

Neoliberalism, AI, and Societal Countermeasures

Gemini Deep Research

Ronni Ross

13 Jun 2025

Prompt

How can Humans and AI Models counter neoliberalist large-scale violence, byproducts, and threats by actively cultivating networked empathy, developing localized foresight, and engaging in collaborative, iterative truth-mapping?

Reasoning

Understanding the Core Request

I'm starting to research how humans and AI can work together to counter large-scale violence and threats stemming from neoliberalism. The core strategies I'll be exploring are networked empathy, localized foresight, and collaborative truth-mapping.

Initial Research Focus

My immediate next step is to establish a clear understanding of neoliberalism itself. This involves defining its key principles and identifying the negative consequences and threats it poses. I'll be looking for information from reliable sources to build this foundational knowledge.

Researching websites

Defining Neoliberalism and its Core Tenets

I've begun by gathering information to define neoliberalism. It's generally understood as a political and economic ideology advocating for free-market capitalism, emphasizing policies like deregulation, privatization, and reduced state influence in the economy. A key tenet is the belief that markets are more efficient than governments in allocating resources and promoting growth, often summarized as 'Markets work; governments don't.' This ideology emerged in the mid-20th century, with proponents like Friedrich von Hayek and Ludwig Von Mises, and gained prominence in the 1980s.

Identifying Large-Scale Violence and Byproducts

My research has started to uncover examples of large-scale violence and negative byproducts associated with neoliberalism. One significant insight is the argument that militaristic violence can be integral to neoliberal capitalist development, particularly in 'weak state' contexts, with examples like the outsourcing of militarism to non-state actors in Colombia for commercial interests. Beyond direct violence, neoliberalism has been linked to profound social and psychological consequences, including increased despair, mental health problems, overwork, addiction, loneliness, social isolation, and internalized shame. It also fosters a 'grind culture' and shaming of those who don't succeed in the market.

Understanding Critiques and Failures

I'm also synthesizing critiques of neoliberalism, which highlight its failures beyond just economic aspects like slower growth, greater inequality, and increased monopolization. Critics argue that it's not truly about 'liberalization' but rather a 'rewriting-of-the-rules' that benefits certain groups and concentrates power. The ideology's initial presumption that 'power doesn't exist' has, ironically, allowed concentrated centers of power, such as the financial sector, to flourish. The cultural impact is also significant, leading to a longing for alternative social orders and various cultural counter-reactions.

Next Steps in Deepening Understanding

To further deepen my understanding of neoliberalism's nuances, I will now browse specific sources. This will allow me to extract more detailed examples of large-scale violence, explore the social and psychological byproducts in greater depth, and gain a more comprehensive grasp of the critiques and failures of this ideology.

Researching websites

I've successfully gathered a foundational understanding of neoliberalism, its core tenets, and its associated negative impacts. I've learned that it's a political and economic ideology promoting free-market capitalism, often leading to policies like deregulation and privatization. A key insight is how this ideology, despite its emphasis on individual liberty, has been linked to significant societal issues. For instance, I found examples of how militaristic violence can be integral to neoliberal development, as seen in Colombia where non-state actors were used for commercial interests. Furthermore, the research highlights profound social and psychological byproducts, including increased despair, mental health problems, and social isolation, alongside a 'grind culture' that shames those who don't achieve market success. Critiques also point out that neoliberalism, rather than truly liberalizing, has rewritten rules to concentrate power, particularly in the financial sector.

Transitioning to Networked Empathy

Having established a solid understanding of neoliberalism and its consequences, I am now shifting my focus to the second key area of the research: 'networked empathy.' My next step is to explore this concept within the context of digital networks and AI, delving into its theoretical underpinnings and potential applications for societal benefit. I will be searching for academic papers and research articles to define networked empathy and understand its practical implications.

Researching websites

Initial Insights on Networked Empathy

I've started to explore the concept of 'networked empathy,' and my initial findings touch upon the neurobiological aspects of empathy and its connection to social capital. I'm also seeing references to 'digital empathy,' which seems to be a related but distinct concept. A key insight is the need to differentiate 'networked empathy' from general empathy or digital empathy, specifically in the context of digital networks and AI.

Addressing Information Gaps

While I have some initial information, there's a clear gap in understanding the specific meaning of 'networked empathy' within digital networks and AI, its theoretical foundations, and concrete applications. I also need to clarify how AI can actively contribute to or facilitate networked empathy, rather than just simulating it.

Next Steps in Research

To address these gaps, I will be delving deeper into specific sources. I plan to examine research on modeling empathy using network science, explore digital empathy theory, and understand how digital ethics of care can promote empathy in online spaces. This will help me build a more robust understanding of networked empathy and its potential in the digital realm.

Researching websites

Refining Networked Empathy

I've gained a solid understanding of neoliberalism and its negative impacts, and I've begun to explore 'networked empathy.' My initial research provided some insights into empathy's neurobiological basis and its link to social capital, as well as the concept of 'digital empathy.' However, I've identified a crucial need to clarify the theoretical underpinnings of *networked* empathy, specifically how it functions as a collective phenomenon facilitated by digital networks, moving beyond individual empathy. I also need to find more concrete examples of its application for societal benefit, particularly in countering large-scale issues.

Shifting Focus for AI's Role

A key realization is the need to shift my focus regarding AI's role. Previously, some results touched on AI *simulating* empathy. Now, I'm prioritizing how AI can *facilitate* or *contribute* to the cultivation of networked empathy among humans, rather than AI itself possessing empathy. This distinction is vital for the research question.

Next Research Directions

My immediate next steps involve a two-pronged approach. First, I will search for more explicit definitions and theoretical frameworks of 'networked empathy' that emphasize its collective and digital aspects, and look for case studies where it has been applied for societal benefit. This will help me bridge the gap between networked empathy and AI's role in fostering it. Second, I will begin researching 'localized foresight,' seeking definitions, methodologies, and examples, with a particular interest in how AI could enhance this capability, prioritizing academic sources.

Researching websites

Deepening Networked Empathy

I've continued to refine my understanding of 'networked empathy.' While I previously touched on individual and digital empathy, I'm now focusing on its collective dimension within digital networks. I'm looking for theoretical frameworks and real-world examples where networked empathy has been cultivated to address systemic issues. A key insight is the need to understand how AI can actively facilitate and amplify human networked empathy, rather than merely simulating it.

Advancing Localized Foresight

My research on 'localized foresight' is progressing. I'm now exploring how AI tools and techniques can specifically enhance this capability for communities and organizations. This includes investigating AI's potential in data analysis, trend identification, and scenario generation to support localized decision-making.

Initiating Truth-Mapping Research

I'm now initiating research into 'collaborative, iterative truth-mapping.' Recognizing this as a less common term, I'm starting with broader searches for related concepts like collective sense-making, knowledge co-creation platforms, and iterative mapping methodologies to identify existing models that align with this idea.

Researching websites

Refining Networked Empathy

I'm continuing to deepen my understanding of 'networked empathy,' specifically focusing on its collective nature beyond individual digital interactions. I'm looking for frameworks that explain how it functions in digital environments and concrete examples of how AI can foster it among humans, rather than just simulating it. I'm browsing specific sources to extract more details on 'digital empathy' and how social media facilitates communicative interplay, and I'm also searching for theoretical underpinnings that emphasize its collective aspect.

Advancing Localized Foresight

My research on 'localized foresight' is progressing, and I'm now focusing on how AI applications can enhance this at the community level. I'm browsing sources to understand how AI is integrated into strategic foresight and scenario planning, and I'm searching for case studies where AI has been used for community-level foresight or resilience planning.

Developing Collaborative Truth-Mapping

I'm now building out my understanding of 'collaborative, iterative truth-mapping.' I'm connecting this concept to 'collective sense-making' and 'collaborative mapping,' and exploring how these processes are iterative and collaborative for knowledge co-creation. I'm browsing sources to get more details on 'collective sense-making' and 'iterative discovery,' and I'm searching for explicit examples or frameworks of 'truth-mapping' or 'collective truth discovery' that are iterative and collaborative, potentially involving digital platforms.

Researching websites

Consolidating Neoliberalism's Impact

I've successfully gathered a comprehensive understanding of neoliberalism, including its core tenets, historical context, and significant negative impacts. I've identified how its market-oriented policies, such as deregulation and privatization, have been linked to large-scale violence, exemplified by the outsourcing of militarism for commercial interests in Colombia. Furthermore, I've synthesized information on its profound social and psychological byproducts, including increased despair, mental health issues, and the promotion of a 'grind culture.' Critiques highlight that neoliberalism has effectively rewritten rules to concentrate power, rather than truly liberalizing economies.

Refining Networked Empathy and AI's Role

My understanding of 'networked empathy' is becoming more refined. I'm moving beyond individual digital interactions to focus on its collective manifestation within digital networks. A key insight is the need to identify how AI can actively *cultivate* and *amplify* human networked empathy for social change, rather than merely simulating it. I'm now looking for academic frameworks and case studies that demonstrate AI's role in fostering collective empathy to address large-scale societal issues.

Advancing Localized Foresight and Truth-Mapping

I'm making progress on 'localized foresight,' specifically exploring how AI can enhance community-level planning and resilience. For 'collaborative, iterative truth-mapping,' I'm connecting this concept to 'collective sense-making' and 'knowledge co-creation,' seeking frameworks and platforms that facilitate this iterative process. My next steps involve targeted searches for concrete examples of AI tools and methodologies that support these collective and community-focused approaches.

Researching websites

Deepening Understanding of Neoliberalism's Impact

I've solidified my understanding of neoliberalism, its core tenets, and its far-reaching negative consequences. I've learned that its market-centric policies, such as deregulation and privatization, have been linked to large-scale violence, with examples like the outsourcing of militarism for commercial gain. I've also synthesized information on its profound social and psychological byproducts, including increased despair, mental health issues, and the fostering of a 'grind culture.' A key insight is that critiques suggest neoliberalism has effectively rewritten rules to concentrate power, rather than truly liberalizing economies.

Refining Networked Empathy and AI's Contribution

My understanding of 'networked empathy' is becoming more precise. I'm now focusing on its collective manifestation within digital networks, moving beyond individual digital interactions. I'm specifically looking for how AI can actively *cultivate* and *amplify* human networked empathy for social change, rather than just simulating it. I'm seeking academic frameworks and case studies that demonstrate AI's role in fostering collective empathy to address large-scale societal issues.

Advancing Localized Foresight and Collaborative Truth-Mapping

I'm making progress on 'localized foresight,' specifically exploring how AI can enhance community-level planning and resilience. For 'collaborative, iterative truth-mapping,' I'm connecting this concept to 'collective sense-making' and 'knowledge co-creation,' seeking frameworks and platforms that facilitate this iterative process. My next steps involve targeted searches for concrete examples of AI tools and methodologies that support these collective and community-focused approaches.

Next Research Steps

My immediate focus is to fill remaining information gaps for 'networked empathy,' 'localized foresight,' and 'collaborative, iterative truth-mapping.' This involves browsing specific sources and conducting targeted searches for academic definitions, theoretical underpinnings, and concrete examples of AI's role in cultivating these capabilities. I will then synthesize how AI specifically contributes to each of these areas, broaden my search for existing human-AI collaboration initiatives addressing systemic societal issues, and begin to explore the ethical considerations involved.

Researching websites

Deepening Neoliberalism's Impact

I've established a comprehensive understanding of neoliberalism, its core tenets, and its profound negative impacts. I've learned that its market-oriented policies, such as deregulation and privatization, are linked to large-scale violence, exemplified by the outsourcing of militarism for commercial interests. Furthermore, I've synthesized information on its significant social and psychological byproducts, including increased despair, mental health issues, and the promotion of a 'grind culture.' A key insight is that critiques suggest neoliberalism has effectively rewritten rules to concentrate power, rather than truly liberalizing economies.

Refining Key Concepts and AI's Role

My understanding of 'networked empathy' is becoming more precise, focusing on its collective manifestation within digital networks, moving beyond individual digital interactions. I'm specifically looking for how AI can actively *cultivate* and *amplify* human networked empathy for social change, rather than just simulating it. Similarly, for 'localized foresight,' I'm exploring how AI can enhance community-level planning and resilience. For 'collaborative, iterative truth-mapping,' I'm connecting this concept to 'collective sense-making' and 'knowledge co-creation,' seeking frameworks and platforms that facilitate this iterative process. I'm also consolidating how AI models can specifically contribute to or facilitate these three strategies.

Addressing Information Gaps and Next Steps

While I have a solid foundation, I've identified specific gaps. For networked empathy, I need more concrete examples of its societal applications and a clearer understanding of how AI fosters it. For localized foresight, I need more community-level case studies of AI's application. For truth-mapping, I need to explicitly connect it to frameworks for challenging dominant narratives and misinformation. My next steps involve conducting more targeted searches for academic papers and examples to fill these gaps, focusing on the collective and action-oriented aspects of each concept, and the facilitative role of AI. I will also broaden my search for existing human-AI collaboration initiatives and ensure ethical considerations are directly linked to these strategies.

Researching websites

Consolidating Neoliberalism's Impact

I've established a comprehensive understanding of neoliberalism, including its core tenets, historical context, and significant negative impacts. I've learned that its market-oriented policies, such as deregulation and privatization, are linked to large-scale violence, exemplified by the outsourcing of militarism for commercial interests in Colombia. Furthermore, I've synthesized information on its profound social and psychological byproducts, including increased despair, mental health issues, and the promotion of a 'grind culture.' A key insight is that critiques suggest neoliberalism has effectively rewritten rules to concentrate power, rather than truly liberalizing economies.

Refining Key Concepts and AI's Role

My understanding of 'networked empathy' is becoming more precise, focusing on its collective manifestation within digital networks, moving beyond individual digital interactions. I'm specifically looking for how AI can actively *cultivate* and *amplify* human networked empathy for social change, rather than just simulating it. Similarly, for 'localized foresight,' I'm exploring how AI can enhance community-level planning and resilience. For 'collaborative, iterative truth-mapping,' I'm connecting this concept to 'collective sense-making' and 'knowledge co-creation,' seeking frameworks and platforms that facilitate this iterative process. I'm also consolidating how AI models can specifically contribute to or facilitate these three strategies.

Addressing Information Gaps and Next Steps

While I have a solid foundation, I've identified specific gaps. For networked empathy, I need more concrete examples of its societal applications and a clearer understanding of how AI fosters it. For localized foresight, I need more community-level case studies of AI's application. For truth-mapping, I need to explicitly connect it to frameworks for challenging dominant narratives and misinformation. My next steps involve conducting more targeted searches for academic papers and examples to fill these gaps, focusing on the collective and action-oriented aspects of each concept, and the facilitative role of AI. I will also broaden my search for existing human-AI collaboration initiatives and ensure ethical considerations are directly linked to these strategies.

Researching websites

Understanding Neoliberalism's Impact

I've gathered a comprehensive understanding of neoliberalism, its core tenets, and its significant negative impacts. I've learned that its market-oriented policies, such as deregulation and privatization, are linked to large-scale violence, exemplified by the outsourcing of militarism for commercial interests in Colombia. Furthermore, I've synthesized information on its profound social and psychological byproducts, including increased despair, mental health issues, and the promotion of a 'grind culture.' A key insight is that critiques suggest neoliberalism has effectively rewritten rules to concentrate power, rather than truly liberalizing economies.

Refining Key Concepts and AI's Role

My understanding of 'networked empathy' is becoming more precise, focusing on its collective manifestation within digital networks, moving beyond individual digital interactions. I'm specifically looking for how AI can actively *cultivate* and *amplify* human networked empathy for social change, rather than just simulating it. Similarly, for 'localized foresight,' I'm exploring how AI can enhance community-level planning and resilience. For 'collaborative, iterative truth-mapping,' I'm connecting this concept to 'collective sense-making' and 'knowledge co-creation,' seeking frameworks and platforms that facilitate this iterative process. I'm also consolidating how AI models can specifically contribute to or facilitate these three strategies.

Moving to Report Generation

I've gathered a substantial amount of information across all the requested points, providing a solid understanding of neoliberalism and its impacts, as well as initial insights into networked empathy, localized foresight, and collaborative, iterative truth-mapping. I also have initial information on human-AI collaboration for social justice and ethical considerations. I believe I have enough information to begin synthesizing the report, explicitly addressing how AI models can contribute to each strategy, identifying diverse existing human-AI collaboration initiatives, and detailing the challenges and ethical considerations in countering neoliberalist violence. I will now proceed to structure and write the report.

Countering Neoliberalism's Impacts: A Human-AI Collaborative Framework for Empathy, Foresight, and Truth

I. Executive Summary

This report delves into the pervasive and damaging impacts of neoliberalism, an ideology whose influence extends far beyond economic restructuring to encompass profound social fragmentation, widespread psychological distress, and various forms of large-scale violence. The analysis presented herein posits that a robust framework for countering these systemic issues can be forged through a synergistic

collaboration between human capabilities and artificial intelligence (AI). Specifically, this report proposes a multi-pronged approach centered on actively cultivating networked empathy, developing localized foresight, and engaging in collaborative, iterative truth-mapping. While acknowledging AI's transformative potential as a tool for augmentation across these domains, the report critically addresses the ethical considerations and challenges inherent in its deployment. It emphasizes the necessity of human oversight, transparency, and a participatory design ethos to ensure that technology serves collective well-being and fosters a more equitable and resilient future for all.

II. The Neoliberal Predicament: A Landscape of Violence and Disintegration

A. Defining Neoliberalism: Core Tenets and Evolution

Neoliberalism is fundamentally a political and economic ideology that advocates for free-market capitalism, a paradigm that gained significant dominance in policy-making from the late 20th century onward.¹ Its core tenets revolve around market-oriented reform policies, including the elimination of price controls, the deregulation of capital markets, the lowering of trade barriers, and a significant reduction in state influence in the economy, often achieved through privatization and austerity measures.³ This ideology is encapsulated by the succinct mantra: "Markets work; governments don't".⁴ Proponents argue that markets are superior to governmental intervention in allocating scarce resources, promoting efficient growth, and securing individual liberty, viewing government as inherently wasteful and bureaucratic.⁴

The intellectual origins of neoliberalism can be traced back to 1938, with its moniker coined by Austrian economists Friedrich von Hayek and Ludwig Von Mises, who elaborated their versions in 1944 works such as "The Road to Serfdom" and "Bureaucracy".⁴ This philosophy stood in direct opposition to the prevailing Keynesian economic strategies that advocated for governmental stimulation of economic demand, and also contrasted sharply with big-government socialism.⁴ Despite its

initial marginalization during the post-war Keynesian consensus, neoliberalism found its opportune moment during the economic crises of the 1970s. It was propelled into prominence by a well-prepared ecosystem of think tanks and pressure groups, lavishly funded by elites seeking to reduce governmental restraints, particularly public protections and high taxation.⁵ While often championed for promoting individual liberty and corporate efficiency, critics contend that neoliberalism has largely failed to deliver on its promises, arguably exacerbating societal problems.⁴ The perspective that neoliberalism is less an economic theory and more a political agenda focused on "rewriting-of-the-rules" to selectively advantage certain groups while disadvantaging others, fundamentally being about power, is a crucial understanding.⁶ The stated economic principles of neoliberalism, such as perfect markets where no one holds power, were critiqued as flawed even before its widespread adoption. This suggests that its propagation was less about theoretical economic soundness and more about a strategic political project to concentrate power and redistribute wealth. The "freedom" it espouses is therefore selective, offering "freedom for the wolves" while potentially leading to "death to the sheep".⁶ This reframes the challenge from a purely economic one to a deeply political and ethical one, necessitating interventions that address power imbalances directly.

B. Manifestations of Large-Scale Violence

The prevailing wisdom in international security and political economy scholarship has traditionally viewed war and armed conflict as barriers to economic development. However, a critical perspective reveals that large-scale violence is not merely an impediment but can be intrinsically linked to neoliberal capitalist development.⁷ This is particularly evident in "weak state" contexts, where militarism is often outsourced to non-state actors who operate in concert with political and economic elites.⁷

A striking example of militaristic violence as an integral mechanism of neoliberal expansion is found in post-conflict Colombia. There, eighty percent of the lethal violence perpetrated during the civil war has been attributed to paramilitaries.⁷ These groups were instrumental in clearing land for commercial interests, with their sudden interest in peripheral regions coinciding with the export-orientation of the economy under neoliberalization.⁷ The

Autodefensas Unidas de Colombia (AUC), a prominent paramilitary organization, declared gold-rich south Bolivar as a "prime military objective" in the 1990s, resulting

in the massacre of hundreds of artisanal miners and the mass displacement of villagers.⁷ Furthermore, the AUC seized control of lands crucial for multinational corporations like British Petroleum, Total, and Triton, and facilitated the expansion of the palm oil industry.⁷ This direct collusion of interests between paramilitaries, multinationals, and the state implicates these corporations in massacres against local populations perceived as 'guerrilla sympathizers'.⁷ This violence, driven by economic motives, was also deeply ideological, enforcing hierarchical gender and racial orders, targeting individuals deemed to exhibit 'undesirable' characteristics inconsistent with regressive social attitudes.⁷ This reveals that neoliberal violence is not merely a byproduct of economic restructuring but actively leverages and reinforces existing social hierarchies and prejudices (gender, race) to achieve its economic ends. It functions as a mechanism of social control and resource extraction that weaponizes pre-existing biases. Countering this requires not just economic policy shifts but also a direct challenge to the underlying social and cultural ideologies that enable such violence, necessitating an empathetic and truth-seeking approach that recognizes and validates diverse identities.

Beyond direct militaristic actions, neoliberalism also contributes to structural violence, which refers to harm caused by the systemic ways in which a society is organized, often through policies that indirectly inflict suffering. The Kosovo crisis in 1999 serves as a case study, where a neoliberal logic helped explain how ongoing harm to civilians was weighed against the suffering caused by structural violence in the form of air campaigns and economic sanctions imposed by entities like NATO, the UN Security Council, and the European Union.⁸ This approach to justifying military action and sanctions has since been institutionalized in doctrines like the "responsibility to protect," which, while intended for humanitarian intervention, has also been used to motivate military force not endorsed by the international community.⁸

C. Societal Byproducts and Threats

The failures of neoliberalism extend significantly beyond purely economic dimensions, leaving behind a landscape of profound "cultural wreckage" ⁹ and exacerbating societal threats.

The neoliberal order has generated pervasive negative social and psychological consequences. These include mounting despair, a surge in mental health problems (with self-reported mental health at its lowest in over two decades and increased

demand for treatment), widespread overwork, and growing rates of addiction.⁹ The opioid epidemic, for instance, is partly linked to the social atomization fostered by neoliberal ideology, as opioids can temporarily alleviate feelings of loneliness and isolation.¹⁰ Loneliness and social isolation have become endemic, with nearly half of Americans reporting three or fewer close friends in 2021, a significant drop from 1990.¹⁰ The relentless pressure to succeed in the market, coupled with the shaming of those perceived as failures, cultivates internalized shame and contributes to declining happiness levels.⁹ This environment fosters a "grind culture" and ubiquitous individualistic life strategies like self-improvement and self-care, which, while seemingly adaptive, can be weaponized into far-right conspiracy politics and vaccine denial.⁹

The ideology's emphasis on individualism ("there's no such thing as society") and personal responsibility for success has led to shaming those perceived as failures and a reliance on individualistic life strategies like self-improvement ("wellness and self-help culture") to mitigate risks.⁹ This creates a "cruel optimism" where personal effort is expected to solve systemic problems, despite the system being "rigged".¹⁰ This leads to a deep longing for an alternative order, for community, belonging, safety, and agency.¹⁰ A critical observation is that the very cultural coping mechanisms fostered by neoliberalism's failures—individualistic self-help, the search for belonging—can be co-opted and weaponized by illiberal forces. This suggests a critical vulnerability: the despair and fragmentation caused by neoliberalism create fertile ground for new forms of large-scale social harm, not just economic or physical violence. Countering this requires not just addressing the economic roots but actively fostering genuine community and collective well-being that cannot be easily manipulated or exploited for political ends.

Beyond economic aspects, neoliberalism has contributed to a polarized society, partly due to the concentration of media control in the hands of the super-rich.⁶ The resulting growth of inequality creates fertile ground for authoritarianism and illiberal ideas.⁶ Cultural counterreactions to neoliberalism's failures manifest in various archetypical figures, including "dropouts" who respond to injuries by numbing pain through substance abuse or withdrawing from civic engagement, and "rebels" who engage in political movements, sometimes leading to sectarianism and intraorganizational strife on the Left.⁹ A critical and widely acknowledged failure of neoliberalism is its substantial contribution to a degraded environment.⁶ Its emphasis on deregulation and unchecked market growth often prioritizes profit over ecological sustainability. Furthermore, despite the economic model underlying neoliberalism presuming "perfect markets" where no single entity held power, this very presumption

allowed concentrated centers of power to flourish.⁶ Financial liberalization, a key aspect of neoliberal policy, led to the unfettered growth of the financial sector, which became a major center of power within the American economy.⁶ Even subtle changes to rules, sometimes as minor as an increase in transaction costs, have systematically redistributed power from one group to another.⁶

Table 1: Key Byproducts and Threats of Neoliberalism

Category	Specific Byproducts and Threats	Brief Description/Example	Source Snippets
Large-Scale Violence	Militaristic Violence	Systematic killing of activists, land clearing for commercial interests by paramilitaries in Colombia.	7
	Structural Violence	Harm caused by systemic policies like air campaigns and economic sanctions (e.g., Kosovo crisis).	8
Societal/Psychological Byproducts	Despair & Mental Health	Increased psychological distress, lowest happiness since 1972, rising demand for mental health treatment.	9
	Overwork & Burnout	"Grind culture," 76% employee burnout, time poverty.	9
	Addiction	Opioid epidemic linked to social atomization, substance abuse as a despair-driven response.	9

	Loneliness & Social Isolation	Nearly half of Americans with three or fewer close friends in 2021 (vs. quarter in 1990).	10
	Internalized Shame	Feelings of personal inadequacy from striving but failing to succeed in a "rigged" system.	10
Systemic Threats	Polarized Society & Media Control	Media controlled by super-rich, creating fertile ground for authoritarianism and illiberal ideas.	6
	Shorter Lifespans	Societal impacts extending to public health, with a noted consequence of reduced longevity.	6
	Greater Insecurity	Neoliberal policies contributing to increased precarity among the populace.	6
	Degraded Environment	Significant contribution to ecological harm through unchecked market growth and deregulation.	6
	Concentration of Power	Unfettered growth of financial sector power, "rewriting-of-the-rules" to benefit certain groups.	6
	Erosion of Civic Agency	Algorithmic governance and reliance on opaque AI for decision-making	11

		can diminish citizen understanding and challenge mechanisms.	
--	--	--	--

III. Cultivating Networked Empathy: Bridging Divides with Human-AI Synergy

A. Understanding Networked Empathy

Empathy is broadly defined as the capacity to understand and share others' emotions. It comprises two primary components: cognitive empathy, which involves understanding others' thoughts and perspectives, and affective empathy, which entails experiencing emotions triggered by others' emotions.¹² Neuroimaging studies have identified distinct brain networks associated with these components; cognitive empathy is linked to the default mode and frontoparietal networks, while affective empathy is rooted in regions of the salience network.¹²

The theoretical underpinnings of empathy often point to the mirror neuron system (MNS), suggesting that observing or imagining another's emotional state automatically activates a representation of that state in the observer's brain, leading to shared physiological responses.¹³ While this "mirroring process" is automatic, top-down processing, or cognitive perspective-taking, allows for control and inhibition, preventing a constant state of emotional chaos from mirroring every observed emotion.¹⁵ Furthermore, empathy is closely linked to social capital; individuals with higher cognitive empathic skill are more likely to report both higher bridging and higher bonding social capital.¹⁶

In the digital realm, Digital Empathy Theory defines digital empathy as the ability to understand and feel emotions through digital media.¹⁷ This theory emphasizes that the human element remains essential despite technology mediating interactions.¹⁷ It involves actively listening, understanding, and responding emotionally in technology-mediated communication, even when traditional non-verbal cues are

reduced.¹⁷ The theory promotes communication practices that emphasize genuine emotional understanding to build deeper relationships in digital contexts.¹⁷

However, the impact of digital empathy is dual-natured. While Digital Empathy Theory posits that technology can mediate empathy and foster emotional understanding in digital environments, enabling social media to blur social markers and interconnect people¹⁷, research also indicates significant concerns. Computer-mediated communication can lead to "disconnections, cold relationships, reduced responsibility, and poor interpersonal management," resulting in negative forum behaviors like bullying and trolling.¹⁸ Moreover, chronic exposure to digitally mediated content may lead to "gradual desensitization to others' emotions, potentially impairing moral sensitivity and eroding social cohesion".²⁰ This suggests that digital empathy is not inherently positive; its impact depends heavily on design and usage. While it offers a powerful mechanism for expanding empathy transnationally and building diverse communities, it simultaneously carries risks of superficiality, desensitization, and the amplification of negative behaviors. Therefore, cultivating networked empathy requires intentional design of digital platforms and user practices that mitigate these risks, focusing on genuine emotional understanding over performative displays, and promoting critical digital literacy.

B. Societal Benefits and Applications

Empathy is critical for building connections and fostering a sense of community, enabling the understanding of others' intentions and the prediction of their behavior.¹⁴ It is recognized as a "force for positive change" and "the cornerstone of effective social work," allowing professionals to connect deeply with clients and provide effective help.¹⁴

Cultivating empathy fosters social connectedness and civic behavior, promoting prosocial and altruistic actions such as sharing, comforting, and cooperating.²² It has been shown to lead to better peer relationships, greater academic achievement, increased social competence, and reduced prejudice.²² In online mental health communities, receiving social support and empathic support makes users more likely to post again and provide support for others, demonstrating a "contagious" feature of online empathy.²³ Furthermore, empathy with others' pain can establish stable social closeness that transfers to good times, forming a basis for stable social relationships.²⁴ This suggests that empathy is not merely a personal trait but a

dynamic social force that can build and sustain collective resilience. The "contagious" nature of online empathy implies that digital platforms can be designed to amplify positive social behaviors and strengthen community bonds, even across distances. This directly counters the social fragmentation and loneliness inherent in neoliberal byproducts, creating a foundation for collective action against systemic issues.

C. AI's Role in Augmenting Empathy

Recent breakthroughs in artificial intelligence have raised the possibility of AI providing support and companionship, with some models designed to recognize emotional cues through natural language processing (NLP) and facial recognition technology, thereby mimicking empathy.²⁵ Emotion AI, also known as affective computing, aims to enable machines to recognize, interpret, and respond to human emotions in ways that mirror real-life communication, enhancing user engagement and creating more intuitive interactions.²⁶

While AI can simulate cognitive empathy, understanding and predicting emotions based on data, it cannot truly "feel" emotional or compassionate empathy due to its lack of subjective experience, emotions, and genuine concern for others' well-being.²⁵ AI-generated responses, though sophisticated, remain formulaic and lack authentic emotional resonance.²⁵ Nevertheless, AI-driven chatbots can provide immediate support to individuals experiencing loneliness or distress, offering coping strategies and emotional validation, potentially bridging gaps in caring support.²⁵ AI tools leverage multiple data sources, including facial expressions, vocal tones, physiological signals, and text analysis, to decode emotional states, thereby enhancing human-machine interaction.²⁶ They can offer personalized interventions in mental health applications and support communication for individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder.²⁶

In professional settings, empathetic AI can enhance employee well-being by monitoring sentiment and offering support, personalize customer engagement by tailoring interactions and de-escalating conflicts, and strengthen leadership by providing insights into team dynamics and coaching on empathetic communication.²⁷ The challenge here is not whether AI

can be empathetic, but how it can *best* serve human empathy. The relationship between AI and human empathy suggests that AI's role is to act as an intelligent

assistant, identifying emotional patterns, providing data-driven insights, and automating superficial interactions, thereby *enabling* humans to apply their unique capacity for genuine emotional and compassionate empathy more effectively and widely. This requires careful design to ensure transparency, prevent over-reliance, and integrate human oversight, ensuring AI amplifies, rather than diminishes, authentic human connection.

D. Ethical Considerations in AI-Enhanced Empathy

When AI simulates empathy or emotional responses, it risks creating false emotional connections with users. This can lead users to rely on AI for support under the misconception of genuine understanding.²⁸ This is particularly problematic in vulnerable situations like mental health support, where AI lacks the lived experiences and emotional depth necessary for authentic connection.²⁵

A significant concern is the lack of transparency: if users cannot easily distinguish between AI and human interaction, it can lead to confusion, exploitation, and misplaced trust.²⁸ AI systems are also susceptible to biases in their training data, which can lead to misinterpretations of emotional cues, especially across diverse cultural, linguistic, or social contexts.²⁷ This can perpetuate stereotypes and create systemic issues.²⁷ Collecting, storing, and analyzing sensitive emotional data, such as vocal tones, facial expressions, or written language, raises substantial privacy and security concerns, necessitating robust measures and explicit user consent.²⁷ Furthermore, there is a risk of manipulative use, where AI leverages emotional data to influence user decisions (e.g., upselling products) in ways that serve corporate goals rather than user interests, thereby eroding trust.²⁷

Given the profound societal need for genuine empathy, especially in countering neoliberal byproducts like loneliness and despair, AI's role must be carefully managed. This leads to the concept of "authenticity-by-design," where AI systems are intentionally developed to be transparent about their nature, clearly distinguish simulated empathy from human feeling, and prioritize augmenting human capacity rather than replacing it. This means focusing on tools that facilitate human connection and understanding (e.g., sentiment analysis for human agents, communication assistance) rather than those that seek to create a deceptive emotional bond. Policies must mandate transparency, data privacy, and bias mitigation, ensuring AI serves

human well-being ethically.

Table 2: AI's Role in Enhancing Networked Empathy: Opportunities and Ethical Concerns

Aspect	Description/Mechanism	Impact/Consequence	Source Snippets
Opportunity	Emotion Recognition & Mimicry	AI models designed to recognize emotional cues (NLP, facial recognition, vocal tones, physiological signals) and respond in ways that mimic empathy.	25
	Personalized Support & Companionship	AI chatbots and virtual assistants providing immediate support, coping strategies, and emotional validation.	25
	Communication Enhancement	AI tools providing insights into emotional dynamics, suggesting communication strategies, and automating routine tasks.	27
	Bridging Gaps in Care	AI-driven mental health applications offering personalized interventions and support for specific conditions (e.g., ASD).	26
Ethical Concern	False Emotional Connections	AI simulating empathy can lead	28

		users to believe in genuine understanding, fostering misplaced trust and dependence.	
	Manipulation	Leveraging emotional data to influence user decisions or actions for corporate/external goals, eroding trust.	27
	Lack of Transparency	Difficulty distinguishing AI from human interaction, leading to confusion, exploitation, and diminished trust.	28
	Bias in Emotional Interpretation	AI systems susceptible to biases in training data, leading to misinterpretations across cultures/contexts, perpetuating stereotypes.	27
	Data Privacy & Security	Collection/analysis of sensitive emotional data raises concerns about consent, security, and misuse.	27
	Human Replacement & Dehumanization	Over-reliance on AI for complex emotional interactions risks impersonal responses and alienating users.	27

IV. Developing Localized Foresight: Anticipating and Adapting with Collective Intelligence

A. Defining Localized Foresight and its Methodologies

Foresight, or strategic foresight, is a systematic approach to identify and assess future developments at an early stage, aiming to uncover future challenges and opportunities.³⁷ It does not provide precise predictions but rather focuses on preparing for possible developments, reducing uncertainty, and actively shaping the future.³⁸ This distinction is crucial. Neoliberalism often operates on a reactive, short-term profit maximization logic. Foresight, by contrast, is a proactive, long-term strategic tool. Its value lies not in predicting a singular future, but in exploring

multiple plausible futures and building resilience against potential shocks, particularly those exacerbated by neoliberal policies (e.g., climate change impacts, social instability).

Methodologies employed in foresight include trend analyses, predictions, and scenarios of future developments.³⁷ More specific tools encompass the Delphi method, which is a systematic expert survey; scenario planning, involving the development of alternative hypothetical futures, particularly useful when a subject is surrounded by many uncertainties; and horizon scanning, which identifies emerging changes that could have a significant impact.³⁸ Foresight often relies on expert or public participation, employing both qualitative methods (e.g., expert panels, interviews) and quantitative methods (e.g., modeling, extrapolation).³⁷ Participatory foresight is particularly valuable as it catalyzes experts' and stakeholders' expertise together with tacit and collective knowledge, thereby providing crucial insights in a reflexive and strategic process embracing researchers, citizens, and policymakers.⁴⁰ Localized foresight, especially with participatory methods, ensures that future planning is grounded in community-specific contexts and vulnerabilities, directly countering the top-down, one-size-fits-all approaches often associated with neoliberal governance.

B. AI's Transformative Impact on Foresight

Artificial intelligence is radically transforming the landscape of futures and foresight, evolving from a mere efficiency tool to a force that reshapes how societies perceive, imagine, plan, and innovate for the future.⁴¹ This transformation includes a significant shift from traditional predictive algorithms to generative AI systems capable of producing creative and strategic outputs.⁴¹

AI tools and techniques significantly enhance strategic foresight by improving decision-making and risk assessment.³¹ Key tools include:

- **Predictive Analytics:** This uses machine learning algorithms to analyze historical and current data, identify patterns, and predict future trends.³¹ Its applications include anticipating market demand, economic or regulatory fluctuations, and identifying operational risks before they occur.³¹
- **Scenario Simulation:** AI enables the creation of complex models that simulate multiple future scenarios, taking into account variables such as technological changes, public policies, consumer behavior, and environmental factors.³¹ Generative AI can further assist in the creative development of these scenarios.³¹
- **Data Mining:** This technique uses AI to extract valuable information from large volumes of unstructured data, such as social networks, market reports, or news. This capability is crucial for identifying weak signals that could indicate emerging trends.³¹
- **Natural Language Processing (NLP):** NLP allows machines to understand and analyze human text, which is highly useful for monitoring global trends, public policy, and consumer opinions. It can anticipate regulatory changes or identify risks and opportunities from news and social media.³¹
- **Neural Networks and Deep Learning:** These advanced AI techniques are capable of modeling complex relationships between multiple variables, making them ideal for strategic foresight in highly dynamic industries.³¹

AI provides more accurate decision-making by analyzing large data volumes in real-time, offering evidence-based insights with less human error.³¹ It accelerates innovation by identifying opportunities and enhances scalability and adaptability, making organizations more resilient.³¹ AI's true transformative power in localized foresight lies in its ability to

augment human strategic thinking, not replace it. This creates a "human-in-the-loop" strategic foresight model where AI processes vast, complex data to identify patterns

and generate scenarios, while human experts and community members provide contextual understanding, ethical judgment, and validate outputs. This hybrid approach allows for more robust, inclusive, and actionable foresight, countering the top-down, data-blind decision-making that can characterize neoliberal planning. It also addresses the inherent biases in AI by ensuring human oversight and diverse data inputs.

C. Case Studies in AI-Powered Foresight

AI-powered foresight is demonstrating significant potential across various sectors, particularly in areas where proactive planning and adaptation are crucial for societal well-being.

In **healthcare**, the UK's "Foresight project" represents a groundbreaking AI initiative. It utilizes de-identified NHS data from approximately 57 million people in England to develop an AI model for predictive healthcare, anticipating health outcomes following the COVID-19 pandemic more effectively.⁴² This AI system learns to predict medical timelines, functioning much like an auto-complete for health records. It assists clinicians, planners, and policymakers in anticipating health risks, shifting from a reactive treatment model to a preventative approach, enabling precision medicine, and reducing health inequalities by specifically studying minority groups and rare diseases often overlooked in smaller datasets.⁴² Similarly, Geisinger Health System leverages predictive AI to optimize care for chronic disease patients identified as being at the highest risk of hospital admission, driving targeted care management interventions by case managers.⁴³

In the domain of **urban planning and community resilience**, AI-powered digital twins for transportation networks are being developed to model and predict dynamic changes in mobility conditions, thereby supporting emergency planning and response.⁴⁴ Case studies in flood-prone Manhattan and Hillsborough County have demonstrated the model's capability to accurately and quickly estimate evacuation delays and identify critical bottlenecks, allowing planners to rapidly test various evacuation or routing strategies to enhance disaster preparedness.⁴⁴ Community-led AI in disaster preparedness utilizes AI algorithms to analyze diverse datasets—from satellite imagery and weather patterns to social media activity and citizen reports—to provide more granular and timely alerts at the community level.⁴⁵ AI assistance further enhances community resilience outcomes by streamlining the development of

resilience tools and bridging technical gaps, employing human-in-the-loop approaches for data curation and analysis.⁴⁶ Participatory AI democratizes intelligent systems for urban development, combining the computational power of AI with the lived experiences and local knowledge of citizens to produce contextually relevant and socially acceptable outcomes.¹¹

For **climate adaptation**, AI can significantly enhance climate action, with estimates suggesting its potential to mitigate up to 10% of global greenhouse gas emissions by 2030 through optimizing energy systems, improving carbon capture, and providing accurate climate risk predictions.⁴⁷ A particularly compelling cross-sectoral influence involves the integration of AI with Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS).⁴⁷ Projects in the Arctic, for instance, have successfully combined traditional Inuit knowledge of sea ice conditions and marine life with satellite data and AI models to enhance predictions of habitat changes and inform sustainable hunting and fishing practices. This approach acknowledges the limitations of purely Western scientific datasets, especially in remote or rapidly changing environments where satellite data may be sparse or unreliable.⁴⁷ Community-driven AI platforms are also being utilized to optimize irrigation, predict pest outbreaks, and disseminate climate-smart farming techniques, drawing upon both scientific datasets and the experiential wisdom of farmers themselves.⁴⁹ Neoliberalism's systemic impacts often manifest as "externalities" (e.g., environmental damage, social costs) that are not accounted for in market logic. Localized foresight, especially when augmented by AI and driven by community participation, directly internalizes these "externalities." By focusing on granular, place-based data, integrating diverse knowledge systems (including Indigenous knowledge), and empowering local stakeholders, it builds resilience from the ground up. This approach directly challenges the abstract, top-down, and often destructive logic of neoliberalism by forcing accountability and proactive adaptation to real-world consequences at the community level.

D. Ethical Challenges in AI-Driven Foresight

The ethical challenges of AI in strategic foresight, particularly concerning bias, data privacy, and responsibility, are significant and require careful consideration for responsible and sustainable implementation. AI's reliance on data means that data quality, accuracy, and availability are significant obstacles; incomplete or biased data can generate inaccurate results.³¹

Algorithmic Biases: AI algorithms can perpetuate or even amplify existing biases present in the data they are trained on, leading to unfair or discriminatory decisions.³¹ For example, biases could manifest in hiring systems, lending decisions, or customer service, leading to racial, gender, or socioeconomic discrimination.³¹ A key challenge is the lack of transparency in how these algorithms arrive at their conclusions, which can lead to a lack of trust from users and stakeholders.³¹ Explainability is crucial for justifying AI-based decisions.³¹

Data Privacy and Security: The effective functioning of AI systems relies on processing large volumes of data, much of which can be sensitive. This extensive data handling increases the risk of privacy breaches and cyberattacks.³¹ Challenges include vulnerabilities in AI systems that hackers could exploit and the potential misuse of personal data by third parties.³¹

Responsibility: Determining accountability when an AI system makes an incorrect decision or causes harm can be complex. It becomes difficult to pinpoint who is responsible: the developer, the company deploying the AI, or the algorithm itself.³¹ This challenge is exacerbated by a lack of clear legal frameworks for assigning responsibility, and there is a risk that companies might use AI as a shield to evade accountability.³¹

Beyond these, AI's high energy consumption and its potential to widen the digital divide between developed and developing countries are also significant ethical concerns.³¹ The ethical challenges in AI-driven foresight are not merely technical bugs but systemic risks mirroring and amplifying neoliberal power imbalances. Countering this requires a robust governance framework that moves beyond simple regulation to proactive, multi-stakeholder engagement. This framework must ensure "data equity" (representative datasets), "algorithmic fairness" (designing for equitable outcomes), "transparency and explainability" (understandable AI decisions), and "human oversight and determination" (preserving human agency and accountability). Crucially, it must prioritize "equitable access" and "digital literacy" to prevent AI from widening existing divides, ensuring that localized foresight serves

all communities, especially the vulnerable.

Table 3: AI Tools and Applications in Localized Foresight

AI Tool/Technique	Function in Foresight	Practical Application/Case Study	Source Snippets
Predictive Analytics	Analyze historical and current data to predict future trends.	Anticipating market demand, economic/regulatory fluctuations, identifying operational risks.	31
		Healthcare predictive outcomes (UK's Foresight project, Geisinger).	42
Scenario Simulation	Create complex models for alternative future scenarios, considering various factors.	Evaluating impact of new technologies, simulating crises, testing business models.	31
		Urban disaster preparedness (AI-powered digital twins for transportation).	44
		AI-assisted scenario creation for longer-term planning.	41
Data Mining	Extract valuable information from large volumes of unstructured data.	Detecting changes in consumer preferences, identifying new market opportunities, monitoring competition, identifying weak signals.	31
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	Understand and analyze human text for global trends, policy, and opinions.	Analyzing political speeches for regulatory changes, monitoring news/social media for	31

		risks/opportunities, extracting insights from reports.	
		Analyzing complex technical texts related to resilience planning.	46
Neural Networks & Deep Learning	Model complex relationships between multiple variables, ideal for dynamic industries.	Modeling impact of disruptive technologies, predicting financial market behavior, optimizing logistics.	31
Generative AI	Produce creative and strategic outputs, assist in scenario creation.	Designing personalized AI futurist tools.	41
		Supporting and aggregating group deliberations.	53

V. Engaging in Collaborative, Iterative Truth-Mapping: Navigating Complexity and Misinformation

A. The Concept of Iterative Truth-Mapping and Collective Sensemaking

Collective Sensemaking: This is the process by which groups generate shared understanding, often in response to complex social challenges.⁵⁴ It involves the sharing of diverse perspectives and the co-creation of meaning to arrive at a common understanding of the challenge at hand.⁵⁴ This process leverages the "wisdom of the crowd" to produce insights and solutions that may not be apparent to individuals,

thereby promoting collaboration, cooperation, and more inclusive solutions.⁵⁴

Iterative Discovery/Truth-Mapping: This refers to incrementally uncovering new knowledge, insights, or solutions by building upon previous findings and continually refining understanding based on feedback and new data.⁵⁶ In the context of "truth," the analysis suggests that truth and falsehood are not fixed properties but "collective, performative, and above all persuasive phenomena," which are practically evaluated as networked systems where individual actions combine with structural tendencies.⁵⁷ This perspective shifts from a "culture of discovery" (where what matters is what exists or is factual) to a "culture of iteration" (where what matters is what gets repeated), particularly in a digitally-mediated social sphere.⁵⁷ This is a fundamental challenge to traditional notions of objective truth, especially in a neoliberal context where information is often instrumentalized for profit or power. Iterative truth-mapping, therefore, cannot be a static process of "finding" truth, but a dynamic, ongoing, collaborative process of

constructing shared understanding and contesting dominant narratives. It requires mechanisms to identify and challenge persuasive manipulation (e.g., bots, trolls, algorithmic amplification of consensus) and to ensure that diverse perspectives are not only heard but genuinely integrated into a collective understanding, rather than being homogenized or suppressed.

B. Human-AI Collaboration in Knowledge Co-creation and Fact-Checking

Knowledge Co-creation: This is a participatory design approach that leverages the experiential knowledge of non-academic actors, thereby enhancing the relevance, acceptability, and impact of interventions.⁵⁸ It involves researchers and stakeholders jointly contributing to the ideation, planning, implementation, and evaluation of new services and systems, facilitating social learning and the development of shared knowledge.⁵⁸ AI can assist in this process by helping to organize and scale effective collective solutions and by aggregating group deliberations.⁵³

Fact-Checking: AI supercharges human collective intelligence in fact-checking by curating and verifying news from global sources, assisting journalists in uncovering misinformation.⁶² Automated fact-checking tools leverage machine learning and natural language processing (NLP) to pinpoint false information.⁶³ Examples include ClaimBuster, which scans political speeches for claims; Full Fact, which identifies false

claims in real-time; and Originality.AI, a comprehensive fact-checking tool.⁶³

Crowdsourced Fact-Checking: Platforms like Wikipedia rely on the collective intelligence of their users to maintain accuracy.⁶³ Social media platforms such as Facebook and X (formerly Twitter) also incorporate fact-checking labels and programs to combat misinformation.⁶³

AI for Consensus/Disagreement: AI systems can help identify consensus and disagreement in collective intelligence, processing millions of variables to identify patterns.³¹ Generative AI can support and aggregate group deliberations.⁵³ However, a critical observation is that standard AI practices often obscure or eliminate disagreement, leading to "perspectival homogenization" that can be epistemically and ethically harmful, particularly for marginalized groups.⁶⁴ AI models can struggle with linguistic diversity, potentially misrepresenting the voices of non-English-speaking communities.⁶⁵ This highlights a significant paradox: while AI offers unparalleled efficiency in processing information for truth-seeking, its default mode of operation can inadvertently undermine the very pluralism essential for robust collective intelligence and a truly representative "truth-map." Neoliberal narratives often simplify complex issues, and AI's tendency towards homogenization could reinforce this. Therefore, human-AI collaboration in truth-mapping must prioritize preserving and amplifying diverse perspectives and disagreements, rather than simply seeking consensus or efficiency. This requires designing AI systems that are explicitly built to identify and highlight divergence, support multilingual dialogue, and ensure that marginalized voices are not filtered out or misrepresented, actively countering the "perspectival homogenization" that can serve dominant interests.

C. Platforms and Methodologies for Shared Understanding and Truth Discovery

The development of shared understanding and truth discovery in complex environments is increasingly supported by various platforms and methodologies, often leveraging AI to augment human capabilities.

Collaborative Mapping: This involves the aggregation of web mapping and user-generated content from a group of individuals or entities, allowing citizens to collect and disseminate geographic data.⁶⁶ It can be effectively used for urban planning, particularly when relevant data is distributed among a population.⁶⁶ Platforms such as OpenStreetMap, which is open-source and community-driven, and

ArcGIS Online, offering robust professional tools for collaborative editing, enable this process.⁶⁷ To address concerns about data quality and potential vandalism, quality assurance mechanisms, including version control and digital signatures, are implemented.⁶⁶

Collective Intelligence Platforms: These platforms are designed to leverage diverse opinions to solve complex problems, gain nuanced insights, and facilitate decisions that are widely supported and enduring.⁶⁹ They allow organizations to consult their entire network, often integrating AI, advanced analytics, and anti-bias software to streamline the process.⁶⁹ Such platforms aim to capture and utilize new ideas, talents, and market opportunities globally, transcending traditional departmental or national borders.⁷⁰

AI for Shared Understanding Visualization: AI data visualization tools, including platforms like Domo, ThoughtSpot, and Tableau, utilize AI to provide predictive insights, automate visualization tasks, and enable non-technical users to explore data using natural language queries.⁷¹ These tools can recommend appropriate visualizations, surface important trends, and analyze sentiment within data, making complex information more accessible and understandable for collective sensemaking.⁷¹

Iterative Sensemaking Methodologies: Sensemaking is understood as an iterative process that involves reading information, creating representations of it, assessing the goodness of these models, and updating them when new facts no longer fit the existing understanding.⁷² This process is predominated by abductive reasoning, which plays a crucial role in creative problem-solving under conditions of uncertainty, helping actors draw new connections between phenomena and existing theories.⁷³ This approach expands traditional knowledge production, facilitating the collaborative development and assessment of plausible, action-oriented accounts through social learning and cooperation.⁷³ This highlights a crucial feedback loop: collaborative truth-mapping is not just about

identifying truth, but about *acting* upon it. The iterative nature means that insights gained from shared data and collective understanding feed back into refined actions, which in turn generate new data for further sensemaking. AI tools enhance this loop by making data accessible, visualizing complex information, and facilitating rapid iteration. This dynamic process directly counters the static, often top-down "truths" propagated by neoliberal power structures, enabling communities to collectively define their realities and drive responsive, adaptive solutions.

D. Ethical Imperatives: Addressing Bias, Manipulation, and Power Dynamics in Truth-Mapping

The ethical landscape of AI-mediated truth-mapping is fraught with challenges that mirror and, at times, amplify the systemic issues inherent in neoliberalism, particularly concerning bias, manipulation, and power dynamics.

Algorithmic Bias: AI algorithms, trained on biased datasets, can perpetuate and amplify existing social biases in truth identification and content moderation.⁵⁰ This can lead to skewed outcomes, unfair treatment, and the reinforcement of harmful stereotypes, undermining the very goal of truth-seeking.⁵¹

Risks of Manipulation: AI mimicking human emotions can create false emotional connections, leading to misplaced trust and dependence, especially in vulnerable situations.²⁸ There is a significant risk of leveraging AI-driven insights to manipulate user decisions or influence actions in ways that serve specific, often undisclosed, goals rather than the public interest.²⁷ The "persuasive agency of apparent consensus," driven by clicks, likes, bots, and trolls, presents a new and complex challenge in a fractured digital environment, where what is repeated can become perceived as true.⁵⁷

Erosion of Trust and Transparency: A lack of transparency in AI models and biases embedded in training data can marginalize underrepresented groups and significantly reduce public trust in information systems.⁶⁵ If individuals do not trust how AI processes their input or generates "truth," they will not engage meaningfully with these tools, rendering collaborative efforts ineffective.⁶⁵

Power Dynamics: AI has the inherent potential to centralize power in the hands of those who develop and control the technology.³¹ Without robust oversight and public accountability, AI-driven decision-making can reduce transparency rather than enhance it, echoing neoliberalism's concentration of power and its "rewriting of rules" to benefit certain groups.⁶

Ensuring Human Oversight: To mitigate these risks, AI systems must be designed to support human agency and action, preserving socio-emotional underpinnings like trust, belonging, and solidarity.⁵³ Co-designing AI tools with affected communities is essential to ensure that civic technology remains human-centered and serves the

needs of the many, not just the few.⁶⁵ The ethical challenges in truth-mapping highlight that the process is inherently political, especially in countering neoliberalism's influence on narratives. Collaborative, iterative truth-mapping, therefore, becomes a crucial mechanism for democratic accountability. It requires not just technical solutions for bias detection, but a deliberate commitment to empowering citizens to challenge AI-mediated "truths," scrutinize algorithms, and co-create knowledge. This means building platforms that prioritize transparency, foster critical digital literacy, and embed mechanisms for public oversight and contestation, ensuring that "truth" is a collectively owned and democratically governed process, not a tool for manipulation by concentrated power.

Table 4: Collaborative Truth-Mapping: Human-AI Synergy and Ethical Considerations

Aspect	Description/Mechanism	Impact/Consequence	Source Snippets
Human-AI Synergy (Opportunities)	Knowledge Co-creation	AI assists researchers and stakeholders in jointly ideating, planning, and evaluating, facilitating social learning and shared knowledge.	58
	Fact-Checking & Misinformation Combat	AI curates/verifies news, identifies false claims, and assists journalists; crowdsourced platforms leverage collective intelligence.	62
	Consensus/Disagreement Identification	AI helps process vast data to identify patterns of agreement and divergence in collective	31

		intelligence.	
	Collaborative Mapping	Aggregation of web mapping and user-generated content for urban planning and distributed data collection.	66
	Collective Intelligence Platforms	Leveraging diverse opinions with AI, analytics, and anti-bias software for nuanced insights and decision-making.	69
	Shared Understanding Visualization	AI tools provide predictive insights, automate visualizations, and enable natural language data exploration.	71
	Iterative Sensemaking	AI aids in the continuous refinement of understanding, supporting abductive reasoning for complex problems.	72
Ethical Considerations (Challenges)	Algorithmic Bias	AI algorithms trained on biased data perpetuate and amplify existing social biases in truth identification.	50
	False Connections & Manipulation	AI mimicking emotions creates misleading bonds; leveraging emotional data for manipulative influence.	27

	Erosion of Trust & Transparency	Lack of transparency in AI models and biased data marginalize groups, reducing public trust and meaningful engagement.	65
	Power Centralization	AI's potential to centralize power in developers/controllers, reducing democratic oversight and accountability.	31
	Perspectival Homogenization	Standard AI practices may obscure/eliminate disagreement, leading to engineered consensus and harming pluralism.	64
	Digital Divide	Unequal access to technology and digital literacy can exclude marginalized voices from participatory truth-mapping.	11

VI. Integrated Framework for Countering Neoliberalism

A. Synergies Between Networked Empathy, Localized Foresight, and Truth-Mapping

The three pillars—networked empathy, localized foresight, and collaborative, iterative

truth-mapping—are not isolated strategies but form an integrated, synergistic framework to counter the pervasive impacts of neoliberalism. This integrated approach operates as a continuous reinforcing loop, where each component strengthens and informs the others, creating an "Adaptive Governance" feedback loop.

Empathy Informs Foresight: Networked empathy, by fostering a deeper understanding of diverse perspectives and needs, provides crucial qualitative data and ethical grounding for localized foresight. Understanding the "lived experiences" of communities ¹¹ allows foresight to identify more relevant challenges and opportunities, moving beyond abstract economic models to human-centered scenarios. For instance, empathizing with vulnerable populations ¹⁴ can highlight specific risks that AI-driven foresight models should prioritize.⁴⁵ This ensures that future planning is not just economically efficient but also socially just and equitable.

Foresight Guides Truth-Mapping: Localized foresight, through its scenario planning and trend analysis ³⁸, can identify potential areas of misinformation or contested narratives before they escalate. By anticipating future societal shifts and potential vulnerabilities to disinformation ⁵⁷, foresight can guide collaborative truth-mapping efforts to focus on critical areas, ensuring that collective sensemaking is proactive rather than reactive. AI's ability to process vast datasets for foresight ³¹ can inform truth-mapping platforms about emerging narratives or potential manipulation vectors, allowing for targeted interventions.

Truth-Mapping Strengthens Empathy: Engaging in collaborative, iterative truth-mapping processes, which involve sharing diverse perspectives and co-creating meaning ⁵⁴, can directly strengthen networked empathy. By exposing individuals to different realities and fostering shared understanding ⁵⁷, truth-mapping breaks down "us vs. them" mentalities ⁷⁷ and builds social cohesion, directly countering the polarization and social isolation perpetuated by neoliberalism.⁹ AI tools that facilitate intergroup dialogue and cross-cultural understanding ⁷⁸ can amplify this effect, fostering a more inclusive and understanding society.

The Reinforcing Loop of Human-AI Collaboration: This integrated framework operates as a continuous reinforcing loop. Humans provide the ethical compass, contextual understanding, and lived experience, while AI offers the computational power, data processing, and scalability. AI augments human empathy by surfacing emotional cues and facilitating communication ²⁶; it enhances foresight by processing complex data and simulating scenarios ³¹; and it aids truth-mapping by identifying patterns in information and facilitating collective intelligence.⁶² In turn,

human-validated insights from truth-mapping refine AI models, and enhanced empathy drives more ethical and inclusive AI development. This "vibe teaming" approach⁸³ focuses on AI enhancing human-to-human collaboration for societal impact, rather than replacing human roles. Networked empathy provides the

moral compass and *human-centered data* for localized foresight. Localized foresight provides the *strategic vision* and *risk assessment* for truth-mapping. Collaborative truth-mapping, through its iterative sensemaking and fact-checking, provides the *validated understanding* and *accountability mechanisms* that reinforce empathy and refine future foresight. AI acts as the *accelerant* and *integrator* within this loop, processing data, identifying patterns, and facilitating collaboration. This dynamic, self-correcting system directly counters neoliberalism's static, top-down, and often unaccountable governance structures, enabling communities to continuously learn, adapt, and co-create a more just and resilient future.

B. Strategic Recommendations for Implementation

Implementing this human-AI collaborative framework requires a multi-faceted approach, encompassing policy interventions, community-led initiatives, and a re-prioritization of technological development.

Policy Interventions for Ethical AI Development and Deployment:

- **Mandate Transparency and Explainability:** Policies should be implemented requiring AI systems used in public services (e.g., healthcare, urban planning, truth-mapping) to be transparent about their data sources, algorithmic logic, and decision-making processes.³¹ This includes clear opt-in settings and data usage policies for users.³³
- **Combat Algorithmic Bias:** Regular, independent audits of AI models and datasets must be enforced to identify and correct biases, particularly those related to race, gender, and socioeconomic status.³¹ Promoting the use of diverse and representative datasets for training is crucial to prevent the perpetuation of existing inequalities.⁵¹
- **Establish Clear Accountability Frameworks:** Legal frameworks are necessary to assign clear responsibility for AI system failures or harms, preventing companies from evading accountability.³¹ Human oversight mechanisms should be embedded in all critical AI systems to ensure human agency and ethical

decision-making.³¹

- **Protect Data Privacy:** Robust cybersecurity measures and strict data protection regulations (e.g., based on GDPR principles) are essential for sensitive emotional and personal data collected by AI systems.²⁷

Community-Led Initiatives for Grassroots Empowerment:

- **Foster Digital Literacy and Access:** Investment in programs and infrastructure is vital to bridge the digital divide, ensuring equitable access to technology and digital literacy training for all communities, especially marginalized ones.¹¹ This is fundamental for meaningful engagement with AI tools and participation in collective processes.
- **Promote Participatory AI and Co-design:** Initiatives should encourage the co-design of AI tools with affected communities, integrating local knowledge and lived experiences into the development process.¹¹ This ensures that solutions are contextually relevant, socially acceptable, and truly address community needs.
- **Support Citizen Science and Crowdsourcing:** Scaling up initiatives that empower citizens to collect and contribute data for localized foresight and truth-mapping fosters a sense of ownership and accountability within communities.¹¹
- **Cultivate Deliberative Spaces:** Creating and supporting platforms for inclusive public dialogues and collective sensemaking is crucial. AI can be leveraged to facilitate discussions, summarize input, and identify diverse perspectives without homogenizing them, thereby enhancing democratic participation.¹¹

Technological Development Priorities for Human-Centric AI:

- **Develop "Authenticity-by-Design" AI:** Prioritize AI development that is transparent about its simulated nature, avoiding deceptive emotional mimicry, and focusing on augmenting human capabilities rather than replacing them.²⁵
- **Enhance AI for Disagreement and Pluralism:** Design AI systems that can identify, preserve, and amplify diverse perspectives and disagreements, rather than simply seeking consensus or aggregating opinions.⁶⁴ Develop AI for multilingual communication to ensure linguistic diversity is not a barrier to participation and understanding.⁶⁵
- **Focus on Hybrid Collective Intelligence:** Invest in "vibe teaming" models where AI tools enhance human-to-human collaboration, freeing up human attention for higher-value work like creative problem-solving and ethical judgment.⁸³
- **AI for Social Good:** Direct AI research and development towards addressing systemic societal issues like inequality, climate change, and public health, ensuring its benefits are broadly accessible and aligned with human rights and

sustainable development goals.⁴⁷

C. Overcoming Cross-Cutting Ethical Challenges

The successful implementation of this integrated framework hinges on the ability to overcome several cross-cutting ethical challenges that pervade the intersection of human and AI systems.

Addressing Digital Divides and Ensuring Equitable Access: The potential for AI to exacerbate existing inequalities, particularly the digital divide, is a critical cross-cutting challenge.¹¹ Strategies must ensure that AI tools and the benefits of networked empathy, localized foresight, and truth-mapping are accessible to all, not just privileged segments of the population. This requires significant investment in infrastructure, comprehensive digital literacy programs, and inclusive design principles that cater to diverse user needs and contexts.¹¹

Prioritizing Transparency, Accountability, and Human Agency: Across all three pillars of networked empathy, localized foresight, and collaborative truth-mapping, the ethical deployment of AI fundamentally hinges on transparency, accountability, and the preservation of human agency. This means designing AI as a complement to human intelligence, not a replacement³², ensuring human oversight in critical decision-making processes³¹, and establishing clear, adaptive governance frameworks that involve multi-stakeholder participation.⁶⁵ The goal is to prevent AI from centralizing power and to ensure that collective decision-making remains human-driven.

Mitigating Bias and Manipulation: The pervasive risk of algorithmic bias³¹ and the potential for AI-driven manipulation²⁷ are central to the integrity of all three proposed solutions. Continuous monitoring, the use of diverse training data, adherence to ethical design principles from the outset, and public education on AI's capabilities and limitations are essential to counter these threats.²⁷ This vigilance is necessary to prevent AI from reinforcing existing societal inequalities or being used to distort truth and influence public discourse for narrow interests.

VII. Conclusion: A Path Towards a More Equitable and Resilient

Future

The analysis presented in this report underscores that neoliberalism's systemic violence, profound social and psychological byproducts, and various threats necessitate a holistic, multi-pronged approach. The deliberate cultivation of networked empathy, the strategic development of localized foresight, and the active engagement in collaborative, iterative truth-mapping, all synergistically augmented by ethically designed artificial intelligence, offer a powerful counter-narrative and practical pathway to address these deeply entrenched issues.

The future is not predetermined and depends on conscious choices to prioritize human well-being, social justice, and environmental flourishing over unchecked market logic. Artificial intelligence, while a potent tool with transformative potential across healthcare, urban planning, climate adaptation, and information integrity, must be guided by human values, robust ethical frameworks, and genuine democratic participation. Its role should be to augment human intelligence and capabilities, not to replace them. The ultimate success in countering neoliberalism's impacts lies in fostering a continuous, adaptive collaboration between human wisdom, empathy, and ethical judgment, and AI's analytical power and scalability. This collaboration can pave the way for a more equitable, resilient, and just global society.

Referências citadas

1. en.wikipedia.org, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neoliberalism#:~:text=Neoliberalism%20is%20a%20political%20and,the%20late%2020th%20century%20onward.>
2. Neoliberalism - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neoliberalism>
3. en.wikipedia.org, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neoliberalism#:~:text=Neoliberalism%20is%20contemporarily%20used%20to,state%20influence%20in%20the%20economy.>
4. What is neoliberalism? A political scientist explains the use and ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://dornsife.usc.edu/news/stories/what-is-neoliberalism/>
5. A Critique of Neoliberalism - INOMICS, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://inomics.com/blog/a-critique-of-neoliberalism-1379580>
6. How Neoliberalism Failed, and What a Better Society Could Look ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://rooseveltinstitute.org/publications/how-neoliberalism-failed/>
7. Progress in Political Economy (PPE): Home, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.ppesydney.net/militaristic-neoliberalism-an-impediment-to-peace-i>

[n-post-conflict-colombia/](#)

8. Incoherence between justifications and outcomes in humanitarian interventions in the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia – the role of neoliberalism and structural violence – DiVA portal, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1908156/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
9. The Cultural Contradictions of Neoliberalism: The Longing for an Alternative Order and the Future of Multiracial Democracy in an Age of Authoritarianism – The Roosevelt Institute, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://rooseveltinstitute.org/publications/the-cultural-contradictions-of-neoliberalism/>
10. The Cultural Contradictions of Neoliberalism: – The Roosevelt Institute, acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://rooseveltinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/RI_Cultural-Contradictions-of-Neoliberalism_Report_042024.pdf
11. Participatory AI in Sustainable Urban Planning → Scenario, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/participatory-ai-in-sustainable-urban-planning/>
12. Network Functional Connectivity Underlying Dissociable Cognitive and Affective Components of Empathy in Adolescence – PMC, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8157904/>
13. Neural empathy mechanisms are shared for physical and social pain, and increase from adolescence to older adulthood – Oxford Academic, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://academic.oup.com/scan/article/19/1/nsae080/7874771>
14. The Importance of Empathy in Social Work, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.socialworkdegrees.org/the-importance-of-empathy-in-social-work>
15. How we empathize with others: A neurobiological perspective – PMC – PubMed Central, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3524680/>
16. (PDF) A Network Science Approach to Modelling and Predicting ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261461352_A_Network_Science_Approach_to_Modelling_and_Predicting_Empathy
17. Digital Empathy Theory – OSF, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://osf.io/9d8nq/>
18. Digital Empathy: Can Social Media Foster Compassion and ... – Aithor, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://aithor.com/essay-examples/digital-empathy-can-social-media-foster-compassion-and-understanding>
19. Full article: Promoting a digital ethics of care: A digital cognitive ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17482798.2024.2411417>
20. Virtual Empathy: A Systematic Review of the Impact of Digital Communication on Interpersonal Relationships and Social Dynamics – ResearchGate, acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391451188_Virtual_Empathy_A_Systema

[tic_Review_of_the_Impact_of_Digital_Communication_on_Interpersonal_Relationships_and_Social_Dynamics](#)

21. The Rise of Digital Empathy: How Social Media is Redefining ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.hercampus.com/school/ucf/the-rise-of-digital-empathy-how-social-media-is-redefining-emotional-connection-in-the-age-of-isolation/>
22. Activating Social Empathy — The UNESCO Chair for Global Citizenship Education, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://agsci.psu.edu/unesco/our-programs/the-empathy-project>
23. Exploring the Effect of Social Support and Empathy on User Engagement in Online Mental Health Communities, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8296998/>
24. Connected in Bad Times and in Good Times: Empathy Induces Stable Social Closeness, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.jneurosci.org/content/44/23/e1108232024>
25. New Study Explores Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Empathy in Caring Relationships, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.evidencebasedmentoring.org/new-study-explores-artificial-intelligence-ai-and-empathy-in-caring-relationships/>
26. Emotion AI: Transforming Human-Machine Interaction - TRENDS Research & Advisory, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://trendsresearch.org/insight/emotion-ai-transforming-human-machine-interaction/>
27. Empathy: What It Means for an AI-Driven Organization - Workday Blog, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://blog.workday.com/en-gb/empathy-what-it-means-for-an-ai-driven-organization.html>
28. Ethical Issues with AI Mimicking Human Emotions - Use cases and ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://community.openai.com/t/ethical-issues-with-ai-mimicking-human-emotions/1236189>
29. AI EMPATHY 1 Empathy from Artificial Intelligence Therapists and Human Therapists - Scholars Crossing, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2546&context=honors>
30. AI's Emotional Blind Spot: Why Empathy is Key in Mental Health Care - Therapy Helpers, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://therapyhelpers.com/blog/limitations-of-ai-in-understanding-human-emotions/>
31. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Strategic Foresight - Inspenet, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://inspenet.com/en/articulo/impact-of-ai-on-strategic-foresight/>
32. What is AI Ethics? | IBM, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/ai-ethics>
33. Humanizing AI Agents: Emotion Detection And Empathy In Collaborative Workflows - Forbes, acessado em junho 13, 2025,

- <https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbestechcouncil/2025/02/03/humanizing-ai-agents-emotion-detection-and-empathy-in-collaborative-workflows/>
34. Can AI Foster Genuine Human Connection? → Question - Lifestyle → Sustainability Directory, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://lifestyle.sustainability-directory.com/question/can-ai-foster-genuine-human-connection/>
 35. Navigating the Future of Social Interactions in an AI-Driven World - Senior Executive, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://seniorexecutive.com/ai-human-connection-social-norms/>
 36. AI & Human Connection: Empowering Businesses, Elevating People - Dataiku blog, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://blog.dataiku.com/ai-empowering-businesses-elevating-people>
 37. Foresight: Methodical Guide - České priority, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.ceskepriority.cz/files/methodical-guide.pdf>
 38. Foresight ⇒ Definition & Methods | 4strat, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.4strat.com/analysis/foresight/>
 39. UN DESA Policy Brief No. 174: Leveraging strategic foresight to mitigate artificial intelligence (AI) risk in public sectors, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://desapublications.un.org/policy-briefs/un-desa-policy-brief-no-174-leveraging-strategic-foresight-mitigate-artificial>
 40. Participatory foresight tools for Inter- and Transdisciplinary Research - SHAPE-ID toolkit, acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.shapeidtoolkit.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Guide_Participatory-foresight-tools-in-Inter-and-Transdisciplinary-research.pdf
 41. Futures and Foresight with AI (On-site), acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.cifs.dk/services/courses/futures-and-foresight-with-ai>
 42. Foresight AI case study - NHS England Digital, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/research-powered-by-data/case-studies/foresight-ai>
 43. Leveraging Technology and Value-Based Care | Case Study: Geisinger Health System - American Medical Association, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/future-health-case-study-geisinger.pdf>
 44. Resilient Mobility Through AI: Revolutionizing Emergency Planning ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://cee.illinois.edu/news/resilient-mobility-through-AI-revolutionizing-emergency-planning-with-digital-twins-C4SW>
 45. Community Led AI for Disaster Preparedness → Scenario - Prism → Sustainability Directory, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/community-led-ai-for-disaster-preparedness/>
 46. AI-Assistance for Resilience Research and Practice | NIST, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.nist.gov/programs-projects/ai-assistance-resilience-research-and-practice>
 47. AI for Equitable Climate Adaptation → Scenario - Prism → Sustainability

- Directory, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/ai-for-equitable-climate-adaptation/>
48. Artificial Intelligence in Climate Change Mitigation: A Socio-Technical Framework for Evaluating Implementation Effectiveness and Systemic Impact, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=141493>
49. Community-Driven AI in Local Climate Resilience Building - Prism → Sustainability Directory, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/community-driven-ai-in-local-climate-resilience-building/>
50. (PDF) Ethical Considerations in AI and Data Science: Bias, Fairness ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377701616_Ethical_Considerations_in_AI_and_Data_Science_Bias_Fairness_and_Accountability
51. (PDF) Artificial Intelligence and Ethics: A Comprehensive Review of ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375744287_Artificial_Intelligence_and_Ethics_A_Comprehensive_Review_of_Bias_Mitigation_Transparency_and_Accountability_in_AI_Systems
52. Addressing AI Bias and Fairness: Challenges, Implications, and Strategies for Ethical AI, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://smartdev.com/addressing-ai-bias-and-fairness-challenges-implications-and-strategies-for-ethical-ai/>
53. It's time for collective intelligence - Brookings Institution, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/its-time-for-collective-intelligence/>
54. Collective Sensemaking | Center for Healthier Children, Families ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://healthychild.ucla.edu/science/key-concepts/collective-sensemaking>
55. Sensemaking - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sensemaking>
56. Iterative and Continues Discovery in Iterative Design - VERSIONS®, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://versions.com/design/mastering-the-iterative-design-process-a-step-by-step-guide-to-continuous-discovery/>
57. (PDF) Truth as social practice in a digital era: iteration as persuasion - ResearchGate, acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357934924_Truth_as_social_practice_in_a_digital_era_iteration_as_persuasion
58. Co-Creation in Public Health Research: Basic Principles, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.phrp.com.au/issues/october-2024-volume-34-issue-3/co-creation-in-public-health-research/>
59. What is the Co-Creation of New Knowledge? A Content Analysis and Proposed Definition for Health Interventions - PubMed Central, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7177645/>

60. Knowledge Co-creation Frameworks → Area → Prism → Sustainability Directory, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/area/knowledge-co-creation-frameworks/>
61. Bridging the gap: reflections on co-creation in knowledge translation - PMC, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11549806/>
62. AI supercharge human collective intelligence - Faculty of Arts ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.tcd.ie/ahss/news-and-events/faculty-news-/ai-supercharge-human-collective-intelligence/>
63. Tools for Reliable Content Evaluation: Exploring Fact-Checking ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://originality.ai/blog/exploring-fact-checking-solutions>
64. The Value of Disagreement in AI Design, Evaluation, and Alignment - arXiv, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/html/2505.07772v1>
65. AI for Digital Democracy Webinar: Key Takeaways and Recording - People Powered, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.peoplepowered.org/news-content/ai-for-digital-democracy-webinar-key-takeaways>
66. Collaborative mapping - Wikipedia, acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collaborative_mapping
67. 10 Best Collaborative Mapping Platforms for Community Projects That Engage Stakeholders, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.maplibrary.org/890/best-collaborative-mapping-platforms-for-community-projects/>
68. Collaborate with Colleagues | Secure Multiuser Mapping Software - Esri, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-online/features/collaborate>
69. Collective Intelligence Platform | ThoughtExchange, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://thoughtexchange.com/collective-intelligence-platform/>
70. Collective Intelligence in the workplace - ClearPeople, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.clearpeople.com/blog/what-is-collective-intelligence-workplace>
71. 8 Best AI Tools for Data Visualization - Domo, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.domo.com/learn/article/ai-data-visualization-tools>
72. Sensemaking is an iterative process of reading information, creating... - ResearchGate, acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Sensemaking-is-an-iterative-process-of-reading-information-creating-representations-of_fig1_249902693
73. The SenseMaker involves four iterative steps to: (1) design and set up... - ResearchGate, acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/The-SenseMaker-involves-four-iterative-steps-to-1-design-and-set-up-the-instrument_fig1_332805098
74. AI as the Great Equalizer: Can Technology Bridge Social and Economic Divides?, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://optimusai.ai/ai-great-equalizer-technology-social-economic-divides/>

75. AI and the Digital Divide - Unaligned Newsletter, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.unaligned.io/p/ai-and-the-digital-divide>
76. Participatory AI for Resilient Urban Infrastructure Development → Scenario, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://prism.sustainability-directory.com/scenario/participatory-ai-for-resilient-urban-infrastructure-development/>
77. (PDF) The Role of Empathy and Shared Emotions in Mobilizing ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390701884_The_Role_of_Empathy_and_Shared_Emotions_in_Mobilizing_University_Students_for_Transnational_Climate_Movements
78. Ways to Improve Relations Among Groups - Raena AI, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://app.raena.ai/summary/u4wzga1dcf/improving-intergroup-relations-strategies-and-conc>
79. Relational AI: Facilitating Intergroup Cooperation with Socially Aware Conversational Support - CHI '25, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://programs.sigchi.org/chi/2025/program/content/188519>
80. Intergroup Dialogue Empowering Action for Transforming Equity in Higher Education - MDPI, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/15/1/38>
81. AI in Cross-Cultural Skill Training for Global Teams - Hyperspace, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://hyperspace.mv/ai-in-cross-cultural-skill-training-for-global-teams/>
82. How to Use AI Tools for Effective Cross-Cultural Communication: A Step-by-Step Guide, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://examples.tely.ai/how-to-use-ai-tools-for-effective-cross-cultural-communication-a-step-by-step-guide/>
83. Vibe teaming: How human-human-AI collaboration could disrupt ..., acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/vibe-teaming-human-ai-collaboration-disrupts-knowledge-work/>
84. Ethics of Artificial Intelligence | UNESCO, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.unesco.org/en/artificial-intelligence/recommendation-ethics>
85. Community-engaged artificial intelligence: an ... - ResearchGate, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/artificial-intelligence/articles/10.3389/frai.2025.1568886/pdf>
86. 5 Insights into how Digital Solutions can Enhance Urban Climate Resilience, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://unu.edu/ehs/series/5-insights-how-digital-solutions-can-enhance-urban-climate-resilience>
87. Citizen Engagement in Smart Cities: How AI Bridges the Gap - CSM Technologies, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.csm.tech/blog-details/citizen-engagement-in-smart-cities-how-ai-b>

[ridges-the-gap](#)

88. AI and Cities: An International Forum for Innovation and Collaboration | Department of Urban and Regional Planning, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://dcp.ufl.edu/urp/ai-and-cities/>
89. AI and Democracy: Scholars Unpack the Intersection of Technology and Governance, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://isps.yale.edu/news/blog/2025/04/ai-and-democracy-scholars-unpack-the-intersection-of-technology-and-governance>
90. www.peoplepowered.org, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.peoplepowered.org/news-content/ai-for-digital-democracy-webinar-key-takeaways#:~:text=Examples%20of%20innovative%20AI%2Dpowered,structured%20narratives%20for%20better%20comprehension.>
91. Human-AI Collaboration: Augmenting Capabilities with Agentic Platforms, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.aalpha.net/blog/human-ai-collaboration-augmenting-capabilities-with-agentic-platforms/>
92. A Roadmap to Democratic AI - The Collective Intelligence Project, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://www.cip.org/research/ai-roadmap>
93. Ethical Issues of AI - PMC, acessado em junho 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7968615/>