Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Temporal Logic

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation

Intuition

CTI Semantics of

Some examples of what we can say

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

October 21, 2015

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Temporal Log

and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can sav

- 1 In previous chapters...
 - Temporal Logic
- 2 Motivation and Intuition
 - Motivation
 - Intuition
- 3 How to communicate
 - Syntax of CTL
 - Semantics of CTL
- 4 Some examples of what we can say

Previously on Temporal Logic Week... Temporal Logic

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous

Temporal Logic

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation

Intuition
How to
communica

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can

Motivation

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Temporal Logic

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can ■ Needing of uncertainty;

Motivation

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Temporal Logic

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can

- Needing of uncertainty;
- Different paths of the future;

Intuition

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

Temporal Logic

and Intuition

Intuition

Semantics of

what we can

In Computation Tree Logic (CTL) the model of time is a tree-like structure. This way, we cannot use Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) to express the existence of a certain path of time in which some event occurs.

Syntax Definition

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

and Intuition

Syntax of CTL

what we can

The syntax of CTL consists on the syntax of temporal logic plus some path operators. The class of formulas can be defined in Backus-Naur form. If ϕ is a formula:

Syntax Definition

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

and Intuition

Syntax of CTL

what we can

The syntax of CTL consists on the syntax of temporal logic plus some path operators. The class of formulas can be defined in Backus-Naur form. If ϕ is a formula:

$$\begin{split} \phi ::= \bot \mid \top \mid \rho \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \land \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \phi \to \phi \mid \mathsf{AX}\phi \mid \mathsf{EX}\phi \mid \\ \mathsf{AF}\phi \mid \mathsf{EF}\phi \mid \mathsf{AG}\phi \mid \mathsf{EG}\phi \mid \mathsf{A}[\phi \mathsf{U}\phi] \mid \mathsf{E}[\phi \mathsf{U}\phi] \end{split}$$

Syntax Definition

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to

Syntax of CTL Semantics of

Some examples of what we can say

The syntax of CTL consists on the syntax of temporal logic plus some path operators. The class of formulas can be defined in Backus-Naur form. If ϕ is a formula:

$$\begin{split} \phi ::= \bot \mid \top \mid p \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \land \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \phi \to \phi \mid \mathsf{AX}\phi \mid \mathsf{EX}\phi \mid \\ \mathsf{AF}\phi \mid \mathsf{EF}\phi \mid \mathsf{AG}\phi \mid \mathsf{EG}\phi \mid \mathsf{A}[\phi \mathsf{U}\phi] \mid \mathsf{E}[\phi \mathsf{U}\phi] \end{split}$$

With p as a literal (atomic formula), AX, EX, AF, EF, AG e EG unary operators.

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previou chapters..

Temporal Le

Motivation

and Intuition
Motivation

Intuition

communicate

Syntax of CTL Semantics of

Some examples of what we can say The propositional operators: \neg, \lor, \land, \to have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

Computation Tree Logic Luis Tertulino

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Temporal Logic

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say The propositional operators: $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$ have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if φ is a formula) as follows:

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation

Intuition

How to communicate

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say

The propositional operators: \neg , \lor , \land , \rightarrow have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if φ is a formula) as follows:

■ A φ : φ is true in all possible paths;

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

and Intuition Motivation

Intuition

How to communicate

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say

The propositional operators: \neg , \lor , \land , \rightarrow have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if φ is a formula) as follows:

- A φ : φ is true in all possible paths;
- $\mathbf{E}\varphi$: φ exists a path in which ϕ is true;

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

and Intuition

How to

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say The propositional operators: $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$ have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if φ is a formula) as follows:

- $A\varphi$: φ is true in all possible paths;
- lacksquare E arphi: arphi exists a path in which ϕ is true;

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

and Intuitio Motivation

How to

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say The propositional operators: $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$ have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if φ is a formula) as follows:

- A φ : φ is true in all possible paths;
- lacksquare E arphi: arphi exists a path in which ϕ is true;

The path-specific operators can be read, considering φ and ψ formulas, as:

■ $\mathbf{X}\varphi : \varphi$ is true until next state;

Syntax

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Motivation

and Intuition

How to

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say The propositional operators: $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$ have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if φ is a formula) as follows:

- A φ : φ is true in all possible paths;
- lacksquare E arphi: arphi exists a path in which ϕ is true;

- $\mathbf{X}\varphi : \varphi$ is true until next state;
- \blacksquare F φ : There is some state in the future where φ is true;

Syntax

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation

and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL

Semantics of CTL
Some

Some examples of what we can say

The propositional operators: $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$ have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if φ is a formula) as follows:

- A φ : φ is true in all possible paths;
- E φ : φ exists a path in which ϕ is true;

- $X\varphi : \varphi$ is true until next state;
- $F\varphi$: There is some state in the future where φ is true;
- $G\varphi$: Globally (in all future states) φ is true;

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Motivation

and Intuition

Intuition How to

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can sav The propositional operators: $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$ have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if φ is a formula) as follows:

- A φ : φ is true in all possible paths;
- lacksquare E arphi: arphi exists a path in which ϕ is true;

- $\mathbf{X}\varphi : \varphi$ is true until next state;
- $F\varphi$: There is some state in the future where φ is true;
- \blacksquare $G\varphi$: Globally (in all future states) φ is true;
- $\blacksquare \varphi U \psi$: φ is true at least until ψ becomes true;

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

chapters... Temporal Lo

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation

How to

Syntax of CTL

Some examples of what we can

■ Notice that, in CTL, the combination of path specific operators and temporal operators are atomic, i.e., AF is a operator that can be read as "In all paths in the future there is some state where..."

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

and Intuition

Syntax of CTL

what we can

- Notice that, in CTL, the combination of path specific operators and temporal operators are atomic, i.e., AF is a operator that can be read as "In all paths in the future there is some state where..."
- Notice as well that the binary operators $A[\varphi U \psi]$ and $E[\varphi U\psi]$ can be represented as AU

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Chapters...
Temporal Lo

Motivation and Intuition Motivation

Intuition How to

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can sav

- Notice that, in CTL, the combination of path specific operators and temporal operators are atomic, i.e., AF is a operator that can be read as "In all paths in the future there is some state where..."
- Notice as well that the binary operators $\mathbb{A}[\varphi \mathbb{U}\psi]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\varphi \mathbb{U}\psi]$ can be represented as $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{U}$
- We assume that, similarly to the ¬ operator, the "new" unary operators (AX, EX, AF, EF, AG, and EG) have the first precedence. Next comes the ∧ and ∨ operators. And at last the →, AU and EU

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Chapters...
Temporal Lo

Motivation and Intuition Motivation

Intuition How to

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can sav

- Notice that, in CTL, the combination of path specific operators and temporal operators are atomic, i.e., AF is a operator that can be read as "In all paths in the future there is some state where..."
- Notice as well that the binary operators $\mathbb{A}[\varphi \mathbb{U}\psi]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\varphi \mathbb{U}\psi]$ can be represented as $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{U}$
- We assume that, similarly to the ¬ operator, the "new" unary operators (AX, EX, AF, EF, AG, and EG) have the first precedence. Next comes the ∧ and ∨ operators. And at last the →, AU and EU

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

Temporal Logic

and Intuition

Motivation

Syntax of CTL Semantics of

Some what we can

- Examples of well-formed formulas:
 - $AG(p \lor EFq)$

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Temporal Logic

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation

Intuition

How to communicate

Syntax of CTL Semantics of

Some examples of what we can say

- $AG(p \lor EFq)$
- $\blacksquare \ \mathtt{AX}(q \to \mathtt{E}[(p \lor q)\mathtt{U}r])$

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation

Intuition

communicate

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can sav

- $AG(p \lor EFq)$
- lacksquare AX $(q o E[(p \lor q)Ur])$
- EFEGp o AFr Note that this is binded as (EFEGp) o AFr, not as EFEG(p o AFr)

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

and Intuition

Motivation

How to

communicate Syntax of CTL

Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say

- $AG(p \lor EFq)$
- lacksquare AX $(q o E[(p \lor q)Ur])$
- EFEGp o AFr Note that this is binded as (EFEGp) o AFr, not as EFEG(p o AFr)
- Example of formulas that are not well-formed:
 - A \neg G \neg p

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

and Intuition

How to

Communicate Syntax of CTL

Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say

- Examples of well-formed formulas:
 - $AG(p \lor EFq)$
 - lacksquare AX $(q o E[(p \lor q)Ur])$
 - EFEGp o AFr Note that this is binded as (EFEGp) o AFr, not as EFEG(p o AFr)
- Example of formulas that are not well-formed:
 - A \neg G \neg p
 - F[pUs]

Computation Tree Logic

& Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

and Intuition

Motivation

How to

communicate Syntax of CTL

Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can say

- $AG(p \lor EFq)$
- lacksquare AX $(q
 ightarrow ext{E}[(p ee q) ext{U}r])$
- EFEGp o AFr Note that this is binded as (EFEGp) o AFr, not as EFEG(p o AFr)
- Example of formulas that are not well-formed:
 - A \neg G \neg p
 - \blacksquare F[pUs]
 - $A[pUs \land qUs]$

Computation Tree Logic Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo

Silveira

Different from usual logics, CTL formulas are interpreted by a transition system. Given an set of atoms:

In previous

chapters...

Motivation

and Intuition Motivation

Motivation Intuition

communicate
Syntax of CTL
Semantics of
CTI

Some examples of what we can say

Computation Tree Logic Luis Tertulino

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Lo

Motivation and Intuition Motivation

Motivation Intuition

Communicate
Syntax of CTL
Semantics of
CTL

Some examples of what we can say Different from usual logics, CTL formulas are interpreted by a transition system. Given an set of atoms:

Definition (1)

A **transition system** \mathcal{M} is a triple $\mathcal{M} = (S, \rightarrow, L)$ in which S is a set of states, \rightarrow is a binary relation over S ($\rightarrow \subseteq S \times S$) and $L: S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Atoms)$ is a labelling function.

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTI Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can say Different from usual logics, CTL formulas are interpreted by a transition system. Given an set of atoms:

Definition (1)

A transition system \mathcal{M} is a triple $\mathcal{M} = (S, \rightarrow, L)$ in which S is a set of states, \rightarrow is a binary relation over S ($\rightarrow \subseteq S \times S$) and $L: S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Atoms)$ is a labelling function.

Definition (2)

A **model** is a duple \mathcal{M}, s in which \mathcal{M} is a transition system and s is a state.

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation
Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTI Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can Different from usual logics, CTL formulas are interpreted by a transition system. Given an set of atoms:

Definition (1)

A transition system \mathcal{M} is a triple $\mathcal{M}=(S,\to,L)$ in which S is a set of states, \to is a binary relation over S ($\to\subseteq S\times S$) and $L:S\to \mathcal{P}(Atoms)$ is a labelling function.

Definition (2)

A **model** is a duple \mathcal{M}, s in which \mathcal{M} is a transition system and s is a state.

Notation: we will use $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi$ to say that the model \mathcal{M}, s satisfies the formula φ

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation

Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can

Take an arbitrary model \mathcal{M} . Let s, s_1, s_2, s_3 be states in S. Let $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ be well-formed formulas of CTL. And let p be an atom. The satisfaction of CTL formulas can be defined as follows:

 $lackbox{\blacksquare} \mathcal{M}, s \vDash \top \text{ and } \mathcal{M}, s \not\vDash \bot \text{ for all } s \in S$

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation

How to communicat Syntax of CT Semantics of

Some examples of what we can Take an arbitrary model \mathcal{M} . Let s, s_1, s_2, s_3 be states in S. Let $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ be well-formed formulas of CTL. And let p be an atom. The satisfaction of CTL formulas can be defined as follows:

- lacksquare $\mathcal{M}, s Dash op$ and $\mathcal{M}, s
 ot ecta$ for all $s \in S$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \models p$ iff $p \in L(S)$

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can say

Take an arbitrary model \mathcal{M} . Let s, s_1, s_2, s_3 be states in S. Let $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ be well-formed formulas of CTL. And let p be an atom. The satisfaction of CTL formulas can be defined as follows:

- lacksquare $\mathcal{M}, s Dash op$ and $\mathcal{M}, s
 ot ecta$ for all $s \in S$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \models p$ iff $p \in L(S)$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \neg \varphi$ iff \mathcal{M} , $s \not\vDash \varphi$

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can say

Take an arbitrary model \mathcal{M} . Let s, s_1, s_2, s_3 be states in S. Let $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ be well-formed formulas of CTL. And let p be an atom. The satisfaction of CTL formulas can be defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \top$ and $\mathcal{M}, s \not\vDash \bot$ for all $s \in S$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \models p$ iff $p \in L(S)$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \models \neg \varphi$ iff \mathcal{M} , $s \not\models \varphi$
- $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2$ iff $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi_1$ AND $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi_2$

Semantics Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can

- $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \top$ and $\mathcal{M}, s \not\vDash \bot$ for all $s \in S$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \models p$ iff $p \in L(S)$
- $\blacksquare \mathcal{M}, s \vDash \neg \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{M}, s \nvDash \varphi$
- $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2$ iff $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi_1$ AND $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi_2$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_1 \lor \varphi_2$ iff \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_1$ OR \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_2$

Semantics Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can say

- lacksquare $\mathcal{M}, s Dash op$ and $\mathcal{M}, s
 ot ecta$ for all $s \in S$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \models p$ iff $p \in L(S)$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \neg \varphi$ iff \mathcal{M} , $s \not\vDash \varphi$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2$ iff \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_1$ AND \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_2$
- \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_1 \lor \varphi_2$ iff \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_1$ OR \mathcal{M} , $s \vDash \varphi_2$
- $\blacksquare \mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2 \text{ iff } \mathcal{M}, s \not\vDash \varphi_1 \text{ OR } \mathcal{M}, s \vDash \varphi_2$

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

chapters...
Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can say

Take an arbitrary model \mathcal{M} . Let s, s_1, s_2, s_3 be states in S. Let $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ be well-formed formulas of CTL. And let p be an atom. The satisfaction of CTL formulas can be defined as follows:

■ $\mathcal{M}, s \models \mathtt{AX}\varphi$ iff for all s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \models \varphi$. Thus, AX says: "in every next state..."

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition Motivation

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can say

- $\mathcal{M}, s \models \mathsf{AX}\varphi$ iff for all s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \models \varphi$. Thus, AX says: "in every next state..."
- $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \mathsf{EX}\varphi$ iff exists s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \vDash \varphi$. Thus, EX says: "in some next state..."

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can

- $\mathcal{M}, s \models \mathsf{AX}\varphi$ iff for all s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \models \varphi$. Thus, AX says: "in every next state..."
- $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \mathtt{EX}\varphi$ iff exists s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $M, s_1 \vDash \varphi$. Thus, EX says: "in some next state..."
- $M, s, \models AG\varphi$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, for all s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, AG says: "In all possible paths from now on in all next states..."

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Log

and Intuition

Motivation

Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can sav

- $\mathcal{M}, s \models \mathtt{AX}\varphi$ iff for all s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \models \varphi$. Thus, AX says: "in every next state..."
- $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \mathsf{EX}\varphi$ iff exists s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $M, s_1 \vDash \varphi$. Thus, EX says: "in some next state…"
- $M, s, \models AG\varphi$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, for all s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, AG says: "In all possible paths from now on in all next states..."
- $M, s, \models AG\varphi$ iff exists some path $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, for all s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$ Thus, EG says: "Exists a path from now on in all next states..."

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Log

and Intuition

Motivation

Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTL

Some examples of what we can sav

- $\mathcal{M}, s \models \mathtt{AX}\varphi$ iff for all s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $\mathcal{M}, s_1 \models \varphi$. Thus, AX says: "in every next state..."
- $\mathcal{M}, s \vDash \mathsf{EX}\varphi$ iff exists s_1 that $s \to s_1$ and $M, s_1 \vDash \varphi$. Thus, EX says: "in some next state…"
- $M, s, \models AG\varphi$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, for all s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, AG says: "In all possible paths from now on in all next states..."
- $M, s, \models AG\varphi$ iff exists some path $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, for all s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$ Thus, EG says: "Exists a path from now on in all next states..."

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTI Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can

Take an arbitrary model \mathcal{M} . Let s, s_1, s_2, s_3 be states in S. Let $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ be well-formed formulas of CTL. And let p be an atom. The satisfaction of CTL formulas can be defined as follows:

■ $M, s, \models AF\varphi$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, exists s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, AF says: "In all possible paths from now on, in some next state..."

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can

- $M, s, \models AF\varphi$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, exists s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, AF says: "In all possible paths from now on, in some next state..."
- $M, s, \models \text{EF}\varphi$ iff exists some path $s_1 \to s_2 \to s_3 \to ...$ in which $s = s_1$, that exists s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, EF says: "In some path from now on, in some next state..."

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can say

- $M, s, \models AF\varphi$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, exists s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, AF says: "In all possible paths from now on, in some next state..."
- $M, s, \models \text{EF}\varphi$ iff exists some path $s_1 \to s_2 \to s_3 \to ...$ in which $s = s_1$, that exists s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, EF says: "In some path from now on, in some next state..."
- $M, s, \models A[\varphi_1 U \varphi_2]$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, this path satisfies $\varphi_1 U \varphi_2$, i.e., exists s_i in the path such that $M, s_i \models \varphi_2$ and, for all j < i, $M, s_j \models \varphi_1$. Thus, AU says: "For all paths from now on, until some state..."

Satisfaction

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...
Temporal Log

Motivation and Intuition

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of CTI

Some examples of what we can say

- $M, s, \models AF\varphi$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, exists s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, AF says: "In all possible paths from now on, in some next state..."
- $M, s, \models \text{EF}\varphi$ iff exists some path $s_1 \to s_2 \to s_3 \to ...$ in which $s = s_1$, that exists s_i , $M, s_i \models \varphi$. Thus, EF says: "In some path from now on, in some next state..."
- $M, s, \models A[\varphi_1 U \varphi_2]$ iff for all paths $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow ...$ in which $s = s_1$, this path satisfies $\varphi_1 U \varphi_2$, i.e., exists s_i in the path such that $M, s_i \models \varphi_2$ and, for all j < i, $M, s_j \models \varphi_1$. Thus, AU says: "For all paths from now on, until some state..."

Examples

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

Temporal Logic

and Intuition

Semantics of

Some examples of what we can say

"It's possible to get to a state where something has started but it's not ready": $EF(started \land \neg ready)$

Examples

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

and Intuition

Semantics of

Some examples of what we can say

- "It's possible to get to a state where something has started but it's not ready": $EF(started \land \neg ready)$
- "A certain process is enabled infinitely often on every computation path": AG(AFenabled)

Examples

Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

In previous chapters...

Motivation

and Intuition Motivation

Motivation Intuition

How to communicate Syntax of CTL Semantics of

Some examples of what we can say

- "It's possible to get to a state where something has started but it's not ready": EF(started ∧ ¬ready)
- "A certain process is enabled infinitely often on every computation path": AG(AFenabled)
- "An upwards travelling lift at the second floor does not change its direction when it has passengers wishing to go to the fifth floor":

 $\texttt{AG}(\textit{floor2} \land \textit{directionUp} \land \textit{button5} \rightarrow \texttt{A}[\textit{directionUp} \texttt{Ufloor5}])$