### Lecture Note 2

CSCI 6470 Algorithms (Fall 2024)

Liming Cai

School of Computing UGA

August 27, 2024

### **Chapter 2 Power of Divide-and-Conquer**

- 1. Divide-and-conquer approach
- 2. More on solving recurrence relations
- 3. Quick Sort and Algorithm for order statistics
- 4. Complexity lower bounds

- divide-and-conquer is a top-down design approach;
- it breaks the task into several subtasks;
- subtasks are usually solved recursively;

e.g., merge sort, binary search, quick sort, time complexities tend to look like:

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} T(\beta_i n) + B(n)$$

where the task of size n is broken down into k subtasks of the same nature, each with size  $\alpha_i n$  for percentage  $\beta_i < 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i = 1$$

Some algorithms have < instead of =.

### Merge Sort

```
function MergeSort(L, low, high);
1. if low < high \\ at least 2 elements
2. mid = floor((low + high)/2);
3. MergeSort(L, low, mid);
4. MergeSort(L, mid+1, high);
5. MergeTwo(L, low, mid, high);
6. return;</pre>
```

Its time complexity has parameters  $\beta_1=\beta_2=\frac{1}{2}$ , B(n)=O(n). That is

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} a & n \leq 1 \\ T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + bn & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

#### **Multiplication** of two *n*-bits numbers:

• a recursive strategy:

$$Prod(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2 \times Prod(x, \frac{y}{2}) & \text{if } y \text{ is even} \\ x + 2 \times Prod(x, \lfloor \frac{y}{2} \rfloor) & \text{if } y \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

e.g.,

$$9 \times 11 = 9 + 2 \times \left(9 \times \left\lfloor \frac{11}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$$

Let T(n) be the time complexity for Prod(x, y) when |y| = n.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} a & n = 1 \\ T(n-1) + bn & n \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = O(n^2).$$

#### **Multiplication** of two *n*-bits numbers:

- a divide-and-conquer strategy
  - (1) split x and y into high and low segments, each with  $\frac{n}{2}$  bits;
  - (2)  $x \times y$  uses 4  $\times$ 's on segments plus some additions;

$$xy = (x_h 2^{\frac{n}{2}} + x_l)(y_h 2^{\frac{n}{2}} + y_l)$$
  
=  $x_h y_h 2^n + (x_h y_l + x_l y_h) 2^{\frac{n}{2}} + x_l y_l$ 

e.g., for decimal numbers (based 10 instead)

Time complexity:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} a & n = 1 \\ 4T(\frac{n}{2}) + bn & n \ge 2 \longleftarrow \text{ why?} \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = O(n^2)$$
 can you prove?

#### **Multiplication** of two *n*-bits numbers:

• a better solution

$$xy = (x_h 2^{\frac{n}{2}} + x_l)(y_h 2^{\frac{n}{2}} + y_l)$$
  
=  $x_h y_h 2^n + (x_h y_l + x_l y_h) 2^{\frac{n}{2}} + x_l y_l$ 

where with 1  $\times$  operation

$$(x_h y_h + x_l y_h) = (x_h + x_l)(y_h + y_l) - x_h y_h - x_l y_l$$

$$T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n)$$
 leading to  $T(n) = O(n^{1.6})$  can you prove?

### 2. More on solving recurrence relations

We can prove: for Merge Sort,  $T(n) = O(n \log_2 n)$ .

Actually, given the recurrence relation for time function

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} T(\beta_i n) + B(n)$$

if B(n) is restricted to O(n), we can prove that  $T(n) = O(n \log_2 n)$ .

### 2. More on solving recurrence relations

**Theorem**. Assume time function T(n) of some algorithm has the following recurrence, for fixed number  $a, b, k, \alpha$  and  $\beta$ , where  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ ,

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} a & n \leq k \\ T(\alpha n) + T(\beta n) + bn & n > k \end{cases}$$

Then  $T(n) = O(n \log_2 n)$ , actually,  $T(n) = \Theta(n \log_2 n)$ .

**Proof**. Use strong math induction to prove the following claim instead:

There exist c > 0 and  $n_0$  such that  $T(n) \le c n \log_2 n$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

### 2. More on solving recurrence relations

(cont') Proof of the above theorem with strong math induction:

<u>basis</u>: for  $n \le k$ , T(n) = a. To allow  $T(n) = a \le cn \log_2 n$ , it suffices to choose ... what happens here? how to fix it?

<u>assumption</u>:  $T(\alpha n) \le c(\alpha n) \log_2(\alpha n)$  and  $T(\beta n) \le c(\beta n) \log_2(\beta n)$  induction:

$$T(n) = T(\alpha n) + T(\beta n) + bn$$

$$\leq c(\alpha n) \log_2(\alpha n) + c(\beta n) \log_2(\beta n) + bn$$

$$= cn \log_2 n + cn\alpha \log_2 \alpha + c\beta n \log_2 \beta + bn$$

$$= cn \log_2 n - n(c\alpha \log_2 \frac{1}{\alpha} + c\beta \log_2 \frac{1}{\beta} - b)$$

$$\leq cn \log_2 n \quad \text{when } c \geq \frac{b}{(\alpha \log_2 \frac{1}{\alpha} + \beta \log_2 \frac{1}{\beta})}$$

#### **Quick Sort Algorithm**

#### Idea:

- select a pivot element e from the input list L;
- partition list L into two sublists  $L_h$  and  $L_l$  such that  $\forall x \in L_h, x > e$   $\forall x \in L_l, x \le e$
- recursively sort the two sublists  $L_h$  and  $L_l$ , separately;

```
function quicksort(L, low, high);
1. if (low < high)
2.    k = partition (L, low, high);
3.    quicksort(L, low, k-1);
4.    quicksort(L, k+1, high);
5    return;</pre>
```

```
How does partition work?
function partition (L, p, r);
1. e = L[r];
2. i = p-1;
3. for j = p to r-1
4. if L[j] \le e
5. i = i + 1;

    exchange (L[i], L[j]);

7. exchange (L[i+1], L[r]);
8. return i+1
Single pass, dynamically 3 regions:
 L[p..i]:
 L[i+1..j-1]:
 L[j..r-1]:
before pivot is in position
```

```
3 5 6
1
```

Time complexity, let n = high - low + 1;

$$\mathcal{T}(n) = egin{cases} \mathsf{a} & n \leq 1 \ \mathcal{T}(|\mathcal{L}_h|) + \mathcal{T}(|\mathcal{L}_l|) + \mathcal{T}_P(n) & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

where  $T_P(n)$  is the time to partition (and to find a pivot from) a list of length n.  $T_P(n) = O(n)$ 

But how big are  $|L_h|$  and  $|L_I|$ ?

- note: $|L_h| + |L_I| = n 1$ ;
- there is no guarantee that either is a fraction of *n* in the worst case;
- however, with high probability  $|L_h|$  and  $|L_I|$  are fractions of n, e.g., with 80% chance,  $\frac{n}{10} \le |L_h| \le \frac{9n}{10}$ , why is this true?

Time complexity, let n = high - low + 1;

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} a & n \leq 1 \\ T(|L_h|) + T(|L_l|) + T_P(n) & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

where  $T_P(n)$  is the time to partition (and to find a pivot from) a list of length n.  $T_P(n) = O(n)$ 

But how big are  $|L_h|$  and  $|L_I|$ ?

- note: $|L_h| + |L_I| = n 1$ ;
- there is no guarantee that either is a fraction of *n* in the worst case;
- however, with high probability  $|L_h|$  and  $|L_I|$  are fractions of n, e.g., with 80% chance,  $\frac{n}{10} \le |L_h| \le \frac{9n}{10}$ , why is this true? And why is this fact useful?