

BURNED AREA REPORT
(Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-A)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

1. Type of Report

- ☒ A. Funding (Request for estimated FFF funds)
☐ B. Accomplishment Report

2. Type of Action

- ☒ A. Initial (estimated funding is first requested)
☐ B. Interim
☐ Updating the initial funding request.
☐ Supplying information for accomplishments to date on emergency work underway.
☐ C. Final
☐ Best estimate for funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measure.
☐ Following completion of funded work.

PART II - FIRE LOCATION

1. Fire Name (from Form FS-5100-29): Peak
2. Forest Supervisor's Fire No. (from Form FS-5100-29): AZ-CNF-054
3. State: Arizona
4. County: Cochise
5. Region: Southwest
6. Forest: Coronado
7. Ranger District: Sierra Vista
8. Date Fire Started: June 10, 1988
9. Date Fire Controlled: June 17, 1988
10. Estimated Suppression Costs: \$ 1,500,000
11. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with FFF 102 Funds:
19 miles (firelines waterbarred)
21 acres (firelines seeded)
5 acres fire camp rehabilitation
12. Fire Intensity: 30 % (low) 35 % (medium) 35 % (high)

PART III - NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY

1. Watershed No.: 15-05-02-02-50
2. NFS Acres Burned: 5,000
3. Water Repellant Soil: 35 % of NFS acres burned

4. Vegetation Types: Mixed Conifer, Pinyon Pine, Oak, Juniper, Mt. Mahogany, Mesquite-grassland
5. Geologic Types: Limestone, Granite, and Rhyolite
6. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating:
 20 % (low) 20 % (medium) 60 % (high)
7. Erosion Potential: 56 tons/acre/year
8. Miles of Stream Channels by Regional Order or Classes: No live streams, but valuable wildlife habitat in sycamore-ash ecosystems along 7.75 miles of ephemeral streams.
9. Miles of Forest Service Trails: 2.3
10. Miles of Forest Service Roads by Maintenance Levels:
 1 miles (Level I) 0 miles (Level II)
 4 miles (Levels III, IV, V)

PART IV - CALCULATED RISK AND CLIMATIC EVALUATION

1. Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period: 3 years.
2. Chance of Success Desired by Management: 70 percent.
3. Equivalent Design Recurrence Period: 25 years.
4. Related Design Storm Duration: 1 hours.
5. Related Design Storm Magnitude: 4.12 inches.
6. Related Design Flow 219 cfs.
7. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: 25 percent.
8. Adjusted Related Design Flow: 375 cfs.

PART V - SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS

1. Skills Represented on Burned Area Survey Team ("x" appropriate boxes):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Soils	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Range
<input type="checkbox"/> Timber	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildlife	<input type="checkbox"/> Fire Mgmt.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engineering
<input type="checkbox"/> Contracting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Mgmt.	<input type="checkbox"/> Research	<input type="checkbox"/> Archeology
2. Describe Emergency: Severe erosion hazard, loss of site productivity and consequent loss of wildlife browse area, risk of loss of Forest Road 59 in Ash Canyon Watershed.
3. Emergency Rehabilitation Objective: To reduce damage to Forest Road 59 this rainy season and provide for increased ground cover to protect land productivity within three years and reduce degradation of the soil resource.
4. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing Storm:
 Land 70 % Channel na % Roads 70 % Other %
5. Net Environmental Quality Benefit Index:
 ☒ Significant ☐ Not Significant

6. Net Social Well Being Benefit Index:

☐ Significant

☒ Not Significant

7. Benefit/Cost Ratio: 0.8:1

8. Net Benefits: \$ -4459

9. Cost Effectiveness Index: ☐ I. ☐ II. ☒ III. ☐ IV.

b. Fordwall	Each	4000	1	4,000				
4,000								
c.								
D. MAJOR STRUCTURES								
a. Preplanned -								
from Forest								
Plans								
E. TOTAL				\$25,500	\$		\$	\$
\$ 25,500								

PART VII - APPROVALS

/S/ R. B. Tippeconnic
Forest Supervisor (Signature)

June 18, 1988
Date

/S/
Regional Forester (Signature)

Date