



EMA

Erasmus Mundus Students and Alumni Association

WOP-P-Master in Work, Organizational and Personnel Psychology

Course Quality Advisory Board of the Erasmus Mundus Student and Alumni Association

Report was generated on 17 Dec 2015

Contents

1	Executive summary (?)	4
2	Introduction	4
2.1	Course Quality Advisory Board	4
2.2	Introduction to the CQSS survey	4
2.3	Structure of current report	5
3	Supporting services	6
3.1	Support received before the start of the Erasmus Mundus course	6
3.1.1	Consortia	6
3.1.2	Paris Descartes University, France	7
3.1.3	University of Bologna, Italy	8
3.1.4	University of Coimbra, Portugal	9
3.1.5	University of Valencia, Spain	10
3.2	Support received during the orientaion program	10
3.2.1	Consortia	10
3.2.2	Paris Descartes University, France	12
3.2.3	University of Bologna, Italy	13
3.2.4	University of Coimbra, Portugal	14
3.2.5	University of Valencia, Spain	15
3.3	Helpfulness of units and people	15
3.3.1	Consortia	15
3.3.2	Paris Descartes University, France	17
3.3.3	University of Bologna, Italy	18
3.3.4	University of Coimbra, Portugal	19
3.3.5	University of Valencia, Spain	20
3.4	Support received on various issues	20
3.4.1	Consortia	20
3.4.2	Paris Descartes University, France	21
3.4.3	University of Coimbra, Portugal	22
3.4.4	University of Valencia, Spain	23



4	Assessment and feedback	24
4.1	Module assessment	24
4.1.1	Consortia	24
4.1.2	Paris Descartes University, France	26
4.1.3	University of Bologna, Italy	27
4.1.4	University of Coimbra, Portugal	28
4.1.5	University of Valencia, Spain	29
5	Teaching/learning and supervision	30
5.1	First supervisor.	30
5.2	Second supervisor.	30
5.3	Teaching/learning	31
5.3.1	Paris Descartes University, France	31
5.3.2	University of Bologna, Italy	32
5.3.3	University of Coimbra, Portugal	33
5.3.4	University of Valencia, Spain	34
6	Internship/field experience and personal development	35
6.1	Personal development.	35
7	Acknowledgments	36



1 Executive summary (?)



2 Introduction

2.1 Course Quality Advisory Board

CQAB is an independent advisory body that operates on a voluntary basis, and its members have not and do not receive financial benefits from their CQAB related activity. Membership to CQAB is assured through a competitive recruitment process among EMA members. Internally, CQAB has three main separate structures:

1. Programme Representative Network (PRnet), aimed at coordinating the relation between Erasmus Mundus courses and EMA.
2. Management of the em.feedback@em-a.eu, that assists students with pressing quality issues.
3. Survey Team, tasked with conducting the CQSS survey.

CQAB was created as a result of the pressing and constant concerns of EMA members about the quality of the student experiences as part of an Erasmus Mundus (EM) course. Internally, CQAB has a variety of tools to capture student concerns and to interact with student representatives from various programmes, but the CQSS survey represents its most comprehensive and systematic initiative focused on quality assurance. The inception and design of CQSS is enrooted in the complexity of the EMJMD student experience and driven by two distinct factors: the perceived systemic issues around quality across courses, and the general underrepresentation of students in evaluating the EMJMD programme.

For any concerns, questions or feedback on this report, please contact us at chair.cqab@em-a.eu.

2.2 Introduction to the CQSS survey

Our survey was filled in by 38 students of your program. They represent 21 countries and collectively they have spent more than 514 hours thinking and answering questions of our survey.

We hope that their feedback will help you make your Erasmus Mundus program even more brilliant and unique!



2.3 Structure of current report

The report focuses on providing your course with customized information received from students. The information in this report follows the structure of the CQSS survey. As such, information on how students attending the course evaluated their overall course experience, and also how various universities in the consortia were experienced by students. Only indicators with 10 or more responses are included in the report.

The largest section of the report focuses on providing information about the supporting services available to students before the start of the EMJMD programme, during orientation, the general helpfulness of various units and individuals, as well as the support received on specific student issues. The following section focuses on indicators capturing the assessment and feedback processes. In section four, the responses of students to questions pertaining to teaching, learning and supervision are highlighted. Before concluding, section five touches on the internship, field experience and personal development aspects evaluated as part of the CQSS survey.

Graph plots the responses from students, while table provides information about how other courses did on that question. Example of a table below:

	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
Course content	38	3.34	3.19	2.54 - 3.06	3.07 - 3.23	3.24 - 3.40	3.41 - 3.70
Enrolling in classes	38	3.35	3.42	2.57 - 3.25	3.26 - 3.45	3.46 - 3.62	3.63 - 3.92
Evaluation methods	38	2.92	3.03	2.23 - 2.88	2.88 - 3.06	3.07 - 3.21	3.22 - 3.76

Each row represents a dimension of the question. It contains information about the mean that question received from students of your program.

Calculation of means is based on Likert-scale. Each option corresponds to a numeric value in a following way:

1. "Very unsatisfied" or "Disagree" = 1.
2. "Unsatisfied" or "Somewhat disagree" = 2.
3. "Satisfied" or "Somewhat agree" = 3.
4. "Very satisfied" or "Agree" = 4.

Therefore, average score for any given dimension in a table ranges from a minimum of 1 to a maximum of 4, with 4 being a perfect score for each dimension.

Following that is the mean for all respondents of the survey. Finally, table provides information about distribution of means in all other courses. Distribution is represented by quantiles. This means that 25% of courses will lie in each of the columns. This enables easy comparison of any given program with its peers. The column with the score of your program is highlighted.



3 Supporting services


We will have some text here.

3.1 Support received before the start of the Erasmus Mundus course

3.1.1 Consortia

Question:B.1.1

Rate the information and support received before the start of Erasmus Mundus master course on the following aspects




	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
Course content	38	3.34	3.19	2.54 - 3.06	3.07 - 3.23	3.24 - 3.40	3.41 - 3.70
Enrolling in classes	38	3.35	3.42	2.57 - 3.25	3.26 - 3.45	3.46 - 3.62	3.63 - 3.92
Evaluation methods	38	2.92	3.03	2.23 - 2.88	2.88 - 3.06	3.07 - 3.21	3.22 - 3.76
Information about fieldwork	38	3.03	2.95	2.00 - 2.80	2.81 - 3.00	3.01 - 3.19	3.20 - 3.57
Standards of behavior	38	3.42	3.44	2.77 - 3.29	3.30 - 3.42	3.43 - 3.63	3.64 - 3.90
Timetable	38	2.82	3.13	1.94 - 2.96	2.97 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.33	3.34 - 3.80

Table 1: Summary statistics




3.1.2 Paris Descartes University, France




3.1.3 University of Bologna, Italy

Rate the following items regarding the logistic information and support received before the beginning of studies in this university (n = 20)




3.1.4 University of Coimbra, Portugal

Rate the following items regarding the logistic information and support received before the beginning of studies in this university (n = 13)



3.1.5 University of Valencia, Spain




3.2 Support received during the orientaion program

3.2.1 Consortia

Question:B.1.3






	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
Academic staff	38	3.55	3.44	2.40 - 3.29	3.30 - 3.47	3.48 - 3.63	3.64 - 3.92
Administrative staff	38	3.32	3.39	2.30 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.43	3.44 - 3.62	3.63 - 3.93
Buddy or tutor system	38	3.15	2.96	2.00 - 2.88	2.89 - 3.06	3.07 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.64
International Student Office	38	2.74	3.24	2.47 - 3.04	3.05 - 3.27	3.28 - 3.48	3.49 - 3.90
Library	38	3.63	3.37	2.50 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.35	3.36 - 3.58	3.59 - 3.91
Other students	38	3.51	3.33	2.36 - 3.18	3.19 - 3.36	3.37 - 3.47	3.48 - 3.86
Student associations	38	2.76	2.99	1.92 - 2.76	2.77 - 3.02	3.03 - 3.22	3.23 - 3.62
University facilities	38	3.39	3.40	2.40 - 3.21	3.22 - 3.39	3.40 - 3.57	3.58 - 3.92


Table 2: Summary statistics




3.2.2 Paris Descartes University, France




3.2.3 University of Bologna, Italy



3.2.4 University of Coimbra, Portugal



3.2.5 University of Valencia, Spain




3.3 Helpfulness of units and people

3.3.1 Consortia

Question:B.2.1






	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
Academic staff	38	3.62	3.45	2.50 - 3.30	3.31 - 3.46	3.47 - 3.62	3.63 - 4.00
Administrative staff	38	3.46	3.35	2.10 - 3.18	3.19 - 3.45	3.46 - 3.61	3.62 - 4.00
Buddy or tutor system	38	3.38	3.03	2.20 - 2.90	2.91 - 3.06	3.07 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.73
International Student Office	38	3.06	3.25	2.45 - 3.09	3.10 - 3.27	3.28 - 3.50	3.51 - 3.71
Library	38	3.62	3.42	2.80 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.44	3.45 - 3.60	3.61 - 3.93
Other students	38	3.40	3.40	2.45 - 3.21	3.22 - 3.39	3.40 - 3.55	3.56 - 3.85
Student associations	38	3.00	3.04	2.10 - 2.92	2.93 - 3.08	3.09 - 3.24	3.25 - 3.68
University facilities	38	3.54	3.42	2.71 - 3.27	3.28 - 3.48	3.49 - 3.63	3.64 - 3.86

Table 3: Summary statistics




3.3.2 Paris Descartes University, France


Rate the helpfulness of the following units of people at this university (n = 21)



3.3.3 University of Bologna, Italy




3.3.4 University of Coimbra, Portugal



3.3.5 University of Valencia, Spain

Rate the helpfulness of the following units of people at this university (n = 32)




3.4 Support received on various issues

3.4.1 Consortia

Question: B.2.2


Rate the support received on the following issues




	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
Financial issues	38	3.08	3.34	2.50 - 3.19	3.20 - 3.40	3.41 - 3.57	3.58 - 3.90
Health insurance	38	3.44	3.36	2.54 - 3.25	3.26 - 3.38	3.39 - 3.56	3.57 - 3.84

Table 4: Summary statistics




3.4.2 Paris Descartes University, France

3.4.3 University of Coimbra, Portugal



3.4.4 University of Valencia, Spain




4 Assessment and feedback

We will have some text here.

4.1 Module assessment

4.1.1 Consortia

Question: C.1




	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
Availability of course coordinator to discuss questions or problems related to my studies and mobility experience	38	3.36	3.28	2.10 - 3.12	3.13 - 3.33	3.34 - 3.49	3.50 - 3.90
Clarity of evaluation criteria across universities	38	2.65	2.77	1.90 - 2.55	2.56 - 2.79	2.80 - 3.00	3.01 - 3.60
Consistency of module's assessment across universities	38	2.70	2.81	1.89 - 2.58	2.59 - 2.79	2.80 - 3.01	3.02 - 3.50
Feedback on evaluation results and grades	38	2.57	2.77	1.70 - 2.57	2.58 - 2.83	2.84 - 3.00	3.01 - 3.60
Formalised system by university consortium for students to share opinions and feedback on course	38	2.88	2.91	1.75 - 2.73	2.74 - 2.97	2.98 - 3.19	3.20 - 3.55
Grade conversion across universities	38	2.64	2.85	2.17 - 2.71	2.72 - 2.83	2.84 - 3.00	3.01 - 3.56
Implementation of recommendations given by students to improve EM course experience	38	2.87	2.82	1.58 - 2.62	2.63 - 2.86	2.87 - 3.15	3.16 - 3.44
Information provided about provision of certificates and transcripts	38	2.97	3.02	1.96 - 2.85	2.86 - 3.07	3.08 - 3.27	3.28 - 3.67
Information provided about the type of degrees to be awarded	38	3.11	3.12	2.40 - 2.95	2.96 - 3.16	3.17 - 3.35	3.36 - 3.70
The willingness and ability of the course coordinator to follow up on unresolved issues addressed	38	3.36	3.23	1.80 - 3.00	3.01 - 3.32	3.33 - 3.47	3.48 - 3.92

Table 5: Summary statistics




4.1.2 Paris Descartes University, France


Rate the following items (n = 21)



4.1.3 University of Bologna, Italy




4.1.4 University of Coimbra, Portugal



4.1.5 University of Valencia, Spain

Rate the following items (n = 32)




5 Teaching/learning and supervision

We will have some text here.

5.1 First supervisor.

Question:L.4




	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
My supervisor provided valuable advice during my thesis work	38	3.47	3.50	2.64 - 3.43	3.44 - 3.60	3.61 - 3.75	3.76 - 4.00
My supervisor was available for periodic meetings	38	3.58	3.54	2.79 - 3.46	3.47 - 3.62	3.63 - 3.80	3.81 - 4.00

Table 6: Summary statistics

5.2 Second supervisor.

Question:L.5




	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
My second supervisor was available for consultation if the lead supervisor was unavailable	38	3.06	3.38	2.36 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.56	3.57 - 3.65	3.66 - 3.91
My supervisor provided valuable advice during my thesis work	38	3.05	3.40	2.45 - 3.12	3.13 - 3.48	3.48 - 3.71	3.72 - 3.91
My supervisor was available for periodic meetings	38	3.33	3.37	2.50 - 3.00	3.01 - 3.50	3.51 - 3.70	3.71 - 3.91

Table 7: Summary statistics


5.3 Teaching/learning

5.3.1 Paris Descartes University, France

Please rate the following items (n = 21)




5.3.2 University of Bologna, Italy




5.3.3 University of Coimbra, Portugal

Please rate the following items (n = 13)



5.3.4 University of Valencia, Spain

Please rate the following items (n = 32)




6 Internship/field experience and personal development

We will have some text here.

6.1 Personal development.

Question:L.6

Rate the following statements regarding personal development during EM course



	n	Mean	EM mean	0% - 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	75% - 100%
I feel my Erasmus Mundus course has prepared me for my future chosen career	38	3.81	3.38	2.43 - 3.17	3.18 - 3.35	3.36 - 3.54	3.55 - 4.00
I feel optimistic about my opportunities for employment	38	3.62	3.27	2.50 - 2.95	2.96 - 3.29	3.30 - 3.50	3.51 - 3.71
I was well advised by my course on future education options	38	3.47	2.90	2.31 - 2.62	2.63 - 2.80	2.81 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.55
My soft skills were improved by my course	38	3.89	3.49	2.90 - 3.40	3.41 - 3.56	3.57 - 3.71	3.72 - 4.00
The course has enabled academic and personal achievement beyond my initial expectations	38	3.58	3.28	2.46 - 3.20	3.21 - 3.37	3.38 - 3.56	3.57 - 3.91

Table 8: Summary statistics



7 Acknowledgments

Some concluding remarks

