

Ludics — Complete Glossary (Locus Solum)

Compact definitions keyed to the Mesh onboarding notes

Ludics — Complete Glossary / Definitions (Locus *Solum*)

> Concise, repo-friendly definitions keyed to *Locus Solum* (Girard). Terms are organized roughly by dependency; each entry is phrased for engineers and researchers.

Addresses, Loci

A **locus** is an explicit *place* of play (address) such as ϵ , σ , $\sigma \cdot 1$, $\sigma \cdot 1.2$.
Branching encodes independence. *Why it matters:* makes locality/testability explicit.

Action (\pm), Polarity

Positive $(+, \xi, l)$ opens (enables) a finite set l of sub-addresses under ξ .
Negative $(-, \xi, i)$ focuses/responds at $\xi.i$. *Why it matters:* alternation drives the interaction.

Ramification / Directory

Ramification is the child-set l enabled by a positive action. The **directory** $\text{Dir}(B)$ of a (negative) behaviour at its base is the set of immediately testable sub-addresses. Controls additivity.

Chronicle / View

A coherent alternating path of actions with proper justification pointers (what enabled what, where). Observational trace through a design.

Design

A (possibly infinite) **strategy** built of justified actions at addresses; locally finite and coherent. Think “interaction-ready proof object”.

Daimon (\blacklozenge , \perp)

Special terminal action; ends a run as success. Carries both technical and methodological roles (“Give up” in proof-nets).

Interaction, Normalization

Running a design against a counter-design along shared addresses. The **run normalizes** when it reaches a terminal configuration (often via \blacklozenge).

Orthogonality (\sqsubseteq)

$\neg D \sqsubseteq E$ iff the run $\neg(D \mid E)$ **converges** (normalizes). Defines compatibility.

Behaviour (Type) and Bi-orthogonality

A **behaviour** is a set $\neg B$ of designs closed under **bi-orthogonality**: $\neg B = B \perp \perp$, where

$\neg B \perp = \{ E \mid \forall D \in B, D \sqsubseteq E \}$ and $\neg B \perp \perp = \{ D \mid \forall E \in B \perp, D \sqsubseteq E \}$.

Separation (by tests)

Designs are determined by the counter-tests they pass: if $\neg D \perp \subseteq E \perp$ then observationally $\neg D \leq E$. In Ludics the preorder is separating.

Incarnation ($|B|$, material part)

The **material** core of $\neg B$: smallest designs in $\neg B$ that are actually visited by tests in $\neg B \perp$. Used to state product-like facts for disjoint additives.

Additives (local to a base locus)

- **with** $\neg \&$: for same base/directory, **intersection** of behaviours: $\neg B \& C := B \cap C$.
- **plus** $\neg \oplus$: polar dual $\neg B \oplus C := (B \perp \& C \perp) \perp$.

Disjoint negative case: $\neg |B \& C| \cong |B| \times |C|$ (components independent).

Multiplicatives ($\neg \otimes$, $\neg \wp$)

Arise by composing support across **independent** sub-bases; tests factor component-wise. Duality via orthogonality.

Exponentials (!/? as protocols at addresses)

Structural rules realized **at loci**: copy by opening **fresh** sub-loci ($\neg \sigma \cdot 0, \sigma \cdot 1, \dots$); discard via \blacklozenge . Requires **freshness** (no aliasing) and **uniformity** across copies.

Delocation / Shift

Injective renaming of loci to restore disjointness/compatibility (e.g., tag $\cdot.L$ vs $\cdot.R$). Used before forming additives when directories collide.

Cut (Composition)

Cut = **play composition**: run two designs along a shared interface for $\cdot A / \cdot A^\perp$; normalization executes elimination.

Proof-nets & Switchings

Graphical correctness: **switchings** test acyclicity/connectedness for sequentialization. “Give up” corresponds to **daimon** in Ludics.

Consensus / Divergence

Game by **consensus**: divergence behaves like a **draw**. Enforce rule-following with **consensus-forcing testers** that make deviations lead to stuck runs elsewhere.

Internal Completeness

For the additive fragment at a fixed base: interaction already **saturates** the behaviour (bi-closure adds no new designs).

External Completeness (classical)

Truth \Rightarrow provability for the target calculus (stated for Π^1 -like fragments in Girard’s overview).

Function Space (Arrow on behaviours)

$\cdot A \vdash B := \{ D \mid \forall a \in A, \langle D \mid a \rangle \in B \}$ — programs as **adapters** that drive any $\cdot A$ -test to some $\cdot B$ -design.

Quantifiers / Uniformity

Quantified behaviours require **parameter-independent** tests. Model via fresh-name discipline (and PER-like invariants) so testers cannot observe private codes.

Freshness

Guarantee that new sub-loci ($\cdot \sigma.i$) are distinct and do not alias existing addresses; essential for copy.

Test / Counter-design

An element of $\cdot B^\perp$; used to define meaning by what interactions **succeed** against it.

Material Design

A design in \mathcal{B} ; minimal (no unvisited bureaucracy).

Saturation Tests

Test suites that probe **every** enabled branch (e.g., each σ_i after copy); membership in a behaviour requires convergence against all such tests.

Interface / Base

The locus (and its immediate directory) on which a behaviour is defined; determines what top-level tests are admissible.