#### Computation Tree Logic

Luis Tertulino & Ronaldo Silveira

October 19, 2015

Motivation and Intuition Motivation Intuition

Motivation and Intuition Motivation Intuition

Previously on Temporal Logic Week...

Temporal Logic

# Motivation and Intuition Motivation

#### Motivation

Needing of uncertainty;

#### Motivation

Needing of uncertainty; Different paths of the future;

# Motivation and Intuition Motivation

Intuition

#### Intuition

In Computation Tree Logic (CTL) the model of time is a tree-like structure. This way, we cannot use Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) to express the existence of a certain path of time in which some event occurs.

Motivation and Intuition Motivation Intuition

Syntax Definition

The syntax of CTL consists on the syntax of temporal logic plus some path operators. The class of formulas can be defined in Backus-Naur form. If  $\phi$  is a formula:

### Syntax Definition

The syntax of CTL consists on the syntax of temporal logic plus some path operators. The class of formulas can be defined in Backus-Naur form. If  $\phi$  is a formula:

$$\phi ::= \bot \mid \top \mid \rho \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \land \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \mid \mathsf{AX}\phi \mid \mathsf{EX}\phi \mid$$
 
$$\mathsf{AF}\phi \mid \mathsf{EF}\phi \mid \mathsf{AG}\phi \mid \mathsf{EG}\phi \mid \mathsf{A}[\phi\mathcal{U}\phi] \mid \mathsf{E}[\phi\mathcal{U}\phi]$$

### Syntax Definition

The syntax of CTL consists on the syntax of temporal logic plus some path operators. The class of formulas can be defined in Backus-Naur form. If  $\phi$  is a formula:

$$\begin{split} \phi ::= \bot \mid \top \mid \rho \mid \neg \phi \mid \phi \land \phi \mid \phi \lor \phi \mid \phi \to \phi \mid \mathsf{AX}\phi \mid \mathsf{EX}\phi \mid \\ \mathsf{AF}\phi \mid \mathsf{EF}\phi \mid \mathsf{AG}\phi \mid \mathsf{EG}\phi \mid \mathsf{A}[\phi \mathcal{U}\phi] \mid \mathsf{E}[\phi \mathcal{U}\phi] \end{split}$$

With p as a literal (atomic formula), AX, EX, AF, EF, AG e EG unary operators.

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \to$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

## Syntax

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if  $\varphi$  is a formula) as follows:

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if  $\varphi$  is a formula) as follows:

 $A\phi$ :  $\varphi$  is true in all possible paths;

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if  $\varphi$  is a formula) as follows:

 $A\phi$ :  $\varphi$  is true in all possible paths;

 $\mathsf{E}\phi$ :  $\varphi$  exists a path in which  $\phi$  is true;

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if  $\varphi$  is a formula) as follows:

 $A\phi$ :  $\varphi$  is true in all possible paths;

 $\mathrm{E}\phi$ :  $\varphi$  exists a path in which  $\phi$  is true;

The path-specific operators can be read, considering  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  formulas, as:

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if  $\varphi$  is a formula) as follows:

 $A\phi$ :  $\varphi$  is true in all possible paths;

 $\mathbf{E}\phi$ :  $\varphi$  exists a path in which  $\phi$  is true;

The path-specific operators can be read, considering  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  formulas, as:

 $X\phi: \varphi$  is true until next state;

### Syntax

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if  $\varphi$  is a formula) as follows:

 $A\phi$ :  $\varphi$  is true in all possible paths;

 $\mathbf{E}\phi$ :  $\varphi$  exists a path in which  $\phi$  is true;

The path-specific operators can be read, considering  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  formulas, as:

 $X\phi: \varphi$  is true until next state;

 ${
m F} \varphi$  : There is some state in the future where  $\varphi$  is true;

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if  $\varphi$  is a formula) as follows:

 $A\phi$ :  $\varphi$  is true in all possible paths;

 $\mathsf{E}\phi$ :  $\varphi$  exists a path in which  $\phi$  is true;

The path-specific operators can be read, considering  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  formulas, as:

 $X\phi: \varphi$  is true until next state;

 ${
m F} \varphi$  : There is some state in the future where  $\varphi$  is true;

 $G\varphi$ : Globally (in all future states)  $\varphi$  is true;

The propositional operators:  $\neg, \lor, \land, \rightarrow$  have the same meaning of in the propositional logic.

The temporal operators can be read (if arphi is a formula) as follows:

 $A\phi$ :  $\varphi$  is true in all possible paths;

 $\mathbf{E}\phi$ :  $\varphi$  exists a path in which  $\phi$  is true;

The path-specific operators can be read, considering  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  formulas, as:

 $X\phi: \varphi$  is true until next state;

 ${
m F} \varphi$  : There is some state in the future where  $\varphi$  is true;

 $G\varphi$ : Globally (in all future states)  $\varphi$  is true;

 $\varphi \mathcal{U} \psi$ :  $\varphi$  is true at least until  $\psi$  becomes true;

Notice that, in CTL, the combination of path specific operators
and temporal operators are atomic, i.e., AFis one operator that can

be read as "In all paths in the future there is some state where..."

Motivation and Intuition Motivation Intuition

# Semanthics