## Joint RGB-Spectral Decomposition Model Guided Image Enhancement in Mobile Photography

Phad Rohan Vaijnath (210718)

November 6, 2024

## 1 Comparison

## 1.1 Part-1: Segmentation-JDM

Two changes were made in decode-head module of Segmentation-JDM model. First, The loss function was changed from Cross Entropy Loss to Focal Loss with  $\gamma$  equal to 2. Second, dilation of 2 was added to convolutional layers of FCN. Following Results were observed:

| Model                       | iterations | building | plant | sky   | trunk | road  | mIoU  |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Paper                       | 14000      | 87.53    | 91.01 | 95.87 | 31.14 | 89.11 | 78.93 |
| Mine                        | 12000      | 88.04    | 91.26 | 94.39 | 35.18 | 90.08 | 78.94 |
| Modified ( $\gamma = 2.0$ ) | 12000      | 88.38    | 90.08 | 84.22 | 24.57 | 84.76 | 74.4  |

Table 1: Evaluation of modified segmentation-JDM and it's comparison with previous model

As we can see, The modified model performed poorly compared to original model. There are following possible reasons for this.

- 1. Focal loss works best in case of sever imbalance in classes. In our case class imbalance might not be sever enough for focal loss to outperform cross entropy loss.
- 2. Focal loss highly emphasizes on difficult samples so model might be struggling to generalize for other easy classes.
- 3. I only trained for  $\gamma = 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$  and 3.0. There might be some other gamma for which focal may perform better.

## 1.2 Part-2: JDMHDRNet

In the SPSA module of JDM-HDRNet, activation function was changed from leaky-relu to gelu. Following results were observed:

| Model                      | Epochs | $PSNR^*$ | $SSIM^*$ |
|----------------------------|--------|----------|----------|
| HDRNet                     | 6000   | 27.75 2  | 0.939    |
| $JDM - HDRNet^*(Paper)$    | 6000   | 30.14    | 0.968    |
| $JDM - HDRNet^*(Mine)$     | 3000   | 29.01    | 0.967    |
| $JDM - HDRNet^*(Modified)$ | 2000   | 29.13    | 0.967    |

Table 2: Training with Ideal Priors

Due to time limitations, I could not train for whole 6000 epochs to compare with paper's results, but we can clearly see that modified model reached better PSNR value in less epochs compared to when I trained paper's model in phase-1. Hence we can safely say that modified model will atleast reduce training time if not outperform the original model. Either way, it is a improvement over paper's model.