

EX1

roi hezkiyahu

28 10 2022

Q1

Question 1.

Using the factorization theorem, find the sufficient statistic for a sample from the Poisson distribution. What is the distribution of the sufficient statistic? Is it minimal? Show its completeness using the *definition* of a complete statistic.

$$\text{let } Y \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$$

$$L(y, \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{y_i} e^{-\lambda}}{y_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!}$$

$$\text{let: } h(y) := \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!}, \quad g(\lambda, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i) = \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{-n\lambda}$$

from the factorization theorem we can conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ is a sufficient statistic.

$$(1) \text{ let us prove that } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \sim \text{Pois}(n\lambda)$$

let X, Y be two Poisson random variables with λ_x, λ_y respectively, and let us show $X + Y \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)$

$$P(X + Y = k) = \sum_{i=0}^k P(X = i)P(Y = k - i) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\lambda_x^i e^{-\lambda_x}}{i!} \frac{\lambda_y^{k-i} e^{-\lambda_y}}{(k-i)!} = e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\lambda_x^i \lambda_y^{k-i}}{(k-i)!i!} = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\lambda_x^i \lambda_y^{k-i} k!}{(k-i)!i!} =$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(\lambda_x \lambda_y^{k-i})k!}{(k-i)!i!} = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_x^i \lambda_y^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} = \frac{e^{-(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)}}{k!} (\lambda_x + \lambda_y)^k$$

from the proof above and with induction we get (1)

lets show its minimality:

$$\frac{L(y_1, \lambda)}{L(y_2, \lambda)} = \frac{\frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i}} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_{1i}!}}{\frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_{2i}!}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n y_{2i}!}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_{1i}!} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i}}$$

the likelihood ratio is independent of λ if $\sum_{i=1}^n y_{1i} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{2i} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ is a minimal sufficient statistic

lastly let's show completeness:

$$E(g(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = k) g(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} g(k) = 0 \iff g(k) = 0 \text{ thus } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \text{ is complete}$$

Q2

Question 2.

Suppose we have a sample of n independent observations Y_1, \dots, Y_n , where each Y_i belongs to one of K categories: $P(Y \text{ belongs to the } j\text{-th category}) = p_j, j=1, \dots, K; p_1 + \dots + p_K = 1$ (multinomial distribution). Show that this distribution belongs to the exponential family with $K-1$ parameters. Find the natural parameters and sufficient statistic. Is it complete? Calculate the means and the variance-covariance matrix of the sufficient statistic.

$$\begin{aligned}
L(y, p) &= \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=i)} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=i)} \left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} p_m\right)^{n - \sum_{j=1}^n I(y_j < k)} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i^{\sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=i)} \\
&\quad \text{denote } \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=i)} =: e^{d(y)} \\
\loglik(y, p) &= d(y) + \left(n - \sum_{j=1}^n I(y_j < k)\right) \ln\left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} p_m\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left[\ln(p_i) \sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=i)\right] = \\
&= d(y) + n \ln\left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} p_m\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left[\ln\left(\frac{p_i}{1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} p_m}\right) \sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=i)\right] \\
\text{denote: } T_j(y) &= \sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=j) \quad \forall i < k, \quad C_j(p) = \ln\left(\frac{p_j}{1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} p_m}\right) \quad \forall i < k \quad S(p) = n \ln\left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} p_m\right) \\
&\quad \text{thus we get: } \loglik(y, p) = S(p) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T_j(y) C_j(p)
\end{aligned}$$

T has k-1 parameters so we can conclude that the multinomial distribution belongs to the exponential family with k-1 parameters

lets see if T is complete:

$$\text{denote } x_j = \sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=j)$$

$$E(g(T_j(y))) = E(g(x_j)) = \sum_{i=0}^n P(x_j=i) g(x_j) = 0 \iff P(x_i=j) = 0 \quad \forall i \text{ or } g(x_j) = 0$$

$$P(x_i=j) > 0 \text{ thus } E(g(T_j(y))) = 0 \iff g(x_j) = 0$$

we get the T is complete

$$E(T_j) = E\left(\sum_{l=1}^n I(y_l=j)\right) = \sum_{l=1}^n E(I(y_l=j)) = np_j$$

$$E(T) = n(p_1, \dots, p_{k-1})^t =: n\tilde{p}^t$$

$$V(T_j) = n(p_j)(1-p_j); \quad (\text{we know the variance for binomial distribution})$$

$$V(T_i + T_j) = n(p_j + p_i)(1-p_j-p_i); \quad (T_i + T_j \sim B(n, p_i + p_j))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2Cov(T_j, T_i) &= V(T_i + T_j) - V(T_j) - V(T_i) = n(p_j + p_i)(1-p_j-p_i) - n(p_j)(1-p_j) - n(p_i)(1-p_i) = \\
&= np_j + np_i - np_j^2 - 2np_jp_i - np_i^2 - np_j + np_j^2 - np_i + np_i^2 = -2np_jp_i \Rightarrow Cov(T_j, T_i) = -np_jp_i
\end{aligned}$$

$$V(T) = -n(\tilde{p})\tilde{p}^t + \text{Diag}(n\tilde{p})$$

Q3

Question 3.

Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be a sample of independent observations, where $Y_i \sim f_{\theta_i}(y)$ is from the one parameter exponential family (i.e. each Y_i belongs to the same class of distributions $f_{\theta}(y)$ from the exponential family but not necessarily with the same parameter), and $\eta_i = c(\theta_i)$ are the corresponding natural parameters. The following (generalized linear regression) model describes η_i as a function of p explanatory variables x_1, \dots, x_p :

$$\eta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip}$$

Show that the joint distribution of the data belongs to the exponential family with $p+1$ parameters, find the natural parameters and sufficient statistic. Write down the likelihood function as a function of β for the particular case of Poisson distribution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_0 &:= \frac{1}{n} \\
 f_{\theta_i}(y) &= \exp\{\eta_i T_i(y_i) + d_i(\theta_i) + S(y_i)\} \\
 L(\theta, y) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta_i}(y) = \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i T_i(y_i) + nd(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n S(y_i)\right\} = \\
 &= \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} \beta_j x_{ji} T_i(y_i) + nd(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n S(y_i)\right\} = \exp\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{p+1} \beta_j \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} T_i(y_i) + nd(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n S(y_i)\right\} \\
 C_j(\theta) &= \beta_j, \quad T'_j(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} T_i(y_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

thus the distribution belongs to the $p+1$ paramaters exponential family with the above sufficent statistic and natural paramaters

$$Y_i \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_i)$$

we saw that a sufficient statistic in the poisson regression is: $\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$

thus in the Poisson distribution case:

$$L(\lambda, y) = \exp\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{p+1} \beta_j \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ji} \sum_{m=1}^{n_i} Y_{im} + nd(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^n S(y_i)\right\}$$

$$\text{where: } d(\lambda) = \ln(\lambda) - n\lambda, \quad S(y_i) = \ln\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}!\right)$$

Q4

Question 4.

1. Let $X_1 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1)$, $X_2 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_2)$ and $X_3 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)$, where X_1, X_2, X_3 are independent. Does the joint distribution of (X_1, X_2, X_3) belong to an exponential family? Find the minimal sufficient statistic for (λ_1, λ_2) . Is it complete?
2. Repeat the previous paragraph, where $X_3 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$.

4.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= \prod_{k=1}^3 (\prod_{i=1}^{n_k} x_{ki}!)^{-1} \lambda_1^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j}} e^{-n_1 \lambda_1} \lambda_2^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j}} e^{-n_2 \lambda_2} (\lambda_2 \lambda_1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j}} e^{-n_3 \lambda_2 \lambda_1} \\
 \text{denote } d(X) &= \ln(\prod_{k=1}^3 (\prod_{i=1}^{n_k} x_{ki}!)^{-1}) \\
 \loglik(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= d(X) - n_1 \lambda_1 - n_2 \lambda_2 - n_3 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j} \ln(\lambda_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j} \ln(\lambda_2) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j} \ln(\lambda_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j} \ln(\lambda_2) = \\
 &= d(X) + S(\lambda) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j}\right) \ln(\lambda_1) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j}\right) \ln(\lambda_2) \\
 \text{denote : } T_1(X) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j}\right), \quad T_2(X) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j}\right), \quad C_1(\lambda) = \ln(\lambda_1), \quad C_2(\lambda) = \ln(\lambda_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

the set $\{(\ln(\lambda_1), \ln(\lambda_2)); \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ contains an open 2d rectangle thus the sufficient statistic is complete (and minimal)

thus the joint distribution of (X_1, X_2, X_3) belongs to the 2 paramater exponential family with the minimal and complete sufficient stat $(T_1(X), T_2(X))$

4.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= \prod_{k=1}^3 (\prod_{i=1}^{n_k} x_{ki}!)^{-1} \lambda_1^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j}} e^{-n_1 \lambda_1} \lambda_2^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j}} e^{-n_2 \lambda_2} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j}} e^{-n_3 (\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)} \\
 \loglik(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= d(X) - n_1 \lambda_1 - n_2 \lambda_2 - n_3 \lambda_1 - n_3 \lambda_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j} \ln(\lambda_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j} \ln(\lambda_2) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j} \ln(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \\
 &= d(X) + S'(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j} \ln(\lambda_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j} \ln(\lambda_2) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j} \ln(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \\
 \text{denote : } T'_1(X) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j}, \quad T'_2(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j}, \quad T'_3(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j} \\
 C'_1(\lambda) &= \ln(\lambda_1), \quad C'_2(\lambda) = \ln(\lambda_2), \quad C'_3(\lambda) = \ln(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

thus the joint distribution of (X_1, X_2, X_3) belongs to the 3 paramater exponential family with the sufficient statistic $(T_1(X), T_2(X), T_3(X))$

$$\frac{L(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, X_1, X_2, X_3)}{L(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, X'_1, X'_2, X'_3)} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^3 (\prod_{i=1}^{n_k} x_{ki}!)^{-1} \lambda_1^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j}} e^{-n_1 \lambda_1} \lambda_2^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j}} e^{-n_2 \lambda_2} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x_{3j}} e^{-n_3 (\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)}}{\prod_{k=1}^3 (\prod_{i=1}^{n_k} x'_{ki}!)^{-1} \lambda_1^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x'_{1j}} e^{-n_1 \lambda_1} \lambda_2^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x'_{2j}} e^{-n_2 \lambda_2} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x'_{3j}} e^{-n_3 (\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)}}$$

the likelihood ratio is independent of λ if:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_{1j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x'_{1j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x_{2j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} x'_{2j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x'_{3j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} x'_{3j}$$

thus the sufficient statistic we derived above is minimal

$$E(g(T_i(X))) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(T_i(X) = k) g(k) = 0 \iff g(k) = 0 \Rightarrow T \text{ is complete}$$