College of Engineering, Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Fall 2023 Course Owner: INFO

Course: INFO 6410 Lec 31 CID: 18822

Instructor: Roumen

62 Responses, 90 Enrolled, 68.89% Response

Question	Mean	Count	1	2	3	4	5
11. [Course Content] Preparation: How well prepared were you to learn the	Wican	Oddin	•	_	Ť	_	Ŭ
material in this course?	3.15	62	3	0	47	9	3
1. Very under prepared, more pre-requisites needed							
2. Under prepared		-					
3. Adequately prepared							
4. Over prepared in some areas							
5. Over prepared, material largely duplicates pre-requisites							
12. [Course Content] Content Organization: Did the course structure and							
organization facilitate your learning?	3.76	62	2	5	15	24	16
Very disorganized, significantly hindered my learning							
2. Somewhat disorganized		-					
3. Adequately organized							
4. Well organized							
5. Very well organized and structured, significantly enhanced my learning							
13. [Course Content] Synthesize & Apply Content: This course challenged	1						
me to synthesize ideas, think critically about the content, and apply the	3.76	62	1	7	14	24	16
material to unfamiliar topics and problems.							
1. Not at all							
2. Occasionally							
3. Every few classes							
4. Many classes and assignments							
5. Nearly every class and assignment							
14. [Course Content] Examples & Applications: Were the number and							
variety of examples and practical applications presented appropriate to the	3.92	62	1	4	10	31	16
course content and for your learning style?							
1. No, almost no examples		-					
2. A few, but insufficient number and/or mostly trivial							
3. Some, but more or higher quality would have been helpful							
4. Yes, including some very good ones							
5. Excellent use of examples and applications that significantly increased							
my understanding of the material							
21. [Course Delivery] Lectures: As a whole, were lectures clear, well-							
structured, free of significant or frequent errors, and did they appropriately	3.98	62	1	2	11	31	17
cover the course content?							
1. No, usually poorly done							
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good							
22. [Course Delivery] Context: Did the lecturer motivate the course content							
and place it in the context of your major or your overall engineering	3.94	62	0	6	10	28	18
education (beyond fulfilling a degree requirement)?							
1. No							
2. Somewhat							
3. Adequately							
Adequately Mostly							

23. [Course Delivery] Engagement: Did the lecturer present material in an							
engaging way, which improved your understanding of the course content?	3.95	62	0	4	15	23	20
1. No, generally boring							
2. Rarely engaging							
3. Generally held my attention							
4. Engaging							
Very engaging and often required actively thinking about material Recitation or Discussion Section] Was the section effective in							
increasing your understanding of, and ability to use, the course material?		0	0	0	0	0	0
1. No, usually poorly done		Ü	Ů	Ů	Ů	Ů	Ŭ
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good							
41. [Laboratory Section] Lab Activities: How valuable were laboratory							
activities in enhancing your learning in this course (e.g., taught specific		0	0	0	0	0	0
skills, provided experience with real equipment and data, provided hands-							
on experience, increased my understanding of the material)?							<u> </u>
1. Minimal value							
2. Occasional value							
3. Moderate value							
4. Significant value							
5. Very valuable, well worth time spent on them							
42. [Laboratory Section] Lab expectations: Were lab expectations (goals,							
tasks, reports, deadlines, etc.) clear and realistic?		0	0	0	0	0	0
1. Not at all							
2. Partially			=				
3. Adequately							
4. Usually clear and realistic							
Almost always very clear and realistic							
43. [Laboratory Section] Lab resources: Were lab resources (equipment,		0	_	_	0	0	_
software, information, instructions, etc.) sufficient to provide a positive		0	0	0	0	0	0
experience?							
Rarely sufficient, severely detracted from the experience							
2. Sometimes sufficient							
3. Usually sufficient							
Almost always sufficient Excellent resources that enhanced the laboratory experience							
44. [Laboratory Section] Lab Staffing: Support and help, during lab and for		1					
lab reports, were sufficient to successfully complete and analyze		0	0	0	0	0	0
experiments.		Ŭ		Ů	Ů	Ů	
1. Rarely sufficient							
2. Partially sufficient							
3. Adequate							
4. Almost always sufficient							
Excellent, significantly enhanced the laboratory experience							
51. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload: How							
many hours per week, on average, did you spend doing work associated	2.55	62	8	27	17	5	5
with this course outside of scheduled class time?							
1. <3 hours							
2. 3-6							
3. 7-10							
4. 11-15							
5. >15 hours							
52. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload Value:		0.5	آ ہا				
The time spent on various assignments (homework, lab reports, coding,	3.31	62	3	9	24	18	8
projects) was reasonable for the amount it improved my understanding of							
the course content.							
Little value relative to the time required							
2. Some value							
Reasonable value for the time spent							
4. Good value for time spent							
Excellent value to time ratio	I						

53. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Resources: How valuable were outside of class-time resources (e.g., readings, videos, online content, course notes) in building your understanding? 1. Minimal value 2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments, independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your larming? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your larming? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 55. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 56. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 57. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 58. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 59. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 50. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 50. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 50. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 51. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 52. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Fair, prompt.) 53. [Workload Resources, Assignments & Assessment] E	valuable were outside of class-time resources (e.g., readings, videos, online content, course notes) in building your understanding? 1. Minimal value 3. Modrate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well work, lash, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 5. Mortifoad, Resources, Assignments & Assessment Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 6. Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the rofessors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that he dass is weldoning to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Externely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors and/or activities, special accomments and/or behaviors and/or behaviors and/or activities, special accomments and/or behaviors. 6. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate an								
online content, course notes) in building your understanding? 1. Minimal value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Hory valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Horotoka, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments, Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty, Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 1. No 1. No 1. No 1. Septimized the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is well-coming to all, everyone is enouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 6. Clourse Environment] Academic Integrity was the code of academic material access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access and help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality	contine content, course notes in building your understanding? 1. Minimal value 2. Occasional value 3. Modrarate value 3. Significant value 3. Gerational value 3. Modrarate value 3. Experiments: Independent of the time required, overall, idd assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. Every the significant of t		0.50	0.0			0.4	0.4	40
1. Minimal value 2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 7. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 8. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent on them 9. Hyp valuable, well worth the time spent of the time to the time time time time to the time time time time time time time tim	1. Minimal value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. High valuable, well well well well well well well w		3.53	62	1	ь	24	21	10
2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5.4. (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Value of 6.4. (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Value of 6.5. (Porton, Labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. (Course Environment) Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff (ostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, non-are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 3. Passively not inclusive: comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 4. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate, non-are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 6. Cool access or developed and fair 6. Cool access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 4.60 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 2. Limited access or value 5. Acceptable access and depth of the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bilatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic Integrity, Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. N	2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Value of Assignments: independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Exams & Grading; Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty, Grading; fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. (Course Environment) Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with reveryone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with reveryone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 2. Rassively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated rainy? 3. Passively not inclusive with reveryone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated rainy? 4. Expressively not inclusive with reversive to the students are valued less than those of other students generally not inclusive with reversive to the students generally not inclusive with reversive to the students generally not inclusive with reversive to the students generally not inclusive with re	, , ,							
3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, idid assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 3. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, Nothing specific to encourage of discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (frough office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or walue 4. God access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Accedemic Integrity: Was the code of academic Integrity, Wolations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).	3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Light value (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments & Assessment) Value of Assignments: independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 5. Feliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well devoloped and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive wither everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Acoundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Not strongly: Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 1. Eliatent disregard for Academic integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthor								
4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5.4. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or deplication and access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or walue 4.60 do do 0 1 2 23 38 3. Baltant disregard for	4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, idid assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading; Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams; clear, well written, range of content and difficulty, Grading; fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 7. Letremely non-inclusive with errain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none 6. Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or specific toe neourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or								
5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., hornework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 3. Susually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairing? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, Nothing specific to enourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 4.60 decases or value 5. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc	5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5.4 (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 1. No 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific toe nourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encourage at the participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, sct.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 4. Ves. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity wa								
54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage of other students 6. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Acceptable access and help 3. Acceptable access and help 3. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations occolud well h	54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is wellooming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 3. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Access to case (e.)? 1. Bitant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code o								
Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 3. Susually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is electoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4.89 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.89 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.89 61 0 0 0 3 2 3 2 4 4.80 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 2 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 2 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 2 3 38 4.31 62 0 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., nomework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Prompt. (Exams & Grading: Prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage of the success to assistance was the everyone is welcome to participate and are valued less than those of other students gnored, left out, or treated dismissively where everyone is welcome to participate and are valued less than those of other students gnored, left out, or treated dismissively where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integ		+	1	1	1	1	r	1
(e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Fyes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access and help 4. Good access and help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No.	(e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 3. Lausily 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access and help 4. Good access with quality help 53. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bitant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] instructor: Rat		2 62	62	1	7	16	28	10
presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is wellocoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Aboundantly available high quality help 6. Good access with quality help 6. Correct Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occur	presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity. Was the code of academic integrity waintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bitant disregard for Academic Integrity. 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 6. Learning the conte		3.03	02	l '	l ′	10	20	10
course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. (Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plajarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plajarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am	course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. Significant significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Significant significantly 6. Significant significantly increased my understanding fair and session and grading and ability 6. Significant significantly 6. Significant significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Significant significantly 6. Significant significantly 6. Significant significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Significant significantly 6. Significant significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Significant significantly 6. Significant significantly 6. Significant significantly 7. Significant significantly 7. Significant significantly 8. Significant significant significantly 8. Significant significant significantly 8. Significant si								
1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 2. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).	1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcorning to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access and help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity waintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bilatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bilatant disregard for Academic Integrity. Was the code of academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 91. [Comparison to O	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. [Aliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.	2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, Plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.	•							
3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. IVOrkload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive: comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 1. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive: comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access and help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bitant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bitant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	,							
4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. [Norkload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plajarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 1. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).	4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or help 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 63 60 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 64 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 66 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 66 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 66 0 0 1 7 7 29 23 4.60 66 0 0 1 7 7 29 23								
5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encourage at the professor of the students 4. Passively inclusive have everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encourage anyone. 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. Limited access or access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access and commodations met, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not awar of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain	•							
55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with eartain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity and the were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations clearly occurred that were not addre	55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 6. Corporation to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your le	•							
Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Acceptable access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Almost no access and cachemic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rat			1	T	I	I	1	ī
(Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4.60 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 28 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 0 1 23 38	(Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 6.1 (Course Environment) Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 6.3. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1		2 02	62	2	1	15	22	10
prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average		3.02	02	_	4	13	23	10
1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 6.1. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.60 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.60 61 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.60 61 0 0 0 0 3 13 45 4.60 6	1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students (since) inclusive with rearrain students (spored, left out, or treated dismissively) 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23 1. Worse than average				<u> </u>				
2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Altowally available high quality help 5. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity? 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.								
3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly, Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23 1 = Worse than average								
4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 6.1. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4.60 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 3 38 4.51 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.69 61 0 0 0 3 1 3 45 4.60 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.60 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 26 28 4.	4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.								
5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with representation of the students of th								
61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4.60 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 28 28 29 30 31 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 4	61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.								
professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 28 28 28 28 29 28 29 29 20 1 23 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 38 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23 1 = Worse than average	· ,	-		, 	ı	ı	T	T
the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 53. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.		1 60	61	Λ	n	3	13	45
are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Acceptable access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 53. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23	·	4.09	01	U	0	٦	13	45
1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23								
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23								
3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 26 28 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 29 23	•							
valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4.431 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 1 7 26 28 4.31 62	valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.3	•							
4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 7 29 23								
specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28								
5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 6								
62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28								
access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.31 62 0 1 7 7 26 28 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38	11	+	I	1			1	1
section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23	•	1 31	62	0	1	7	26	28
1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23		4.51	02	U	'	'	20	20
2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23				<u> </u>				
3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23	'							
4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23								
5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23 1								
63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.60 62 0 0 1 23 38 4.60 62 0 0 1 7 29 23 1 Worse than average								
integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 23 38		-	ı	T	1	1	ı	1
plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23 1 2 23 23 23 23 23 23 24 23 24 23 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		4.60	62	Λ	0	4	22	30
 Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 	1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23 1 23 1 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 24 23 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		4.60	02	U	U	l '	23	30
 No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 	 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23 4.23 60 0 1 7 7 29 23 				<u> </u>				
3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23 1 2 23 1 2 23 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3								
of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23								
4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.	4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23								
	5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.	91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23 1 = Worse than average								
	effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average 4.23 60 0 1 7 29 23		<u> </u>		•	1	1	Т	1
	1 = Worse than average	Od. [Commonless to Other Common Instructor Date the common It	1		_		l _		
chocaronede of your location compared to canonical				- G()	()	1 1	/	29	ı 23
		effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.	4.23	60					
	5 = Much better than average	effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average	4.23	60	Ů				

92. [Comparison to Other Courses] Course: Overall, how does this course compare with other comparable (technical or non-technical, as appropriate) courses you've taken at Cornell?

1 = Poorly, not educational
5 = Excellently, extremely educational