•

Memory isolation

- Processes have their own reserved quota of RAM
 - also called the address space of the process

Decided by OS during fork/exec

Memory map

 The compiler does not know actual RAM addresses in advance

• If we only allow physical addresses, the compiler is unnecessarily forced to generate relocatable code



MEMORY MAPPED DEVICES UNUSED 10061 1000 6-17cl **EXTENDED MEMORY** MMU OS libear **BIOS ROM 16-BIT DEVICES**


VGA DISPLAY

LOW MEMORY

Virtual addresses

- Uniform among all processes
- Converted into physical address (PA) by hardware during memory access
- Virtual address (VA) range is 0 to size of process reserved quota in RAM
- PA is computed using adding the base of the process address space to VA





Segmentation

To facilitate address translation
 CPU has an additional unit called
 MMU

• In addition to general purpose registers, x86 also have six segment registers namely, %cs, %ds, %ss, %es, %fs, and %gs

1.817

Segmentation

 Every memory operand is prefixed by some segment register


 Let us assume for now that %cs segment register is used for all memory operands

Segmentation

 Segment registers contain a 16-bit value that is used to index in a global descriptor table (GDT)

• GDT is an array of structures of the following type.

```
struct {
   unsigned base limit, perm;
};
```



Segmentation

 The MMU unit adds the base of the descriptor to the virtual address to compute the physical address during a memory access


• If the virtual address exceeds a limit, the hardware generates an error

```
movl $100, %cs 100
index = cs >> 3; // cs is 16-bit
base = gdt[index].base;
unsigned *phys = base + 100;
assert (100 < gdt[index].limit);
*phys = 100
```

GDT

mov 0, %ax mov %ax, %ds movl \$1, %ds:100 %ds:100 = 1000 + 100 assert (100 < 10000)

mov 16, %ax mov %ax, %ds movl \$1, %ds:100 %ds:100 = 200 + 100 assert (100 < 256)



Who creates GDT?

OS creates GDT


• OS creates GDT in RAM and executes "lgdt" instruction to load the address of GDT in GDTR register

Why can't user programs do the same thing?

Protection rings

• X86 has 4 protection rings (0,1,2, and 3)





OS executes in most privileged mode

User programs execute in the least privileged mode

Protection rings

• Some instructions are only allowed in most privileged mode

 Some instructions have different semantics in different privileged mode

• "lgdt" is only allowed in most privileged mode

How does hardware know the current privilege level (CPL)?


- The last two bits of cs segment register contains the CPL
 - For OS CPL == 0
 - For user programs CPL == 3

What prevent applications from modifying the GDT entries?


GDT lives in OS memory

How does OS access the entire memory?

 OS segment selectors point to a GDT entry with base and limit set to 0 and 2³² respectively



- Why user programs cannot set their segment registers to OS GDT entries
 - Supervisor (system) flag in the GDT entry




lymp .rcs: eig

Context switch

A dedicated GDT for every process

- Load the target process' GDT on every context switch
 - execute lgdt





Context switch

Only one GDT

- Overwrite the GDT entries with target process entries on every context switch
 - modify GDT table itself

2000	3000	
1000	2000	0
0	Oxffffffff	1

17

Default segment registers

- %cs is default for EIP
- %ss is default of stack
 - e.g., push, pop
- %ds is default for most of memory operands
 - e.g., movl \$100, (%eax) /* default ds */
- %es is default for string instructions
 - e.g., movsb
- %ds can be overridden by other segment registers
 - e.g., movl \$100, %fs: (%eax) /* use fs instead of ds */

.res

Exceptions

- Divide by zero
 - CPU executes divide by zero

- General protection fault
 - Process tries to access a VA outside its limit

and many more ...

• 0 − 32 interrupt vectors are reserved for exceptions

Interrupt handler

- IDT is an array of structures of 256 entries
- IDT contains cs:eip pairs of interrupt handlers

```
struct {
  unsigned interrupt_handler;
  unsigned short cs;
  ...
};
```

Who creates IDT?

OS creates IDT

 OS creates IDT in its own address space and executes "lidt" instruction to load the address of IDT in IDTR register

- Why can't user programs do the same thing?
 - "lidt" can only be executed in ring 0

Task state segment (TSS)

 On interrupt in user programs, the hardware automatically switch to the kernel stack

 A special structure TSS contains the address of kernel stack and kernel stack segment

Interrupt/exception user mode

```
switch to kernel stack (ss:esp)
push old ss 🗸
push old esp 🗸
push old_eflags ~
push old_cs ~
push old eip 🗸
```

Interrupt/exception in kernel mode

```
push old_eflags
push old_cs
push old_eip
```

Returning to user mode


```
iret
pop old_eip
pop old_cs
pop old_eflags
pop old_esp
pop old_ss
```

Returning to kernel mode

```
iret
----
pop old_eip
pop old_cs
pop old_eflags
-----
```


Fork and exec

```
iret
pop old_eip
pop old_cs
pop old_eflags
pop old_esp
pop old_ss
```



Software interrupts

- int3
 - Generates interrupt of vector number 3



- into
 - Generates interrupt of vector number 4, if the overflow condition is set

