CSC3131 Building Systems for People: Devops cycle for an E-clinic web application built with ASP.NET, React, and MongoDB

Word count: 3220 words

GitHub link: https://github.com/rolyli/EClinic

Contents

1 Introduction			ion	3
2	Fr	Frontend (React)		
	2.1 Reason for choice		son for choice	3
	2.2	Impl	lementation Details	3
	2.	.2.1	Initial Setup	3
	2.	.2.2	Fixing CORS issue	4
	2.	.2.3	Implementation of the interface and private routes	5
	2.3	Eval	uation	9
3	Backend (ASP.Net)			9
	3.1 Rea		son for choice	9
	3.2	Impl	lementation Details	10
	3.	.2.1	DTOs (Data Transfer Objects)	10
	3.2.2		Dependency Injection for classes using singletons	11
	3.	.2.3	Business logic for creating valid appointments	11
	3.3	Eval	uation	13
4	Observability and Maintainability		oility and Maintainability	13
	4.1	Heal	th Checks NuGet Package and integration with Kubernetes	14
	4.2	Post	man	16
	4.3	Eval	uation	16
5	Continuous Integration (xUnit)			17
	5.1	Eval	uation	18
	Continuous Deployment		19	
	6.1	Trav	risCl	19
	6.2	Gith	ub Workflows	19
	6.3	Eval	uation	21
7	Sc	Scalability and Load balancing (Docker and Kubernetes)		
	7.1	Reas	son for choice	22
	7.2	Impl	lementation Details	22
	7.	.2.1	Docker	22
	7.	.2.2	Kubernetes	23
	7.3	Eval	uation	26
0	p:	ibliogra	nhv.	27

1 Introduction

EClinic is a clinic management web application, built for patients and medical service providers such as GP clinics. Using EClinic, users can make appointments for a specific time and doctor. I developed EClinic using React, ASP.Net, MongoDB, as well as behind-the-scenes tools such as Docker, Kubernetes, xUnit, GitHub Workflows, etc. In this report, I will discuss in each section the reason for choosing the tool, implementation details, as well as evaluation for the future.

2 Frontend (React)

2.1 Reason for choice

React is a front-end framework for building user interfaces. The following are the reasons I chose React:

- 1) React is component based, and use of components reduce repeated code. There will be reused components in my EClinic, such as the message input which will be reused for sending new messages as well for replying to existing messages [1].
- 2) Documentation is good. Used in services such as Twitter and Facebook, React is the most popular front-end framework [2].

2.2 Implementation Details

2.2.1 Initial Setup

```
PS C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131> npx create-react-app eclinic-react-ui npx: installed 67 in 6.986s

Creating a new React app in C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\eclinic-react-ui.

Installing packages. This might take a couple of minutes.
```

Figure 1: Using create-react-app, an integrated tool for setting up a React development environment

At this point, the backend was already developed. I created a skeleton structure for React project using create-react-app [3].

```
PS C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\eclinic-react-ui> npm start
> eclinic-react-ui@0.1.0 start C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\eclinic-react-ui
> react-scripts start
```

Figure 2: Running the development environment using npm start

PS C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\eclinic-react-ui> npm install install react-bootstrap bootstrap@5.1.3 axios [________] | loadDep:warning: sill resolveWithNewModule dequal@2.0.2 checking installable status

Figure 3: Installing required packages from NPM




Figure 4: Default page after running create-react-app

After running *npm start* and installing required packages such as Bootstrap, I was greeted with the default screen shown in Figure 4.

2.2.2 Fixing CORS issue

```
function App() {
  useEffect(() => {
    let healthCheck = async () => {
        let res = await axios.get("/health/live");
        console.log(res);
    };
    healthCheck();
}, []);
```

Figure 5: React hook for health check to check if the backend is live

```
    Cross-Origin Request Blocked: The Same Origin Policy disallows reading the remote resource at http://localhost:5001/health/Live. (Reason: CORS request did not succeed). [Learn More]
    Uncaught (in promise) Error: Network Error createError createError.js:16 handleError xhr.js:117
```

Figure 6: Health checks failed due to CORS error

In order to check that the connection to the backend was working, I used a useEffect React hook that will send a request to /health/live API endpoint when the page is loaded. However, this resulted in a CORS error (Figure 6).

```
public void Configure(IApplicationBuilder app, IWebHostEnvironment env)
{
    if (env.IsDevelopment())
    {
        app.UseDeveloperExceptionPage();
        app.UseSwagger();
        app.UseSwaggerUI(c => c.SwaggerEndpoint("/swagger/v1/swagger.json", "EClinic v1"));
        app.UseHttpsRedirection();
}
```

Figure 7: Disabled Https Redirection to fix CORS issue

Figure 8: Added a CORS header for any outgoing requests

After removing HTTPS redirection and adding a CORS header to any outgoing request (Figure 7, Figure 8), the error was resolved [4].

2.2.3 Implementation of the interface and private routes




Figure 9: List of appointments for the selected doctor

For intuitive and continual design, I used Bootstrap, an open-source front end toolkit for creating mobile-first user interfaces. Although Bootstrap works primarily by providing HTML classes and styles that can be added, I installed *react-bootstrap* using NPM to turn these class names into React components (Figure 11) such as the *Form* component.

Figure 10: useEffect hooks for retrieving list of appointments

Figure 11: Using a .map function to iterate over list of appointments and return a tbody component

Within the Appointment page, in order to retrieve the list of doctors for the dropdown when the page is loaded, I used useEffect hooks to send a request to /doctor endpoint (Figure 10). Once a doctor has been chosen from the dropdown list, the second useEffect hook runs to retrieve list of appointments for this doctor (Figure 9). Unlike standard JavaScript approach of using an EventListener, React will re-render the page when there is a change in any of its states. Hence, clicking on a different doctor will automatically trigger a re-render of the table after the data from the backend has been assigned.

The tables are generated programmatically using a .map function (Figure 10). React uses JSX meaning that JavaScript code can be written within the HTML, allowing functionalities shown in Figure 11 where the list of appointments can be iterated to generate the rows of the table.

EClinic Home Appointment Messages

Welcome to EClinic

Online clinic management system built for CSC3131



EClinic Home Appointment Messages

Figure 12: Main page when not logged in



Figure 13: Login screen

Welcome to EClinic

Online clinic management system built for CSC3131



Figure 14: Main page after login

```
const handleSubmit = async (event) => {
  event.preventDefault();

let res = await axios.post("/login", {username, password});

if (res.data !== "false") {
    | setUser(res.data)
    | localStorage.setItem('user', res.data)
  }
  console.log(res.data);
};
```

Figure 15: Logic for saving user token to local storage

Security is important for services such as EClinic, hence private pages are only shown once the user is logged in. Clicking on any of the private areas such as Appointments will redirect users back to the login screen if the user is not logged in. I achieved these aims with React Router that provides JavaScript based routing, as well as localStorage (provided by the browser, i.e., for saving cookies). Specifically, after login, the user information is saved within memory using setUser (Figure 15). setUser assigns the returned JSON data from the backend to the *user* state that's declared with useState React hook. The setUser method is made available to the Appointment component by passing it as a 'prop' (highlighted in Figure 16).

Figure 16: Main page showing useState hook for user state as well as drilling down setUser method to child routes

2.3 Evaluation

```
Ö 🗿 🗯 <
Appointment
                                                                                                 /: D
hooks
> 1 State: [{_}, {_}}]
> 2 State: {createdDate: "2021-11-29T00:55:12.3722926+00:00", _}
▼ 3 State: [{_}}]
  ▼ Ø 0: {appointmentDurationMins: 30, appointmentEndTime: "_}
      id: "937cd52f-3fe3-4591-a6c3-3c754e4ef35c"
      doctorId: "d55f9fef-3b1f-41ee-b2e8-7d7ea5cbdba7
      patientId: "9d8c73c3-dcb8-449a-a5f7-b41fea46cc61"
      appointmentTime: "1,638,271,800,000"
      appointmentEndTime: "2021-11-30T12:00:00+00:00"
      appointmentDurationMins: 30
      createdDate: "2021-11-29T01:05:21.9543173+00:00"
  4 Effect: f () {}
  5 Effect: f () {}
```

Figure 17: Error in converting from UTC format

Some aspects of the user interface are unfriendly, such as the appointment list displaying dates in UTC format. I attempted to convert this to more friendly format using toLocaleString() (Figure 10) however it resulted a strange output of "1,638,271,800,00" when converting from "2021-11-30T:11:30:00" (Figure 17). In addition, an actual calendar showing available dates and times, instead of a table will be more intuitive to use.

Login functionality is also incomplete. In the future, sending and parsing JWT tokens that contain encrypted information about the user will be more secure than the current approach that will show private routes when the server sends a Boolean for confirming that the user exists.

3 Backend (ASP.Net)

3.1 Reason for choice

The following are the reasons I chose ASP.NET for the backend:

- 1) Compared to alternatives such as NodeJS, ASP.NET is strongly typed and object oriented. Although initial setup of the objects and object-oriented patterns can be time-consuming, they help reduce difficult-to-maintain source code.
- 2) ASP.NET boilerplate strongly supports data transfer object [5], contributing to agile development where, in the future, internal data structures can be modified (e.g., another field is added to an object) without breaking client service layer.

3.2 Implementation Details



Figure 18: Project hierarchy featuring MVC including DTOs

ASP.NET is a C# platform for developing web applications supporting web architectures such as MVC (Model-View-Controller). Since I used React to render the UI client-side, I configured the backend server to function as a REST API with CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) methods. Through web request methods such as GET, POST, etc, requests can be made to the server from clients such as React to retrieve and render the appropriate data.

3.2.1 DTOs (Data Transfer Objects)

```
namespace EClinic.Dtos
{
    6 references
    public record AppointmentDto
    {
        1 reference
        public Guid Id { get; init; }
        1 reference
        public Guid DoctorId { get; set; }
        1 reference
        public Guid PatientId { get; set; }
        4 references
        public DateTimeOffset AppointmentTime { get; set; }

        //Calculated at runtime
        4 references
        public DateTimeOffset AppointmentEndTime { get; set; }
        1 reference
        public DateTimeOffset CreatedDate { get; init; }
        1 reference
        public DateTimeOffset CreatedDate { get; init; }
}
```

Figure 19: Base DTO

```
namespace Eclinic.Dtos
{
    1 reference
    public record CreateAppointmentDto
    {
        2 references
        | public Guid DoctorId { get; set; }
        1 reference
        | public Guid PatientId { get; set; }
        5 references
        | public DateTimeOffset AppointmentTime { get; set; }
        3 references
        | public int AppointmentDurationMins { get; set; }
}
```

Figure 20: CreateAppointment DTO

I used DTOs (Data transfer objects) instead of only entities as a data type for sending and retrieving data. DTOs decouple the internal data structure (i.e., entity) of the application to the clients. Hence, future modifications to the internal data structure can be made without affecting the contract to the client, contributing to maintainability and scalability. For this reason, the CreateAppointment DTO (Figure 20) features only some of the parameters of the base DTO (Figure 19), as only some of the parameters are needed by the client to the REST API to create an appointment. Another advantage of DTOs is that they are record types and hence support value-based comparisons, which is useful for cases such as login when validating users against an existing record [6].

3.2.2 Dependency Injection for classes using singletons

```
public AppointmentController(IAppointmentRepository repository, ILogger<AppointmentController> logger)
{
    this.repository = repository;
    this.logger = logger;
}
```

Figure 21: Class constructor for AppointmentController

```
services.AddSingleton<IMongoClient>(serviceProvider => {
    return new MongoClient(mongoDbSettings.ConnectionString);
});
services.AddSingleton<IPatientRepository, PatientRepository>();
services.AddSingleton<IDoctorRepository, DoctorRepository>();
services.AddSingleton<IAppointmentRepository, AppointmentRepository>();
```

Figure 22: Dependency injection using AddSingleton method

ASP.NET is object oriented, which means classes are instantiated using the class constructor. In the constructor for AppointmentController, *repository* is a *dependency* that gets passed as a parameter. However, this would usually cause multiple instantiations of the repository, which wastes resources. Requiring multiple dependencies means that unit-testing is harder as the dependencies would need to be mocked (See section 13 on unit testing). Additionally, depending on an a hard-coded dependency is hard to maintain as replacing the repository to a different implementation would require modification of the controller code. Hence, dependency injection solves this issue by decoupling the class from its dependencies [6], instead depending on an abstraction or an interface, in this case the IAppointmentRepository. The dependency is injected using AddSingleton method (Figure 22), specifying the interface as well as its implementation.

3.2.3 Business logic for creating valid appointments

Each controller features at least a basic CRUD interface, however, CreateAppointment method within Appointment controller features business logic, amongst other methods.

Figure 23: CreateAppointment method with business logic for creating valid appointments with no overlap in appointment time

```
[HttpGet]
1 reference
public async Task<IEnumerable<AppointmentDto>> GetAppointmentsAsync(string DoctorId = null, string PatientId = null)
{
    // Get by DoctorId
    if (!string.IsNullOrWhiteSpace(DoctorId))
    {
        return (await repository.GetAppointmentsByDoctorIdAsync(Guid.Parse(DoctorId))).Select(appointment => appointment.AsDto());
    }

    // Get by PatientId
    if (!string.IsNullOrWhiteSpace(PatientId))
    {
        return (await repository.GetAppointmentsByPatientIdAsync(Guid.Parse(PatientId))).Select(appointment => appointment => appointment.AsDto());
    }

    // Get all
    var appointments = (await repository.GetAppointmentsAsync()).Select(appointment => appointment.AsDto());
    logger.LogInformation($"{DateTime.UtcNow.ToString("hh:mm:ss")}: Retrieved {appointments.Count()} appointments");
    return appointments;
}
```

Figure 24: GetAppointment method used by CreateAppointment to retrieve list of all existing appointments

This method accepts a CreateAppointmentDto (Figure 25). Appointment-wise, this method only includes appointment start time and its duration, and the end times are calculated during runtime for convenience.

```
namespace EClinit.Dtos
{
    1 reference
    public record CreateAppointmentDto
    {
          2 references
          public Guid DoctorId { get; set; }
          1 reference
          public Guid PatientId { get; set; }
          5 references
          public DateTimeOffset AppointmentTime { get; set; }
          3 references
          public int AppointmentDurationMins { get; set; }
}
```

Figure 25: CreateAppointmentDto

I used an existing GetAppointmentsAsync method (Figure 24) to retrieve list of all chosen doctor's appointments. As ASP.NET supports only one of each type of REST API method, instead of using operator overloading which would have looked cleaner, I'm using a single method with if statements to query by doctor or/and patient ID.

The foreach statement (Figure 23) checks the requested appointment against list of all existing appointments. I used three conditions to check whether there is an overlap in appointment start or end time:

- 1. Start time is within existing appointment's duration
- 2. End time is within existing appointment's duration
- 3. Start and end time encapsulates existing appointments duration

Start and end time both being inside the appointment time is already filtered by 1 and 2, hence a fourth condition is not needed. With the above three conditions, all appointments can be made sure that its times do not overlap another appointment. In the case of a date clash, a 400 Bad Request response status is sent. Otherwise, the created appointment is sent as per convention.

3.3 Evaluation

Alternatively, the DTOs could be configured automatically using a NuGet package called AutoMapper. This is more maintainable than manually creating considerable number of DTOs.

In the future, I want to further improve the business logic for creating appointments by generating available timeslots automatically, instead of only checking if there are overlaps for the requested appointment.

4 Observability and Maintainability

Unexpected bugs and situations can arise to web services. Observability and maintainability concerns impact how quickly, and effectively unexpected scenarios can be fixed. EClinic currently uses health monitoring with integration to Kubernetes, and interactable documentation with Postman to address such concerns.

4.1 Health Checks NuGet Package and integration with Kubernetes

Figure 26: implementation for 'ready' and 'live' health check endpoints using HealthCheck package

Health checks allow a status of the server to be outputted through its API. I implemented a /health/ready and /health/live endpoints for checking liveness and readiness of the server. Liveness check only checks whether the server is running. Readiness check provides information about whether the server is ready to use, including database connections. HealthCheck NuGet package provides interfaces for customizing output message of the health check endpoints. For example, I customized the readiness check to provide information about MongoDB connection.




Figure 27: Liveness check showing that the server is running




Figure 28: Readiness check on /health/ready endpoint fails due to database connection error




Figure 29: Kubernetes restarting the EClinic pod until the readiness check is successful

I integrated the health checks with Kubernetes through an option Kubernetes provides in its configuration files in the form of a livenessProbe and readinessProbe parameter where I provided the API endpoint (Figure 49). Kubernetes uses these health checks to know when to restart its pods, or to know when the pod has successfully started. For example, Figure 29 shows Kubernetes behaviour where Kubernetes constantly restarts the EClinic ASP.NET pod until the connection to MongoDB database is re-established.

4.2 Postman




Figure 30: Postman collection generated by Swagger




Figure 31: Automatically generated Swagger API documentation

ASP.NET 5 is bundled with Swagger. Swagger automatically generates an interactable web API documentation from the controller methods. I used Swagger's option to generate a JSON collection for Postman, a platform for building interactable API documentation and collection. Swagger is only available on a developmental instance, whereas Postman can query deployed websites. Coupled with the above health checks, the developer team can use Postman to test problematic API methods when unexpected errors arise.

4.3 Evaluation

I want to add Prometheus to Kubernetes to send automatic alerts by email when any of the health checks fails. When an unexpected error arises, and it is not able to be addressed by Kubernetes restarting the pod, email alerts to let the developer team know that a fix is required will be useful.

5 Continuous Integration (xUnit)

For quickly validating and merging changes, xUnit provides a convenient way to automatically unit test API methods in an ASP.NET project. Compared to alternative such as NUnit (derived from JUnit), and MSTest, xUnit is an active open-source framework that is part of the .NET Foundation [7]. I created a new xUnit project using dotnet new to generate a template and made appropriate configurations.

```
PS C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131> dotnet new xunit -n UnitTests
The template "xUnit Test Project" was created successfully.

Processing post-creation actions...
Running 'dotnet restore' on UnitTests\UnitTests.csproj...
Determining projects to restore...
Restored C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\UnitTests\UnitTests.csproj (in 490 ms).
Restore succeeded.
```

Figure 32: Creating a new unit tests project with xUnit template

Figure 33: Including the newly generated project in the build list for .csproj

```
PS C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\UnitTests> dotnet add reference ..\EClinic\EClinic.cspr oj Reference `..\EClinic\EClinic.csproj` added to the project.
```

Figure 34: Adding references to EClinic for Visual Studio intelliSense to work correctly

A good pattern for writing tests is the Arrange-Act-Assert pattern [7]. In Arrange, the appropriate dependencies are configured. I used Mock, a NuGet package to mock the dependent repositories. In Act, the appropriate API method calls are made to test the mock repository. Finally, in Assert, the appropriate assertion is made.

```
[Fact]
0 references | Run Test | Debug Test
public void UnitOfWork_StateUnderTest_ExpectedBehavior()
{
    // Arrange
    // Act
    // Assert
}
```

Figure 35: AAA (Arrange-Act-Assert) pattern for writing tests

```
[Fact]
0 references | Run Test | Debug Test
public async void GetAppointmentAsync_WithUnexistingItem_ReturnsNotFound()
{
    var repositoryStub = new Mock<IAppointmentRepository>();
    repositoryStub.Setup(repo => repo.GetAppointmentAsync(It.IsAny<Guid>()))
        .ReturnsAsync((Appointment)null);
    var loggerStub = new Mock<ILogger<AppointmentController>>();
    var controller = new AppointmentController(repositoryStub.Object, loggerStub.Object);

    var result = await controller.GetAppointmentAsync(Guid.NewGuid());

    Assert.IsType<NotFoundResult>(result.Result);
}
```

Figure 36: Testing GetAppointmentAsync method for requesting an non-existing item that should return ReturnsNotFound status

```
PS C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\UnitTests> dotnet test
 Determining projects to restore...
 Restored C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\EClinic\EClinic.csproj (in 490 ms).
 Restored C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\UnitTests\UnitTests.csproj (in 490 ms).
 EClinic -> C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\EClinic\bin\Debug\net5.0\EClinic.dll
 UnitTests -> C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\UnitTests\bin\Debug\net5.0\UnitTests.dll
Test run for C:\Users\Riley\Desktop\projects\CSC3131\UnitTests\bin\Debug\net5.0\UnitTests.dll (.NE
TCoreApp, Version=v5.0)
Microsoft (R) Test Execution Command Line Tool Version 16.11.0
Copyright (c) Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved.
Starting test execution, please wait...
A total of 1 test files matched the specified pattern.
Passed! - Failed:
                      0, Passed:
                                     2, Skipped:
                                                      0, Total:
                                                                   2, Duration: 3 ms - UnitTests.
dll (net5.0)
```

Figure 37: Automatically running every test using dotnet test command

5.1 Evaluation

```
[Fact]
public async void CreateAppointmentAsync_WithStartTimeOverlap_ReturnsBadRequest()
{
    var existingAppointment = CreateAppointment(30, DateTimeOffset.UtcNow);
    var existingAppointments = new [] {existingAppointment};

    repositoryStub.Setup(repo => repo.GetAppointmentsAsync()).ReturnsAsync(existingAppointments);

    var controller = new AppointmentController(repositoryStub.Object, loggerStub.Object);

    // Same start time with increased duration
    var newAppointment = new CreateAppointmentDto()
{
        DoctorId = existingAppointment.DoctorId,
        PatientId = Guid.NewGuid(),
        AppointmentDurationMins = 35,
        AppointmentTime = existingAppointment.AppointmentTime
};

    var result2 = await controller.GetAppointmentAsync(existingAppointment.DoctorId.ToString());
    var result = await controller.CreateAppointmentAsync(newAppointment);
}
```

Figure 38: Testing CreateAppointmentAsync for validating business logic for precluding overlapping appointments

In the future, I would like to complete the CreateAppointmentAsync test for business logic of checking overlapping appointments, as the Mock repository does not work in the implementation in Figure 38. As the business logic contains many conditionals and distinct cases, it is more prone to human error, hence testing this method will be important.

6 Continuous Deployment

6.1 TravisCl

Unlike alternatives such as Jenkins, Travis CI is convenient because a hosted service hence a local instance of a continuous integration server is not required [8]. Unfortunately, I could not get Travis CI to work despite many attempts.

We are unable to start your build at this time. You exceeded the number of users allowed for your plan. Please review your plan details and follow the steps to resolution.

Figure 39: TravisCI showing user exceeded build error despite no builds made

Figure 40: .travis.yml configuration for TravisCI

6.2 Github Workflows

As I was already using GitHub, I decided to use GitHub Workflows as it is a hosted service like TravisCI. Although I was presented with a build error (Figure 42), I persevered with the implementation as builds were being made unlike TravisCI. The error was fixed by removing the obj folder as there were package conflicts to existing packages in the obj folder [9]. Figure 41 shows the automatic build and unit tests succeeding after making a commit to the GitHub repository.




Figure 41: GitHub Workflows configuration




Figure 42: NETSDK1064 error from building the EClinic project




Figure 43: Automatically building and running xUnit unit tests for EClinic after a commit is pushed to GitHub

6.3 Evaluation




Figure 44: Continuous deployment can be tricky

Although configuration was tricky, automatically running builds and unit testing ensures that changes can be integrated and deployed seamlessly. In the future, actual deployment commands to cloud providers

such as Heroku can be included in the GitHub Workflows configuration to deploy after building and unit testing.

7 Scalability and Load balancing (Docker and Kubernetes)

7.1 Reason for choice

I chose Docker and Kubernetes for scalability concerns. Containerization with Docker increases performance and start-up speed, as well as modularity provided by containerization is better for portability (i.e., microservices) [10]. In addition, services can be scaled easily using Kubernetes with a single command by allocating more resources (pods).

Additionally, the following are the reasons I chose Docker and Kubernetes amongst its competitors:

- Docker is free compared to alternatives such as Amazon ECS
- Kubernetes comes bundled with Docker Dashboard, and it is an industry leading containerorchestration system

7.2 Implementation Details

7.2.1 Docker

```
FROM mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/aspnet:5.0-focal AS base
WORKDIR /app
EXPOSE 80

FROM mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/sdk:5.0-focal AS build
WORKDIR /src
COPY ["EClinic.csproj", "./"]
RUN dotnet restore "EClinic.csproj"
COPY . .
RUN dotnet publish "EClinic.csproj" -c Release -o /app/publish
FROM build AS final
WORKDIR /app
ENV ASPNETCORE_URLS=http://+:80
COPY --from=build /app/publish .
ENTRYPOINT ["dotnet", "EClinic.dll"]
```

Figure 45: Docker configuration for EClinic ASP.NET backend

```
riley@DESKTOP-F919T5I:/mmt/c/Users/Riley/Desktop/projects/CSC3131/EClinic$ docker build -t eclinic .

[+] Building 45.8s (13/13) FINISHED

> [internal] load build definition from Dockerfile
> > transferring dockerfile: 4898
0.0s
> [internal] load .dockerignore
0.1s
> > transferring context: 3748
> internal] load retadata for mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/sdk:5.0-focal
| [internal] load retadata for mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/sdk:5.0-focal
| [internal] load retadata for mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/sdk:5.0-focal
| [internal] load retadata for mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/sdk:5.0-focal@sha256:971e3c9e4fb7ebfb434024a6a0df83db33085eb8adc43d
24.9s
> > resolve mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/sdk:5.0-focal@sha256:971e3c9e4fb7ebfb434024a6a0df83db33085eb8adc43db424b
0.0s
> > sha256:55f1d2bdcb04cobf04cobf7ad5bd423f82e20calf85e4fd9c12918dbc17b552cf 7.16k8 / 7.16k8
| > sha256:12e03746dc66bb32de524e71087dbfcd9901868d7edd1075b4e7d349d757141568 | 18.28MB | 4.65
| > sha256:2c4b337447afcb38e1bee4e3c160c2a99v63be03ebf94f66a7f1054cf4b8420d 31.77MB | 31.77MB | 12.35
```

Figure 46: Building the EClinic ASP.NET Docker image from Dockerfile

The images for the container were built using a Dockerfile configuration and command in Figure 45 and Figure 46. The csproj file specifies compile instructions for the whole project and is used by the Dockerfile. Environment variables such as the port number for the server was passed using the ENV keyword.

Figure 47: Running the EClinic docker image and exposing port 80

Running the image and mapping docker host port to the internal port (port 80 -> port 80), the backend is confirmed to be working with a successful health check.

7.2.2 Kubernetes

The Docker images built by the above configuration is used by Kubernetes to run its container orchestration system. The configuration file (Figure 49) shows that the image has been declared as *eclinic:v3*. The image is configured to run as a LoadBalancer service. This option exposes the service externally by automatically routing to different NodePort services which routes to a ClusterIP service (only reachable within the cluster).



Figure 48: Kubernetes pods running ASP.NET backend and MongoDB shown on Docker Dashboard

Figure 49: Kubernetes configuration for EClinic ASP.NET backend

Figure 50: Kubernetes configuration for MongoDB instance

Kubernetes allows scaling of the pods on which the instance of the application runs through a simple command. Figure 51 and Figure 52 shows pod status logs from before and after scaling the application from one to three identical pods by specifying –replicas=3 with the scale command.

```
riley@DESKTOP-F919T5I:/mnt/c/Users/Riley/Desktop/projects/CSC313
1/EClinic$ kubectl get pods -w
NAME READY STATUS RESTARTS AGE
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-xsv7w 1/1 Running 0 7m36s
mongodb-statefulset-0 1/1 Running 0 19m
```

Figure 51: Currently running pods with -w option for logging

```
1/EClinic$ kubectl get pods -w
                                                                  riley@DESKTOP-F919T5I:/mnt/c/Users/Riley/Desktop/projects/CSC3131
                          READY
                                  STATUS
                                           RESTARTS
                                                      AGE
                                                                 /EClinic$ kubectl scale deployments/eclinic --replicas=3
NAME
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-xsv7w
                                                                 deployment.apps/eclinic scaled
                          1/1
                                  Running
                                                      7m36s
                                           0
mongodb-statefulset-0
                          1/1
                                           0
                                                      19m
                                                                  riley@DESKTOP-F919T5I:/mnt/c/Users/Riley/Desktop/projects/CSC3131
                                  Running
                                                                  /EClinic$
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-s56x2
                          0/1
                                  Pending
                                           ø
                                                      05
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-t65t6
                         0/1
                                  Pending
                                           а
                                                      0s
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-s56x2
                          0/1
                                  Pending
                                           0
                                                      0s
                                  Pending
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-t65t6
                                                      0s
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-s56x2
                                  ContainerCreating
                          0/1
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-t65t6
                         0/1
                                  ContainerCreating
                                                     ø
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-t65t6
                                  Running
                         0/1
                                                     0
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-s56x2
                         A/1
                                  Running
                                                     A
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-t65t6
                         1/1
                                  Running
eclinic-85d6bb49fc-s56x2 1/1
                                  Running
                                                     0
  3s
```

Figure 52: Currently running pods after scaling backend with --replicas=3

In order to confirm that the load balancing to different pods works correctly, I added a Logger dependency to AppointmentController, which logs the output of a GetAppointmentsAsync method (Figure 53, Figure 54).

```
2 references
public class AppointmentController : ControllerBase
{
    8 references
    private readonly IAppointmentRepository repository;
    2 references
    private readonly ILogger<AppointmentController> logger;

    0 references
    public AppointmentController(IAppointmentRepository repository, ILogger<AppointmentController> logger)
    {
        this.repository = repository;
        this.logger = logger;
    }
}
```

Figure 53: Adding a Logger as a dependency

```
[HttpGet]
1 reference
public async Task<IEnumerable<AppointmentDto>> GetAppointmentsAsync(string DoctorId = null, string PatientId = null)
{
    // Get by DoctorId
    if (!string.IsNullOrWhiteSpace(DoctorId))
    {
        return (await repository.GetAppointmentsByDoctorIdAsync(Guid.Parse(DoctorId))).Select( appointment => appointment.AsDto());
    }

    // Get by PatientId
    if (!string.IsNullOrWhiteSpace(PatientId))
    {
        return (await repository.GetAppointmentsByPatientIdAsync(Guid.Parse(PatientId))).Select( appointment => appointment => appointment.AsDto());
    }

    // Get all
    var appointments = (await repository.GetAppointmentsAsync()).Select( appointment => appointment.AsDto());

    logger.LogInformation($"{DateTime.UtcNow.ToString("hh:mm:ss")}: Retrieved {appointments.Count()} appointments");
    return appointments;
}
```

Figure 54: Logging information about retrieved appointments for demonstrative purposes




Figure 55: Sending requests in quick succession in Postman to test LoadBalancer

```
warn: Microsoft.AspNetCore.DataProtection.Repositories.FileSyste
momlaRepository[60]
Storing keys in a directory '/root/.aspnet/DataProtection.Keys' in a direc
```

Figure 56: Console output from ASP.NET backend after start-up

```
info: Eclinic.Controllers.AppointmentController[0]
02:17:16: Retrieved 0 appointments
1nfo: Eclinic.Controllers.AppointmentController[0]
02:17:17: Retrieved 0 appointments
1nfo: Eclinic.Controllers.AppointmentController[0]
02:19:03: Retrieved 0 appointments
1nfo: Eclinic.Controllers.AppointmentController[0]
02:19:03: Retrieved 0 appointments
1nfo: Eclinic.Controllers.AppointmentController[0]
02:29:04: Retrieved 0 appointments
1nfo: Eclinic.Controllers.AppointmentController[0]
02:29:50: Retrieved 0 appointments
1nfo: Eclinic.Controllers.AppointmentController[0]
02:20:50: Retrieved 0 appointments
1nfo: Eclinic.Controllers.AppointmentController[0]
02:21:00: Retriev
```

Figure 57: Console output from ASP.NET backend after sending multiple GET requests to /appointment showing load balancing by

Kubernetes

Sending multiple requests in quick succession using Postman (Figure 55) shows that the requests are routed to the three pods with a round-robin DNS, where each pod is chosen equally in succession (Figure 56, Figure 57) [11]. In an actual deployment scenario on a cloud service, Kubernetes allows versatile scaling of the operation by increasing the number of instances of pods running, even if the pods are spread out over different physical machines.

7.3 Evaluation

I was not able to connect to MongoDB instance when a username and password was set in the mongodb.yaml configuration file (Figure 50) due to an UserNotFound error, even after confirming that the MongoDB connection string was correctly formed by the backend. I confirmed that the DNS was working correctly by running a netstat command from ASP.NET pod from a coredns pod [12] [13]. I tried solutions suggested after posting on StackOverflow, to no avail. Although in terms of security, this is not a problem as the MongoDB pod is not configured to be reachable from outside Kubernetes, in a deployment scenario in an industry setting, this is poor practice.

8 Bibliography

- [1] React, "Components and Props," 2021. [Online]. Available: https://reactjs.org/docs/components-and-props.html. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [2] Stack Overflow, "Stack Overflow Trends," 20 12 2021. [Online]. Available: https://insights.stackoverflow.com/trends?tags=reactjs%2Cvue.js%2Cangular%2Csvelte%2Cangularjs.
- [3] React, "Create a New React App," 2021. [Online]. Available: https://reactjs.org/docs/create-a-new-react-app.html. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [4] StackOverflow, "Trouble with CORS Policy and .NET Core 3.1," 10 2021. [Online]. Available: https://stackoverflow.com/questions/59317789/trouble-with-cors-policy-and-net-core-3-1. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [5] Microsoft, "Dependency injection in ASP.NET Core," 2021. [Online]. Available: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/aspnet/core/fundamentals/dependency-injection?view=aspnetcore-6.0. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [6] Microsoft, "Dependency Injection," 2016. [Online]. Available: https://jakeydocs.readthedocs.io/en/latest/fundamentals/dependency-injection.html.
- [7] Microsoft, "Testing in .NET," 09 15 2021. [Online]. Available: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/core/testing/. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [8] P. Gomes, "Configuring CI for .Net Core using Travis CI and Xunit," 06 04 2017. [Online]. Available: https://medium.com/@pjbgf/configuring-ci-for-net-core-using-travis-ci-and-xunit-cc0f809df4fb. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [9] Microsoft, "Nuget NETSDK1 Package ERROR," 07 01 2020. [Online]. Available: https://developercommunity.visualstudio.com/t/nuget-netsdk1-package-error/876789. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [10] Newcastle University, "CSC3131-week03-Docker.pptx," [Online]. Available: https://ncl.instructure.com/courses/39992/files/4849415?module_item_id=1991352. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [11] Kubernetes, "Service," 20 11 2021. [Online]. Available: https://kubernetes.io/docs/concepts/services-networking/service/. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [12] Kubernetes, "Debugging DNS Resolution," 01 10 2021. [Online]. Available: https://kubernetes.io/docs/tasks/administer-cluster/dns-debugging-resolution/. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [13] Infoblox, "CoreDNS for Kubernetes Service Discovery," 7 11 2016. [Online]. Available: https://blogs.infoblox.com/community/coredns-for-kubernetes-service-discovery/. [Accessed 20 12 2021].
- [14] Kubernetes, "Services, Load Balancing, and Networking," 2021. [Online]. Available: https://kubernetes.io/docs/concepts/services-networking/_print/. [Accessed 20 12 2021].

[15] Microsoft, "Unit testing best practices with .NET Core and .NET Standard," 29 11 2021. [Online]. Available: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/core/testing/unit-testing-best-practices. [Accessed 20 12 2021].