Why perl is my favorite language (or "what makes a good programming language?") Rolfe Bozier

20-Aug-2014

Agenda

- Background
- What makes a good language
 - Objective aspects
 - Subjective aspects
- Why perl?
- Take-home message



Background

- Some questions this talk may help you answer...
 - Why is a good language important?
 - What is a good language?
 - What language should you choose next time you have a choice?
 - What language should you learn next?
 - How could you become more productive when you write a program (at work or at home)?



Personal agendas and biases

- Criteria for evaluating a language can be subjective or objective
 - "subjective" = your/my opinion
 - "objective" = someone else's opinion
- My personal experience:
 - I've been paid to write/maintain software in C, Perl, Python, C++, Java, Fortran, Javascript
 - also various DSLs: SQL, LaTeX, PostScript, PDF, sendmail, nroff
 - At home I've also written a reasonable amount of PHP and Verilog
 - I've read books on OCaml, Haskell and Ruby :-)
- I don't claim to be a language expert, but I'm interested in them
 - I've given up looking for the silver bullet
- My biases are towards open, freely available tools
 - I've got no experience with MS languages: VB, VC++, C#, F# etc.
 - I've barely done any GUI-related work



- Does it follow the "right" paradigm: imperative, declarative, functional, OO, logic, symbolic, ...
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Programming paradigm lists many more
 - Really, a lot of them are somewhat domain specific, but that doesn't stop proponents from advocating blanket adoption of their choice
- Some claims about what makes a language better:
 - OO is better than imperative (encapsulate everything into an object)
 - but everything *isn't* an object
 - Functional is better than both (no side-effects)
 - programs without side-effects are not useful
 - Easy to learn
 - but you only need to learn a language once
 - You should be constrained in how you write ("only one way")
 - but what if there is then *no* way to solve your problem?
 - It should be compiled / interpreted / executed in a VM
 - Should be clean, easy to read, minimal syntax, dense concepts
 - Etc. etc.



- Who decides these?
 - academics, researchers, language authors.
- Who measures this and how?
 - **—** 555
- How much has software quality improved in the last 30 years?
 - Which languages have made significant improvements to software?
 - How much is software quality really down to
 - · individual programmer,
 - environment and culture,
 - tools,
 - development processes,
 - available time and money,
 - Etc.
 - The Space Shuttle application software was about the size of XEBRA, but had about 3 bugs
 - should we adopt HAL/S (a dialect of PL/I)?



"The best language is the one that gets the job done in the least amount of effort and time"

 Maybe you are in the best position to evaluate the language you should be using



- "... gets the job done in the least amount of effort and time"
 - What is the problem you need to solve?
- You have a problem/solution space, which could include:
 - the business area you are in
 - a data repository you need to analyse
 - systems you need to interface with
- You have constraints:
 - execution time
 - size
 - environment
 - resources
 - time, money, staff
 - or maybe you have no constraints (you are your own customer)
- The job you need to do today may be different next week, next year or on the weekend



- "... gets the job done in the least amount of effort and time"
 - What does your solution need to do?
- Completion of the job includes:
 - solving the problem (duh!)
 - ...until you need to revisit it
 - maintainability
 - extendibility
 - ensuring that it is reliable
 - meeting performance and scalability goals
 - other non-functional requirements of varying importance



- "... gets the job done in the least amount of effort and time"
 - How hard is it to implement the solution?
- The language should support a solution to your problem
 - don't bother trying to write a web server in Postscript
- The language should provide the tools to add functionality as efficiently as possible
 - no need to re-invent the wheel again!
 - built-in abstractions, modules, libraries, frameworks, ...
 - who wants to write another hash table implementation?
- As well as making code easier to write, they also make it easier to read
- A language is much more than just the grammar and a compiler



- "... gets the job done in the least amount of effort and time"
 - Your time is important
- Your language should support efficient development
 - short development/test cycles
 - good debugging / diagnostics
 - built in static analysis
 - good development tools, if necessary



Perl features

- Why I like Perl...
- Strengths: text manipulation, regular expressions, interfacing with other systems, concise code
- Multiple paradigms: imperative, object-oriented, functional
- Written by a linguist:
 - Many small built-in functions and operators
 - "More than one way to do it"
 - "Easy things should be easy, hard things should be possible"
- Scalars, arrays, hashes, functions, references
 - Run-time type checking
 - Reference-counting memory management
- On-the-fly bytecode compilation and execution
- Comprehensive local documentation



What others say

- It is interpreted, so it is slow
 - It's not that slow, and in most cases it is fast enough
- Perl is line noise / unmaintainable
 - Most languages are unreadable if you aren't familiar with them
- It is too easy to write bad perl
 - You can write bad code in any language
 - www.ioccc.org
- It is not object-oriented
 - You can use classes and methods if you want
- The learning curve is steep
 - I'd argue there are harder ones out there
 - You don't have to use all the language
- It is write-only language
 - Whatever that means



Interesting features

- CPAN a repository of 137,000 packages
 - Installation via a single command, including running the test suite
- Some interesting language features
 - Classes and objects, if you want them
 - Default variable: \$_
 - Arrays and hashes are first class data types concise syntax makes them very efficient to use
 - Tainted variables contain user-supplied data
 - Closures functions with associated stack data
 - Tied hashes act like a hash, but you define the operational semantics via callbacks



A few modules I have used

- Some random modules I have used:
 - LWP::Agent turn a script into a web client with a few lines
 - MP3::Info query and update the information tags in MP3 files
 - Mail::MboxParser search and extract information from mail folders
 - DBI access to various databases via standard API
 - HTML::LinkExtor get the links from a web page, great for writing a spider
 - CGI create and parse web forms
 - IO::Uncompress::Inflate decompress some data in a firmware blob
 - ClearQuest you can guess what this talks to...
- Each one embeds powerful functionality with very little code – all the hard work is done



A simple example

```
#!/usr/bin/env perl
use strict;
use warnings;
my %dict = ();
                                            # create a hash to store the words
while (my $line = <>)
                                            # read a line from a file on the cmd line
    foreach my $word (split /\s+/, $line) # split the line into whitespace-separated words
        $dict{$word} = 1;
                                           # add each word to the hash
}
# sort and print the words that start with "r", in a functional style
                                            # 5. print the resultant array
print
                                            # 4. sort the words lexicographically
    sort
      map { "$ \n" }
                                            # 3. for each word, append a newline
        grep { m/^r/ }
                                           # 2. filter out the words starting with "r"
          keys %words;
                                           # 1. get the hash key values as an array
exit 0;
```

