	n de la companya de l	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>	-	had no phytos po partirolatin		- The set of the later to the second	
USDA Forest Service	Ŷ.	Date of Report					
	rt FS-2500-A)				/4/85		
<b>&gt;</b>	PART I -	TYPE OF REC	DUEST				
1. Type of Report			<u> </u>		·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• /	
A. $[\overline{\mathbf{X}}]$ Funding (Request for estimated FFF	funds)	В.	Accomplishm	nent Report	· ·		
2. Type of Action							
A. [X] Initial (estimated funding is first	requested)						
B. 🔲 Interim							
a. Updating the initial fundir	ng request						
b.     Supplying information for	accomplishments to c	date on emerg	ency work und	lerway			
C. I   Final				•			
a. [ ] Best estimate for funds ne	eded to complete eligi	ble rehabilita	tion measure	•			
b.     Following completion of t	lunded work						
	PART II	– FIRE LOCA	TION				
Castle Creek Fire	179		No. (From FS-5	<del></del>	3. State Idaho	4. County Idaho	
6. Forest Nezperce NF	7. Ranger District Clearwater RD	8. (8/	29/85	9. Date F 9/2/8	ire Controlled 35	10. Estimated Suppressio	
11. The Suppression Damages Repaired with FF a. 3 3/4 miles (firelines waterbarred)		_ acres (fireline	s seeded) of	old log	c. Other (Id gging_roa)	entify) 2 1/2 miles d opened & water bar	
a. 90 % (low)	ь. 10	% (medium)	The second secon		) % (hiç		
<u> </u>	ART III - NATIONAL FO	OREST SYSTE	M PROBLEM IN	VENTORY		<u> </u>	
1. Watershed No. See* 2. NFS Acres Burned 1,440	3. Water Repellar	nt Soll % of NFS acre	s burned				
P ሦፕክቼ Grass 50 %, P Pine 9 I GF-DF shrubs 20%	bark 30%,	5. Geologic Quartz	<sub>Types</sub> ite, Gneis	s, Shi	stose	·	
6 Soll Frosion Hazard Rating	ta karana ta kasa sar ida. I Majar dangsat na karandang mgag-gadiggangin nga bindiga sala				7. Erosion Pot	ential	
a. 20 % (low) b 80	c0	% (high)		35 cu, yds/sq. miles			
B. Miles of Stream Channels By Regional Order of 1/2 miles 2nd order	and the street a mortal exercise and accompany considerable page of the		9. Miles of Forest Service Trails				
2 1/2 miles 1st order	2 1				1/2		
10. Miles of Lorest Service Roads By Maintenance	a Lavals		- 				
0				0			
a. 0 miles (Level I) b.			C		miles (Levels II	I, IV, V)	
1. Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period (Years)	RT IV - CALCULATED				ament (Percent	<b>→</b>	
2 years	2. Chance of Success Desired By Management (Percent) 9(1%						

\*17060305-14 Nelson 17060305-15 Sheep

J. Liquivalent Design Recurrence Period (Years)

😘 Helated Design Storm Magnitude (inches)

7 - Ustimated Reduction in Infiltration (Percent)

16 years

**<**10%

3 inches

FS 2600-8 (11/82)

4. Related Design Storm Duration (Hours) 48 hours

8. Adjusted Related Design Flow (cfsm)

6. Related Design Flow (cfsni)

-cfsm

	PART V - SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS									A CONTRACTOR OF THE REAL PROPERTY.		
1.		is Heprosented on Burned Area Survey Team (x appropriate boxes)										
	g. Fire Mgmt. h. Soils	c Guology i. Contracting		ting	d. 🗀 Runge J. 🗀 Local Mgmt.		e. [X] Timber k. [] Research		t. [] Wildlife 1			
	. Describe Emergency					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				(Identif		
	Low hazard for localized ero	sion on	const	ructed	fireline	es and ho	t spot	S.				
	Emergency Rehabilitation Objective Control damaging erosion and					of Clea	rwater					
4. Probability of Completing Treatment Prior to First Major Damage Producing Storm  a. 0 % (land) b. 0 % (channel) c. 100 done d. 100 % (other) fireli												
5.	Net Environmental Quality Benefit Index				6. Net Soci	al Well Being I	Benefit Ind	dex		- Idilli y )		
	a. Significant b. 🗵 Not Sig					gnificant	þ.	X Not Sign	ificant			
′-	Bone flt/Cost Ratio 8. Net Bener	ilts	ŀ	a. III	tiveness index b. [][]		1111	d. 🗆 IV				
	PART VI — ELIGIBLE EN	IERGENCY							FUNDS			
No	ote: Emergency rehabilitation is work done prolitere.	omptly foll	owing a wi	ldfire and	is not to solve	watershed pr	oblems th	at existed pric	or to the			
	IIII II U.	1	T	ř	NFS Land		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Line Items	Units	Unit Cost	No. of Units	FFF 092	Other \$	No. of Units	Other Land	Non-Federal	All Lands Total \$		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(identify) (6)	(7)	(identify) (8)	(identify) (9)	(10)		
	a. Seeding	Acres										
LAND	ь. Waterbars	3;364 mi76s	\$266							\$1,000		
∢.	c. (1.				,	`		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
-	0.											
S	a. Opening water courses	Miles								-		
CHANNELS	b. Stabilizing Streambanks  c.	Miles										
œi O	d. e.				NEW 1878-1871   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874   1874							
& TRAILS	ā.	,										
H.	b.											
ROADS 8	c.											
ص ن	41.									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	. MAJOR STRUCTURES											
	a. Preplanned from Forest Plans											
Ε.	. TOTAL		The second secon									
			PAI	RT VII - A	PPROVALS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1.	Forest Supervisor (Signature)		9/1	Jaj	3. Regional i	Forester (Signa	atura)		**************************************	2. Date		
<del></del>	10m audicy 1000											