REPLY TO:

6520 Financial Management 2520 Watershed Protection and Management

March 5, 1980

SUBJECT:

FFF Operating Plan - Emergency Burn Rehabilitation, Shadow Branch #3, San Bernardino National Forest

Forest Supervisor, San Bernardino National Forest



We have been advised that your request for additional obligational authority to expend FFF 092 funds during FY 1980 for emergency burn rehabilitation on the subject burn has been approved for \$25,500. This brings your total authority for this burn to \$44,000.

Expenditures should not exceed the above authorizations without prior approval from this office.

ROBERT W., CERMAK

ZANE G. SMITH, JR. Regional Forester

cc: Bob Healy, PP&B

RAReese:ad 3-5-80

15/80

A 200

Sung

Fiv 36-80

In the second

Date of Report 2-15-80

EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM

63. (Table 4)	ENVIR		QUALITY B			1	
ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA	Weight Factor	Without Actual	treatment Weighted		With treatment Actual Weighted		weighted
Erosion and sediment	10	2	20	1	10	1	10
Aesthetic land quality	7	2	14	1	7	1	7
Water quality	2	1	2	1	2	0	0
Site productivity	5	1	5	0	0]	5
Wildlife habitat	2	1	2	0	0	0	0
Fish habitat	0						
Other	0						
TOTAL	26		43		19		. 24
Average weighted index			1.7		0.7		0.9
Net environmental quality benefit index							S

64. (Table 5)	SOCIAL WELL-BEING BENEFIT INDEX						
SOCIAL CRITERIA	Weight	Without	treatment	Differ	ence		
SUCIAL CRITERIA	Factor	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted
Life, health, safety	10	2	20	1	10	7	10
Employment	0						
Recreational opportunity	0						
Economic stability							
Income distribution	0			2			
Preserve special sites	0						
Other	0						
TOTAL	10		20		10		10
Average weighted index			2		1		1
Net social well-being benefit index	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						S

T 2500-8 (7/78)

Date of Report 2-15-80

EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM

62. EXPECTED DAMAGE REDUCTION BENEFIT SUMMARY 6.875 at current Water Resources Council Interest rate of _____6.875

the state of the s				- Control of the Cont		
			Damag	e expected		
Economic benefit indices	Units of	Without t		With tre		Expected
Economic benefit indices	measure	No. of units	Present value \$	No. of units	Present value \$	\$ damage reduction
WATERSHED IMPACTS SEDIMENTS Downstream water storage				٨		
Sediment removal	Cu. Yds.	405,000	2,762,000	210,000	1,502,000	1,260,00
Fish habitat						
Water quality	·					
FLOOD WATER Land						
Improvements - homes,			4 811 000		3.924.000	887,000
Subtotal watershed			7,573,000		5,426,000	2,147,0
RESOURCE RELATED IMPACTS Range					·	
Wildlife and recreation					programments of the grade of the state of th	
Timber						
Subtotal resource related			0		0	0
OTHER IMPACTS						
Subtotal other			0		0	0
Total dollars			7,573,000		5,426,000	2,147,00

Fire Name SHADOW BR. 3

Name SHADOW BR. 3

Pate of Report 2-15-80

ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS (Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.)

	is not to s	olve wa	atershe	d probl	ems that	existed	prior	to the v	vildfire.	-
Г				NI	'S Lands		U	ner Land	15	IULAI
- }		1	Unit	No. of	FFF092	Other	No. of	Federal	Non-Fed.	dollars
- 1		Units		units	dollars	dollars	units	dollars	dollars	all
- [EO 7 437D	OHILL	2082	NFS		(Name)	other	(Name)	(Name)	lands
1	58. <u>LAND</u>			112.5						!
	_ ,,	ACTOS	17.80	327	5820					5820
1	Seeding	ACTO	.,							
1									ļ	! i
ŀ										
				!				ł		
ļ										
-						1	i	ł	İ	<u> </u>
L		ļ								
ı						1	l			1
Į		ļ						 		
	59. CHANNELS]				1		ł.	ļ	1
	Opening water			1		ì		1		
	courses	Miles							1	
,	Stabilizing		20 62	0 75	15470	500,000	ł .	1		515,470
* [streambanks	Miles	20,03	0.75	13470	300,000	 			1
-		l		000	4000	ł	l	1		4,200
* [Gully Plugs	Each	21.00	200	4200	<u> </u>	 			4.200
I				1	ł	I	l	ŧ	1	
					<u> </u>	 	 	 		
			l	Í	1	1	1	Į.	-	
ı		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		 		
ı	60. ROADS & TRAILS	1			l	i		1		
			1	I		1	1	1	İ	
				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>		
1	•		1	١.	1		Ī		1	
		<u> </u>					ļ			-
					1	1	Í	i		1
							 	ļ	 	
				1	1	į		1		ł
		<u> </u>						<u> </u>		<u> </u>
		1				1		1		1
				1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	61. MAJOR		T			1	1	1	1	
	STRUCTURES						ł			1
	Preplanned						1		1	1
	from Forest Plans	Each	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
					05400	L-00 00	d	1	1	525,490
			TO'	TAL	25490	500,00	4		,	1020,490

T 2500-8 (7/78)

Page 3

USDA-Forest Service

BURNED AREA REPORT

Fire Name SHAL	SHADOW BR.	3			BURNED	BURNED AREA KEPUKI	¥		Date of	Report	2-15-80	-80
,		SUMM	ARY OF EM	ÆRGENCY	REHABIL	SUMMARY OF EMERGENCY REHABILITATION NEEDS BY LAND OWNERSHIP	IEEDS BY	LAND OW	ERSHIP	,		_
	50.	51. Eme	Emergency rehabilitation needs	y rehabilit	ation	Source o	f emerge	ancy reh	Source of emergency rehabilitation (dollars)	punj uo	s for nee	funds for needed work
	() (Pa Ca		52. FF	FFF	53.	54.	55.	56.	57.
Land Ownership	burned	Land (acres)	Channel (miles)	and Trail (miles)	Other	092	102	216	FR&T	Other Federal (name)	Non- Federal (name)	Total
FEDERAL	822	327	0.75	0	200	25490	l ·	*			* 500,000	525,490
Other (name)				·						·		
Subtotal Federal	822	327	0.75	0	200	25490					500,000	525,490
NON-FEDERAL State & county					·							
Indian reservation				·								
Private	623											
Subtotal Non-Federal	623									·		
TOTAL	1445	327	0.75		200	25,490				·	500,000	525,490

*City of San Bernardino funds.

Fire Name SHADOW BR. 3

ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE DEVELOPMENTS SUBJECT TO HAZARDS FROM FLOODS, FLOATING DEBRIS, EROSION, OR SEDIMENT BECAUSE A WATERSHED IS IMPAIRED BY WILDFIRE. (Do not include the property of resources damaged or destroyed by the fire as reported on Form 5100-29.)

value of resources damaged or destroyed by the life us I	No. of units	Estimated value
		(dollars)
	people	
40. Community and urban development	13,500	304,200,000
,	people served	
41. Municipal and domestic water supply	1400 ·	10,000,000
42. Transportation systems	16	3.200.000
42. Italisportation of the	miles	
43. Water distribution systems (irrigation)	0	
	acres	
44. Agricultural development (crops, facilities)	number	
(a m t	umper	
45. Industrial development (dams, power, manufacturing)	miles	
46. Power and communication lines	8	400,000
40. Touck and communication communication	PAOT	
47. Recreation development	0	
	miles	
48. Fish habitat		
49. Other (specify)	Schools	10,000,000
49. Uther (specify)		207 000 000
The second postuments of the second lines throughout in	hy decion	327,800,000

TOTAL HAZARD POTENTIAL (Indicates values threatened by design storm. Does not enter into the R/C.)

NARRATIVE (Optional. May be left out or expanded on additional sheets as needed.)
An intensively developed urban area lies below the burned area. Intense rains during January generated mud flows that filled the Harrison Canyon debris basin. Subsequent rains a few days later generated additional mud flows that overtopped the basin and damaged 31 homes. All residents and a nearby school were evacuated. A third storm generated more mud flows, overtopped the basin, and damaged 33 homes, 31 of which were previously damaged. In addition to the homes damaged by the mud flows, two homes above the basin have been abandoned due to undermining the valley alluvium and six or more could be isolated should the only road into the area be lost due to undermining.

In addition to the homes directly affected by the mud flows, mud has been deposited on streets and yards throughout a wide area down stream of the burned area.

The area has been declared a disaster area by Governor Brown. Application has been made by the City of San Bernardino to be declared a disaster area by the Federal Government.

BURNED AREA REPORT

Detailed instructions for use of this form are in the Burned-Area Emergency
Rehabilitation Handbook (FSH 2509.13), Section 41. 1. Fire name 2. Request Initial Interim X Final 3. Date of report
1. Fire name 2. Request Initial Interim XFinal 3. Date of report SHADOW BR. 3 X Accomplishment report FFF Other February 15, 1980
4. State 5. County 6. Congressional 7. Region 8. Forest 9. Ranger District
CALIF. SAN BDNO. District 37 05 SAN BDNO. CAJON
10. Supervisor 11. Date fire started 12. Date controlled 13. Estimated suppression
fire no. 197 SEPT. 18, 1979 SEPT. 20, 1979 cost \$ 400,000 14. Fire suppression damages repaired with FFF 102 funds
4.5 mi. firelines waterbarred () acres firelines seeded
15. Fire intensity 0 % low 20 % medium 80 % high
NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY
16. Watershed no. 17. NFS acres burned 18. Water repellant soil
1807020312 822 80% of NFS area burned
19. Vegetation types Chamise - 80%; Buckwheat - 20%
20. Geologic types
Granite and adamellite: Precambrian igneous and metamorphic rock complex
21. Soil erosion hazard rating 22. Erosion potential 23. Flood peak potential 6 % low 4 % med.90 % high 83,710 cu. yds./sq. mi. 130 cu. ft./sec./sq. mi.
24. Miles of stream channels by Regional order or classes 2 miles of class IV
25. Miles of Forest Service roads and trails by maintenance levels
mi. level I rds. mi. level II rds. 3 mi. levels III, IV, V rds. mi. trails
CLIMATIC DATA 26. Annual precipitation 27. Design storm rainfall during 6 hour period
28.4 inches 1.29 inches 2 yr. frequency 2.4 inches 10 yr. frequency
28. Annual runoff 29. Maximum 30 minute intensity storm
6.8 inches 0.34 inches 2 yr. frequency 0.64 inches 10 vr. frequency
SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS
30. Skills represented on burned area survey team (check)
Management Research Other Botany Management Research Other Botany
I Engineering Contracting Local Management Research Cother Botany 31. Describe emergency
To date, 33 homes have been damaged by mud flows and two others are in
eminent danger of being undermined and lost in Harrison Canyon.
32. Emergency rehabilitation objective
Stabilize alluvium and reduce peak volumes and velocities.
33. Personnel needs for rehabilitation project on NFS lands
0.1 man-years reassigned for \$ 2400 0 man-years new hires for \$ 0
34. Probability of completing treatment prior to first major damage-producing storm
Land 0 % Channel 5 % Roads % Other % 35. Net environmental quality benefit index 36. Net social wellbeing benefit index
35. Net environmental quality benefit index 36. Net social wellbeing benefit index Significant Significant Not Significant
37. Benefit/cost ratio Net benefits 38. Cost effectiveness index (check one)
39. Forest Supervisor approval & date Regional Forester approval & date Date funcing
approved in WO
T 2500-8 (7/78)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE

SBNF

2520 Watershed Protection and Management

August 19, 1980

SUBJECT:

Emergency Burn Rehab - Shadow Br. 3

Regional Forester TO:

> Attached is the final Accomplishment Report for the Shadow Br. 3 burn rehabilitation. The total amount spent on rehabilitation measures is probably slightly im excess of \$500,000, of which only \$25,490 were Federal funds. The county and city expended about \$500,000 in materials and manpower on this project. An

indeterminate number of manhours were volunteered by the public,

YACC, and CCC.

Forest Supervisor

Enclosure

From Parl Leger 9/4/80

AUG 28 1980

Road not tioned