EECE 5644: Machine Learning

September 28, 2019

Homework #1

Name: Rohin Arora NUID: 001302812

Problem 1 (10 %)

(1) Variance(x) - Var(x); Expectation(x) -  $E(x) - \mu$ 

$$\begin{split} Var(x) &= E[(x-\mu)^2] \\ &= E[x^2 + \mu^2 - 2*x*\mu] \\ &= E[x^2] + E[\mu^2] - 2*E[x*\mu] & \text{linearity of expectation} \\ &= E[x^2] + \mu^2 - 2*\mu*E[x] & \text{linearity of expectation} \\ &= E[x^2] + \mu^2 - 2*\mu^2 \\ &= E[x^2] - \mu^2 \end{split}$$

(2)  $E[\vec{x}] = \vec{\mu}$ ; Covariance $(\vec{x}) = cov(\vec{x})$ 

$$\begin{split} cov(\vec{x}) &= E[(\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})((\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})^T] \\ &= E[(\vec{x} * \vec{x}^T - \vec{x} * \vec{\mu}^T - \vec{\mu} * \vec{x}^T + \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T] \\ &= E[(\vec{x} * \vec{x}^T] - E[\vec{x} * \vec{\mu}^T] - E[\vec{\mu} * \vec{x}^T] + E[\vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T] \\ &= E[(\vec{x} * \vec{x}^T] - E[\vec{x}] * \vec{\mu}^T - \vec{\mu} * E[\vec{x}^T] + E[\vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T] \\ &= E[(\vec{x} * \vec{x}^T] - \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T - \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T \end{split} \qquad \text{linearity of expectation} \\ &= E[(\vec{x} * \vec{x}^T] - \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T - \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T \\ &= E[(\vec{x} * \vec{x}^T] - \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu} * \vec{\mu}^T + \vec{\mu}$$

Problem 2 (20 %)

**(1)** 

- Class conditional probabilities shall sum to 1
- Let the constant of integration with class l be  $k_l$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(X|L=l)dx = k_l * \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|x-a_l|}{b_l}} dx$$

- This integral should sum to 1.
- Consider a modified integral.

$$f(x) = k_l * \int_{a_l}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x - a_l}{b_l}} dx$$

- The above integral should sum to 1/2.
- Continuing with this f(x)

$$f(x) = k_l * \int_{a_l}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x - a_l}{b_l}} dx$$

$$= k_l * e^{\frac{a_l}{b_l}} * \int_{a_l}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{b_l}} dx$$

$$= k_l * e^{\frac{a_l}{b_l}} * (-b_l) * e^{-\frac{x}{b_l}} \Big|_{a_l}^{\infty}$$

$$= k_l * e^{\frac{a_l}{b_l}} * (-b_l) * (0 - e^{-\frac{a_l}{b_l}})$$

$$= k_l * e^{\frac{a_l}{b_l}} * (b_l) * (e^{-\frac{a_l}{b_l}})$$

$$= k_l * b_l$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}$$

$$k_l = \frac{1}{2 * b_l}$$

$$k_{l} = \frac{1}{2 * b_{l}}$$

$$P(X|L=1) = \frac{1}{2 * b_{1}} * e^{-\frac{|x-a_{1}|}{b_{1}}}$$

$$P(X|L=2) = \frac{1}{2 * b_{2}} * e^{-\frac{|x-a_{2}|}{b_{2}}}$$

(2)

$$\begin{split} l(x) &= \ln P(X|L=1) - \ln P(X|L=2) \\ &= \ln \frac{1}{2*b_1} - \ln \frac{1}{2*b_2} - \frac{|x-a_1|}{b_1} + \frac{|x-a_2|}{b_2} \\ &= \frac{|x-a_2|}{b_2} - \frac{|x-a_1|}{b_1} + \ln \frac{b2}{b_1} \end{split}$$

(3) Setting the values  $a_1 = 0, b_1 = 1, a_2 = 1, b_2 = 2$ 

$$l(x) = \frac{|x-1|}{2} - |x| + \ln 2$$

This function is plotted in the following notebook- Q2\_Git\_Repo

Minimum probability of error classification rule implies 0 - 1 loss. Also, the 2 classes have equal priors. Therefore, the decision rule simplifies to maximum likelihood estimator. Suppose we have 2 labels, 1 and 2. In such a scenario, the decision rule is-

Decide label 1 if P(x|L=1) > P(x|L=2) Else decide label 2 (contentions resolved arbitrarily)

Rephrasing-

When a < x < r - class 1

When r < x < b - class 1 if 1/(b-a) > 1/(t-r) else class 2

When b < x < t - class 2

$$P(x|L=1) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & a \le x \le b \\ 0 & elsewhere \end{cases}$$
 (0.1)

$$P(x|L=2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t-r} & r \le x \le t \\ 0 & elsewhere \end{cases}$$
 (0.3)

$$\frac{P(x|L=1)}{P(x|L=2)} \geqslant_{class2}^{class1} 1$$

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \gtrless_{class2}^{class1} \frac{1}{t-r}$$

The accompanying code for this question with visual example is present here- Q3\_Git\_Repo

Problem 4 (30 %)

**(1)** 

• We need to find a decision rule that achieves minimum probability of error. This implies we need to do maximum a posteriori estimation (0-1 loss). It's also given the classes have equal priors. This implies its a special case of MAP- maximum likelihood estimation. The decision rule in such a case is -

$$\begin{split} \frac{P(x|L=1)}{P(x|L=2)} &\geqslant_{class1}^{class1} 1 \\ P(x|L=1) &\geqslant_{class2}^{class1} P(x|L=2) \\ P(x|L=1) &\sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \\ P(x|L=2) &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x)^2/2} &\geqslant_{class1}^{class1} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} \\ \sigma * e^{-(x)^2/2} &\geqslant_{class2}^{class1} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} \end{split}$$

Take log of both sides

$$\ln \sigma - \frac{x^2}{2} \geqslant_{class2}^{class1} - \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$2\sigma^2 * \ln \sigma - \sigma^2 * x^2 \geqslant_{class2}^{class1} - (x - \mu)^2$$

$$2\sigma^2 * \ln \sigma - \sigma^2 * x^2 + (x - \mu)^2 \gtrsim_{class1}^{class1} 0$$

$$x^2 + \mu^2 - 2x\mu - x^2\mu^2 + 2\sigma^2 \ln(\sigma) \geqslant_{class2}^{class1} 0$$

$$x^2(1-\sigma^2) - 2x\mu + \mu^2 + 2\sigma^2 \ln \sigma \geqslant_{class1}^{class1} 0$$

- The decision boundary is a parabola
- When this parabola is > 0, we decide class 1. Else we decide class 2

**(2)** 

• If  $\mu = 1$  and  $\sigma^2 = 2$ , the decision boundary becomes-

$$-x^2 - 2x + 1 + 2\ln 2 \gtrsim_{class2}^{class1} 0$$

- This is a parabola facing downwards. The zeros of this equation are x = -2.84019 and x = 0.840189
- The decision rule is-

Class 1 if -2.84019 < x < 0.840189

Class 2 otherwise

• Some math demonstrating the posterior calculation -

$$\begin{split} P(x|L=1) &\sim \mathcal{N}(0,\,1) \\ P(x|L=2) &\sim \mathcal{N}(1,\,2) \\ P(L=1|x) &= \frac{P(x|L=1)P(L=1)}{P(x)} \\ P(L=2|x) &= \frac{P(x|L=2)P(L=2)}{P(x)} \\ where & P(x) = P(x|L=1)P(L=1) + P(x|L=2)P(L=2) \\ P(L=1|x) &= \frac{P(x|L=1)P(L=1)}{P(x|L=1)P(L=1) + P(x|L=2)P(L=2)} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{N}(0,\,1)}{\mathcal{N}(0,\,1) + \mathcal{N}(1,\,2)} \\ P(L=2|x) &= \frac{P(x|L=2)P(L=2)}{P(x|L=1)P(L=1) + P(x|L=2)P(L=2)} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{N}(1,\,2)}{\mathcal{N}(0,\,1) + \mathcal{N}(1,\,2)} \end{split}$$

Problem 5 (20 %)

**(1)** 

$$\vec{x} = A\vec{z} + \vec{b}$$
 where  $z \in \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

By linearity of expectation

$$\begin{split} E[\vec{x}] &= E[\boldsymbol{A}\vec{z} + \vec{b}] \\ &= E[\boldsymbol{A}\vec{z}] + E[\vec{b}] \quad \boldsymbol{A} \text{ and } \vec{b} \text{ are constants} \\ &= \boldsymbol{A}E[\vec{z}] + \vec{b} \\ E[\vec{z}] &= 0 \end{split}$$
 Therefore,  $E[\vec{x}] = \vec{b}$ 

refore, E[x] = 0

$$\begin{aligned} CoVar(\vec{x}) &= Covar[\mathbf{A}\vec{z} + \vec{b}] \\ &= CoVar[\mathbf{A}\vec{z}] + CoVar[\vec{b}] \\ &= E[(\mathbf{A}\vec{z} - \mu_{A\vec{z}})(\mathbf{A}\vec{z} - \mu_{A\vec{z}})^T] + 0 \\ &= E[(\mathbf{A}\vec{z})(\mathbf{A}\vec{z})^T] \\ &= E[(\mathbf{A}\vec{z})(\vec{z}^T\mathbf{A}^T)] \\ &= \mathbf{A}E[(\vec{z})(\vec{z}^T)]\mathbf{A}^T \\ E[(\vec{z})(\vec{z}^T)] &= CoVar(\vec{z}) \quad \text{as } \vec{z} \text{ has zero mean } \\ CoVar(\vec{z}) &= \mathbf{I} \quad \text{given in the question} \end{aligned}$$
 Therefore,  $CoVar(\vec{x}) = \mathbf{A} * \mathbf{I} * \mathbf{A}^T \\ &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T \end{aligned}$ 

$$\vec{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\vec{b}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)$$

(2) Let the random vector be  $\vec{y}$ 

$$\vec{y} = \mathbf{A}\vec{z} + \vec{b}$$
  
 $\vec{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$ 

From previous sub problem,

$$ec{b} = ec{\mu}$$
  $oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{A}^T = \Sigma$ 

 $\boldsymbol{A}$  can be found by Cholesky decomposition of  $\Sigma$ . Indeed  $\Sigma$  is the covariance matrix of a Gaussian distribution, and hence positive semidefinite. Therefore cholesky decomposition can be applied here.

(3)

The code for this question can be found here-Q5\_Git\_Repo

## References and Acknowledgements

- 1. Python
- 2. Scientific python stack
- 3. Variance
- 4. Latex
- 5. Lecture Notes
- 6. Iridescent
- 7. Don't Panic