Date of Report: June 16, 19977

BURNED-AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-8)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

١.	Type of Report
	[] 1. Funding request for estimated FFFS-FW22 funds [X] 2. Accomplishment Report
3.	Type of Action
	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)
	 [] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data and design analysis [] Status of accomplishments to-date
	[X] 3. Final report - following completion of work
	PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
Α.	Fire Name: Eldorado B. Fire Number: ANF 2877
Ξ. Ξ.	
	Date Fire Started: June 30, 1996 Suppression Cost: \$ 122,459
ζ.	Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with FFFS-PF12 Funds: 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles) .75 (handline) 2. Fireline seeded (miles) 0 3. Other (identify) 0
	Watershed Number: 1807010602 (Watershed Unit #125)
1.	NFS Acres Burned: 95 Total Acres Burned: 95 Ownership type: ()State ()BLM ()PVT ()
٧.	Vegetation Types: Chamise Chaparral, Northern Mixed Chaparral Canyon Live Oak
Ο.	Dominant Soils: Trigo, granitic substratum - Exchequer families - Rock Outcrop complex
?.	Geologic Types: Precambrian Igneous, Metamorphic Rock Complex, Mesozoic Granite
ζ.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: I - 0
₹.	Transportation System:
	Trails:0

PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION

Α.	Fire Intensity (Acres): (low) (moderate) (high)	
В.	Water Repellant Soil (Acres): 38	
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (Acres): 0	
D. E.	Erosion Potential: 201 tons/acre Sediment Potential: 36,743 cu. yds/sq. mile	
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS	
۸	Fetimated Vegetative Recovery Period: 7 years	

- B. Design Chance of Success: __90 __percent.
- C. Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval: 10 years.
- D. Design Storm Duration: 96 hours.
- E. Design Storm Magnitude: 16.7 inches.
- F. Design Flow: 2263 cfsm.
- G. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: 18.9 percent.
- H. Adjusted Design Flow: 4527 cfsm.

PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS

- A. Describe Emergency:
- 1. Threat to Human Life: The drainages in the East Fork of San Gabriel Canyon are steep and can produce heavy runoff. Although the Eldorado Fire was relatively small (95 acres); two of the three affected drainages were completely burned. The closest human habitation is located less than 1 mile downstream. This is a privately owned campground, which is used by thousands of recreationists on a yearround basis.
- 2. Threat to Property: There have been extensive improvements made recently to the privately owned Camp Williams Campground which is located just south of the Eldorado Fire area. These improvements included grading and developing new campsites, installing picnic tables and trees, and general rehabilitation of the camping areas.

The county maintained Shoemaker Road (2N11) bisects the Eldorado Fire. This is a 2 lane asphalt road with a chip sealed surface. Along the 1740 linear feet of road surface burned over by this fire are 4 drainage culverts. It is anticipated that there will be an increase in sediment which will be deposited into these culvert basins. The possibility of these culverts becoming plugged by this increased amount of sediment is great. In addition, there is a high potential for erosion from bypass flows.

The East Fork is used extensively for recreational purposes, primarily water play activities. This area has an extremely high recreation value to the visiting public. The southernmost boundary of the fire is at water's edge. From this edge, there is a gradual bench approximately 60' deep, which then rises dramatically to steep slopes. This bench area is a popular dispersed picnic site. It will be subjected to severe deposition of sedimentation.

- 3. Loss of Control of Water: Slopes within the burned area are very steep and water will run off rapidly. Water will run directly into the East Fork of the San Gabriel River. Control of this water will be achieved at the San Gabriel Reservoir which is located downstream approximately 6 miles.
- 4. Threats to Water Quality: Some water quality degradation will occur from the burned vegetative material and from sediment that will end up in the East Fork. This condition can be expected for 1-3 years after the burn.
- 5. Threats to Long-Term Productivity: Based on the field survey, the average soil loss over the burned area was calculated to be about 201 tons per acre per year. However, soil damage appears to be minor. The fire was hot and fast, and approximately 95% in the high intensity areas, the soil damage appeared to be moderate. There are hydrophobic soils naturally occuring.
- B. Emergency Treatment Objectives:

The emergency treatment objectives are to maintain site productivity and control the potential erosion. In addition, provide recommendations which allow for the existing structures to continue to function while the watershed recovers.

In addition, emergency treatment objectives should provide reassurance to private landowners and recreationists that efforts are being made to stabalize steep slopes.

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing Storm:

Land 100 % Channel ____ % Roads 100 % Other 80 %

D. Probability of Treatment Success

	<years after="" treatment=""></years>					
	1	3	5			
Land	70	80	90			
Channel						
Roads	100	100	100			
Other	90	100	100			

Ε.	Cost of No-Action	n (Including Risk):	\$	315,871
F.	Cost of Selected	Alternative (Inc	luding Risk):	\$	146,264
G.	Skills Represent	ed on Burned-Area	. Survey Team (["x" ap	opropriate boxes):
	<pre>[X] Hydrology [] Timber [] Contracting [X] NCRS</pre>	<pre>[X] Soils [X] Wildlife [] Ecology []</pre>	[] Geology [] Fire Mgmt [] Research []	. [X]	Range Engineering Archaeology

Team Leader: Karen Fortus

Phone: (818) 335-1251 ext. 249 DG Address: K.Fortus:R05F01D52A

H. Final Treatment Narrative:

Road Surface Treatment: Inspection of the road surface showed that there were no effects on the surface related to the fire or fire suppression activities. Therefore, there is no recommendation for any rehabilitation measures related to the road surface.

Drainage Structure Treatment: Due to the possibility of existing culverts becoming plugged by increased sediment from the burned area, culvert riser extensions were installed on four culverts. These extensions were designed to allow for the possibility of sediment buildup in the basin, around the culvert inlet elevation, yet allow the culvert to continue to function. These structures worked very well and met the treatment objective.

Road Closure Device: The recommendation for the placement of a gate was rejected by the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, who is responsible for the safety and maintenance of Shoemaker Road. They supported their concerns by providing a letter stating that they would implement storm watch during intense storm periods and patrol the road.

Hydromulching Application:

It was recommended that hydromulching be applied to the fill slopes and drainages associated with Shoemaker Road. The total acreage proposed for hydromulching was approximately 7 acres. Seeding application rate was as follows:

Qty	Seed Type	Seed/Lb
20 lbs 20lbs	Cucamonga Brome Buckwheat	78,000 300,000
10 1bs	Deerweed	650,000

Also included in the hydromulch application was:

1800 lbs of paper mulch

80 lbs of tackifier

This treatment was selected due to past performance results and has been effective in meeting treatment objectives for the Eldorado burned area. Three years ago the Forest hydroseeded a severely eroded fill slope which is located

less than 1 mile from the burned area using the seed mix specified above. Excellent results in germination and slope stabalization were obtained.

Aerial Seeding: Aerial seeding had been a recommendation but was determined by the District Fire Management Officer and the District Resource Officer to be too costly for the benefits gained. Hand seeding was considered but due to the extreme steepness of the area and concern for employee safety, it was also eliminated from implementation.

PART VI - EMERGENCY REHABILITATION TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP

NOTE: Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.

				SLands			r Lands		A11
Line Items	Units	Unit	Number	FFFS-	Other	Number	Fed	Non-Fed	Total
		Cost	of	FW22	\$	of	\$	\$	\$
		\$	Units	\$		Units			*
					ident.		ident.	ident.	
A. LAND TREATMENTS									
Seeding	acres	49	90	4410			· ·		4,41
Hydromulch	acres	1130	7	7910					7,91
	<u> </u>					l			
3. CHANNEL TREATMENTS									
ORANNEL IREATMENTS		l			T	ı — —			
									
C. ROADS AND TRAILS							¥		
Gate - Shoemaker Road	EA	7500	1	7500					7,500
								_	
·								4	
							<u> </u>		
		<u> </u>			<u>ļ</u>				
). STRUCTURES									
. DIROUIURED								·····	36,200
Culvert Riser Extension	EA	9050	4				l		JU. 20
Culvert Riser Extension	EA	9050	4						30,200
Culvert Riser Extension	EA	9050	4						30,200
Culvert Riser Extension	EA	9050	4						30,200
									30,200
E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADMI	NISTRA'	rive su	JPPORT						
Culvert Riser Extension E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADMI BAER Team	NISTRA'								3,200
E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADMI	NISTRA'	rive su	JPPORT						
E. BAER EVALUATION/ ADMI	NISTRA'	rive su	JPPORT						

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	/s/ MICHA	EL J.	ROGERS		06/19/97
	Fore	st Si	upervisor	(Signature)	Date