**College of Engineering, Cornell University** Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Fall 2023 Course Owner: CEE

Course: CEE 4210 Lec 1 CID: 8083

Instructor: Vanek

41 Responses, 61 Enrolled, 67.21% Response

Question	Mean	Count	1	2	3	4	5
11. [Course Content] Preparation: How well prepared were you to learn the	ivican	Count	•	_	Ť	_	
material in this course?	3.17	41	1	1	31	6	2
1. Very under prepared, more pre-requisites needed							
2. Under prepared		•					
3. Adequately prepared							
4. Over prepared in some areas							
5. Over prepared, material largely duplicates pre-requisites							
12. [Course Content] Content Organization: Did the course structure and							
organization facilitate your learning?	4.20	41	0	1	4	22	14
1. Very disorganized, significantly hindered my learning							
2. Somewhat disorganized				•			
3. Adequately organized							
4. Well organized							
5. Very well organized and structured, significantly enhanced my learning							
13. [Course Content] Synthesize & Apply Content: This course challenged							
me to synthesize ideas, think critically about the content, and apply the	4.27	41	0	1	5	17	18
material to unfamiliar topics and problems.							
1. Not at all		•					
2. Occasionally							
3. Every few classes							
4. Many classes and assignments							
5. Nearly every class and assignment							
14. [Course Content] Examples & Applications: Were the number and							
variety of examples and practical applications presented appropriate to the	4.46	41	0	0	3	16	22
course content and for your learning style?							
1. No, almost no examples		•					
2. A few, but insufficient number and/or mostly trivial							
3. Some, but more or higher quality would have been helpful							
4. Yes, including some very good ones							
5. Excellent use of examples and applications that significantly increased							
my understanding of the material			_				
21. [Course Delivery] Lectures: As a whole, were lectures clear, well-							
structured, free of significant or frequent errors, and did they appropriately	4.29	41	0	1	3	20	17
cover the course content?							
1. No, usually poorly done			=				
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good							
22. [Course Delivery] Context: Did the lecturer motivate the course content				_		_	00
and place it in the context of your major or your overall engineering	4.59	41	1	0	2	9	29
education (beyond fulfilling a degree requirement)?							
1. No							
2. Somewhat							
3. Adequately							
4. Mostly							
5. Absolutely	j						

23. [Course Delivery] Engagement: Did the lecturer present material in an			_	_	_		
engaging way, which improved your understanding of the course content?	4.24	41	1	0	6	15	19
1. No, generally boring							
2. Rarely engaging							
3. Generally held my attention							
4. Engaging							
5. Very engaging and often required actively thinking about material							
31. [Recitation or Discussion Section] Was the section effective in							
increasing your understanding of, and ability to use, the course material?		0	0	0	0	0	0
1. No, usually poorly done							
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good							
41. [Laboratory Section] Lab Activities: How valuable were laboratory							
activities in enhancing your learning in this course (e.g., taught specific		0	0	0	0	0	0
skills, provided experience with real equipment and data, provided hands-							
on experience, increased my understanding of the material)?							
1. Minimal value							
2. Occasional value							
3. Moderate value							
4. Significant value							
5. Very valuable, well worth time spent on them							
42. [Laboratory Section] Lab expectations: Were lab expectations (goals,		0	0	0	0	0	0
tasks, reports, deadlines, etc.) clear and realistic?		U	U	U	U	U	U
1. Not at all							
2. Partially							
3. Adequately							
4. Usually clear and realistic							
5. Almost always very clear and realistic							
43. [Laboratory Section] Lab resources: Were lab resources (equipment,		_	_	0	^	_	0
software, information, instructions, etc.) sufficient to provide a positive		0	0	0	0	0	0
experience?							
Rarely sufficient, severely detracted from the experience							
2. Sometimes sufficient							
3. Usually sufficient							
4. Almost always sufficient							
Excellent resources that enhanced the laboratory experience			-				
44. [Laboratory Section] Lab Staffing: Support and help, during lab and for		_	_	0	^	_	0
lab reports, were sufficient to successfully complete and analyze		0	0	0	0	0	0
experiments.							
1. Rarely sufficient							
2. Partially sufficient							
3. Adequate							
4. Almost always sufficient							
5. Excellent, significantly enhanced the laboratory experience							
51. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload: How							
many hours per week, on average, did you spend doing work associated	2.44	41	4	21	11	4	1
with this course outside of scheduled class time?							
1. <3 hours							
2. 3-6							
3. 7-10							
4. 11-15							
5. >15 hours							
52. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload Value:							
The time spent on various assignments (homework, lab reports, coding,	4.10	41	1	0	9	15	16
projects) was reasonable for the amount it improved my understanding of							
the course content.							
Little value relative to the time required							
2. Some value							
Reasonable value for the time spent							
Good value for time spent							
5. Excellent value to time ratio							

valuable were outside of class-time resources (e.g., readings, videos, online content, course notes) in building your understanding?  1. Minimal value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. (Morkload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty, Grading; fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 4. Well developed and fair 6. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inculsive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, inclusion and the class of the support of the participate and are supported. 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to a consideration of								
online content, course notes) in building your understanding?  1. Minimal value 2. Occasional value 3. Significant value 4. Significant value 4. Significant value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Very valuable, well well well well well well well w	53. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Resources: How	0.00	4.0		•	40	40	45
1. Minimal value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments; Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a lair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 4. Well developed and fair 6. (Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with extens students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive where exprone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or archities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 1. Wors khan average  1. Wors khan average  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23		3.98	40	U	3	10	12	15
2. Occasional value 3. Significant value 4. Significant value 54. Pivoritoad, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of 66. g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: 64. Good access with quality help 65. Abundantly available high quality help 66. Violations colority occ	, , ,							
3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading. Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Yell developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. (Course Errivinoment) Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to led different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with erapinopriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with retain students ingored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with retain students ingored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with reveryone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. (Course Environment) Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forms, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. Abundantly available high quality help 6. Abundantly available high quality help 6. Abundantly available high quality								
4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading; Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading; fair, prompt.) 1. Nh 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 5. Yes, definitely 7. Letremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none 4. Passively inclusive with certain students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 5. Clourse Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. Clourse Environment Jaces to								
5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 61. (Course Environment) Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated dains.  2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students (a. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to enourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported.  62. (Course Environment) Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. (Course Environment) Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access or value  2. Imited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. (Course Environment) Access to exist and access or value and access or value a								
54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments independent of the time required, overall, idd assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate, nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate, nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate, nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 62. [Course Environment] Access to Maintain academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g								
Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access and help 5. Abundanity available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bl								
(e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive wither everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive wither everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bitant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effective expression to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effective expression to Other Courses and the overall te		4 22	41	1	0	6	16	1Ω
presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or netivities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. (Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (eve		4.22	41	'	U	O	10	10
course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 3. Usually 3. Legislably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. Every a surface of the season of								
1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Feliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: 4. Series and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively not inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity wanitable high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity wanitable high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bitant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Bitant disregard for Academic I								
2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. (Exams: Clear, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: Clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive wither attain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage of discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity antinatined in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity. 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly, Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was c	·							
3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.	,							
4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. IWorkload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment  Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity and the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps								
5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and leaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported. 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Acceptable access and Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly variable access to a section question and or a section questions and/or activities, and a section questions and/or acceptance and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly variable high quality help 6. [Course Environment] Acception the graph of the province of the provinc	•							
55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No  2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 3. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1. Worse than average								
Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with respect to charting specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively not inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teach				r -				Ī
(Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 7. Abundantly available high quality help 8. Not strongly. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 9. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 9. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1. Evorse than average		1.51	41	0	0	3	1/	24
prompt.)  1. No  2. Significant issues exist  3. Generally fair assessment of my learning  4. Well developed and fair  5. Yes, definitely  61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly, Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23		4.51	41	U	U	3	14	24
1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students (sinored, left out, or treated dismissively) 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23								
2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Not storagy and intentionally maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23								
3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23								
4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and help  3. Acceptable access or value  3. Acceptable access or value  3. Acceptable access or with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23	· · ·							
5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  5. Abundantly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23								
61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  5. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23								
professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Bilatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 1 8 31	,	1		1				
the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23		4.80	11	٥	Λ	Λ	g	33
are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  6. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4.71	,	4.00	71		U	O	U	55
1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.51 41 0 0 2 16 23								
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively and inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.51 41 0 0 2 2 16 23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average								
3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 3. Acceptable access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average								
valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31								
4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.71  41  0  0  2  8  31  4.71  41  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0  0  3  7  30  4.68  40  0  0								
specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.71								
5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.71								
supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.71  41  0  0  2  8  31  4.71  41  0  0  2  8  31  4.71  41  0  0  2  8  31								
62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.71  41  0  0  2  8  31  41  0  0  0  2  8  31	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.							
access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71 41 0 0 0 2 8 31  4.71	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are							
section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 2 16 23	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported			<del>-</del>	1			
1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient	4 71	41	0	0	2	Ω	31
2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or	4.71	41	0	0	2	8	31
3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?	4.71	41	0	0	2	8	31
4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective	4.71	41	0	0	2	8	31
5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1. Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value	4.71	41	0	0	2	8	31
63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1. Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help	4.71	41	0	0	2	8	31
integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1. Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help	4.71	41	0	0	2	8	31
plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.51 41 0 0 0 2 16 23 16 23 16 23	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help	4.71	41	0	0	2	8	31
1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 1 = Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic							
<ol> <li>No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.</li> <li>Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).</li> <li>Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.</li> <li>Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.</li> <li>[Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.</li> <li>Worse than average</li> </ol>	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying,							
3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?							
of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average  4.51 41 0 0 2 16 23	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity							
4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.							
5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average  4.51 41 0 0 2 16 23	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware							
91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average  4.51 41 0 0 2 16 23	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).							
effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average  4.51  41  0  0  2  16  23	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.							
1 = Worse than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.							
	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	4.68	40	0	0	3	7	30
5 = Much hetter than average	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.	4.68	40	0	0	3	7	30
o – maon bottor than avorage	specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).  4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.  5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.  91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  1 = Worse than average	4.68	40	0	0	3	7	30

92. [Comparison to Other Courses] Course: Overall, how does this course compare with other comparable (technical or non-technical, as appropriate) courses you've taken at Cornell?

1 = Poorly, not educational
5 = Excellently, extremely educational