College of Engineering, Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Fall 2023 Course Owner: CS

Course: CS 3410 Lec 1 CID: 7637

Instructor: Bracy

327 Responses, 361 Enrolled, 90.58% Response

Question	Mean	Count	1	2	3	4	5
11. [Course Content] Preparation: How well prepared were you to learn the							
material in this course?	2.96	320	6	40	245	20	9
1. Very under prepared, more pre-requisites needed							
2. Under prepared							
3. Adequately prepared							
4. Over prepared in some areas							
5. Over prepared, material largely duplicates pre-requisites							
12. [Course Content] Content Organization: Did the course structure and							
organization facilitate your learning?	3.94	318	7	14	85	98	114
Very disorganized, significantly hindered my learning							
2. Somewhat disorganized							
3. Adequately organized							
4. Well organized							
5. Very well organized and structured, significantly enhanced my learning							
13. [Course Content] Synthesize & Apply Content: This course challenged							
me to synthesize ideas, think critically about the content, and apply the	4.15	317	5	12	46	122	132
material to unfamiliar topics and problems.							
1. Not at all							
2. Occasionally							
3. Every few classes							
4. Many classes and assignments							
5. Nearly every class and assignment							
14. [Course Content] Examples & Applications: Were the number and							
variety of examples and practical applications presented appropriate to the	3.96	317	5	16	64	135	97
course content and for your learning style?							
1. No, almost no examples							
A few, but insufficient number and/or mostly trivial							
3. Some, but more or higher quality would have been helpful							
4. Yes, including some very good ones							
5. Excellent use of examples and applications that significantly increased							
my understanding of the material							
21. [Course Delivery] Lectures: As a whole, were lectures clear, well-							
structured, free of significant or frequent errors, and did they appropriately	4.30	317	5	5	44	98	165
cover the course content?							
1. No, usually poorly done							
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good							
22. [Course Delivery] Context: Did the lecturer motivate the course content	1						
and place it in the context of your major or your overall engineering	4.22	316	6	11	50	89	160
education (beyond fulfilling a degree requirement)?							
1. No							
2. Somewhat							
3. Adequately							
4. Mostly							
5. Absolutely							
,	J						

23. [Course Delivery] Engagement: Did the lecturer present material in an		0.47	•	40		00	4.47
engaging way, which improved your understanding of the course content?	4.11	317	6	19	56	89	147
1. No, generally boring							
2. Rarely engaging							
3. Generally held my attention							
4. Engaging							
5. Very engaging and often required actively thinking about material							
31. [Recitation or Discussion Section] Was the section effective in	3.50	314	19	37	83	117	58
increasing your understanding of, and ability to use, the course material?	3.50	314	19	31	03	117	36
1. No, usually poorly done							
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good	-						
41. [Laboratory Section] Lab Activities: How valuable were laboratory		0	0	0	0	0	0
activities in enhancing your learning in this course (e.g., taught specific		U	U	U	U	U	U
skills, provided experience with real equipment and data, provided hands-							
on experience, increased my understanding of the material)?							
Minimal value     Occasional value							
3. Moderate value							
4. Significant value							
5. Very valuable, well worth time spent on them 42. [Laboratory Section] Lab expectations: Were lab expectations (goals,			r -				
		0	0	0	0	0	0
tasks, reports, deadlines, etc.) clear and realistic?		U	U	U	U	U	U
1. Not at all							
2. Partially							
Adequately     Usually clear and realistic							
5. Almost always very clear and realistic							
43. [Laboratory Section] Lab resources: Were lab resources (equipment,		0	0	0	0	0	0
software, information, instructions, etc.) sufficient to provide a positive experience?		O	Ů				Ŭ
Rarely sufficient, severely detracted from the experience							
2. Sometimes sufficient							
3. Usually sufficient							
Almost always sufficient							
Excellent resources that enhanced the laboratory experience							
44. [Laboratory Section] Lab Staffing: Support and help, during lab and for							
lab reports, were sufficient to successfully complete and analyze		0	0	0	0	0	0
experiments.			-			-	
1. Rarely sufficient							
Partially sufficient							
3. Adequate							
4. Almost always sufficient							
Excellent, significantly enhanced the laboratory experience							
51. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload: How							
many hours per week, on average, did you spend doing work associated	3.91	317	1	22	83	109	102
with this course outside of scheduled class time?							
1. <3 hours							
2. 3-6							
3. 7-10							
4. 11-15							
5. >15 hours							
52. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload Value:	1						
The time spent on various assignments (homework, lab reports, coding,	3.31	315	16	38	125	104	32
projects) was reasonable for the amount it improved my understanding of	1						
the course content.							
Little value relative to the time required							
2. Some value							
Reasonable value for the time spent							
4. Good value for time spent							
5. Excellent value to time ratio							
	4						

53, IWorkload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Resources: How valuable were outside of class-time resources (e.g., readings, videos, online content, course notes) in building your understanding?  1. Minimal value  2. Occasional value  3. Moderate value  4. Significant value  54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments; Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally  2. Sometimes  3. Usually  4. Almost always  5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability  55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading; Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning?  (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty, Grading; fair, prompt.)  1. No  2. Significant issues exist  3. Generally fair assessment of my learning  4. Well developed and fair  5. Yes, delinitely  6. The content of the class is well developed and fair  7. Yes, delinitely  7. Latremely non-inclusive with part of the company of the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is wellowing to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  9. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  5. Quorse Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  5. Abundantly availabl
online content, course notes) in building your understanding?  1. Minimal value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 1. No 1. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 1. No 1. No 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is well comment and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 5. Providence of the students of the out of the ou
1. Minimal value 2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments, Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Resources, Assignments and assignificantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly in
2. Occasional value 3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 6. Vignificant value 6. Significant value 7. Significant value 8. Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments 9. Geg., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 6. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 7. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 7. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 8. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 8. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 8. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 8. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 8. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 8. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 8. Sequence of your learning 8. Sequence of your learning 9. Sequence of your learning
3. Moderate value 4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content? 1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, call are fully encouraged to participate, none are supported 6.2. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 4.62 306 2 0 21 66 217 6.65 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 105 105 105 105 105
4. Significant value 5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them 5.4 (Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment) Value of Assignments: independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exame & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feld different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive, comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access and help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. Good access with quality help 6. Good access with quality help 6.
5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them  54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs), programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors. A Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate, none are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 3. Acceptable access and help 3. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g., with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorize
54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Steril Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Gear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely on inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or value 4.62 306 2 0 21 66 217 6.65 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 103 128 6.75 105 105 105 107 6.75 105 105 105 105 105 105
Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments (e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 3. Susually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is uselocoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. Good access with qualit
(e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers, presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive, encourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. B
presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integr
course concepts and content?  1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 4. Passively inclusive with everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc
1. Minimally 2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
2. Sometimes 3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
3. Usually 4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissisvely 3. Passively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissisvely 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access or with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
4. Almost always 5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 5.5. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access or value 3. Acceptable access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability 55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity:  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading: Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access and help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning? (Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No  2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively not inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and help 4. Good access and help 4. Good access and help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
(Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair, prompt.)  1. No  2. Significant issues exist  3. Generally fair assessment of my learning  4. Well developed and fair  5. Yes, definitely  61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively inclusive withere everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  53. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
prompt.) 1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
1. No 2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
2. Significant issues exist 3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly? 1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 6.3. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity: 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
3. Generally fair assessment of my learning 4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors 2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively and inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
4. Well developed and fair 5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Almost no access and help  6. Good access with quality help  6. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
5. Yes, definitely 61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.55 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.57 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.58 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.50 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.50 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.51 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.52 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.53 [2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.59 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.50 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.51 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.52 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.55 312 2 1 1 1 17 1 98 194  4.54 312 2
professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?  1. Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors  2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively  3. Passively inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students  4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
specific to encourage or discourage anyone.  5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  53. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
supported  62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?  1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective  2. Limited access or value  3. Acceptable access and help  4. Good access with quality help  5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity  2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.  3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
5. Abundantly available high quality help  63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?  1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity     No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.     Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
<ol> <li>No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.</li> <li>Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware</li> </ol>
3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware
OF MOVE
4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity.
5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.
91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching
effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell.  4.28 311 6 2 42 109 152
1 = Worse than average 5 = Much better than average

92. [Comparison to Other Courses] Course: Overall, how does this course compare with other comparable (technical or non-technical, as appropriate) courses you've taken at Cornell?	3.94	310	10	15	65	115	105
1 = Poorly, not educational							
5 = Excellently, extremely educational							