

The Contribution of Domain-independent Robust Pronominal Anaphora Resolution to Open-Domain Question-Answering

Rebecca Watson, Judita Preiss, Ted Briscoe
Computer Laboratory
University of Cambridge

- OD QA and Anaphora
- Baseline QA System
- Performance on TREC 8 Data
- TREC 8 QA Data Analysis
- Robust Anaphora Resolution
- Contribution to QA
- Conclusions / Further Work

QA and Anaphora

QA Task Definition

TREC: document-based OD QA

TREC 8 QA dataset (top 1000)

TREC 8 Gold standard and eval (MRR)

BUT return full sentence! (approx. 250byte task)

Contribution of Anaphora

What country is the biggest producer of tungsten?: China

The 15 countries attending the three-day annual market review, which ended yesterday, account for about 90 per cent of world trade in tungsten products. **They** include China, **the biggest producer**, which represents over 60 per cent of world trade...

They = The 15 countries

China = a country

The biggest producer = producer of tungsten (products)

How much of a help how often?

Baseline QA System

‘Glue’ = **robust Minimal Recursion Semantics** (rMRS):

Elementary Predications:

tungsten(x1), product(x2), ARGN u1 x1...

Variable sorts: objects, **x**, events, **e**, underspecified, **u**

Variable equality statements: **x1=x2**

LKB + LingERG grammar – parse questions into rMRSs

RASP System – parse top documents into PSTs/GRs/rMRSs

Matching

Match question rMRSs to document sentence rMRSs:

Named entity recognition / classification

Morphological analysis

Expansion of predicates (WordNet)

etc

Weighted sum of (in)directly matched elements of rMRSs

RASP System Outputs

```
(|T/txt-sc1/---|
(|T/leta_s|
(|S/s_co_np1|
(|S/np_vp| |They_PPHS2|
(|V/np| |include_VV0|
(|NP/n1_name/-|
(|N1/n| |China_NP1|))))
|,_,|
(|NP/det_n| |the_AT|
(|N1/ap_n1/-|
(|AP/a1| (|A1/a| |biggest_JJT|))
(|N1/n| |producer_NN1|))))
(|Tacl/comma-e| |,_,|
(|S/whnp_vp| |which_DDQ|
(|V/np| |represent+s_VVZ|
(|NP/ap2_np| (|A1/a| |over_RP|)
(|NP/plu3|
(|N1/num2_nms|
(|NP/num| (|N1/n| 60_MC))
(|N1/nms_nms| |per_NNU|
(|N1/n_of| |cent_NNU|
(|PP/p1|
(|P1/p_n1| |of_IO|
(|N1/n1_nm| |world_NN1|
(|N1/n| |trade_NN1|
)))))))))))))
```

GRs:

```
(ncsubj represent+s_VVZ which_DDQ _)  
(dobj represent+s_VVZ cent_NNU _)  
(ncsubj include_VV0 They_PPHS2 _)  
(dobj include_VV0 China_NP1 _)  
(ncmod _ producer_NN1 biggest_JJT)  
(detmod _ producer_NN1 the_AT)  
(ncmod _ include_VV0 producer_NN1)  
(ncmod _ trade_NN1 world_NN1)  
(ncmod of_IO cent_NNU trade_NN1)  
(ncmod _ cent_NNU per_NNU)  
(ncmod _ cent_NNU 60_MC)  
(mod _ cent_NNU over_RP)  
(cmmod _ include_VV0 represent+s_VVZ)
```

rMRS:

```
they_rel u2, include_rel u4  
ARG1 u4 u2, ARG2 u4 u7  
china_rel x6, the_rel x12  
biggest_rel x12, producer_rel x12  
which_rel x27, represent_rel e29  
over_rel e29, 60_rel u33  
per_rel x35, cent_rel x37  
of_rel e39, ARG2 e39 x41  
world_rel x41, trade_rel x50
```

MRR on TREC 8/9 data

TREC 8 (163 questions):

rMRS	0.472
+Morph	0.476
+WordNet+NE	0.484
rMRS+Context	0.619

TREC 9 (10 questions):

rMRS	0.150
+Morph	0.178
+WordNet+NE	0.270
+Context	0.470

‘rMRS’ = weighted matching

‘+Morph’ = deriv. morph analysis and matching

‘+WordNet+NE’ = predicate expansion + NE class mismatch filtering

rMRS+Context = weighted matching returning 5 sentence window

(5 sentences because 98.7% of anaphors have antecedents in previous 2 sentences in this dataset.)

Context matters much more than Morph, NER or WordNet expansion

TREC 8 QA Data Analysis

intraP	0.11
interP	0.04
interD	0.13
contx+	0.14
contx-	0.10

‘intraP’ = intrasentential pronominal anaphora

‘interP’ = intersentential pronominal anaphora

‘interD’ = definite description anaphora (not appos, etc)

‘contx+’ = context inference required (*tungsten*)

‘contx-’ = spurious matches

48% of questions can be answered from the matching sentence

Anaphora resolution is relevant to contextual inference
in two thirds of the genuine contextual cases

Robust OD Anaphora Resolution

Lappin & Leass' algorithm, GR-based

Coreference Filters: e.g. Argument Domain Filter

Kim seems to want to see him

(ncsubj see_VV0 Kim_NP1 _)

(dobj see_VV0 he_PPH01 _)

(arg - X N -)

(arg - X P -)

where $\text{arg} \in \{\text{ncsubj}, \text{dobj}, \text{iobj}, \text{obj2}\}$

X is a variable over predicates

N and P are nominal and pronominal dependents of X

Saliency Factors:

There is a Porsche. It is green.

Factor	Weight
Sentence recency	100
Subject emphasis	80
Existential emphasis	70
Accusative emphasis	50
Indirect object/oblique	40
Head noun emphasis	80
Non-adverbial emphasis	50
Parallelism	35
Cataphora	175

Accuracy of LL Reimplementation

	BC	BU	CH	C1	C2
1	60	63	63	63	61
2	51	53	54	55	54
3	70	70	69	67	69
4	67	65	70	64	67
5	55	53	50	52	52
μ	61	61	62	61	61

‘BC’ = Rasp system parser + GR output

‘BU’ = Memory-based GR classifier

‘CH’ = Maxent-inspired PTB parser

‘C1’ = Collins Model1 PTB parser

‘C2’ = Collins Model2 PTB parser

Results for 5 annotated portions of BNC (2.4k pronouns)

(No def. descrip. anaphora as is difficult in the (unsupervised) OD context)

No signif. diffs. so RASP-GR+LL = OD pronoun resolution
(as RASP is virtually unlexicalized)

Contribution to QA

RASP-GR+LL resolves 73.2% of pronouns correctly in ‘intraP’ and ‘interP’ TREC 8 5 sentence contexts

36% of errors involve misidentification of the head in the antecedent rather than the antecedent itself (e.g. **El** in **El Nino**)

Baseline	0.491
+antecedent	0.510
+direct-subst	0.499
+partial-rMRS	0.483
+full-rMRS	0.459
+context	0.619

‘Baseline’ / ‘+context’ = lower / upper bounds

‘+antecedent’ = manual substit. of antecedent for pronoun

‘+direct-subst’ = auto. addit. of elem. preds. for antec. head

‘+partial-rMRS’ = +elem. preds. linked to antec. head

‘+full-rMRS’ = entire rMRS for sent(s) containing antecedents

In the ‘+antecedent’ condition, 71% of submissions improved but altered MRR for only 10% cases (as intrasent. anaphora was within same submitted sentence).

BUT this would be relevant for 50byte task!

Conclusions / Further Work

- Anaphora resolution is very relevant to OD QA on the TREC 8 dataset
- Probably generalize: questions not based on text content, but scientific texts have more def. descrip. anaphora than newspaper texts
- RASP-GR+LL works well for pronouns in (unsupervised) OD context, but need to extend to def. descripts. and room for improvement: weighted coref. constraints, weight optimization
- Integration of antecedent-related rMRSs from context sentences with matching sentence needs more work as does the rMRS output from the RASP system

Papers, software etc:

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/Research/NL/>