An introduction to functional programming

Rolfe Bozier 02-Sep-2014

Agenda

- What is functional programming?
- Why write software this way?
- What are some functional languages?
- Some code examples



What is functional programming?

- Writing software in the same way that one uses functions in a mathematical proof or derivation
 - origins back to the 1930s
 - Lambda Calculus how can you manipulate or compose functions [y = f(x)] to get some kind of desired result
 - e.g. a proof, quicker solution, generalization etc
- General philosophy:
 - Describe what needs to be computed, not the how to do it
 - State-less programming



Some features of functional languages

- Pure functions
 - functions take parameters, return a value only no side-effects
 - no read/write to memory (state), no I/O, no communications outside
 - result is completely determined by the parameters
 - you always get the same result for a set of parameters
- Functions are first-class entities
 - Functions are data
 - You can pass them to and return them from functions
 - Partial function application, supply some of the parameters (but not all) – and get a new function
 - You can compose functions to get new ones
 - Closures functions with a bit of data context
- Recursion is preferred over iteration
 - Inductive algorithm definitions fit naturally
 - Tail recursion is used elimination to avoid running out of stack space



Some features of functional languages

- Lazy evaluation
 - Only compute what you need to get the result
 - For example, how many elements are in this list?
 - [3.45, 22+x, 17/0, 0, -12345*3]
- Immutable variables and values
 - Variables are placeholders for values or functions
 - Operating on a value always produces a new result
- No "hidden" state information
 - Or at least, minimise / control it
 - Programs with no state are not very interesting...
- Pattern matching
 - Like a switch, but on steroids
- List comprehensions
 - List generators
 - apply functions to lists (e.g. map, fold, reduce, ...)
- Complex type systems, e.g.
 - Variants: a type composed of several other types
 - Type classes: a set of types matching some specific criteria, e.g. A == B -> Bool



Why write functional programs?

- Lack/control of side-effects seems like an obvious benefit:
 - code elimination, reordering
 - thread safety
 - caching (memoization) is trivial
 - easy parallelization…?
- Seems easier to reason about correctness.
- Curry-Howard Isomorphism
 - proof systems based on logical/mathematical rules are equivalent to computational models in lambda calculus
 - "a proof is a program, the formula it proves is a type for the program"
 - there is a lot of software that does program proving/verification written in functional languages: Coq, Coccinelle, Frama-C, CIL
- Functional languages were expected to deliver automatic parallelisation of code "for free" - but this didn't happen
 - immutable values tend to mean a lot more churn on the GC
 - declarative style tends to hide the implementation, this makes it difficult to determine the right level of granularity
 - performance tends to be limited by cache/memory access this seems to be better handled using careful data mutation



Some functional languages

- There is no strict definition, so the spectrum ranges from "pure" functional languages through multi-paradigm ones, to languages with a bit of functional behaviour tacked on
- The following languages are relatively popular; they are ordered from "more functional" to "less functional"...
- Haskell:
 - pure functional language, committee-designed, academic
 - functions are pure, so no side-effects
 - but side-effects are needed so there is a mechanism to log them and "crystallise" them after the function has returned
 - lazy evaluation, immutable values etc.
 - compiled to executable code
 - strong typing, static typing



Some functional languages

Clojure

- modern descendent of Lisp, invented by one person
- immutable variables, lazy sequences
- concurrent
- compiles to JVM, CLR
- dynamic typing

Scala

- multi-paradigm
- immutable values, lazy evaluation
- concurrent uses Java concurrent APIs
- compiles to JVM
- strongly typing, static typing, type inference

Erlang

- multi-paradigm language, designed by Ericsson
- immutable values (by default), eager evaluation (by default)
- concurrent message passing with no shared state
- high reliability
- eager evaluation
- compiles to bytecode



Some functional languages

OCaml

- descendent of ML, designed by INRIA, multi-paradigm
- immutable values (by default), eager evaluation (by default)
- strong typing, static typing, type inference
- emphasis on performance
- concurrent sort of, uses time-slicing
- compiles to executable or bytecode

• F#

- inherited from others including OCaml, designed by Microsoft
- multi-paradigm with emphasis on functional aspects
- compiles to CLR
- strong typing, static typing, type inference
- Others with functional aspects
 - JavaScript
 - Perl (list comprehensions, anon functions)
 - Python (generators, list comprehension, anon functions, functools module)
 - Ruby



Some examples (in Ocaml)

```
open Printf

(* recursive function to return the nth Fibonacci number *)
let rec fib n =
    match n with
    | (0|1) -> n
    | _ -> fib(n-2) + fib(n-1)

(* partial function application *)
let pr_d = printf "%d\n"

(* print the result of the function *)
pr_d (fib 5)
```



Some examples

```
(* create a new type which is either nothing or an integer *)
type opt int = None | Some of int
(* what value were we given *)
let ft x =
    match x with
    | None -> "none"
    | Some 0 -> "nothing"
    | Some -> "something"
printf "%s\n" (ft None)
printf "%s\n" (ft (Some 0))
printf "%s\n" (ft (Some 34))
.... Gives:
           none
           nothing
           something
```



Some examples



Some examples

```
(* function to square an integer *)
let square x = x * x
(* function to calculate the factorial of a number *)
let rec fact x =
    if x \leftarrow 1 then 1 else x * fact (x - 1)
(* function to compose two functions *)
let compose f g =
    fun x \rightarrow f (g x)
(* create a square of factorial function *)
let square of fact = compose square fact
square of fact 5
.... Gives:
           14400
```

