Functional Programming Practice Session

February 12, 2016

Outline

We'll be covering functional programming concepts that are common to both Haskell and OCaml (no monads or module types)

- ▶ Fold
- ▶ Algebraic data types and pattern matching
- Sorting algorithms and practice problems

OCaml vs. Haskell syntax

OCaml:

```
[1;2;3;4] = 1::2::3::4::[]
[1;2] @ [3;4] = [1;2;3;4]

(* recursive functions must be declared with let rec, not let*)
let rec f (x:'a) (y:'b) : 'c =
   match x with
   val1 -> ...
  | val2 -> ...
;;

"here's how you " ^ "put things together"

(fun x -> x + 2)
```

OCaml vs. Haskell syntax

Haskell:

```
[1,2,3,4] = 1:2:3:4:[]
[1,2] ++ [3,4]
-- recursive functions are declared the same
-- way as nonrecursive functions
f :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c -- type annotations are separate
f x y =
  case x of
   val1 -> ...
   val2 -> ...
-- equivalent to pattern matching above
f (val1) y = ...
f (val2) y = \dots
"here's how you " ++ "put things together"
(\x -> x + 2)
```

In OCaml:

```
let rec fold_right (f:'a -> 'b -> 'b) (1:'a list) (acc:'b) : 'b =
   match 1 with
   [] -> acc
   | x::xs -> f x (fold_right f xs acc)
;;

let rec fold_left (f:'b -> 'a -> 'b) (acc:'b) (1:'a list) : 'b =
   match 1 with
   [] -> acc
   | x::xs -> fold_left f (f acc x) xs
;;
```

In Haskell:

```
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f acc [] = acc
foldr f acc (x:xs) = f x (foldr f acc xs)

foldl :: (b -> a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldl f acc [] = acc
foldl f acc (x:xs) = foldl f (f acc x) xs
```

Fold

Find the sum of even numbers in a list.

```
In Ocaml:
```

```
fold_right (fun x acc -> if x mod 2 == 0 then x+acc else acc) [1,2,3,4,5] 0
In Haskell:
foldr (\x acc -> if x 'mod' 2 == 0 then x+acc else acc) 0 [1,2,3,4,5]
In Python (without using reduce):
sum = 0 # sum is the accumulator
for n in [1,2,3,4,5]:
  if n % 2 == 0:
    sum += n
```

Fold Right vs. Fold Left

```
foldr (+) 0 [1,2,3,4,5] =
1 + (2 + (3 + (4 + (5 + 0))))
foldl (+) 0 [1,2,3,4,5] =
((((0 + 1) + 2) + 3) + 4) + 5
```

Fold Right vs. Fold Left

In Haskell:

Since Haskell is **lazily evaluated**, you can sometimes short-circuit evaluation by paying attention to which parameter is being pattern matched.

```
or :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
or True = True
or False x = x
foldr or False [True,False,False] =
or True (foldr or False [False, False]) =
True
foldl or [True.False.False] False =
foldl or [False, False] (or False True) =
foldl or [False] (or (or False True) False) =
foldl or [] (or (or (or False True) False) =
(or (or (or False True) False) False) =
(or (or True False) False) =
(or True False) =
True
```

Fold Right vs. Fold Left

Since OCaml is eagerly evaluated – the interpreter evaluates the arguments before passing it to the function – this function can't be short-circuited.

```
let orfunc (x:bool) (y:bool) : bool =
 match x with True -> true | False -> y ;;
fold right orfunc [true;false;false] false =
orfunc true (foldr orfunc [false:false] false) =
orfunc true (orfunc false (foldr orfunc [false] false)) =
orfunc true (orfunc false (orfunc false (foldr orfunc [] false))) =
orfunc true (orfunc false (orfunc false false)) =
orfunc true (orfunc false false) =
orfunc true false =
true
fold left orfunc false [true:false:false] =
fold left orfunc (orfunc false true) [false;false] =
fold left orfunc true [false;false] =
fold left orfunc (orfunc true false) [false] =
fold left orfunc true [false] =
fold_left orfunc (orfunc true false) [] =
fold left orfunc true [] =
true
```

From nothing, fold; from fold, everything

```
let map (f:'a -> 'b) (xs:'a list) : 'b list =
  List.fold_right (fun x acc -> (f x)::acc) xs [] ;;

let filter (f:'a -> bool) (xs:'a list) : 'a list =
  List.fold_right (fun x acc -> if f x then x::acc else acc) xs [] ;;

let length (xs:'a list) : int =
  List.fold_right (fun x acc -> acc + 1) xs 0 ;;

let reverse (xs:'a list) : 'a list =
  List.fold_left (fun acc x -> x::acc) [] xs ;;
```

From nothing, fold; from fold, everything

In Haskell:

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map f xs = foldr (\x acc -> (f x):acc) [] xs

filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
filter f xs = foldr (\x acc -> if f x then x:acc else acc) [] xs

length :: [a] -> Int
length xs = foldr (\x acc -> acc + 1) 0 xs

reverse :: [a] -> [a]
reverse xs = foldl (\acc x -> x:acc) [] xs
```

Algebraic Data Types

- ▶ User-defined types
- ▶ Can encode different variants ("subclasses") of a particular type
- ► Can compactly encode recursive data structures
- ► Can be parametrized with type variables (cf. Java generics)

Algebraic Data Types (ADTs)

OCaml:

► Each constructor can be paired with at most 1 type
► typename * typename * ... is a single tuple type

type typename =

| Constructor1 of typename * typename ...
| Constructor2 of typename * typename ...

| Constructor3 of typename * typename ...

Haskell:

▶ Each constructor can be paired with an arbitrary number of types

```
data TypeName =
   Constructor1 TypeName TypeName ...
| Constructor2 TypeName TypeName ...
| Constructor3 TypeName TypeName ...
```

Compare Typename to an abstract base class and Constructors to child classes.

Lists

```
OCaml:
type 'a list =
  | Cons of 'a * ('a list)
  | Nil
;;
Cons (1, Cons (2, Cons (3, Nil))) = [1;2;3]
Haskell:
data List a = Cons a (List a) | Nil
Cons 1 (Cons 2 (Cons 3 Nil)) = [1,2,3]
```

Recall how List is implemented in Cool.

Pattern Matching

- ▶ Like a switch statement in Java or C
- Usually used to have separate cases between different constructors of an ADT and to have separate cases between empty/non-empty lists

Pattern Matching

```
OCaml:
```

```
let rec sum (1:int list) : int =
  match 1 with
    Nil -> 0
    | Cons (x, t1) -> x + sum t1
;;

Haskell:
sum :: List Int -> Int
sum Nil = 0
sum (Cons n t1) = n + sum t1
sum = case 1 of Nil -> 0; Cons n t1 -> n + sum t1
```

Binary Trees

In OCaml

Binary Trees

```
In Haskell:
data BTree a =
    Node a (BTree a) (BTree a)
    | Leaf a
    | NilLeaf

preOrder :: BTree a -> [a]
preOrder (NilLeaf) = []
preOrder (Leaf x) = [x]
preOrder (Node x left right) =
    [x] ++ (preOrder left) ++ (preOrder right)
```

Hint: Remember this for PA3!

```
type arith =
  | Val of int
  | Add of arith * arith
  | Sub of arith * arith
  | Mul of arith * arith
  | Mul of arith * arith
  ;;

let rec serializeArith (ast:arith) : string =
  match ast with
    Val n -> "int\n" ^ (string_of_int n) ^ "\n"
  | Add (x,y) -> "add\n" ^ serializeArith x ^ serializeArith y
  | Sub (x,y) -> "sub\n" ^ serializeArith x ^ serializeArith y
  | Mul (x,y) -> "mul\n" ^ serializeArith x ^ serializeArith y
;;
```

In Haskell:

```
data Arith =
    Val Int
    | Add Arith Arith
    | Sub Arith Arith
    | Mul Arith Arith
serializeArith :: Arith -> String
```

serializeArith (Add x y) = "add\n" ++ serializeArith x ++ serializeArith y serializeArith (Sub x y) = "sub\n" ++ serializeArith x ++ serializeArith y serializeArith (Mul x y) = "mul\n" ++ serializeArith x ++ serializeArith y

 $serializeArith (Val n) = "int\n" ++ show n$

Hint: Remember this for PA4-PA5!

```
let rec eval (a:arith) : int =
  match a with
    Val n -> n
    | Add (x,y) -> (eval x) + (eval y)
    | Sub (x,y) -> (eval x) - (eval y)
    | Mul (x,y) -> (eval x) * (eval y)
;;
eval (Mul (Add (Val 2, Val 3), Val 4)) = 20 ;;
```

In Haskell:

```
eval :: Arith -> Int
eval (Val n) = n
eval (Add x y) = (eval x) + (eval y)
eval (Sub x y) = (eval x) - (eval y)
eval (Mul x y) = (eval x) * (eval y)
eval (Mul (Add (Val 2) (Val 3)) (Val 4)) = 20
```

Option Types

OCaml:

Useful for capturing failure in a function

```
type 'a option =
  | Some of 'a
  | None ;;
let head (1:'a list) : 'a option =
  match 1 with
   [] -> None
  | x::xs -> Some x ;;
Haskell:
data Maybe a = Just a | Nothing
head :: [a] -> Maybe a
head [] = Nothing
head (x:xs) = Just x
```

Functional Programming Idioms

- ▶ Recursion and folding, not iteration
- ▶ Many tiny functions instead of one big function
- ► Keep track of state with parameters (accumulators)
- Type annotations are your friend!

Type Annotations

 \boldsymbol{A} lot of times you can guess what a function does just by reading its type annotation.

```
f : 'a list -> int -> 'a
g : 'a -> 'a list -> bool
h : 'a -> ('a * 'b) list -> 'b
```

Type annotations are your friend!

A lot of times you can guess what a function does just by reading its type annotation.

- ▶ f is nth (return the nth element of a given list)
- ▶ g is mem (returns whether an element is in a list)
- ▶ h is assoc (treats list like a map, returns value associated with key)

Sorting algorithms

- ► Insertsort, Mergesort, Quicksort
- ▶ No need to mess with array indices
- ▶ Intuitive implementations using recursion and folding

- ▶ Repeatedly insert elements into a sorted sublist
- ► Streaming algorithm

```
How is insert defined?
```

```
let insertSort (1:int list) : int list =
  let rec insert x il = ??? in
  List.fold_right insert 1 []
;;
```

```
let insertSort (1:int list) : int list =
  let rec insert x il = begin
   match il with
    (* insert at the end of sorted sublist *)
    [] -> [x]
    (* insert at current position or recurse to rest of list *)
    | y::ys -> if x >= y then y::(insert x ys) else x::y::ys
  end in
    (* add elements one by one to acc, which is kept sorted *)
  List.fold_right insert l []
;;
```

In Haskell:

Executing insertsort

```
insertSort [2,3,1,4]

foldr insert [] [2,3,1,4]

insert 2 (foldr insert [] [3,1,4])

insert 2 (insert 3 (foldr insert [] [1,4]))

insert 2 (insert 3 (insert 1 (foldr insert [] [4])))

insert 2 (insert 3 (insert 1 (insert 4 (foldr insert [] []))))

insert 2 (insert 3 (insert 1 (insert 4 [])))
```

Executing insertsort

```
insert 2 (insert 3 (insert 1 (insert 4 [])
insert 2 (insert 3 (insert 1 [4]))
insert 2 (insert 3 [1,4])
insert 2 (1:(insert 3 [4]))
insert 2 (1:[3,4])
insert 2 [1,3,4]
```

Executing insertsort

```
insert 2 [1,3,4]
1:(insert 2 [3,4])
1:[2,3,4]
[1,2,3,4]
```

Mergesort

- ▶ Divide and conquer algorithm
- ▶ Divide list into two sublists
- ► Recursive sort sublists
- ► Merge sorted sublists into one sorted list

Mergesort

```
How to implement merge? (Assume splitAt is implemented; we'll go back to it)
What happens if case [x] is not there?

let rec mergeSort (1:int list) : int list =
   let rec merge xxs yys = ??? in
   match 1 with
   [] -> []
   | [x] -> [x]
   | _ ->
   let (left, right) = splitAt (List.length 1 / 2) 1 in
   merge (mergeSort left) (mergeSort right)
;;
```

Mergesort

```
let rec mergeSort (1:int list) : int list =
  let rec merge xxs yys = begin
    match (xxs,yys) with
      ([], []) \rightarrow []
    (* right list is empty; rest of left is end of sorted list *)
    |(xs, []) \rightarrow xs
    (* left list is empty; rest of right is end of sorted list *)
    | ([], vs) -> vs
    (* pick lower head and recurse on the rest *)
    | (x::xs, y::ys) ->
        if x < y then x::(merge xs (y::ys))
                 else y::(merge (x::xs) ys)
  end in
  match 1 with
   [] -> []
  | [x] -> [x]
  | _ ->
   (* split into two sublists *)
    let (left, right) = splitAt (List.length 1 / 2) 1 in
    (* merge sorted sublists *)
    merge (mergeSort left) (mergeSort right)
;;
```

Mergesort

```
mergeSort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
mergeSort [] = []
mergeSort [x] = [x]
mergeSort 1 =
  -- merge sorted sublists
  merge (mergeSort left) (mergeSort right)
        -- split into two sublists
  where (left, right) = splitAt (length 1 'div' 2) 1
        merge [] [] = []
        -- right list is empty; rest of left is end of sorted list
        merge xs [] = xs
        -- left list is empty; rest of right is end of sorted list
        merge [] vs = vs
        -- pick lower head and recurse on the rest
        merge (x:xs) (y:ys) =
          if x < y then x: (merge xs (y:ys))
                   else y: (merge (x:xs) ys)
```

- ▶ Divide and conquer algorithm
- ► Select a pivot element
- ▶ Partition rest of list into two sublists: lower/eq and higher
- ► Sort sublists and then append together with pivot

```
How are left and right defined?
let rec quickSort (1:int list) : int list =
  match 1 with
  [] -> []
  | x::xs ->
  let left = ??? in
  let right = ??? in
  (quickSort left) @ [x] @ (quickSort right)
;;
```

```
let rec quicksort (1:int list) : int list =
  match 1 with
  [] -> []
  | x::xs ->
     (* get lower/eq sublist *)
  let left = List.filter (fun y -> x >= y) xs in
     (* get higher sublist *)
  let right = List.filter (fun y -> x < y) xs in
     (* append into complete sorted list *)
     (quickSort left) @ [x] @ (quickSort right)
;;</pre>
```

In Haskell:

```
quickSort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
quickSort [] = []
quickSort (x:xs) =
    -- append into complete sorted list
    (quickSort left) ++ [x] ++ (quickSort right)
    where left = filter (x >=) xs -- get lower/eq sublist
        right = filter (x <) xs -- get higher sublist</pre>
```

Quicksort with explicit partition

In OCaml:

```
let rec quickSort2 (1:int list) : int list =
  match 1 with
   [] -> []
| x::xs ->
    (* put element in the right sublist *)
   let part y (lo, hi) = if x >= y then (y::lo, hi) else (lo, y::hi) in
   (* repeated put list elems into right sublist *)
   let partition lp = List.fold_right part lp ([],[]) in
   (* create partition *)
   let (left, right) = partition xs in
    (* append into complete sorted list *)
   (quickSort2 left) @ [x] @ (quickSort2 right)
;;
```

Quicksort with explicit partition

In Haskell:

Notice the partial application for partition!

Practice Problems

Put your functional programming knowledge to the test

Problem 1

Implement append recursively. Don't use other functions!

```
let append (xxs:'a list) (yys:'a list) : 'a list = ??? ;;
append [1;2;3] [4;5;6] = [1;2;3;4;5;6]
```

Problem 1 answer

Implement append recursively. Don't use other functions!

```
let rec append (xxs:'a list) (yys:'a list) : 'a list =
  match xxs with
  [] -> yys
  | x::xs -> x::(append xs yys)
;;
```

Problem 1 answer

In Haskell:

```
append :: [a] \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a] append [] ys = ys append (x:xs) ys = x:(append xs ys)
```

Problem 2

 $Implement\ {\tt reverse}\ {\tt recursively}.\ {\tt Don't}\ {\tt use}\ {\tt other}\ {\tt functions!}$

```
let rec reverse (1:'a list) : 'a list = ??? ;;
reverse [1;2;3;4;5] = [5;4;3;2;1]
```

Problem 2 answer

Implement reverse recursively. Don't use other functions!

```
let rec reverse (1:'a list) : 'a list =
  let rec insertBack y xxs = begin
   match xxs with
    [] -> [y]
    | x::xs -> x::(insertBack y xs)
  end in
  match 1 with
    [] -> []
    | x::xs -> insertBack x (reverse xs)
;;
```

Problem 2 answer

In Haskell:

```
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
reverse [] = []
reverse (x:xs) = insertBack x (reverse xs)
  where insertBack x [] = [x]
        insertBack x (y:ys) = y:(insertBack x ys)
```

Problem 3

```
Implement treeSum. (Hint: Remember how preOrder is implemented)

type 'a btree =
    | Node of 'a * 'a btree * 'a btree
    | Leaf of 'a
    | NilLeaf
;;

let rec treeSum (tree:int btree) : int = ??? ;;

treeSum (Node (4, Leaf 5, NilLeaf)) = 9
```

Problem 3 answer

| Leaf n -> n

;;

Implement treeSum.

type 'a btree =
 | Node of 'a * 'a btree * 'a btree
 | Leaf of 'a
 | NilLeaf
;;

let rec treeSum (tree:int btree) : int =
 match tree with
 NilLeaf -> 0

| Node (n,left,right) -> n + (treeSum left) + (treeSum right)

Problem 3 answer

```
In Haskell:
data BTree a =
    Node a (BTree a) (BTree a)
    | Leaf a
    | NilLeaf

treeSum :: BTree Int -> Int
treeSum NilLeaf = 0
treeSum (Leaf x) = x
treeSum (Node x left right) =
    x + (treeSum left) + (treeSum right)
```

Problem 4

Implement unzip.

```
let unzip (1:('a * 'b) list) : ('a list) * ('b list) = ??? ;;
unzip [(1,"A");(2,"B");(3,"C")] = ([1;2;3], ["A";"B";"C"])
```

Problem 4 answer

Implement unzip.

```
let unzip (l:('a * 'b) list) : ('a list) * ('b list) =
  List.fold_right (fun (x,y) (xs,ys) -> (x::xs, y::ys)) l ([], []) ;;
```

Problem 4 answer

```
In Haskell:
```

```
unzip :: [(a,b)] -> ([a], [b])
unzip tups =
  foldr (\((x,y) (xs,ys) -> (x:xs, y:ys)) ([], []) tups
```

Problem 5

$Implement \ {\tt splitAt}.$

```
let splitAt (n:int) (1:'a list) : ('a list) * ('a list) = ??? ;;
splitAt 2 [1;2;3;4] = ([1;2],[3;4])
splitAt 0 [1;2;3;4] = ([], [1;2;3;4])
splitAt 4 [1;2;3;4] = ([1;2;3;4], [])
```

Problem 5 answer

Implement splitAt.

Common technique: define an "inner" function with explicit accumulator parameter(s), then have the "outer" function call the inner function with a initial accumulator value(s)

```
let splitAt (n:int) (1:'a list) : ('a list) * ('a list) =
  let rec splitAt_ n2 12 acc = begin
    match (n2,12) with
      (0, ys) -> (acc, ys)
      | (n, []) -> (acc, [])
      | (n, y::ys) -> splitAt_ (n-1) ys (acc @ [y])
  end in
  splitAt_ n 1 []
;;
```

Problem 5 answer

In Haskell:

More Problems

- ▶ Implement some of the functions from the List module
 - Haskell: https://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.8.2.0/docs/Data-List.html
 - ▶ Ocaml: http://caml.inria.fr/pub/docs/manual-ocaml/libref/List.html