

PERGAMON

Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707–717

A review of homogenization and topology optimization

Homogenization theory for media with periodic

structure

B. Hassani, E. Hinton *

Department of Civil Engineering, University of Wales, Swansea, Singleton
Park, Swansea, SA2 8PP, U.K.

Received 28 January 1997; accepted 28 April 1997

Abstract

This is the first part of a three-paper review of homogenization and
topology optimization, viewed from an

engineering standpoint and with the ultimate aim of clarifying the ideas so
that interested researchers can easily

implement the concepts described. In the first paper we focus on the
theory of the homogenization method where

we are concerned with the main concepts and derivation of the equations
for computation of effective constitutive

parameters of complex materials with a periodic micro structure. Such
materials are described by the base cell,

which is the smallest repetitive unit of material, and the evaluation of the
effective constitutive parameters may be

carried out by analysing the base cell alone. For simple microstructures this
may be achieved analytically, whereas

for more complicated systems numerical methods such as the finite
element method must be employed. In the

second paper, we consider numerical and analytical solutions of the homogenization equations. Topology

optimization of structures is a rapidly growing research area, and as opposed to shape optimization allows the

introduction of holes in structures, with consequent savings in weight and improved structural characteristics. The

homogenization approach, with an emphasis on the optimality criteria method, will be the topic of the third paper

in this review. # 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Advances in technology in recent years have been

paralleled by the increased use of composite materials

in industry. Since materials have different properties, it

seems sensible to make use of the good properties of

each single ingredient by using them in a proper combination. For example, a simple mixture of clay, sand

and straw produced a composite building material

which was used by the oldest known civilizations. The

further development of non-metallic materials and

composites has attracted the attention of scientists and

engineers in various fields, for example, aerospace,

transportation, and other branches of civil and mechanical engineering.

Apart from the considerably low

* Corresponding author.

ratio of weight to strength, some composites benefit

from other desirable properties, such as corrosion and thermal resistance, toughness and lower cost. Usually, composite materials comprise of a matrix which could be metal, polymeric (like plastics) or ceramic, and a reinforcement or inclusion, which could be particles or fibres of steel, aluminum, silicon etc.

Composite materials may be defined as a man-made material with different dissimilar constituents, which occupy different regions with distinct interfaces between them [1]. The properties of a composite are different from its individual constituents. A cellular body can be considered as a simple case of a composite, comprising solids and voids. This is the case which is used in the structural topology optimization.

In this study, composites with a regular or nearly regular structure are considered. Having sufficiently regular heterogeneities enables us to assume a periodic

0045-7949/98/\$ - see front matter © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

PII: S 0 0 4 5 - 7 9 4 9 (9 8) 0 0 1 3 1 - X

B. Hassani, E. Hinton / Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707–717

structure for the composite. It should be emphasized

that in comparison with the dimensions of the body

the size of these non-homogeneities should be very

small. Owing to this, these types of material are sometimes called composites with periodic microstructures.

Even with the help of high-speed modern computers,

the analysis of the boundary value problems consisting

of such media with a large number of heterogeneities, is

extremely difficult. A natural way to overcome this difficulty is to replace the composite with a kind of equivalent material model. This procedure is usually called

homogenization. One way of finding the properties of

such composites is by carrying out experimental tests.

It is quite evident that because of the volume and cost

of the required tests for all possible reinforcement

types, experimental measurements are often impracticable.

The mathematical theory of homogenization, which

has developed since the 1970 s is used as an alternative

approach to find the effective properties of the equivalent homogenized material [2–4]. This theory can be

applied in many areas of physics and engineering having nearly heterogeneous continuous media, like heat

transfer or fluid flow in porous media or, for example,

electromagnetism in composites. In fact, the basic assumption of continuous media in mechanics and physics can be thought of as sort of homogenization, as the materials are composed of atoms or molecules. From a mathematical point of view, the theory of homogenization is a limit theory which uses the asymptotic expansion and the assumption of periodicity to substitute the differential equations with rapidly oscillating coefficients, with differential equations whose coefficients are constant or slowly varying in such a way that the solutions are close to the initial equations [5].

This method makes it possible to predict both the overall and local properties of processes in composites.

In the first step, the appropriate local problem on the unit cell of the material is solved and the effective material properties are obtained. In the second step, the boundary value problem for a homogenized material is solved.

a 3×3 diagonal matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & & \\ & 3 & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n_1 \ 0 \ 0$$

$$N \ 4 \ 0 \ n_2 \ 0 \ 5;$$

$$0 \leq n_3$$

where n_1 , n_2 and n_3 are arbitrary integer numbers, and

$\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)^T$ is a constant vector which determines the period of the structure; F can be a scalar or

vectorial or even tensorial function of the position vector \mathbf{x} . For example, in a composite tissue by a periodically repeating cell \mathbf{Y} , the mechanical behaviour is

described by the constitutional relations of the form:

$$\mathbf{s}_{ij} = \mathbf{c}_{ijkl} \mathbf{e}_{kl};$$

and the tensor \mathbf{c}_{ijkl} is a periodic function of the spatial coordinate \mathbf{x} , so that

$$\mathbf{c}_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x} + N\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{c}_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$2$$

or

$$\mathbf{c}_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x}_1 + n_1 \mathbf{Y}_1; \mathbf{x}_2 + n_2 \mathbf{Y}_2; \mathbf{x}_3 + n_3 \mathbf{Y}_3) = \mathbf{c}_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x}_1; \mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{x}_3);$$

$\mathbf{c}_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x})$ is called the \mathbf{Y} -periodic (see Fig. 1). Note that

\mathbf{s}_{ij} and \mathbf{e}_{kl} are, respectively, the stress and strain tensors.

In the theory of homogenization the period \mathbf{Y} compared with the dimensions of the overall domain is

assumed to be very small. Hence, the characteristic

functions of these highly heterogeneous media will

rapidly vary within a very small neighbourhood of a

point \mathbf{x} . This fact inspires the consideration of two

different scales of dependencies for all quantities: one

on the macroscopic or global level x , which indicates slow variations, and the other on the microscopic or local level y , which describes rapid oscillations.

The ratio of the real length of a unit vector in the microscopic coordinates to the real length of a unit

vector in the macroscopic coordinates, is a small parameter E . so $Ey = x$ or $y = x/E$. Consequently, if g is a

general function then we can say $g = g(x, x/E) = g(x, y)$. To illustrate the technique let us assume that $F(x)$ is a physical quantity of a strongly heterogeneous med-

2. Periodicity and Asymptotic Expansion

A heterogeneous medium is said to have a regular periodicity if the functions denoting some physical quantity of the the medium either geometrical or some other characteristics have the following property:

$F(x + N\gamma) = F(x)$:

1

$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is the position vector of the point, N is

Fig. 1. Periodicity requires that the functions have equal values at points P_1, P_2, \dots, P_6 .

B. Hassani, E. Hinton / Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707±717

Fig. 2. A highly oscillating function.

ium. Thus $F(x)$ will have oscillations, see Fig. 2. To

study these oscillations using this double-scale expansion, the space can be enlarged as indicated in Fig. 3.

The small parameter E also provides an indication of

the proportion between the dimensions of the base

cells of a composite and the whole domain, known as

the characteristic inhomogeneity dimension. As a

hypothetical example, E for the skin cells of the human

body is larger than E for the atoms of which it is comprised. The quantity $1/E$ can be thought of as a magni-

709

⑨cation factor which enlarges the dimensions of a base

cell to be comparable with the dimensions of the

material $[6\pm 8]$, see Fig. 4.

In the double-scale technique, the partial differential

equations of the problem have coefficients of the form

$a(x/E)$ or $a(y)$, where $a(y)$ is a periodic function of its

arguments. The corresponding boundary value problem may be treated by asymptotically expanding the

solution in powers of the small parameter E . This technique has already proved to be useful in the analysis

of slightly perturbed periodic processes in the theory

of vibrations. The same principle is extendible to processes occurring in composite materials with a regular structure.

If we assign a coordinate system $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in

\mathbb{R}^3 space to define the domain of the composite material problem Ω , then assuming periodicity, the

domain can be regarded as a collection of parallelepiped cells of identical dimensions EY_1, EY_2, EY_3 , where

Y_1, Y_2 and Y_3 are the sides of the base cell in a local

(microscopic) coordinate system $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) = x/E$.

So for a fixed x in the macroscopic level, any dependency on y can be considered Y -periodic. Moreover, it

is assumed that the form and composition of the base

Fig. 3. One of the oscillations in the expanded scale.

Fig. 4. Characteristic dimension of inhomogeneity and scale enlargement.

B. Hassani, E. Hinton / Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707–717

cell varies in a smooth way with the macroscopic variable x . This means that for different points the structure of the composite may vary, but if one looks

through a microscope at a point at x , a periodic pattern can be found.

Functions determining the behaviour of the composite can be expanded as:

$$F(x, y) = F_0(x, y) + \epsilon F_1(x, y) + \epsilon^2 F_2(x, y) + \dots;$$

$$0$$

3. One-dimensional Elasticity Problem

To clarify the homogenization method, the simple

case of calculation of deformation of an inhomogeneous bar in the longitudinal direction is considered.

Here, we attempt to derive the modulus of elasticity

without recourse to advanced mathematics.

According to the assumptions of the theory, the

medium has a periodic composite microstructure

(Fig. 5).

The governing equations, in the form of Hooke's

law of linear elasticity and the Cauchy's first law of motion (equilibrium equation), are:

$$3$$

$$E$$

$$\sigma_s$$

$g^E = 0$:

$\otimes x$

$E^E(x; x=E) = E^E(x=E) = E^E(y)$

4

The dependency of the quantities to the size of the

unit cell of inhomogeneity is indicated by the superscript “E”. s^E is the stress, u^E is the displacement, $E^E(x)$

is the Young’s modulus and g^E is the weight per unit

volume of material. It is assumed that E^E and g^E are

macroscopically uniform along the domain and only

5

and

$g^E(x; x=E) = g^E(x=E) = g^E(y)$:

6

Using the asymptotic expansion:

$u^E(x) = u^0(x; y) + E u^1(x; y) + E^2 u^2(x; y) + \dots$

1

where $E \geq 0$ and functions $F^0(x, y)$, $F^1(x, y)$, . . . are

smooth with respect to x and Y -periodic in y , which

means that they take equal values on the opposite

sides of the parallel-piped base cell.

$\otimes u^E$

$s^E = E^E$

;

@x

vary inside each cell:

7

and

$sE x = s_0 x; y = E s_1 x; y = E^2 s_2 x; y = \dots$;

8

where $u_i(x, y)$ and $s_i(x, y)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are periodic

on y and the length of period is Y . In due course the

following facts will be referred to:

Fact (1). The derivative of a periodic function is

also periodic with the same period.

Fact (2). The integral of the derivative of a function

over the period is zero. (These facts can easily be verified by the definition of derivative and periodicity.)

Fact (3). If $F = F(x, y)$ and y depends on x , then:

$dF/dx = \partial F/\partial x + \partial F/\partial y \cdot dy/dx$

:

$dx/dx = 1 + (dy/dx) \cdot (dx/dy)$

In this case, as $y = x/E$, so

$dF/dx = \partial F/\partial x + \partial F/\partial y \cdot 1/E$

:

$dx/dx = E \cdot (dy/dy)$

Using the latter fact and substituting the series in Eqs. (7) and (8) into Eqs. (3) and (4), we obtain:

$$s_0 = E s_1 = E^2 s_2 = \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \neq 0 \\ & \neq \end{aligned}$$

$$@u$$

$$1 @u_0$$

$$@u_1 @u_1$$

$$@u_1$$

$$@u_2$$

$$E y$$

$$E$$

$$E^2$$

$$E$$

$$??? ;$$

$$@x E @y$$

$$@x$$

$$@y$$

$$@x$$

$$@y$$

$$9$$

$$\text{and}$$

$$@s_0 = 1 @s_0$$

$$\partial_{s1} \partial_{s1}$$

$$E$$

$$\partial \partial \partial \partial g y \partial:$$

$$\partial_x E \partial_y$$

$$\partial_x$$

$$\partial_y$$

$$10$$

By equating the terms with the same power of E ,

Eq. (9) yields:

$$\partial \partial \partial \partial$$

$$\partial_u$$

$$\partial \partial E y$$

$$\partial \partial$$

$$\partial_y$$

$$\partial \partial \partial$$

$$\partial_u$$

$$\partial_{u1}$$

$$\partial \partial E y$$

$$\partial$$

$$\partial_x$$

$$\partial_y$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 12 \\
 ? \ 1 \\
 ? \\
 @y \\
 @u2 \\
 s1 \ E \ y \\
 ; \\
 @x \\
 @y \\
 13 \\
 0
 \end{array}$$

Fig. 5. A composite bar.

and similarly from Eq. (10):

@s0

0;

@y

14

@s0 @s1

g y 0:

@x

@y

15

Dividing by $E(y)$ and integrating both sides of Eq. (16)

over the period Y , and using fact (2), yields:

?
?

dy du0 x

0

s x Y=

:
17

dx

Y E y

Now, by substituting the value of $s_0(x)$ into Eq. (16),

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$Y = E y$$

$$\ddot{y}_1$$

$$;$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$Y = E y$$

and by integrating this equation, we conclude that u_1

has the following form:

$$u_1(x; y) = w(y)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$x(x);$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

where Z is the dummy variable of integration and b is

a constant. Now, using the boundary condition

$w(0) = w(Y)$ yields:

$$Y$$

$$0$$

From Eqs. (11) and (14) it is concluded that the functions u_0 and s_0 only depend on x [i.e. $u_0(x)$ and $s_0(x)$].

Bearing in mind that the relationship between $s_0(x)$ and $u_0(x)$ is sought (because they are independent of the microscopic scale), Eq. (12) can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (15)$$

$$s_0(x) = E(y)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (19)$$

where $w(y)$ is the initial function of the terms inside the square brackets and $x(x)$ is the constant of integration due to y . From Eqs. (16) and (18) it follows that

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial s_0}{\partial x} \quad (25)$$

Dierentiating Eq. (19) with respect to y , one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} &? \\ &? \\ &?? \end{aligned}$$

d

$$dw\,y$$

$$E\,y\,1$$

$$0; \text{ on } Y;$$

$$20$$

$$dy$$

$$dy$$

$$711$$

a

$$dZ\,\ddot{y}\,Y\,0;$$

$$E\,Z$$

$$24$$

or

$$?$$

$$Y$$

$$1$$

$$a\,1=$$

$$Y$$

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \\ &? \end{aligned}$$

$$dZ$$

:

$$E Z$$

$$25$$

Note that comparig Eqs. (19) and (21) one can see

that

$$s_0 x = a$$

$$du_0 x$$

;

$$dx$$

$$26$$

and substituting for a from Eq. (25) yields

$$?$$

$$1$$

$$s x = 1 =$$

$$Y$$

$$0$$

$$Y$$

$$0$$

$$?$$

$$dZ du_0 x$$

:

$$E Z$$

$$dx$$

By integrating Eq. (15) over the length of the period $(0, Y)$ and using fact (2) mentioned earlier, results in:

$$ds_0 = \int_0^Y g(y) dy$$

$$g = \frac{1}{Y} \int_0^Y g(y) dy$$

$$dx$$

where $g = \frac{1}{Y} \int_0^Y g(y) dy$ is the volumetric average of g inside the base cell.

By studying Eqs. (27) and (28), we realize that they are very similar to the equations of one-dimensional (1D) elasticity in homogeneous material, and s_0 and u_0 are independent of the microscopic scale y . The only difference is the elasticity coefficient, which should be replaced by the homogenized one. Hence, the problem can be summarized as:

$$?$$

$$s_0 = \frac{E}{Y} \int_0^Y u_0 dy$$

$$ds_0 = \frac{E}{Y} du_0$$

and $w(y)$ takes equal values on the opposite faces of Y [i.e. $w(0) = w(Y)$]. Integrating Eq. (20) yields

?

?

dw_y

$E_y 1$

a is a constant;

21

dy

where

or

22

is the homogenized modulus of elasticity.

To find displacements, following the same as for the

homogeneous material, the bar problem is now

straightforward. Combining the two parts of Eq. (29),

we obtain:

23

$@2 u_0 x$

g

$\ddot{y} H:$

$@x2$

E

dw_y

a

$\ddot{y} \geq 1$:

dy

$E(y)$

Integrating Eq. (22) it follows that

$?$

$y?$

a

$w(y)$

$\ddot{y} \geq 1 \, dZ \leq b$;

$0 \leq Z$

$E(H-1)=$

$?$

1

Y

Y

0

$?$

dZ

$;$

$E(Z)$

30

B. Hassani, E. Hinton / Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707±717

By two times integration and using the boundary conditions ($x = 0$; $u = 0$) and ($x = L$; $du/dx = 0$) it

results in:

5. General Boundary Value Problem

The 1D heat conduction is very similar to the 1D

elasticity problem. The governing equations, Fourier's

law of heat conduction and the equation of heat balance, are:

Many physical systems which do not change with

timesometimes called steady-state problemscan be

modelled by elliptic equations. As a general problem,

the divergent elliptic equation in a non-homogeneous

medium with regular structure is now explained.

Let Ω be an unbounded medium tessellated by parallelepiped unit cells Y , whose material properties are

determined by a symmetric matrix $a_{ij}(x, y) = a_{ij}(y)$,

where $y = x/E$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and the functions

a_{ij} are periodic in the spatial variables $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$.

The boundary value problem to be dealt with is:

?

$\Delta u = f$

$u|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{u}$

2

$g^? x$

$g^?$

$Lx:$

$EH \ 2 \ EH$

4. Problem of Heat Conduction

$qE \ x \ KE \ dTE \ x=dx$

$@qE = @x \ f \ 0:$

E

31

E

E

q is the heat flux, T is the temperature, and $K(x)$ is

the conductivity coefficient. Following a very similar

procedure to the 1D elasticity problem, the homogenized coefficient of heat conduction can be obtained

as:

$? \ Y$

$?$

1

dZ

$KH \ 1=$

;

$Y \ 0 \ K \ Z$

which as is expected, is the same as Eq. (30).

Similarly, starting from the equations of heat conduction in the general 3D case, and following the same

procedure as for 1D problem, the following results will be obtained [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

where the function f is defined in O and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

@xi

@xj

?

?

? ?

@wi

K y dij

dy ;

@yi

Y

33

and $w_j(y)$ is the solution of the partial differential equation:

?

?

??

@

@wj

K y dij

0

@yi

@yi

on Y:

34

δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol and the boundary conditions are concluded from the periodicity, i.e. w_j takes equal values on the opposite sides of the base cell. In Eqs. (31) and (32), q and $\partial q_i / \partial x_i$ are the volumetric average value of $q_0(x)$ and $\partial q_0 / \partial x_i$ over Y . The volumetric average of a quantity $a(x, y)$ over Y is defined by:

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y a(x, y) dy$$
 is the elliptical operator. The superscript “E” is used to show the dependency of the operator and the solution to the characteristic inhomogeneity dimension. Using a double-scale asymptotic expansion, the solution to Eqs. (36) and (37) can be written as:

$$u^E(x) = u_0(x, y) + \epsilon u_1(x, y) + \epsilon^2 u_2(x, y) + \dots ;$$
 where functions $u_j(x, y)$ are Y -periodic in y . Recalling the rule of indirect differentiation (fact 3) yields

$$\Delta^E u^E = \Delta u_0 + \dots$$

1 1 1 2

A A A;

E2

E

40

where

1

jYj

a? x

in O;

A1

and

A2

?

?

@

@

aij y

;

@yi

@yj

A3

?

?

@

@

$$a_{ij} \, y$$

$$@_{xi}$$

$$@_{xj}$$

$$?$$

$$?$$

$$?$$

$$?$$

$$@$$

$$@$$

$$@$$

$$@$$

$$a_{ij} \, y$$

$$a_{ij} \, y$$

$$:$$

$$@_{yi}$$

$$@_{xj}$$

$$@_{xi}$$

$$@_{yj}$$

Applying Eqs. (39) and (40) into Eq. (36) yields

$$E\ddot{y}_2 A_1 - E\ddot{y}_1 A_2 - A_3 \, u_0 - E u_1 - E^2 u_2 \, ? \, ? \, ? \, f;$$

$$41$$

and by equating terms with the same power of E , we obtain:

$$A_1 \, u_0 = 0;$$

$2\ 0$
 $4\ 3$
 $2\ 1$
 $3\ 0$
 $4\ 4$

$A\ u\ A\ u\ 0;$

$1\ 2$
 $4\ 2$
 $1\ 1$

$A\ u\ A\ u\ A\ u\ f; \ ?\ ?\ ?$

If x and y are considered as independent variables,
 these equations form a recurrent system of differential
 equations with the functions u_0 , u_1 and u_2 parameter-

B. Hassani, E. Hinton / Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707±717

ized by x . Before proceeding to the analysis of this system, it is useful to notice the following fact:

Fact (4). The equation

$$A_1 u = F$$

in Y

$$(45)$$

for a Y -periodic function u has a unique solution if:

$$(1)$$

$$F \in L^2(Y)$$

$$\int_Y F \, dy = 0;$$

$$(46)$$

$$\text{where } |Y| \text{ denotes the volume of the base cell.}$$

$$\text{From this fact, and using Eq. (42), it immediately follows that}$$

$$u_0 = u(x);$$

$$A_1 u_1 = \ddot{A}_2 u_0 = \ddot{y}$$

$$a_{ij}(y) = a_{ij}(x)$$

$$:$$

$$a_{yi} = a_{xj}$$

$$u_1(x; y) = w_i(y)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial t}$$

$$49$$

where $w_j(y)$ is the Y -periodic solution of the local equation

$$\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial y_j} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial t}$$

$$\text{in } Y:$$

$$50$$

Now, turning to Eq. (44) for u_2 and taking x as a parameter, it follows from fact (4) that Eq. (44) will have

a unique solution if

$$1$$

$$jYj$$

$$Y$$

$$A_2 u_1 - A_3 u_0 \, dy = f = 0;$$

$$51$$

which when combined with Eq. (49) results in the following homogenized (macroscopic) equation for $u(x)$:

$$aH$$

$$ij$$

Thus, it is demonstrated that the initial equation has been split into two different problems:

1. Determine $w_j(y)$ from Eq. (50) which is solved on the base cell.
2. Solve Eq. (52) on O with $u = 0$ on ∂O . The homogenized coefficients a_{Hij} are obtained from Eq. (53).

6. General Elasticity Problem

48

As in the right-hand side of Eq. (48) the variables are separated, the solution of this equation may be represented in the form

\ddot{y}

∂^2

:

$\partial x_i \partial x_j$

47

and by substituting into Eq. (43) we find:

$A_1 w_j y$

$A_H a_H$

ij

713

2

$\partial u x$

$f;$

$\partial x_i \partial x_j$

where the quantities

$\mathbf{?}$
 $\mathbf{?}$
 $\mathbf{1}$

$\mathbf{@w_j}$

$\mathbf{a_H}$

$\mathbf{a_{ij} \, y \, a_{ik} \, y}$

\mathbf{dy}

\mathbf{ij}

$\mathbf{@y_k}$

$\mathbf{jYj \, Y}$

52

53

are the effective coefficients of the homogenized operator:

So far, the application of the homogenization theory

in 1D elasticity, heat conduction, and as a more general problem in elliptic partial differential equations,

has been discussed. For the sake of completeness the

homogenization method for cellular media in weak

form, which is suitable for the derivation of the finite

element formulation, using the procedure and notation

used by Guedes and Kikuchi in Ref. [9], is briefly

explained. This is the case applied in topological structural optimization by Bendsøe and Kikuchi [10±14].

Let us consider the elasticity problem constructed

from a material with a porous body with a periodic

cellular microstructure. Body forces f and tractions t are applied. See Fig. 6 O is assumed to be an open subset of R^3 with a smooth boundary on G comprising G_d (where displacements are prescribed) and G_t (the traction boundary). The base cell of the cellular body Y is illustrated in Fig. 7. Y is assumed to be an open rectangular parallel-piped in R^3 defined by

$$Y = \{0 \leq Y_1 \leq 1; 0 \leq Y_2 \leq 1; 0 \leq Y_3 \leq 1\};$$

with a hole v in it. The boundary of v is defined by s ($\partial v = s$) and is assumed to be sufficiently smooth, and as a more general case the tractions p can also exist inside the holes. The solid part of the cell is denoted by

Y , therefore, the solid part of the domain can be defined as

$$O = \{x \in R^3 \mid x = E y, y \in Y\}$$

Yg:

Also, we define

SE

all[

cells

si :

il

Having periodic microstructure does not mean that the form and composition of the base cell cannot vary, but the variations in the macroscopic scale are assumed to be smooth enough.

It is also assumed that none of the holes v_i intersect the boundary G . (i.e. $G \setminus \text{SE} = \emptyset$).

Now, considering the stress-strain and strain-displacement relations:

B. Hassani, E. Hinton / Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707±717

Fig. 6. Elasticity problem in a cellular body.

$V \subset H^1(\Omega)$

and

$v_j \in G^0$;

and H^1 is the Sobolev space². The elastic constants of the solid are assumed to have symmetry and coercivity properties:

$E_{ijkl} = E_{jikl} = E_{ijlk} = E_{klij}$;

$9\alpha > 0 : E E_{ijkl} \epsilon_{kl} = \alpha e_{ij} e_{ij}$;

Fig. 7. Base cell of the cellular body.

$s_{Eij} = E E_{ijkl} \epsilon_{kl}$;

ϵ_{Ekl}

54

?

?

$1 \leq u \leq U$

;

$2 \leq x \leq X$

Find $u \in V$, such that

Ω

E_{ijkl}

$u \in V$

dO

$@x_l @x_j$

OE

$fE_i v_i dO$

G_t

$t_i v_i dG$

sE

$pE_i v_i dS;$

$8v^2 VE ;$

56

where

2

$H_1(OE)$ is defined as:

$H_1 OE = \int_{\Gamma} x_j w_x^2 L_2 OE$

and

$@w_x$

$2 L_2 OE g;$

$@x_i$

where

$L_2 OE = \int_{\Gamma} x_j$

OE

Now, using the double-scale asymptotic expansion and

fact (3), Eq. (56) becomes

$\begin{matrix} ? \\ ?? \\ ? \\ ? \end{matrix}$

$1 \ @u0 \ @ui \ 1 \ @u0k \ @u1k \ @vi \ @u0k \ @vi$

$Eijkl \ 2 \ k$

$E \ @gl \ @yj \ E \ @xl \ @yl \ @j$

$@yl \ @xj$

OE

$\begin{matrix} ?? \ 0 \\ ? \\ ? \ 1 \\ ? \ ? \end{matrix}$

$@uk \ @u1k \ @vi$

$@uk \ @u2 \ @vi$

$E \ ? \ ? \ ?gdO$

$@xl \ @yl \ @xj$

$@xl \ @yl \ @yj$

55

the virtual displacement equation can be constructed

as:

$w \ x2 < 1$

and $x \ 2 \ OE \ g;$

which assures the integrability of the functions and their derivatives.

$8eij \ eij :$

OE

$f_{Ei} v_i dO$

Gt

$t_i v_i dG$

sE

$p_{Ei} v_i ds;$

$8v^2 VO ?$

Y;

57

where

VO ?

$Y_{jv} ; yY \ddot{y}$ periodic;

$Y \text{ } f_v x; y; x; y^2 O ?$

v smooth enough and $v_j Gd \text{ } 0g:$

Similarly, we define VO and V

Y as:

$VO \text{ } f_v x$ defined in O_{jv} smooth enough and $v_j Gd \text{ } 0g:$

V

$Y_j \text{ } v y;$

$Y \text{ } f_v y$ defined in

$Y \ddot{y}$ periodic and smooth enough;

Introducing the following facts:

Fact (5). For a Y-periodic function $C(y)$ when

E 4 0 we have

?x?

1

C

C ydY dO;

58

dO

E

jYj O

OE

Y

SE

C

?x?

E

dO

1

EjYj

O

s

C ydsdO;

59

pi vi dS dO; 8v 2 VO ?

Y;

O jYj s

60

1

jYj

O

?

O

Eijkl

61

?? 0

?

? 1

? ? ?

@uk @u1k @vi

@uk @u2k @vi

dY dO

Eijkl

@xl @yl @xj

@yl @yl @yj

Y

?

?

1

fi vi dY dO

$$t_i v_i dG; 8v^2 VO \text{ ?}$$

$$Y:$$

$$O\left|Y\right|$$

$$Y$$

$$Gt$$

$$1$$

$$\left|Y\right|$$

$$62$$

$$\text{Now, as } v \text{ is an arbitrary function we choose } v = v(y)$$

$$(\text{i.e. } v \in V$$

$$Y). \text{ Then integrating by parts, applying the}$$

$$\text{divergence theorem to the integral in}$$

$$Y, \text{ and using}$$

$$\text{periodicity from Eq. (60), we obtain:}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{? ?} \\ \text{?} \\ \text{??} \end{array}$$

$$\text{?}$$

$$\text{??}$$

$$@u0$$

$$1$$

$$@$$

$$\ddot{y}$$

$$E_{ijkl} k^i v_j dY$$

$$\left|Y\right| O$$

$$@y_j$$

@yl

Y

@u0

Eijkl k nj vi dSgdO 0;

@yl

s

v being arbitrary results in:

?

?

@u0

@

ÿ

;

Eijkl k 0; 8y 2 Y

@yj

@yl

Eijkl

u0k

nj 0 on

@yl

s:

8v:

63
64
65

Considering fact (4) and Eq. (64) it is concluded that:

$u_0(x); y = u_0(x)$:

66

This means that the first term of the asymptotic expansion only depends on the macroscopic scale x .

Now, as v is an arbitrary function, if we choose

$v = v(x)$ (i.e. v is only a function of x), then from

Eq. (61) it is concluded that:

?
?
1

$\int_{\partial S} v_i(x) dO = 0; \int_{\partial S} v^2 dO = 0$;

67

$O_j Y_j(s)$

which implies that

s

$\int_{\partial S} x_j y dS = 0$:

68

This means that the applied tractions have to be selfequilibrating. So the possible applied tractions are

restricted.

715

and choosing $v = v(y)$ yields

Integrating by parts, using the divergence theorem and applying the periodicity conditions on the opposite faces of Y , it follows from Eq. (69) that:

48

@xl

@yt

Y @yj

? 0
?

@uk x @u1k

Eijkl

vi nj dS

@yl

@xl

s

s

pi vi dS;

8v 2 V

Y:

70

Since v is arbitrary, it is concluded that

?
?
?
?

@u0 x

@

@u1

\mathcal{A}
 \ddot{y}
on
 $Y;$
 $E_{ijkl} \, k$
 $E_{ijkl} \, k$
 \mathcal{A}_{yj}
 \mathcal{A}_{yj}
 \mathcal{A}_{xl}
 \mathcal{A}_{yl}
 E_{ijkl}
 $\mathcal{A}_{u0} \, x$
 \mathcal{A}_{u1k}
 $\ddot{y} E_{ijkl} \, k \, n_j \, p_i$
 \mathcal{A}_{xl}
 \mathcal{A}_{yl}
on s :

71
72

Now, considering Eq. (62) and choosing $v = v(x)$
results in a statement of equilibrium in the macroscopic level:

?
? 0
? ?

@uk @u1k

1

@vi x

Eijkl

dO

dY

jYj

@xj

@x

@y

l

l

O

Y

?

?

1

fi dY vi xdO

O

ti vi xdG

G; 8v 2 VO :

jYj

O

Y

Gt

73

If in Eq. (62) we assume that $v = v(y)$, this leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} &? \, 1 \\ &? \\ &? \\ &? \\ &1 \end{aligned}$$

$$@uk \, @u2k \, @vi \, y$$

$$Eijkl$$

$$dY \, dO$$

$$@yj$$

$$@xl \, @yl$$

$$O \, jYj$$

$$Y$$

$$\begin{aligned} &? \\ &? \\ &1 \end{aligned}$$

$$fi \, vi \, ydY \, dO; \, 8v \, 2 \, V$$

74

$$Y;$$

$$O \, jYj$$

$$Y$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} &? \, 1 \\ &? \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{u}_{2k} \mathbf{v}_i y$

E_{ijkl}

dY

\mathbf{u}_j

$\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{y}_l$

Y

Y

$\mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{v}_i y dY;$

$8v^2 V$

$Y;$

75

which represents the equilibrium of the base cell in the microscopic level.

The procedure followed so far can be applied for

higher terms of the expansion. However, in this case

the first-order terms are enough. The macroscopic

mechanical behaviour is represented by \mathbf{u}_0 , and \mathbf{u}_1 represents the microscopic behaviour.

As we have noticed earlier, our goal is to find the

homogenized elastic constants such that the equi-

B. Hassani, E. Hinton / Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707–717

rium equation (or equivalently the equation of virtual

displacements) can be constructed in the macroscopic

system of coordinates. These homogenized constants

should be such that the corresponding equilibrium

equation reflects the mechanical behaviour of the

microstructure of the cellular material without explicitly using the parameter E . To accomplish this we consider Eq. (69) once again. As this equation is linear

with respect to u_0 and p , we consider the two following

problems:

(i) Let $w_{kl} \in V$

Y be the solution of

Y

E_{ijpq}

$@w$

w_{kl}

$p @v_i y$

dY

$@y_q @y_j$

Y

E_{ijkl}

$@v_i y$

$dY;$

$@y_i$

$8v\,2\,V$

$Y;$

76

(ii) and let $C\,\$ \,V$

Y be the solution of

Y

E_{ijkl}

$@C_k\, @v_i\, y$

dY

$@y_l\, @y_j$

S

$\pi_i\, v_i\, y dY;$

$8v\,2\,V$

$Y;$

77

where x plays the role of a parameter. It can be

shown that the solution u_1 will be

$u_{li}\, \ddot{y}_{wkl}$

$i\, x; y$

$@u_{0k}\, x$

$\ddot{y}\, C_i\, x; y\, u^{\sim}\, l_i\, x;$

@xl

Introducing Eq. (78) into Eq. (73) yields

“
! #

@wkl

@u0k x @ui x

1

p

Eijkl ¯ Eijpq

dO

dY

@yq

@xl @xj

O jYj

Y

?
?
1

@Ck

@vi x

Eijkl

dY

dO

jYj

@xj

@y

l

O

Y

?

?

1

fi dY vi xdO

jYj

O

Y

Gt

ti vi xdG;

8v 2 VO :

Now, denoting

EH

ijkl x

tij x

1

jYj

Y

Y

Eijkl

$$E_{ijkl} \ddot{y} E_{ijpq}$$

$$@C_k$$

$$dY;$$

$$@y_l$$

$$!$$

$$@w$$

$$w_{kl}$$

$$p$$

$$dY;$$

$$@y_q$$

$$79$$

$$80$$

$$81$$

$$\text{and}$$

$$b_i x$$

$$1$$

$$jY_j$$

$$Y$$

$$f_i dY;$$

$$\text{Eq. (79) can be written as:}$$

$$@u_0 k_x @v_i x$$

$$dO$$

$$@x_l @x_j$$

$$O$$

$b_i x_{vi} x_{dO}$

O

G_t

$t_{ij} x$

$@_{vi} x$

dO

$@_{xj}$

$t_i x_{dG};$

$8v^2 VO :$

83

This is very similar to the equation of virtual displacement, Eq. (56), and it represents the macroscopic equilibrium. EH

$ijkl$ defined by Eq. (80) represents the

homogenized elastic constants. t_{ij} are average “residual” stresses within the cell due to the tractions p

inside the holes, and b_i are the average body forces.

As we notice, the microscopic and macroscopic problems are not coupled and the solution of the elasticity

problem can be summarized as:

(i) Find w and C within the base cell by solving the

integral Eqs. (76) and (77) on the base cell.

(ii) Find DH

$ijkl$, t_{ij} and b_i by using Eqs. (80)±(82).

(iii) Construct Eq. (83) in macroscopic coordinates.

If the whole domain of the cellular material comprises a uniform cell structure, as well as uniform applied tractions on the boundaries of the holes of the cells, then it is only necessary to solve the microscopic Eqs. (76) and (77) once. Otherwise these equations must be solved for every point x of O .

78

where $u_{\alpha i}$ are arbitrary constants of integration in y .

O

EH

ijkl

82

7. Conclusion and Final Remarks

In this first part of a three paper review we have

focused on the theory of the homogenization method

for the computation of effective constitutive parameters of complex materials with a periodic microstructure. In the second part of this review we will

consider the motives for using the homogenization theory for topological structural optimization. In particular, the finite element formulation will be explained for

the material model based on a microstructure consisting of an isotropic material with rectangular voids.

Some examples will also be provided.

References

- [1] Kalamkarov AL. Composite and reinforced elements of construction. Wiley, New York, 1992.
- [2] Sanchez-Palencia E. Non-homogenous media and vibration theory. Lect Notes Phys, 127, 1980.
- [3] Benssousan A, Lions JL, Papanicoulau G. Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures. Amesterdam, North Holland, 1978.
- [4] Cioranescu D, Paulin JSJ. Homogenization in open sets with holes. J Math Aanal Appl 1979;71:590±607.

B. Hassani, E. Hinton / Computers and Structures 69 (1998) 707±717

[5] Oleinik OA. On homogenization problems. In: Trends and application of pure mathematics in mechanics.

Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[6] Caillerie D. Homogenization of periodic media tissued composite materials, Technical report. Institute of Mechanics, Grenoble, France.

[7] Bourgat JF. Numerical experiments of the homogenization method for operators with periodic coecients.

Lect Notes Math 1977:330±56.

[8] Lene F., Duvaut G. Resultats d'isotropie pour des milieux homogeneises. C R Acad Sc Paris 1981; 7 293 (II):

477±480.

[9] Guedes JM, Kikuchi N. Pre and post processing for materials based on the homogenization method with adaptive @nite element methods. Comp Meth Appl Mech

Engng 1990;83:143±98.

717

[10] Bendsùe MP, Kikuchi N. Generating optimal topologies in structural design using homogenization method. Comp Meth Appl Mech Engng 1988;71:197±224.

[11] Bendsùe MP. Optimal shape design as a material distribution problem. Struct Optim 1989;1:193±202.

[12] Bendsùe MP, DôÂ az AR, Kikuchi N. Topology and generalized layout optimization of elastic structures. In:

Bendsøe MP, Mota Soares CA, editors. Topology design of structures. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993. p. 159±205.

[13] Suzuki K, Kikuchi N. A homogenization method for shape and topology optimization. Comp Meth Appl Mech Engng 1991;93:291±318.

[14] Jog CS, Haber RB, Bendsøe MP. Topology design with optimized, self-adaptive materials, Technical Report DCAMM 457. Technical University of Denmark, 1993.