

The legal documents that discuss the prevailing laws on psychological incapacity are:

1. **G.R. No. 196359, May 2021**: This case emphasizes that psychological incapacity is a legal, not a medical term. It discusses legal nuances in applying psychological incapacity to future cases and highlights that the opinions of psychiatrists, psychologists, and experts in psychological disciplines might be helpful or even desirable in evaluating the degree and extent of the incapacity (G.R. No. 196359 May 2021). It also notes that the deliberations of the Joint Civil Code Revision and Family Law Committee clarify how psychological incapacity should be understood and applied in line with its legislative intent (G.R. No. 196359 May 2021).
2. **G.R. No. 126010, December 1999**: This case states that circumstances may be indicia of psychological incapacity depending on the severity of the disorder (G.R. No. 126010 Dec 1999).
3. **G.R. No. 151867, January 2004**: This case reinforces the need to carefully examine every circumstance related to the degree and extent of the incapacity and suggests that expert opinions from psychiatrists, psychologists, and other experts might be helpful (G.R. No. 151867 Jan 2004).
4. **G.R. No. 168328, February 2007**: This case, along with others, is cited in relation to the application of psychological incapacity (G.R. NO. 168328 Feb 2007).
5. **G.R. No. 247985, October 2021**: This case discusses that the original intent of the legislature was for psychological incapacity to be a concept free from strict definitions and that the Court's later interpretations appear to contradict this (G.R. No. 247985 Oct 2021).
6. **G.R. No. 184063, January 2011**: This case is cited as part of a list of cases on psychological incapacity (G.R. No. 184063 Jan 2011).
7. **G.R. No. 212971, November 2022**: This case states that the Court abandoned the requirement that psychological incapacity must be medically or clinically identified and proven through expert opinion (G.R. No. 212971 Nov 2022).
8. **G.R. No. 108763, February 1997**: This case explains that the Civil Law Revision Committee incorporated the Canon Law concept of psychological incapacity into the Family Code to address anomalous situations and that the Committee did not provide examples of psychological incapacity to avoid limiting the provision's applicability (G.R. No. 108763 Feb 1997).
9. **G.R. No. 226907, July 2019**: This case discusses the Molina guidelines and that expert evidence may be given by qualified psychiatrists and clinical psychologists (G.R. No. 226907 Jul 2019).
10. **G.R. No. 250287, July 2022**: This case explains that psychological incapacity is understood as a condition deeply embedded in one's personality structure that prevents them from fulfilling the fundamental marital obligations (G.R. No. 250287 Jul 2022).
11. **G.R. No. 253993, October 2023**: This case clarifies that psychological incapacity is not synonymous with "mental" incapacity or personality disorders (G.R. No. 253993 Oct 2023).
12. **G.R. No. 155800, March 2006**: This case acknowledges the Court's development of the Molina rules under the deliberate ambiguity of the framers of the law and emphasizes the influence of Catholic Church doctrine (G.R. No. 155800 Mar 2006).
13. **G.R. No. 164493, March 2010**: This case discusses that the Molina guidelines inaccurately characterized "psychological incapacity" as a mental illness or a serious personality disorder (G.R. No. 164493 Mar 2010).
14. **G.R. No. 208258, April 2022**: This case mentions the Tan-Andal guidelines in determining what constitutes psychological incapacity (G.R. No. 208258 Apr 2022).
15. **G.R. No. 247583, October 2021**: This case provides that to prove psychological incapacity, a party must present clear and convincing evidence of its existence (G.R. No. 247583 Oct 2021).
16. **G.R. No. 246933, March 2023**: This case provides that expert opinion need not be alleged in the petition; it follows that there is also no need to allege in the petition the root cause of the psychological incapacity (G.R. No. 246933 Mar 2023).

17. **G.R. No. 253342, June 2022:** This case states that psychological incapacity is no longer proven merely by medically or clinically establishing a personality disorder through an expert opinion (G.R. No. 253342 Jun 2022).