

# Algebra 2R

## Problem list 9

Weronika Jakimowicz

### Exercise 1.

(a) Prove that  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}_m, +_m) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_d, +_d)$  (tensor product of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules), where  $d = \text{GCD}(m, n)$

(b) More generally, let  $I, J \triangleright R$ . Prove that  $R/I \otimes_R R/J \cong R/(I + J)$

(a) Let  $L = (\mathbb{Z}_n, +_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}_m, +_m)$ . Take any  $a \otimes b \in L$ , then

$$a \otimes b = ab \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes ab$$

so  $a \otimes b \neq 0$  means that  $ab$  is not divisible by  $n$  nor by  $m$ . So it also must not be divisible by  $d$ . And we have that  $L$  is created by adding  $1 \otimes 1$  (like in a cyclic group) and so to get 0 we have to add an amount divisible by  $n$  and  $m$  - so at most  $\text{gcd}(n, m)$  times. This means that  $d \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes d$  is actually a zero element (something like  $d = \text{ord}(L)$  but I am not sure if this is a group or if this has a different name).

Hence the kernel of  $a \otimes b \mapsto ab \pmod d$  (let us call this homomorphism  $\varphi$ ) is just  $0 \otimes 0$ . By isomorphism theorems that I still remember from Algebra 1R we get that

$$(L = L/0) L/\ker \varphi \cong \text{Im } \varphi$$

and it is obvious that  $\text{Im } \varphi = \mathbb{Z}_d$  because if I keep  $a = 1$  and move  $b$  from 0 to  $d - 1$  then I get every element from  $\mathbb{Z}_d$ .

Why is  $\varphi$  a homomorphism? Because

$$ab + a'b' \mapsto (a \otimes b) + (a' \otimes b') = (ab \otimes 1) + (a'b' \otimes 1) = (ab + a'b' \otimes 1) \mapsto 1 \cdot (ab + a'b') = ab + a'b' \quad \checkmark$$

**Exercise 3.** Assume  $M$  is a simple  $R$ -module. Prove that  $\text{End}_R(M) \cong R/I$  for some maximal ideal  $I \triangleright R$ .

From Schur's lemma I know that every endomorphism of a simple module is actually a bijection.

Hence, for every  $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$  we have some  $\varphi^{-1} \in \text{End}_R(M)$ . What is left is to show that this is commutative and  $\text{End}_R(M)$  is a field.

Take  $f, g \in \text{End}_R(M)$  and any  $m \in \text{End}_R(M)$ .  $f(m) = rm$  and  $g(m) = sm$  for some  $r, s \in R$  because  $Rm$  is a submodule of  $M$ , it is not zero hence it must be the whole thing. So now since  $R$  is commutative I have:

$$f \circ g(m) = f(g(m)) = f(sm) = sf(m) = srm = rsm = rg(m) = g(rm) = g(f(m)) = g \circ f(m)$$

Now it is simple to show that  $f(x) = rx$  is the only way an endomorphism must look like because if  $f(m) = rm, f(n) = sn$  (once again,  $Rm, Rn$  are submodules) then  $f(m + n) = f(m) + f(n) = rm + sn$  but on the other hand there is some  $p$  such that  $f(m + n) = p(m + n)$  and  $p(m + n) = rm + sn \implies p - r = s - p \implies p + p = r + s \implies p = r = s$ .

So given  $f \in \text{End}_R(M), f(x) = rx$  we can do  $f \mapsto r$  and this is a unique mapping plus  $r$  must be a unit from the Schur's lemma in the first paragraph.