interested agencies, and noxious weed detection surveys, interim requests for additional funding will be filed. These funding requests will identify the purpose for each treatment, and specific treatment specifications, locations, and number of each treatment.

**Part VI – Emergency Stabilization Treatments and Source of Funds**

			NFS Land s				Other Land s			All
		Unit	# of		Other		# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed
Line Items	Unit s	Cost	Units	BAER \$	\$		unit s	\$	Unit s	\$
<b>A. Land Treatments</b>										
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Insert new items above this line!</i>				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Subtotal Land Treatments</i>				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<b>B. Channel Treatments</b>										
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Insert new items above this line!</i>				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Subtotal Channel Treat.</i>				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<b>C. Road and Trails</b>										
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Insert new items above this line!</i>				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Subtotal Road &amp; Trails</i>				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<b>D. Protection/Safety</b>										
Warning Signs		300	1	\$300	\$0			\$0		\$0
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Insert new items above this line!</i>				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Subtotal Structures</i>				\$300	\$0			\$0		\$0
<b>E. BAER Evaluation</b>										
				---				\$0		\$0
<i>Insert new items above this line!</i>				---	\$3,300			\$0		\$0
<i>Subtotal Evaluation</i>				---	\$3,300			\$0		\$0
<b>F. Monitoring</b>										
Noxious weed detection		2100	1	\$2,100	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Insert new items above this line!</i>				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0
<i>Subtotal Monitoring</i>				\$2,100	\$0			\$0		\$0
<b>G. Totals</b>				\$2,400	\$3,300			\$0		\$0
Previously approved										
Total for this request				\$2,400						

**PART VII - APPROVALS**

1. /s/ Jim Upchurch  
Forest Supervisor (signature)

7/25/2011  
Date

2. /s/ Clifford J. Dils, (for)  
Regional Forester (signature)

7/28/2011  
Date



Value at Risk Tool calculations were not performed due to lack of VARs and treatments.