

Meta-learning: DL for low data. We "learn how to learn" via other (prior) tasks

↳ similar to multi-task learning.

↳ use plentiful prior tasks to "meta-train" a model.

supervised learning: $f(x) \rightarrow y$

set of images + labels. (training set)

supervised meta-learning: $f(\theta^{tr}, x) \rightarrow y$

↳ can read in training set (θ^{tr}) via RNN, etc.

"Generic" meta-learning:

$$\theta^* \leftarrow \arg \min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n L(\theta, \mathcal{D}_i^{ts})$$

$$\phi_i = f_{\theta}(\mathcal{D}_i^{tr})$$

Meta-learning methods:

↳ black-box meta-learning: supervised learning approach, can read in entire (few-shot) \mathcal{D}_i^{tr} .

↳ non-parametric meta-learning: unsupervised, nearest neighbors query, ex. matching networks, prototypical networks.

basic idea for NPMML:

find closest embeddings in meta-learned feature space.

$$\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n L(f_{\theta}(\mathcal{D}_i^{tr}), \mathcal{D}_i^{ts})$$

learned nearest neighbor classifier

gradient-based meta-learning: how we adapt to certain tasks \approx finetuning gradient descent

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \log p_{\theta}(y_j^{ts} | x_j^{ts}, \theta_i^{tr})$$

all tasks all test points in task.

$$p_{\text{nearest}}(x_k^{tr} | x_j^{ts}, \theta_i^{tr}) \propto \exp(\phi(x_k^{tr})^T \phi(x_j^{ts})).$$

"softmax".

leverage pre-training as a means of obtaining features.

↳ framed as optimization problem.

$$f_{\theta}(\mathcal{D}_i^{tr}) = \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta, \mathcal{D}_i^{tr})$$

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \beta \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta, \mathcal{D}_i^{tr}), \mathcal{D}_i^{ts})$$

↳ in practice of MAML: ≈ 5 -10 grad steps.

$$f_{\text{MAML}}(\mathcal{D}_i^{tr}, x) = f_{\theta}(x)$$

$$\theta' = \theta - \alpha \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_i^{tr}} \nabla_{\theta} L(f_{\theta}(x), y)$$

can easily be implemented. (PyT, TF, etc.)

favorable inductive bias (aligns well)

In short: $\theta \rightarrow \nabla_{\theta} L \rightarrow \theta'$

universality: meta-learning can learn any "algo"

↳ a universal M-L method: \checkmark

↳ applies to both black-box and MAML.

"Generic" RL:

$$\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} E_{\pi_{\theta}(\tau)} [R(\tau)]$$

$$= f_{RL}(\mathcal{M})$$

reward

Meta-RL:

n \leftarrow every task.

$$\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n E_{\pi_{\theta}(\tau)} [R(\tau)]$$

$$\phi_i = f_{\theta}(\mathcal{M}_i)$$

$\{\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n\}$ \leftarrow meta-training MDPs

$$\mathcal{M}_i \sim p(\mathcal{M})$$

meta test-time: sample $\mathcal{M}_{\text{test}} \sim p(\mathcal{M})$, $\phi_i = f_{\theta}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{test}})$

behavior of $f_{\theta}(\mathcal{M}_i)$:

1. improve policy w/ experience from \mathcal{M}_i

2. (new) choose how to interact; choose ab

↳ "choose" how to explore.

i.e. train an RNN policy (black-box form).

→ maximize reward over meta-episodes (concatenations of episodes.)

Meta-RL architectures:

→ standard RNN (LSTM) architecture.

→ attention + temporal convolution

→ parallel permutation-invariant context encoder

gradient-based (MAML) meta-RL?

↳ works largely the same as general MAML.

meta-learning rate

requires more tuning, second derivatives

same as general meta-learning.