USDA-FOREST SERVICE FS-2500-8 (7/00)

Date of Report: 6/1/06

BURNED-AREA REPORT
(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A. Type of Report

[ ] 1. Funding request for estimated WFSU-SULT funds
[ ] 2. Accomplishment Report
[x] 3. No Treatment Recommendation

B. Type of Action

[x] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)

[ ] 2. Interim Report
[ ] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis
[ ] Status of accomplishments to date

[ ] 3. Final Report (Following completion of work)

PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION

A. Fire Name: Romero

B. Fire Number: AZ-CNF-000044

C. State: Arizona

D. County: Pima

E. Region: 3

F. Forest: Coronado

G. District: Santa Catalina

H. Date Fire Started: May 21, 2006

I. Date Fire Controlled: May 30, 2006

J. Suppression Cost: $600,000

K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Funds
1. Fireline waterbarred (miles):
2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0
3. Other (identify):

L. Watershed Number:1505030108

M. Total Acres Burned: 860
NFS Acres(**860**) Other Federal ( ) State ( ) Private ( )

N. Vegetation Types:woodland, chaparral

O. Dominant Soils: typic ustochrept

P. Geologic Types: granite, gneiss

Q. Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 1 mile 1st order; 2 miles 2nd order

R. Transportation System

Trails: 0 miles Roads: 0 miles

PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION

A. Burn Severity (acres): 790 (low) 70 (moderate) ___ (high)

B. Water-Repellent Soil (acres): n/a

C. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres):
___ (low) n/a (moderate) ___ (high)

D. Erosion Potential: n/a tons/acre

E. Sediment Potential: n/a cubic yards / square mile

PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS

A. Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 3 to 5

B. Design Chance of Success, (percent): n/a

C. Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years): n/a

D. Design Storm Duration, (hours): n/a

E. Design Storm Magnitude, (inches): n/a

F. Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile): n/a

G. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent): n/a

H. Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile): n/a

PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

A. Describe Watershed Emergency: This is an extremely steep and rocky area. Catalina State Park is located downstream. All values at risk at the park are in unburned watersheds. Montrose Canyon, which had 1052 acres (30% of the drainage area) burned in the Aspen fire in 2003, had 760 additional acres (20%) burned in this fire. Montrose Canyon has occupied lowland leopard frog habitiat. However, because of the light nature of this burn, and the large amount of exposed bedrock in the burned area, the Resource Advisor for the fire and District Biologist indicate no erosion control or flood control projects are needed for this species. No watershed emergency exists.

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives: none

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm: n/a

Land __ % Channel __ % Roads __ % Other __ %

D. Probability of Treatment Success

	Years after Treatment		
	1	3	5
Land			
Channel			
Roads			
Other			

E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss): **n/a**

F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): **n/a**

G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

[**x**] Hydrology [**x**] Soils [ ] Geology [ ] Range [ ]
[ ] Forestry [**x**] Wildlife [ ] Fire Mgmt. [ ] Engineering [ ]
[ ] Contracting [ ] Ecology [ ] Botany [ ] Archaeology [ ]
[ ] Fisheries [ ] Research [ ] Landscape Arch [ ] GIS

Team Leader: Robert E. Lefevre

Email: rlefevre@fs.fed.us Phone: 520-388-8373 FAX:

H. **Treatment Narrative:**

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land Treatments:

N/a

Channel Treatments:
N/a

Roads and Trail Treatments: n/a

Structures: n/a

H. **Monitoring Narrative:**
(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Monitoring will be carried out as part of range allotment and forest plan monitoring.

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

			NFS Lands				Other Lands			All
		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other	# of	Fed	# of	Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT $	$	units	$	Units	$	$
A. Land Treatments										
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0			
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
Subtotal Land Treatments				$0			$0		$0	$0
B. Channel Treatments										
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				$0			$0		$0	$0
C. Road and Trails										
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				$0			$0		$0	$0
D. Structures										
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
Subtotal Structures				$0			$0		$0	$0
E. BAER Evaluation										
				$0	$600		$0		$0	$0
				$0			$0		$0	$0
G. Monitoring Cost				$0			$0		$0	$0
H. Totals				**$0**			**$0**		**$0**	**$0**

PART VII - APPROVALS

1. /s/ Jeanine A. Derby_____ June 5, 2006_____
   Forest Supervisor (signature) Date

2. _____ _____
   Regional Forester (signature) Date