

Frequency Shift Chirp Modulation: the LoRa™ Modulation

Lorenzo Vangelista, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) are emerging as a new paradigm, especially in the field of Internet of Things (IoT) connectivity. LoRa™ is one of the LPWAN and it is gaining quite a lot of commercial traction. The modulation underlying LoRa is patented and has never been described theoretically. The aim of this paper is to give the first rigorous mathematical signal processing description of the modulation and demodulation processes. We provide as well a theoretical derivation of the optimum receiver entailing a low-complexity demodulation process, resorting to the Fast Fourier Transform. We compare then the performance of the LoRa modulation and the FSK modulation both in an AWGN and a frequency selective channel, showing the superiority of the LoRa modulation in the frequency selective channel. The results of this paper will enable a further assessment of the LoRa based networks, much more rigorous than what has been done until now.

Index Terms—IoT, LPWAN, LoRa™, chirp modulation, FSCM

I. INTRODUCTION

LOW Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) are emerging as a new paradigm, especially in the field of Internet of Things connectivity [1], [2], [3].

LoRa is one of the LPWAN (see [3]) and it is gaining quite a lot of commercial traction. Strictly speaking, LoRa is the physical layer of the LoRaWAN system, whose specification is maintained by the LoRa Alliance¹. The LoRa modulation is patented and has never been described theoretically. The patent [4], indeed, does not provide the details, in term of equations and signal processing. Paper [5] gives a high level description of the LoRa modulation, providing some basic equations and relying on the intuition of the reader for the decoding process. Papers [6] and [7] get more in detail with the signal, modulation and demodulation description, but still lack a mathematical, signal theory based definition of the modulation and demodulation processes, partly because the analysis is limited to the analog domain. For example, in [7] it is said that “For a spreading factor S , $\log_2(S)$ bits define f_0 ”, i.e., the initial frequency shift, but there is no explanation of how this is done.

Actually the LoRa modulation is often referred to as a “chirp modulation” [8], [9], [10]. A close inspection of LoRa reveals that the information bearing element is the frequency shift at

the beginning of the symbol and the chirp is similar to a kind of a carrier. For this reason, in our opinion, LoRa is better described as a Frequency Shift Chirp Modulation (FSCM).

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section II we provide a description of the modulation process and identify the orthogonal signals basis characterising the modulation; in Section III we provide a description of the optimum demodulator and an efficient implementation of it making use of the Fast Fourier Transform; in Section IV we provide the results of some computer simulations experiments on the link level performances, comparing as well the FSCM modulation with an FSK modulation with the same cardinality. Finally in Section V we draw the conclusions of the paper.

II. FREQUENCY SHIFT CHIRP MODULATION

Let us suppose that the bandwidth of the channel we use for the transmission is B so we transmit a sample every $T = \frac{1}{B}$.

A symbol $s(nT_s)$ is sent at the input of the modulator every $T_s = 2^{SF} \cdot T$. The symbol $s(nT_s)$ is a real number formed using a vector $\mathbf{w}(nT_s)$ of SF binary digits, with SF an integer parameter called, in the context of LoRa, *Spreading Factor* (usually taking values in $\{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$) i.e.,

$$s(nT_s) = \sum_{h=0}^{SF-1} \mathbf{w}(nT_s)_h \cdot 2^h. \quad (1)$$

We can see that $s(nT_s)$ takes values in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{SF} - 1\}$.

The transmitted waveform, of duration T_s , for a certain $s(nT_s)$ is then

$$c(nT_s + kT) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{SF}}} e^{j2\pi[(s(nT_s)+k) \bmod 2^{SF}]kT \frac{B}{2^{SF}}} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{SF}}} e^{j2\pi[(s(nT_s)+k) \bmod 2^{SF}] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{for } k = 0 \dots 2^{SF} - 1.$$

We can see that the modulated signal is a chirp waveform, as the frequency increases linearly with k , which is the time index; we note that each waveform differs from a base waveform having initial frequency equal to 0 by a frequency shift $s(nT_s)$. That is why we call it Frequency Shift Chirp Modulation (FSCM).

We remark that all of the analysis of the FSCM modulation in this paper will remain in the discrete domain $\mathbb{Z}(T) = \{\dots, -3T, -2T, -T, 0, T, 2T, 3T, \dots\}$, i.e., the fundamental interval for the frequency analysis is $[0, B = \frac{1}{T}]$. As a matter of fact any signal in the discrete domain $\mathbb{Z}(T)$ has a frequency representation periodic with period $B = \frac{1}{T}$ [12]. Therefore,

Copyright (c) 2017 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to use this material for any other purposes must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a request to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

Lorenzo Vangelista is with the Department of Information Engineering of the University of Padova, via Gradenigo 6/B, 35131 Padova, Italy, email: lorenzo.vangelista@unipd.it

¹<https://www.lora-alliance.org/>

if one prefers to have the FCSM described in the frequency interval $[-B/2, B/2]$, e.g., to deal with the analytic signal, the signal base (3) just need to be multiplied by $e^{-j2\pi \frac{B}{2} kT} = -1^k$, with no consequences on the derivations and findings of the current paper.

A. On the orthogonality of the FCSM waveforms

Having established the possible waveforms of the FCSM, we investigate their orthogonality. To this end we need to check

$$\left\langle c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i}, c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \right\rangle = 0 \quad (4)$$

$i \neq q, i, q \in \{0, \dots, 2^{SF} - 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i}, c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \right\rangle = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i} \cdot c^*(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi[(i+k) \bmod 2^{SF}] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} e^{-j2\pi[(q+k) \bmod 2^{SF}] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi[(i+k) \bmod 2^{SF} - (q+k) \bmod 2^{SF}] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) can be further elaborated, supposing (without loss of generality) $i > q$, by splitting it in three parts, getting rid of the mod operator in each of them.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i}, c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \right\rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1-i} e^{j2\pi[(i+k)-(q+k)] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} + \\ & \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=2^{SF}-i}^{2^{SF}-1-q} e^{j2\pi[(i+k-2^{SF})-(q+k)] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} + \\ & \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=2^{SF}-q}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi[(i+k-2^{SF})-(q+k-2^{SF})] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1-i} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} + \\ & \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=2^{SF}-i}^{2^{SF}-1-q} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \cdot e^{-j2\pi[2^{SF}] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} + \\ & \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=2^{SF}-i}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now we consider first the case of $i - q$ a odd number. In this case we can write the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i}, c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \right\rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1-i} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} + e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k+2^{SF}-1}{2^{SF}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1-i} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} + e^{j2\pi[i-q] \left(\frac{k}{2^{SF}} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1-i} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} - e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

If $i - q$ is even then it can always be written as $i - q = 2^d \cdot r$, where d is an integer such that $0 \leq d < SF$ and r an odd integer. We tackle first the sub-case $r \neq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i}, c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \right\rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} = \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi[2^q \cdot r] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi r \frac{k}{2^{SF-q}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{h=0}^{2^q-1} \sum_{p=0}^{2^{SF-q}-1} e^{j2\pi r \frac{h \cdot 2^{SF-p} + p}{2^{SF-q}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{h=0}^{2^q-1} \sum_{p=0}^{2^{SF-q}-1} e^{j2\pi r \frac{p}{2^{SF-q}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{h=0}^{2^q-1} \sum_{p=0}^{2^{SF-q}-1} e^{j2\pi r \frac{p}{2^{SF-q}}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{p=0}^{2^{SF-q}-1} e^{j2\pi r \frac{p}{2^{SF-q}}} = 0$ being r odd.

We tackle now the last remaining case $r = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i}, c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \right\rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi[i-q] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} = \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi 2^q \cdot r \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} e^{j2\pi \frac{k}{2^{SF-q}}} = \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{h=0}^{2^q-1} \sum_{p=0}^{2^{SF-q}-1} e^{j2\pi \frac{h \cdot 2^{SF-p} + p}{2^{SF-q}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{SF}} \sum_{h=0}^{2^q-1} \sum_{p=0}^{2^{SF-q}-1} e^{j2\pi \frac{p}{2^{SF-q}}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As a conclusion for this section, we established the orthogonality of the basis $c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i}$, i.e., that

$$\left\langle c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=i}, c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \right\rangle = 0 \quad (9)$$

$i \neq q, i, q \in \{0 \dots 2^{SF} - 1\}$

III. OPTIMUM DETECTION OF FSCM SIGNALS IN AWGN CHANNELS

Since we have equal energy signals and we suppose perfect time and frequency synchronization as well as a source emitting equally probable symbols, the optimum receiver for FSCM signals in an AWGN channel can be derived easily as described in [11], Sect. 6.1.

The received signal is

$$r(nT_s + kT) \triangleq c(nT_s + kT) + w(nT_s + kT) \quad (10)$$

where $w(nT_s + kT)$ is a zero mean white gaussian noise, with $\sigma_w^2(nT_s + kT) \triangleq \sigma_w^2$ independent of $(nT_s + kT)$. The optimum demodulator consists of projecting $r(nT_s + kT)$ onto the different signals $c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q}$, $q = 0 \dots 2^{SF} - 1$ and choosing the signal $c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=l}$ such that the (square) modulus of the projection is maximum as the best estimate of the transmitted signal. This process is providing the best estimate $\hat{s}(nT_s) = l$ of the transmitted signal $s(nT_s)$.

A. A computationally efficient implementation

To calculate the projection we perform the usual computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle r(nT_s + kT), c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} \right\rangle &= \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} r(nT_s + kT) \cdot c^*(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=q} &= \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} r(nT_s + kT) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{SF}}} e^{-j2\pi[(q+k) \bmod 2^{SF}] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} &= \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} r(nT_s + kT) e^{-j2\pi \frac{k^2}{2^{SF}}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{SF}}} e^{-j2\pi[(q+k) \bmod 2^{SF}-k] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}}}_{\varphi(q,k)} & \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We now turn our attention to the function

$$\varphi(q, k) \triangleq e^{-j2\pi[(q+k) \bmod 2^{SF}-k] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}}, \quad q, k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{SF}-1 \quad (12)$$

We can see that for $q + k < 2^{SF}$, i.e., for $k < 2^{SF} - q$, we have

$$\varphi(q, k) = e^{-j2\pi[(q+k)-k] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} = e^{-j2\pi q \cdot k \frac{1}{2^{SF}}} \quad (13)$$

Instead for $q + k \geq 2^{SF}$, i.e., for $k \geq 2^{SF} - q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(q, k) &= e^{-j2\pi[(q+k-2^{SF})-k] \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \\ &= e^{-j2\pi q \cdot k \frac{1}{2^{SF}}} \cdot e^{j2\pi 2^{SF} \frac{k}{2^{SF}}} \\ &= e^{-j2\pi q \cdot k \frac{1}{2^{SF}}} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We can now rewrite the inner product in (11) as

$$\left\langle r(nT_s + kT), c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=p} \right\rangle = \quad (15)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{SF}-1} \underbrace{r(nT_s + kT) \cdot e^{-j2\pi \frac{k^2}{2^{SF}}}}_{d(nT_s+kT)} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{SF}}} e^{-j2\pi p k \frac{1}{2^{SF}}} \quad (16)$$

From Eq. (16) we can see that the process of projecting the signal $r(nT_s + kT)$ onto the signal basis element $c(nT_s + kT)|_{s(nT_s)=p}$ consists of two steps:

- 1) multiplying the signal $r(nT_s + kT)$ sample by sample by the signal $e^{-j2\pi \frac{k^2}{2^{SF}}}$ (the so called *down-chirp*), obtaining the signal $d(nT_s + kT) \triangleq r(nT_s + kT) \cdot e^{-j2\pi \frac{k^2}{2^{SF}}}$;
- 2) taking the Discrete Fourier Transform of the vector $\mathbf{d}(nT_s)$, whose component $\mathbf{d}(nT_s)_k$ is $[\mathbf{d}(nT_s)]_k \triangleq d(nT_s + kT)$ and selecting the output of index p .

IV. LINK LEVEL PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

In this Section we provide the results of some computer simulations experiments where we compare the FSCM modulation of cardinality 2^7 (i.e., with $SF = 7$) against an FSK modulation with the same cardinality. This means transmitting the waveform

$$c(nT_s + kT) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{SF}}} e^{j2\pi s(nT_s) kT \frac{B}{2^{SF}}} \quad (17)$$

instead of the one in Eq. (3) for the input symbol $s(nT_s)$.

We have considered a flat frequency additive white gaussian noise channel (AWGN) as well as a unit energy frequency selective AWGN multi-path channel with impulse response $h(nT) = \sqrt{0.8}\delta(nT) + \sqrt{0.2}\delta(nT - T)$. The results are depicted in Figure 1.

We can see that, while for the AWGN channel the performance of the FSCM and FSK modulations are the same, for a frequency selective channel FSCM outperforms FSK. The reason is that any FSCM is sweeping the all frequency range and kind of averaging the noise. Instead, the FSK signals falling the area of the channel where there is a larger attenuation generate an effect on the bit error rate which is more adverse than the beneficial effect of the FSK signals falling in the area of the channel where there is actually an amplification.

Uncoded BER comparison




Fig. 1. Link level performance of FSCM and FSK modulations in the AWGN (Flat) and frequency selective (Freq.Sel) channels

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have – to the author's knowledge – provided the first complete mathematically rigorous description of the

LoRa modulation (i.e., FCSM) and demodulation processes, based on the (discrete time) signal theory and detection. We derived the optimum demodulator and its efficient version. Finally we made a performance comparison of the LoRa Modulation and the FSK modulation in terms of uncoded bit error rate. We noted that, while the two modulations exhibit the same performance in an AWGN channel, in a frequency selective channel the LoRa (i.e., FCSM) modulation performs better.

The results presented in this paper will eventually enable a further assessment of the LoRa based networks much more rigorous than what has been done until now.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Wang; A. O. Fapojuwo, *A Survey of Enabling Technologies of Low Power and Long Range Machine-to-Machine Communications*, IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol.pre-publishing, no.99, pp.1-1, doi: 10.1109/COMST.2017.2721379
- [2] M. Centenaro, L. Vangelista; A. Zanella and M. Zorzi *Long-range communications in unlicensed bands: the rising stars in the IoT and smart city scenarios*, IEEE Wireless Communications, 2016, Volume: 23, Issue: 5, Pages: 60 - 67
- [3] J. P. Bardyn, T. Melly, O. Seller and N. Sornin, *IoT: The era of LPWAN is starting now*, ESSCIRC Conference 2016: 42nd European Solid-State Circuits Conference, Lausanne, 2016, pp. 25-30.
- [4] F. Sforza, *Communication system*, Patent US 8406275 B2, Publication date Mar 26, 2013
- [5] C. Goursaud, J. - M. Gorce, *Dedicated networks for IoT : PHY / MAC state of the art and challenges*, EAI Endorsed Transactions on Internet of Things, 2015
- [6] B. Reynders, W. Meert and S. Pollin, *Range and coexistence analysis of long range unlicensed communication*, 23rd International Conference on Telecommunications (ICT), Thessaloniki, 2016, pp. 1-6
- [7] B. Reynders and S. Pollin, *Chirp spread spectrum as a modulation technique for long range communication*, Symposium on Communications and Vehicular Technologies (SCVT), Mons, Belgium, 2016, pp. 1-5.
- [8] M. R. Winkler *Chirp signals for communications* WESCON Convention Record Paper 14.2 1962
- [9] C. E. Cook *Linear FM signal formats for beacon and communication systems*, IEEE Trans. on Aerospace and Ele. Sys., vol. 10, pp. 471-478. July 1974
- [10] C. Gupta; T. Mumtaz; M. Zaman; A. Papandreou-Suppappola *Wideband chirp modulation for FH-CDMA wireless systems: coherent and non-coherent receiver structures* IEEE International Conference on Communications, 2003, pp: 2455 - 2459 vol.4,
- [11] N. Benvenuto and G. Cherubini, *Algorithms for Communications Systems and Their Applications*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2002.
- [12] G. Cariolaro, *Unified Signal Theory*, Springer, 2011