

---

# MATH321 Tutorial 2

---

Emma Hogan

53837798

March 11, 2020

## Question 1

**Show that  $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n)$  is the least common multiple of  $m$  and  $n$ .**

First we show that  $n = \text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ :

$n$  is the lowest positive integer such that  $n * 1 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Also,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_n, n * a = 0$ .

Therefore  $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = n$ .

We want the lowest possible  $k$  so that  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_m, \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n) &= k \\ k(a, b) &= (ka, kb) = (0, 0) \\ &\implies ka = 0 \\ &\implies kb = 0\end{aligned}$$

$k$  must be a multiple of  $m$  and a multiple of  $n$  so that

$$\begin{aligned}k * 1 &= 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_m \\ k * 1 &= 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n\end{aligned}$$

So the lowest value  $k$  could possibly hold is  $\text{lcm}(m, n)$ . Let  $k = \text{lcm}(m, n)$ , with  $k = xn$  and  $k = ym$ . We now show this is sufficient.

$$(ka, kb) = (x * n * a, y * m * b) = (x * 0, y * 0) = (0, 0)$$

So  $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n) = \text{lcm}(m, n)$ .

## Question 2

**Determine whether  $3x^2 + 6x - 6$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ ; over  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ .**

Let  $f(x) = 3x^2 + 6x - 6$ .

Over  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

3 is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}$  so  $f(x)$  is reducible because a factor of 3 can be taken out.

Over  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

$\mathbb{Q}$  is a field, so since  $f(x)$  has no zeroes in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it is irreducible.

Over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ :

$\mathbb{Z}_5$  is a field, so since  $f(x)$  has no zeroes in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , it is irreducible.

Over  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ :

$f(x)$  has zeroes  $x = 4$  and  $x = 5$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ , so  $f(x)$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ .

### Question 3

Consider the map  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  given by  $\varphi(m + n\sqrt{5}) = \begin{bmatrix} m & 5n \\ n & m \end{bmatrix}$ .

(a) Verify that  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism.

Checking  $\varphi$  is additive:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) + \varphi(m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5}) &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 5n_1 \\ n_1 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m_2 & 5n_2 \\ n_2 & m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 & 5n_1 + 5n_2 \\ n_1 + n_2 & m_1 + m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 & 5(n_1 + n_2) \\ n_1 + n_2 & m_1 + m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \varphi(m_1 + m_2 + (n_1 + n_2)\sqrt{5}) \\ &= \varphi((m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) + (m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5})) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\varphi$  is additive. Checking  $\varphi$  is multiplicative:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) * \varphi(m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5}) &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 5n_1 \\ n_1 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} m_2 & 5n_2 \\ n_2 & m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 & 5m_1n_2 + 5m_2n_1 \\ m_2n_1 + m_1n_2 & 5n_1n_2 + m_1m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 & 5(m_1n_2 + n_1m_2) \\ m_1n_2 + n_1m_2 & m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \varphi((m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2) + (m_1n_2 + n_1m_2)\sqrt{5}) \\ &= \varphi((m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) * (m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5})) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\varphi$  is multiplicative. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.

(b)  $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$  is a subring of  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Find  $\varphi^{-1}(S)$ .

I don't understand how to do this question.  $\varphi$  only maps onto elements of  $S$  that have  $b = 0$ , so how can I take  $\varphi^{-1}$  over all  $S$ ?

### Question 4

0.1 Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. Show that  $R \oplus S$  and  $S \oplus R$  are isomorphic.

Define  $\varphi : R \oplus S \rightarrow S \oplus R$  by

$$\varphi((a, b)) = (b, a)$$

$\varphi$  is additive because:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi((a_1, b_1) + (a_2, b_2)) &= \varphi((a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2)) \\ &= (b_1 + b_2, a_1 + a_2) \\ &= (b_1, a_1) + (b_2, a_2) \\ &= \varphi(a_1, b_1) + \varphi(a_2, b_2)\end{aligned}$$

$\varphi$  is multiplicative because:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi((a_1, b_1) * (a_2, b_2)) &= \varphi((a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2)) \\ &= (b_1 b_2, a_1 a_2) \\ &= (b_1, a_1) * (b_2, a_2) \\ &= \varphi(a_1, b_1) * \varphi(a_2, b_2)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Clearly it is also both one-to-one and onto, so  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism. Since there exists an isomorphism between  $R \oplus S$  and  $S \oplus R$ , they are isomorphic.