

Composition in \mathcal{LG}

Rohan Hitchcock

15 July 2022

Throughout fix a commutative ring k . Recall that \mathcal{LG} has the following data:

- (1) Objects are pairs $(k[x], U)$ where $k[x] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $U \in k[x]$ is a *potential*. That is, U satisfies
 - The sequence $\partial U = (\partial_{x_1} U, \dots, \partial_{x_n} U)$ has a Koszul complex which is exact except in degree zero.
 - The Jacobi ring $J_U = k[x]/(\partial_{x_1} U, \dots, \partial_{x_n} U)$ is a free, finitely generated k -module.
- (2) The category of 1-morphisms $(k[x], U) \rightarrow (k[y], V)$ is

$$\text{hmf}(k[x, y], V(y) - U(x))^\omega$$

where \mathcal{C}^ω denotes the idempotent completion of a category \mathcal{C} . Equivalently the 1-morphisms are matrix factorisations of $V(y) - U(x)$ which are homotopy equivalent to a direct summand of a finite rank matrix factorisation (see [Idempotents]).

The definition of potential above differs from the definition given in [CM16, Definition 2.4]. The following result shows they are equivalent.

Lemma 1 ([Stacks, Section 15.30]). *For a sequence $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of elements of a commutative ring R we have that:*

- (1) *If t is regular then the Koszul complex $K(t)$ is exact except in degree zero.*
- (2) *If the Koszul complex $K(t)$ is exact except in degree zero then t is quasi-regular.*

Throughout consider the following 1-morphisms in \mathcal{LG} :

$$(k[x], U) \xrightarrow{(X, d_X)} (k[y], V) \xrightarrow{(Y, d_Y)} (k[z], W)$$

so (X, d_X) is a finite rank matrix factorisation of $V(y) - U(x)$ over $k[x, y]$ and (Y, d_Y) is a finite rank matrix factorisation of $W(z) - V(y)$ over $k[y, z]$ and U, V and W are potentials. We define the composition of (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) to be the tensor product $(X \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Y)$. It is not immediate that this is well-defined. Indeed, if $X = k[x, y]^{\oplus m}$ and $Y = k[y, z]^{\oplus m'}$ then

$$X \otimes_{k[y]} Y = k[x, y]^{\oplus m} \otimes_{k[y]} k[y, z]^{\oplus m'} = k[x, y, z]^{\oplus mm'}$$

which is free, but not finite rank over $k[x, z]$. Hence our goal is to show

$$(X \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_{X \otimes Y}) \in \text{hmf}(k[x, z], W(z) - U(x))^\omega$$

which we do by showing $(X \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_{X \otimes Y})$ is a direct summand of a finite rank matrix factorisation over $k[x, z]$.

1 Koszul complexes

Before continuing we need to discuss Koszul complexes and exterior algebras. Our main reference for this is [Wei94, Chapter 4.5]. Let R be a commutative ring and consider a free R -module $V = R^{\oplus n}$ with free generators e_1, \dots, e_n . We define an associative bilinear formal product $(-) \wedge (-)$ on elements of V subject to the relation generated by setting

$$u \wedge v = -(v \wedge u) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in V$$

In particular notice that $v \wedge v = 0$ for all $v \in V$. The p -th exterior power of V , denoted $\bigwedge^p(V)$ is defined as the set of p -fold products. One can show that this is a free R -module with generators

$$\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} \mid i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p\}$$

and so $\dim \bigwedge^p(V) = \binom{n}{p}$. For example if $n = 3$ we have

- $\bigwedge^0(V)$ is generated by 1 (i.e. $\bigwedge^0(V) = R$).
- $\bigwedge^1(V)$ is generated by $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ (i.e. $\bigwedge^1(V) = V$).
- $\bigwedge^2(V)$ is generated by $e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3$ and $e_2 \wedge e_3$.
- $\bigwedge^3(V)$ is generated by $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$.
- $\bigwedge^p(V)$ for $p \geq 4$ is zero.

The exterior algebra of V , denoted $\bigwedge(V)$, is the graded R -algebra with p -th graded component $\bigwedge^p(V)$.

Next, given a sequence of elements $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ in R we define the Koszul complex of t as the pair $(K(t), d_K)$ where $K(t) = \bigwedge(V)$ and $d_K : K(t) \rightarrow K(t)$ is given by

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j+1} t_{i_j} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e}_{i_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}$$

where “ \widehat{e}_{i_j} ” indicates that e_{i_j} is omitted from the wedge product. One can show that $d_K^2 = 0$, so $(K(t), d_K)$ is a chain complex. For example, if $t = (t_1)$ (a sequence with one element) then the Koszul complex is

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow[t_1]{1} R \longrightarrow 0$$

In the case of $n = 3$ we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^3(V) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} t_3 \\ -t_2 \\ t_1 \end{pmatrix}} \bigwedge^2(V) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -t_2 & -t_3 & 0 \\ t_1 & 0 & -t_3 \\ 0 & t_1 & t_2 \end{pmatrix}} \bigwedge^1(V) \xrightarrow{(t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3)} \bigwedge^0(V) \longrightarrow 0$$

$\{e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3\}$ $\{e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_3\}$ $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ $\{1\}$

Notice that the degree zero homology of $(K(t), d_K)$ is the quotient ring $R/(t)$. It is also useful to note that

$$K(t) = K(t_1) \otimes_R K(t_2) \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R K(t_n)$$

where the right-hand-side is a tensor product of complexes.

2 Definitions and results from previous talks

Let S be a commutative ring and R a S -algebra. Let (L, d_L) and (M, d_M) be matrix factorisations of $f \in \varphi(S)$

Definition 2 ([Perturbation, Definition 1.1]). A *strong deformation retract* of (L, d_L) and (M, d_M) over S consists of S -linear maps

$$(L, d_L) \xrightleftharpoons[i]{p} (M, d_M), \quad h$$

where $pi = 1$, $h : pi \simeq 1$, $hi = 0$, $ph = 0$ and $h^2 = 0$.

A strong deformation retract is a homotopy equivalence of matrix factorisations with additional conditions on the maps involved, the point being that strong deformation retracts can be modified using the Perturbation Lemma [Perturbation, Theorem 3.1]. In a previous talk we proved the following corollary of the Perturbation Lemma.

Lemma 3 ([Perturbation, Lemma 2.1]). Suppose we have a strong deformation retract over S

$$(L, d_L) \xrightleftharpoons[\sigma]{\pi} (M, d_M), \quad h$$

Then for any linear factorisation (Z, d_Z) of $g \in R$ where $f + g \in \varphi(S)$ there exists a deformation retract over S

$$(L \otimes_R Z, d_L \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Z) \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} (M \otimes_R Z, d_M \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_Z), \quad h'$$

The following result gives us a source of strong deformation retracts.

Lemma 4 ([Perturbation, Lemma 2.2]). Let (P, d) be a bounded-to-the-right chain complex of projective objects in an abelian category. Suppose that (P, d) is exact except at degree zero and that $H_0(P)$ is also projective. Then we have a strong deformation retract

$$(H(P), 0) \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} (P, d), \quad h$$

of chain complexes, where $(H(P), 0)$ is the homology of P with zero differentials.

Lemma 5. Let $U \in k[x]$ be a potential. Consider the sequence of partial derivatives $\partial U = (\partial_{x_1} U, \dots, \partial_{x_n} U)$ and the Jacobi ring $J_U = k[x]/(\partial U)$. Then we have a strong deformation retract over k

$$(J_U, 0) \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} (K(\partial U), d_K), \quad h$$

Proof. By the potential hypothesis, $(K(\partial U), d_K)$ is exact in degree zero and J_U is free (hence projective) over k . The result is an application of the previous lemma. \square

3 Composition in \mathcal{LG}_k is well-defined

The main goal of this talk is to prove that composition in \mathcal{LG} is well-defined, which we do following the strategy of [Mur17]. Consider the following 1-morphisms in \mathcal{LG} :

$$(k[x], U) \xrightarrow{(X, d_X)} (k[y], V) \xrightarrow{(Y, d_Y)} (k[z], W)$$

so (X, d_X) is a finite rank matrix factorisation of $V(y) - U(x)$ over $k[x, y]$ and (Y, d_Y) is a finite rank matrix factorisation of $W(z) - V(y)$ over $k[y, z]$ and U, V and W are potentials. Our goal is to show

$$(X \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_{X \otimes Y}) \in \text{hmf}(k[x, z], W(z) - U(x))^\omega$$

where \mathcal{C}^ω denotes the idempotent completion of a category \mathcal{C} , or equivalently (see [Idempotents]) to prove the following:

Proposition 6. *The composition of (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) , which is $(X \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_{X \otimes Y})$, is a direct summand of a matrix factorisation which is finite rank over $k[x, z]$.*

We do this as follows:

- We begin with a strong deformation retract over k arising from a Koszul complex of $\partial V = (\partial_{y_1} V, \dots, \partial_{y_n} V)$ as in Lemma 5.

$$(J_V, 0) \xrightleftharpoons[\iota]{\pi} (K(\partial V), d_K), \quad h$$

- We tensor both sides of this strong deformation retract by $(X \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_{X \otimes Y})$ to obtain

$$(X \otimes_{k[y]} J_V \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_Z) \xrightleftharpoons[\tilde{\iota}]{\tilde{\pi}} (\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k X \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_K + d_{X \otimes Y}), \quad \tilde{h}$$

where $Z = X \otimes_{k[y]} Y$.

Notice that on the left-hand-side we have $J_V \cong k^{\oplus m}$ for some m and so

$$X \otimes_{k[y]} J_V \otimes_{k[y]} Y \cong k[x, z]^{\oplus m}$$

Hence the left-hand-side is a finite rank matrix factorisation. Also, $\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k X \otimes_{k[y]} Y$ has $X \otimes_{k[y]} Y$ as a direct summand. The only thing which remains is to remove the differential d_K , which we do over the following several lemmas.

Lemma 7. *Let $f, g : (C, d_C) \rightarrow (D, d_D)$ be morphisms of either complexes or linear factorisations, and suppose they are homotopic via $h : f \simeq g$. Then $\text{cone}(f) \cong \text{cone}(g)$.*

Proof. (give as exercise) For clarity we define $\text{cone}(f)$ as

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+2} \oplus D_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_f} C_{n+1} \oplus D_n \xrightarrow{d_f} C_n \oplus D_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where $d_f = \begin{pmatrix} d_C & 0 \\ f & -d_D \end{pmatrix}$, and if (C, d_C) is a linear factorisation then addition in the indices is modulo 2. Likewise $\text{cone}(g)$ is the graded object $C[1] \oplus D$ with differential $d_g = \begin{pmatrix} d_C & 0 \\ g & -d_D \end{pmatrix}$. Define $\varphi : C[1] \oplus D \rightarrow C[1] \oplus D$ as $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\varphi d_f = \begin{pmatrix} d_C & 0 \\ -hd_C + f & -d_D \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$d_g \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} d_C & 0 \\ g + d_D h & -d_D \end{pmatrix}$$

These agree since $f - g = hd_C + d_D h$ and so $\varphi : \text{cone}(f) \rightarrow \text{cone}(g)$ is a morphism. Likewise we define $\psi : \text{cone}(g) \rightarrow \text{cone}(f)$ as $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and we have $\varphi\psi = \text{id}$ and $\psi\varphi = \text{id}$. \square

Lemma 8. *Let $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a sequence in R in which each t_i acts null-homotopically on a linear factorisation (X, d_X) over R . Then $(K(t) \otimes_R X, d_K \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_X)$ is isomorphic to $(K(t) \otimes_R X, 1 \otimes d_X)$, where $(K(t), d_K)$ is the Koszul complex of t .*

Proof. For each t_i consider the map $t_i : X \rightarrow X$ which is multiplication by t_i . It is straightforward to check that $(K(t_i), d_{K_i}) \otimes_R (X, d_X) \cong \text{cone}(t_i)$, where $\text{cone}(t_i) = (X \oplus X[1], \begin{pmatrix} d_X & 0 \\ t_i & -d_X \end{pmatrix})$.

Since we have that t_i is null-homotopic we have by Lemma 7 that $\text{cone}(t_i)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{cone}(0) = (X \oplus X[1], \begin{pmatrix} d_X & 0 \\ 0 & -d_X \end{pmatrix})$. Note that $X \oplus X[1] \cong (R \oplus R[1]) \otimes_R X$ and that $K(t) = K(t_1) \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R K(t_n)$. This gives the desired equivalence. \square

Lemma 9. *Each partial derivative $\partial_{y_i} V$ acts null-homotopically on X and Y .*

Proof. Fix a k -basis for X and let $\partial_{y_i}(d_X)$ be the map given by differentiating the matrix of d_X entrywise. By the Leibniz rule we obtain

$$\partial_{y_i} V(y) = \partial_{y_i}(V(y) - U(x)) = \partial_{y_i}(d_X^2) = d_X \partial_{y_i}(d_X) + \partial_{y_i}(d_X) d_X$$

Likewise for Y . \square

Hence we have shown that there is an isomorphism of matrix factorisations

$$\varphi : (\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k Z, d_K + d_Z) \longrightarrow (\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k Z, d_Z)$$

and completed the proof of Proposition 6.

3.1 Uninterrupted proof of Proposition 6

Let n be the number of variables of the polynomial ring $k[y]$ and $\partial V = (\partial_{y_1} V, \dots, \partial_{y_n} V)$ the sequence of partial derivatives. Consider the Jacobi ring $J_V = k[y]/(\partial V)$ and the Kozul complex $(K(\partial V), d_K)$ of ∂V as a sequence in $k[y]$. Since V is a potential J_V is a free k -module and by Lemma 5 we obtain a strong deformation retract

$$(J_V, 0) \xrightleftharpoons[\iota]{\pi} (K(\partial V), d_K), \quad h$$

over k . Now set $Z = X \otimes_{k[y]} Y$ and regard $(-) \otimes_{k[y]} Z$ as a functor from the full subcategory of k -modules which are also $k[y]$ -modules to the category of $k[x, z]$ -modules. Applying this to the strong deformation retract above gives a strong deformation retract over $k[x, z]$:

$$(X \otimes_{k[y]} J_V \otimes_{k[y]} Y, 0) \xrightleftharpoons[\iota \otimes 1]{\pi \otimes 1} (\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k Z, d_K), \quad h \otimes 1$$

noting that $K(\partial V) \cong \bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k k[k]$. Set $d_Z = d_X \oplus 1 + 1 \oplus d_Y$. Using the Perturbation Lemma, and more specifically 3 we can mix in the differential d_Z to obtain a strong deformation retract

$$(X \otimes_{k[y]} J_V \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_Z) \xrightleftharpoons[\tilde{\iota}]{\tilde{\pi}} (\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k Z, d_K + d_Z), \quad \tilde{h}$$

Next, by Lemma 8 we have an isomorphism of linear factorisations

$$\varphi : (\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k Z, d_K + d_Z) \longrightarrow (\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k Z, d_Z)$$

and so we obtain a strong deformation retract

$$(X \otimes_{k[y]} J_V \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_Z) \xrightleftharpoons[\varphi \tilde{\iota}]{\tilde{\pi} \varphi^{-1}} (\bigwedge(k^{\oplus n}) \otimes_k Z, d_Z), \quad \varphi \tilde{h} \varphi^{-1}$$

Finally we note that $J_V \cong k^{\oplus m}$ for some m and so

$$X \otimes_{k[y]} J_V \otimes_{k[y]} Y \cong k[x, z]^{\oplus m}$$

so the left-hand-side of the above strong deformation retract is a finite rank matrix factorisation. The right-hand-side contains $(Z, d_Z) = (X \otimes_{k[y]} Y, d_{Y \otimes X})$ as a direct summand, proving the claim. \square

Previous Talks' Notes

- [Idempotents] *Idempotents in Categories*. URL: <https://rohanhitchcock.com/notes/idempotents.pdf>.
- [Perturbation] *The Perturbation Lemma for Linear Factorisations*. URL: <https://rohanhitchcock.com/notes/perturbation-lemma.pdf>.

References

- [CM16] Nils Carqueville and Daniel Murfet. ‘Adjunctions and defects in Landau–Ginzburg models’. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 289 (Feb. 2016), pp. 480–566. ISSN: 00018708. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2015.03.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2015.03.033). URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0001870815004442> (visited on 11/09/2021).
- [Mur17] Daniel Murfet. ‘The cut operation on matrix factorisations’. In: *arXiv:1402.4541 [math]* (24 July 2017). arXiv: [1402.4541](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.4541). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.4541> (visited on 15/09/2021).
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project. URL: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/> (visited on 06/12/2021).
- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 38. Cambridge [England] ; New York: Cambridge University Press, 1994. 450 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-43500-0.