

detailed instructions for use of this form are in the Burned-Area Emergency
Rehabilitation Handbook (FSH 2509.13), Section 41.

1. Fire name Bridge Creek	2. <input type="checkbox"/> Request <input type="checkbox"/> Initial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interim <input type="checkbox"/> Final	3. Date of report 7/28/79			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Accomplishment report <input type="checkbox"/> FFF <input type="checkbox"/> Other				
4. State Oregon	5. County Deschutes	6. Congressional District 2	7. Region 06	8. Forest Deschutes	9. Ranger District Bend
10. Supervisor fire no.	11. Date fire started 7/24/79	12. Date controlled 7/28/79	13. Estimated suppression cost \$1,600,000		
4. Fire suppression damages repaired with FFF 102 funds 13 mi. firelines waterbarred 33 acres firelines seeded					
5. Fire intensity 8% low 25% medium 67% high					

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY

6. Watershed no. 64101-002	17. NFS acres burned 2560	18. Water repellant soil 90% of NFS area burned
9. Vegetation types Ecoclass CL-G4-11, CL-G4-12, CL-G3-14, CP-S3-12, CW-S1-15, CW-C9-11, Lodgepole pine, Ponderosa Pine, Mixed Conifer, Engleman Spruce.		
10. Geologic types Andesite & Pumice & Ash Soils		
1. Soil erosion hazard rating 33% low 33% med. 34% high	22. Erosion potential 3000 cu. yds./sq. mi.	23. Flood peak potential 13.7 cu. ft./sec./sq. mi.
4. Miles of stream channels by Regional order or classes I 1.4 II 3.2 III 0.6		
5. Miles of Forest Service roads and trails by maintenance levels 0 mi. level I rds. 2.0 mi. level II rds. 7.0 mi. levels III, IV, V rds. 2.0 mi. trails		

CLIMATIC DATA

6. Annual precipitation 40 inches	27. Design storm rainfall during 24 hour period 2.0 inches 2 yr. frequency 3.0 inches 10 yr. frequency
8. Annual runoff 29 inches	29. Maximum 30 minute intensity storm .3 inches 2 yr. frequency .6 inches 10 yr. frequency

SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS

30. Skills represented on burned area survey team (check) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Soils <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geology <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Range <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Timber <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildlife <input type="checkbox"/> Fire Management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engineering <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contracting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Management <input type="checkbox"/> Research <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other	
31. Describe emergency \$30,320 of 094 money is requested, in addition to the \$117,600 which was approved in W.O. on 7/31/79 (approved by Forest on 7/29/79 and Regional Forester on 7/30/79).	
32. Emergency rehabilitation objective Grass seeding costs were underestimated. \$20/acre rather than \$10/acre, is needed for helicopter seeding of grass on 1600 acres. On 358 of these acres (in City of Bend Watershed), an additional \$40/acre is needed to seed perennial grass by hand.	
33. Personnel needs for rehabilitation project on NFS lands man-years reassigned for \$ 4,000 man-years new hires for \$ 0	
34. Probability of completing treatment prior to first major damage-producing storm Land 75% Channel 75% Roads 75% Other 75%	
35. Net environmental quality benefit index <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant <input type="checkbox"/> Not Significant	36. Net social wellbeing benefit index <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant <input type="checkbox"/> Not Significant
37. Benefit/cost ratio 1.01 Net benefits \$1,110	38. Cost effectiveness index (check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I <input type="checkbox"/> II <input type="checkbox"/> III <input type="checkbox"/> IV
39. Forest Supervisor approval & date /s/ Art DuFault, Acting F.S. 7/29/79	Regional Forester approval & date /s/ DHMorton 7/30/79
Date funding approved in WO 7/31/79	

/s/ RTMeurisse for ACEllson 7/30/79

CNW

T2500-3 (7/78)

Follow instructions for use of this form are in the Burned-Area Emergency Rehabilitation Handbook (FSH 2509.13), Section 41.

Fire name Bridge Creek	2. <input type="checkbox"/> Request <input type="checkbox"/> Initial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interim <input type="checkbox"/> Final	3. Date of report 7/28/79			
State Oregon	5. County Deschutes	6. Congressional District 2	7. Region 06	8. Forest Deschutes	9. Ranger District Bend
Supervisor fire no.	11. Date fire started 7/24/79	12. Date controlled 7/28/79	13. Estimated suppression cost \$1,600,000		
Fire suppression damages repaired with FFF 102 funds 13 mi. firelines waterbarred 33 acres firelines seeded					
Fire intensity 8% low 25% medium 67% high					

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY

Watershed no. 64101-002	17. NFS acres burned 2560	18. Water repellent soil 90% of NFS area burned
Vegetation types Ecoclass CL-G4-11, CL-G4-12, CL-G3-14, CP-S3-12, CW-S1-15, CW-C9-11, Lodgepole pine, Ponderosa Pine, Mixed Conifer, Engleman Spruce.		
Geologic types Andesite & Pumice & Ash Soils		
Soil erosion hazard rating 33% low 33% med. 34% high	22. Erosion potential 3000 cu. yds./sq. mi.	23. Flood peak potential 13.7 cu. ft./sec./sq. mi.
Miles of stream channels by Regional order or classes I 1.4 II 3.2 III 0.6		
Miles of Forest Service roads and trails by maintenance levels 0 mi. level I rds. 2.0 mi. level II rds. 7.0 mi. levels III, IV, V rds. 2.0 mi. trails		

CLIMATIC DATA

Annual precipitation 40 inches	27. Design storm rainfall during 24 hour period 2.0 inches 2 yr. frequency 3.0 inches 10 yr. frequency
Annual runoff 29 inches	29. Maximum 30 minute intensity storm .3 inches 2 yr. frequency .6 inches 10 yr. frequency

SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS

Skills represented on burned area survey team (check) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Soils <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geology <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Range <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Timber <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildlife <input type="checkbox"/> Fire Management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Engineering <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contracting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Management <input type="checkbox"/> Research <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other	
1. Describe emergency \$30,320 of 094 money is requested, in addition to the \$117,600 which was approved in W.O. on 7/31/79 (approved by Forest on 7/29/79 and Regional Forester on 7/30/79).	
2. Emergency rehabilitation objective Grass seeding costs were underestimated. \$20/acre rather than \$10/acre, is needed for helicopter seeding of grass on 1600 acres. On 358 of these acres (in City of Bend Watershed), an additional \$40/acre is needed to seed perennial grass by hand.	
3. Personnel needs for rehabilitation project on NFS lands man-years reassigned for \$ 4,000 man-years new hires for \$ 0	
4. Probability of completing treatment prior to first major damage-producing storm Land 75% Channel 75% Roads 75% Other 75%	
5. Net environmental quality benefit index <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant <input type="checkbox"/> Not Significant	36. Net social wellbeing benefit index <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant <input type="checkbox"/> Not Significant
7. Benefit/cost ratio 1.01	38. Cost effectiveness index (check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I <input type="checkbox"/> II <input type="checkbox"/> III <input type="checkbox"/> IV
9. Forest Supervisor approval & date /s/ Art DuFault, Acting F.S. 7/29/79	Regional Forester approval & date /s/ DHMorton 7/30/79
Data funding approved in WO 7/31/79	

T2500-3 (7/78)

/s/ RTMeurisse for ACEllson 7/30/79

CNW

Fire Name _____
 SITE AND OFF-SITE DEVELOPMENTS SUBJECT TO HAZARDS FROM FLOODS, FLOATING DEBRIS,
 EROSION, OR SEDIMENT BECAUSE A WATERSHED IS IMPAIRED BY WILDFIRE. (Do not include
 value of resources damaged or destroyed by the fire as reported on Form 5100-29.)

- 1. Community and urban development
- 2. Municipal and domestic water supply 2/
- 3. Transportation systems 2/
- 4. Water distribution systems (irrigation) 2/
- 5. Agricultural development (crops, facilities) 1/
- 6. Industrial development (dams, power, manufacturing)
- 7. Power and communication lines
- 8. Recreation development (Source: Bruce Kirkland)
- 9. Fish habitat 1/
- 10. Other (specify)

No. of units	Estimated value (dollars)
people 0	0
people served 18,500	\$7,501,000
miles 10.75	\$161,250
miles 85	\$4,638,000
acres 8,163	\$12,678,772
number 0	0
miles 0	0
PAOT 0	0
miles 6	\$38,124
n/a	0

TOTAL HAZARD POTENTIAL (Indicates values threatened by design
 storm. Does not enter into the R/C.)

* \$25,017,146

NARRATIVE (Optional. May be left out or expanded on additional sheets as needed.)

1/ Present value of loss, based on 10 year natural recovery rate. WRC interest rate of
 6.875% used.

2/ Book value and/or replacement cost.

Fire Name

SUMMARY OF EMERGENCY REHABILITATION NEEDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP

Land ownership	50. Acres burned	51. Emergency rehabilitation needs					Source of emergency rehabilitation funds for needed work (dollars)						
		Land (acres)	Channel (miles)	Road and Trail (miles)	Other (each)	52. FFF			53. 216	54. FR&T	55. Other Federal (name)	56. Non- Federal (name)	57. Total
						094	102						
FEDERAL NFS	2560	1660	3.6	.01	1	147,920	1419						149,339
Other (name)													
Subtotal Federal	2560	1660	3.6	.01	1	147,920	1419						\$149,339
NON-FEDERAL State & county													
Indian reservation													
Private	1860	252									8316	8316	8316
Subtotal Non-Federal	1860	252									\$8316	\$8316	\$8316
TOTAL	4420	1912	3.6	.01	1	\$147,920	\$1419				\$8316	\$8316	\$157,655

T 2500-0 (7/70)

Fire Name

ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS
(Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and
is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.)

	Units	Unit cost	NFS Lands			Other Lands			Total dollars all lands
			No. of units NFS	FFP 094 dollars	Other dollars (Name)	No. of units other	Federal dollars (Name)	Non-Fed. dollars (Name)	
58. <u>LAND</u>									
Seeding	3/ Acres	33 29	1600	46,320		240	Brooks 7920		7920 46,320
Fertilizer	Acres	32	950	30,400					30,400
Riparian Plants	Acres	720	5	3600					3600
Snag Terracing	Acres	345	29	10,000					10,000
Fireline Seeding	Acres	33	43		FF 1419	12		Brooks 396	1815
59. <u>CHANNELS</u>									
Opening water courses 1/	Miles	3.6	4028	14,500					14,500
Stabilizing streambanks	Miles								
2/ Bridge Creek Snags	(Miles) Acres	1621	(0.5) 29	47,000					47,000
60. <u>ROADS** TRAILS</u>									
Log or rock crib	Feet	2	600	1200					1200
Puncheon	Feet	30	140	4200					4200
	Bridge			700					700
61. <u>MAJOR STRUCTURES</u>									
Preplanned -- from Forest Plans	Each								
TOTAL			*	147,920	1419			8316	157,655

1/ Includes felling of occasional snags likely to fall into streams.

2/ Felling & yarding of snags in Bridge Creek above City intake in snag terracing area.

3/ 1242 acres @ \$20/acre
358 acres @ \$60/acre (in City watershed)

* \$117,600 allocated earlier, balance needed is \$30,320.

T2500-8 (7/78)

EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM

62.

EXPECTED DAMAGE REDUCTION BENEFIT SUMMARY
at current Water Resources Council interest rate of 6.875percent

at current water resources conditions						
Economic benefit indices	Units of measure	Damage expected				Expected \$ damage reduction
		Without treatment		With treatment		
		No. of units	Present value \$	No. of units	Present value \$	
WATERSHED IMPACTS						
SEDIMENTS						
Downstream water storage	N/A					
Sediment removal	Cu. Yards	29,372	\$117,488	24,024	\$96,096	\$21,392
Fish habitat (6 miles)	RVD	2,834	\$30,607	2411.5	\$26,044	\$4,563
Water quality	N/A					
FLOOD WATER						
Land	N/A					
Improvements	Structures	27	\$133,350	27	\$49,350	\$84,000
Subtotal watershed			\$281,445		\$171,490	\$109,955
RESOURCE RELATED IMPACTS						
Range	N/A					
Wildlife and recreation	RVD	5,292	\$132,300	3729	\$93,225	\$39,075
Timber	N/A					
Subtotal resource related						
OTHER IMPACTS						
	N/A					
Subtotal other						
Total dollars			\$413,745		\$264,715	\$149,030

Fire Name

EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM

63. (Table 4)

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BENEFIT INDEX							
ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA	Weight Factor	Without treatment		With treatment		Difference	
		Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted
Erosion and sediment	10	2	20	1	10	1	10
Aesthetic land quality	8	2	16	1	8	1	8
Water quality	10	2	20	1	10	1	10
Site productivity	5	1	5	0.5	2.5	0.5	2.5
Wildlife habitat	4						
Fish habitat	5	2	10	1	5	1	5
Other	N/A						
TOTAL	42		71		35.5		35.5
Average weighted index			1.69		0.845		0.845
Net environmental quality benefit index							0.845

64. (Table 5)

SOCIAL WELL-BEING BENEFIT INDEX							
SOCIAL CRITERIA	Weight Factor	Without treatment		With treatment		Difference	
		Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted
Life, health, safety	10	2	20	1	10	1	10
Employment	5						
Recreational opportunity	5	1	5			1	5
Economic stability	1	1	1			1	1
Income distribution	1						
Preserve special sites	1						
Other Political	10	2	20			2	30
TOTAL	33		46		10		36
Average weighted index			1.394		0.333		1.091
Net social well-being benefit index							1.091