

## Feedback from Dissemination Engagement Activity

Plus	Delta
Good information on a topic that has not been my focus.	It would be nice to get a sense of SEDL's definition of such words to build from
Collaboration with various staff members	Test pens before putting out for use
Good interactive activity—good mix of groups	Make this more concrete. Apply to actual programs or things we do. How do we disseminate them.
Cooperative Groups (Roles) Engaging Activity	Honest post-test Shouldn't be "show of hands"
Great way to get everyone involved	Instructions should be louder and clear. Attention—getting device needs to be used.
Random groups +	Use some whole-group discussion even though it is loud, messy, ripe for conflict, and unwieldy
Patience of presenter! Good job	I am familiar with this model (KWHL) K—what do we know W—what do we want to know H—How do we want to learn about it? L—What did we learn?
I think that the small groups encouraged participation by everyone.	Time span between sessions is too great.
Very organized Good job	More time to process and talk about what we learned together.
This was fun--thanks	Group a little large to talk much with short periods of time (10 min) Note—group did try to let all speak—just hard with that many people
Today's activity was a beginning step to where we need to be and how we need to function as a learning organization. Thanks!	Groups should be divisible by 6 or a readily scalable process.
I enjoyed getting to know other SEDL staff better. The forced mixture of different (?difficult?) staff into groups was nice.	Dissemination question—2/3 of audience have heard/been through this before. Too elementary.
Enjoyed working with staff members that I don't usually get to interact with.	I wish we had time to come to some conclusions/resolution of SEDL's dissemination work—by the next meeting, I will forget a lot about today's thinking.
The session was an appropriate length. Longer sessions often are harder for staff to justify participating in when there is work to be done.	Change grouping procedures. This way took too long.

I liked the mixed group approach. The group was good. The timing was good, too.	Put colored papers on tables beforehand. Could encourage folks to sit at a table with at least one person they don't know yet.
Positive group interactions!	
Liked the roles—everyone participated! Great Job!	
Institution-wide sharing of information	
Assignment of group duties on cards	
Obvious “thinking” process	
The cards describing the roles of participants were very helpful to keep the group focused.	
Continue to get the people to work with someone they don't usually work with	
Interesting set-up Enjoyed it	
Sue Did A Good Job !!	
Few people did not control the discussions	
The red page activity was good. The group actively participated	
I liked the active interaction with others—particularly as a means to get acquainted with others in SEDL.	
Well designed interactive activity	