

## Assignment due for February 27th

You should answer the theoretical questions on paper. For the numerical part, you should write a Jupyter notebook that you will send by email before Feb 27th, 23h59 to the course email address **generative.modeling.mva** at ...

Your notebook should include the output of the code cells and the file should be named as  
YourFamilyName\_dm2324.ipynb

---

Consider two probability measures  $\mu, \nu$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with supports  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  respectively.

We assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  is compact and that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is finite,  $\mathcal{Y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_J\}$ .

Let  $q = (\nu(\{y_j\}))_{1 \leq j \leq J} \in \mathbf{R}^J$ .

Let  $c : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be continuous. For any  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , let  $c_y = c(\cdot, y)$  be the function  $x \mapsto c(x, y)$ .

We assume that the groundcost  $c$  satisfies the assumption

$$\forall y, z \in \mathcal{Y}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \mu((c_y - c_z)^{-1}(\{t\})) = 0. \quad (\text{GC})$$

For any  $v \in \mathbf{R}^J$ , we define the  $c$ -transform  $v^c : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $v^c(x) = \min_j (c(x, y_j) - v_j)$ , and we set

$$H(v) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} v^c(x) d\mu(x) + \sum_{j=1}^J v_j q_j.$$

For  $v \in \mathbf{R}^J$ , we also define the open Laguerre cells

$$L_j(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \forall k \neq j, c(x, y_j) - v_j < c(x, y_k) - v_k\}.$$

## PART A: SEMI-DUAL OPTIMAL TRANSPORT

1. Explain (briefly) the relation between  $H$  and the optimal transport cost  $W(\mu, \nu)$ .
2. Let  $f_i : \mathbf{R}^J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, i \in I$  be a collection of convex functions.
  - a. Is  $\sup_{i \in I} f_i$  convex? concave? (Justify with a proof, or give a counter-example).
  - b. Deduce that  $H$  is a concave function.
3. For any  $v \in \mathbf{R}^J$ , let  $m(v) = (\mu(L_j(v)))_{1 \leq j \leq J} \in \mathbf{R}^J$ . We denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the Euclidean product. The goal of this question is to show that  $H$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$ . We will admit that, since  $H$  is concave, it is sufficient to show that there exists a continuous selection of supergradients.
  - a. Prove that  $\forall u, v \in \mathbf{R}^J, \quad H(u) \leq H(v) + \langle q - m(v), u - v \rangle$ .
  - b. Show that  $m : \mathbf{R}^J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^J$  is a continuous function.
  - c. Assume that  $v \in \mathbf{R}^J$  is such that  $m(v) = q$ . What can be said about  $H(v)$ ?
4. Here, we use the Averaged Stochastic Gradient Descent (ASGD) algorithm to minimize  $-H$ .
  - a. Write the pseudo-code of the ASGD algorithm. Explain the required gradient computation.
  - b. Is the convergence of ASGD guaranteed in this case, in terms of the values of  $H$ ? (Justify briefly, with a reference.)

## PART B: SEMI-DISCRETE WGAN

In this numerical part, we consider the quadratic cost  $c(x, y) = \|x - y\|_2^2$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ .

5. Here  $d = 2$ . Let  $\Theta := (\mathbf{R}^2)^2$ . For  $\theta = (a, b) \in \Theta$ , we define  $g_\theta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  by

$$\forall z \in [0, 1], \quad g_\theta(z) = za + (1 - z)b.$$

We denote by  $\mu_\theta$  the distribution of  $g_\theta(Z)$  where  $Z$  is uniform in  $[0, 1]$ .

Consider also the  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{y_1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{y_2}$  with  $y_1 = (-1, 0)$  and  $y_2 = (1, 0)$ .

The above function  $H$  related to  $(\mu_\theta, \nu)$  will be denoted by  $H_\theta$ .

The goal of this question is to numerically solve  $\min_{\theta \in \Theta} W(\mu_\theta, \nu)$ .

- a. Can you guess a solution  $\theta$  of this problem?
  - b. For a fixed  $\theta \in \Theta$ , implement in Python the ASGD algorithm that approaches  $v \in \text{Argmax } H_\theta$ .
  - c. Compare your ASGD algorithm with the PyTorch implementation of ASGD.
  - d. In Python, implement an algorithm that approaches the minimum of  $\theta \rightarrow W(\mu_\theta, \nu)$ .
  - e. Illustrate the behavior of the algorithm by displaying the configurations obtained along the algorithm (by drawing samples of  $\mu_\theta$ ). Is the behavior stable if you vary the optimization parameters?
  - f. Is there some configuration of  $\mu_\theta$  for which the optimization of  $v$  may be singular?
6. The goal of this last question is to learn a generative model  $\mu_\theta$  for the MNIST dataset.
- You may download the MNIST dataset as in Practical Session 3. If you need, you may work on a subsampled version of MNIST (e.g. with 6000 points, 600 for each class).
- a. Adapt the algorithm of the previous question in order to learn a generative model for MNIST.
  - b. For a fixed configuration of  $\mu_\theta$ , illustrate the behavior of ASGD to estimate  $W(\mu_\theta, \nu)$ .
  - c. Can you propose a better parameterization of the dual variable  $v$  for which the learning would be faster?