Computing Machinery and Intelligence - Critical Reaction

Radu-Constantin Onuțu

Group 410

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest

January 2025

Computing Machinery and Intelligence - Critical Reaction

Alan Turing's paper, "Computing Machinery and Intelligence," lays the foundation for the field of artificial intelligence by introducing the Turing Test as an operational measure of machine intelligence. Turing's central question—"Can machines think?"—is reformulated into an empirical test based on a machine's ability to imitate human conversational behavior. This essay argues that while Turing's approach has shaped AI research, it remains controversial due to its reliance on behavioral indistinguishability rather than cognitive understanding. The paper's impact, limitations, and relevance to contemporary AI debates will be critically analyzed.

Turing's thesis that intelligence should be measured by performance rather than internal mental states has sparked enduring debates in both public and academic circles. His formulation is grounded in behaviorism, suggesting that if a machine's responses are indistinguishable from a human's, it should be considered intelligent. This idea challenged the prevailing Cartesian view of mind that emphasizes internal consciousness as the hallmark of thinking. Critics, such as John Searle (Searle, 1980), argue that passing the Turing Test does not equate to true understanding, as demonstrated by the Chinese Room Argument—where a system can manipulate symbols meaningfully without genuine comprehension. This highlights an essential limitation in Turing's framework: it equates intelligence with the appearance of intelligence, neglecting the qualitative aspects of cognition.

Turing's greatest contribution is his operational definition of intelligence, which avoids the abstract and often fruitless debate over what constitutes thinking. By shifting the focus to observable performance criteria, he provides a testable and reproducible methodology. His

insights have accelerated AI research, influencing advancements in natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning models, such as OpenAI's GPT series and Google's Gemini models. Indeed, modern AI chatbots are evaluated using Turing's principles, where their success hinges on their ability to engage in human-like dialogue.

Additionally, Turing's prediction that machines would achieve human-like interaction by the 21st century was prescient. Current AI models, such as ChatGPT, can engage in nuanced conversations, occasionally fooling human users. However, while they excel in statistical pattern recognition, they lack genuine understanding, self-awareness, or intentionality—an issue unaddressed in Turing's paper.

A critical flaw in the Turing Test is its reliance on linguistic performance as the primary indicator of intelligence. This creates two major issues. The first one is that it excludes non-verbal intelligence. Many human cognitive abilities—such as spatial reasoning, sensory perception, and motor skills—are not tested in an imitation game format. As embodied cognition theorists argue, intelligence is deeply rooted in sensory-motor experiences. The second one is that it is vulnerable to deception. The test does not measure intelligence per se but rather the ability to trick an interrogator. Programs such as ELIZA (Weizenbaum, 1966) have demonstrated how simple pattern-matching techniques can create an illusion of understanding without any true comprehension. This remains a fundamental weakness in AI discourse today.

Additionally, Turing's argument does not account for consciousness or subjective experience (qualia). Philosophers such as David Chalmers (Chalmers, 1995) contend that even if AI systems perfectly mimic human conversation, they may still lack subjective awareness—a critical aspect of true intelligence.

The Turing Test remains a cornerstone of AI philosophy, influencing debates on machine intelligence, ethics, and the nature of cognition. It is pivotal because it forces us to confront fundamental questions: What does it mean to think? Can intelligence exist without consciousness? Should AI systems with human-like responses be granted moral consideration? These issues have practical implications in AI governance, where systems that pass the Turing Test could mislead users into attributing human-like qualities to them—raising ethical concerns about AI deception and trustworthiness.

References

Chalmers, D. J. (1995). Facing up to the problem of consciousness. *Journal of consciousness studies*, *2*(3), 200-219.

Searle, J. (1980). *Minds, Brains, and Programs*.

Weizenbaum, J. (1966). ELIZA—a computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine. *Communications of the ACM*, *9*(1), 36--45.