Date of Report: Sept. 2, 2005

BURNED-AREA REPORT

(Reference FSH 2509.13)

PART I - TYPE OF REQUEST

A.	Type of Report	
	[X] 1. Funding request for estimated WFSI[] 2. Accomplishment Report[] 3. No Treatment Recommendation	J-SULT funds
В.	Type of Action – No funds requested	
	[X] 1. Initial Request (Best estimate of fund	ds needed to complete eligible rehabilitation measures)
	[] 2. Interim Report [] Updating the initial funding request [] Status of accomplishments to date	based on more accurate site data or design analysis
	[] 3. Final Report (Following completion of	work)
	<u>PART II - BU</u> F	RNED-AREA DESCRIPTION
A.	Fire Name: China-Ten	B. Fire Number: ID- NPF-000060
C.	State: Idaho	D. County: Idaho
E.	Region: 01 (Northern)	F. Forest: Nez Perce
G.	District: Clearwater (04)	
Н.	Date Fire Started: August 9, 2005	I. Date Fire Contained: August 23, 2005
J.	Suppression Cost: \$5,000,000+ to date	
K.	2. Fireline seeded (miles): None	opression Funds mile machine line obliterated and 10 miles of hand line ing sites, safety zone and helispot restored
	Watershed Number: 170603051605 – Silver (Creek, 170603050706 – Peasley Creek, and 170603050705
M.	Total Acres Burned: 1859 NFS Acres(1764) Other Federal () State	e() Private()
N.	Vegetation Types: Spruce-fir	
0	Dominant Soils: Andic Cryochrepts and Enti-	c Cryandents

P. Geologic Types: Granodiorite, quartzite, and schist

Q. Miles of Stream Channels by Order or Class: 2.1 miles 1st order, .6 miles 2nd order R. Transportation System Trails: 3.5 miles Roads: .9 miles PART III - WATERSHED CONDITION A. Burn Severity (acres): 254 (14%) (low) 592 (34%) (moderate) 122 (7%) (high) and 796 (45%) unburned B. Water-Repellent Soil (acres): 561 (58% of actual burned acres). Unburned soils are similarly water repellent. C. Soil Erosion Hazard Rating (acres): 1085 (62%) (low) 232 (13%) (moderate) 194 (11%) (high) D. Erosion Potential: 0.39 tons/acre E. Sediment Potential: 0.06 tons/acre . Sediment potential is estimated to be low because the fire is a ridge top fire with low and moderate delivery efficiency to first order streams. Most riparian areas burned at low severity or not at all. PART IV - HYDROLOGIC DESIGN FACTORS 25 A. Estimated Vegetative Recovery Period, (years): B. Design Chance of Success, (percent): 80 C. Equivalent Design Recurrence Interval, (years): 10 D. Design Storm Duration, (hours): 24 E. Design Storm Magnitude, (inches): 2.8 F. Design Flow, (cubic feet / second/ square mile): 11.9 G. Estimated Reduction in Infiltration, (percent): 0 H. Adjusted Design Flow, (cfs per square mile): 16.8 PART V - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS A. Describe Watershed Emergency:

<u>Threat to federal property</u>: Potential loss of trail tread or drainage structures due to increased runoff or sloughing on 3.5 miles of trail.

infrastructure	Э.							
C. Probabilit	y of Completi	ng Treatment	t Prior to First	Major Da	amage-Pro	oducing Storn	n:	
	Land %	Channel	% Roads _	% C	other <u>90</u>	_%		
D. Probabilit	y of Treatmer	nt Success						
	•	s after Treatr		1				
Land	1	3	5					
Channel								
Roads	90	95	100					
Other								
F 0 (()						20 (''		
E. Cost of N	io-Action (inc	luaing Loss) <u>:</u>	Loss of trail	would el	าเลแ \$17,50	ou trail recons	struction.	
F. Cost of Selected Alternative (Including Loss): \$6,400								
G. Skills Re	6. Skills Represented on Burned-Area Survey Team:							
[X] Hy	[X] Hydrology [X] Soils [] Geology [] Range []							
] Fire Mgmt. [X] Botany					
[] Fish] Landscape					
Team Leade	er: Pat Gree	<u>n</u>						
Email: pgre	en@fs.fed.us	3	P	hone: 20	8 983-700	9	FAX: 208 983-4099	
								

B. Emergency Treatment Objectives: Control of post-fire erosion on trail system, and avoiding loss of trail

H. Treatment Narrative:

(Describe the emergency treatments, where and how they will be applied, and what they are intended to do. This information helps to determine qualifying treatments for the appropriate funding authorities. For seeding treatments, include species, application rates and species selection rationale.)

Land Treatments:

Channel Treatments:

Roads and Trail Treatments: Install waterbars, drain dips, and other drainage improvements on 3.5 miles of trail. Stabilize150 feet of abandoned oversteepened tread that is at risk of erosion.

Structures:

I. Monitoring Narrative:

(Describe the monitoring needs, what treatments will be monitored, how they will be monitored, and when monitoring will occur. A detailed monitoring plan must be submitted as a separate document to the Regional BAER coordinator.)

				callifellis		5. 49			•
B. Channel Treatmen	ts				\$0	X			
				\$0	\$0	X	\$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0	\$0	X	\$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0	\$0	X	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Channel Treat.				\$0	\$0	X	\$0	\$0	\$0
C. Road and Trails					\$0	X	•	•	
trail drainage work	miles	1857	3.5	\$6,500	\$0	X	\$0	\$0	\$6,500
<u> </u>				\$0	\$0	X	\$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Road & Trails				\$6,500	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$6,500
D. Structures				. ,		X		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	. ,
				\$0	\$0	8	\$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
				\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Structures				\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0
E. BAER Evaluation				* -	T -	8	1		T -
Salary	days	300	6	\$1,800	\$0	8	\$0	\$0	\$1,800
	, .		_	\$0		8	\$0	\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Evaluation				\$1,800	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$1,800
F. Monitoring	<u> </u>			4 1,000	Ţ-	×	1 1	***	¥ 1,000
<u>-</u>	<u> </u>			\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Insert new items above this line!				\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Monitoring				\$0	\$0	X	\$0	\$0	\$0
- Castotal Montoning				40	Ψ	X	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	,	Ψ.
G. Totals				\$8,300	\$0 \$0	X	\$0	\$0	\$8,300
				Ψ0,000	Ψυ	7	Ψ-0	ΨΟ	ΨΟ,ΟΟΟ

PART VII - APPROVALS

Forest Supervisor (signature)	Date
Regional Forester (signature)	 Date