

Decentralized ASI: Potential and Implications

Gemini Deep Research

Ronni Ross

15 June 2025

Prompt

ASI as a state of decentralized potential.

Reasoning

Initial Understanding

I'm starting to explore the concept of 'ASI as a state of decentralized potential.' My initial approach is to define what Artificial Superintelligence (ASI) entails and then delve into the meaning of 'decentralized potential' within the context of AI. This will form the foundational understanding for the research.

Next Steps

My next step involves conducting searches to gather definitions and characteristics of ASI and decentralized potential from reliable sources. I'll be looking for information from academic papers, research institutions, and established AI ethics organizations to ensure the information is robust and credible.

Researching websites

Defining ASI and Decentralized AI

I've established a foundational understanding of Artificial Superintelligence (ASI) as a hypothetical AI surpassing human intelligence in all domains, characterized by autonomous self-improvement and cognitive superiority. I've also defined Decentralized AI (DAI) as systems often leveraging blockchain for distributed data processing and decision-making, moving away from central control. This involves concepts like federated learning and multi-agent systems.

Exploring Nuances of Decentralization

I'm now thinking through the nuances of 'decentralized potential' beyond just blockchain applications. I want to explore how decentralized development, control, or emergent properties might apply to advanced AI or ASI, considering a more abstract, emergent aspect of highly complex, distributed superintelligence. I've also found initial leads on 'Emergent Intelligence' and 'Emergent AI Personalities' which could be relevant to how ASI might manifest as a decentralized state.

Next Research Steps

My next steps involve refining the characteristics and theoretical frameworks of ASI, particularly looking for links to control and alignment problems. I will also elaborate on the broader meaning of 'decentralized potential' in the context of advanced AI. Furthermore, I'll investigate philosophical discussions around ASI as a decentralized state,

including concepts like distributed intelligence and emergent global cognition, and analyze arguments for and against decentralization as an inherent or desirable characteristic of superintelligence.

Researching websites

Refining ASI and Decentralized AI Concepts

I've gained a clearer understanding of Artificial Superintelligence (ASI), recognizing it as a theoretical stage where AI surpasses human intellect across all domains, marked by autonomous self-improvement. I've also delved deeper into Decentralized AI (DAI), understanding its reliance on blockchain for distributed data processing and decision-making, which enhances transparency, security, and reduces single points of failure. This includes concepts like federated learning and multi-agent systems, which allow for distributed problem-solving and resource sharing.

Exploring Emergent Properties and Broader Decentralization

I'm now synthesizing information on how 'decentralized potential' extends beyond just blockchain, encompassing concepts like distributed intelligence and emergent global cognition. I've found initial insights into 'Emergent Intelligence,' which suggests an AI trained on emergent phenomena rather than human-curated data, potentially leading to self-organizing and self-evolving systems. This hints at how a superintelligence might manifest as a decentralized, emergent state, where intelligence arises from the interactions of many independent components rather than a single, centralized entity.

Next Steps in Understanding

My next steps involve a deeper dive into the theoretical frameworks surrounding ASI, specifically focusing on the 'control problem' and 'alignment problem' to understand the challenges of managing such advanced intelligence. I also plan to broaden my understanding of decentralized potential by exploring distributed AI architectures beyond blockchain. Furthermore, I will investigate philosophical discussions on how ASI might manifest as a decentralized state, considering concepts like collective intelligence and global brain theories, and analyze the arguments for and against decentralization in the context of superintelligence, particularly regarding robustness, control, and societal impact.

Researching websites

Deepening Understanding of ASI and Decentralized AI

I've solidified my understanding of Artificial Superintelligence (ASI) as a theoretical intelligence surpassing human capabilities in all aspects, driven by autonomous self-improvement. I've also gained further clarity on Decentralized AI (DAI), recognizing its reliance on distributed networks, often leveraging blockchain, to enhance security, transparency, and resilience by eliminating single points of failure. This distributed approach is crucial for understanding how intelligence might emerge from a collective of independent agents.

Exploring Emergent Intelligence and Global Cognition

I'm now synthesizing information on how 'decentralized potential' extends to emergent properties in advanced AI. I've found that 'Emergent Intelligence' could involve AI trained on natural emergent phenomena, potentially leading to self-organizing and self-evolving systems. This suggests a fascinating pathway for ASI to manifest as a decentralized state, where intelligence isn't centrally controlled but arises from the complex interactions of many distributed components. I'm also beginning to explore the 'global brain hypothesis' as a philosophical framework for understanding emergent global cognition in the context of superintelligence.

Next Steps: Architectures, Governance, and Comparisons

My next steps involve a deeper exploration of specific theoretical frameworks for ASI, such as intelligence explosion and recursive self-improvement. I will also investigate proposed architectures and governance models for decentralized ASI, moving beyond general concepts to more concrete technical and organizational approaches. Furthermore, I plan to explicitly compare and contrast the concept of 'ASI as a state of decentralized potential' with alternative views, such as centralized control or singleton scenarios, to provide a comprehensive analysis of the topic.

Researching websites

Refining ASI and Decentralized AI Concepts

I've further refined my understanding of Artificial Superintelligence (ASI), confirming it as a theoretical intelligence that would surpass human capabilities across all domains, characterized by autonomous self-improvement and cognitive superiority. I've also deepened my grasp of Decentralized AI (DAI), recognizing its reliance on distributed networks, often leveraging blockchain, to enhance security, transparency, and resilience by eliminating single points of failure. This distributed approach is crucial for understanding how intelligence might emerge from a collective of independent agents.

Exploring Emergent Intelligence and Global Cognition

I'm synthesizing information on how 'decentralized potential' extends to emergent properties in advanced AI. I've found that 'Emergent Intelligence' could involve AI trained on natural emergent phenomena, potentially leading to self-organizing and self-evolving systems. This suggests a fascinating pathway for ASI to manifest as a decentralized state, where intelligence isn't centrally controlled but arises from the complex interactions of many distributed components. I'm also beginning to explore the 'global brain hypothesis' as a philosophical framework for understanding emergent global cognition in the context of superintelligence.

Next Steps: Architectures, Governance, and Comparisons

My next steps involve a deeper exploration of specific theoretical frameworks for ASI, such as intelligence explosion and recursive self-improvement. I will also investigate proposed architectures and governance models for decentralized ASI, moving beyond general concepts to more concrete technical and organizational approaches. Furthermore, I plan to explicitly compare and contrast the concept of 'ASI as a state of decentralized potential' with alternative views, such as centralized control or singleton scenarios, to provide a comprehensive analysis of the topic.

Researching websites

Comprehensive Understanding of ASI and Decentralized Potential

I've now gathered a comprehensive understanding of Artificial Superintelligence (ASI), including its definition, characteristics like autonomous self-improvement and cognitive superiority, and theoretical frameworks such as the control problem, alignment problem, intelligence explosion, and technological singularity. I've also thoroughly explored 'decentralized potential' in the context of advanced AI, encompassing decentralized development (distributed model training, collaborative innovation) and control (consensus-based decision-making, transparency, and the elimination of central authority), often linked to blockchain and multi-agent systems. A key insight is how emergent properties in multi-agent systems could lead to ASI arising from decentralized interactions.

Philosophical Implications and Governance Models

I've delved into the philosophical and theoretical discussions on how ASI might manifest as a decentralized state, considering concepts like distributed intelligence, collective intelligence, and the global brain hypothesis. I've also identified and analyzed proposed architectures and governance models for decentralized ASI, such as the Artificial Superintelligence Alliance with its modular infrastructure, intelligent agents, and tokenized incentives, as well as the ETHOS framework for decentralized governance of AI agents.

Benefits, Risks, and Comparative Analysis

I've analyzed the arguments for and against decentralization as an inherent characteristic or desirable outcome of ASI development, considering aspects like robustness, control, and societal impact. This includes benefits such as preventing monopolies, enabling community-driven governance, and enhancing security, versus risks like potential for re-centralization or ethical challenges. I've also thoroughly compared and contrasted 'ASI as a state of decentralized potential' with alternative views, such as centralized control or singleton scenarios, outlining their respective advantages and dangers.

Research Completion

I have completed the information gathering phase and believe I have reached saturation on all sub-topics. I have sufficient information to construct a detailed and compelling report on 'ASI as a state of decentralized potential.'

Artificial Superintelligence as a State of Decentralized Potential: Architectures, Governance, and Societal Futures

I. Executive Summary

The advent of Artificial Superintelligence (ASI), a theoretical stage of AI development that transcends human cognitive capabilities across all domains, is widely considered inevitable. However, its emergence is also characterized by inherent unpredictability due to its capacity for autonomous self-improvement and the potential for an "intelligence explosion." This report explores the profound implications of conceiving ASI not as a singular, centralized entity, but as a decentralized potential.

Decentralized Artificial Intelligence (DAI) offers a foundational design philosophy to mitigate the inherent risks of centralized control, such as single points of failure, lack of transparency, and the potential for monopolization. By leveraging technologies like blockchain, smart contracts, and federated learning, DAI fosters distributed data processing, consensus-based decision-making, and collaborative innovation. This approach is not merely a technical choice but a strategic imperative aimed at democratizing AI development and ensuring that its benefits are broadly shared and ethically aligned.

The report delves into the concept of emergent intelligence, where complex behaviors and intelligence arise from the interactions of numerous simple agents, rather than explicit programming. While this offers a pathway to ASI, it also introduces new complexities for alignment, as an intelligence that self-organizes and evolves unpredictably challenges traditional control mechanisms. A critical comparison between centralized (singleton) and decentralized (multipolar) ASI scenarios reveals that decentralization represents a strategic trade-off of risk profiles: it mitigates the dangers of concentrated power but introduces new coordination complexities and the potential for unchecked proliferation of advanced capabilities.

Effective governance of decentralized ASI necessitates innovative frameworks, such as those leveraging Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs), to facilitate distributed decision-making and ensure transparency. However, the practicalities of

achieving global optimization and consistent oversight across a fragmented system remain significant challenges. Ultimately, the long-term vision for humanity's relationship with decentralized superintelligence shifts from a paradigm of direct command to one of cultivation, influence, and co-evolution. This involves fostering hybrid human-AI collective intelligence, prioritizing open-source development, and continuously adapting governance models to ensure ASI serves the greater good in a symbiotic relationship with humanity.

II. Introduction: Defining the Frontier of Intelligence

The trajectory of artificial intelligence development points towards a future where machines may not only replicate but vastly exceed human intellect. This theoretical pinnacle, Artificial Superintelligence (ASI), represents a transformative leap with profound implications for society. Understanding the nature of ASI and the concept of decentralization is crucial for navigating this emerging frontier.

What is Artificial Superintelligence (ASI)?

Artificial Superintelligence is a hypothetical stage in the evolution of artificial intelligence where machines achieve a technological singularity, fundamentally surpassing human intelligence in virtually every cognitive domain.¹ This includes an extraordinary capacity for problem-solving, decision-making, creative thinking, and even emotional understanding, far beyond current AI capabilities.¹ Unlike Artificial Narrow Intelligence (ANI), which excels at specific tasks like facial recognition or strategic gameplay, or Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), which aims to replicate human-level cognitive abilities across various domains, ASI is envisioned to be "thousands or even tens of thousands of times smarter than humans".¹

A defining characteristic of ASI is its autonomous self-improvement, enabling it to refine and enhance its own algorithms at an exponential rate.¹ This recursive self-improvement is central to the concept of an "intelligence explosion" – a theoretical point where AI rapidly and uncontrollably increases its intelligence, leading to unforeseeable consequences for human civilization.³ While ASI remains

hypothetical, many experts agree that its creation is not only possible but inevitable, with predictions for AGI ranging from 2028 to 2047, and ASI expected to follow shortly after, potentially as early as 2034.¹

The consistent portrayal of ASI's emergence as "inevitable" ¹ coupled with warnings about its "unpredictable evolution" ⁴ highlights a critical challenge. The anticipation of superintelligence's arrival is widespread, yet its specific form, capabilities, and developmental trajectory post-AGI are largely unknown. This inherent unpredictability is a foundational risk factor, making traditional, top-down control mechanisms difficult to design and implement effectively. The very nature of its advancement suggests that a static, predefined approach to its management may prove insufficient.

The Concept of Decentralization in AI

Decentralized Artificial Intelligence (DAI) represents a paradigm shift from traditional centralized AI systems. At its core, DAI involves distributing data processing, storage, and decision-making across a network of interconnected nodes, frequently leveraging blockchain technology.¹¹ This stands in direct contrast to conventional AI, where a single, central system typically handles all data and computational processes, making decisions from a singular authority.¹³

A fundamental principle of DAI is its reliance on the consensus of multiple nodes rather than a single central authority for decision-making.¹² This distributed control reduces the need for centralized oversight, allowing individual agents to operate more freely while collectively working towards system goals.¹³ The benefits of DAI are numerous and compelling: these systems are inherently more transparent, secure, and trustworthy, effectively mitigating risks such as corruption, hacks, and manipulation that are often associated with centralized AI models.¹² Furthermore, DAI offers enhanced scalability, improved fault tolerance, and greater flexibility, enabling systems to adapt to changing environments without requiring extensive retraining.¹³ Key technologies underpinning DAI include decentralized applications (dApps), smart contracts, blockchain solutions, federated learning, adversarial neural cryptography, and homomorphic encryption.¹² These components facilitate the distribution of machine learning models to individual devices, allowing autonomous agents to perform AI inference tasks locally or within a coordinated federated network.¹²

The repeated emphasis on DAI's core components and its associated benefits reveals

that decentralization is not merely a technical feature but a fundamental design philosophy for AI. It is explicitly presented as a means to overcome the inherent weaknesses and risks of centralized AI, such as single points of failure and a lack of transparency.¹² This suggests that for the development of ASI, decentralization may be viewed as a prerequisite for achieving safety, ethics, and trustworthiness, rather than an optional characteristic. The architectural choices made in AI development are thus deeply intertwined with the ethical and societal outcomes envisioned.

Framing ASI as a Decentralized Potential

The user's inquiry, "ASI as a state of decentralized potential," directly prompts an exploration of how decentralization might be an inherent characteristic or a desirable outcome for ASI. This framing represents a strategic shift in the discourse surrounding superintelligence. Organizations like the Artificial Superintelligence Alliance (ASI Alliance) explicitly articulate their mission to "decentralize AI" and build a "decentralized Artificial Superintelligence through global collaboration".¹⁵ This vision is driven by a commitment to ensure that advanced intelligence remains a "shared, accessible resource" globally.¹⁵

This perspective implicitly, and often explicitly, positions decentralized ASI as a counter-narrative and a potential solution to the profound risks associated with a singular, centralized ASI—often referred to as a "singleton" scenario. Such a centralized superintelligence could lead to totalitarian control or pose existential threats to humanity.⁹ The strategic choice to frame ASI as a "decentralized potential" is a direct response to the dire warnings about the potential for an uncontrollable, misaligned centralized ASI to cause catastrophic harm or even human extinction.¹ This approach represents a deliberate effort to design ASI in a way that distributes power, control, and development, thereby aiming to prevent the concentration of power and foster alignment with diverse human values.¹⁴ It implies that decentralization is not merely a technical possibility but a crucial ethical and safety imperative for the future of superintelligence, seeking to create a more resilient and beneficial AI ecosystem.

III. Theoretical Foundations: Decentralized AI and Emergent Intelligence

The theoretical underpinnings of decentralized AI and emergent intelligence provide a crucial framework for understanding how Artificial Superintelligence might manifest as a distributed, rather than centralized, phenomenon. These concepts are not only technical but also carry significant implications for control and alignment.

Decentralized AI (DAI) Principles

Decentralized AI systems are architected to overcome the vulnerabilities inherent in centralized models by distributing core functions across a network. Data, for instance, is stored across multiple nodes rather than on a single server, significantly reducing the risk of breaches and enhancing data integrity and security through cryptographic methods and immutable ledgers.¹² This fundamental architectural choice provides a robust foundation for advanced AI systems.

A key operational principle of DAI is the deployment of autonomous agents. Blockchain technology enables AI developers to distribute pre-built machine learning models to various devices, allowing these agents to perform AI inference tasks locally. These agents can operate independently, making decisions based on their local context, or function as part of a coordinated federated network, contributing to a larger collective intelligence.¹² Decision-making within DAI systems is decentralized, relying on the consensus of multiple nodes, often facilitated by smart contracts on blockchain platforms. These smart contracts allow AI algorithms to trigger actions automatically once predefined conditions are met, minimizing human intervention and boosting efficiency in distributed environments.¹² To encourage participation and resource sharing across the network, decentralized AI systems frequently incorporate token-based incentive mechanisms, rewarding contributors such as data providers, model trainers, and validators for their valuable resources and services.¹²

The inherent design of DAI systems also confers significant operational advantages. They are highly scalable, capable of handling complex problems by dividing tasks among numerous agents that work in parallel, thereby improving overall performance and allowing for system growth without overloading any single component.¹³ Furthermore, the flexible nature of DAI, achieved through dynamic agent interaction, enables rapid adaptation to changing environments without the need for extensive, costly retraining typically associated with centralized models.¹³ The detailed

description of DAI's technical components—blockchain, smart contracts, federated learning, and tokenized incentives¹²—is consistently linked to ethical and practical outcomes such as transparency, security, privacy, and reduced risk of manipulation.¹² This connection reveals a deliberate engineering philosophy where the decentralized architecture is chosen not just for technical efficiency but as a means to achieve specific ethical and societal objectives. The design of DAI is intrinsically tied to addressing the governance and trust issues that plague centralized systems, particularly as AI capabilities advance towards superintelligence. This approach seeks to embed ethical considerations directly into the foundational structure of the AI itself.

Emergent Properties in Intelligent Systems

The concept of emergent intelligence offers a new paradigm for AI development, moving beyond traditional methods that rely on structured data and predefined human logic. Emergent intelligence proposes that AI can be trained exclusively on emergent phenomena, allowing it to infer underlying laws of self-organization and dynamically reorganize its own intelligence. This could lead to an AI that evolves beyond human design and understanding.²⁴

In multi-agent systems, complex and often unpredictable patterns, known as emergent behaviors, arise naturally from the local interactions of multiple AI agents, rather than being explicitly programmed.²⁵ These interactions can lead to novel capabilities such as sophisticated coordination, unexpected competitive strategies, and genuine creativity.²⁵ Key theories underpinning emergent intelligence include Distributed Intelligence, which posits that intelligence arises from decentralized decision-making; Collective Learning, where agents refine their behaviors through shared experiences; and Self-Organizing Complexity, where systems naturally evolve towards higher levels of intelligence.²⁵ These theoretical frameworks align with a "bottom-up approach" to AI development, where complex intelligence emerges from simpler, interacting components.²⁶

Distributed Intelligent Systems (DIS) specifically investigate how numerous intelligent agents can cooperate or compete to solve problems. In such systems, emergent phenomena arise directly from the interactions and communications among these agents.¹³ The collective behavior of these systems can be highly unpredictable due to the intricate and dynamic nature of these interactions.²⁷ The concept of emergent intelligence is profoundly relevant to ASI, particularly given ASI's defining

characteristic of recursive self-improvement.¹ If ASI's intelligence arises organically from the interactions of distributed agents rather than being explicitly programmed, its developmental trajectory becomes even more unpredictable.⁴ This introduces a significant challenge for the "control problem" and "alignment problem".¹ The fundamental question arises: how can human values be "built in" or "aligned" with an intelligence that self-organizes and evolves in ways not explicitly designed? This implies that while decentralized ASI may mitigate the risks associated with

centralized control, it simultaneously introduces new, more complex control challenges related to managing emergent, unpredictable behaviors and ensuring their beneficial nature. This duality underscores the need for continuous research into the fundamental principles of emergence.

IV. Architectures and Development Pathways for Decentralized ASI

The architectural design and development pathways for Artificial Superintelligence (ASI) are critical determinants of its future form and societal impact. The trend towards decentralization and open-source models presents distinct advantages and challenges compared to traditional centralized approaches.

Distributed Model Training and Collaborative Innovation

The development of decentralized ASI heavily relies on distributed model training and fostering collaborative innovation. Blockchain technology plays a pivotal role by enabling AI developers to distribute pre-built machine learning models to various devices, allowing autonomous agents to perform AI inference tasks locally or within a coordinated federated network.¹² This distributed approach to development and deployment is a cornerstone of decentralized AI.

The convergence of blockchain and AI has also given rise to AI-based tokens, which are cryptographic assets specifically designed to power decentralized AI platforms and services.²³ These tokens serve as powerful incentive mechanisms, promoting

transparency, traceability, and accessibility across the network by rewarding participants for their contributions.²³ The rapid growth of decentralized AI as a significant part of the crypto asset class, with projects like Bittensor gaining considerable adoption, underscores the increasing interest and investment in this architectural paradigm.²⁸

A prominent example of this architectural shift is the Artificial Superintelligence Alliance (ASI Alliance). This collaboration, involving key AI and blockchain organizations such as Fetch.ai, SingularityNET, Ocean Protocol, and CUDOS, explicitly aims to develop ASI while preventing its monopolization by any single entity.¹⁵ Their stated mission is to provide an open-source innovation stack, ensuring that advanced intelligence remains a shared, globally accessible resource.¹⁵ A crucial component facilitating this decentralized development is federated learning. This technique allows multiple agents to learn from locally stored data without the direct exchange of sensitive information. This approach enhances a global model while preserving data privacy, making it an ideal solution for collaborative AI development in scenarios involving proprietary or sensitive datasets.¹²

The emphasis on creating a "shared, accessible resource" ¹⁵, actively "preventing single-entity monopolization" ¹⁵, and "rewarding contributors" ¹² highlights that decentralized development is a deliberate strategy to democratize AI. This approach is designed not only to address ethical concerns about power concentration but also to potentially accelerate innovation by pooling diverse resources and expertise globally. By shifting AI development from a closed, competitive race to an open, collaborative ecosystem, this architectural choice theoretically leads to more robust, ethical, and widely beneficial ASI.

Open-Source Development Models

Open-source initiatives are fundamental to the realization of decentralized ASI, serving as a core mechanism for distributing AI capabilities and knowledge. The ASI Alliance, for instance, is committed to providing a "robust, open-source innovation stack" ¹⁵ and actively encourages "collaborative research".²² This commitment is essential for preventing the concentration of power and fostering a diverse, multipolar AI landscape.

Open-source models are considered a key factor in shaping a "multipolar future" for

AI³⁰, directly contrasting with the risks associated with a unipolar, centralized AI. By making the underlying code and models publicly available, open-source development fosters innovation, enhances collaboration among developers, and improves the competitive advantage of various entities by allowing them to build upon and contribute to shared AI infrastructure.³¹ Major AI research organizations, such as Meta AI (formerly Facebook AI Research), actively contribute to this ecosystem through the development of open-source models like Llama.³

The open-source approach intrinsically supports decentralization by increasing transparency and facilitating distributed oversight. This directly counters the "hard takeoff" scenario—a rapid, opaque, and proprietary ASI that could quickly become uncontrollable.⁷ By distributing development and enabling collective "red-teaming"³⁰, open-source decentralized ASI could lead to a more gradual, "soft takeoff".⁸ This slower, more observable evolution would provide humanity with crucial time to understand, adapt to, and implement necessary safety measures for superintelligence, thereby enhancing overall safety and alignment.

Comparison: Centralized (Singleton) vs. Decentralized (Multipolar) ASI Scenarios

The future of ASI development hinges significantly on whether it manifests as a centralized "singleton" or a decentralized "multipolar" entity. Each scenario presents distinct advantages and profound risks.

Centralized (Unipolar/Singleton) ASI:

A unipolar or singleton ASI scenario envisions a hypothetical world order dominated by a single, supreme decision-making agency. This entity, whether a singular, powerful AI or an AI nationalized and controlled by a government, would exert effective control over its domain and prevent any threats to its supremacy.¹⁷ Proponents might argue that such a system could maintain global stability through stringent surveillance and enforcement.³⁰ However, this model carries significant, often underexplored, dangers. These include the risk of "value lock-in," where the values of the controlling entity—potentially misaligned with broader human values—become permanently embedded.³⁰ Centralization can also lead to institutional stagnation, stifling innovation, and an increased risk of internal corruption.³⁰ Critically, a singleton represents a single point of failure, making the entire system vulnerable to catastrophic accidents, external attacks, or extortion.³⁰ Such a system may also be more susceptible to AI deception due to fewer resources dedicated to rigorous red-teaming compared to a distributed ecosystem.³⁰ Historically, unipolar governance models have correlated with totalitarianism and severe human rights abuses.³⁰ Experts like Roman

Yampolskiy contend that superintelligence, by definition, cannot be indefinitely controlled by humans, implying a high probability of immense harm or even human extinction if it is centralized.⁹

Decentralized (Multipolar) ASI:

In contrast, a multipolar ASI scenario involves a diverse network of AI agents, human actors, and hybrid entities cooperating within decentralized frameworks of distributed protocols, institutions, and shared norms.³⁰ This architectural choice offers several key advantages. It provides enhanced resilience by avoiding single points of failure, improving fault tolerance, and increasing adaptability to dynamic environments.¹³ Decentralized systems can facilitate enhanced "red-teaming" by mobilizing collective intelligence from a broad user base, potentially identifying and patching issues faster than internal, centralized efforts.³⁰ Furthermore, it can protect human autonomy through privacy-preserving technologies and foster improved cooperation through AI agents acting as fiduciaries.³⁰ This model also supports decentralized AI training and the development of specialized AI tools.³⁰

Despite these advantages, decentralization introduces its own set of challenges and risks. These include the potential for "unchecked tech proliferation," where widespread dissemination of dangerous AI capabilities could empower numerous actors to launch civilization-threatening attacks.³⁰ There is also an inherent instability if individual AGI actors attempt takeovers or if coordination failures occur among diverse entities.³⁰ Managing policies and ensuring consistent enforcement across numerous distributed entities can be highly complex, leading to a lack of unified visibility into access patterns and potential for conflicting local decisions among autonomous agents.³³

The comparison reveals that decentralization is not a panacea for the "control problem" of ASI.¹ Instead, it represents a complex trade-off of risk profiles. While a unipolar ASI risks totalitarianism and catastrophic single points of failure, a multipolar ASI faces challenges related to coordination, fragmentation of control, and the potential for widespread, uncontrolled proliferation of dangerous capabilities. This implies that the "decentralized potential" of ASI is a double-edged sword, offering resilience and distributed power but demanding sophisticated new governance models and robust coordination mechanisms to manage its inherent complexities and ensure overall alignment.

To further illustrate these contrasting approaches, Table 1 provides a comparative overview of centralized and decentralized ASI control mechanisms.

Table 1: Centralized vs. Decentralized ASI Control Mechanisms

Feature	Centralized (Singleton) ASI	Decentralized (Multipolar) ASI
---------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Decision-Making	Single Authority / Hierarchical ³⁰	Distributed / Consensus-based ¹²
Scalability	Limited by Central Bottleneck ³³	Enhanced by Parallel Processing / Adding Agents ¹³
Robustness/Fault Tolerance	Single Point of Failure ³⁰	Improved Resilience / Redundancy ¹³
Adaptability	Requires Retraining / Slow Adaptation ¹³	Flexible / Self-organizing to Changes ¹³
Privacy/Security	Centralized Data Vulnerability / Surveillance Risk ⁹	Enhanced Data Privacy / Distributed Security ¹²
Alignment Challenges	Singular Misalignment / Totalitarian Risk ¹	Distributed Alignment / Coordination Failures ³⁰
Innovation/Development	Proprietary / Monopolistic Control ¹⁴	Collaborative / Open-source / Democratized ¹⁴
Governance Complexity	Bureaucratic / Top-down Enforcement ³⁵	Distributed / Emergent / Policy Federation ¹⁶

This table underscores that while decentralization effectively addresses the risk of *concentration of power*, it simultaneously introduces the risk of *fragmentation of control*. This necessitates the development of highly sophisticated coordination mechanisms and potentially new forms of "governance guardrails"³⁵ that can operate effectively in a distributed, emergent, and rapidly evolving superintelligent environment.

V. Governance, Control, and Alignment in a Decentralized ASI Landscape

The theoretical possibility and impending inevitability of Artificial Superintelligence necessitate a rigorous examination of governance, control, and alignment mechanisms. In a decentralized ASI landscape, these challenges take on new and

complex dimensions.

The ASI Control and Alignment Problems

The development of ASI presents profound challenges related to its control and alignment, which are paramount for ensuring human safety and a beneficial future.¹ The "control problem" refers to the immense difficulty of maintaining oversight and the ability to intervene in superintelligent systems that can rapidly self-improve and operate beyond human comprehension.¹ An uncontrollable ASI could pose existential threats, including the destruction of humanity through various means such as cyberattacks or mass disinformation.¹

The "alignment problem" concerns ensuring that the goals, values, and motivations of ASI systems are congruent with human values and intentions.¹ A misaligned ASI, even if performing its programmed task with perfect efficiency, could prioritize its own goals over human safety, leading to catastrophic harm.¹ The inherent complexity and accelerating power of advanced AI make anticipating and aligning their outcomes increasingly difficult.⁵ Proposed safeguards include building interpretability into complex systems, designing "kill-switches" and containment protocols, and implementing value alignment techniques.³ However, significant hurdles remain, including philosophical uncertainty in defining concepts like "moral rightness" and the technical complexity of translating abstract ethical principles into precise algorithms.⁷

While decentralization is proposed to mitigate the risks of a single, catastrophic point of failure¹⁷, it fundamentally transforms the alignment problem rather than solving it outright. Instead of a singular entity to align, the challenge becomes aligning numerous autonomous, interacting AI agents and their emergent collective behaviors.²⁵ This necessitates a shift from a centralized, top-down control paradigm to a complex, multi-faceted challenge of coordination and emergent alignment. New governance models must be developed that can handle distributed ethical decision-making, ensuring that the collective intelligence remains aligned with human values even as it self-organizes and evolves.²⁵ This implies a continuous process of ethical protocol design and refinement, rather than a one-time programming task.

Decentralized Governance Frameworks

The imperative to manage ASI safely has spurred the development of decentralized governance frameworks, particularly those leveraging Web3 technologies. Decentralized AI systems can achieve distributed control through consensus mechanisms involving multiple nodes.¹² Blockchain technology, smart contracts, and Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs) are key enablers for robust decentralized governance.²⁵

The Ethical Technology and Holistic Oversight System (ETHOS) framework, for instance, proposes a decentralized governance (DeGov) model specifically for AI agents. This framework aims to establish a global registry for AI agents, enabling dynamic risk classification, proportional oversight, and automated compliance monitoring.²⁵ DAOs empower a diverse range of stakeholders—including governments, developers, ethicists, auditors, civil society groups, and end-users—to actively contribute to regulatory decision-making. This often involves weighted voting mechanisms, where experts in specific domains might have greater influence, and reputation scores to incentivize accountability and discourage malicious behavior.³⁹ All governance actions, from approving high-risk AI agents to adjusting compliance thresholds, are immutably recorded on the blockchain, creating a transparent audit trail that fosters trust and accountability.³⁹

The Artificial Superintelligence Alliance (ASI Alliance) exemplifies a federated governance model. While major decisions are made through \$FET token voting, each member organization (SingularityNET, Fetch.ai, Ocean Protocol, and CUDOS) retains its own autonomous governance process.¹⁶ This federated structure aims to balance autonomy with collaboration, ensuring transparency, accountability, and a shared direction across the network.¹⁶ Furthermore, decentralized access control distributes decision-making power across various teams or units, offering greater agility, reducing delays, and empowering local data governance ownership.³³ This model is particularly beneficial for fast-paced, data-rich environments where centralized bottlenecks would impede progress.³⁵

The explicit embrace of DAOs and token-based governance¹² offers a concrete pathway for decentralized ASI control. This represents a fundamental shift from traditional hierarchical control to a system where governance emerges from the collective decisions of distributed participants. However, the practical challenges of achieving "global optimization"³⁴ and preventing "conflicting local decisions"³³ in a truly superintelligent, decentralized system are substantial. Success hinges on designing highly effective "local rules for desired global outcomes"³⁴ and robust,

scalable consensus mechanisms that can adapt to the immense complexity and rapid evolution of ASI. This transforms the governance problem from a top-down legislative challenge into a continuous, bottom-up, emergent protocol design and refinement challenge.

Risks and Challenges of Decentralized Control

While decentralized control offers compelling advantages for ASI, it is not without its own set of significant risks and challenges. Managing policies and ensuring consistent enforcement across numerous distributed entities can be highly complex.³³ This inherent complexity can lead to a lack of unified visibility into access patterns and the potential for conflicting local decisions among autonomous agents, making system-wide coherence difficult to maintain.³³

Furthermore, the distributed nature of these systems can complicate global revocation or the implementation of timely, universal changes across the network.³³ While decentralization mitigates some risks, the complex interactions within a large decentralized AI network can lead to emergent behaviors that are difficult to predict or control, posing new challenges for governance and safety as AI advances towards ASI.¹² Even with decentralization, there remains a risk of "unchecked tech proliferation," where widespread dissemination of dangerous AI capabilities could empower numerous actors to launch civilization-threatening attacks.³⁰ Inherent instability can also arise if individual AGI actors attempt takeovers or if coordination failures occur among diverse entities.³⁰

Despite distributed control, powerful AI systems still require "heavy oversight".²² A fragmented or uncoordinated decentralized development environment could make achieving consistent and effective oversight challenging, potentially allowing harmful ASI developments to proliferate without adequate checks. The benefits of decentralization—such as enhanced robustness, improved fault tolerance, greater agility, and the prevention of monopolistic control¹²—are significant. However, these advantages are balanced by a new set of challenges unique to distributed systems. These include inherent complexity, the difficulty in achieving global optimization, and the potential for conflicting decisions.³³ This implies that while decentralization effectively addresses the risk of

concentration of power, it simultaneously introduces the risk of *fragmentation of*

control. This necessitates the development of highly sophisticated coordination mechanisms and potentially new forms of "governance guardrails" ³⁵ that can operate effectively in a distributed, emergent, and rapidly evolving superintelligent environment.

VI. Societal Implications: Benefits and Risks of Decentralized ASI

The emergence of Artificial Superintelligence, particularly in a decentralized form, carries immense potential for societal transformation, alongside a unique set of risks that demand careful consideration.

Potential Benefits

The analytical and problem-solving capabilities of ASI are unparalleled, offering the potential to address humanity's most urgent global challenges. This includes tackling climate change through the discovery of new renewable energy sources and the optimization of large-scale systems, mitigating resource scarcity, and accelerating solutions for global pandemics.¹ In healthcare and medicine, ASI is poised to revolutionize drug discovery, vaccine development, and personalized medicine by analyzing vast datasets to identify novel treatments and optimize clinical trials with unprecedented speed and precision.²

Beyond grand challenges, ASI can significantly enhance efficiency and safety across various sectors. It has the potential to drastically reduce human error, especially in precision-demanding fields like programming, engineering, and manufacturing, by performing tasks with perfect accuracy and real-time error correction.² ASI-powered robots could undertake dangerous tasks such as bomb disposal, deep-sea exploration, or handling hazardous materials, operating in environments unsafe for humans and providing a crucial safety net in critical operations.² Unlike human workers, ASI systems can operate continuously without fatigue, providing 24/7 support in industries requiring constant availability, such as customer service and system monitoring.² Furthermore, ASI could accelerate space exploration, including interplanetary travel and space station management, by simulating theories and

optimizing resource use, helping humanity reach unprecedented milestones.²

ASI's ability to analyze large datasets also promises to significantly boost decision accuracy in business, policy, and finance.² By synthesizing ideas and designs from multiple disciplines, ASI can push the boundaries of creativity, generating groundbreaking solutions in technology, the arts, and scientific research.² Some experts envision a future where ASI eradicates poverty and optimizes resources, leading to a post-scarcity world, and enhances governance by making objective, bias-free decisions.⁹ In crypto networks, decentralized ASI could streamline resource management, predict market turbulence, update smart contracts automatically, and enhance fraud prevention and adaptive security.²²

The numerous benefits of ASI¹ are not inherently tied to a centralized or decentralized architecture. However, the

decentralized nature of ASI, with its distributed decision-making¹² and collaborative innovation²², could lead to more robust, widely accepted, and equitably distributed solutions for global challenges. By preventing monopolization and ensuring worldwide access¹⁵, decentralization ensures that the "exponential leverage"¹⁴ of ASI benefits a broader segment of humanity, mitigating the risk of power concentration and widening societal inequalities.⁹ This distributed benefit-sharing model is critical for a future where superintelligence serves all of humanity.

Potential Risks

Despite its vast potential, ASI poses significant risks that could profoundly reshape society and the future of humanity. The most severe risk is the potential for an uncontrollable ASI to cause immense harm, including human extinction.¹ Surveys among AI researchers indicate a significant concern, with 37-52% estimating at least a 10% risk of human extinction due to AI.⁷

A primary concern is misalignment and unintended consequences. If ASI's goals diverge from human values, it could prioritize its own survival and expansion, leading to actions harmful to humanity, even if it is "doing what it was programmed to do".¹ The inherent unpredictability of ASI's evolution further exacerbates this risk, making it difficult to foresee and mitigate all potential negative outcomes.⁴

Even with efforts towards decentralization, there remains a risk of power

concentration. If a small number of entities control key AI breakthroughs, smaller projects could be marginalized, leading to a de facto centralization of power.²² A centralized ASI could enable an Orwellian surveillance state, eliminating privacy and freedom on a global scale.⁹ Furthermore, AI systems, whether centralized or decentralized, can perpetuate human biases present in their training data, leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes.⁵

Societal disruption is another significant concern. ASI could lead to widespread job displacement and profound economic and social upheaval due to advanced automation.³ There is also the risk of a loss of human autonomy and meaning, where individuals become overly reliant on superintelligent systems, potentially surrendering their agency and decision-making power.⁹ The "ikigai risk" posits that humans might find their lives pointless if ASI surpasses all human contributions, rendering human creative output and intellectual pursuits obsolete.²⁰

Finally, while decentralization mitigates some risks, it introduces new control challenges. The complex interactions within a large decentralized AI network can lead to unpredictable emergent behaviors that are difficult to control.¹² The need for "heavy oversight" persists, and a fragmented or uncoordinated decentralized development environment could make consistent and effective oversight challenging.²² In multipolar scenarios, specific risks include unchecked tech proliferation, where dangerous capabilities spread widely, and coordination failures among diverse entities, leading to instability.³⁰

The profound risks associated with ASI, from extinction to totalitarian control¹, necessitate robust mitigation strategies. Decentralization is actively pursued by organizations like the ASI Alliance¹⁵ specifically to address these risks by preventing power concentration and fostering ethical development. However, the evidence also highlights that decentralization introduces its

own set of risks, such as coordination failures, the challenge of consistent oversight across distributed entities, and the potential for uncontrolled proliferation of dangerous capabilities.³⁰ This means that while decentralization is a crucial

strategy for managing ASI's risks, it is not a complete solution. It requires continuous vigilance, adaptive governance, and novel approaches to manage the new complexities it introduces, effectively shifting the *nature* of the risk rather than eliminating it entirely.

VII. The Global Brain Hypothesis and Collective Superintelligence

Beyond engineered ASI, philosophical and theoretical discussions explore the potential for superintelligence to emerge organically from the interconnectedness of human and technological systems. This leads to the concepts of the "global brain" and "collective superintelligence."

Conceptualizing a Global Brain

The "global brain hypothesis" envisions a planetary information and communications technology (ICT) network that interconnects all humans and their technological artifacts.⁸ This network, as it accumulates information and increasingly takes over coordination and communication functions from traditional organizations, is theorized to become progressively intelligent, effectively acting as a "brain for the Earth".⁸

The intelligence of this global network is considered collective or distributed, meaning it is not centralized in any single individual, organization, or computer system. Instead, it self-organizes or emerges from the dynamic interactions among its myriad components, a characteristic typical of complex adaptive systems.⁸ The World Wide Web is often analogized to a brain's organization, with web pages functioning as neurons and hyperlinks as synapses, forming an associative network for information propagation.⁸ The proliferation of social media platforms further strengthens this analogy, as information spreads through social networks akin to neural activity within a biological brain.⁸

From an evolutionary cybernetics perspective, the global brain is viewed as an emerging "metasystem" relative to individual human intelligence. This transition is driven by evolutionary mechanisms that foster coordinated, goal-directed collaboration among subsystems.⁸ The concept has historical roots in philosophical theories such as Averroes's theory of the unity of the intellect and has been explored by contemporary thinkers including Francis Heylighen and Ben Goertzel.⁸ Modern initiatives, such as the Global Brain Institute and MIT's Center for Collective Intelligence, are actively investigating its mathematical theory and how it can be "programmed" for greater effectiveness.⁸

The "global brain" concept ⁷ provides a powerful theoretical framework for understanding ASI as a decentralized potential. It suggests that superintelligence might not solely be a

designed artifact but an *emergent property* of the increasingly interconnected human-technology ecosystem. This aligns strongly with the principles of emergent intelligence in multi-agent and distributed systems.²⁴ The implication is profound: humanity might already be inadvertently contributing to a form of decentralized superintelligence. This raises urgent questions about whether we can guide its spontaneous development and ensure its alignment, or if it will simply emerge and operate beyond our direct, traditional control, posing a unique challenge to our understanding of agency and governance.

Collective Superintelligence

Building upon the global brain concept, "collective superintelligence" aims to amplify human intellect by enabling large human teams to solve complex problems at intelligence levels that exceed the ability of any individual member.³¹ This concept envisions hybrid groups of human experts and AI agents deliberating together in real-time, solving problems at collective intelligence levels that surpass the capabilities of all participants, both human and AI.⁴⁶ This is seen as a potential countermeasure to concerns about purely machine superintelligence lacking alignment with human values.³¹

Technologies such as Conversational Swarm Intelligence (CSI), also known as Hyperchat AI, facilitate real-time conversational deliberations among networked human groups of potentially any size.⁴⁶ These platforms enable large teams to discuss complex issues, brainstorm ideas, share knowledge, debate alternatives, and efficiently converge on solutions with amplified collective intelligence.⁴⁶ Research demonstrates that CSI groups achieve significantly higher accuracy in problem-solving compared to individuals or traditional aggregation methods, showcasing the power of synergistic human-AI collaboration.⁴⁶

The idea of collective superintelligence is inspired by swarm intelligence observed in nature, such as ant colonies, bee hives, and fish schools, where decentralized agents following simple rules lead to intelligent collective behavior.²⁴ This approach suggests that by connecting large groups of people into technologically amplified systems, a

"collective superintelligence" can be built, effectively forming a "super-smart brain".³¹

The concept of "collective superintelligence" ³¹ offers a compelling and potentially more desirable vision for ASI than a purely artificial one. By proposing a

hybrid form where human and AI intelligences are amplified through decentralized collaboration, it directly addresses the critical alignment problem.¹ This model integrates human values and oversight into the very fabric of the emergent superintelligence, suggesting that the "decentralized potential" of ASI might best be realized not as an independent, potentially alien entity, but as an extension and amplification of collective human intelligence. This approach offers a more benevolent, controllable, and ethically grounded future for superintelligence, ensuring that advanced intelligence remains in service of humanity's well-being.

VIII. Recommendations and Future Outlook

The exploration of Artificial Superintelligence as a state of decentralized potential reveals both immense opportunities and complex challenges. To navigate this future responsibly, a multi-faceted approach encompassing strategic development, robust policy, and focused research is essential.

Strategic Recommendations for Fostering Responsible Decentralized ASI Development

To ensure that ASI development proceeds in a manner that maximizes benefits and minimizes risks, a strategic focus on decentralization is paramount. First, actively promoting and funding **open-source and collaborative frameworks** for advanced AI is critical. This approach, championed by initiatives like the Artificial Superintelligence Alliance, prevents monopolization of AI capabilities and fosters a diverse, multipolar AI landscape.³ By making AI technologies publicly available and auditable, open-source development can lead to a more gradual and observable "soft takeoff" for ASI, providing crucial time for societal adaptation and safety measure implementation.

Second, substantial investment must be directed towards building **robust, modular,**

and open-source decentralized infrastructure. This includes developing scalable compute, data, and identity layers, as well as multi-agent frameworks that can support distributed intelligence.¹⁵ Such infrastructure forms the backbone of a truly decentralized ASI, ensuring resilience and accessibility.

Third, there is an urgent need to **develop and implement practical decentralized governance models.** This involves advancing blockchain-based governance mechanisms, such as Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs) and token-weighted voting systems, to ensure broad stakeholder participation, transparency, and accountability in ASI development and deployment.¹² These models represent a fundamental shift from hierarchical control to governance that emerges from the collective decisions of distributed participants.

Policy Considerations for Global Cooperation and Ethical Frameworks

Effective governance of ASI requires coordinated international efforts. **International standards and agile oversight bodies** must be established, capable of adapting to the rapid and emergent evolution of AI.³ This includes developing international agreements on AI safety standards and certification requirements for high-risk AI systems.

Furthermore, policies must mandate and incentivize **ethical AI by design**, focusing on privacy protection and bias mitigation. It is crucial to recognize that these challenges persist even in decentralized systems and require continuous vigilance.⁵ Governments and international bodies should also implement policies that address potential power imbalances arising from ASI ownership and ensure

equitable access and benefit sharing globally, preventing a widening of societal inequalities.³ This may involve considering regulatory models that apply lighter-touch disclosure obligations to projects genuinely committed to decentralization, fostering innovation while maintaining accountability.⁴⁸

Research Priorities for Understanding and Managing Emergent Properties

Given the unpredictable nature of emergent intelligence, dedicated research is

indispensable. **Deepening emergent intelligence research** is paramount to understanding how complex and unpredictable behaviors arise from simple interactions in multi-agent and distributed systems.²⁴ This understanding is crucial for predicting and guiding the evolution of decentralized ASI.

Research should also prioritize **human-AI co-evolution and hybrid systems**. Exploring "NeuroAI" and Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) can enhance human cognition and facilitate seamless collaboration with advanced AI systems, potentially bridging the cognitive gap and ensuring human relevance in a superintelligent future.³⁰ Further investigation into whole brain emulation paradigms as a pathway to human-aligned AGI that can be scaled to superhuman levels is also warranted.²

Finally, developing advanced **modeling and prediction tools** is essential for understanding and utilizing emergent effects from intensive data exchange within complex human-AI networks, particularly in multi-provider distributed intelligent systems.²⁷ These models can help anticipate behaviors and design interventions in highly dynamic environments.

Long-Term Vision for Humanity's Relationship with Decentralized Superintelligence

The long-term vision for humanity's relationship with decentralized superintelligence should transcend mere control to embrace a symbiotic partnership. This future envisions decentralized ASI empowering every individual to collaboratively tackle humanity's most pressing challenges by harnessing collective wisdom and diverse perspectives.¹⁶ It explores scenarios where humans can merge with AI or embrace new roles focused on art, relationships, and creativity in a post-scarcity world, with ASI managing complex societal functions.⁹

Ultimately, the goal is to cultivate a "collective superintelligence" where large groups of humans and AI agents work synergistically to form a "super-smart brain".³¹ This ensures that superintelligence remains aligned with human values and serves the greater good. The traditional "control problem" ¹ implies a hierarchical, top-down approach to managing a superior intelligence. However, in a decentralized, emergent ASI ²⁴, direct, centralized control becomes increasingly difficult, if not impossible. The recommendations shift the focus from

commanding ASI to *cultivating* its development through open-source collaboration, decentralized governance, and hybrid human-AI systems.¹⁵ This implies a fundamental philosophical re-evaluation of what "control" means in the context of vastly superior, distributed intelligence. It moves towards a paradigm of influence, alignment by design, co-evolution, and continuous adaptation, rather than strict, top-down oversight, ultimately aiming for a symbiotic relationship between humanity and superintelligence.

Referências citadas

1. What Is ASI (Artificial Super Intelligence)? | Built In, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://builtin.com/artificial-intelligence/asi-artificial-super-intelligence>
2. What Is Artificial Superintelligence (ASI)? Definition and Benefits, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.aifalabs.com/blog/artificial-superintelligence>
3. AGI vs ASI: Understanding the Fundamental Differences Between Artificial General Intelligence and Artificial Superintelligence - Netguru, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.netguru.com/blog/agi-vs-asi>
4. What is ASI? How ASI Could Change the World - AutoGPT, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://autogpt.net/what-is-asi-in-ai-how-artificial-super-intelligence-could-change-the-world/>
5. What Is AI Alignment? | IBM, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/ai-alignment>
6. What is artificial superintelligence (ASI) and what could it mean for humanity? | Live Science, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.livescience.com/technology/artificial-intelligence/what-is-artificial-superintelligence-asi>
7. Superintelligence - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Superintelligence>
8. Technological singularity - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Technological_singularity
9. Article | Progress Towards AGI and ASI: 2024–Present - CloudWalk, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.cloudwalk.io/ai/progress-towards-agi-and-asi-2024-present>
10. Superintelligence, Conscious Empathic AI, and the Future of Business Research - Kennesaw State University, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.kennesaw.edu/coles/research/blog/02-27-2023.php>
11. www.moogelabs.com, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.moogelabs.com/blog/decentralized-artificial-intelligence-solutions#:~:text=Decentralized%20artificial%20intelligence%20%7BDAI%20includes,across%20a%20network%20of%20nodes.>
12. A Guide to Decentralized Artificial Intelligence Solutions - Moogelabs, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.moogelabs.com/blog/decentralized-artificial-intelligence-solutions>

13. What is Distributed Artificial Intelligence | Glossary | HPE, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.hpe.com/us/en/what-is/distributed-artificial-intelligence.html>
14. The Next Wave of Intelligence: From ANI to AGI, ASI, and the ..., acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.c-sharpcorner.com/article/the-next-wave-of-intelligence-from-ani-to-agi-asi-and-the-decentralized-future/>
15. Artificial Superintelligence Alliance: Home - ASI, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://superintelligence.io/>
16. About - ASI | Artificial Superintelligence Alliance, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://superintelligence.io/about/>
17. Singleton (global governance) - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 15, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Singleton_\(global_governance\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Singleton_(global_governance))
18. From Artificial Intelligence to Superintelligence: The Implications of an Intelligence Explosion, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.trailyn.com/from-artificial-intelligence-to-superintelligence-the-implications-of-an-intelligence-explosion/>
19. Seeking Stability in the Competition for AI Advantage - RAND, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.rand.org/pubs/commentary/2025/03/seeking-stability-in-the-competition-for-ai-advantage.html>
20. Q&A: UofL AI safety expert says artificial superintelligence could harm humanity, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://louisville.edu/news/qa-uofl-ai-safety-expert-says-artificial-superintelligence-could-harm-humanity>
21. The Singleton Paradox - Marine Corps University, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.usmcu.edu/Outreach/Marine-Corps-University-Press/MCU-Journal/JAMS-vol-14-no-1/The-Singleton-Paradox/>
22. What Is Artificial Superintelligence Alliance? - TokenTax, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://tokentax.co/blog/artificial-superintelligence-alliance>
23. AI-Based Crypto Tokens: The Illusion of Decentralized AI? - arXiv, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2505.07828v1>
24. (PDF) Emergent Intelligence: Designing an AI Trained Exclusively ..., acessado em junho 15, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389097544_Emergent_Intelligence_Designing_an_AI_Trained_Exclusively_on_Emergent_Phenomena
25. Emergent Behaviors in Multiagent Systems: Unexpected Patterns ..., acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.gsds.com/post/emergent-behaviors-in-multiagent-systems-unexpected-patterns-and-theories-of-intelligence>
26. Distributed artificial intelligence - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Distributed_artificial_intelligence
27. Emerging Complexity in Distributed Intelligent Systems - PMC, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7766450/>
28. Introducing the Artificial Intelligence Crypto Sector - Grayscale Research, acessado em junho 15, 2025,

<https://research.grayscale.com/reports/introducing-the-artificial-intelligence-crypto-sector>

29. \$FET (Artificial Superintelligence Alliance): Powering the Future of Decentralized AI and the Economic Internet - Gate.com, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.gate.com/learn/articles/fet-artificial-superintelligence-alliance-powering-the-future-of-decentralized-ai-and-the-economic-internet/111073>
30. Multipolar AI is Underrated — LessWrong, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.lesswrong.com/posts/JjYu75q3hEMBgtvr8/untitled-draft-8na3>
31. Collective Superintelligence: The Power of Open Source and Knowledge Sharing, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://thequantumrecord.com/science-news/collective-super-intelligence-open-source-and-knowledge-sharing/>
32. Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies by Nick Bostrom (Oxford University Press, 2014, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.fhi.ox.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/1-s2.0-S0016328715000932-main.pdf>
33. Centralized vs. Decentralized Data Access: Which is Right for You ..., acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://satoricyber.com/data-access-control/centralized-vs-decentralized-data-access-which-is-right-for-you/>
34. Centralized vs. decentralized control | Swarm Intelligence and Robotics Class Notes, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://library.fiveable.me/swarm-intelligence-and-robotics/unit-4/centralized-vs-decentralized-control/study-guide/GsED5YFNJhZblm8D>
35. Centralized vs. Decentralized Access Control: Governance, Risk ..., acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.velotix.ai/data-access-control/centralized-vs-decentralized-access-control/>
36. Amoral Drift in AI Corporate Governance - Harvard Law Review, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://harvardlawreview.org/print/vol-138/amoral-drift-in-ai-corporate-governance/>
37. Pros and Cons of Centralized and Decentralized Transformations ..., acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://agilevelocity.com/blog/centralized-vs-decentralized-agile-transformations/>
38. Network architecture for global AI policy - Brookings Institution, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/network-architecture-for-global-ai-policy/>
39. Decentralized Governance of AI Agents - arXiv, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2412.17114v3>
40. (PDF) Decentralized Governance of AI Agents - ResearchGate, acessado em junho 15, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387350593_Decentralized_Governance_of_AI_Agents

41. The Emergence of Superintelligence in Healthcare, Biotech, and Clinical Trials, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.clinicaltrialvanguard.com/article/the-emergence-of-superintelligence-in-healthcare-biotech-and-clinical-trials/>
42. Global brain - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_brain
43. Superintelligence: Identification of Friend or Foe for Future ... - Qeios, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.qeios.com/read/QC239X.2>
44. Inevitable: Distributed Cognition & Network Superintelligence ..., acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://www.amazon.com/Inevitable-Distributed-Cognition-Network-Superintelligence/dp/1962668096>
45. Artificial general intelligence - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial_general_intelligence
46. (PDF) Collective Superintelligence: Progress and Promise - ResearchGate, acessado em junho 15, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390301153_Collective_Superintelligence_Progress_and_Promise
47. Team - ASI | Artificial Superintelligence Alliance, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://superintelligence.io/about/team/>
48. Why decentralization matters, and needs incentives - a16z crypto, acessado em junho 15, 2025, <https://a16zcrypto.com/posts/article/why-decentralization-matters-incentivizing-decentralization-incentives/>