Date of Report: June 6, 2005

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A. Type of Report	
[] 1. Funding request for estimated \[[] 2. Accomplishment Report[X] 3. No Treatment Recommendatio	
B. Type of Action	
[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate o	f funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)
[] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding re [] Status of accomplishments to	quest based on more accurate site data or design analysis date
[X] 3. Final Report (Following comple	etion of work)
PART II	- BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A. Fire Name: Mesa Camino Fire	B. Fire Number: P3BR9R
C. State: New Mexico	D. County: Rio Arriba
E. Region: Region 3	F. Forest: Santa Fe National Forest
G. District: Coyote Ranger District	
H. Date Fire Started: May 23, 2005	I. Date Fire Contained: May 28, 2005
J. Suppression Cost: 0.5 million	
 K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with the suppression Damages Repaired (miles) 2. Fireline seeded (miles) 3. Other (identify) 	: 0.75 miles
L. Watershed Number <u>:</u>	
M. Total Acres Burned: NFS Acres(~832.00) Other Federal (n/a) State (n/a) Private (n/a)
N. Vegetation Types: Ponderosa pine-oak	(80%, mixed conifer 15%, pinon-juniper 5%
O. Dominant Soils: Alfisols	
P. Geologic Types: Morrison	

Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 1.5 miles 1 st Order Ephemeral composed of swales with	out defined channels
R.	Transportation System	
	Trails: 0.0 miles Roads: 2.5 miles	
	PART III - WATERSHED CO	ONDITION
A.	Burn Severity (acres): <u>542</u> (low) <u>166</u> (moderate) <u>1</u>	<u>25</u> (high)
В.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres): ~25.0 acres	
C.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): 536_ (low)164_ (moderate)132_	(high)
D.	Erosion Potential: 6.0 to 24.3 tons/acre dependant of soil type	e (TES)
E.	Sediment Potential: 66 cubic yards / square mile	
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIG	GN FACTORS
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years):	1-2 years
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):	<u>n/a</u>
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):	<u>n/a</u>
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):	<u>n/a</u>
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):	
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):	<u>n/a</u>
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):	n/a
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):	<u>n/a</u>
	PART V - SUMMARY OF A	NALYSIS
	A. Describe Watershed Emergency:	
Th	ere is no BAER Watershed Emergency	

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives:

There are no proposed BAER Emergency Treatments proposed.

C Drobobility	. ~ 6 (20000104:00	Tro 0400 0 04	Du: 0 " 40	Circt Maior	Damaga	Draduaina	C+ 0 1100 .
C. Probability	v oi c	Jornpieung	rreatment	שו וטור	riist iviajoi	Damage-	Producing	Storii.

D. Probability of Treatment Success

	Years after Treatment		
	1	3	5
Land	0		
Channel	0		
Roads	0		
Other	0		

- E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss): There are not identified losses as a result of No-Action
- F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): There are no proposed BAER treatment; therefore, there are no costs.
- G. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:

[X] Hydrology	[X] Soils	[X] Geology	[X] Range	[]
[X Forestry	[X] Wildlife	[] Fire Mgmt.	[] Engineering	[]
[] Contracting	[] Ecology	[] Botany	[] Archaeology	[]
[] Fisheries	[] Research	[] Landscape Arch	[] GIS	

Team Leader: John C Phillips

Email:	jcphillips@fs.fed.us	Phone:	505 638-5526	FAX	505 638-5351
--------	----------------------	--------	--------------	-----	--------------

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

No land, channel, road, trail or other structural treatments proposed. An assessment of the burn area and the ricks to down stream life, property and resource does not support any emergency treatments as a result of this wildfire.

Land Treatments:	
Channel Treatments:	
Roads and Trail Treatments:	
Structures:	

I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \\ \$0 \\	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Subtotal Channel Treat. C. Road and Trails Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Road & Trails D. Structures Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
C. Road and Trails Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Road & Trails D. Structures Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \(\) \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Road & Trails D. Structures Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Subtotal Road & Trails D. Structures Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Subtotal Road & Trails D. Structures Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Subtotal Road & Trails D. Structures Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails D. Structures Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$ \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
D. Structures Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0 \$0	\$0 %		· · · ·	\$0
Insert new items above this line! Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0		\$0		
Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0		\$0		
Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation			¢αΚΝ	ΨΟΙ	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		90	⊅∩KN	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0	\$0 🕉	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures E. BAER Evaluation		\$0	\$0 🕉	\$0	\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		7.	X	1 1	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
	280	\$1,680	\$0 X	\$0	\$0	\$1,680
2	315	\$630	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$630
Insert new items above this line!		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Evaluation		\$2,310	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,310
F. Monitoring		ψ=,σ:σ	***	,	1 4 5	Ψ=,σ.σ
		\$0	\$0 &	\$0	\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Monitoring		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Custotal monitoring		Ψ,	****	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
G. Totals	+	\$2,310	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,310
0.10.0.0		Ψ2,010	\$0 X	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	ΨΞ,ΟΙΟ

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	/s/ Gilbert Zepeda	_06/15/2005_
	Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
2.		
۷.	Regional Forester (signature)	Date