

# AI Ethics: Closed vs. Open

Gemini Deep Research

Ronni Ross

3 April 2025

## Prompt

The Ethics of Contributing to Closed AI Systems vs. Supporting Open-Source Alternatives.

## Reasoning

### Understanding AI Systems

I'm beginning to explore the core concepts by defining what exactly constitutes closed and open-source AI systems. I'll be looking into their fundamental characteristics, such as how the code is managed, who owns the data, and the level of transparency involved in each type.

### Initial Research Focus

My immediate next step is to delve deeper into the ethical considerations surrounding both closed and open-source AI. I plan to investigate the arguments that support contributing to closed systems, as well as the ethical concerns that arise from them. Simultaneously, I will explore the ethical advantages and potential challenges associated with supporting open-source AI alternatives.

### Researching websites

### Key Differences Identified

I've identified the core distinctions between closed and open-source AI. Closed AI prioritizes secrecy around its models and data, emphasizing control and security, while open-source AI champions accessibility, modification, and collaborative improvement. Examples of each are becoming clearer, with major tech companies often utilizing closed systems and a growing community developing open alternatives.

### Nuances in Openness

It seems the definition of 'open-source' in the AI context is still evolving. There's a discussion around what truly constitutes openness, especially concerning the availability of training data and the ability to reproduce models. I also encountered the term 'open-source washing,' which suggests some systems labeled as open might not fully embody the principles of transparency and free modification.

### Further Investigation

To get a more complete picture, I need to investigate data ownership and transparency in both closed and open AI systems more thoroughly. I also want to understand the limitations of transparency in closed systems and the specific challenges in defining 'open-source' AI, particularly regarding data and model accessibility.

### Researching websites

### Data Control and Challenges

I've learned that managing the vast amounts of data used in closed AI systems presents significant challenges, especially concerning user consent and the potential for misuse. While data governance aims to strike a balance

between sharing and protection, overly strict policies can actually slow down AI progress. The legal aspects of data ownership in these systems also appear to be quite complex.

#### Transparency Limitations

My research indicates that transparency in closed AI systems is often limited, making it difficult to understand how decisions are made. This lack of clarity can erode user trust. While efforts are being made to increase transparency at different levels (algorithmic, interactional, and societal), it remains a challenge, particularly as AI models become more advanced. Academic findings also point to a considerable lack of openness from major developers regarding their data and training processes.

#### Defining Openness in AI

I'm finding that defining 'open-source' for AI is more complicated than for traditional software. It's not just about the code; it also involves training data and the model's internal parameters. The term 'open-source washing' highlights that some AI systems claiming to be open might have restrictive licenses. Even when model parameters are publicly available ('open-weight'), the underlying data and architecture might not be, which affects the ability to fully modify and reproduce these models. The Open Source Initiative is working on a clear definition to address these complexities.

#### Next Steps in Research

I will now shift my focus to the ethical arguments surrounding contributing to closed AI systems versus supporting open-source alternatives. I plan to explore the ethical considerations related to innovation, resource availability, career paths, potential biases, misuse of technology, data privacy, the concentration of power, the democratization of AI, and the role of community-driven development in shaping the future of AI.

#### Researching websites

#### Ethical Standpoints

I've been analyzing the ethical reasons for engaging with closed AI systems. Arguments include the potential for rapid advancements due to focused resources, the greater availability of resources for development, and the professional opportunities within leading AI companies. However, I've also identified significant ethical concerns, particularly around the lack of transparency, which can hinder our ability to understand and address biases and potential misuse. Issues related to data privacy and the increasing concentration of power are also prominent ethical considerations.

#### Open Source Ethics

On the other hand, supporting open-source AI comes with its own set of ethical justifications. The transparency inherent in open systems allows for public examination, which is crucial for identifying and mitigating biases. Open-source AI also promotes wider access to these technologies, encouraging broader participation in their development. The collaborative nature of open-source projects can lead to more ethically sound and robust AI systems, as diverse community input helps in addressing potential biases.

#### Challenges Ahead

Despite the ethical advantages, open-source AI also faces challenges. The development process can sometimes be slower, and the accessibility of these systems raises concerns about their potential for malicious use. Ensuring proper governance and maintaining quality control within decentralized, community-driven projects can also be difficult. My next step is to further investigate the ethical trade-offs between these two approaches and to understand the perspectives of different groups involved.

#### Researching websites

#### Trustworthiness of AI

I'm currently focusing on enhancing the reliability of my analysis. While I've covered the key aspects of closed and open-source AI, I need to ensure the information is well-supported by credible sources. My aim is to make the research more trustworthy by including references to scientific literature and other reputable materials for each of the points I've discussed.

## Refining Research Approach

To achieve this, I realize I need to adjust my approach. Instead of broadly searching for information on each of the nine points in my research plan, I will now concentrate on finding specific academic papers, reports from recognized organizations, and articles from respected publications. These sources will provide the necessary evidence to back up the claims and arguments presented in my analysis.

### Next Steps: Targeted Search

My immediate next action is to refine the search queries for each of the nine points in my research plan. The goal is to pinpoint authoritative sources that can be directly cited to support the analysis. This will involve looking for specific keywords related to academic research, scientific studies, and expert reports within the domains of closed and open-source AI ethics and development.

## Researching websites

### Focusing on Credibility

I'm now shifting my attention to making sure this research is as reliable as possible. I've realized that to build trust in my findings, I need to back up the points I've made with solid evidence from scientific papers and other respected sources.

### Targeting Authoritative Sources

To do this effectively, I need to change how I search for information. Instead of general searches, I'll be looking specifically for academic studies, reports from well-known organizations, and articles from reputable publications. These kinds of sources will give me the strong support I need for the claims I've been discussing.

### Next Action: Finding Citations

My next step is to refine my search queries for each of the topics in my research plan. I'll be aiming to find those key authoritative sources that I can directly reference to strengthen my analysis. This means looking for specific terms related to academic research and expert reports in the areas of ethics and development for both closed and open-source AI.

## Researching websites

### Closed AI Insights

I've gathered more details on closed AI systems. They are characterized by proprietary code, which means the inner workings aren't publicly accessible. This often leads to limited ability for users to customize or even fully understand how the AI operates, resulting in lower transparency. On the plus side, this approach can offer consistent updates, dedicated support, and potentially better security due to internal control. However, it often comes with higher costs and can create a dependency on the vendor.

### Open Source AI Deep Dive

My understanding of open-source AI has expanded. It's defined by the freedom to use, study, modify, and share the AI system and its components, including data information, code, and parameters. This fosters transparency, accountability, and collaboration within the AI community. It also promotes wider access to AI technology and encourages innovation through collective efforts. I've also learned about the Open Source Initiative's work in defining open-source AI to ensure these principles are upheld.

### Continuing the Research

I will now focus on the ethical arguments for and concerns about contributing to closed AI systems. I'll be using the search results I already have and plan to explore those sources in more detail to find specific ethical considerations and supporting evidence.

## Researching websites

## Ethical Support for Open AI

I'm finding strong ethical reasons to support open-source AI. The transparency it offers is crucial for identifying and addressing biases, leading to fairer and more reliable systems. The collaborative nature of open-source development also encourages diverse perspectives, which can result in more ethically sound AI. Furthermore, it democratizes access to AI technology, allowing a wider range of individuals and organizations to participate in its advancement.

#### Ethical Concerns with Open AI

However, there are ethical challenges associated with open-source AI that I need to consider. The very openness that fosters collaboration can also make it easier for malicious actors to misuse the technology. Ensuring accountability and preventing the spread of harmful applications developed using open-source AI models are significant concerns. Balancing transparency with the need to prevent misuse is a complex issue that requires careful consideration.

#### Next Steps: Evidence Gathering

To strengthen these points, I will now browse the provided URLs to find specific evidence and citations that support these ethical arguments for and against open-source AI. This will help me build a more robust and trustworthy analysis.

#### Researching websites

#### Ethical Trade-offs

I'm now thinking through the ethical trade-offs between contributing to closed AI systems and supporting open-source alternatives. Contributing to closed systems might offer benefits like faster development and more readily available resources, along with potential career opportunities. However, this often comes at the cost of transparency, which can make it difficult to identify and address issues like bias and the potential for misuse. Data privacy and the concentration of power within a few organizations are also significant ethical concerns.

#### Open Source Ethics

In contrast, supporting open-source AI aligns with ethical principles like transparency and broad accessibility. The open nature of these systems allows for public scrutiny, which is vital for detecting and mitigating biases. The collaborative development model also encourages diverse input, potentially leading to more ethical and robust AI solutions. Furthermore, it promotes the democratization of AI by making these technologies available to a wider audience.

#### Perspectives on the Dilemma

To gain a deeper understanding, I will now search for different viewpoints on this ethical dilemma. I'll be looking for insights from AI researchers, ethicists, policymakers, and the general public to see how these various groups perceive the ethical implications of contributing to closed versus open-source AI.

#### Researching websites

#### Ethical Considerations

I've been comparing the ethical aspects of contributing to closed versus open-source AI. Choosing to work with closed systems might mean contributing to rapid innovation and benefiting from substantial resources, but it could also involve less transparency and potential issues with data privacy and concentrated power. On the other hand, supporting open-source AI promotes transparency and wider access, allowing for community-driven improvements and ethical oversight. However, it also brings challenges like potential misuse and the need for effective community governance.

#### Diverse Viewpoints

To get a well-rounded view, I'm now going to explore how different groups perceive this ethical question. I'll be looking into the opinions of AI researchers who are at the forefront of development, ethicists who focus on the moral implications, policymakers who are considering regulations, and the general public whose lives are increasingly affected by AI.

#### Next Steps: Gathering Perspectives

My next step is to specifically search for the perspectives of AI researchers, ethicists, policymakers, and the broader public on the ethical dilemma of contributing to closed AI versus supporting open-source alternatives. I will analyze the search results and browse relevant sources to understand their viewpoints and gather supporting information for the report.

# **The Ethics of Contributing to Closed AI Systems vs. Supporting Open-Source Alternatives**

## **1. Introduction**

Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming numerous aspects of society, from healthcare and finance to communication and entertainment. Its increasing integration into daily life and critical infrastructure has sparked a global debate concerning the ethical implications of its development and deployment. A central point of contention in this discussion is the divergence between closed AI systems, characterized by their proprietary nature and restricted access, and open-source AI alternatives, which prioritize public accessibility and collaborative development. This report aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the ethical considerations associated with contributing to closed AI systems versus supporting open-source alternatives. By defining the characteristics of each system, exploring the ethical arguments for and against each approach, comparing their implications, and examining the perspectives of various stakeholders, this analysis seeks to offer a nuanced understanding of the ethical dilemmas inherent in this critical area of technological advancement. The intended audience for this report includes policymakers, technology leaders, and individuals involved in shaping the future of AI governance and development, who require a thorough and objective evaluation to inform their strategic decisions and actions.

## **2. Defining Closed AI Systems**

Closed AI systems are distinguished by their proprietary nature, where the AI models, training data, and underlying codebase are concealed from the public and external entities.<sup>1</sup> This concealment is a deliberate strategy to protect the research and development investments of the organizations behind these systems, often driven by the pursuit of competitive advantage.<sup>2</sup> Access to the inner workings of closed AI is typically restricted to a defined community within the developing organization, ensuring that proprietary information remains protected and the control over training datasets is maintained.<sup>2</sup> Examples of closed AI models include widely used systems

like GPT-4 from OpenAI and Gemini developed by Google.<sup>3</sup>

A key characteristic of closed AI is the emphasis on control over the system and the data it uses. By limiting access to the training data and algorithms, organizations developing closed AI aim to ensure the accuracy and integrity of their systems, theoretically reducing issues such as inaccurate or nonsensical outputs.<sup>2</sup> This approach is particularly valued in sectors dealing with sensitive information, such as human resources, where the protection of personally identifiable information (PII) and protected healthcare information (PHI) is paramount.<sup>2</sup> In such contexts, closed systems are seen as a way to safeguard confidential data from external exposure and manipulation.<sup>4</sup>

Operationally, closed AI systems are often designed to function independently, without allowing outside input or adjustments once deployed.<sup>6</sup> This self-contained nature can provide consistency and stability, which is crucial in applications requiring precise and predictable outputs, such as in the finance and healthcare industries.<sup>6</sup> While this can ensure reliability, it also means that the model may not adapt to new data or changing environments outside of its original design parameters.<sup>6</sup> The proprietary code of closed AI models restricts the ability of users outside the developing organization to modify or customize the system to fit specific or unique needs.<sup>3</sup>

Despite the limited transparency inherent in closed AI, these systems often benefit from frequent updates and dedicated support provided by the developers.<sup>3</sup> This ensures a degree of reliability and maintenance that might be less consistent in community-driven open-source projects.<sup>3</sup> The internal management of security within closed AI systems is also often cited as an advantage, as the restricted access can lead to a more controlled environment and potentially better protection against vulnerabilities.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, closed-source solutions frequently come with comprehensive documentation and user-friendly interfaces, streamlining the implementation process for businesses.<sup>3</sup> The developing organizations also maintain quality control, ensuring the model meets certain industry standards.<sup>3</sup>

A closed AI environment can also be implemented within a company's own infrastructure, on-premises or in a private cloud, providing enhanced security and ensuring data sovereignty.<sup>5</sup> This approach allows organizations to tailor AI models using their own proprietary datasets without the need for external exposure, further safeguarding sensitive information and adhering to regulatory compliance.<sup>5</sup> While the initial setup costs for such environments might be high, long-term savings are possible by avoiding recurring cloud service fees associated with external AI

platforms.<sup>5</sup>

The fundamental characteristic of closed AI systems is their proprietary nature, where the source code is not publicly accessible.<sup>7</sup> This approach allows enterprises to maintain a competitive edge in commercializing innovations and provides increased control over the technology, making it easier to advance technologies and mitigate misuse.<sup>7</sup> However, this control and protection of intellectual property come at the expense of broader scrutiny and collaborative potential, which are hallmarks of open-source alternatives.<sup>7</sup>

The following table summarizes the key attributes of closed AI systems:

Attribute	Description	Source(s)
Proprietary Code	AI models, training data, and underlying codebase are concealed; source code is not publicly accessible.	<sup>1</sup>
Restricted Data Access	Access to training data and algorithms is limited to individuals within a defined community or organization.	<sup>2</sup>
Limited Transparency	Insights into algorithms and data handling practices are restricted, often operating as a "black box."	<sup>1</sup>
Controlled Updates	Development and updates are managed internally by the owning organization.	<sup>2</sup>
Emphasis on Security	Prioritizes data security and confidentiality through strict control over internal data access and usage.	<sup>2</sup>
Limited Customization	Ability for users outside the developing organization to modify or adapt the model is restricted.	<sup>3</sup>
Potential for Stability	Designed to provide consistency and stability in	<sup>6</sup>

	outputs, especially for specific applications.	
--	--	--

### 3. Defining Open-Source AI Alternatives

Open-source AI represents a paradigm shift in the development and accessibility of artificial intelligence, characterized by its publicly accessible and collaborative nature.<sup>7</sup> Unlike closed AI systems, open-source AI models, such as GPT-Neo and OpenAI's CLIP, are freely available for developers to use for various applications and purposes.<sup>3</sup> The source code is openly shared, encouraging voluntary improvement and allowing anyone to access and modify the model.<sup>3</sup> This approach fosters better transparency and collaboration, although it can present different challenges compared to closed systems.<sup>3</sup>

Collaboration and transparency are foundational to open-source AI, enabling diverse contributors to refine and enhance the technology.<sup>7</sup> The Open Source Initiative (OSI) has been instrumental in defining open-source AI, establishing criteria that grant users the freedoms to use, study, modify, and share the system for any purpose, including changing its output.<sup>9</sup> Exercising these freedoms necessitates access to the preferred form for making modifications, which, for machine learning systems, includes detailed information about the training data, the complete source code used to train and run the system, and the model parameters.<sup>10</sup>

The OSI's candidate definition of open-source AI emphasizes that these requirements apply not only to the AI system as a whole but also to its discrete elements, such as the AI model itself, the code used to run the model, the model architecture, model parameters, and the AI weights.<sup>10</sup> "Open Source models" and "Open Source weights" must include the data information and code used to derive those parameters, ensuring a comprehensive level of openness.<sup>10</sup> While the definition does not mandate a specific legal mechanism for assuring the free availability of model parameters, the intent is clear: to promote autonomy, transparency, frictionless reuse, and collaborative improvement in the field of AI.<sup>10</sup>

Open-source AI takes a collaborative approach to artificial intelligence development, making essential components like source code, model weights, and sometimes training data available for the public to use, modify, and improve upon.<sup>13</sup> This approach has allowed foundational technologies like the internet and cloud computing to be made possible.<sup>13</sup> By making these essential components open and accessible, developers, researchers, and newcomers can explore how these systems work and adapt them for real-world applications.<sup>13</sup> Platforms like Hugging Face have



become central hubs for open-source AI, providing access to a wide range of models and tools for tasks such as translation, text generation, and image recognition, allowing users to modify and deploy models easily.<sup>14</sup>

The benefits of open-source AI are numerous. It democratizes access to cutting-edge tools, lowering entry barriers for individuals and smaller organizations that may lack resources.<sup>8</sup> By making these technologies freely available, open-source AI allows developers to innovate and create AI solutions that might have been otherwise inaccessible due to financial constraints.<sup>8</sup> This affordability encourages innovation in niche or specialized applications, as developers can modify existing models to meet unique needs.<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, by sharing code, data, and research findings, open-source AI enables collective problem-solving and innovation.<sup>8</sup> Large-scale collaborations, such as those seen in the development of frameworks like TensorFlow and PyTorch, have accelerated advancements in machine learning (ML) and deep learning.<sup>8</sup> The open-source nature of these platforms also facilitates rapid iteration and improvement, as contributors from across the globe can propose modifications and enhancements to existing tools.<sup>8</sup>

The openness of the development process in open-source AI encourages diverse contributions, making it possible for underrepresented groups to shape the future of AI.<sup>8</sup> This inclusivity not only fosters a more equitable development environment but also helps to address biases that might otherwise be overlooked by larger, profit-driven corporations.<sup>8</sup> One key benefit of open-source AI is the increased transparency it offers compared to closed-source alternatives.<sup>8</sup> With open-source models, the underlying algorithms and code are accessible for inspection, which promotes accountability and helps developers understand how a model reaches its conclusions.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, open-weight models allow developers to directly access model parameters, potentially facilitating the reduction of bias and increased fairness in their applications.<sup>8</sup> This transparency can help create systems with human-readable outputs, or "explainable AI," which is a growingly key concern, especially in high-stakes applications.<sup>8</sup>

Despite the different views on the specifics, the open-source artificial intelligence space largely follows the core open-source principles of publicly released source code and allowing anyone to use, examine, modify, and redistribute the software and source code.<sup>15</sup> Adopting open-source principles in artificial intelligence systems enables developers worldwide to contribute and improve AI systems, bringing healthy competition and promoting transparency.<sup>15</sup> Open-sourcing AI systems also makes AI more accessible in the global economy and helps achieve the democratization of AI.<sup>15</sup>

The following table summarizes the key attributes of open-source AI:

Attribute	Description	Source(s)
Publicly Accessible Code	Source code is freely available for anyone to view, use, modify, and distribute.	<sup>4</sup>
Collaborative Development	Encourages contributions from a global community of developers, researchers, and users.	<sup>2</sup>
Community Involvement	Relies on community support for development, bug fixes, security audits, and innovation.	<sup>2</sup>
Transparency	Algorithms, models, and sometimes training data are open for scrutiny, promoting accountability and understanding of decision-making processes.	<sup>7</sup>
Freedom to Modify	Users have the right to adapt and customize the AI system for their specific needs and purposes.	<sup>4</sup>
Potential for Democratization	Lowers barriers to entry, allowing individuals, startups, and smaller organizations to access and utilize advanced AI technologies.	<sup>8</sup>

#### 4. Ethical Arguments in Favor of Contributing to Closed AI Systems

Contributing to closed AI systems can be ethically justifiable based on several arguments, primarily revolving around the potential for significant and rapid advancements in the field. The concentration of resources, both financial and human, within private companies developing closed AI often allows for a more focused and efficient approach to research and development.<sup>7</sup> This can lead to quicker breakthroughs and the development of sophisticated AI capabilities that might be challenging to achieve in the more distributed environment of open-source projects.<sup>2</sup>

The rapid development cycles inherent in closed AI systems can also enhance the security and performance of these technologies, benefiting users through more reliable and robust AI applications.<sup>7</sup>

Large corporations engaged in closed AI development often possess substantial capital to invest in cutting-edge infrastructure, including high-performance computing resources and extensive datasets.<sup>2</sup> This access to significant resources enables researchers and engineers to tackle computationally intensive tasks and experiment with large-scale models, potentially leading to innovations that might be unattainable for projects with limited funding.<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, these organizations can attract and retain top-tier AI talent, fostering an environment where specialized expertise can be concentrated on solving complex technical challenges.<sup>7</sup> The ease of use provided by closed AI systems is another factor that can be seen as ethically positive. Vendors typically offer comprehensive infrastructure and support services, facilitating quicker adoption of these technologies by businesses and individuals who may lack deep technical expertise in AI.<sup>2</sup> This streamlined implementation and readily available support can lower the barrier to entry for leveraging advanced AI capabilities, allowing a wider range of users to benefit from these tools in their workflows.<sup>17</sup>

Contributing to closed AI systems can also provide valuable career opportunities for researchers and engineers.<sup>17</sup> The concentration of AI development within established companies creates numerous specialized roles in research, engineering, and product development, offering individuals a structured career path and the chance to work on impactful projects with cutting-edge technologies.<sup>20</sup> The competitive nature of the AI field incentivizes these companies to attract and retain top talent, potentially leading to better compensation and benefits for those who choose to contribute to their proprietary efforts.<sup>18</sup> Moreover, the focus on commercial applications in many closed AI settings can drive innovation towards market-ready products, providing contributors with the satisfaction of seeing their work deployed at scale and impacting real-world problems.<sup>18</sup>

Finally, closed AI systems often undergo rigorous testing and quality control processes managed by the developing organization.<sup>2</sup> This centralized control over the development lifecycle allows for stringent quality assurance measures, leading to more stable and dependable AI applications.<sup>3</sup> Dedicated teams responsible for testing and validation can ensure that the AI models meet certain performance standards and are less prone to errors or unexpected behavior, which is particularly crucial for applications where accuracy and consistency are paramount.<sup>17</sup> This focus on quality and reliability can be seen as an ethical advantage, as it reduces the risk of deploying

flawed or unreliable AI systems that could potentially cause harm or erode user trust.

## **5. Ethical Concerns Associated with Contributing to Closed AI Systems**

Despite the potential benefits, contributing to closed AI systems raises several significant ethical concerns, primarily centered around the lack of transparency inherent in their proprietary nature. One of the most prominent concerns is the limited visibility into the algorithms, training data, and decision-making processes of these systems.<sup>1</sup> This "black box" nature makes it difficult to understand how these AI models arrive at their conclusions, raising concerns about potential biases, errors, or unintended consequences that might be hidden from public scrutiny.<sup>23</sup> Without transparency, it is challenging for external experts, ethicists, or the public to assess the reliability, fairness, and safety of closed AI models, potentially leading to a lack of trust in their outputs, especially in high-stakes applications.<sup>1</sup>

The lack of diverse external review in closed AI systems also increases the risk of unchecked bias in their training data or algorithms.<sup>2</sup> Biases reflecting the values or limitations of the development team might go unnoticed, potentially leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes without public oversight.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, the concentrated control over these powerful systems raises concerns about their potential misuse, either intentionally by the developing organization for profit or other motives, or unintentionally through errors leading to harm.<sup>7</sup>

Data privacy and ownership are also significant ethical concerns associated with closed AI systems.<sup>2</sup> These systems often collect, use, and store vast amounts of data, including personal and sensitive information, with limited transparency regarding data handling practices, ownership, and consent.<sup>46</sup> Users may not fully understand how their data is being used to train or operate closed AI models, and the lack of transparency can erode trust and raise concerns about potential privacy violations, data breaches, or misuse by the controlling entity.<sup>46</sup> The potential for data repurposing beyond the original consent and the risks associated with data persistence also add to these concerns.<sup>46</sup>

Finally, the concentration of AI development and control within a few large corporations raises broader ethical implications.<sup>7</sup> This can potentially lead to monopolies, stifle competition and innovation by smaller players, and result in an uneven distribution of the benefits and risks associated with AI technologies.<sup>7</sup> The significant resources required for advanced AI development create high barriers to entry, leading to a concentration of power that could skew priorities and benefits towards the interests of these dominant corporations rather than the broader public

good.<sup>7</sup> The potential for anti-competitive behavior and the impact on democratic processes due to the influence of these powerful entities over information and technology are also significant ethical concerns.<sup>68</sup>

## **6. Ethical Arguments in Favor of Supporting Open-Source AI Alternatives**

Supporting open-source AI alternatives is ethically compelling for several reasons, primarily centered on the principles of transparency, democratization, collaboration, and the potential for mitigating biases. Open-source AI fosters transparency by allowing public scrutiny of its code, data, and models.<sup>7</sup> This openness builds trust and accountability, enabling a better understanding of how AI systems work and make decisions.<sup>8</sup> By making the inner workings of AI accessible, a wider community can contribute to identifying and rectifying biases, errors, and vulnerabilities, leading to more reliable and trustworthy systems.<sup>71</sup> This transparency contrasts sharply with the "black box" nature of many closed AI systems, where the lack of visibility can obscure potential harms or unfairness.<sup>71</sup>

Open-source AI also democratizes access to advanced AI tools and knowledge.<sup>8</sup> By lowering barriers to entry, it makes AI technologies available to individuals, startups, researchers, and underserved communities that might not have the resources to invest in proprietary systems.<sup>8</sup> This broader accessibility fosters innovation and allows for wider participation in the field of AI, potentially leading to a more diverse range of applications and solutions that address a wider array of societal needs.<sup>73</sup> The free availability of open-source AI resources empowers individuals and organizations to experiment, adapt, and improve AI technologies for their specific needs without financial constraints.<sup>73</sup>

Furthermore, open-source AI thrives on community-driven development.<sup>2</sup> The collaborative nature of these projects encourages diverse contributions from developers, researchers, and users worldwide.<sup>8</sup> This collective intelligence and diverse expertise can lead to faster innovation, more robust solutions, and the incorporation of a wider range of ethical perspectives.<sup>76</sup> Unlike the often more siloed development in closed AI systems, open-source AI benefits from a global community that can collectively identify and solve issues, leading to models that are more adaptable, resilient, and responsive to real-world needs.<sup>78</sup>

Finally, open-source AI offers the potential for mitigating biases in data and algorithms.<sup>7</sup> The transparency of open-source AI allows for a broader scrutiny of training data and model behavior, making it easier to detect and address potential biases.<sup>30</sup> The diverse perspectives within the open-source community can also

contribute to identifying biases that might be overlooked by a more homogenous development team.<sup>30</sup> Collaborative efforts within the open-source community can lead to the development and implementation of techniques and tools aimed at ensuring fairness and equity in AI systems.<sup>79</sup>

## **7. Ethical Challenges and Potential Drawbacks of Supporting Open-Source AI**

While open-source AI offers numerous ethical advantages, it also presents certain challenges and potential drawbacks that warrant careful consideration. One of these is the possibility of slower development cycles.<sup>2</sup> The decentralized nature of open-source development, which relies heavily on voluntary contributions from a distributed community, can sometimes lead to a slower pace of progress compared to the more directed and resource-rich efforts within closed organizations.<sup>40</sup> Coordinating contributions, reaching consensus on technical decisions, and ensuring consistent progress can take time, potentially impacting the speed at which new features, improvements, or critical security patches are released.<sup>29</sup>

Another significant ethical challenge associated with open-source AI is the increased potential for malicious use.<sup>4</sup> The very openness that fosters innovation and accessibility can also be exploited by individuals or groups with harmful intentions.<sup>28</sup> Freely available AI models, code, and data can be repurposed for malicious purposes, such as creating sophisticated deepfakes, developing automated cyberattacks, or even contributing to the development of dangerous technologies.<sup>28</sup> The lack of centralized control in open-source projects can make it more challenging to prevent such misuse and to hold perpetrators accountable.<sup>83</sup>

Establishing and enforcing robust governance and quality control mechanisms can also be difficult in decentralized open-source AI projects.<sup>2</sup> Ensuring the reliability, safety, and ethical behavior of AI systems requires effective governance structures and quality control processes.<sup>90</sup> However, the lack of centralized authority in open-source projects can make it challenging to implement and maintain consistent standards for code quality, data integrity, and adherence to ethical guidelines.<sup>2</sup> This can potentially lead to inconsistencies, vulnerabilities, or the propagation of biases if not carefully managed.<sup>83</sup>

The sustainability and funding of open-source AI projects represent another potential drawback.<sup>2</sup> Many of these projects rely heavily on the volunteer work of contributors and may depend on external funding sources such as grants or donations.<sup>71</sup> This reliance can lead to challenges in ensuring the long-term maintenance, support, and continued development of complex AI systems, as volunteer efforts can fluctuate, and



funding may not always be consistent.<sup>2</sup> Without dedicated resources, projects may stagnate, become outdated, or face difficulties in addressing critical issues or implementing necessary updates.<sup>71</sup>

Finally, the risk of "open-source washing" is an ethical concern.<sup>98</sup> Some entities may label their AI systems as "open source" while still retaining significant control over key components or imposing restrictions that undermine the true principles of open source.<sup>99</sup> This practice can mislead users and create confusion about the actual level of openness and transparency of the AI system, potentially hindering the adoption of genuinely open and collaborative alternatives.<sup>98</sup>

## **8. Comparing and Contrasting the Ethical Implications**

Contributing to closed AI systems and supporting open-source alternatives present distinct sets of ethical implications, each with its own trade-offs across various dimensions.<sup>2</sup> Closed AI systems often prioritize rapid innovation, resource availability, and ease of use, potentially leading to quicker advancements and more user-friendly applications.<sup>2</sup> The structured environments and career opportunities within these organizations can also be seen as ethically positive for individuals seeking to contribute to impactful technologies.<sup>17</sup> However, these benefits are often accompanied by ethical concerns related to limited transparency, the potential for unchecked bias and misuse, issues surrounding data privacy and ownership, and the broader societal implications of concentrated power in the hands of a few corporations.<sup>1</sup>

Conversely, supporting open-source AI alternatives aligns with ethical principles of transparency, democratization, and collaboration.<sup>7</sup> The openness of these systems allows for broader scrutiny, potentially leading to the identification and mitigation of biases and vulnerabilities, and fostering greater public trust.<sup>8</sup> By lowering barriers to entry, open-source AI democratizes access to advanced technologies, enabling wider participation in their development and application.<sup>8</sup> The community-driven nature of these projects encourages diverse contributions and can lead to more robust and ethically sound AI solutions.<sup>2</sup> However, open-source AI also faces ethical challenges such as potentially slower development cycles, the risk of malicious use due to public accessibility, the need for robust governance and quality control mechanisms, and concerns about sustainability and funding.<sup>2</sup> The risk of "open-source washing" also poses an ethical concern by potentially misleading users about the true nature of openness in certain AI systems.<sup>98</sup>

The choice between contributing to closed or supporting open AI often depends on

individual values, organizational goals, and the specific context of the AI application.<sup>104</sup> Individuals who prioritize transparency, collaboration, and the democratization of technology might find supporting open-source AI more ethically aligned with their values.<sup>106</sup> Organizations with strong concerns about data security, intellectual property, or the need for dedicated support might lean towards closed AI systems.<sup>104</sup> The long-term societal impacts of favoring one approach over the other are significant. A dominant landscape of closed AI could lead to greater power concentration and limited public oversight, while a thriving open-source ecosystem could foster broader innovation and more equitable access to AI technologies.<sup>106</sup> Ultimately, a balanced approach that leverages the strengths of both models while addressing their respective ethical challenges might be the most beneficial for the advancement of AI in a responsible and ethical manner.<sup>109</sup>

The following table summarizes the ethical comparison between closed and open-source AI:

Ethical Dimension	Closed AI Systems	Open-Source AI Alternatives
Transparency	Limited visibility into algorithms, data, and decision-making; potential "black box" nature raises concerns about understanding biases and errors.	High transparency allows for public scrutiny of code, data, and models, fostering trust, accountability, and identification of biases and vulnerabilities.
Accountability	Accountability often lies with the developing organization, but lack of transparency can make it difficult to trace responsibility for negative outcomes.	Community involvement can enhance accountability through shared responsibility and the ability for external audits and scrutiny.
Bias	Risk of unchecked bias due to limited external review; biases in training data or algorithms may persist undetected.	Broader community review and diverse contributions can facilitate the identification and mitigation of biases in data and algorithms.
Potential for Misuse	Risk of misuse by the developing organization or malicious actors without public oversight; however,	Increased risk of malicious use due to public accessibility of models and code; requires robust safeguards and



	tighter control can also limit external misuse.	community oversight to mitigate.
Data Privacy & Ownership	Data practices can be opaque; concerns about user privacy, control over personal information, and security of sensitive data.	Transparency in data handling practices can build user confidence; allows for more control over data usage and deployment, potentially enhancing privacy.
Innovation Speed	Potential for rapid innovation driven by concentrated resources and focused R&D within private companies.	Can foster faster innovation through collaborative development and the collective intelligence of a global community; however, may sometimes be slower due to the need for consensus.
Control	Developing organization maintains strong control over the AI system, including updates, features, and access.	Users have greater control over the AI system, with the ability to customize, adapt, and deploy it according to their specific needs; reduces reliance on a single vendor.
Accessibility	Access often restricted through licensing fees or proprietary platforms, potentially creating barriers for smaller players.	Lowers barriers to entry, making advanced AI tools and knowledge accessible to a wider range of individuals and organizations, promoting democratization.
Governance & Quality	Quality control managed internally by the developing organization; governance is centralized.	Requires establishing robust governance and quality control mechanisms within a decentralized, community-driven environment, which can be challenging.
Sustainability	Often supported by the financial resources of the owning company, providing a degree of long-term stability.	Can be reliant on volunteer contributions and external funding, potentially leading to challenges in long-term maintenance and development.

## 9. Exploring Different Perspectives on the Ethical Dilemma

The ethical dilemma of contributing to closed AI systems versus supporting open-source alternatives is viewed through various lenses by different stakeholder groups, each with their own priorities and concerns. AI researchers often see the potential for rapid progress in closed systems due to the availability of significant resources and focused efforts.<sup>7</sup> They also recognize the value of transparency in open-source AI for scrutinizing models, identifying biases, and fostering collaboration.<sup>71</sup> Researchers may advocate for a balance, suggesting that open-source models can drive innovation and accessibility, while closed-source models might be more suitable for applications requiring high levels of control and safety.<sup>109</sup> Some researchers also point out that the gap in performance between open and closed models is narrowing, further complicating the decision.<sup>119</sup>

Ethicists approach this dilemma by focusing on moral principles such as fairness, accountability, privacy, and the potential for societal impact.<sup>28</sup> They often argue that the transparency offered by open-source AI is crucial for ethical development, as it allows for the identification and mitigation of biases and ensures greater accountability.<sup>71</sup> Ethicists also raise concerns about the concentration of power in closed AI systems and the potential for these systems to exacerbate existing inequalities.<sup>106</sup> Many emphasize the importance of democratizing access to AI and ensuring that its benefits are distributed equitably, which aligns with the principles of open-source development.<sup>71</sup>

Policymakers grapple with the challenge of regulating both open and closed AI systems, considering factors such as national security, economic competitiveness, and the need to foster innovation while mitigating risks.<sup>53</sup> Some policymakers may favor regulations that encourage transparency and accountability, potentially leaning towards supporting open-source initiatives.<sup>53</sup> Others might prioritize control and security, which could lead to a more favorable stance towards closed AI systems, especially in sensitive sectors.<sup>124</sup> There is also a recognition of the need to balance geopolitical considerations, such as competition with other nations in AI development, with ideological values around open technology.<sup>124</sup> The debate often revolves around whether to impose export controls or licensing schemes on open-source AI models, with some policymakers advocating for caution to avoid stifling innovation.<sup>126</sup>

The broader public holds diverse views on the ethics of AI development. Concerns about the misuse of AI, erosion of data privacy, and the potential for job displacement are prevalent.<sup>3</sup> While some may trust AI more than humans in certain aspects like avoiding discrimination, there is a general worry about the lack of transparency and

accountability in AI systems, both open and closed.<sup>3</sup> The public also expresses concerns about the concentration of power in big tech companies developing AI.<sup>3</sup> There is a growing expectation for transparency in how AI systems are developed and used, and a desire for regulations that ensure fairness and protect against potential harms.<sup>3</sup>

## **10. Conclusion and Recommendations**

The ethical landscape surrounding the choice between contributing to closed AI systems and supporting open-source alternatives is complex and multifaceted. Both approaches present distinct advantages and disadvantages, creating a genuine dilemma for individuals, organizations, and policymakers navigating the rapidly evolving field of artificial intelligence. Closed AI systems offer a pathway to potentially rapid innovation, leveraging significant resources and expertise within private entities. They can also provide ease of use, reliable performance, and robust security measures, making them attractive for specific applications and industries. However, these benefits often come at the cost of limited transparency, raising ethical concerns about the potential for unchecked bias, misuse of data, and the concentration of power among a few dominant corporations.

Conversely, open-source AI alternatives champion the principles of transparency, democratization, and collaboration. By making AI technologies publicly accessible and encouraging community-driven development, open-source AI fosters trust, promotes innovation from a diverse range of contributors, and offers the potential to mitigate biases through broader scrutiny. However, this model is not without its challenges, including potentially slower development cycles, the risk of malicious use, the need for robust governance and quality control, and concerns about long-term sustainability and funding. The practice of "open-source washing" further complicates the landscape by blurring the lines between truly open and deceptively labeled AI systems.

Ultimately, the decision of whether to contribute to closed AI systems or support open-source alternatives involves a careful consideration of these ethical trade-offs, taking into account individual values, organizational goals, and the specific context of AI application.

For researchers and developers, a commitment to ethical principles should guide their work, regardless of the chosen AI development model. This includes prioritizing responsible innovation, striving for transparency whenever feasible, and actively engaging with ethical frameworks and guidelines to ensure their contributions benefit

society and minimize potential harm.

Organizations should carefully assess their specific needs, values, and risk tolerance when deciding whether to develop or adopt closed or open-source AI. Prioritizing transparency and ethical considerations should be paramount in either case, along with engaging with relevant stakeholders to foster trust and ensure responsible deployment of AI technologies.

Policymakers face the crucial task of fostering both innovation and responsible development in the AI ecosystem. A balanced approach that considers the unique benefits and risks of open and closed AI is necessary when crafting regulations and guidelines. The aim should be to promote transparency, accountability, and fairness across the AI landscape, while avoiding measures that could stifle beneficial advancements or unduly restrict access to this transformative technology.

In conclusion, the ethical considerations surrounding closed versus open-source AI are not mutually exclusive but rather represent different points on a spectrum of values and priorities. Ongoing dialogue and collaboration among all stakeholders—researchers, ethicists, policymakers, industry leaders, and the public—are essential to navigate these complex issues and ensure the ethical and beneficial development of AI for the betterment of society as a whole.

## Referências citadas

1. [www.techtarget.com](https://www.techtarget.com/searchenterpriseai/feature/Attributes-of-open-vs-closed-AI-explained#:~:text=What%20is%20closed%20AI%2C%20in,the%20benefits%20of%20public%20oversight.), acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.techtarget.com/searchenterpriseai/feature/Attributes-of-open-vs-closed-AI-explained#:~:text=What%20is%20closed%20AI%2C%20in,the%20benefits%20of%20public%20oversight.>
2. Balancing Innovation and Security: Exploring Open and Closed AI Systems For HR | AJG United States, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.ajg.com/news-and-insights/balancing-innovation-and-security-exploring-open-and-closed-ai-systems-for-hr/>
3. Open-Source AI vs. Closed-Source AI: What's the Difference? - Multimodal.dev, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.multimodal.dev/post/open-source-ai-vs-closed-source-ai>
4. The Differences Between Open and Closed AI - Sharp UK, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.sharp.co.uk/news-and-events/blog/the-differences-between-closed-and-open-ai>
5. How Companies Are Using Closed AI Systems to Safeguard Data Sovereignty and Stay Ahead in the Digital Race | by Leon Adelstein | Medium, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://medium.com/@adelstein/how-companies-are-using-closed-ai-systems-t>

- [o-safeguard-data-sovereignty-and-stay-ahead-in-the-ec5345ff9af3](#)
6. Closed-Model - Iterate.ai, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.iterate.ai/ai-glossary/closed-model-information>
  7. Emerging AI: Open vs Closed Source - Center Forward, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://center-forward.org/basic/emerging-ai-open-vs-closed-source/>
  8. Open-source artificial intelligence - Wikipedia, acessado em abril 3, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open-source\\_artificial\\_intelligence](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open-source_artificial_intelligence)
  9. opensource.org, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://opensource.org/ai/open-source-ai-definition#:~:text=An%20Open%20Source%20AI%20is,incluing%20to%20change%20its%20output.>
  10. The Open Source AI Definition – 1.0 – Open Source Initiative, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://opensource.org/ai/open-source-ai-definition>
  11. The Open Source AI Definition 1.0, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://opensource.org/ai>
  12. OSI releases first candidate definition of 'open source AI' for final comments, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.osler.com/en/insights/updates/osi-releases-first-candidate-definition-of-open-source-ai-for-final-comments/>
  13. Open Source AI: Definition and 11 Platforms to Know | Built In, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://builtin.com/artificial-intelligence/open-source-ai>
  14. Open-source AI for Community Engagement | Restackio, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.restack.io/p/open-source-ai-answer-community-engagement-cat-a-i>
  15. Benefits and Applications of Open Source AI | Moesif Blog, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.moesif.com/blog/technical/api-development/Open-Source-AI/>
  16. center-forward.org, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://center-forward.org/basic/emerging-ai-open-vs-closed-source/#:~:text=Closed%20AI%20systems%20offer%20advantages,focused%20on%20maintaining%20competitive%20advantages.>
  17. Open Source AI vs. Proprietary AI: Pros and Cons for Developers, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.novusasi.com/blog/open-source-ai-vs-proprietary-ai-pros-and-cons-for-developers>
  18. Open-Source vs Proprietary AI: Which Should Businesses Choose?, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.pymnts.com/artificial-intelligence-2/2025/open-source-vs-proprietary-ai-which-should-businesses-choose/>
  19. How Generative AI Affects Teamwork at Companies | PYMNTS.com, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.pymnts.com/artificial-intelligence-2/2025/how-generative-ai-affects-teamwork-at-companies/>
  20. Open Source Vs Proprietary AI Large Language Models (LLMs): A Comparative Analysis, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://spearhead.so/open-source-vs-proprietary-llms/>

21. Why transparency is key to unlocking AI's full potential - The World Economic Forum, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/01/why-transparency-key-to-unlocking-ai-full-potential/>
22. What Is AI Transparency? | IBM, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/ai-transparency>
23. What is AI transparency? A comprehensive guide - Zendesk, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.zendesk.com/blog/ai-transparency/>
24. What Does Transparency Really Mean in the Context of AI Governance? - OCEG, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.oceg.org/what-does-transparency-really-mean-in-the-context-of-ai-governance/>
25. Issues of AI and Academic Transparency - Open and Universal Science (OPUS) Project, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://opusproject.eu/openscience-news/issues-of-ai-and-academic-transparency/>
26. Most Supposedly 'Open' AI Systems Are Actually Closed—and That's a Problem, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://singularityhub.com/2024/11/30/most-supposedly-open-ai-systems-are-actually-closed-and-thats-a-problem/>
27. Transparency in AI Companies: Stanford Study - Lumenova AI, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.lumenova.ai/blog/how-transparent-are-ai-companies/>
28. The ethics of open and public AI: Balancing transparency and safety - Red Hat, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/ethics-open-and-public-ai-balancing-transparency-and-safety>
29. The ethical dilemmas of AI | USC Annenberg School for Communication and Journalism, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://annenberg.usc.edu/research/center-public-relations/usc-annenberg-relevance-report/ethical-dilemmas-ai>
30. The Ethical Considerations of Artificial Intelligence | Capitol Technology University, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.captechu.edu/blog/ethical-considerations-of-artificial-intelligence>
31. Ethical concerns mount as AI takes bigger decision-making role - Harvard Gazette, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://news.harvard.edu/gazette/story/2020/10/ethical-concerns-mount-as-ai-takes-bigger-decision-making-role/>
32. Full article: Challenges in Pursuing AI Transparency - Taylor & Francis Online, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15265161.2025.2458425>
33. Through the Looking-Glass: Transparency Implications and Challenges in Enterprise AI Knowledge Systems - arXiv, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2401.09410v3>
34. Artificial Intelligence and Ethics: A Comprehensive Review of Bias Mitigation, Transparency, and Accountability in AI Systems - ResearchGate, acessado em



- abril 3, 2025,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375744287\\_Artificial\\_Intelligence\\_and\\_Ethics\\_A\\_Comprehensive\\_Review\\_of\\_Bias\\_Mitigation\\_Transparency\\_and\\_Accountability\\_in\\_AI\\_Systems](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375744287_Artificial_Intelligence_and_Ethics_A_Comprehensive_Review_of_Bias_Mitigation_Transparency_and_Accountability_in_AI_Systems)
35. Transparency and accountability in AI systems: safeguarding wellbeing in the age of algorithmic decision-making - Frontiers, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-dynamics/articles/10.3389/fhumd.2024.1421273/full>
  36. AI Ethics: Balancing Innovation and Responsibility - Workfall, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://stories.workfall.com/stories/ai-ethics-balancing-innovation-and-responsibility/>
  37. Ethical considerations of AI: Fairness, transparency, and frameworks | Future of responsible AI | Lumenalta, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://lumenalta.com/insights/ethical-considerations-of-ai>
  38. 5 Ethical Considerations of AI in Business, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://online.hbs.edu/blog/post/ethical-considerations-of-ai>
  39. Health Equity and Ethical Considerations in Using Artificial Intelligence in Public Health and Medicine - PMC, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11364282/>
  40. The Ethical Dilemmas of Artificial Intelligence | AI Ethical Issues - GO-Globe, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.go-globe.com/ethical-dilemmas-of-artificial-intelligence/>
  41. Artificial Intelligence: examples of ethical dilemmas - UNESCO, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.unesco.org/en/artificial-intelligence/recommendation-ethics/cases>
  42. Bias in AI - Chapman University, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://azwww.chapman.edu/ai/bias-in-ai.aspx>
  43. Disclosing the Risk and Solution of Proprietary AI Systems - Coditudo, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.coditudo.com/insights/unmasking-the-ai-titans/>
  44. People are worried about the misuse of AI, but they trust it more than ..., acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.ipsos.com/en-us/people-are-worried-about-misuse-ai-they-trust-it-more-humans>
  45. Mitigating Bias in AI Algorithms: Identifying, and Ensuring Responsible AI - Leena AI, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://leena.ai/blog/mitigating-bias-in-ai/>
  46. Exploring privacy issues in the age of AI - IBM, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/ai-privacy>
  47. AI Network Congestion Control: Ethical Considerations and Privacy Concerns | Orhan Ergun, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://orhanergun.net/ai-network-congestion-control-ethical-considerations-and-privacy-concerns>
  48. AI in Emergency Management: Ethical Considerations and Challenges, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/full/10.1142/S268998092450009X>

49. Five Ethical Issues of AI in the Modern Workplace - SDI, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.servicedeskstitute.com/resources/five-ethical-issues-of-ai-in-the-modern-workplace/>
50. AI and Your Privacy: Understanding the Concerns | Transcend ..., acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://transcend.io/blog/ai-privacy-issues>
51. Data privacy and AI: ethical considerations and best practices - TrustCommunity, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://community.trustcloud.ai/docs/grc-launchpad/grc-101/governance/data-privacy-and-ai-ethical-considerations-and-best-practices/>
52. The growing data privacy concerns with AI: What you need to know - DataGuard, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.dataguard.com/blog/growing-data-privacy-concerns-ai/>
53. Why open-source AI and data ethics are the future of public services, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://modulardata.co.uk/insights/why-open-source-ai-and-data-ethics-are-the-future-of-public-services/>
54. The case for consent in the AI data gold rush - Brookings Institution, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the-case-for-consent-in-the-ai-data-gold-rush/>
55. Copyright and AI training data—transparency to the rescue? - Oxford Academic, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://academic.oup.com/jiplp/article/20/3/182/7922541>
56. Data Ownership in the AI-Powered Integrative Health Care Landscape - PMC, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11615554/>
57. Patients and generative AI: Who owns your diagnosis? - PMC, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11685166/>
58. Data Ownership in the Age of AI - Sogeti Labs, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://labs.sogeti.com/data-ownership-in-the-age-of-ai-the-impact-of-data-governance/>
59. What Are the Privacy Concerns With AI? - VeraSafe, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://verasafe.com/blog/what-are-the-privacy-concerns-with-ai/>
60. AI and Privacy Concerns in Data Security - ResearchGate, acessado em abril 3, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383693183\\_AI\\_and\\_Privacy\\_Concerns\\_in\\_Data\\_Security](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383693183_AI_and_Privacy_Concerns_in_Data_Security)
61. AI and Privacy: The privacy concerns surrounding AI, its potential impact on personal data, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://m.economictimes.com/news/how-to/ai-and-privacy-the-privacy-concerns-surrounding-ai-its-potential-impact-on-personal-data/articleshow/99738234.cms>
62. Artificial Intelligence Impacts on Privacy Law - RAND, acessado em abril 3, 2025, [https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\\_reports/RRA3243-2.html](https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA3243-2.html)
63. Consumer Perspectives of Privacy and Artificial Intelligence - IAPP, acessado em



- abril 3, 2025,  
<https://iapp.org/resources/article/consumer-perspectives-of-privacy-and-ai/>
64. Ethical Issues of AI - PMC, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7968615/>
  65. The Ethical Implications of AI and Job Displacement - Sogeti Labs, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://labs.sogeti.com/the-ethical-implications-of-ai-and-job-displacement/>
  66. The ethics of artificial intelligence: Issues and initiatives - European Parliament, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
[https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2020/634452/EPRS\\_STU\(2020\)634452\\_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2020/634452/EPRS_STU(2020)634452_EN.pdf)
  67. Ethical Challenges and Solutions of Generative AI: An Interdisciplinary Perspective - MDPI, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9709/11/3/58>
  68. Dangers Of AI - Concentration Of Power - Artificial Intelligence +, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://www.aiplusinfo.com/blog/dangers-of-ai-concentration-of-power/>
  69. ethical problems of 'intelligence-AI' | International Affairs - Oxford Academic, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://academic.oup.com/ia/article/100/6/2525/7817712>
  70. Market concentration, democracy and artificial intelligence...a call to policymakers - Medium, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://medium.com/@jograycy7/market-concentration-democracy-and-artificial-intelligence-a-call-to-policymakers-d34a37fc13e8>
  71. Thoughts on Open Source & AI Ethics - OpenCV, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://opencv.org/blog/thoughts-on-ai-ethics/>
  72. Why AI companies should open-source: innovation, trust & ethics - 4imag, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://4imag.com/why-ai-companies-should-open-source-innovation-trust-ethics/>
  73. Why Open-Source AI Matters for Social Good - Reality AI, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://www.realityai.tech/blog/why-open-source-ai-matters-for-social-good>
  74. How Do We Develop & Democratize Ethical AI-Powered Applications?, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://www.mtlc.co/how-do-we-develop-democratize-ethical-ai-powered-applications/>
  75. Is Open Source the Best Path Towards AI Democratization? | by Julius Cerniauskas | TDS Archive | Medium, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://medium.com/data-science/is-open-source-the-best-path-towards-ai-democratization-b62a1153dcd4>
  76. What are the ethical considerations in open-source software? - Milvus, acessado em abril 3, 2025,  
<https://milvus.io/ai-quick-reference/what-are-the-ethical-considerations-in-open-source-software>
  77. Ethical Considerations when Choosing an Open Source Governance Model,

- acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://book.the-turing-way.org/ethical-research/ethics-open-source-governance>
78. (PDF) Open-Source AI: An Approach to Responsible Artificial Intelligence Development - ResearchGate, acessado em abril 3, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378177382\\_Open-Source\\_AI\\_An\\_Approach\\_to\\_Responsible\\_Artificial\\_Intelligence\\_Development](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378177382_Open-Source_AI_An_Approach_to_Responsible_Artificial_Intelligence_Development)
79. Reducing Bias in AI: The Power of Open-Source Data Science | by AlliGee | Medium, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://medium.com/@alligee/reducing-bias-in-ai-the-power-of-open-source-data-science-cdde5c32ac1c>
80. Ensuring Ethics in AI and Mitigating Bias - Open Source For You, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.opensourceforu.com/2024/05/ensuring-ethics-in-ai-and-mitigating-bias/>
81. Navigating Ethical Challenges in AI Development - DEV Community, acessado em abril 3, 2025, [https://dev.to/alona\\_instandart/navigating-ethical-challenges-in-ai-development-48cb](https://dev.to/alona_instandart/navigating-ethical-challenges-in-ai-development-48cb)
82. Why the Slowdown in AI Progress Could Be the Best Thing for Humanity - Medium, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://medium.com/@sthomason/why-the-slowdown-in-ai-progress-could-be-the-best-thing-for-humanity-ed46f5ac47e1>
83. The Global Security Risks of Open-Source AI Models, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.globalcenter.ai/analysis/articles/the-global-security-risks-of-open-source-ai-models>
84. The Ethical Dilemmas of AI in Cybersecurity - ISC2, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.isc2.org/Insights/2024/01/The-Ethical-Dilemmas-of-AI-in-Cybersecurity>
85. Challenges of AI | Office of the Provost | Washington State University, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://provost.wsu.edu/challenges-of-ai/>
86. Predictions for Open Source Security in 2025: AI, State Actors, and Supply Chains, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://openssf.org/blog/2025/01/23/predictions-for-open-source-security-in-2025-ai-state-actors-and-supply-chains/>
87. When bots commit: AI-generated code in open source projects - Red Hat, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/when-bots-commit-ai-generated-code-open-source-projects>
88. Hackers exploit AI: The hidden dangers of open-source models | Digital Watch Observatory, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://dig.watch/updates/hackers-exploit-ai-the-hidden-dangers-of-open-source-models>
89. Open source, open risks: The growing dangers of unregulated generative AI | IBM, acessado em abril 3, 2025,

<https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/unregulated-generative-ai-dangers-open-source>

- [illegible]

- acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://lwn.net/Articles/996985/>
103. Is Open Source AI a sham? - FreedomLab, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.freedomlab.com/posts/is-open-source-ai-a-sham>
  104. Open Source AI vs. Closed Source AI: A Comprehensive Overview - Prosapiens, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.prosapiens.co.in/open-source-ai-vs-closed-source-ai-a-comprehensive-overview/>
  105. Moving Beyond the Open vs. Closed AI Debate - OpenMined, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://openmined.org/blog/moving-beyond-the-open-vs-closed-ai-debate/>
  106. The Debate on Open vs. Closed Models in AI: Implications for Innovation, Ethics, and Market Dynamics | by Puneet Gupta | Medium, acessado em abril 3, 2025, [https://medium.com/@p\\_gupta/the-debate-on-open-vs-7c75b3a2660d](https://medium.com/@p_gupta/the-debate-on-open-vs-7c75b3a2660d)
  107. Claude Argues Open Source AI is better then Closed Source. : r/ClaudeAI - Reddit, acessado em abril 3, 2025, [https://www.reddit.com/r/ClaudeAI/comments/1eazb3m/claude\\_argues\\_open\\_source\\_ai\\_is\\_better\\_then/](https://www.reddit.com/r/ClaudeAI/comments/1eazb3m/claude_argues_open_source_ai_is_better_then/)
  108. The Big AI Divide: Open Source vs Closed Source AI - The AI Track, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://theaitrack.com/open-source-vs-closed-source-ai/>
  109. Open-Source vs Closed-Source AI Foundation Models: Striking the Balance | by Paul E., acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://medium.com/@paul.ekwere/open-source-vs-closed-source-ai-foundation-models-fd6829864fa5>
  110. Beyond open vs. closed: Understanding the spectrum of AI transparency - Sonatype, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.sonatype.com/blog/beyond-open-vs.-closed-understanding-the-spectrum-of-ai-transparency>
  111. Open-Source LLMs vs Closed: Unbiased Guide for Innovative Companies [2025], acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://hatchworks.com/blog/gen-ai/open-source-vs-closed-llms-guide/>
  112. The foundation model divide: Mapping the future of open vs. closed AI development, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.cbinsights.com/research/report/future-of-foundation-models-open-source-closed-source/>
  113. Open Source AI vs. Closed Source AI: An In-Depth Analysis - Analytics Insight, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.analyticsinsight.net/artificial-intelligence/open-source-ai-vs-closed-source-ai-an-in-depth-analysis>
  114. Proprietary vs. Open-Source LLMs: AI's Future - Antematter, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://antematter.io/blogs/proprietary-vs-open-source-llms-ai-future>
  115. Comparative Analysis of the Pros and Cons of Open-source, Permissive and Proprietary Foundation Models - Ali Arsanjani, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://dr-arsanjani.medium.com/comparative-analysis-of-the-pros-and-cons-of-open-source-permissive-and-proprietary-foundation-f34e6cdad09b>

116. What are the differences between open-source and proprietary AI? - Macro 4, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.macro4.com/blog/why-all-the-fuss-about-open-source-vs-proprietary-ai/>
117. Open Source vs Proprietary AI: Choose the Right Solution | SmartDev, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://smartdev.com/open-source-vs-proprietary-ai/>
118. Ethics-Driven Open AI Development: Shaping the Future of Responsible AI Innovation, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://aign.global/ai-ethics-consulting/patrick-upmann/ethics-driven-open-ai-development-shaping-the-future-of-responsible-ai-innovation/>
119. The Open Source Revolution in AI: DeepSeek's Challenge to the Status Quo, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://c3.unu.edu/blog/the-open-source-revolution-in-ai-deepseeks-challenge-to-the-status-quo>
120. Open-Source AI Matches Top Proprietary LLM in Solving Tough Medical Cases, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://hms.harvard.edu/news/open-source-ai-matches-top-proprietary-llm-solving-tough-medical-cases>
121. Open source in the age of AI - McKinsey & Company, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/tech-forward/open-source-in-the-age-of-ai>
122. Exploring the Ethical Implications of OpenAI: Balancing Innovation and Responsibility, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://3cloudsolutions.com/resources/exploring-ethical-implications-open-ai/>
123. Open-Source AI: The Debate That Could Redefine AI Innovation - AAF, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.americanactionforum.org/insight/open-source-ai-the-debate-that-could-redefine-ai-innovation/>
124. U.S. Open-Source AI Governance | Center for AI Policy | CAIP, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.centeraipolicy.org/work/us-open-source-ai-governance>
125. The Open or Closed AI Dilemma | Bipartisan Policy Center, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://bipartisanpolicy.org/blog/the-open-or-closed-ai-dilemma/>
126. Export Controls on Open-Source Models Will Not Win the AI Race - Just Security, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.justsecurity.org/108144/blanket-bans-software-exports-not-solution-ai-arms-race/>
127. OSI and Apereo Foundation Respond to White House on AI Action Plan, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://opensource.org/blog/osi-and-apereo-foundation-respond-to-white-house-on-ai-action-plan>
128. Unmasking Big Tech's AI Policy Playbook: A Warning to Global South Policymakers | TechPolicy.Press, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.techpolicy.press/unmasking-big-techs-ai-policy-playbook-a-warning>

[g-to-global-south-policymakers/](#)

129. Policymakers Should Let Open Source Play a Role in the AI Revolution - R Street Institute, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://www.rstreet.org/commentary/policymakers-should-let-open-source-play-a-role-in-the-ai-revolution/>
130. Ethical Concerns about Generative AI - A.I. (Artificial Intelligence) & Information Literacy - Wolfram Subject Guides at Widener University, acessado em abril 3, 2025, <https://widener.libguides.com/c.php?g=1364905&p=10144832>