Statistical Inference - Tooth Growth

Ron Ferens

September 26, 2015

Overview

The **ToothGrowth** dataset describes the effect of Vitamin C on Tooth Growth in Guinea Pigs. The response is the length of odontoblasts (teeth) in each of 10 guinea pigs at each of three dose levels of Vitamin C (0.5, 1, and 2 mg) with each of two delivery methods (orange juice or ascorbic acid).

Exploratory Data Analysis

Loading the Data

As first step, we will load the **ToothGrowth** database and make sure the data is properly formatted. We will also examine the column headers to make sure that they are properly formated for R data frames:


```
data(ToothGrowth)
str(ToothGrowth)
```

As shown above, the **ToothGrowth** database conssist of 60 observations of three variables:


- 1. len: Tooth length in millimeters (numeric variable)
- 2. supp: Supplement type (factor variable with levels VC and OJ)
- 3. dose: Dose in milligrams (numeric variable)

Examining the Data

Once the data have been loaded properly, we can start performing an exploratory data analysis. Since the **ToothGrowth** dataset describes the effect of Vitamin C on Tooth Growth in Guinea Pigs, as first step, we would to plot the tooth length across different groups of supplement types, dose levels.



Based on the graph above, is seems that mainly the dosage affect tooth length. The higher the supplement, the longer the tooth length. When we try to examine the effect of the supplement type it is not clear whether the differences are statistically significant. In order to get a better understanding, we will evaluate the effect of the supplement type for each one of the of the three dose levels of Vitamin C (0.5, 1, and 2 mg).



It appears that for lower dosage levels: 0.5 and 1 mg, supplement type does affects tooth length. In those cases, orange joice (OJ) have larger effect than ascorbic acid (VC). However, at higher dosages no significant differences can be found for the two supplement type.

VC

OJ

VC

OJ

Confidence Intervals Testing

VC

OJ

To validate our initial conclusions from the exploratory data analysis we have performed, we will run several confedence interval tests. Since our dataset is conssit of two parameters ('supp' and 'dose') run the following confidence interval testing:

- 1. Dosage Level Alone
- 2. Supplement Type Alone
- 3. Supplement Type in respect to Dosage Level

Confidence Interval Testing for Dosage Level

In this section we will run cofidence interval test for all three dosage levels. We will try to examine whether indeed higher dosage result in longer tooth length.

```
Tooth.dose_05_to_10 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose %in% c(0.5, 1.0))
Tooth.dose_05_to_20 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose %in% c(0.5, 2.0))
Tooth.dose_10_to_20 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose %in% c(1.0, 2.0))

t.test(len ~ dose, paired = FALSE, var.equal = FALSE, data = Tooth.dose_05_to_10)
```

```
##
##
  Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: len by dose
## t = -6.4766, df = 37.986, p-value = 1.268e-07
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -11.983781 -6.276219
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 0.5
                       mean in group 1
##
              10.605
                                19.735
t.test(len ~ dose, paired = FALSE, var.equal = FALSE, data = Tooth.dose_05_to_20)
##
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: len by dose
## t = -11.799, df = 36.883, p-value = 4.398e-14
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -18.15617 -12.83383
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 0.5
                       mean in group 2
              10.605
##
                                26.100
t.test(len ~ dose, paired = FALSE, var.equal = FALSE, data = Tooth.dose_10_to_20)
##
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: len by dose
## t = -4.9005, df = 37.101, p-value = 1.906e-05
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -8.996481 -3.733519
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 1 mean in group 2
##
            19.735
                            26.100
```

Based on the result of the three confedence interval tests, we can conclude that increase in the dosage level does affect the tooth length. This conclusion is based on the fact that the confidence interval is below zero, for all three tests. This suggest that increacing the dose does increase tooth length.

Confidence Interval Testing for Supplement Type

In this section we will run cofidence interval test for the two different supplement types - orange juice or ascorbic acid.

```
t.test(len ~ supp, paired = FALSE, var.equal = FALSE, data = ToothGrowth)
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: len by supp
## t = 1.9153, df = 55.309, p-value = 0.06063
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.1710156 7.5710156
## sample estimates:
## mean in group UC
## 20.66333 16.96333
```

The test result for *Supplement Type* indicates that the confidence interval **does** include zero. This means we **can not** determine that a different type of supplement does indeed increase tooth length.

Confidence Interval Testing for Supplement Type in respect to Dosage Level

In this third and final test, we will investige, whether a different supllement type have an affect of the pigs tooth length in each one of the dosage levels.

```
Tooth.dose_05 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose == 0.5)</pre>
Tooth.dose_10 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose == 1.0)
Tooth.dose_20 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose == 2.0)</pre>
t.test(len ~ supp, paired = FALSE, var.equal = FALSE, data = Tooth.dose_05)
##
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
## data: len by supp
## t = 3.1697, df = 14.969, p-value = 0.006359
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 1.719057 8.780943
## sample estimates:
## mean in group OJ mean in group VC
##
              13.23
                                7.98
t.test(len ~ supp, paired = FALSE, var.equal = FALSE, data = Tooth.dose_10)
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
##
##
## data: len by supp
## t = 4.0328, df = 15.358, p-value = 0.001038
\#\# alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 2.802148 9.057852
## sample estimates:
## mean in group OJ mean in group VC
##
              22.70
                               16.77
```

```
t.test(len ~ supp, paired = FALSE, var.equal = FALSE, data = Tooth.dose_20)
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: len by supp
## t = -0.046136, df = 14.04, p-value = 0.9639
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -3.79807 3.63807
## sample estimates:
## mean in group OJ mean in group VC
## 26.06 26.14
```

We can see that the latest confidence interval testing confirm our initial conclusions we got in the exploratory data analysis phase. For the two lower dosage levels (0.5 and 1.0 mg) we got confidence intervals that are above zero. However, for dosage level of 2.0 mg, the confidence interval does include zero. This means, that different suplement type have an effect on the pigs' tooth length only when lower dosage levels are used.

Conclusions

- 1. Dosage levels of Vitamin C have more significant effect on pigs' tooth length in respect to the suplement type. The higher the dosgae level the longer the tooth grows.
- 2. Suplement type have a notable effect to the tooth length only when smaller dosage level are used (0.5 and 1.0 mg).
- 3. When dosage level of 2.0 mg, the suplement type have no effect of the tooth length.

Assumptions

- The distribution of the given dataset are approximately normal
- For the CLT to apply, we assume that the given samples are i.i.d
- The variances between the separate tested populations are different (we used var.equal = FALSE for all the t tests)