College of Engineering, Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Fall 2023 Course Owner: BME

Course: BME 4190 Lec 1 CID: 7772

Instructor: Fischbach

16 Responses, 34 Enrolled, 47.06% Response

Question	Moon	Count	1	2	3	4	5
11. [Course Content] Preparation: How well prepared were you to learn the	Wieaii	Count	<u>'</u>		3	4	3
material in this course?	2.94	16	0	1	15	0	0
Very under prepared, more pre-requisites needed	10 .	.0		·	. •	Ů	
2. Under prepared			<b>L</b>				
3. Adequately prepared							
4. Over prepared in some areas							
Over prepared in some areas     Over prepared, material largely duplicates pre-requisites							
12. [Course Content] Content Organization: Did the course structure and	-		ſ				
organization facilitate your learning?	4.07	15	0	0	3	8	4
1. Very disorganized, significantly hindered my learning	7.07	.0	Ů	Ů			•
2. Somewhat disorganized							
3. Adequately organized							
4. Well organized							
5. Very well organized and structured, significantly enhanced my learning							
13. [Course Content] Synthesize & Apply Content: This course challenged	4.00	16	0	0	3	10	3
me to synthesize ideas, think critically about the content, and apply the	4.00	16	U	U	3	10	3
material to unfamiliar topics and problems.							
1. Not at all							
2. Occasionally							
3. Every few classes							
4. Many classes and assignments							
Nearly every class and assignment							
14. [Course Content] Examples & Applications: Were the number and	0.75	4.0	_	_			
variety of examples and practical applications presented appropriate to the	3.75	16	0	0	6	8	2
course content and for your learning style?							
1. No, almost no examples							
2. A few, but insufficient number and/or mostly trivial							
3. Some, but more or higher quality would have been helpful							
4. Yes, including some very good ones							
5. Excellent use of examples and applications that significantly increased							
my understanding of the material							
21. [Course Delivery] Lectures: As a whole, were lectures clear, well-							
structured, free of significant or frequent errors, and did they appropriately	4.31	16	0	0	2	7	7
cover the course content?							
1. No, usually poorly done			_				
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good							
22. [Course Delivery] Context: Did the lecturer motivate the course content							
and place it in the context of your major or your overall engineering	4.13	16	0	0	2	10	4
education (beyond fulfilling a degree requirement)?							
1. No							
2. Somewhat							
3. Adequately							
4. Mostly							

23. [Course Delivery] Engagement: Did the lecturer present material in an engaging way, which improved your understanding of the course content?	3.88	16	0	0	5	8	3
1. No, generally boring	0.00	10	ľ	Ů		Ŭ	
2. Rarely engaging							
Generally held my attention							
4. Engaging							
5. Very engaging and often required actively thinking about material							
31. [Recitation or Discussion Section] Was the section effective in							
increasing your understanding of, and ability to use, the course material?		0	0	0	0	0	0
1. No, usually poorly done							
2. Sometimes							'
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good							
41. [Laboratory Section] Lab Activities: How valuable were laboratory							
activities in enhancing your learning in this course (e.g., taught specific	4.31	16	0	0	1	9	6
skills, provided experience with real equipment and data, provided hands-						j l	
on experience, increased my understanding of the material)?							
1. Minimal value							
2. Occasional value							
3. Moderate value							
4. Significant value							
5. Very valuable, well worth time spent on them							
42. [Laboratory Section] Lab expectations: Were lab expectations (goals,							
tasks, reports, deadlines, etc.) clear and realistic?	4.13	16	0	1	2	7	6
1. Not at all						j l	
2. Partially							
3. Adequately							
4. Usually clear and realistic							
5. Almost always very clear and realistic							
43. [Laboratory Section] Lab resources: Were lab resources (equipment,							
software, information, instructions, etc.) sufficient to provide a positive	4.13	16	0	1	3	5	7
experience?							
Rarely sufficient, severely detracted from the experience							
2. Sometimes sufficient							
3. Usually sufficient							
4. Almost always sufficient							
5. Excellent resources that enhanced the laboratory experience							
44. [Laboratory Section] Lab Staffing: Support and help, during lab and for							
lab reports, were sufficient to successfully complete and analyze	4.19	16	0	0	4	5	7
experiments.							
1. Rarely sufficient							
2. Partially sufficient							
3. Adequate							
4. Almost always sufficient							
5. Excellent, significantly enhanced the laboratory experience							
51. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload: How							
many hours per week, on average, did you spend doing work associated	2.69	16	0	7	7	2	0
with this course outside of scheduled class time?							
1. <3 hours							'
2. 3-6							
3. 7-10							
4. 11-15							
5. >15 hours							
52. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload Value:							
The time spent on various assignments (homework, lab reports, coding,	3.63	16	0	1	5	9	1
projects) was reasonable for the amount it improved my understanding of							
the course content.							
Little value relative to the time required							
2. Some value							
Reasonable value for the time spent							
4. Good value for time spent							
5. Excellent value to time ratio							

53. [Morkload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment [Resources: How valuable were outside of class-time resources (e.g., readings, videos, online content, course notes) in building your understanding?  1. Minimal value  2. Occasional value  3. Moderate value  4. Significant value  54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the curse concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes  3. Usually  4. Almost always  55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Vertex oxams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Vertex oxams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  56. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Vertex oxams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  57. [Workload, Resources, assignments & Assessment of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  58. [Workload, Resources, exc.]  59. [Workload, Resources, exc.]  50. [Workload and a fair at extent of the prompt of the p								
online content, course notes) in building your understanding?  1. Minimal value 2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. (Joyn Valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. (Joyn Valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. (Joyn Valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. (Joyn Valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. (Joyn Valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. (Joyn Valuable, well well well well well well well w						_		
1. Minimal value 2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Hyp valuable, well worth the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 3. Usually 5. Feliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff lostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to led different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to led elidiferent, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. (Course Environment) Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Accuracy inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 6. Course Environment) Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and h		3.38	16	U	2	′	6	1
2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yos, definited 7. Yos, definited 7. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 4. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 5. Actively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 6. Actively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 7. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 8. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 8. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 8. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 8. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 9. Actively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out	, , , , ,							
3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments, independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a flar and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students (and the propers of the students) of the students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 63. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special ac								
4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty, Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 6. Tourse Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access or walue 4. Access to Assistance was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integri								
5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcoments and/or behaviors 2. Actively inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to enourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access and help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, co								
54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff tostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with extent is gnored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively not inclusive we there everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or nether the professions of the professions and or access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Aboundanity available high quality help 6. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copyin								
Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., nomework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blata								
(e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or netrivities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Aboundanity available high quality help 6. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well ha		0.07	4-	_			_	_
presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive: comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate, and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity wainlable high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. wit		3.67	15	0	1	6	5	3
course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. [Workload, Resourcas, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity wanitalnied in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bitaint disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Store the course of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Store the course of una								
1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: 56. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: 57. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: 58. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] 59. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] 50. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] 51. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] 52. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and leaching staff lostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 51. [Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 52. [Actively non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 52. Actively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 53. [Workload, Passively not inclusive: with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 54. [Workload, Passively not inclusive: with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 55. [Workload, Passively not inclusive: comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 59. [Workload, Passively inclusive: with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 59. [Workload, Passively inclusive: with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 59. [Workload, Passively inclusive: with certain students ignored to participate on the properties of the p	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 7. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and inte	course concepts and content?							
3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with eratian students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive; where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academi	,							
4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Acceptable access or with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 2. No. Violations clear								
5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability  5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely  61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access or value 3. Acceptable access or allee 3. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic Integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.	•							
55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No  2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 6.1. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive, it may be succeed to the students of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.	5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability							
(Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 6. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading:			Ĭ				
1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning?	3.75	16	0	0	7	6	3
1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	(Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair,							
2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	prompt.)							
3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	1. No							
4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 6.1 [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	2. Significant issues exist							
5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	3. Generally fair assessment of my learning							
61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	4. Well developed and fair							
professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, Plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.	5. Yes, definitely							
professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, Plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.	61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the							
are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching		4.56	16	0	0	0	7	9
are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching			-					
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	·							
4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching		1		Ī				
section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching		3.69	16	0	2	6	3	5
1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	•							
4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching		1	Ī	T	I	I	I	
plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching		4 47	15	0	0	2	4	9
1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching		7.77	10	ľ		_		
<ol> <li>No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.</li> <li>Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).</li> <li>Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.</li> <li>Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.</li> <li>[Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching</li> </ol>				<u> </u>				
<ul> <li>3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).</li> <li>4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.</li> <li>5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.</li> <li>91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching</li> </ul>								
of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching								
<ul> <li>4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.</li> <li>5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.</li> <li>91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching</li> </ul>	A NOT STRUBBLY VIOLATIONS COLLID WALL BAVA OCCUPIED LAVABLIT LAM BOT AWARA							
<ul><li>5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.</li><li>91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching</li></ul>								
91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	of any).							
· ' '	of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.							
effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.   4.13   16   0   0   1   12   3	of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.						•	
	of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching		<u> </u>	T _	<u> </u>	Ι.		
1 = Worse than average	of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.	4.13	16	0	0	1	12	3
5 = Much better than average	of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average	4.13	16	0	0	1	12	3

92. [Comparison to Other Courses] Course: Overall, how does this course compare with other comparable (technical or non-technical, as appropriate) courses you've taken at Cornell?

1 = Poorly, not educational

5 = Excellently, extremely educational 4.06 16 0 3