Reinforcement learning Episode 5

Deep reinforcement learning

What we already know:

- Q-learning

$$L = E_{s \sim S, a \sim A}[(Q(s, a) - (r + \gamma \max_{a} Q(s', a)))^{2}]$$

- Approximation of q-values with respect to state $Q(s, a, \Theta)$, where Θ is the vector of weights
- Experience replay

This is not enough!

Autocorrelation

Target is based on prediction

$$r + \gamma \max_a Q(s', a, \Theta)$$

- Since we use function approximation, when we update $Q(s,a,\Theta)$ we also update $Q(s',a,\Theta)$ towards that direction
- In worst case network may diverge, but usually it becomes unstable.

How to stabilize weights?

Target network

Idea: use network with frozen weights to compute the target

$$L(\Theta) = E_{s \sim S, a \sim A}[(Q(s, a, \Theta) - (r + \gamma \max_{a} Q(s', a, \Theta^{-})))^{2}]$$

where Θ^- is the frozen weights

Hard target network:


Update Θ^- every **n** steps and set its weights as Θ

Soft target network:

Update Θ^- every step:

$$\Theta^{-} = (1 - \alpha)\Theta^{-} + \alpha\Theta$$

Playing Atari with Deep Reinforcement Learning (2013, Deepmind)




4 last frames as input

Update weights using:

$$L(\Theta) = E_{s \sim S, a \sim A}[(Q(s, a, \Theta) - (r + \gamma \max_{a} Q(s, a, \Theta^{-})))^{2}]$$

Update Θ^- every 5000 train steps

Experience replay



106 last transitions

We use "max" operator to compute the target


$$L(s, a) = (Q(s, a) - (r + \gamma \max_{a} Q(s', a)))^{2}$$

Surprisingly here is a problem


(although we want $E_{s \sim S, a \sim A}[L(s, a)]$ to be equal zero)

Normal distribution 3*10⁶ samples

mean: ~0.0004



Normal distribution
3*10⁶ x 3 samples
Then take maximum of every tuple
mean: ~0.8467





Normal distribution

3*10⁶ x 10 samples

Then take maximum of every tuple

mean: ~1.538





Suppose true Q(s',a) is equal ${\bf 0}$ for all ${\bf Q}$. But we have an approximation (or other kind) error $\sim N(0,\sigma^2)$. So Q(s,a) should be equal ${\bf r}$

But if we update Q(s,a) towards $r+\gamma \max_a Q(s',a)$

we will have overestimated Q(s, a) > T because

$$E[\max_a Q(s', a)] >= \max_a E[Q(s', a)]$$

Double Q-learning (NIPS 2010)

Idea: use two estimators of q-values: Q^1, Q^2

They should compensate mistakes of each other because they will be independent Let's get argmax from another estimator!

$$y = r + \gamma \max_a Q(s', a)$$

- Q-learning target

$$y = r + \gamma Q_1(s', \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s', a))$$

- Rewrited Q-learning target

$$y = r + \gamma Q_1(s', \operatorname{argmax}_a Q_2(s', a))$$

- Double Q-learning target

Double Q-learning (NIPS 2010)

Algorithm 1 Double Q-learning


```
1: Initialize Q^A, Q^B, s
 2: repeat
       Choose a, based on Q^A(s,\cdot) and Q^B(s,\cdot), observe r, s'
 3:
       Choose (e.g. random) either UPDATE(A) or UPDATE(B)
 4:
 5:
       if UPDATE(A) then
         Define a^* = \arg \max_a Q^A(s', a)
 6:
         Q^A(s,a) \leftarrow Q^A(s,a) + \alpha(s,a) \left(r + \gamma Q^B(s',a^*) - Q^A(s,a)\right)
 8:
       else if UPDATE(B) then
         Define b^* = \arg \max_a Q^B(s', a)
 9:
         Q^B(s,a) \leftarrow Q^B(s,a) + \alpha(s,a)(r + \gamma Q^A(s',b^*) - Q^B(s,a))
10:
       end if
11:
     s \leftarrow s'
12:
13: until end
```

Deep Reinforcement Learning with Double Q-learning (Deepmind, 2015)

Idea: use main network to choose action!

$$y_{dqn} = r + \gamma \max_{a} Q(s', a, \Theta^{-})$$

$$y_{ddqn} = r + \gamma Q(s', \operatorname{argmax}_{a} Q(s', a, \Theta), \Theta^{-})$$



	DQN	Double DQN	Double DQN (tuned)
Median	47.5%	88.4%	116.7%
Mean	122.0%	273.1%	475.2%

Idea: sample transitions from xp-replay more clever

We want to set probability for every transition. Let's use the absolute value of TD-error of transition as a probability!

$$\begin{split} &\text{TD-error } \delta = Q(s,a) - (r + \gamma Q(s', \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s',a,\Theta), \Theta^-)) \\ &p = |\delta| \\ &P(i) = \frac{p_i^\alpha}{\sum_k p_k^\alpha} \text{ where } \alpha \text{ is the priority parameter (when } \alpha \text{ is 0 it's the uniform case)} \end{split}$$

Do you see the problem?

Idea: sample transitions from xp-replay more clever

We want to set probability for every transition. Let's use the absolute value of TD-error of transition as a probability!

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{TD-error } \delta = Q(s,a) - (r + \gamma Q(s', \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s',a,\Theta), \Theta^-)) \\ &p = |\delta| \\ &P(i) = \frac{p_i^\alpha}{\sum_k p_k^\alpha} \text{ where } \alpha \text{ is the priority parameter (when } \alpha \text{ is 0 it's the uniform case)} \end{aligned}$$

Do you see the problem?

Transitions become non i.i.d. and therefore we introduce the bias,

Solution: we can correct the bias by using importance-sampling weights

$$w_i = \left(\frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{P(i)}\right)^{\beta}$$
 where β is the parameter

We also normalize weights by $1/\max_i w_i$ (here is not mathematical reason)

When we put transition into experience replay, we set maximal priority $p_t = \max_{i < t} p_i$

Algorithm 1 Double DQN with proportional prioritization


```
1: Input: minibatch k, step-size \eta, replay period K and size N, exponents \alpha and \beta, budget T.
 2: Initialize replay memory \mathcal{H} = \emptyset, \Delta = 0, p_1 = 1
 3: Observe S_0 and choose A_0 \sim \pi_{\theta}(S_0)
 4: for t = 1 to T do
        Observe S_t, R_t, \gamma_t
        Store transition (S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, R_t, \gamma_t, S_t) in \mathcal{H} with maximal priority p_t = \max_{i < t} p_i
        if t \equiv 0 \mod K then
 8:
           for j = 1 to k do
               Sample transition j \sim P(j) = p_i^{\alpha} / \sum_i p_i^{\alpha}
 9:
               Compute importance-sampling weight w_i = (N \cdot P(j))^{-\beta} / \max_i w_i
10:
               Compute TD-error \delta_j = R_j + \gamma_j Q_{\text{target}}(S_j, \arg \max_a Q(S_j, a)) - Q(S_{j-1}, A_{j-1})
11:
               Update transition priority p_i \leftarrow |\delta_i|
12:
               Accumulate weight-change \Delta \leftarrow \Delta + w_i \cdot \delta_i \cdot \nabla_{\theta} Q(S_{i-1}, A_{i-1})
13:
           end for
14:
           Update weights \theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta \cdot \Delta, reset \Delta = 0
15:
           From time to time copy weights into target network \theta_{\text{target}} \leftarrow \theta
16:
17:
        end if
        Choose action A_t \sim \pi_{\theta}(S_t)
18:
19: end for
```

Algorithm 1 Double DQN with proportional prioritization

```
1: Input: minibatch k, step-size \eta, replay period K and size N, exponents \alpha and \beta, budget T.
 2: Initialize replay memory \mathcal{H} = \emptyset, \Delta = 0, p_1 = 1
 3: Observe S_0 and choose A_0 \sim \pi_{\theta}(S_0)
 4: for t = 1 to T do
       Observe S_t, R_t, \gamma_t
       Store transition (S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, R_t, \gamma_t, S_t) in \mathcal{H} with maximal priority p_t = \max_{i < t} p_i
       if t \equiv 0 \mod K then
           for j = 1 to k do
 8:
               Sample transition j \sim P(j) = p_i^{\alpha} / \sum_i p_i^{\alpha}
 9:
              Compute importance-sampling weight w_i = (N \cdot P(j))^{-\beta} / \max_i w_i
10:
               Compute TD-error \delta_i = R_i + \gamma_i Q_{\text{target}}(S_i, \arg\max_a Q(S_i, a)) - Q(S_{i-1}, A_{i-1})
11:
               Update transition priority p_i \leftarrow |\delta_i|
12:
               Accumulate weight-change \Delta \leftarrow \Delta + w_i \cdot \delta_i \cdot \nabla_{\theta} Q(S_{i-1}, A_{i-1})
13:
           end for
14:
           Update weights \theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta \cdot \Delta, reset \Delta = 0
15:
           From time to time copy weights into target network \theta_{\text{target}} \leftarrow \theta
16:
17:
        end if
        Choose action A_t \sim \pi_{\theta}(S_t)
18:
19: end for
```

It is the bonus homework!

Bootstrapped DQN (2016, Deepmind & Stanford)



Idea: make exploration great again more clever.

Usually we use e-greedy strategy to pick action.

Now we maintain K separate heads (for example, FC-layers) and shared body (for example, convolutional) weights.

Every episode we pick a head randomly and train both this head and shared network on transitions from that episode.

It allow us to make **deep** exploration.

Often to explore agent should make several non-greedy actions in a row, e-greedy strategy doesn't allow to do it.

Let's watch a video...


https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UXurvvDY93o

Idea: change the network's architecture.

Recall:

Advantage Function A(s,a) = Q(s,a) - V(s)


So,
$$Q(s,a) = A(s,a) + V(s)$$




Do you see the problem?

Here is one extra freedom degree!

Example:





Solution: require $\max_{a' \in |\mathcal{A}|} A(s, a'; \theta, \alpha)$ to be equal to zero!

So the **Q-function** computes as:

$$Q(s, a; \theta, \alpha, \beta) = V(s; \theta, \beta) + \left(A(s, a; \theta, \alpha) - \max_{a' \in |\mathcal{A}|} A(s, a'; \theta, \alpha) \right)$$

Authors of this papers also introduced this way to compute Q-values:

$$Q(s, a; \theta, \alpha, \beta) = V(s; \theta, \beta) + \left(A(s, a; \theta, \alpha) - \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a'} A(s, a'; \theta, \alpha)\right)$$

They wrote that this variant increases stability of the optimization (The fact that this loses the original semantics of Q doesn't matter)

Solution: require $\max_{a' \in |\mathcal{A}|} A(s, a'; \theta, \alpha)$ to be equal to zero!

So the **Q-function** computes as:

$$\frac{Q(s,a;\theta,\alpha,\beta) = V(s;\theta,\beta) +}{\left(A(s,a;\theta,\alpha) - \max_{a' \in |\mathcal{A}|} A(s,a';\theta,\alpha)\right)}$$
 It's the homework!

Authors of this papers also introduced this way to compute Q-values:

$$Q(s, a; \theta, \alpha, \beta) = V(s; \theta, \beta) + \left(A(s, a; \theta, \alpha) - \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a'} A(s, a'; \theta, \alpha)\right)$$

They wrote that this variant increases stability of the optimization (The fact that this loses the original semantics of Q doesn't matter)

LEARNING TO PLAY IN A DAY: FASTER DEEP REINFORCEMENT LEARNING BY OPTIMALITY TIGHTENING(2016)

Bellman equation:

$$Q(s, a) = r + \gamma \max_a Q(s', a)$$

We can estimate it this way:

$$Q(s,a) = E[r_t + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a')] > = E[r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \dots + \gamma^k \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+k}, a')]$$

Also we can rewrite this estimation this way (multiply all parts by γ^k):

$$Q(s_t, a) \le \max_a Q(s_t, a) \le E[\gamma^{-k}Q(s_{t-k}, a_{t-k}) - \gamma^{-k}r_{t-k} - \gamma^{-(k-1)}r_{t-k+1} - \dots - \gamma r_{t-1}]$$

$$U^{min} = \gamma^{-k}Q(s_{t-k}, a_{t-k}) - \gamma^{-k}r_{t-k} - \gamma^{-(k-1)}r_{t-k+1} - \dots - \gamma r_{t-1}$$

$$L^{max} = r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \dots + \gamma^k \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+k}, a')$$

LEARNING TO PLAY IN A DAY: FASTER DEEP REINFORCEMENT LEARNING BY OPTIMALITY TIGHTENING(2016)


And now we can modify our loss function using these estimations:

$$y = r + \gamma Q(s', \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s', a, \Theta), \Theta^-)$$

$$L(\Theta) = E[(Q(s, a, \Theta) - y)^2 + \lambda (L^{max} - Q(s, a, \Theta))_+^2 + \lambda (Q(s, a, \Theta) - U_{min})_+^2]$$

Ours (10M)	less than 1 day (1 GPU)	345.70%	105.74%
DQN (200M)	more than 10 days (1 GPU)	241.06%	93.52%

Asynchronous Methods for Deep Reinforcement Learning (2016, Deepmind)



Questions?