College of Engineering, Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Fall 2023 Course Owner: EAS

Course: EAS 3010 Lec 1 CID: 2007240

Instructor: Allmon

13 Responses, 15 Enrolled, 86.67% Response

Question	Moon	Count	1	2	3	4	5
11. [Course Content] Preparation: How well prepared were you to learn the	Wean	Count	-		<u>ა</u>	4	3
material in this course?	2.85	13	0	3	9	1	0
Very under prepared, more pre-requisites needed	2.00	.0	Ů		Ů		Ů
2. Under prepared							
3. Adequately prepared							
4. Over prepared in some areas							
Over prepared in some areas Over prepared, material largely duplicates pre-requisites							
12. [Course Content] Content Organization: Did the course structure and							
organization facilitate your learning?	3.08	13	0	5	4	2	2
1. Very disorganized, significantly hindered my learning	0.00	.0	Ů		·	_	_
2. Somewhat disorganized							
3. Adequately organized							
4. Well organized							
5. Very well organized and structured, significantly enhanced my learning							
13. [Course Content] Synthesize & Apply Content: This course challenged	4.54	13	0	0	1	4	8
me to synthesize ideas, think critically about the content, and apply the	4.54	13	U	U	'	4	0
material to unfamiliar topics and problems.							
1. Not at all							
2. Occasionally							
3. Every few classes							
4. Many classes and assignments							
5. Nearly every class and assignment							
14. [Course Content] Examples & Applications: Were the number and	4.15	10	0	1	0	8	4
variety of examples and practical applications presented appropriate to the	4.15	13	U		U	0	4
course content and for your learning style?							
1. No, almost no examples							
2. A few, but insufficient number and/or mostly trivial							
Some, but more or higher quality would have been helpful							
4. Yes, including some very good ones							
5. Excellent use of examples and applications that significantly increased							
my understanding of the material			-	1			
21. [Course Delivery] Lectures: As a whole, were lectures clear, well-	4.00	40	1	0	1	4	7
structured, free of significant or frequent errors, and did they appropriately	4.23	13	ı,	U	I	4	1
cover the course content?							
1. No, usually poorly done							
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good		-	_				
22. [Course Delivery] Context: Did the lecturer motivate the course content	4.31	13	1	0	1	3	8
and place it in the context of your major or your overall engineering	4.31	13	'	U	1	J	O
education (beyond fulfilling a degree requirement)?							
1. No							
2. Somewhat							
3. Adequately							
4. Mostly							
5. Absolutely	j						

23. [Course Delivery] Engagement: Did the lecturer present material in an							
engaging way, which improved your understanding of the course content?	4.23	13	0	1	2	3	7
1. No, generally boring							
2. Rarely engaging							
3. Generally held my attention							
4. Engaging							
5. Very engaging and often required actively thinking about material							
31. [Recitation or Discussion Section] Was the section effective in							
increasing your understanding of, and ability to use, the course material?		0	0	0	0	0	0
1. No, usually poorly done							
2. Sometimes							
3. Usually adequate							
4. Usually good							
5. Nearly always very good							
41. [Laboratory Section] Lab Activities: How valuable were laboratory							
activities in enhancing your learning in this course (e.g., taught specific	3.83	12	0	0	3	8	1
skills, provided experience with real equipment and data, provided hands-							
on experience, increased my understanding of the material)?							
1. Minimal value							
2. Occasional value							
3. Moderate value							
4. Significant value							
5. Very valuable, well worth time spent on them							
42. [Laboratory Section] Lab expectations: Were lab expectations (goals,							
tasks, reports, deadlines, etc.) clear and realistic?	3.62	13	0	3	2	5	3
1. Not at all							
2. Partially							
3. Adequately							
4. Usually clear and realistic							
5. Almost always very clear and realistic							
43. [Laboratory Section] Lab resources: Were lab resources (equipment,							
software, information, instructions, etc.) sufficient to provide a positive	4.23	13	0	1	0	7	5
experience?							
1. Rarely sufficient, severely detracted from the experience						•	
2. Sometimes sufficient							
3. Usually sufficient							
4. Almost always sufficient							
5. Excellent resources that enhanced the laboratory experience							
44. [Laboratory Section] Lab Staffing: Support and help, during lab and for							
lab reports, were sufficient to successfully complete and analyze	4.62	13	0	0	0	5	8
experiments.							
1. Rarely sufficient							
2. Partially sufficient							
3. Adequate							
4. Almost always sufficient							
5. Excellent, significantly enhanced the laboratory experience							
51. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload: How							
many hours per week, on average, did you spend doing work associated	1.85	13	5	5	3	0	0
with this course outside of scheduled class time?							
1. <3 hours							
2. 3-6							
3. 7-10							
4. 11-15							
5. >15 hours			_				
52. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Workload Value:							
The time spent on various assignments (homework, lab reports, coding,	3.77	13	0	1	3	7	2
projects) was reasonable for the amount it improved my understanding of							
the course content.							
Little value relative to the time required							
2. Some value							
3. Reasonable value for the time spent							
4. Good value for time spent							
5. Excellent value to time ratio							
	-						

			_				
53. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Resources: How							
valuable were outside of class-time resources (e.g., readings, videos,	3.15	13	0	4	4	4	1
online content, course notes) in building your understanding?							
1. Minimal value							
Occasional value Moderate value							
4. Significant value							
5. Very valuable, well worth the time spent on them				1			
54. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Value of	3.54	13	0	3	3	4	3
Assignments: Independent of the time required, overall, did assignments	3.54	13	U	٥	3	4	3
(e.g., homework, labs, programming assignments, projects, papers,							
presentations) improve your understanding of, and ability to use, the							
course concepts and content?							
Minimally Sometimes							
3. Usually							
4. Almost always							
5. Reliably and significantly increased my understanding and ability		1	r -	1			ı
55. [Workload, Resources, Assignments & Assessment] Exams & Grading:	3.69	13	0	0	6	5	2
Were exams and grading a fair and reasonable measure of your learning?	3.09	13	U	0	U	J	_
(Exams: clear, well written, range of content and difficulty. Grading: fair,							
prompt.)							
1. No							
Significant issues exist Generally fair assessment of my learning							
Well developed and fair							
Veil developed and fall S. Yes, definitely							
				1			
61. [Course Environment] Diversity & Inclusion: To what extent have the professors and teaching staff fostered an inclusive environment such that	4.38	13	0	0	2	4	7
,	7.50	13		ľ	_	7	'
the class is welcoming to all, everyone is encouraged to participate, none are made to feel different, and all are treated fairly?							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
1.1 Extremely non-includive with inapprepriate comments and/or hehaviors							
Extremely non-inclusive with inappropriate comments and/or behaviors Activally not inclusive with cortain students ignored, left out, or treated.							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated							
Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are							
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 							
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing 							
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 							
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are 							
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 							
Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported Course Environment Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)?	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic	3.92	13	0	0	4	6	3
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying,							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)?							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed.							
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? Almost no access and/or help was ineffective Limited access or value Acceptable access and help Good access with quality help Abundantly available high quality help Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware 							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any).							
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? Almost no access and/or help was ineffective Limited access or value Acceptable access and help Good access with quality help Abundantly available high quality help Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained.							
2. Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively 3. Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students 4. Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. 5. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported 62. [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? 1. Almost no access and/or help was ineffective 2. Limited access or value 3. Acceptable access and help 4. Good access with quality help 5. Abundantly available high quality help 63. [Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? 1. Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity 2. No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. 3. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). 4. Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. 5. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. 91. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching	4.69	13					
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? Almost no access and/or help was ineffective Limited access or value Acceptable access and help Good access with quality help Abundantly available high quality help Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching effectiveness of your lecturer compared to others at Cornell. 			0	0	0	4	9
 Actively not inclusive with certain students ignored, left out, or treated dismissively Passively not inclusive; comments or contributions by some students are valued less than those of other students Passively inclusive where everyone is welcome to participate. Nothing specific to encourage or discourage anyone. Actively inclusive, all are fully encouraged to participate and are supported [Course Environment] Access to Assistance: Was there sufficient access to assistance (through office hours, online forums, in-class or section questions and/or activities, special accommodations met, etc.)? Almost no access and/or help was ineffective Limited access or value Acceptable access and help Good access with quality help Abundantly available high quality help Course Environment] Academic Integrity: Was the code of academic integrity maintained in the class (e.g. with respect to cheating, copying, plagiarism, use of unauthorized sources, etc.)? Blatant disregard for Academic Integrity No. Violations clearly occurred that were not addressed. Not strongly. Violations could well have occurred (even if I am not aware of any). Yes. Instructor took reasonable steps to maintain academic integrity. Yes. Academic integrity was clearly and intentionally maintained. [Comparison to Other Courses] Instructor: Rate the overall teaching 	4.69	13	0	0	0	4	9

92. [Comparison to Other Courses] Course: Overall, how does this course compare with other comparable (technical or non-technical, as appropriate) courses you've taken at Cornell?	4.15	13	0	0	4	3	6
1 = Poorly, not educational							
5 = Excellently, extremely educational							