USDA-FOREST SERVICE

Date of Report: 2/28/06

HARRISBURG CREEK BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A. Type of Report						
[X] 1. Funding request for estimated WFSU[] 2. Accomplishment Report[] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	J-SULT funds					
B. Type of Action						
[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds	[] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)					
[X] 2. Interim Report[X] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis[] Status of accomplishments to date						
[] 3. Final Report (Following completion of work)						
PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION						
I AKT II - BOI	MED-AREA DEGORIF HON					
A. Fire Name: Harrisburg Creek	B. Fire Number: P4BW7V					
C. State: Utah	D. County: Washington					
E. Region: Intermountain	F. Forest: Dixie					
G. District: Pine Valley						
H. Date Fire Started: June 26, 2005	I. Date Fire Contained: July 4, 2005					
J. Suppression Cost: \$200,000						
 K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Funds 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles): .25 2. Fireline seeded (miles): 0 						
L. Watershed Number: Quail Creek 150100080906, Heath Wash 150100080904						
M. Total Acres Burned: <u>429</u> NFS Acres(429) Other Federal () State	() Private ()					
N. Vegetation Types: Pinyon-Juniper with mixed	shrubs and scattered Ponderosa Pine.					
O. Dominant Soils: The soil are dominanted by s	shallow to very deep sand over Navajo sandstone.					

P. Geologic Types: Navajo sandstone and Carmel formation.

Q.	Miles of Stream Channels by Order: 0.2 miles of Order 2						
R.	Transportation System						
	Trails: 0 miles Roads: 0.46 miles						
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION						
A.	Burn Severity (acres): <u>429</u> (low and unburned) <u>0</u> (moderate) <u>0</u> (high)						
B.	Water-Repellent Soil (acres): 0						
C.	C. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): (low) (moderate) (high)						
D.	Erosion Potential: 1.77 tons/acre						
E.	Sediment Potential: cubic yards / square mile						
PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS							
A.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 3-5						
В.	Design Chance of Success, (percent):						
C.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):						
D.	Design Storm Duration, (hours):						
E.	Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):						
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):						
G.	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):						
Н.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):						
PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS							
Threats of Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Invasion: To determine the need for future treatments, monitoring will be conducted to document if increased noxious weed invasion is occurring within the wildfire perimeter. During the fire suppression activities fire transportation equipment and engines utilized a spike camp (Danish Ranch) where noxious weeds are present. Monitoring will begin in fiscal year 2006.							

Monitoring Narrative:

Noxious Weed and Invasive Plant Monitoring

Monitor the location of the known sites and likely sites for new infestations and implement control actions as specified in the Noxious Weed Amendment to the Dixie Forest Plan (2000). Randy Russell (Pine Valley Range Conservationist) will be responsible for this monitoring effort.

Harrisburg Creek BAER Noxious Weed Monitoring

OBJECTIVE: Monitor noxious weeds the Harrisburg Creek fire perimeter to prevent an outbreak.

ITEM TO MONITOR: Presence and noxoius weed within the burn perimeter.

TYPE OF MONITORING: Site visit/occular

METHODS/PARAMETERS: Visit known location of noxoius weeds. Grid exam in burned areas and

along road corridors.

FREQUENCY/DURATION: FY06. PROJECTED COSTS: \$400.00

REPORTING PROCEDURES: Annual Harrisburg Creek BAER Monitoring Report

RESPONSIBILITY: Randy Russell, Range Conservationist

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

		Unit	# of	WFSU	Other			Fed		Non Fed	Total
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	X	units	\$	Units	\$	\$
						8					
A. Land Treatments						8					
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	8					
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
B. Channel Treatmen	ts					8				, 	
				\$0	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0						•
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
C. Road and Trails						X					
				\$0	\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0 \$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0	\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$(
D. Structures					* -	Ø		7 -		, , ,	T
				\$0	\$0	X		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0					7 -	•
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Structures				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
E. BAER Evaluation				**	+-	X		**		**	
				\$0	\$0	Χ̈́		\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			**		**	
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Evaluation				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
F. Monitoring				ΨΟ	ΨΟ	8		ΨΟ		**	Ψ
Noxoius Weed						8					
Monitoring	each	400	1	\$400	\$0	8		\$0		\$0	\$400
	34011	.00	<u>'</u>	\$0	\$0	8		Ψυ		Ψ.	ψισι
				\$0	\$0	₿					
Subtotal Monitoring				\$400	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$400
Sustatul Monitolling				ψ+υυ	ΨΟ	8		ΨΟ		ΨΟ	ψ+υι
G. Totals				\$400	\$0	Ø		\$0		\$0	\$400
J. I Otals				Ψτυυ	Ψυ	8		Ψυ		Ψυ	Ψτυ

PART VII - APPROVALS

1.	/s/ Robert A. Russell Forest Supervisor (signature)	<u>3/1/2006</u> Date
2.	<u>/s/ William P. LeVere for</u> Regional Forester (signature)	<u>3/08/2006</u> Date