1.

2.

10. 11.

12.

2. 3.

Date	of	Report:	6/07/90	
------	----	---------	---------	--

BURNED AREA REPORT (Reference FSH 2509.13, Report FS-2500-A)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

Type of Report
[] A. Funding (Request for estimated FFF funds) [X] B. Accomplishment Report
Type of Action
[] A. Initial (estimated funding is first requested) [] B. Interim
[] Updating the initial funding request.[] Supplying information for accomplishments to date on emergency work underway.[X] C. Final
[] Best estimate for funds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measure.[X] Following completion of funded work. (see added narrative)
PART II - FIRE LOCATION
Fire Name (from Form FS-5100-29): South Fork Forest Supervisor's Fire No. (from Form FS-5100-29): AZ-ASF-039 State: ARIZONA County: APACHE Region:03 Forest:01 A/5 Ranger District: Springerville Date Fire Started: 5/24/89 Date Fire Controlled: 5/26/89 Estimated Suppression Costs: \$ 225,000 Fire Suppression Damages Repaired with FFF 102 Funds:
Fire Intensity: 25 % (low) 55 % (medium) 20 % (high)
PART III - NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY
Watershed No.: 15-02-00-01-98 NFS Acres Burned: 346 Total (State 25) (Private 23) Water Repellant Soil: 8 % of NFS acres burned

4.	Vegetation Types: Ponderosa Pine/Pinyon-juniper and riparian community
5.	Geologic Types: Datil Formation, Sandstone/basalts
6.	Soil Erosion Hazard Rating:
	38 % (low) 17 % (medium) 62 % (high)
7.	Erosion Potential: 6210 cu. yds/sq. miles
8.	Miles of Stream Channels by Regional Order or Classes: Order II .3 mi.
9.	Miles of Forest Service Trails: 1.6 Order III .8 mi.
0.	Miles of Forest Service Roads by Maintenance Levels:
	O.6 miles (Level I)O.0 miles (Level II)O.3 miles (Levels III, IV, V)
	PART IV - CALCULATED RISK AND CLIMATIC EVALUATION
	TART IV - ORDODATED REGIONAL MED COMMITTED BYTADATE TOR
1.	Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period: 5 years.
2.	0-
3.	Equivalent Design Recurrence Period: 25 years.
4.	Related Design Storm Duration: 1 hours.
5.	-
6.	
	Estimated Reduction in Infiltration: 8 percent.
	Adjusted Related Design Flow: 393 cfsm.
Ο.	Adjusted Related Design Flow. 575 Cism.
	PART V - SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS
1.	Skills Represented on Burned Area Survey Team ("x" appropriate boxes):
	[X] Hydrology [X] Soils [] Geology [] Range
	[] Timber [X] Wildlife [] Fire Mgmt. [X] Engineering
	[] Contracting [] Local Mgmt. [] Research [X] Other (identify)
	Recreation
2	
2.	
3·	
4.	Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing Storm:
	Land 90 % Channel % Roads % Other %
5.	Net Environmental Quality Benefit Index:
	[X] Significant [] Not Significant
6.	Net Social Well Being Benefit Index:
	[X] Significant [] Not Significant
7.	Benefit/Cost Ratio: .98
8.	Net Benefits: \$
9.	Cost Effectiveness Index: [] I. [X] II. [] III. [] IV.

PART VI - ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS

NOTE: Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.

				S Lands		<u> </u>	Other L		All Lands
Line Items	Units	Unit	No. of	FFF 092	Other \$	No. of	Federal\$	Non-Federal	Total
		Cost	Units	\$	1	Units	1	\$	\$
	1	 	ı]		ļ 1			
(1)	(2)	l [(3)	l (4)	l (5)	ident. (6)	 (7)	ident. (8)	identify (9)	j i
	1	<u>(3)</u> 	l (4)		1. (0) 1	1	(0) 	(9)	<u> </u>
. LAND					1	l		1	
a. Seeding	Acres	20	164	3195			1		
b. Aerial Application	Acres							<u>i</u>	
c. Contour Felling	Acres				<u>L.</u>				
d.	1				<u> </u>	L]	
e.					<u>!</u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	
. CHANNELS	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	! 	l	1	<u> </u> 	<u> </u>
a. Opening water	i			·	<u>'</u>		İ		<u> </u>
courses	Miles				ĺ		İ	ĺ	
b. Stabilizing					1		1	1	
streambanks	Miles				L				
с.	1					l.			
d.	1							1	
е.				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
. ROADS AND TRAILS	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
a.								1	
b.	1				1	!			
с.								Í	
. MAJOR STRUCTURES					1				
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
a. Preplanned - from Forest	<u> </u>						<u> </u>		
Plans					<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
LIGHS	<u>!</u>			·	l			<u> </u>	
. TOTAL	<u> </u>	!		\$3195			\$	 \$	\$

PART VII - APPROVALS

/S/Nick w. McDonough	6/1/89
Forest Supervisor (Signature)	Date
/s/	
Regional Forester (Signature)	Date

Note: Levil application not done + terracettes not needed

EXAMINING IMPACTS OF MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES FOR AN EMERGENCY PROGRAM

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

	Reference	e FSII 250	09.13)				
Fire Name * South Fork						ate of Rep	ort
	TOOMERNE				*	5/31/89	
A. Env			TY BENEFIT				
Environmental Factor	Weight Factor	`	Treatment Weighted	· <u> </u>			erence
(a)	(b)	(c)					
	1	1 (6)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1. Erosion and sediment *	10	2	20	1	1 10 1	!!!	10
							
2. Aesthetic land quality *	6	1 1	6	1	6	0	0
	1			Ì	1 1		
3. Water quality *	8	1 1	8	0	0		8
	1			1	1		
4. Site productivity *	4	1 1	4	1 0	0	<u> </u>	/1
E 1921-21-1-13-1-1	-			1	1	1	
5. Wildlife habitat *	6	1 1	6	0	0	1	6
6. Fish habitat *	 10		20				
VI ZBR MOTEU	10	2	20	1 1	10		10
7. Other *	i I	} }		1	1 1	 	
		1//////		1//////	!	<u> </u> ///////	77772
8. TOTAL *		1///////		1//////		//////// ///////	38
	· · ·	1//////		1//////		<i> </i>	
9. Average weighted index *		1//////			0.59		.86
			/////////				.007
0. Net environmental quality benefit index	* /////	1////////	////////////	1//////	1/////////	<i> </i>	.86
B. S00	IAL WELL	-BEING BE	ENEFIT INDE	X	177777777	177777777	
	Weight	Without	Treatment	With T	realment	Diffe	rence
Social Criteria	Factor	/ Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighted	Actual	Weighte
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(0)	(f)	(g)	(h)
•	İ						
1. Life, health, safety *	10	1 1	10	0	0	1 1	10
2 7 1	ļ						
2. Employment *	2	0	0	0	0	()	0
3 Poopostional	1			!		!	
3. Recreational opportunity *	10	1 1	10	0	0	1	10
4. Economic stability *	1 6		0	1 0			
Decidonic Stability	1 4	0	0	0	0	0	()
5. Income distribution *	2	l 0	0	1 0			0
		<u> </u>		0	0	0	()
6. Preserve special sites *	1 8	1 1	8	1 0	1 0 I	1 J	8
	1			1	l I		()
7. Other *	i			1	·	; 	
		//////		1//////	<u> </u>	///////	
8. TOTAL *		//////		1///////		/////////	28
		//////		1//////		////////	
9. Average weighted index *		//////		1//////		////////	. 78
			/////////				
O. Net social well-being benefit index *			///////////////////////////////////////				. 78
		REMARKS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

6* Archaeological sites + recreation sites

D. EXPECTED DAMAGE REDUCTION BENEFIT SUMMARY

Note: At current Water Resources Council interest rate $\frac{*8.625}{}$ percent

		l	Damago			
	Units of	Without	Treatment	With T	reatment	Expected \$
Economic Benefit Indices	Measure	No. of	Present	No. of	Present	Damage
		Units	Value(\$)	Units	Value(\$)	Reduction
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
I. Watershed Impacts Sediments	1//////////////////////////////////////	1//////	1//////////////////////////////////////	1//////	1///////	11/1///////////////////////////////////
1. Downstream water storage *		1			·	
2. Sediment removal *	CY	685	 2055	 459	1377	678
3. Fish habitat *	miles	1.7	9152	1.7	 4576	4576
4. Water quality *] 		i I	1] 	
II. Flood Water	1/////////	1//////	1//////////////////////////////////////	1//////	1//////	///////////////////////////////////////
1. Land * Road	miles	0.3	2880	0.3	1000	1880
2. Water Improvements *		 	<u> </u>	 		
_	1/////////		•	1//////	1	
3. Subtotal, Watershed *	\//////////////////////////////////			1//////		7134
III. Resource Related Impacts	1/////////	1/////	1//////////////////////////////////////	<u> </u>	1///////	///////////////////////////////////////
1. Range *		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
2. Wildlife and recreation *	<u> </u>	1			1	
3. Timber *	1	[1	1	
•	1/////////	1//////	<u>!</u> 	1//////	1	
4. Subtotal, Resource Related *	1////////		•	1//////		
1V. Other Impacts						
1. *	<u> </u>	 	 		1	
	1///////	1//////		1//////		
2. Subtotal, Other *	1////////	1//////	<u> </u>	1//////		<u> </u>
	1////////	1//////		1//////	1	
V. TOTAL DOLLARS *	1/////////	1//////	14087	1//////	1 6053	7134

USDA-Forest Service

ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE DEVELOPMENTS SUBJECT TO HAZARDS

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

Fire Name		-	Date of Report
South Fork			5/31/89
Line Items	Type of	Number of	Estimated
	Units	Units	Value \$
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Homes		1
1. Community and urban development	People	22	1,320,000
	People	1	1
2. Municipal and domestic water supply	Served	20	50,000
	İ	1	1
3. Transportation systems	Miles	0.3	5,000
	1		
4. Water distribution system (irrigation)	Miles		ŀ
5. Agricultural development (crops, facilities)	Acres]
			1
6. Industrial development (dams, power, manufacturing)	Number		1
		1	1
7. Power and communication lines	Miles	1	1
		1	
8. Recreation development South Fork Campground	PAOT	40	60,000
	1	1	
9. Fish habitat	Miles	1.0	3,000
		1	
10. Other (specify) Guest Ranches	Cabins	20	000,008
2	xxxxxxxxx	xxxxxxxxx	
11. Total Hazard Potential	xxxxxxxx	xxxxxxxxx	2,238,000

| 12. Narrative (Optional- if additional space is needed, attach another sheet).
| South Fork Community - consists of summer homes, 2 guest ranches and approximately 20 full time | residents. Guest ranches and campground dependent on the Fish habitat, riparian vegetation in | and along South Fork

Hazards from floods, floating debris, erosion, or sediment because a watershed is impaired by wildfire. (Do not include value of resources damaged or destroyed by the fire reported on FS-5100-29.)

 2 Indicates values threatened by design storm. Does not enter into the $\ensuremath{\text{B/C}}.$

FS-2500-8b (11/82)

Fire Name

CUMPAADY OF THERESTAND DEVADAL VIII	AMION NEEDS DV IA	NDOUNEDOULD	Fi	re Name South Fork	
SUMMARY OF EMERGENCY REHABILIT SUMMARY OF EMERGENCY REHABILIT				South Pork	
	e FSH 2509.13)	INDOWNDING II II		ite of Report	
·	e FSH 2509.13)			ite of Report	
		,	*	5/31/89	
				Rehabilitation	
	1			Rehabilitation	
	A. Acres	(1) Land	•	(3) Road & Road &	(4) Other Other
Landownership	A. Acres Burned	Land (acres)	Channel (miles)	Trail	1
Landownership	Burned	, (deres)	(111100)	Trail	ı
	1	1	1	(miles)	
	1	1	1		
	1			1	1
Federal (NFS) * Federal	298	298	1	I .	1
euerai	ľ	1	1	1	1
	I	· ·	1	1	ı
Other (specify) *		1	1	1	1
Other	•				
	Ī	1	1	1	
					,
Subtotal (NFS) *		I	1	Ī	
Subtotal	•	1	1	1	1
·	•	ı	4	1	1
Jon-Federal (State & County) *	25	Ī.	1	1	1
Non-Federal	•	•		•	·
	1	1	ľ	1	1
indian réservation *	. 1	1	1	1	
Indian reservation	1	4	,	1	1
	ı		ı		t
Private *	1 23	1	I	1 .	1
rivate	, 25	•	•	,	•
	1		1		1
Subtotal (Non-Federal) *			-	1	İ
Subtotal					
				İ	I
COTAL *	346	298	1	į	1
TOTAL	1 340	1 200	ł	i	i .
	Emergency Rehabil	litation Fun	ds for Needed Wo	ork (\$)	
	Emergency Rehabil				
	1. FFF	2. Eme	rgency 3. FR &	T 4. Other	5. Non- 6. 1
al	FFF	Eme	ergency FR &	T Other	Non- T
al					
	1	F1o	·	•	Federal
	} 	Flo	ood vention	Federal (Enter	Federal (Enter
	1		evention	1 (mee)	1

Landownership Landownership	(a) 09 09	2 (b) :	102 102	I	fu	nd) fun	d)
	1	-		l	l		
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Federal (NFS) * Federal	7134	I	ı	1	1	t	1
	1	1		. 1	1	1	1
Other (specify) *		1	1	. [Ī	1	1
	1	1	1		1	1	
Subtotal (NFS) * Subtotal	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1
	1	1	1	1	l	1	1
Non-Federal (State & County) *	1	I	. [I	1	I	1
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Indian reservation * Indian reservation	1	.	1	1	I	1	1
	l	!	1	1	1	1	1
Private * Private	1	1	t	1	l	I	I
	I	ŀ	1	1	1	I	1
Subtotal (Non-Federal) * Subtotal	I	1	1	1	1	1	1
	1	1			1		-
TOTAL *	7134	1	1	1	l	I	1

D. Remarks

D. Remarks

NARRATIVE

South Fork Fire

2. Describe Emergency

The South Fork Fire started upstream and uphill from the South Fork Campground along the South Fork of the Little Colorado River. Vegetation is primarily fringe ponderosa pine with vegetation varying from Douglas Fir on some north aspects, to ponderosa pine and pinyon-juniper as well as a riparian community of willows, alders and cottonwoods along the South Fork of the Little Colorado River.

Fire intensity was high on at least 20% of the area. Over one-quarter of a mile of riparian community was severely damaged by the fire along the South Fork. Although the South Fork campground was not directly impacted by the intense fires there will be off site soil erosion which may impact the campground. Downstream developments include several guest ranches which utilize flow from the South Fork for filling their fishing ponds. Both private and State lands at the north edge of fire were burned and impacted by fire lines. No structures other than boundary fences were impacted.

Soil movement from sandstone outcrops and poorly vegetated areas is currently excessive. Soil movement from the moderate and high intensity burn areas will add to this sediment movement.

Burned area rehabilitation is recommended. Aerial helicopter seeding with a mix of annuals and perennials has been proposed. Annual rye, orchard grass, intermediate wheatgrass, and yellow sweet clover will be the major species contained in the mix. Seeding rate will be approximately 12-15 lbs. per acre. Seeding will be done on all high intensity burn areas and approximately one-half of the moderately burned areas with emphasis on those areas draining into the South Fork drainage which is a popular fishing and recreational stream. Contour felling of the smaller fire killed trees will be done on 50 acres.

- 3. Emergency Rehabilitation Objectives
- Reduce sediment load into the South Fork of the Little Colorado to reduce impact on fishery and downstream water users
- Reduce sediment load into the South Fork Campground
- Reduce sediment load moving from National Forest onto private and state land.