

















Content of this lecture

- What is left recursion and left factoring
- How to remove these



Left Recursion

• A grammar is *left recursive* if it has a non-terminal A such that there is a derivation

$$A \stackrel{+}{\Rightarrow} A\alpha$$
 for some string α

- Top-down parsing techniques **cannot** handle left-recursive grammars
 - Conversion of left-recursive grammar into an equivalent nonrecursive grammar is essential
- Possible ways of left-recursion
 - may appear in a single step of the derivation (immediate left-recursion) or
 - may appear in more than one step of the derivation



Immediate Left-Recursion

$$A \to A \alpha \mid \beta$$
 where β does not start with A
$$\downarrow \qquad \text{eliminate immediate left recursion}$$

$$A \to \beta \, A'$$

$$A' \to \alpha \, A' \mid \epsilon \text{ an equivalent grammar}$$

In general,



- $E \rightarrow E + T \mid T$
- $T \rightarrow T^*F|F$
- $F \rightarrow (E) | id$



- E→E+T|T
- T→T*F|F
- $F \rightarrow (E) \mid id$
- E→TE,
- $E' \rightarrow +TE' | \lambda$
- T→FT`
- $T \rightarrow *FT \mid \lambda$
- $F \rightarrow (E) | id$



- $S \rightarrow a |^{n}(T)$
- T→T,S|S



- $S \rightarrow a |^{n} |(T)$
- T→T,S|S

- $S \rightarrow a |^{n} |(T)$
- T→ST`
- T` \rightarrow ,ST`| λ



Left-Recursion -- Problem

- A grammar cannot be *immediately left-recursive*, but it still can be *left-recursive*
- Just elimination of the immediate left-recursion does not guarantee a grammar which is not left-recursive

$$S \rightarrow Aa \mid b$$
 $A \rightarrow Sc \mid d$ This grammar is not immediately left-recursive, but it is still left-recursive

$$\underline{S} \Rightarrow Aa \Rightarrow \underline{S}ca$$
 or $\underline{A} \Rightarrow Sc \Rightarrow \underline{A}ac$ causes to a left-recursion

• Solution: eliminate all left-recursions from the grammar



Eliminate Left-Recursion -- Algorithm

```
- Arrange non-terminals in some order: A_1 \dots A_n
- for i from 1 to n do {
      - for j from 1 to i-1 do {
          replace each production
                    A_i \rightarrow A_j \gamma
                         by
                     A_i \rightarrow \alpha_1 \gamma \mid \dots \mid \alpha_k \gamma
                    where A_i \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid ... \mid \alpha_k
     - eliminate immediate left-recursions among A<sub>i</sub> productions
```

Eliminate Left-Recursion -- Example

$$S \rightarrow Aa \mid b$$

 $A \rightarrow Ac \mid Sd \mid f$

- Order of non-terminals: S, A

for S:

- we do not enter the inner loop.
- there is no immediate left recursion in S.

for A:

- Replace A \rightarrow Sd with A \rightarrow Aad | bd So, we will have A \rightarrow Ac | Aad | bd | f
- Eliminate the immediate left-recursion in A

$$A \rightarrow bdA' \mid fA'$$

 $A' \rightarrow cA' \mid adA' \mid \epsilon$

So, the resulting equivalent grammar which is not left-recursive is:

$$S \rightarrow Aa \mid b$$

 $A \rightarrow bdA' \mid fA'$
 $A' \rightarrow cA' \mid adA' \mid \varepsilon$



Indirect Left Recursion example

- S→Ab
- $A \rightarrow Sc|d$



Indirect Left Recursion example

- $S \rightarrow Ab$
- $A \rightarrow Sc \mid d$
- Step 1: Order the non terminals S, A as A1 and A2 respectively.
 - $A1 \rightarrow A2b$

(1)

 $A2 \rightarrow A1c|d$

- (2)
- Step 2: Put value of 1 in 2
 - $A1 \rightarrow A2b$

- (3)
- $A2 \rightarrow A2bc|d$

- (4)
- Step 3: Again substitute A1=S and A2=A in 3 and 4
 - $S \rightarrow Ab$
 - $A \rightarrow Abc \mid d$
- Step 4: Remove immediate left recursion from 6
 - S→Ab
 - $A \rightarrow dA$
 - $A \rightarrow bcA \mid \lambda$



Left-Factoring

 Top-down parser without backtracking (predictive parser) insists that the grammar must be left-factored

grammar 🗲 a new equivalent grammar suitable for predictive parsing

```
stmt → if expr then stmt else stmt | if expr then stmt
```

After seeing if, we cannot decide which production rule to choose to re-write *stmt* in the derivation



Left-Factoring (cont.)

• In general,

$$A \to \alpha \beta_1 \mid \alpha \beta_2 \qquad w$$

where α is non-empty and the first symbols of β_1 and β_2 (if they have one) are different

ullet Choice involved when processing lpha

A to
$$\alpha\beta_1$$
 or A to $\alpha\beta_2$

Re-write the grammar as follows:

$$A \to \alpha A'$$

$$A' \to \beta_1 \ | \ \beta_2 \qquad \text{so, we can immediately expand A to $\alpha A'$}$$



Left-Factoring -- Algorithm

• For each non-terminal A with two or more alternatives (production rules) with a common non-empty prefix, let say

$$A \rightarrow \alpha \beta_1 \mid ... \mid \alpha \beta_n \mid \gamma_1 \mid ... \mid \gamma_m$$

convert it into

$$A \rightarrow \alpha A' \mid \gamma_1 \mid \dots \mid \gamma_m$$

$$A' \rightarrow \beta_1 \mid \dots \mid \beta_n$$



Left-Factoring – Example 1

Left-Factoring – Example 2

$$A \rightarrow ad \mid a \mid ab \mid abc \mid b$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$
 $A \rightarrow aA' \mid b$

$$A' \rightarrow d \mid \epsilon \mid b \mid bc$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$

$$A \rightarrow aA' \mid b$$

$$A' \rightarrow d \mid \epsilon \mid bA''$$

$$A'' \rightarrow \epsilon \mid c$$



Left Factoring example

- S→iCtS|iCtSeS|b
- C→d



Left Factoring example

- S→iCtS|iCtSeS|b
- C→d

- S→iCtSS`|b
- $S \rightarrow \lambda | eS$
- C→d



THANK YOU



Dr Anurag Jain

Assistant Professor (SG), Virtualization Department, School of Computer Science, University of Petroleum & Energy Studies, Dehradun - 248 007 (Uttarakhand)

Email: anurag.jain@ddn.upes.ac.in , dr.anuragjain14@gmail.com

Mobile: (+91) -9729371188