FS-2500-8	(8/93
-----------	-------

TICDA - FOR	ው ተር	FDVIT	CE
UCDA - FOR	EST S	LK V T	UE

Date	οf	Report:	

BURNED-AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

Α.	Type of	Report
	[] 1. [] 2. [X] 3.	Funding request for estimated EFFS-FW22 funds Accomplishment Report No Treatment Recommendation
В.	Type of	Action
	[] 1.	Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)
	[<pre>Interim Report] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data and design analysis] Status of accomplishments to date</pre>
	[] 3.	Final report - following completion of work
		PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
Α.	Fire Na	me: <u>Henry Peak</u> B. Fire Number: <u>P18206</u>
C. E. G.	Region	MT D. County: Sanders Northern F. Forest: Lolo
Н J.	. Date Fi Suppress	ire Started: 7/26/94 I. Date Fire Controlled: <u>Fire was not</u> sion Cost: <u>\$1,329,000 (As of 8/2/94)</u> <u>Controlled as of 8/2/94</u>
К.	1	appression Damages Repaired with EFFS-PF12 Funds: Fireline waterbarred (miles) Fireline seeded (miles) Other (identify)
L.	Waters	hed Number:
М.		res Burned: Total Acres Burned: hip type:)State ()BLM ()PVT ()
N.	Vegeta	tion Types:
0.	Domina	nt Soils:
Р.	Geolog	ic Types:
Q.	Miles	of Stream Channels by Order or Class:
R.	Transp	oortation System: Roads: miles

PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION

Α.	Fire Intensity (acres): (low) (moderate) (high)
В.	n llant Coil (acres):
С.	Total Deting (acres):
D. E.	Erosion Potential:tons/acre cubic yards / square mile
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS
	 Design Chance of Success: percent Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval: years Design Storm Duration: hours Design Storm Magnitude: inches Design Flow: cubic feet per second per square mile Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: percent Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: per second per square mile
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS
A	A. Describe Watershed Emergency:
:	B. Emergency Treatment Objectives:
	C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm: Land % Channel % Roads % Other % D. Probability of Treatment Success <years after="" treatment=""></years>
	Land
	Channel
	Roads
	Other

Ε.	Cost of No-Action (In	cluding Loss)) :	\$
F.	Cost of Selected Alte	rnative (Incl	luding Loss):	\$
G.	Skills Represented or	Burned-Area	Survey Team:	
	[] Timber []	Soils Wildlife Ecology	[] Geology [] Fire Mgmt [] Research []	[] Range . [] Engineering [] Archaeology _ []
	am Leader:		Electron	c Address:

Treatment Narrative:

Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.

PART VI - EMERGENCY REHABILITATION TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP

				I ME	T		l Orbo	r Lands	1	A11
	T. T.	ITT- : 4 -	 TT:		<u> Lands </u>	Other	Number		Non-Fed	
	Line Items	Units	Cost	of	FW22	\$	of	\$	\$	\$
			\$	Units	\$ \$	٦	Units	۲		Ÿ
			۶	UIIILS	٧	ident.	Onics	ident.	ident.	
				1	*****	11401101		1		,
A .	LAND TREATMENTS									
		1							<u>j</u>	
			ļ				<u> </u>			
В.	CHANNEL TREATMENTS		1				1	1	1	1
						ļ				
						 				
								 		
		ļ	 	 					1	
c	ROADS AND TRAILS									
<u>. </u>	KOADS AND IKAILS	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1	1		1	
		<u> </u>								
			1			•		•		
D.	STRUCTURES									
		ļ					1	ļ		
		-								
<u>E.</u>	BAER EVALUATION/ A	<u>DMINIS</u>	<u>TRATIV</u>	<u>E SUPPO</u>	RT	1	1	ı	1	<u> </u>
		 	-		×	<u> </u>				
				-				<u> </u>		
	momat d		I	1	Ī	1	1	1	1	I
<u>F.</u>	TOTALS	.	_				-	ļ	 	
			PΔ	ART VIT	- APPR	OVALS				
			11	TICT ATT	111 1 11	.0 11110				
1.										
	Forest Supervis	or					D	ate		
		-					_			
2.										
	Regional Foreste	er					D	ate		