

Neural Auto-designers for Enhanced Quantum Kernels - AMAL Project

See notes taken on the original paper [here](#):

This note consists of a summary of the paper, outlining the main points of interests and the interesting analysis/implementations.

✓ Todo >

- ☐ Write preliminaries
 - ☐ QC
 - ☐ QML
 - ☐ QKer
- ☐ Comments and analysis of how QuKerNet works
 - ☐ Search space
 - ☐ Train predictor
 - ☐ Top-k kernels search
 - ☐ Kernels fine tuning
- ☐ Compulsory implementations
 - ☐ Basic QuKerNet on tailored MNIST
 - ☐ mRMR vs Random feature selection
 - ☐ Each step enhance prediction
 - ☐ CNN as a neural predictor
- ☐ Optional implementations
 - ☐ KTA as a good substitute
 - ☐ Other datasets (CC/etc...)
 - ☐ GNN/exotic NN as predictor

Motivations of the study

- Pursuit of the global optima in kernel methods
- The space formed by quantum kernels encompasses functions that are computationally hard to evaluate classically
- Automatic design and enhance quantum kernels by optimizing the architecture of the kernels and the parameters of the different architectures

- Resource friendliness

Obstacles

- Qkerns associated with inappropriate quantum feature maps
- Deep circuit depth, a large number of qubits, too many noisy gates -> vanishing similarity + degraded generalization ability
- Computational costs of quantum kernels (optimizing/train accuracy costs a lot of measurements etc...)
- Test accuracy of quantum kernels is low -> need to change target
- High-dimensional data on NISQ machines?

Quantum Preliminaries

- [Quantum computing](#)
- [Quantum machine learning](#)
- [Quantum kernels](#)

How QuKerNet works

- [Set up search space](#)
- [Train the predictor neural network](#)
- [Search for the top-k kernels](#)
- [Fine-tune kernels with parameters optimization](#)

Results of the paper

- [Fig. 2\(b\) explanation?](#)
- [Better to use mRMR than random feature selection](#)
- [KTA is a good substitute for train accuracy](#)
- [The best kernels are found by QuKerNet \(vs HEAK, TEK, RBFK\)](#)
- [Each step of QuKerNet enhance performance](#)

What we could bring/implement

- Basic qukerNet on tailored MNIST
- Use it to compare mRMR and random feature selection
- verify that KTA is a good substitute
- Check that each step enhance performance
- Use CNN/GNN or another pertinent network for the neural predictor and compare

- Maybe change the way of embedding the QC and use another exotic NN