

Q1:

There are two ways to go about it. Either LEFT JOIN or RIGHT JOIN can be established between tables pages and page_likes or a subquery can be used to identify which pages have not been liked by any user.

The LEFT JOIN clause starts selecting data from the left table. For each row in the left table (pages), it compares the value in the page_id column with the value of each row in the page_id column in the right table (page_likes).

When page_id are found on both sides, the LEFT JOIN clause creates a new row that contains columns that appear in the SELECT clause and adds this row to the result set.

In case page_id frompages table is not available in page_likes table, the LEFT JOIN clause also creates a new row that contains columns that appear in the SELECT clause. In addition, it fills the columns that come from the page_likes (right table) with NULL. Rows having NULL values in the result is the set of the solution.

Read about LEFT JOIN [1] and RIGHT JOIN [2] to get the better understanding.

Solution #1: Using LEFT OUTER JOIN

```
SELECT pages.page_id

FROM pages

LEFT OUTER JOIN page_likes AS likes

ON pages.page_id = likes.page_id

WHERE likes.page_id IS NULL;
```

Another solution to this problem, since pages with NO LIKES are needed, would be the NOT EXISTS clause (refer to Solution #2). It's an appropriate and efficient operator to get this information. Check out here.

Both methods give the same output.

Solution #2: Using EXCEPT

```
SELECT page_id
FROM pages
EXCEPT
SELECT page_id
FROM page_likes;
Solution #3: Using NOT IN
```

```
SELECT page_id
FROM pages
WHERE page_id NOT IN (
SELECT DISTINCT page_id
FROM page_likes
```

);



Solution #4: Using NOT EXISTS

```
SELECT page_id
FROM pages
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT page_id
    FROM page_likes AS likes
    WHERE likes.page_id = pages.page_id
;)
```

Q2:

Great news! The parts table already includes all parts currently in production, so no additional filtering is necessary to exclude non-production parts.

To extract unfinished parts, we can simply filter for rows where the finish_date column contains no data, indicated by a NULL value.

```
SELECT part, assembly_step
FROM parts_assembly
WHERE finish_date IS NULL;
```

Q3:

First, we need to find the number of tweets posted by each user in 2022 by grouping the tweet records by user ID and counting the tweets.

```
SELECT
  user_id,
  COUNT(tweet_id) AS tweet_count_per_user
FROM tweets
WHERE tweet_date BETWEEN '2022-01-01'
  AND '2022-12-31'
GROUP BY user_id;
```

The output shows the number of tweets posted by each user in 2022:

| user_id | tweet_count_per_user |
|---------|----------------------|
| 111 | 2 |
| 148 | 1 |
| 254 | 1 |

Based on the output, we can infer that in the year 2022, user 111 has posted two tweets, while users 148 and 254 have only posted one tweet each.



Next, we use the query above as a subquery, then we use the tweet_count_per_user field as the tweet bucket and retrieve the number of users.

```
tweet_count_per_user AS tweet_bucket,
   COUNT(user_id) AS users_num

FROM (
   SELECT
      user_id,
      COUNT(tweet_id) AS tweet_count_per_user
   FROM tweets
   WHERE tweet_date BETWEEN '2022-01-01'
      AND '2022-12-31'
   GROUP BY user_id) AS total_tweets

GROUP BY tweet_count_per_user;
```

This query generates a histogram of the number of tweets per user in 2022. The output shows the tweet count per user as the tweet bucket and the number of Twitter users who fall into that bucket.

| tweet_bucket | users_num |
|--------------|-----------|
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 |

Alternatively, we can use a Common Table Expression (CTE) instead of a subquery to compute the tweet counts.

A CTE is a data set that is created temporarily and can be used within a query. It is available for use during the entire session of the query execution. On the other hand, a subquery is a query nested within another query and can only be used within that query. A subquery typically acts as a column with a single value in the FROM or WHERE clause.

The benefits of using a CTE are that it is more readable and can be reused throughout the query session, whereas a subquery can only be used within the query in which it is defined.

Solution #2: Using CTE

```
WITH total_tweets AS (
    SELECT
    user_id,
    COUNT(tweet_id) AS tweet_count_per_user
    FROM tweets
    WHERE tweet_date BETWEEN '2022-01-01'
        AND '2022-12-31'
    GROUP BY user_id)

SELECT
    tweet_count_per_user AS tweet_bucket,
```



```
COUNT (user_id) AS users_num

FROM total_tweets

GROUP BY tweet_count_per_user;
```

Q4:

To calculate the viewership on different devices (laptops vs. mobile devices), we can utilise the aggregate function COUNT() along with the FILTER clause to apply conditional expressions.

```
SELECT
   COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE conditional_expression)
FROM table_name;
```

In the given example, the device types 'tablet' and 'phone' are considered as 'mobile' devices, while 'laptop' is treated as a separate device type.

The following query can be used to obtain the desired result:

```
SELECT
  COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE device_type = 'laptop') AS laptop_views,
  COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE device_type IN ('tablet', 'phone')) AS
mobile_views
FROM viewership;
```

In the first column laptop_views, COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE device_type = 'laptop') calculates the count of rows where the device type is labeled as 'laptop'.

In the second column mobile_views, COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE device_type IN ('tablet', 'phone')) counts the number of rows where the device type is a tablet or a phone.

The result would have two columns, laptop_views and mobile_views displaying the respective counts of views for each device type.

| laptop_views | mobile_views |
|--------------|--------------|
| 2 | 3 |

Solution #2: Using SUM() & CASE statement

```
SELECT
   SUM(CASE WHEN device_type = 'laptop' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS
laptop_views,
   SUM(CASE WHEN device_type IN ('tablet', 'phone') THEN 1 ELSE 0 END)
AS mobile_views
FROM viewership;
```



Q5:

Candidates with a variety of skillsets have applied for this role, but we need candidates who know Python, Tableau, and PostgreSQL.

We'll start by using the IN operator to find candidates which have some of the required skills:

```
SELECT candidate_id
FROM candidates

WHERE skill IN ('Python', 'Tableau', 'PostgreSQL');
```

The output should look something like this: (Showing random 5 records)

| candidate_id | skill |
|--------------|------------|
| 123 | Python |
| 123 | Tableau |
| 123 | PostgreSQL |
| 345 | Python |
| 345 | Tableau |

We can see from the output that these candidates possess at least one of the necessary skills, but keep in mind, the problem is asking for candidates who have ALL THREE of these skills, so we aren't done quite yet!

It's important to keep in mind that the candidates table does not contain any duplicates, so each combination of candidate and skill is a unique row. Therefore, a candidate should have exactly 3 rows for each of the necessary skills in order to be qualified for the job.

Now, we group the candidates table by candidate ID using the GROUP BY clause and count the number of skills for each group using the COUNT function.

Let's look at the total number of required skills for each candidate:

```
SELECT
  candidate_id,
  COUNT(skill) AS skill_count
FROM candidates
WHERE skill IN ('Python', 'Tableau', 'PostgreSQL')
GROUP BY candidate_id;
```

Output:

| candidate_id | skill_count |
|--------------|-------------|
| 123 | 3 |



Candidate 123 possesses all three of the required skills in this instance, but Candidate 345 possesses only two of the required skills.

In the last step, we'll use HAVING to select only candidates with three skills and ORDER BY the candidate ID, as per the task.

Note that the full solution below counts skills inside the HAVING, not in the SELECT as shown above.

Full Solution:

```
SELECT candidate_id
FROM candidates
WHERE skill IN ('Python', 'Tableau', 'PostgreSQL')
GROUP BY candidate_id
HAVING COUNT(skill) = 3
ORDER BY candidate_id;
```

Q6:

First, we can use MIN and MAX clauses on the post_date column to retrieve the dates for the first and the last post, and then substract one from another accordingly.

As we are asked to find the difference on a user basis for the year 2021, it is important to GROUP the results by user_id, and then filter for the year 2021. To do so, we can use date_part function, which - as the name suggests - retrieves a part from input date. Thus, in our scenario it is the post date variable.

Lastly, to filter out the users who have only posted once during the year, we can use HAVING clause with the COUNT of posts over 1

```
SELECT
    user_id,
    MAX(post_date::DATE) - MIN(post_date::DATE) AS days_between
FROM posts
WHERE DATE_PART('year', post_date::DATE) = 2021
GROUP BY user_id
HAVING COUNT(post_id)>1;
```



Q7:

To find the top 2 Power Users who sent the most messages on Microsoft Teams in August 2022, we need to first determine the count of messages sent by each user, which we'll refer to as "senders".

We start by extracting the month and year from the sent_date field and filtering the results to only include messages sent in August 2022. We then use the GROUP BY clause to group the messages by sender_id and calculate the count of messages using the COUNT() function:

```
select
  sender_id,
  COUNT(message_id) AS count_messages
FROM messages
WHERE EXTRACT(MONTH FROM sent_date) = '8'
  AND EXTRACT(YEAR FROM sent_date) = '2022'
GROUP BY sender_id;
```

Here's the output from the query:

| sender_id | count_messages |
|-----------|----------------|
| 2520 | 3 |
| 3601 | 4 |
| 4500 | 1 |

The output of this query will provide the count of messages for each sender as shown in the example table.

Since we assume that no two users have sent the same number of messages in August 2022, we can simply use an ORDER BY clause in descending order to sort the results based on the count of messages.

Finally, we use a LIMIT clause to restrict the results to only the top 2 senders, giving us the desired outcome.

```
sender_id,
    COUNT(message_id) AS count_messages
FROM messages
WHERE EXTRACT(MONTH FROM sent_date) = '8'
    AND EXTRACT(YEAR FROM sent_date) = '2022'
GROUP BY sender_id
ORDER BY count_messages DESC
LIMIT 2;
```



Q8:

The first step is to find all the companies with job listings that has the same title and description. We can do that by COUNTING the number of job_ids grouped by company_id, title and description.

```
SELECT
  company_id,
  title,
  description,
  COUNT(job_id) AS job_count
FROM job_listings
GROUP BY
  company_id,
  title,
  description;
```

Output (showing first 5 rows with total of 7 rows):

| company_id | title | description | job_count |
|------------|----------------------|--|-----------|
| 827 | Data Scientist | Data scientist uses data to understand and explain the phenomena around them, and help organizations make better decisions. | 2 |
| 244 | Data Engineer | Data engineer works in a variety of settings to build systems that collect, manage, and convert raw data into usable information for data scientists and business analysts to interpret. | 1 |
| 845 | Business Analyst | Business analyst evaluates past and current business data with the primary goal of improving decision-making processes within organizations. | 1 |
| 244 | Software Engineer | Software engineers design and create computer systems and applications to solve real-world problems. | 2 |
| 345 | Data Analyst | Data analyst reviews data to identify key insights into a business's customers and ways the data can be used to solve problems. | 2 |



Next, we convert the previous query into a CTE and filter for when job_count is more than 1 meaning we only want where there are 2 or more duplicate job listings. Then, we apply a DISTINCT on company id to get the unique company id and count them.

```
WITH jobs_grouped AS (
-- Insert above query here
)

SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT company_id) AS co_w_duplicate_jobs
FROM jobs_grouped

WHERE job_count > 1;

Results:

co_w_duplicate_jobs
3
```

Solution #1: Using CTE

```
WITH jobs_grouped AS (
SELECT
company_id,
title,
description,
COUNT(job_id) AS job_count
FROM job_listings
GROUP BY
company_id,
title,
description)

SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT company_id) AS co_w_duplicate_jobs
FROM jobs_grouped
WHERE job_count > 1;
```

Solution #2: Using Subquery

```
SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT company_id) AS co_w_duplicate_jobs
FROM (
    SELECT
        company_id,
        title,
        description,
        COUNT(job_id) AS job_count
FROM job_listings
GROUP BY
        company_id,
        title,
        description) AS jobs_grouped

WHERE job_count > 1;
```



Q9:

We begin by joining the trades and users tables based on the related column user_id. This is because the 'Completed' order status is stored in the trades table, while the cities are stored in the users table.

In the SELECT statement, we pull the city field from the users table and the order_id field from the trades table.

```
SELECT users.city, trades.order_id
FROM trades
INNER JOIN users
ON trades.user_id = users.user_id;
```

Output (showing the first 5 rows only):

| city | order_id |
|---------------|----------|
| San Francisco | 100777 |
| San Francisco | 100102 |
| San Francisco | 100101 |
| Boston | 100259 |
| Boston | 100264 |

Next, we filter the 'Completed' orders and retrieve the number of orders for each city using the COUNT() function. We group the results by the city column using the GROUP BY statement.

```
SELECT
  users.city,
  COUNT(trades.order_id) AS total_orders
FROM trades
INNER JOIN users
  ON trades.user_id = users.user_id
WHERE trades.status = 'Completed'
GROUP BY users.city;
```

The GROUP BY statement is commonly employed in conjunction with aggregate functions such as COUNT, MAX, MIN, SUM, and AVG to group the results based on non-aggregate columns.

Did you notice that our output is grouped by the city column?

| city | total_orders |
|----------|--------------|
| Boston | 1 |
| New York | 2 |



Finally, to arrange the output in descending order based on the highest number of completed orders, we utilize the ORDER BY clause and limit the results to the top 3 orders using the LIMIT clause.

```
SELECT
  users.city,
  COUNT(trades.order_id) AS total_orders
FROM trades
INNER JOIN users
  ON trades.user_id = users.user_id
WHERE trades.status = 'Completed'
GROUP BY users.city
ORDER BY total_orders DESC
LIMIT 3;
```

| city | total_orders |
|---------------|--------------|
| San Francisco | 4 |
| Boston | 3 |
| Denver | 2 |

Based on the results, San Francisco has the highest number of completed orders with 4 orders. Boston has the second-highest number of completed orders with 3 orders and Denver has the third-highest number of completed orders with 2 orders.

Q10:

As observed, the reviews table does not have a separate column for month. Therefore, we need to extract the month from the submit_date column using the EXTRACT (MONTH FROM column name) function, which returns the month in numerical format.

To calculate the average star ratings per month for each product, we can use the AVG() aggregate function to calculate the mean of the stars column and the ROUND() function to round the result to two decimal places for accuracy.

The query would be as follows:

```
SELECT
  EXTRACT (MONTH FROM submit_date) AS mth,
  product_id,
  ROUND (AVG(stars), 2) AS avg_stars
FROM reviews
GROUP BY
  EXTRACT (MONTH FROM submit_date),
```



```
product_id

ORDER BY mth, product_id;
```

In SQL, the order of execution is important to understand. In the given solution's query, the sequence of execution is as follows:

- 1. FROM clause: The query fetches data from the reviews table.
- 2. GROUP BY clause: SQL performs grouping based on the EXTRACT (MONTH FROM submit date) and product id columns.
- 3. SELECT clause: The query selects the EXTRACT (MONTH FROM submit_date) column and aliases it as mth, along with the product_id and the average of stars rounded to two decimal places as avg stars.
- 4. ORDER BY clause: The query sorts the results based on the mth column, which is the alias used in the SELECT clause, followed by the product id column.

It's important to note that the GROUP BY clause is executed before the SELECT statement. Therefore, we cannot use the mth alias in the GROUP BY clause, as the mth column is created after the SELECT statement is executed. However, we can use the mth alias in the ORDER BY clause, as it is executed after the SELECT statement, and the mth column has been created by then.

Understanding the order of SQL execution is crucial, as it is a common topic in technical interviews. It's recommended to familiarize yourself with the sequence of execution in SQL for better query writing and debugging.

Q11:

Step 1: Filter for analytics events from year 2022

First, we filter for analytics events from the year 2022 using the WHERE clause with appropriate comparison operators:

- timestamp >= '2022-01-01': Events with timestamps on or after January 1, 2022, are selected.
- timestamp < '2023-01-01': Events before January 1, 2023, are selected, but events on January 1, 2023, are excluded from the result.

```
SELECT *
FROM events
WHERE timestamp >= '2022-01-01'
AND timestamp < '2023-01-01';</pre>
```

Step 2: Calculate the number of clicks and number of impressions

Next, find the number of clicks and impressions using the CASE statement to assign a value of 1 for 'click' events and 0 for other events:



```
SELECT
   app_id,
   CASE WHEN event_type = 'click' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS clicks,
   CASE WHEN event_type = 'impression' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS impressions
FROM events
WHERE timestamp >= '2022-01-01'
AND timestamp < '2023-01-01';</pre>
```

Here's the first 5 rows of output:

| app_id | clicks | impressions |
|--------|--------|-------------|
| 123 | 0 | 1 |
| 123 | 0 | 1 |
| 123 | 1 | 0 |
| 234 | 0 | 1 |
| 234 | 1 | 0 |

Then, we add up the clicks and impressions by wrapping the CASE statements with a SUM() aggregate function and group the results by app id.

```
SELECT
   app_id,
   SUM(CASE WHEN event_type = 'click' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS clicks,
   SUM(CASE WHEN event_type = 'impression' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS
impressions
FROM events
WHERE timestamp >= '2022-01-01'
   AND timestamp < '2023-01-01'</pre>
GROUP BY app id;
```

| app_id | clicks | impressions |
|--------|--------|-------------|
| 123 | 2 | 3 |
| 234 | 1 | 3 |

Step 4: Calculate the percentage of the click-through rate and round to 2 decimal places

Finally, calculate the percentage of click-through rate (CTR) by dividing the number of clicks by the number of impressions and multiplying by 100.0, rounded to 2 decimal places using the ROUND () function.

Percentage of click-through rate = 100.0 * Number of clicks / Number of impressions

```
app id,
 ROUND (100.0 *
    SUM(CASE WHEN event type = 'click' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) /
    SUM(CASE WHEN event type = 'impression' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END), 2) AS
ctr rate
FROM events
WHERE timestamp >= '2022-01-01'
 AND timestamp < '2023-01-01'
GROUP BY app_id;
Solution #2: Using COUNT(CASE ...)
SELECT
 app id,
 ROUND (100.0 *
    COUNT (CASE WHEN event type = 'click' THEN 1 ELSE NULL END)
    COUNT (CASE WHEN event type = 'impression' THEN 1 ELSE NULL END), 2)
AS ctr rate
FROM events
WHERE timestamp >= '2022-01-01'
 AND timestamp < '2023-01-01'
GROUP BY app id;
Solution #3: Using SUM() FILTER ()
SELECT
 app_id,
 ROUND (100.0 *
    SUM(1) FILTER (WHERE event type = 'click') /
    SUM(1) FILTER (WHERE event type = 'impression'), 2) AS ctr app
FROM events
WHERE timestamp >= '2022-01-01'
 AND timestamp < '2023-01-01'
GROUP BY app id;
```

Q12:

- 1. Users who confirmed on the second day.
- 2. The texts received must say 'Confirmed'.

To begin, we join the emails and texts tables on the matching user_id field. Feel free to skip this step if you wish as our intention is to clarify the definition of condition no. 1 for you.

```
SELECT *
FROM emails
INNER JOIN texts
ON emails.email_id = texts.email_id;
```



Output with selected rows:

| email_i d | user_i d | signup_dat e | text_i d | email_i d | signup_actio n | action_dat e |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 433 | 1052 | 07/09/2022 00:00:00 | 6997 | 433 | Not confirmed | 07/09/2022 00:00:00 |
| 433 | 1052 | 07/09/2022 00:00:00 | 7000 | 433 | Confirmed | 07/10/2022 00:00:00 |
| 236 | 6950 | 07/01/2022 00:00:00 | 9841 | 236 | Confirmed | 07/01/2022 00:00:00 |
| 450 | 8963 | 08/02/2022 00:00:00 | 6800 | 450 | Not confirmed | 08/03/2022 00:00:00 |
| 555 | 8963 | 08/09/2022 00:00:00 | 1255 | 555 | Not confirmed | 08/09/2022 00:00:00 |
| 555 | 8963 | 08/09/2022 00:00:00 | 2660 | 555 | Not confirmed | 08/10/2022 00:00:00 |
| 555 | 8963 | 08/09/2022 00:00:00 | 2800 | 555 | Confirmed | 08/11/2022 00:00:00 |

Next, we interpret the output together:

- Rows 1-2: User 1052 signed up on 07/09/2022 and confirmed their account on the next day, 07/10/2022. This satisfies both conditions.
- Row 3: User 6950 signed up and confirmed their account on the same day, 07/01/2022, so this user fails both conditions.
- Rows 4-7: User 8963 signed up twice, once on 08/02/2022 and another time on 08/09/2022, and only confirmed their account on 08/11/2022, which is 3 days after their signup. So, the first condition is not fulfilled.

Now that you understand how to fulfill these conditions, let's incorporate them into the solution.

Condition #1: Users who confirmed on the second day

```
SELECT *
FROM emails
INNER JOIN texts
  ON emails.email_id = texts.email_id
WHERE texts.action_date = emails.signup_date + INTERVAL '1 day'
```

The condition texts.action_date = emails.signup_date + INTERVAL '1 day' in the WHERE clause means we only want users who confirmed on the second day after their signup, as reflected in the texts.action_date field. We achieve this by taking emails.signup_date and adding an interval of 1 day.



| email_id | user_id | signup_date | text_id | signup_action | action_date |
|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|---------------|------------------------|
| 433 | 1052 | 07/09/2022 00:00:00 | 7000 | Confirmed | 07/10/2022 00:00:00 |
| 450 | 8963 | 08/02/2022 00:00:00 | 6800 | Not confirmed | 08/03/2022 00:00:00 |
| 555 | 8963 | 08/09/2022 00:00:00 | 2660 | Not confirmed | 08/10/2022 00:00:00 |
| 741 | 1235 | 07/25/2022 00:00:00 | 1568 | Confirmed | 07/26/2022 00:00:00 |

As you can see, the action_date is 1 day after the signup_date, fulfilling the first condition. Now let's move on to the second condition.

Condition #2: The texts received must say 'Confirmed'

```
SELECT *
FROM emails
INNER JOIN texts
  ON emails.email_id = texts.email_id
WHERE texts.action_date = emails.signup_date + INTERVAL '1 day'
AND texts.signup_action = 'Confirmed';
```

In addition to the first condition, we add the condition texts.signup_action = 'Confirmed' in the WHERE clause to ensure that the texts received must say 'Confirmed'.

| email_id | user_id | signup_date | text_id | signup_action | action_date |
|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|---------------|------------------------|
| 433 | 1052 | 07/09/2022 00:00:00 | 7000 | Confirmed | 07/10/2022 00:00:00 |
| 741 | 1235 | 07/25/2022 00:00:00 | 1568 | Confirmed | 07/26/2022 00:00:00 |

Finally, we retrieve the unique user IDs only.

```
SELECT DISTINCT user_id
FROM emails
INNER JOIN texts
  ON emails.email_id = texts.email_id
WHERE texts.action_date = emails.signup_date + INTERVAL '1 day'
AND texts.signup_action = 'Confirmed';
```



Q13:

To find the difference between the best and worst performing months in card issuance, you can use the MAX() and MIN() functions.

Apply the functions on the <u>issued_amount</u> column, and simply calculate the difference between the two. As we are asked for the difference between both cards, it is important to group the results by the card name.

Don't forget to order the dataset according to the biggest difference!

```
SELECT
   card_name,
   MAX(issued_amount) - MIN(issued_amount) AS difference
FROM monthly_cards_issued
GROUP BY card_name
ORDER BY difference DESC;
```

Q14:

Step 1: Calculate the weighted average of items per order

To calculate the weighted average of items per order, we multiply each item_count with the corresponding number of occurrences order_occurrences, calculate the sum using SUM(item_count * order_occurrences), and finally divide it by the total number of orders using SUM(order occurrences).

```
SELECT
SUM(item_count*order_occurrences)
   /SUM(order_occurrences) AS mean
FROM items_per_order;
```

However, it's important to note that both item_count and order_occurrences are of integer type by default, which means that division will return an integer result. To ensure that the output is rounded to 1 decimal place, we can cast either column to a decimal type using ::DECIMAL or CAST (field AS decimal).

```
SELECT
SUM(item_count::DECIMAL*order_occurrences)
    /SUM(order_occurrences) AS mean
FROM items_per_order;
```



Step 2: Round results to 1 decimal place

To round the result to 1 decimal place, we can use the ROUND (,1) function.

```
SELECT
ROUND(
    SUM(item_count::DECIMAL*order_occurrences)
    /SUM(order_occurrences)
    ,1) AS mean
FROM items_per_order;
```

Q15:

First, we must establish the formula used to calculate the profits.

Total Profit = Total Sales - Cost of Goods Sold

The profit is calculated by subtracting the cost of goods sold (being the direct cost associated with producing the drug) (cogs) from the total sales generated (total sales).

```
drug,
total_sales, -- Field is not required in the final query
cogs, -- Field is not required in the final query
total_sales - cogs AS total_profit

FROM pharmacy_sales;
```

Displaying the result for 4 random drugs.

| drug | total_sales | cogs | total_profit |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Zyprexa | 293452.54 | 208876.01 | 84576.53 |
| Surmontil | 600997.19 | 521182.16 | 79815.03 |
| Varicose Relief | 500101.61 | 419174.97 | 80926.64 |
| Burkhart | 1084258 | 1006447.73 | 77810.27 |

Profit of \$84,576.53 has been made from the sale of Zyprexa.



Let's arrange the results in the decreasing order of the total profits generated by the sale of the drugs. ORDER BY clause with DESC will be added to the query for this step.

```
drug,
  total_sales, -- Field is not required in the final query
  cogs, -- Field is not required in the final query
  total_sales - cogs AS total_profit
FROM pharmacy_sales

ORDER BY total profit DESC;
```

| drug | total_sales | cogs | total_profit |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Zyprexa | 293452.54 | 208876.01 | 84576.53 |
| Varicose Relief | 500101.61 | 419174.97 | 80926.64 |
| Surmontil | 600997.19 | 521182.16 | 79815.03 |
| Burkhart | 1084258 | 1006447.73 | 77810.27 |

The final step is to only keep the rows of drugs with the highest 3 profits. The LIMIT clause keeps the specified number of rows and discards the rest of the table.

Solution:

```
drug,
  total_sales - cogs AS total_profit
FROM pharmacy_sales
ORDER BY total_profit DESC
LIMIT 3;
```

Q16:

Step 1: Calculate total profit or loss for each manufacturer

To determine the total profit or loss for each manufacturer, we can use the formula:

Total Profit/(Total Loss) = Total Sales - Total Cost of Goods Sold

where a positive value indicates profit and a negative value indicates a loss. The query would look like this:



```
SELECT
  manufacturer,
  drug,
  total_sales - cogs AS net_value
FROM pharmacy_sales;
```

Showing the output for 4 randomly selected drugs:

| manufacturer | drug | net_value |
|--------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Biogen | Acyclovir | -297324.73 |
| AbbVie | Lamivudine and Zidovudine | -221429.36 |
| Eli Lilly | Dermasorb TA Complete Kit | -221422.17 |
| Biogen | Medi-Chord | 672765.95 |

This query will provide a result with the net_value column showing the calculated profit or loss for each drug.

Step 2: Filter for drugs making losses

To filter for drugs that are making losses, we can add a WHERE clause to keep rows where the total_sales - cogs is equal to or less than 0, indicating a loss:

```
select
manufacturer,
drug,
total_sales - cogs AS net_value
FROM pharmacy_sales
WHERE total_sales - cogs <= 0;</pre>
```

This query will return only the rows where the drug is making a loss.

Step 3: Obtain count of unprofitable drugs and total losses for each manufacturer

Next, we can use aggregate functions to obtain the count of drugs associated with each manufacturer using COUNT() and the total losses suffered by each manufacturer using SUM():

```
SELECT
  manufacturer,
  COUNT(drug) AS drug_count,
  SUM(total_sales - cogs) AS total_loss
FROM pharmacy_sales
WHERE total_sales - cogs <= 0
GROUP BY manufacturer;</pre>
```



Step 4: Convert total loss to absolute value and sort output

To convert the total losses to absolute value (i.e., remove the negative sign), we can use the <u>ABS()</u> function on the <u>SUM(net_value)</u> and order the results with the highest losses at the top:

```
SELECT
  manufacturer,
  COUNT(drug) AS drug_count,
  ABS(SUM(total_sales - cogs)) AS total_loss
FROM pharmacy_sales
WHERE total_sales - cogs <= 0
GROUP BY manufacturer
ORDER BY total_loss DESC;</pre>
```

Solution #2: Without ABS()

Alternatively, we can achieve the same result without using the ABS() function by switching the cogs and total_sales positions in the SUM() function and filtering for rows where cogs > total sales in the WHERE clause:

```
SELECT
  manufacturer,
  COUNT(drug) AS drug_count,
  SUM(cogs - total_sales) AS total_loss
FROM pharmacy_sales
WHERE cogs > total_sales
GROUP BY manufacturer
ORDER BY total_loss DESC;
```

Q17:

Goal: Find the total drug sales in million for each manufacturer.

- 1. Find the total sales by manufacturer.
- 2. Convert the total sales to million-dollar format and round to the closest million.
- 3. Transform total sales to '\$xx million' format.
- 4. Order the results by the highest total sales.

Step 1: Find the total sales by manufacturer

First, we calculate the sum of total sales using the aggregate function <u>SUM()</u> and segregate the results by the manufacturer in the <u>GROUP BY</u> clause.

```
SELECT manufacturer,
```



```
SUM(total_sales) as sales
FROM pharmacy_sales
GROUP BY manufacturer;
```

Output showing the 2 randomly selected records:

| manufacturer | sales |
|--------------|-------------|
| Eli Lilly | 81641381.27 |
| Biogen | 69824472.58 |

The output above shows that Eli Lilly and Biogen each sold drugs with a total sales value of \$81,641,381.27 and \$69,824,472.58, respectively.

Although each manufacturer's sales have been calculated, the figures are not in the million-dollar format.

Step 2: Convert total sales to million-dollar format and round to the closest million

Next, we round up the sales to the closest million.

To do so, we must first divide the sales by one million /1000000 and round them to the closest million using the <u>ROUND</u> function. If the decimal place is unspecified, its default value is 0.

```
SELECT
  manufacturer,
  ROUND(SUM(total_sales) / 1000000) AS sales_mil
FROM pharmacy_sales
GROUP BY manufacturer;
```

Showing the output for Eli Lilly and Biogen:

| manufacturer | sales_mil |
|--------------|-----------|
| Eli Lilly | 82 |
| Biogen | 70 |

Eli Lilly's sales of \$81,641,381.27 is rounded to the closest million to \$82 and Biogen's \$69,824,472.58 is rounded to \$70.

Step 3: Transform total sales to '\$xx million' format

The sales data will be fed into a dashboard, thus it has to be formatted like this: "\$xx million".

Using the <u>CONCAT</u> function, we will concatenate the 3 elements: \$ symbol + sales_mil in million + million string. Remember to keep a space in front of million.



P.S. It is not necessary to convert sales into VARCHAR data type as the CONCAT() function accepts both VARCHAR and INT data types. Bear in mind that the sales_mil column is now a VARCHAR data type.

```
SELECT
  manufacturer,
  CONCAT('$', ROUND(SUM(total_sales) / 1000000), ' million') AS
sales_mil
FROM pharmacy_sales
GROUP BY manufacturer;
```

Output:

| manufacturer | sales_mil |
|--------------|--------------|
| Eli Lilly | \$82 million |
| Biogen | \$70 million |

Step 4: Order the results by the highest total sales

Finally, sort the results in the descending order of sales.

But hold on — we can't just apply the <u>ORDER_BY</u> clause to the new sales_mil column because this column is a VARCHAR data type.

Hence, we will utilize the ORDER BY clause on SUM (total_sales) to place the highest total sales at the top followed by the least total sales.

Solution 1

```
SELECT
  manufacturer,
  CONCAT( '$', ROUND(SUM(total_sales) / 1000000), ' million') AS
sales_mil
FROM pharmacy_sales
GROUP BY manufacturer
ORDER BY SUM(total_sales) DESC;
```

Solution 2: Using CTE

```
WITH drug_sales AS (
SELECT
manufacturer,
SUM(total_sales) as sales
FROM pharmacy_sales
GROUP BY manufacturer
```



```
SELECT
  manufacturer,
  ('$' || ROUND(sales / 1000000) || ' million') AS sales_mil
FROM drug_sales
ORDER BY sales DESC;
```

Q18:

First, we identify who called and how frequently.

GROUP BY clause can be used to generate the groups. Since we need the information for members, we group them based on the policy holder id column.

Note that members are used interchangeably with policy holders but they mean the same.

Next, we apply an aggregate function <u>COUNT()</u>, which counts the number of values in the column case id for each policyholder-group.

```
policy_holder_id,
    COUNT(case_id) AS call_count
FROM callers

GROUP BY policy_holder_id;
```

Displaying records for policy holder IDs 53578035 and 54126242:

| policy_holder_id | call_count |
|------------------|------------|
| 53578035 | 1 |
| 54126242 | 5 |

In contrast to member 54126242, who has reportedly made five calls, member 51983251 has only made one call.

Then, a conditional clause with the keyword <u>HAVING</u> can be applied to keep rows with members who called 3 or more times. <u>HAVING</u> clause is used to filter group rows. This sets it apart from a <u>WHERE</u> clause that filters individual rows.

```
policy_holder_id,
  count(case_id) AS call_count
FROM callers
GROUP BY policy_holder_id
HAVING COUNT(case_id) >= 3;
```



| policy_holder_id | call_count |
|------------------|------------|
| 54126242 | 5 |

Only member 54126242 is in the result because this member made five calls.

Finally, we obtain the count of members using another COUNT() function. Before the COUNT() function can be used, the previous query must first be encapsulated in a subquery.

A subquery is a nested query. It's a query within a query and can be used within that query only.

```
FROM (
    SELECT
    policy_holder_id,
        COUNT(case_id) AS call_count
    FROM callers
    GROUP BY policy_holder_id
    HAVING COUNT(case_id) >= 3
```

Output based on the table above:

```
member_count
```

Solution #2: Using CTE

```
WITH call_records AS (

SELECT

policy_holder_id,
    COUNT(case_id) AS call_count

FROM callers

GROUP BY policy_holder_id

HAVING COUNT(case_id) >= 3
)

SELECT COUNT(policy_holder_id) AS member_count

FROM call_records;
```



Q19:

We'll start by defining the formula.

Percentage of uncategorized calls = (Number of uncategorized calls / Total calls) x 100

Let's break this problem into 4 steps:

- 1. Filter for uncategorised calls and count them.
- 2. Count the total calls.
- 3. Use the percentage formula.
- 4. Round the output.

Step 1: Filter for uncategorised calls and count them.

First, count the calls that are uncategorised i.e. call records with the call_category column having either "n/a" or NULL values which looks like an empty space.

Using the **COUNT()** function, we can get the count of uncategorised calls.

```
SELECT COUNT(case_id) AS uncategorised_calls
FROM callers
WHERE call_category IS NULL
OR call_category = 'n/a';
uncategorised_calls
225
```

225 calls were recorded without being assigned to a category.

Instead of putting this query into a subquery or CTE which can make the solution a bit lengthy, we're using the FILTER () clause with the combination of the COUNT() function. Let's use the FILTER() clause in our query above.

```
COUNT (case_id) FILTER (
    WHERE call_category IS NULL OR call_category = 'n/a') AS
uncategorised_calls
FROM callers;
```

Have a run in the editor - it produces the same result!

Step 2: Count the total calls

In the following step, we will utilise another COUNT () function to get the number of total calls regardless of the category.



```
SELECT
   COUNT (case_id) FILTER (
        WHERE call_category IS NULL OR call_category = 'n/a') AS
uncategorised_calls,
   COUNT(case_id) AS total_calls
FROM callers;
```

| uncategorised_calls | total_calls |
|---------------------|-------------|
| 225 | 500 |

Step 3: Use the percentage formula

Let's now modify our query to fit into the percentage formula.

```
SELECT
  100.0 * COUNT (case_id) FILTER (
    WHERE call_category IS NULL OR call_category = 'n/a')
    / COUNT (case_id) AS uncategorised_call_pct
FROM callers;
```

```
uncategorised_call_pct
45.00000000000000000
```

Note: It is crucial to multiply by 100.0 instead of 100 since division operations require at least one numeric value to be of the <code>DECIMAL</code> data type. Otherwise, the digits after the decimal . will be truncated and the results will be incorrect.

Step 4: Round the output

The last step is to round the percentage to one decimal place. ROUND () function can be used to accomplish it.

This brings us to our final solution query. Yay!

Solution #1: Using FILTER clause

```
SELECT
ROUND (100.0 *
    COUNT (case_id) FILTER (
        WHERE call_category IS NULL OR call_category = 'n/a')
    / COUNT (case_id), 1) AS uncategorised_call_pct
FROM callers;
```

There are numerous methods to solve this question. Below are 2 more suggested solutions for you to try out.



Solution #2: Using WHERE clause

```
SELECT
 ROUND (100.0 *
    COUNT(case_id)/
      (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM callers),1) AS uncategorised_call_pct
FROM callers
WHERE call category IS NULL
 OR call category = 'n/a';
Solution #3: Using CTE
WITH uncategorised calls AS (
 SELECT COUNT(case_id) AS call_count
 FROM callers
 WHERE call category IS NULL
   OR call_category = 'n/a'
)
SELECT
 ROUND (100.0 * call_count
   / (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM callers), 1) AS uncategorised_call_pct
FROM uncategorised calls
GROUP BY call_count;
```