

DATE: August 2, 1985

BURNED AREA REPORT

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

1. Accomplishment report
2. Final

PART II - FIRE LOCATION

1. Fire name: Gilbert Creek
2. Supervisors Fire Number: 091
3. State: Montana
4. County: Missoula
5. Region: 1
6. Forest: Lolo
7. Ranger District: Missoula
8. Date Started: July 17, 1985
9. Date Controlled: July 26, 1985
10. Estimated suppression costs: \$ 2,000,000
11. Fire suppression damage repaired with FFF 102 funds:
  - a. . . . miles of firelines waterbarred, 0.25-0.50
  - b. . . . acres of firelines seeded, none
  - c. . . . other (identify) stream debris clean out; fire camp seed  
and fertilize.
12. Fire intensity    34 % low                      33 % medium    33 % high

PART III - NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PROBLEM INVENTORY

1. Watershed Number: 17-01-02-02-34
2. NFS acres burned: 565
3. Water repellent soil:                      0.0 % NFS acres burned
4. Vegetation types: ABLA/LUHI (50%); ABLA/XETE (40%); ABLA/MEFE (10%)
5. Geologic types: Belt Series Meta-sediments
6. Soil erosion hazard rating:              95 % low              0.0 % medium              5 % high
7. Erosion potential:                      0.0 cu.yd./sq.mi.
8. Miles stream channel by regional order or class: 1.0 mile 1st order stream
9. Miles FS trails: 0.0
10. Miles FS roads by maintenance level:
  - a.    N/A (level I)    b. N/A (level II)    c.    N/A (level III, IV, V)

## PART IV - CALCULATED RISK AND CLIMATIC EVALUATION

1. Est. veg. recovery period: 2-3 years
2. Chance of success desired by management: 80 %
3. Equivalent design recurrence: 50 years
4. Related design storm duration: 6 hours
5. Related design storm magnitude: 1.3 inches
5. Related design flow: 5.1 cfs
7. Estimated reduction in infiltration: 0.0 %
8. Adjusted related design flow: N/A cfs

## PART V SUMMARY OF SURVEY AND ANALYSIS

1. Skills represented on burned area survey team (list as appropriate):  
Soil Scientist, Ecologist, Hydrologist
2. Describe emergency: Not an emergency situation!
3. Emergency rehabilitation objective: None
4. Probability of completing treatment prior to first major damage producing storm:  

Land	% Channel	% Roads	% Other	%
------	-----------	---------	---------	---
5. Net Environmental-quality benefit index:
6. Net Social-well-being benefit:
7. Benefit/cost ratio:
8. Net benefits: \$
9. Cost effectiveness index (choose one): a. I b. II c. III d. IV

PART IV  
ELIGIBLE EMERGENCY REHABILITATION MEASURES OR TREATMENTS AND SOURCE OF FUNDS

(Emergency rehabilitation is work done promptly following a wildfire and is not to solve watershed problems that existed prior to the wildfire.)

	<u>NFS LANDS</u>				<u>OTHER LAND</u>				total \$
	Units	Unit cost	units #	FF 092 \$	other \$	units #	federal \$	non-fed \$	
A. LAND	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
SEEDING	Acres	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
B. CHANNELS	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
opening water courses	Miles	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
stabilizing streambanks	Miles	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
C. ROADS & TRAILS	Miles	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
MAJOR STRUCTURES	Each	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
E TOTAL	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

PART VII - APPROVALS

Forest Supervisor approval and date: /s/ .....  
 Regional Forester approval and date: /s/ .....