

*Abstract Algebra: An Integrated Approach by J.H. Silverman.*

Page 320-325: 10.13, 10.16, 10.22, 10.23, 10.27

Page 357-370: 11.24, 11.32, 11.37

Page 393-396: 12.16

Do problem 11.32 for modules over non-commutative rings, i.e., show that if  $M$  is left  $R$  module then the annihilator  $\text{Ann}(M)$  is two sided ideal in  $R$ .

**Problem 1** (10.13). For each of the following linear operators, find eigenvectors and eigenvalues as we did in Example 10.27.

- (a)  $L(e_1) = -e_1 + 4e_2$  and  $L(e_2) = 4e_1 - e_2$
- (b)  $L(e_1) = e_2$  and  $L(e_2) = -e_1$ . (You may take  $F = \mathbb{C}$  for this part.)
- (c)  $L(e_1) = e_1 - e_3$ ,  $L(e_2) = 4e_3$ , and  $L(e_3) = 2e_1 + e_2 + 2e_3$

**Problem 2** (10.16). Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space. Let  $L_1, L_2 \in \text{End}_F(V)$  be linear operators on  $V$  such that the following three statements are true:

- (1) There is a basis for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $L_1$
- (2) There is a basis for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $L_2$
- (3)  $L_1 L_2 = L_2 L_1$

Prove that there is a basis for  $V$  consisting of vectors that are simultaneously eigenvectors of  $L_1$  and eigenvectors of  $L_2$ . This result is often stated as follows: “commuting diagonalizable matrices are simultaneously diagonalizable.” (Hint. If you’re not sure how to get started, first try the case that  $L_1$  has  $\dim(V)$  distinct eigenvalues.)

**Problem 3** (10.22). Let  $L \in \text{End}_F(V)$  be an invertible linear operator.

- (a) Prove that

$$P_{L^{-1}}(T) = \det(L)^{-1} \cdot (-T)^{\dim V} \cdot P_L(T^{-1})$$

- (b) Let  $n = \dim V$ , and let the eigenvalues of  $L$  be  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  (repeated with appropriate multiplicity). Prove that the eigenvalues of  $L^{-1}$  are  $\lambda_1^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n^{-1}$ .
- (c) If  $L^d = I$ , prove that the eigenvalues of  $L$  are  $d$ th-roots of unity.

**Problem 4** (10.23). Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $F$  vector space, let  $L \in \text{End}_F(V)$ , and let

$$P_L(T) = T^n - c_1(L)T^{n-1} + c_2(L)T^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n c_n(L)$$

The *trace* of  $L$  is defined to be the quantity

$$\text{tr}(L) = c_1(L)$$

(a) Let  $J, L \in \text{End}_F(V)$ . Prove that

$$\text{tr}(JL) = \text{tr}(LJ)$$

In particular, if  $J$  is invertible, prove that

$$\text{tr}(J^{-1}LJ) = \text{tr}(L)$$

(b) Prove that

$$\text{tr}(aL_1 + bL_2) = a \text{tr}(L_1) + b \text{tr}(L_2);$$

i.e., prove that the map

$$\text{tr} : \text{End}_F(V) \longrightarrow F$$

is an  $F$ -linear transformation.

(c) Suppose that  $F$  is algebraically closed and that  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $L$ , repeated with appropriate multiplicities so that  $P_L(T) = \prod (T - \lambda_i)$ . Prove that

$$\text{tr}(L) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$$

(d) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $V$ , and let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(F) \quad (1)$$

be the matrix associated to  $\mathcal{L}$  for the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Prove that

$$\text{tr}(L) = a_{11} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{nn}$$

is the sum of the diagonal elements of the matrix  $L$ .

**Problem 5** (10.27). We defined the product of an infinite list of vector spaces  $V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots$ , but suppose that we want to take the product of an uncountable number of vector spaces. In general, we take an arbitrary index set  $I$ , and we suppose that for each  $i \in I$  we are given an  $F$ -vector space  $V_i$ . We can no longer talk about ordered lists of infinite-tuples, since the index set  $I$  is arbitrary, so we define the direct product of the  $V_i$  over all  $i \in I$  to be a vector space of functions,

$$\prod_{i \in I} V_i = \left\{ \text{functions } v : I \longrightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i \text{ satisfying } v(i) \in V_i \text{ for all } i \in I \right\}$$

Addition and scalar multiplication in  $\prod_{i \in I} V_i$  are defined by

$$(v + w)(i) = v(i) + w(i) \quad \text{and} \quad (cv)(i) = cv(i)$$

- (a) Prove that  $\prod_{i \in I} v_i$  is an  $F$ -vector space.
- (b) If  $I = \mathbb{N}$ , explain why the definition of  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i$  in this exercise is the same as the one given in Definition 10.48
- (c) Explain how you would define the direct sum of the  $V_i$  for an arbitrary index set  $I$ .

**Problem 6** (11.24). An *Artinian ring* is a ring in which every descending list of ideals

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \cdots$$

eventually stabilizes; i.e., there is a  $k \geq 1$  so that  $I_k = I_{k+i}$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Although this resembles the definition of Noetherian ring, the Artinian condition is actually far more restrictive.

- (a) Let  $R$  be an Artinian ring, and let  $I$  be an ideal in  $R$ . Prove that  $R/I$  is an Artinian ring.
- (b) Let  $R$  be an Artinian ring that is an integral domain. Prove that  $R$  is a field. (*Hint.* Let  $a \in R$  and consider the ideals  $aR \supseteq a^2R \supseteq a^3R \supseteq \cdots$ )
- (c) Let  $R$  be an Artinian ring. Prove that every prime ideal in  $R$  is a maximal ideal. (*Hint.* Use (a) and (b)).
- (d) Let  $R$  be an Artinian ring. Prove that  $R$  has only finitely many maximal ideals.

**Problem 7** (11.32). Do problem 11.32 for modules over non-commutative rings, i.e., show that if  $M$  is left  $R$  module then the annihilator  $\text{Ann}(M)$  is two sided ideal in  $R$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Prove that the annihilator

$$\text{Ann}(M) = \{a \in R : am = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M\}$$

is an ideal of  $R$ .

**Problem 8** (11.37). Let  $R$  be a commutative ring.

- (a) Suppose that  $a, b \in R$  have the property that  $aR + bR = R$ . Prove that for all  $m, n \geq 1$  we have

$$a^m R + b^n R = R$$

- (b) More generally, let  $a_1, \dots, a_t \in R$ , and let  $e_1, \dots, e_t \geq 1$  be positive integers. Prove that

$$a_1 R + a_2 R + \cdots + a_t R = R \iff a_1^{e_1} R + a_2^{e_2} R + \cdots + a_t^{e_t} R = R.$$

**Problem 9** (12.16). This exercise give examples showing that the list of composition quotients of a finite group  $G$  are not enough to determine  $G$ .

- (a) Prove that composition series for the cyclic group  $C_4$  and the product group  $C_2 \times C_2$  have the same length and the same composition quotients.
- (b) Prove that composition series for the cyclic group  $C_6$  and the symmetric group  $S_3$  have the same length and the same composition quotients.