

CS234: Reinforcement Learning – Problem Session #1

Spring 2023-2024

Problem 1

Suppose we have an infinite-horizon, discounted MDP $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, \gamma \rangle$ with a finite state-action space, $|\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}| < \infty$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. For any two arbitrary sets \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , we denote the class of all functions mapping from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} as $\{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}\} \triangleq \{f \mid f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}\}$. In the questions that follow, let $Q, Q' \in \{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ be any two arbitrary action-value functions and consider any fixed state $s \in \mathcal{S}$. Without loss of generality, you may assume that $Q(s, a) \geq Q'(s, a)$, $\forall (s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$.

Solution: The first three parts of this question are proven simultaneously and in more generality via Theorem 8 of [Littman and Szepesvári \[1996\]](#).

1. Prove that $|\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a')| \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|$.

Solution: We can start by simply ignoring the absolute value signs on the left-hand side. Let $a^* = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a') &= Q(s, a^*) - \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a') \\ &\leq Q(s, a^*) - Q'(s, a^*) \\ &\leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)) \\ &\leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, take absolute values on both sides of the inequality (the left-hand side is already non-negative) to get

$$|\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a')| \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|.$$

2. Prove that $|\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \min_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a')| \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|$.

Solution: We can start by simply ignoring the absolute value signs on the left-hand side. Let $a^* = \arg \min_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a')$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \min_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a') &= \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a^*) \\ &\leq Q(s, a^*) - Q'(s, a^*) \\ &\leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)) \\ &\leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, take absolute values on both sides of the inequality (the left-hand side is already non-negative) to get

$$|\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \min_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a')| \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|.$$

3. Prove that $\left| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a') \right| \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|$.

Solution: We can start by simply ignoring the absolute value signs on the left-hand side.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a') &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a') - Q'(s, a')| \\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a') - Q'(s, a')| \\
&= \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, take absolute values on both sides of the inequality (the left-hand side is already non-negative) to get

$$\left| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} Q'(s, a') \right| \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|.$$

4. Prove that, for any parameter $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$,¹

$$\left| \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q(s, a)) \right) - \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q'(s, a')) \right) \right| \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|.$$

Hint: define and introduce $\Delta(a) = Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Solution: This is the so-called **mellowmax operator** introduced by [Asadi and Littman \[2017\]](#) which, unlike the Boltzmann softmax operator (see Lemma C.3 of [Littman \[1996\]](#)), obeys the stated property.

Let $\Delta(a) = Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q(s, a)) \right) - \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q'(s, a')) \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\frac{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q(s, a))}{\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q'(s, a'))} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\frac{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot (Q'(s, a) + \Delta(a)))}{\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q'(s, a'))} \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\frac{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \exp \left(\omega \cdot \left(Q'(s, a) + \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \Delta(a') \right) \right)}{\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q'(s, a'))} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\exp \left(\omega \cdot \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \Delta(a') \right) \frac{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q'(s, a))}{\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\omega \cdot Q'(s, a'))} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\omega} \log \left(\exp \left(\omega \cdot \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \Delta(a') \right) \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \Delta(a) \right| \\
&\leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |Q(s, a) - Q'(s, a)|.
\end{aligned}$$

¹For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\exp(x) = e^x$ and all logarithms are base e .

The remainder of this question focuses on Algorithm 1, which takes as input an operator

$$\bigotimes : \{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow \{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$$

that adheres to the following property²:

$$\|\bigotimes Q - \bigotimes Q'\|_\infty \leq \|Q - Q'\|_\infty, \quad \forall Q, Q' \in \{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (1)$$

Solution: Equation 1 is known as the *non-expansion* property and all operators \bigotimes which obey this property are known as *non-expansion operators*. Technically, the following convergence results also rely on \bigotimes obeying the following conservative property, which all the above operators also satisfy but we didn't have you prove:

$$\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) \leq \bigotimes Q(s) \leq \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a).$$

Algorithm 1: Solution: Generalized Value Iteration (GVI) [Littman and Szepesvári, 1996]

Data: Finite MDP \mathcal{M} , Operator \bigotimes satisfying Equation 1

Initialize $V_0(s) = 0, \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$

▷ Initial value function estimate

Initialize $k = 1$

▷ Iteration counter

while *not converged* **do**

for *each state* $s \in \mathcal{S}$ **do**

$$V_k(s) = \bigotimes_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{R}(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(s' | s, a) V_{k-1}(s') \right).$$

end

$k = k + 1$

end

Return V_k

5. For any value function $V \in \{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$, define the operator $\mathcal{B} : \{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow \{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}V(s) = \bigotimes_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{R}(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(s' | s, a) V(s') \right),$$

where \bigotimes satisfies Equation 1. Prove that \mathcal{B} is a γ -contraction with respect to the L_∞ -norm.

Solution: Take any two value functions $V_1, V_2 \in \{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}V_1 - \mathcal{B}V_2\|_\infty &= \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} |\mathcal{B}V_1(s) - \mathcal{B}V_2(s)| \\ &= \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \bigotimes_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{R}(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(s' | s, a) V_1(s') \right) - \bigotimes_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{R}(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(s' | s, a) V_2(s') \right) \right| \\ &\leq \max_{s, a \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}} \left| \mathcal{R}(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(s' | s, a) V_1(s') - \mathcal{R}(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(s' | s, a) V_2(s') \right| \\ &= \max_{s, a \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}} \left| \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}(s' | s, a) [V_1(s') - V_2(s')] \right| \\ &\leq \max_{s, a \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}} \gamma \left| \max_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} [V_1(s') - V_2(s')] \right| \\ &\leq \gamma \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} |V_1(s) - V_2(s)| = \gamma \|V_1 - V_2\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

²As always, $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the L_∞ -norm.

Therefore, we have shown that the generalized Bellman operator is a γ -contraction with respect to the L_∞ -norm.

6. Let $\bigotimes_{\lambda, \frac{1}{2}} : \{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow \{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ be two operators satisfying Equation 1. Prove that, for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$\bigotimes_{\lambda} = \lambda \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 - \lambda) \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}}$$

also satisfies Equation 1.

Solution: Take any $Q, Q' \in \{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bigotimes_{\lambda} Q - \bigotimes_{\lambda} Q'\|_\infty &= \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \bigotimes_{\lambda} Q(s) - \bigotimes_{\lambda} Q'(s) \right| \\ &= \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \lambda \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q(s) + (1 - \lambda) \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q(s) - \lambda \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q'(s) - (1 - \lambda) \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q'(s) \right| \\ &= \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \lambda \left(\bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q(s) - \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q'(s) \right) + (1 - \lambda) \left(\bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q(s) - \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q'(s) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\lambda \left| \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q(s) - \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q'(s) \right| + (1 - \lambda) \left| \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q(s) - \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q'(s) \right| \right] \\ &\leq \lambda \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q(s) - \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q'(s) \right| + (1 - \lambda) \max_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \left| \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q(s) - \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q'(s) \right| \\ &= \lambda \|\bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q - \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q'\|_\infty + (1 - \lambda) \|\bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q - \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q'\|_\infty \\ &\leq \lambda \|Q - Q'\|_\infty + (1 - \lambda) \|Q - Q'\|_\infty = \|Q - Q'\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

7. For any $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, define your own operator $\bigotimes_{\varepsilon} : \{\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow \{\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ and prove that running Algorithm 1 with your \bigotimes_{ε} returns the value function associated with the ε -greedy optimal policy (where the optimal policy maximizes the expected sum of future discounted rewards).

Solution: Define the non-expansion operators

$$\bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q(s) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a) \quad \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q(s, a).$$

A policy acting uniformly at random achieves the average Q -value over all actions at each state. Thus, $\bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the non-expansion operator associated with this uniform random policy whereas $\bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}}$ corresponds to the usual definition of optimal policy that maximizes the Q -value at each state. Therefore, the ε -greedy optimal policy is formed by taking the convex combination:

$$\bigotimes_{\varepsilon} Q = \varepsilon \bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}} Q + (1 - \varepsilon) \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}} Q.$$

By parts (1) and (3) above, we know that $\bigotimes_{\frac{1}{2}}, \bigotimes_{\frac{2}{2}}$ are both non-expansion operators. Thus, by the previous part (6), we immediately have that \bigotimes_{ε} is also a non-expansion operator implying that it is compatible with GVI. By part (5), we have that any non-expansion operator is a γ -contraction on value functions with respect to the L_∞ -norm. Therefore, by the Banach Fixed-Point Theorem, we are guaranteed the existence of and the convergence of GVI to a unique fixed point.

References

- Kavosh Asadi and Michael L. Littman. An alternative softmax operator for reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning-Volume 70*, pages 243–252, 2017.
- Michael L. Littman. *Algorithms for Sequential Decision-Making*. PhD thesis, Brown University, 1996.
- Michael L. Littman and Csaba Szepesvári. A generalized reinforcement-learning model: convergence and applications. In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 310–318, 1996.