

# VENSES

# A Linguistically-Based

# System for Semantic

# Evaluation

Rodolfo Delmonte

Department of Language Sciences  
Università Ca' Foscari - Venezia (Italy)  
website <http://project.cgm.unive.it>



Southampton ~ April, 12th

# OUTLINE

- ⌚ System Architecture
- ⌚ Quantitative Evaluation Module
- ⌚ Linguistic Evaluation Module
- ⌚ Results
- ⌚ Conclusions



# COMPLETE SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

- ⦿ GETARUNS : Text Understanding and Answer Generation
- ⦿ LOW LEVEL MODULE : Tokenizer, Tagger, Parser, Interpretation for Grammatical Relations and Semantic Roles Assignment, Quantifier Raising, Pronominal Binding
- ⦿ HIGH LEVEL MODULE : Discourse Model, Centering-like Algorithm, Temporal Interpretation, Logical Form, Discourse Structure



# Discourse Model in Situation Semantics

- ⦿ Collection of Facts
- ⦿ Entities, Sits and Infons
- ⦿ Semantic Types for Entities:  
Individuals, Sets, Classes



# Situation Semantics Representations

- ⦿ Infon (Sit)
- ⦿ Index
- ⦿ Relation (Property)
- ⦿ List of Arguments with Semantic Roles
- ⦿ Polarity - 0 negative; 1 positive
- ⦿ Pair Indices for SpatioTemporal Locations



# From Discourse Models to AHDSs

- Clinton's new book is not big seller here.  
Clinton's book is a big seller.

```
entity(ind,id1,0,facts([
fact(infon18, inst_of, [ind:id1, class:place], 1, univ, univ),
fact(infon19, isa, [ind:id1, class:here], 1, univ, id1),
fact(infon41, isa, [arg:id1, arg:here], 1, tes(id_78f_1), id1),
fact(infon42, in, [arg:id5, locative:id1], 1, tes(id_78f_1), id1),
fact(infon5, main_sloc, here, 1, univ, _)])).
```

```
entity(class,id2,7,facts([
fact(infon7, 'Clinton-s_', [ind:id2], 1, univ, id1),
fact(infon8, seller, [nil:id2], 0, univ, id1),
fact(infon10, inst_of, [ind:id2, class:thing], 1, univ, univ),
fact(infon11, isa, [ind:id2, class:book], 1, univ, id1),
fact(infon34, new, [arg:id2], 1, univ, id1),
fact(infon53, isa, [arg:id2, arg:book], 1, id7, id1),
fact(infon54, 'Clinton-s_', [arg:id2], 1, id7, id1),
fact(infon55, seller, [nil:id2], 1, id7, id1)])).
```

```
be(adj-locat, here).
seller(ncmod, big).
new(ncmod, book).
book(ncmod-specif, 'Clinton-s_').
be(xcomp-prop, seller).
be(subj-theme_bound, book).
be(neg, not).
```

```
seller(ncmod, big).
book(ncmod-specif, 'Clinton-s_').
be(xcomp-prop, seller).
be(subj-theme_bound, book).
```

# Semantic Evaluator

- Input : DAGs turned into Augmented Head-Dependent Structures
- Implicit Relations
- Negation, Modals, Progressive Mood
- Semantic Roles
- Antecedents for Pronominal Expressions



# Semantic Evaluator

- From Integrity Constraint Violations to
- Semantic Equivalence
- Scoring Functions and Thresholds
- Bad Penalties for Propositional Level Interpretation



# Quantitative Evaluation

- ⦿ Heads & Dependents
- ⦿ Grammatical Relations & Semantic Roles
- ⦿ Propositional Level Attributes
- ⦿ Weighted Score
- ⦿ Thresholds



# Quantitative Evaluation

- ⦿ Heads & Dependents
- ⦿ Same Linguistic Description
- ⦿ Similar Linguistic Description
  - ⦿ Single Word
  - ⦿ Multiword



# Quantitative Evaluation

- ⦿ Close Synonyms (WN Synsets)
- ⦿ Belong to the same Semantic Field
- ⦿ Morphologically Derivable
- ⦿ Very Short Edit Distance
- ⦿ Share Congruent Number of Inherent Features



# Quantitative Evaluation

- ⦿ Grammatical Relations & Semantic Roles
- ⦿ Same GRs & SRs
- ⦿ Compatible GRs & SRs
- ⦿ Coupled to Equivalent AHDS



# Quantitative Evaluation

- ⦿ Propositional Level Attributes
  - ⦿ Negation
  - ⦿ Modality
  - ⦿ Opacity
  - ⦿ Yes/NO Penalty



# *Linguistic Evaluation*

- ⦿ *Same Concept  
Reformulation, Paraphrase*
- ⦿ *WN Definition*
- ⦿ *Predicate-Argument Manipulation*
- ⦿ *Predicate-Adjunct Manipulation*



# *Linguistic Evaluation*

- ⦿ *Same Best Predicates*
- ⦿ *Core Arguments vs. Adjuncts*
- ⦿ *Non Conflicting Semantic Roles*
- ⦿ *Non Conflicting Grammatical Relations*
- ⦿ *Consistent Propositional Attributes*



# System Evaluation

	CWS	Accuracy	Precision	RECALL	F-Measure
Develop	64.59	60.32	62.50	51.24	56.31
Test	62.06	59.75	61.75	51.25	56.01



# System Evaluation 2

cws	CD	QA	PP	IE	IR	RC	MT
Dev	74.16	63.6	62.5	61.2	67.9	55.3	63.6
Test	70.52	49.6	84.71	61.5	72.1	57.3	50.9

# *Conclusions & Future Work*

- ⦿ Intrinsic Limitations in Deep Parse Approach
  - ⦿ 10% Error parsing + tagging
  - ⦿ 10% Error lack semantic resources



# *Conclusions & Future Work*

- ④ Improve on semantic resources
- ④ Apply the SE to the Complete System
- ④ Attempt the Integrity Violation Approach



THANKS FOR YOUR  
ATTENTION