

# Supplementary material

## Maintaining sliding-window neighborhood profiles in interaction networks

Rohit Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Toon Calders<sup>1</sup>, Aristides Gionis<sup>2</sup>, and Nikolaj Tatti<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer and Decision Engineering  
Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Helsinki Institute for Information Technology  
Aalto University, Finland

### A Correctness and complexity of the exact algorithm

**Proposition 1.** *ADDEDGE updates the summary correctly.*

*Proof.* Assume that we are adding  $\{a, b\}$  at time  $t$ , and let  $H$  be the snapshot graph before adding this edge. Fix  $x$ . Let us define  $\alpha_v(i) = h_H(x, v, i)$ . Similarly, define  $\beta_v(i) = h_{(t+1)}(x, v, i)$ . To prove the proposition we need to show that (1)  $\beta_v(i) = \max(g(v, x, i), \alpha_v(i))$  and (2) if  $g(v, x, i)$  is not set, then  $\alpha_v(i) = \beta_v(i)$ .

Let us first prove that whenever set, we maintain the invariant,

$$g(v, x, i) \leq \max \{h(p) \mid p \in Q_v, |p| - 1 \leq i\} \leq \beta_v(i), \quad (1)$$

where  $Q_v$  contains all paths from  $x$  to  $v$  in  $G(t+1)$  containing  $(a, b)$  or  $(b, a)$ . Note that the second inequality follows immediately from the definition of  $\beta$ . We prove the first by induction over  $i$ . The case  $i = 1$  is trivial. If  $i > 1$ , then if  $g(v, x, i)$  is set, then either it is set by ADDEDGE or there is  $w$  such that  $g(w, x, i-1)$  is set. In the first case and, due to induction assumption, in the second case, it follows that  $g(v, x, i)$  is a horizon of some path in  $Q_v$  of length at most  $i$ .

We prove the main claim also by induction over  $i$ . Assume  $i = 1$ . The initialization of  $g(v, x, 1)$  in ADDEDGE now guarantees (1) and (2).

Assume  $i > 1$ . Assume that  $\beta_v(i) > \alpha_v(i)$ . This can only happen if there is a path  $p = \langle v_0, \dots, v_k \rangle \in Q_v$  with  $h(p) = \beta_v(i)$ . Let  $p' = \langle v_0, \dots, v_{k-1} \rangle$  and let  $w = v_{k-1}$ . We must have  $\alpha_w(i-1) < \beta_w(i-1)$ , as otherwise we have  $\beta_v(i) = \alpha_v(i)$ . By induction, (1) immediately implies that  $\beta_w(i-1) = g(w, x, i-1) > \alpha_w(i-1)$ . This means that  $\text{MERGE}(x, w, g(w, x, i-1), i-1)$  is called, and it returns true. Consequently,  $g(v, x, i) \geq h(p) = \beta_v(i)$ , Eq. 1 implies that  $g(v, x, i) = \beta_v(i)$ . This immediately proves (1) and (2).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $n = |V|$ ,  $m = |E|$ , and  $r$  be the upper bound on the distances we are maintaining. The time complexity of ADDEDGE is  $\mathcal{O}(rmn \log(n))$ . The space complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(rn^2)$ .*

*Proof.* The complexity of Algorithm 3 is  $\log(n)$ , since we need to search a summary and update  $S^v[i]$  for node  $x$ .

Every  $g(u, x, i + 1)$  will be initiated only if  $g(v, x, i)$  was set for one of its neighbors  $v$ . As such, this may happen at most as many times as  $u$  has neighbors in the graph. Since the cumulative sum of all neighbors is  $2m$  we can hence bound the number of times a  $g(u, x, i + 1)$  is set for  $x$  to  $2m$ . Since there are  $n$  nodes, lines 5,6,7 are executed at most  $2nm$  times per length  $i$ , and as a consequence this is also an upper bound on the number of calls to Algorithm 3. Putting it all together, we get a complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(2nmr(\log(n)))$  for Algorithm 2. Since Algorithm 1 does only call Algorithm 2 once, this proves the complexity bound for time.

The complexity bound on space easily follows from the observation that for every node  $v$ , and every distance  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , the summary  $S^v[i]$  contains at most one entry for any other node.  $\square$

## B Correctness and complexity of the sketch algorithm

**Proposition 4 (Part 1).** *The sketch version of ADDEDGE updates the summary correctly.*

*Proof.* Assume that we are adding  $\{a, b\}$  at time  $t$ , and let  $H$  be the snapshot graph before adding this edge. Fix  $x$ . Let us define  $\alpha_v(i) = t$ , where  $(x, t) \in C^v[i]$  (based on  $H$ ), and  $\infty$  otherwise. Similarly, define  $\beta_v(i)$  using  $G(t + 1)$ . To prove the proposition we need to show that (1)  $\beta_v(i) = \max(g(v, x, i), \alpha_v(i))$  and (2) if  $g(v, x, i)$  is not set, then  $\alpha_v(i) = \beta_v(i)$ .

Let us first prove that whenever set, we maintain the invariant

$$g(v, x, i) \leq \max \{h(p) \mid p \in Q_v, |p| - 1 \leq i\} \leq b_v(i), \quad (2)$$

where  $Q_v$  contains all paths from a node  $y$ , with  $\rho(y) = x$ , to  $v$  in  $G(t + 1)$  containing  $(a, b)$  or  $(b, a)$ . The second inequality follows immediately by definition of  $\beta$ . We prove the first by induction over  $i$ . The case  $i = 1$  is trivial. If  $i > 1$ , then if  $g(v, x, i)$  is set, then either it is set by ADDEDGE or there is  $w$  such that  $g(w, x, i - 1)$  is set. In the first case and, due to induction assumption, in the second case, it follows that  $g(v, x, i)$  is a horizon of some path in  $Q_v$  of length at most  $i$ .

We prove the main claim also by induction over  $i$ . Assume  $i = 1$ . The initialization of  $g(v, x, 1)$  in ADDEDGE now guarantees (1) and (2).

Assume  $i > 1$ . Assume that  $\beta_v(i) > \alpha_v(i)$ . This can only happen if there is a path  $p = \langle v_0, \dots, v_k \rangle \in Q_v$  with  $h(p) = \beta(i)$ . Let  $p' = \langle v_0, \dots, v_{k-1} \rangle$  and let  $w = v_{k-1}$ . We must have  $\alpha_w(i - 1) < \beta_w(i - 1)$ , as otherwise we have  $\beta_v(i) = \alpha_v(i)$ . By induction, (1) immediately implies that  $\beta_w(i - 1) = g(w, x, i - 1) > \alpha_w(i - 1)$ . This means that  $\text{MERGE}(x, w, g(w, x, i - 1), i - 1)$  is called, and it returns true. Consequently,  $g(v, x, i) \geq h(p) = \beta_v(i)$ , Eq. 2 implies that  $g(v, x, i) = \beta_v(i)$ . This immediately proves (1) and (2).  $\square$

The next proposition gives an upper bound on space and memory consumption of the algorithm.

**Proposition 4 (Part 2).** *Let  $n = |V|$ ,  $m = |E|$ , and  $r$  be the upper bound on the distances we are maintaining. The time complexity of the sketch version of ADDEDGE is  $\mathcal{O}(2^k r m \log^2(n))$ . The space complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(2^k n r \log^2 n)$ .*

*Proof.* Algorithm 4 needs to visit the iterate the entries in  $C^v[i]$ . Since there are at most  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  different values of  $\rho$ , there are at most  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  entries.

Every  $g(u, x, i + 1)$  will be initiated only if  $g(v, x, i)$  was set for one of its neighbors  $v$ . As such, this may happen at most as many times as  $u$  has neighbors in the graph. Since the cumulative sum of all neighbors is  $2m$  we can hence bound the number of times a  $g(u, x, i + 1)$  is set for  $x$  to  $2m$ . Since there are  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  different values of  $\rho$ , lines 5,6,7 are executed at most  $\mathcal{O}(\log nm)$  times per length  $i$ , and as a consequence this is also an upper bound on the number of calls to Algorithm 3. Putting it all together, we get a complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(2mr \log^2(n))$  for Algorithm 2. Since Algorithm 1 does only call Algorithm 2 once, this proves the complexity bound for time.

The complexity bound on space easily follows from the observation that for every node  $v$ , and every distance  $i = 0, \dots, r$ , the summary  $C^v[i]$  contains at most  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  entries that, and each entry requires  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  space.  $\square$

## C Code to run the experiments

Please download the instruction and code to run the experiments from the below link:

[Download Experiment Code](#)