

**Problem 1** (TensorProducts 4). Let  $R$  be any commutative domain with field of fractions  $\mathbb{F} = \{a/b \mid a, b \in R, b \neq 0\}$  (recall your earlier exercises). Show that:

(a)  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{F} \approx \mathbb{F}$

To show  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{F} \approx \mathbb{F}$ , we use the universal property of the tensor product.

First, note that  $\mathbb{F} = R[S^{-1}]$ , where  $S = R \setminus \{0\}$ . The elements of  $\mathbb{F}$  can be written as  $a/b$  with  $a, b \in R$  and  $b \neq 0$ . The tensor product  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{F}$  consists of finite sums of elements of the form  $(a/b) \otimes (c/d)$  with  $a, b, c, d \in R$  and  $b, d \neq 0$ .

Define a map  $\phi : \mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  by

$$\phi((a/b) \otimes (c/d)) = \frac{a \cdot c}{b \cdot d}.$$

This map is well-defined, as it respects the relations in  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{F}$ . For example:

$$\phi((a/b) \otimes (rc/d)) = \phi((ar/b) \otimes (c/d)) = \frac{a \cdot rc}{b \cdot d} = \frac{(ar)c}{b \cdot d}.$$

Next,  $\phi$  is clearly bilinear. To check bijectivity:

**Injectivity:** If  $\phi(\sum (a_i/b_i) \otimes (c_i/d_i)) = 0$ , then  $\sum \frac{a_i \cdot c_i}{b_i \cdot d_i} = 0$ , which implies the original tensor sum is zero.

**Surjectivity:** For any  $\frac{e}{f} \in \mathbb{F}$ , choose  $\frac{e}{f} = \phi((e/1) \otimes (1/f))$ .

Thus,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, and we conclude  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{F} \approx \mathbb{F}$ .

(b)  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{F}/I \approx 0$  for each non-zero ideal  $I \subseteq R$ .

To show  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R \mathbb{F}/I \approx 0$ , consider a non-zero ideal  $I \subseteq R$ . Since  $R$  is a domain,  $I$  contains a non-zero element  $r \neq 0$ .

In  $\mathbb{F}$ , any element can be written as  $a/b$  with  $a, b \in R$  and  $b \neq 0$ . The ideal  $I$  induces elements in  $\mathbb{F}/I$  of the form  $(a + I)/b$ . Consider the tensor product  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R (\mathbb{F}/I)$ .

For any  $x \otimes y \in \mathbb{F} \otimes_R (\mathbb{F}/I)$ , write  $x = a/b$  and  $y = (c + I)/d$  with  $a, b, c, d \in R$  and  $b, d \neq 0$ . Then

$$x \otimes y = \frac{a}{b} \otimes \frac{c + I}{d}.$$

Multiply by  $r \in I$ :

$$r \cdot x \otimes y = \frac{ra}{b} \otimes \frac{c + I}{d}.$$

In  $\mathbb{F}/I$ ,  $r \in I$  implies  $rc + I = 0$ . Thus,  $x \otimes y = 0$ . Since  $x \otimes y$  was arbitrary,  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R (\mathbb{F}/I) = 0$ .

Therefore,  $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R (\mathbb{F}/I) \approx 0$  for any non-zero ideal  $I \subseteq R$ .

**Problem 2** (TensorProducts 6).

- (a) Let  $I$  and  $J$  be two ideals in the ring  $R$ . Construct a surjective homomorphism  $p : I \otimes_R J \longrightarrow IJ$ , where  $IJ$  is the product of the ideals  $I$  and  $J$  ( $IJ$  is the set of finite sums of elements of the form  $ij$  for  $i \in I, j \in J$ ).

Define the map  $p : I \otimes_R J \rightarrow IJ$  by

$$p(i \otimes j) = ij \quad \text{for all } i \in I \text{ and } j \in J.$$

This map is well-defined because the tensor product respects the bilinear relations in  $R$ . For instance, if  $r \in R$ , then:

$$p((ri) \otimes j) = p((i \otimes rj)) = rij.$$

Clearly,  $p$  is linear in both arguments.

To show surjectivity, note that any element of  $IJ$  is a finite sum of terms of the form  $i_1j_1 + i_2j_2 + \cdots + i_nj_n$ , where  $i_k \in I$  and  $j_k \in J$ . For each such term,  $i_k \otimes j_k \mapsto i_kj_k$  under  $p$ . Therefore, the image of  $p$  contains all elements of  $IJ$ .

Hence,  $p$  is a surjective homomorphism.

- (b) Prove that if  $I$  (or  $J$ ) is a principal ideal and  $R$  is a domain, then  $p$  is an isomorphism.

Assume  $I = (a)$  is a principal ideal, where  $a \in R$ . Then every element of  $I$  can be written as  $i = ra$  for some  $r \in R$ . Similarly, let  $j \in J$ .

The tensor product  $I \otimes_R J$  can be expressed as:

$$I \otimes_R J = (a) \otimes_R J \cong J \quad (\text{as } R\text{-modules}).$$

Now, under the map  $p$ , we have

$$p(i \otimes j) = p((ra) \otimes j) = ra \cdot j = r(aj) \in IJ.$$

Since  $IJ$  is generated by elements of the form  $aj$  with  $a \in I$  and  $j \in J$ , the map  $p$  is injective. The surjectivity of  $p$  has already been shown in the first part, so  $p$  is bijective.

Therefore, when  $I$  is principal,  $p$  is an isomorphism. The same argument applies if  $J$  is principal instead.

- (c) Show that if  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $I = J = (2, x)$ , then  $p$  is NOT an isomorphism. Compute the kernel of  $p$ .

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $I = J = (2, x)$ . Then  $I$  and  $J$  are generated by  $\{2, x\}$ . In the tensor product  $I \otimes_R J$ , elements are linear combinations of the form:

$$r_1(2 \otimes 2) + r_2(2 \otimes x) + r_3(x \otimes 2) + r_4(x \otimes x), \quad r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in R.$$

Under the map  $p$ , we have:

$$p(2 \otimes 2) = 4, \quad p(2 \otimes x) = 2x, \quad p(x \otimes 2) = 2x, \quad p(x \otimes x) = x^2.$$

The image of  $p$  is  $IJ = (4, 2x, x^2)$ , but  $I \otimes_R J$  contains more relations than  $IJ$ . For example, consider the relation:

$$2 \otimes x - x \otimes 2 \in \ker(p),$$

because  $p(2 \otimes x - x \otimes 2) = 2x - 2x = 0$ . This indicates that  $p$  is not injective.

To compute  $\ker(p)$ , observe that  $\ker(p)$  is generated by all elements of the form:

$$i \otimes j - j \otimes i \quad \text{for } i \in I, j \in J.$$

In this case,  $\ker(p)$  is generated by:

$$2 \otimes x - x \otimes 2.$$

Therefore,  $p$  is not an isomorphism, and the kernel is:

$$\ker(p) = \langle 2 \otimes x - x \otimes 2 \rangle.$$

**Problem 3** (TensorProducts 14). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two square matrices. Prove that the Kronecker products  $A \otimes B$  and  $B \otimes A$  are similar matrices.

To show that  $A \otimes B$  and  $B \otimes A$  are similar matrices, we construct an invertible matrix  $P$  such that:

$$P(A \otimes B)P^{-1} = B \otimes A.$$

Let  $A$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix, and  $B$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The Kronecker product  $A \otimes B$  is an  $mn \times mn$  matrix. Define  $P$  as the permutation matrix that rearranges the indices of  $mn \times mn$  matrices based on the lexicographic ordering of the tensor product.

Specifically, let  $e_{i,j}$  denote the standard basis of  $m \times n$  matrices. The permutation matrix  $P$  is defined such that:

$$P(e_{i,j} \otimes e_{k,l}) = e_{i,k} \otimes e_{j,l},$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$  and  $1 \leq k, l \leq n$ . Essentially,  $P$  reorders the rows and columns of  $A \otimes B$  to match the structure of  $B \otimes A$ .

**Proof of Similarity:**

Consider  $A \otimes B$  with entries indexed by pairs of indices  $(i, k)$  and  $(j, l)$ :

$$(A \otimes B)_{(i,k),(j,l)} = A_{i,j}B_{k,l}.$$

Applying the permutation matrix  $P$  to  $A \otimes B$  results in:

$$P(A \otimes B)P^{-1} = B \otimes A,$$

because the reordering induced by  $P$  swaps the role of indices from  $(i, k)$  and  $(j, l)$  to  $(k, i)$  and  $(l, j)$ , effectively transforming  $A \otimes B$  into  $B \otimes A$ . Thus,  $A \otimes B$  and  $B \otimes A$  are similar matrices.

**Problem 4** (Problem 1). Let  $U$  and  $V$  be vector spaces over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  is also a complex vector space. Note  $U$ ,  $V$  and  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  may also be regarded as vector spaces over the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , and we can form  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  and  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  isomorphic as real vector spaces? Prove your answer.

To address the question, let's first recall a few key points:

1. If  $U$  and  $V$  are vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with complex dimension:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V) = (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U) \cdot (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V).$$

2. When  $U$  and  $V$  are regarded as vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ , their real dimensions are:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} U = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} U, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V.$$

Step 1: Real dimension of  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$

Over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  can be expressed as:

$$U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \cong (U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V) / I,$$

where  $I$  is the subspace generated by elements of the form:

$$(au) \otimes v - u \otimes (av) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{C}, u \in U, v \in V.$$

Using the fact that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = 2$ , we can infer that:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V) = 2(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U) \cdot (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V).$$

Step 2: Real dimension of  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$

When  $U$  and  $V$  are regarded as real vector spaces, their tensor product over  $\mathbb{R}$  is:

$$U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V,$$

which has real dimension:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V) = (\dim_{\mathbb{R}} U) \cdot (\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V) = [2(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U)] \cdot [2(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V)].$$

Simplifying this gives:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V) = 4(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U) \cdot (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V).$$

Step 3: Comparing dimensions

From the above calculations: -  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V) = 2(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U) \cdot (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V)$ , -  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V) = 4(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U) \cdot (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V)$ .

These dimensions are not equal, so  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  and  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  are **not isomorphic** as real vector spaces.

Conclusion:  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  and  $U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  are not isomorphic as real vector spaces because their real dimensions differ.

**Problem 5** (Problem 2). Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Using the universal mapping property of the tensor product, show that there is a linear transformation

$$H : V^* \otimes W^* \longrightarrow (V \otimes W)^*$$

satisfying

$$[H(f \otimes g)](v \otimes w) = f(v) \cdot g(w)$$

In case both  $V$  and  $W$  have finite dimension, prove that  $H$  is an isomorphism.

Step 1: Constructing the map  $H$

Let  $f \in V^*$  and  $g \in W^*$ , where  $V^*$  and  $W^*$  are the dual spaces of  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively. Define  $H : V^* \otimes W^* \rightarrow (V \otimes W)^*$  by specifying its action on elementary tensors:

$$[H(f \otimes g)](v \otimes w) = f(v) \cdot g(w),$$

where  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ .

**Well-definedness:**

The universal property of the tensor product ensures that  $H(f \otimes g)$  extends uniquely to a well-defined linear map on the entire tensor product  $V \otimes W$ . Thus,  $H$  is a well-defined linear transformation from  $V^* \otimes W^*$  to  $(V \otimes W)^*$ .

Step 2: Linear transformation  $H$

To check linearity, consider:

$$H \left( \sum_i f_i \otimes g_i \right) (v \otimes w) = \sum_i [H(f_i \otimes g_i)](v \otimes w) = \sum_i f_i(v) g_i(w).$$

Since both  $V^* \otimes W^*$  and  $(V \otimes W)^*$  are vector spaces, this confirms  $H$  is linear.

Step 3: Isomorphism when  $V$  and  $W$  have finite dimension

Assume  $V$  and  $W$  have finite dimensions  $\dim(V) = n$  and  $\dim(W) = m$ , respectively.

The dimensions of the relevant spaces are:

$$\dim(V^*) = n, \quad \dim(W^*) = m, \quad \dim(V^* \otimes W^*) = n \cdot m,$$

and

$$\dim(V \otimes W) = n \cdot m, \quad \dim((V \otimes W)^*) = \dim(V \otimes W) = n \cdot m.$$

Since  $\dim(V^* \otimes W^*) = \dim((V \otimes W)^*)$ , it suffices to show  $H$  is injective and surjective:

**Injectivity:** Suppose  $H(f \otimes g) = 0$ . This implies:

$$[H(f \otimes g)](v \otimes w) = f(v)g(w) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W.$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are linear, this implies  $f = 0$  or  $g = 0$ . Thus,  $f \otimes g = 0$ , and  $H$  is injective.

**Surjectivity:** For any linear functional  $\phi \in (V \otimes W)^*$ , define  $f_i \in V^*$  and  $g_j \in W^*$  such that  $\phi(v \otimes w) = \sum_{i,j} f_i(v)g_j(w)$ . Then  $\phi$  is in the image of  $H$ , proving surjectivity.

**Problem 6** (Problem 3). Let  $U$  and  $V$  be vector spaces over the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Show there is a linear transformation  $L : U^* \otimes V \longrightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V)$  defined by the formula  $L(\sum f_i \otimes v_i)(u) = \sum f_i(u)v_i$ . Do this by first defining an appropriate bilinear function, and then use the universal mapping property. If  $U$  and  $V$  are finite dimensional, show that this map is an isomorphism. [Hint: first compute the dimensions.]

**Step 1: Defining an appropriate bilinear function**

Let  $f_i \in U^*$  and  $v_i \in V$ . Define a bilinear function  $\Phi : U^* \times V \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V)$  by:

$$\Phi(f, v)(u) = f(u)v \quad \text{for all } f \in U^*, v \in V, u \in U.$$

**Linearity in  $f$ :**

For  $f_1, f_2 \in U^*$  and  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$\Phi(af_1 + f_2, v)(u) = (af_1 + f_2)(u)v = af_1(u)v + f_2(u)v = a\Phi(f_1, v)(u) + \Phi(f_2, v)(u).$$

**Linearity in  $v$ :**

For  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$\Phi(f, bv_1 + v_2)(u) = f(u)(bv_1 + v_2) = bf(u)v_1 + f(u)v_2 = b\Phi(f, v_1)(u) + \Phi(f, v_2)(u).$$

Hence,  $\Phi$  is bilinear.

**Step 2: Using UMP:**

By the universal property of the tensor product, the bilinear function  $\Phi$  induces a unique linear map:

$$L : U^* \otimes V \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V),$$

such that:

$$L(f \otimes v)(u) = \Phi(f, v)(u) = f(u)v.$$

For a general tensor  $\sum f_i \otimes v_i \in U^* \otimes V$ , the action of  $L$  is given by:

$$L\left(\sum f_i \otimes v_i\right)(u) = \sum \Phi(f_i, v_i)(u) = \sum f_i(u)v_i.$$

Thus,  $L$  is well-defined and linear.

**Step 3: Proving  $L$  is an isomorphism for finite-dimensional  $U$  and  $V$**

Assume  $\dim(U) = n$  and  $\dim(V) = m$ . Then:

The dimension of  $U^*$  is  $\dim(U^*) = n$ , The dimension of  $U^* \otimes V$  is:

$$\dim(U^* \otimes V) = \dim(U^*) \cdot \dim(V) = n \cdot m.$$

The space  $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V)$  consists of all linear maps from  $U$  to  $V$ , and its dimension is:

$$\dim(\text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V)) = \dim(U) \cdot \dim(V) = n \cdot m.$$

Since  $\dim(U^* \otimes V) = \dim(\text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V))$ , it suffices to show  $L$  is injective and surjective:

**Injectivity:** Suppose  $L(\sum f_i \otimes v_i) = 0$ . Then for all  $u \in U$ ,

$$L\left(\sum f_i \otimes v_i\right)(u) = \sum f_i(u)v_i = 0.$$

Since the  $v_i$ 's are linearly independent in  $V$ , this implies  $f_i(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ , meaning  $f_i = 0$ . Hence,  $\sum f_i \otimes v_i = 0$ , and  $L$  is injective.

**Surjectivity:** For any  $T \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V)$ , define  $f_i \in U^*$  and  $v_i \in V$  such that  $T(u) = \sum f_i(u)v_i$ . Then  $T = L(\sum f_i \otimes v_i)$ , proving  $L$  is surjective.

Thus,  $L : U^* \otimes V \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V)$  is a linear isomorphism when  $U$  and  $V$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces.

**Problem 7** (Problem 4). Assume that  $U$  and  $V$  are finite-dimensional. In the previous problem, you showed that  $L$  identifies  $U^* \otimes V$  with  $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V)$ , where  $L(f \otimes v)$  is the linear map sending  $u$  to  $f(u)v$ .

- (a) Show that  $L(f \otimes v)$  is a linear transformation of rank 1 if and only if  $f$  and  $v$  are nonzero.

**Showing  $\implies$  :**

Let  $f \in U^*$  and  $v \in V$  with  $f \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ .

By definition,  $L(f \otimes v)(u) = f(u)v$  for  $u \in U$ .

The image of  $L(f \otimes v)$  is spanned by  $v$  because  $f(u) \in \mathbb{F}$ , and the output is always a scalar multiple of  $v$ .

Since  $v \neq 0$ , the image of  $L(f \otimes v)$  is a one-dimensional subspace of  $V$ .

Thus,  $\text{rank}(L(f \otimes v)) = 1$ .

**Showing  $\impliedby$  :**

Assume  $L(f \otimes v)$  has rank 1.

This means the image of  $L(f \otimes v)$  is spanned by a single vector  $v' \neq 0$ .

For  $L(f \otimes v)(u) = f(u)v$ , this is only possible if  $v \neq 0$ .

Additionally, if  $f = 0$ , then  $f(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ , and  $L(f \otimes v)$  is the zero map, which contradicts  $\text{rank}(L(f \otimes v)) = 1$ .

Hence,  $f \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ .

Therefore,  $L(f \otimes v)$  is a rank-1 linear transformation if and only if  $f \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ .

- (b) Show that the rank of an arbitrary linear transformation  $T : U \rightarrow V$  is the smallest integer  $r$  such that  $T$  can be expressed in the form

$$T = L\left(\sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes v_i\right)$$

with  $f_i \in U^*$  and  $v_i \in V$ .

Let  $T \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(U, V)$  be a linear transformation with  $\text{rank}(T) = r$ .

By the rank-nullity theorem,  $T(U)$  is an  $r$ -dimensional subspace of  $V$ .

There exist  $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V$  such that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  is a basis for  $\text{Im}(T)$ .

For each  $v_i$ , there exists  $f_i \in U^*$  such that  $T(u) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(u)v_i$  for all  $u \in U$ .

This is equivalent to  $T = L(\sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes v_i)$ .

**Minimality of  $r$ :**

Suppose  $T = L(\sum_{i=1}^s f_i \otimes v_i)$  for  $s < r$ .

Then the image of  $T$  would be spanned by fewer than  $r$  vectors, contradicting the fact that  $\text{rank}(T) = r$ .

Thus,  $r$  is the smallest integer such that  $T = L(\sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes v_i)$ .

Thus, the rank of  $T$  is the smallest integer  $r$  such that  $T$  can be expressed as  $T = L(\sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes v_i)$  with  $f_i \in U^*$  and  $v_i \in V$ .

**Problem 8** (problem 5). In this problem, we will investigate what  $\otimes_R$  does to surjective and injective maps, for various  $R$ .

(a) Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field, let

$$0 \longrightarrow V' \xrightarrow{\iota} V \xrightarrow{\pi} V'' \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of vector spaces, and let  $W$  be a vector space. From the previous problem, one can define maps  $\iota \otimes \text{id}_W : V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \rightarrow V'' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ , where  $\text{id}_W$  is the identity map of  $W$ .

Show that they fit into the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{id}_W} V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \text{id}_W} V'' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \longrightarrow 0$$

\*Note: Most of the proof should *not* use the fact that  $V$ ,  $V'$  or  $W$  are vector spaces, but there is a point where it is crucial (See the next part for a hint on where to look!)

To prove the exactness of the sequence: Exactness at  $V' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ :

The map  $\iota : V' \rightarrow V$  is injective, so  $\iota \otimes \text{id}_W : V' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$  is also injective. This follows from the fact that the tensor product of an injective map with the identity map remains injective over fields.

Exactness at  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ :

For  $v \otimes w \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ ,  $(\pi \otimes \text{id}_W)(\iota \otimes \text{id}_W)(v' \otimes w) = (\pi \circ \iota)(v') \otimes w = 0$  because  $\pi \circ \iota = 0$ . Hence,  $\text{Im}(\iota \otimes \text{id}_W) \subseteq \ker(\pi \otimes \text{id}_W)$ .

Conversely, if  $(\pi \otimes \text{id}_W)(v \otimes w) = 0$ , then  $\pi(v) = 0$ , so  $v \in \ker(\pi) = \text{Im}(\iota)$ . Therefore,  $v = \iota(v')$  for some  $v' \in V'$ , and  $v \otimes w = (\iota \otimes \text{id}_W)(v' \otimes w)$ . Thus,  $\ker(\pi \otimes \text{id}_W) \subseteq \text{Im}(\iota \otimes \text{id}_W)$ , proving exactness at  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ .

Exactness at  $V'' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ :

The map  $\pi : V \rightarrow V''$  is surjective, so  $\pi \otimes \text{id}_W : V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \rightarrow V'' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$  is surjective as well. Thus,  $\text{Im}(\pi \otimes \text{id}_W) = V'' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ .

Therefore, the sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow V' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{id}_W} V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \text{id}_W} V'' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \rightarrow 0.$$



- (b) Let  $R$  be a commutative domain, let  $a \in R$  be a nonzero nonunit. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. One then has an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\iota} R \longrightarrow R/(a) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\iota(r) = ar$  is multiplication by  $a$ .

- i Show that one always has an exact sequence

$$R \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{id}_M} R \otimes_R M \longrightarrow R/(a) \otimes_R M \longrightarrow 0$$

Note the lack of zero on the left hand side! (See the next part.)

Tensor the given exact sequence with  $M$ :

$$R \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{id}_M} R \otimes_R M \rightarrow R/(a) \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0.$$

The map  $\iota \otimes \text{id}_M$  is induced by multiplication by  $a$ , sending  $r \otimes m$  to  $ar \otimes m$ .

Surjectivity of  $R \rightarrow R/(a)$  implies surjectivity of  $R \otimes_R M \rightarrow R/(a) \otimes_R M$ , giving the exact sequence. The lack of 0 on the left arises because  $R \otimes_R M \rightarrow R \otimes_R M$  need not be injective.

- ii Show by example that the map

$$R \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\iota \otimes \text{id}_M} R \otimes_R M$$

need not be injective (for certain  $M$ ), so that one cannot keep the zero on the left in general when tensoring with modules over general rings. Find a condition on  $M$  that ensures that the map  $\iota \otimes_R M$  is injective in the case we are considering from part i. (Hint: Try  $M = R/(a)$ ).

Example:

Take  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a = 2$ , and  $M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\iota \otimes \text{id}_M$  maps  $r \otimes m$  to  $2r \otimes m$ . Since  $2m = 0$  in  $M$ , this map sends all elements of  $R \otimes M$  to 0, hence it is not injective.

Condition for injectivity:

The map  $\iota \otimes \text{id}_M$  is injective if  $M$  is torsion-free. For example, if  $M = R/(a)$ , then  $a \cdot m = 0$  in  $M$ , ensuring injectivity.