Transgressive Segregation and the Nature of Gene Action for Yield in an Intervarietal Cross of Upland Cotton¹

A. M. El-Adl and P. A. Miller²

ABSTRACT

Two inbred parental lines, their F_1 , and six inbred lines selected for high yield after three cycles of recurrent selection in this population were evaluated at three locations for two years. The F1 yielded 32.6% more than the midparent value and exceeded the higher parent by 13.3%. The F₁ also exceeded the midparent values for several components of yield, including lint percentage, weight per boll, number of bolls per plot, lint index, and seed index.

The mean yield of the six selected lines exceeded that of the F₁ by 5.5%. Each of the six lines was higher in lint yield and lint percentage than the higher parent of the original cross, which indicated that transgressive segregation for these traits had occurred.

Fifteen possible crosses among the six lines were compared with the above entries at three locations in the second year. These hybrids averaged 9.6% higher in yield than the mean of their parents. Significant heterosis was also detected for lint percentage, weight per boll, number of seed per boll, and lint index.

Estimates of general combining ability from the set of diallel crosses were significant for all traits except lint percentage, which demonstrated the importance of additive genetic effects for those traits. The variance for specific combining ability was significant only for lint

percentage.

Additional index words: Heterosis, Combining ability, Recurrent selection, Hybrid cotton, Components of yield.

HETEROSIS in cotton has been observed for yield with increases ranging from very small amounts up to 40% above midparent values. Loden and Richmond (1951) reviewed the early work on heterosis in Gossypium and presented evidence of significant increases in yield that resulted from interspecific, intervarietal, and intravarietal crosses. More recently, Al-Rawi and Koehl (1969), Miller and Marani (1963), White and Richmond (1963), and others have reported additional evidence of heterosis for yield.

However, the production of F₁ hybrid seed on a commercial scale is not economically feasible at the present time due to lack of a fully satisfactory malesterility mechanism and to the frequently low amounts of natural crossing in the major production areas. The question arises, therefore, whether it would be possible to select strains from the segregating generations of superior F₁'s which would equal or exceed the performance of the F_1 per se.

The genetic materials used in the present investigation included two inbred parental lines, their F_1 , six inbred lines selected for high yield after three cycles of recurrent selection in this population, and the diallel set of 15 crosses among those six lines. Observations are reported on: (a) the amount of heterosis in the original cross, (b) the performance of the six selected lines compared with the F_1 from which they were selected, and (c) the heterotic effects in the diallel cross.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetic Material

Genetic materials used in this study included two inbred lines (G-4 and H-1), the F₁ between them, six inbred lines selected for high lint yield after three cycles of recurrent se-lection (cycle-3 selections), and the diallel cross among those six lines. G-4 and H-1 are selections from a pedigree system of inbreeding in the 'Coker 100' and 'Acala 1517' varieties, respectively. The cycle-3 selections were maintained through more than six generations of bulk selfing of a 75-plant progeny row. Approximately equal numbers of selfed seed were harvested from each plant. These selections can be assumed to be relatively homozygous but not homogeneous. A more detailed description of the original parents and the technique used to derive the cycle-3 selections has been presented by Miller and Rawlings (1967). Reciprocal crosses were bulked to produce the seed of the diallel cross.

Design of Experiments

The two inbreds, the F1, and the six selections were evaluated at Rocky Mount, Lewiston, and Clayton, N. C. in 1967 and 1968. The 15 diallel crosses were included only in the 1968 tests. A randomized complete-block design with four replicates was grown at each location in each year.

Cultural Practices and Data Collected

Plots were single rows 7.6 m long with row spacings of 1.0 m at Rocky Mount, 0.9 m at Lewiston, and 1.1 m at Clayton. An excess of seed was drilled in each plot; and following emergence, seedlings were thinned to a uniform stand approximating nine plants per meter of row. Fertilizer and insecticide applications were in accordance with recommended practices for each of the locations.

A randomly selected sample of 25 bolls was harvested from each plot in 1967 and at Rocky Mount in 1968. A 50-boll sample was harvested at the remaining two locations in 1968. These boll samples were used to determine lint percentage, weight per boll in grams, number of seed per boll, lint index (wight of the lint from 100 seeds in grams), and seed index (weight of 100 seeds in grams). Data were recorded for seed cotton widely within each plot. Lint yield values were calculated by yield within each plot. Lint yield values were calculated by multiplying total weight of seed cotton per plot by the lint percentage value for that plot. Values for number of bolls per plot were computed by dividing total seed cotton weight by the weight per boll (as determined from the boll sample).

¹ Contribution from the Department of Crop Science. Paper No. 3306 of the Journal Series of North Carolina State University Agricultural Experiment Station, Raleigh, N. C. Adapted from a thesis submitted by the senior author for partial fulfillment of the M.S. degree requirements. This work was supported in part by Cooperative State Research Service Grant Project 9009. The computing was supported by National Institute of Health Grant FR-00011. Received for publication

² Graduate Student and Professor of Crop Science, respectively, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, N. C. 27607.

Statistical Analyses

Entries, locations, and years were considered as random variables constituting representative samples of their populations. A combined analysis of variance over the three locations in two years was computed for the two inbreds, the F_1 , and the six selections. A combined analysis over the three locations in 1968 was computed for the same entries including the 15 diallel cross entries. The form of the analysis of variance, the expectations of the mean squares, and the approximate F-test entries were as outlined by Cochran and Cox (p. 565-567, 1957). Differences among entries were significant at the 0.01 level of probability for all traits in both analyses. The following comparisons between specific entries or groups of entries were made: (a) F_1 versus midparent and F_1 versus the higher parent; (b) the mean of the six selections versus the F₁; and (c) the meau of the 15 diallel crosses versus the mean of their six parents. The first two comparisons were made from the combined two-year data while the latter comparison could only be obtained from the 1968 data. Tests of significance were made utilizing the variance of the difference between two means (s^{2}_{4}) which was estimated as $s^{2}/rn_{1}+s^{2}/rn_{2}$, where s^{2} is the appropriate error variance, r is the number of replications, and n_{1} and n_{2} were the number of entries involved in estimating the respective means. For the combined two-year analysis, the entry by location and entry by year interaction were small and statistically nonsignificant for all traits except line percentage, which exhibited a significant entry by year interaction; but even then, this component was smaller than the second-order interaction component. The second-order interaction was generally large and statistically significant. Thus, this mean square was used as the appropriate error term for the planned com-parisons among means from the combined two-year data. For comparisons involving the 15 diallel crosses grown only in 1968, the entry by location interaction mean square was used as the appropriate error term. It is recognized that the variance component for entries in the entry mean square is confounded with the entry by year interaction since the material was grown in one year.

A diallel analysis of the 15 F₁'s grown at the three locations in 1968 provided estimates of general combining ability (σ_g^2) , specific combining ability (σ_g^2) , and the interactions of those effects with locations. The expectations of mean squares followed those described by Matzinger and Kempthorne (1956). F-tests of both general and specific combining abilities were approximated as suggested by Cochran and Cox (1957).

RESULTS

Heterosis in the Original Cross

The performance of the parents and their F_1 from the test data combined over years and locations is presented in Table 1. The F_1 consistently exceeded the midparent with the differences being statistically significant for all traits except number of seed per boll. Lint yield of the F₁ was 32.6% higher than the midparent value. This increased yield appears to result from the production of more and larger bolls per plant in combination with a higher lint index. The F_1 yielded 13.3% more than its higher yielding parent.

Transgressive Segregation

Performance of the cycle-3 selections in comparison with the F_1 is presented in Table 2. The selections ranged in yield from 731 to 836 kg/ha as compared to 748 kg/ha for the F₁ from which they were derived. The six selections averaged 5.5% higher in yield than the F₁, although this difference was not statistically significant. For components of yield, the selections averaged higher in lint percentage and number of bolls per plot but had smaller seed and smaller bolls than the F₁. Each selection was higher in lint yield and lint percentage than the higher parent of the original cross, indicating transgressive segregation for these traits. These results are in agreement with the

Table 1. Means and heterosis in the original cross estimated from data combined over years and locations.

| | | Means | | | | Heterosis | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | Par | | Mid- | | Mid- parent, | High parent, | |
| Trait | G-4 | H-1 | parent | F ₁ | %† | %‡ | |
| Lint yield, kg/ha | 660 | 468 | 564 | 748 | 32,6** | 13.3* | |
| Lint, % | 34.5 | 29. 9 | 32.2 | 33.4 | 3.7** | -3.2* | |
| Weight per boll, g | 5,71 | 6.79 | 6, 25 | 6, 93 | 10. 9** | 2, 1 | |
| No. of bolls/plot | 252 | 169 | 210 | 242 | 15. 2* | -4.0 | |
| No. of seed/boll | 33.7 | 36.9 | 35,3 | 36,3 | 2.8 | -1.6 | |
| Lint index | 5, 82 | 5, 49 | 5,66 | 6.39 | 12.9** | 9.8** | |
| Seed Index | 11.2 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 6.7** | -0.8 | |

Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively. \dagger Meas 0 (F₁ - Midparent)/Midparent. \ddagger Measured as 100 (F₁ - High parent)/High as 100 (F₁ - Midparent)/Midparent.

Table 2. Comparisons of the cycle-3 selections with the original F₁ from data combined over years and locations.

| Trait | F ₁ | Mean of selections | Mean of sel. vs. F ₁ , %† | Range of indiv. sel. |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Lint yield, kg/ha | 748 | 789 | 5, 5 | 731-836 |
| Lint, % | 33.4 | 36.4 | 9.0** | 35. 9-37. 1 |
| Weight per boll, g | 6, 93 | 6, 18 | -10.8** | 5, 58-6, 65 |
| No. of bolls/plot | 242 | 262 | 8.3* | 239-277 |
| No. of seed/boll | 36, 3 | 35,7 | -1.7 | 34, 4-36, 9 |
| Lint index | 6, 39 | 6.31 | -1.3 | 5, 48-6, 92 |
| Seed Index | 12. 8 | 11, I | -13.3** | 9, 6-12, 4 |

*, ** Significant at the 0,05 and 0,01 levels of probability, respectively. † Measured as 100 (Mean of selections - F_1)/ F_1 .

Table 3. Comparisons of the 15 diallel crosses with their six parental cycle-3 selections from 1968 data over locations.

| Trait | Mean of sel | Mean of diallel crosses | Heter- osls %† | Range of Indiv. sel. | Range of crosses |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Lint yleld, kg/ha | 795 | 871 | 9.6** | 769-833 | 771-957 |
| Lint, % | 37,4 | 37.9 | 1.3* | 36, 4-38, 3 | 36, 9-39, 0 |
| Weight per boll, g | 5, 57 | 5.77 | 3.6** | 5, 02-5, 91 | 5, 26-6, 01 |
| No. of bolls/plot | 292 | 304 | 4.1 | 274-315 | 255-357 |
| No. of seed/boll | 34.4 | 35.1 | 2.0** | 32, 5-35, 6 | 33.7-37.2 |
| Lint index | 6, 09 | 6, 26 | 2.8** | 5. 27-6. 71 | 5, 79-6, 62 |
| Seed index | 10. 2 | 10.3 | 1.0 | 8.0-11.5 | 9, 1-11, 1 |

*, ** Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.
† Measured as 100 (Crosses - Sel)/Sel.

previous work by Miller and Rawlings (1967) which also indicated the effectiveness of recurrent selection for high yield in this population.

Heterosis in the Diallel Crosses

The means and ranges of the 15 diallel crosses compared with their six parents in 1968 are presented in Table 3. Individual hybrids ranged in yield from 771 to 957 kg/ha compared to a range of 769 to 833 kg/ha for their parents. The hybrids averaged 9.6%higher in lint yield than the mean of their parents. This comparison was significant at the 0.01 probability level. Significant mean heterosis was also detected for lint percentage, weight per boll, number of seed per boll, and lint index. Thus, despite the fact that the cycle-3 selections averaged higher in yield than the original F₁ from which they were derived, hybrids among these selections showed even further heterosis. It should be noted, however, that for all traits the percentage of heterosis was less within the diallel crosses than observed in the original G-4 imesH-1 hybrid.

General and Specific Combining Ability

Estimates of variance components from the diallel cross are presented in Table 4. Estimates of σ_g^2 were significant for all traits except lint percentage. This latter trait, however, was the only one with a significant estimate of σ^2 _s. Variances of interaction of general effects by locations (σ^2_{gl}) were significant for lint

4350635, 1971, 3. Downloaded from https://acsess.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2135/coppsci1971.011183X001100000021x by North Carolina State Universit, Wiley Online Library on [19.07.2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://inelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Cereative Commons

Table 4. Estimates of variance components for general combining ability (σ_g^2) , specific combining ability, (σ_g^2) , general combining ability effects by locations (σ_{g1}^2) , and specific combining ability effects by locations (σ_{g1}^2) from 1968 data over locations.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|--|--|
| Trait | Variance components | | | | | |
| | g ² | σ² 8 | gl | σ² gl | | |
| Lint yield, kg/ha | 3,393* | -484 | -347 | 2,589* | | |
| Lint, % | 0,005 | 0.144* | 0.164** | 0,022 | | |
| Weight per boll, g | 0.051* | 0.006 | -0.006 | 0,012 | | |
| No. of bolls/plot | 920* | 54 | 61 | 166 | | |
| No. of seed/boll | 1. 25* | 0. 19 | -0.15 | 0. 25 | | |
| Lint index | 0.031** | 0.001 | 0.006* | 0,005 | | |
| Seed index | 0.571** | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0,008 | | |

*, ** Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively. † Since these experiments were conducted within a single year, σ_g^2 and σ_s^2 are in reality confounded estimates of $(\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{sy}^2)$ and $(\sigma_s^2 + \sigma_{sy}^2)$, respectively, while σ_g^2 and σ_{s1}^2 are estimates of $(\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{g1y}^2)$ and $(\sigma_{s1}^2 + \sigma_{s1y}^2)$, respectively.

percentages and lint index and of specific effects by locations ($\sigma^2_{\rm sl}$) for lint yield. For all traits except lint percentage, the variance component for general combining ability was substantially larger than the other three.

DISCUSSION

The degree of heterosis observed for yield and yield components in the cross between G-4 and H-1 was in general agreement with that reported by the investigators cited in the introduction. However, these are the first data of which we are aware that compare the performance of selected inbred cotton lines with the F_1 from which they were derived. The ability to produce inbreds with lint yield equal or superior to the original F_1 has important implications on cotton breeding methods.

Each of the six cycle-3 selections grown in this experiment traces to self-pollinated seed of an individual heterozygous plant. The lines were then maintained through more than six generations of bulk selfing. Each line was expected to be composed of a mixture of different, but relatively homozygous, genotypes. Therefore, the possibility existed that the high yield of these lines was due in part to overcompensatory competitive effects between genotypes within each line, as has been reported in soybeans by Brim and Shutz (1968). It is also possible that the heterogeneity per se resulted in greater yields over the range of environments than might be expected from homogeneous entries. On the other hand, Richmond and Lewis (1951) evaluated varietal mixtures of upland cotton for a three-year period and reported that the yields of the mixtures were not significantly different from the average yield of the component pure stocks. Unpublished data from the North Carolina Agricultural Experiment Station likewise do not show any yield advantage for mixtures of genotypes as compared to their component stocks. Thus, on the basis of the available information, it appears that the isolation of strains with lint yield equal or superior to the original F₁ is indicative of transgressive segregation in this material. Studies with tobacco (Smith, 1952) and tomatoes (Williams, 1959) likewise report the isolation of pure breeding lines superior in yield to both parents and the F_1 from which they were derived.

Additional heterosis for lint yield was observed in the diallel crosses among the cycle-3 selections; although in this case the heterosis was less than that often reported for upland cotton. Since the six strains were all derived from the same cross, they would probably be more similar genetically than the types of parental materials usually chosen for such studies. In the original F_1 , all loci by which the two parents differed were heterozygous so that maximum heterosis was observed. Any manipulation of this population, either by selection or random drift, will shift gene frequency from one half and thus reduce the number of heterozygous loci in the diallel population.

Estimates of σ_g^2 from the diallel cross analysis were relatively large and statistically significant for all traits except lint percentage. This latter trait, however, was the only one for which we obtained a significant estimate of σ_s^2 . The genetic expectations of the two variances (Matzinger, 1963) are:

$$\sigma_{g}^{2} = 1/2 \ \sigma_{A}^{2} + 1/4 \ \sigma_{AA}^{2} + 1/8 \ \sigma_{AAA}^{2} + \dots
\sigma_{s}^{2} = \sigma_{D}^{2} + 1/2 \ \sigma_{AA}^{2} + \sigma_{AD}^{2} + \sigma_{DD}^{2} + 3/4 \ \sigma_{AAA}^{2} + \sigma_{AAD}^{2} + \sigma_{ADD}^{2} + \dots$$

in which σ^2_A is the additive genetic variance, σ^2_D is the dominance variance, and the remaining terms are epistatic variances. Additive genetic effects thus appear to predominate in this material for all traits studied except lint percentage. However, the genetic determination of these traits in the diallel population is not completely clear since the superiority of the hybrids over their parents indicates at least some non-additive effects.

The fairly large amounts of heterosis observed in this material support the hybrid approach for cotton improvement. The present data suggest that F₁'s between the better lines available to a breeder at a certain point in time would be the superior yielding types. Currently, however, we do not have fully satisfactory male-sterility and fertility-restoration mechanisms available for the commercial production of hybrids. Furthermore, Koehl and Richmond (1962) have reported that the seed yield of male sterile plants as compared with fertile plants was reduced by 25 to 80% depending on the amount of natural crossing in the seed production area. Thus, the production of F₁ hybrids for planting seed in cotton does not appear to be economically feasible at this time. The high performance of these cycle-3 lines, however, suggests that significant improvement may be realized through the use of intrapopulation selection procedures. The predominance of additive genetic effects for yield and yield components in the present material lend further support to this approach. The greatest improvement would probably result from a combination of the two approaches. Intrapopulation selection might be used in the earlier stages to exploit the additive and a portion of the additive by additive epistatic effects, followed by a hybrid program to utilize the remaining nonadditive genetic effects.

The cycle-3 selections were also compared with 'Coker 201', a widely grown commercial variety. Three of the lines outyielded Coker 201, although the differences were not statistically significant. However, the selections were unacceptable commercially since their seed cotton tends to "string out" and fall to the ground shortly after boll opening. Selection in this material was only for lint yield and traits other than lint yield should obviously be included in any applied selection program. Selecting for those additional traits would tend to reduce the selection

pressure which could then be applied to yield and, as a result, would possibly necessitate a greater number of recurrent cycles to bring yield levels up to or beyond that of the F_1 .

LITERATURE CITED

- Al-Rawi, K. M., and R. J. Kohel. 1969. Diallel analyses of yield and other agronomic characters in Gossypium hirsutum L. Crop Sci. 9:779-783.
- Brim, C. A., and W. M. Schutz. 1968. Inter-genotypic competition in soybeans. II. Predicted and observed performance of multiline mixtures. Crop Sci. 8:735-739.
- Cochran, W. G., and G. M. Cox. 1957. Experimental designs. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York. 611 p.
- Kohel, R. J., and T. R. Richmond. 1962. An evaluation of seedyield potential of completely male-sterile cotton in areas of high and low natural cross-pollination. Agron. J. 54:525-528.
- Loden, H. D., and T. R. Richmond. 1951. Hybrid vigor in cotton-Cytogenetic aspects and practical applications. Econ. Bot. 5:378-408.
- Marani, A. 1968. Heterosis and F₂ performance in interspecific crosses among varieties of Gossypium hirsutum L. and of G. barbadense L. Crop Sci. 8:111-113.

- Matzinger, D. F. 1963. Experimental estimates of genetic parameters and their applications in self-fertilizing plants. p. 352-279. *In* W. D. Hanson and H. F. Robinson (ed.) Statistical genetics and plant breeding. Nat. Acad. Sci.-Nat. Res. Coun. Publ. 982.
- -----, and O. Kempthorne. 1956. The modified diallel table with partial inbreeding and interactions with environment. Genetics 41:822-833.
- Miller, P. A., and A. Marani. 1963. Heterosis and combining ability in diallel crosses of upland cotton, Gossypium hirsutum L. Crop Sci. 3:441-444.
- lint yield and correlated responses in upland cotton. Gossypium hirsutum L. Crop Sci. 7:637-640.
- Richmond, T. R., and C. F. Lewis. 1951. Evaluation of varietal mixtures of cotton. Agron. J. 43:66-70.
- Smith, H. H. 1952. Fixing transgressive vigor in Nicotiana rustica. p. 161-174. In J. W. Gowen (ed.) Heterosis Iowa State College Press, Ames.
- White, T. G., and T. R. Richmond. 1963. Heterosis and combining ability in top and diallel crosses among primitive, foreign, and cultivated American upland cottons. Crop Sci. 3:58-63.
- Williams, W. 1959. The isolation of 'pure lines' from F₁ hybrids of tomato, and the problem of heterosis in inbreeding crop species. J. Agr. Sci. 53:347-353.