# MATH321 Tutorial 2

Emma Hogan

53837798

March 8, 2020

### Question 1

Show that  $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n)$  is the least common multiple of m and n.

First we show that  $n = char(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ :

n is the lowest positive integer such that n \* 1 = 0 in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Also,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , n \* a = 0.

Therefore  $char(\mathbb{Z}_n) = n$ .

We want the lowest possible k so that  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_m, \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ :

$$char(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n) = k$$
$$k(a, b) = (ka, kb) = (0, 0)$$
$$\implies ka = 0$$
$$\implies kb = 0$$

k must be a multiple of m and a multiple of n so that

$$k * 1 = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_m$$
$$k * 1 = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$$

So the lowest value k could possible hold is lcm(m, n). Let k = lcm(m, n), with k = xn and k = ym. We now show this is sufficient.

$$(ka, kb) = (x * n * a, y * m * b) = (x * 0, y * 0) = (0, 0)$$

So  $char(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n) = lcm(m, n)$ .

## Question 2

Determine whether  $3x^2 + 6x - 6$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ ; over  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ .

Let 
$$f(x) = 3x^2 + 6x - 6$$
.

Over  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

3 is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}$  so f(x) is reducible because a factor of 3 can be taken out.

Over Q:

 $\mathbb{Q}$  is a field, so since f(x) has no zeroes in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it is irreducible.

Over  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ :

 $\mathbb{Z}_5$  is a field, so since f(x) has no zeroes in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , it is irreducible.

Over  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ :

f(x) has zeroes x = 4 and x = 5 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ , so f(x) is reducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ .

### Question 3

Consider the map  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt(5)] \to M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  given by  $\varphi(m + n\sqrt(5)) = \begin{bmatrix} m & 5n \\ n & m \end{bmatrix}$ .

(a) Verify that  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism.

Checking  $\varphi$  is additive:

$$\varphi(m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) + \varphi(m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5}) = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 5n_1 \\ n_1 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m_2 & 5n_2 \\ n_2 & m_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 & 5n_1 + 5n_2 \\ n_1 + n_2 & m_1 + m_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 & 5(n_1 + n_2) \\ n_1 + n_2 & m_1 + m_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \varphi(m_1 + m_2 + (n_1 + n_2)\sqrt{5})$$

$$= \varphi((m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) + (m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5}))$$

So  $\varphi$  is additive. Checking  $\varphi$  is multiplicative:

$$\varphi(m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) * \varphi(m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5}) = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 5n_1 \\ n_1 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} m_2 & 5n_2 \\ n_2 & m_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 & 5m_15n_2 + 5m_2n_1 \\ m_2n_1 + m_1n_2 & 5n_1n_2 + m_1m_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 & 5(m_1n_2 + n_1m_2) \\ m_1n_2 + n_1m_2 & m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \varphi((m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2) + (m_1n_2 + n_1m_2)\sqrt{5})$$

$$= \varphi((m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) * (m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5}))$$

So  $\varphi$  is multiplicative. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.

(b) 
$$S = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} | a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 is a subring of  $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Find  $\varphi^{-1}(S)$ .

## Question 4

0.1 Let R and S be rings. Show that  $R \oplus S$  and  $S \oplus R$  are isomorphic.

Define  $\varphi: R \oplus S \to S \oplus R$  by

$$\varphi((a,b)) = (b,a)$$

 $\varphi$  is additive because:

$$\varphi((a_1, b_1) + (a_2, b_2)) = \varphi((a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2))$$

$$= (b_1 + b_2, a_1 + a_2)$$

$$= (b_1, a_1) + (b_2, a_2)$$

$$= \varphi(a_1, b_2) + varphi(a_2, b_2)$$

 $\varphi$  is multiplicative because:

$$\begin{split} \varphi((a_1,b_1)*(a_2,b_2)) &= \varphi((a_1a_2,b_1b_2)) \\ &= (b_1b_2,a_1a_2) \\ &= (b_1,a_1)*(b_2,a_2) \\ &= \varphi(a_1,b_1)*\varphi(a_2,b_2) \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Clearly it is also both one-to-one and onto, so  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism. Since there exists an isomorphis between  $R \oplus S$  and  $S \oplus R$ , they are isomorphic.