# Topics in Information Security: Assignment

## V Rohith

## April 19, 2019

### 1. 7.7: Forged signature

Considering the digital signature without hash function; where signing is done by:

$$Sign(m) = E_{A.pr}(m)$$

And signer sends m and Sign(m). Verification is done by checking

$$m \stackrel{?}{=} E_{A.pu}(\operatorname{sign}(m))$$

A forged signature can be created in the following two scenarios. [using RSA]

#### Scenario 1:

• Attacker takes a  $\sigma$  and computes

$$m = \sigma^e \mod n$$
  
i.e,  $m = E_{A.pu}(\sigma)$ 

and sends m,  $\sigma$ .

- Verifier verifies that  $m = \sigma^e \mod n$  and concludes that m is signed by Alice.
- Note: Here the message received m, may not have any meaning. But attacker has succeeded in forging the signature.

#### Scenario 2:

- Attacker has two previously signed messages  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  with their signatures  $Sign(m_1)$  &  $Sign(m_2)$ .
- Now attacker can prepare a message

$$m = m_1.m_2$$
 and  
 $Sign(m) = Sign(m_1).Sign(m_2)$ 

and sends m, Sign(m).

• The verifier checks that

$$m = E_{A.pu}(\operatorname{Sign}(m)), \text{ since}$$

$$E_{A.pu} = [\operatorname{Sign}(m_1).\operatorname{Sign}(m_2)]^e \mod n$$

$$= (m_1^d.m_2^d)^e \mod n$$

$$= (m_1m_2)^{de} \mod n$$

$$= m_1m_2 \mod n$$

$$= m \mod n$$

- Here the attacker has succeeded in forging the signature for a message m which is a product of two messages  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$ . This is possible because of partial homomorphic nature of RSA.
- 2. 7.8: Number of messages required for Weak Collision Attack

If b is the number of bits of hash, there is a total possibility of  $n = 2^b$  number of hash values. Consider a message and its hash, consider we have prepared m number of identical messages.

Prob(collision) = 1 - Prob(No collision)

$$= 1 - (\frac{n-1}{n})(\frac{n-1}{n}).....(\frac{n-1}{n})$$
$$= 1 - (\frac{n-1}{n})^m$$

$$= 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{n})^m$$

Now using taylor series expansion of  $e^x$ , and ignoring second and higher order power of x,

$$e^{-\frac{1}{n}} = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

Therefore, 
$$P_c \approx 1 - e^{-\frac{m}{n}}$$

Rearranging the evaluation to get the number of messages m,

$$m \approx -n\ln(1-p) = n\ln(\frac{1}{1-p}) \tag{1}$$

Now for the attack to succeed with prob = 0.75, required number of messages is given by

$$m \approx -2^b \ln(0.25)$$
$$m \approx 1.3863(2^b)$$

where b is the number of bits in the hash.