educative(/learn)

9101419520&cid=5671464854355968&pid=6613137585340416)

Search in a Sorted Infinite Array (medium)

We'll cover the following ∧

- Problem Statement
- Try it yourself
- Solution
- Code
  - Time complexity
  - Space complexity

Problem Statement#

Given an infinite sorted array (or an array with unknown size), find if a given number 'key' is present in the array. Write a function to return the index of the 'key' if it is present in the array, otherwise return -1.

Since it is not possible to define an array with infinite (unknown) size, you will be provided with an interface `ArrayReader` to read elements of the array. ArrayReader.get(index) will return the number at index; if the array's size is smaller than the index, it will return `Integer.MAX_VALUE`.

Example 1:

```
Input: [4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30], ke
y = 16
Output: 6
Explanation: The key is present at index '6' in the array.
```

Example 2:

```
Input: [4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30], ke
y = 11
Output: -1
Explanation: The key is not present in the array.
```

Example 3:

```
Input: [1, 3, 8, 10, 15], key = 15
Output: 4
Explanation: The key is present at index '4' in the array.
```

Example 4:

```
Input: [1, 3, 8, 10, 15], key = 200
Output: -1
Explanation: The key is not present in the array.
```

Try it yourself#

Try solving this question here:

| ☕ Java | 🐍 Python3 | JS JS | ⬡ C++ |

```
11        return arr[index];
```

```
12     }  }
13  }  }
14
15  class SearchInfiniteSortedArray {
16
17    public static int search(ArrayReader reader, int key) {
18      // TODO: Write your code here
19      int left = 0, right = reader.arr.length, middle=reader.arr.length/2;
20
21      while(left<key){
22        if(key>reader.get(middle)){
23          left=middle+1;
24          middle=middle+(middle/2);
25        }else if(key == reader.get(middle)){
26          return middle;
27        }else{
28           middle=middle-(middle/2);
29        }
30      }
31
32      return -1;
33    }
34
35    public static void main(String[] args) {
36      ArrayReader reader = new ArrayReader(new int[] { 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14,
37      System.out.println(SearchInfiniteSortedArray.search(reader, 16));
38      System.out.println(SearchInfiniteSortedArray.search(reader, 11));
```

Run   Save   Reset   ⌞⌝

Solution#

The problem follows the **Binary Search** pattern. Since Binary Search
helps us find a number in a sorted array efficiently, we can use a modified
version of the Binary Search to find the 'key' in an infinite sorted array.

The only issue with applying binary search in this problem is that we don't know the bounds of the array. To handle this situation, we will first find the proper bounds of the array where we can perform a binary search.

An efficient way to find the proper bounds is to start at the beginning of the array with the bound's size as '1' and exponentially increase the bound's size (i.e., double it) until we find the bounds that can have the key.

Consider Example-1 mentioned above:

Once we have searchable bounds we can apply the binary search.

Code#

Here is what our algorithm will look like:

Java	Python3	C++	JS

```java
15  class SearchInfiniteSortedArray {
16
17    public static int search(ArrayReader reader, int key) {
18      // find the proper bounds first
19      int start = 0, end = 1;
20      while (reader.get(end) < key) {
21        int newStart = end + 1;
22        end += (end - start + 1) * 2; // increase to double the bounds size
23        start = newStart;
24      }
25      return binarySearch(reader, key, start, end);
26    }
27
28    private static int binarySearch(ArrayReader reader, int key, int start,
29      while (start <= end) {
30        int mid = start + (end - start) / 2;
31        if (key < reader.get(mid)) {
32          end = mid - 1;
33        } else if (key > reader.get(mid)) {
34          start = mid + 1;
35        } else { // found the key
36          return mid;
37        }
38      }
39
40      return -1;
41    }
42
```

Run   Save   Reset   ⌄⌃

Time complexity#

There are two parts of the algorithm. In the first part, we keep increasing the bound's size exponentially (double it every time) while searching for the proper bounds. Therefore, this step will take $O(logN)$ assuming that the array will have maximum 'N' numbers. In the second step, we perform the binary search which will take $O(logN)$, so the overall time complexity of our algorithm will be $O(logN + logN)$ which is asymptotically equivalent to $O(logN)$.

Space complexity#

The algorithm runs in constant space $O(1)$.

← **Back**

Next →

✅ Mark as Completed

⚠ Report an Issue