Date of Report: July 15, 2009

#### **BURNED-AREA REPORT**

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

NO TREATMENT DECISION AND FIRE 330 ACRES - Fill out the yellow highlighted sections, Forest BAER Coordinator sign, and send to Regional BAER Coordinator. BR, 2009

## **PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST**

I.	Type of Report
[]2.	Funding request for estimated WFSU-SULT funds Accomplishment Report  No Treatment Recommendation
I.	Type of Action
[]1.	Initial Request (Best estimate of funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)
[]2.	Interim Report [ ] Updating the initial funding request based on more accurate site data or design analysis [ ] Status of accomplishments to date
[]3	Final Report (Following completion of work)

#### PART II - BURNED-AREA DESCRIPTION

A. Fire Name: Sulphur B. Fire Number: CA-LPF 2787

C. State: CA D. County: Ventura

E. Region: 05 F. Forest: Los Padres

G. District: Mount Pinos

H. Date Fire Started: 7/11/2009 I. Date Fire Contained: 7/12/2009

J. Suppression Cost:

- K. Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with Suppression Funds
  - 1. Fireline waterbarred (miles):
  - 2. Fireline seeded (miles):
  - 3. Other (identify): Road Dust Abaitment ½ mile outside of the burn area.
- L. Watershed Number: **Upper Cuyama** 1806000701
- M. Total Acres Burned: 330

NFS Acres(317) Other Federal ( ) State (0) Private (13)

N. Vegetation Types: Grass, Pinyon-Juniper, sage brush

O.	Dominant Soils: LPF #38,40, Orthentes, Fluventes, Reliz –Trigo family Badlands Association					
Ρ.	Geologic Types: Sandstone, Conglomerate, .Sandy Loam stream terraces					
Q.	. Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class:					
l.	Transportation System Trails:miles Roads:miles					
	PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION					
A.	Burn Severity (acres): 110 (low) 110 (moderate) 110 (high)					
	3. Water-Repellent Soil (acres): C. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres):					
D.	(low) (moderate) (high) Erosion Potential: tons/acre					
E.	Sediment Potential: cubic yards / square mile					
	PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS					
A	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): 5					
	Design Chance of Success, (percent):					
	Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years):					
D.	D. Design Storm Duration, (hours):					
E.	E. Design Storm Magnitude, (inches):					
F.	Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile):					
G.	. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent):					
I.	Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile):					
	PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS					
AΝ	Describe Watershed Emergency: STATE WHETHER OR NOT VALUES AT RISK WERE IDENTIFIED ID IF SO, WHAT THEY ARE.  ne idenified.					
	Emergency Treatment Objectives: Even if Values at Risk were identified, the actual threat to them					

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives: Even if Values at Risk were identified, the actual threat to them may be quite low due to the fire size or other circumstances. Provide further description and why NO TREATMENT was chosen. Otherwise, we may need to go further in our assessment and consideration of possible treatments.

One residence is a value-at-risk, yet is at a low risk due to the fact that this portion of the fire was a low severity burn. The moderate and high severity burned areas were mainly in Pinyon-Juniper and sage brush in the flats and west slopes with no threat to any values-at-risk. Dry Canyon and Alamo drainages are very wide and with deep existing channels. This area frequently has rapid flash flooding due to higher elevation thunder storms. The streams drain into Upper Cuyama and recedes quickly following a storm event.

C. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage-Producing Storm:									
	Land %	6 Channel _	% Roads %	Other %					
D. Probability of Treatment Success									
		ears after Trea							
Land	1	3	5						
Channel									
Roads									
Other									
<ul><li>E. Cost of No-Action (Including Loss):</li><li>F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss):</li></ul>									
		`	, <u>-</u>						
I. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:									
[] Fore [] Con	estry tracting	[] Soils [] Wildlife [] Ecology [] Research	[] Geology [x] Fire Mgmt. [] Botany [] Landscape Arch	[x] Range [] Engineering [] Archaeology [] GIS	[ <b>x</b> ] Lands [ ] [ ]				
Team Leader: Gary Montgomery, BAER Leader and Resource Advisor, LPF									
Email: gdmo	Email: gdmontgomery@fs.fed.us Phone: (805) 925-9538 ext: 215 FAX: (805) 961-5781								

#### I. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

**Land Treatments:** 

Channel Treatments:

Roads and Trail Treatments:

Structures:

### I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

A NO TREATMENT MAY BE CONTROVERSIAL AND MONITORING MAY BE JUSTIFIED TO DETERMINE IF TREATMENT IS STILL NECESSARY. IF FUNDING FOR MONITORING IS REQUESTED DESCRIBE HERE. None needed.

Part VI – Emergency Rehabilitation Treatments and Source of Funds by Land Ownership

		Unit		WFSU	Other	× 1	of	Fed		Non Fed	
Line Items	Units	Cost	Units	SULT \$	\$	un	nits	\$	Units	\$	\$
A. Land Treatments											
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Land Treatments				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
B. Channel Treatmen	ts										
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
C. Road and Trails				·							·
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
D. Structures								·			•
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Structures				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
E. BAER Evaluation				* -	<b>,</b>			* -		1	*
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Evaluation				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
F. Monitoring				70	ŢĴ			+ -		+ + +	*
				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0			\$0		\$0	\$(
Subtotal Monitoring				\$0	\$0		$\dashv$	\$0		\$0	\$(
				ΨŪ	ΨJ			ΨΟ		<b>*</b>	Ψ
G. Totals				\$0	\$0		_	\$0		\$0	\$0
o. Totalo				ΨΟ	Ψυ		$\dashv$	ΨΟ		Ψ0	Ψ

# PART VII - APPROVALS

Fore	est Coordinator: /s/ Douna C. Toth	Date: <u>July 15, 2009</u>
1.	Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
2.	Regional Forester (signature)	 Date

No Treatment, Fire Under 340 Acres