
MATH321 Tutorial 2

Emma Hogan

53837798

March 12, 2020

Question 1

Show that $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n)$ is the least common multiple of m and n .

First we show that $n = \text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$:

n is the lowest positive integer such that $n * 1 = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_n . Also, $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_n, n * a = 0$.

Therefore $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = n$.

We want the lowest possible k so that $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_m, \in \mathbb{Z}_n$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n) &= k \\ k(a, b) &= (ka, kb) = (0, 0) \\ &\implies ka = 0 \\ &\implies kb = 0\end{aligned}$$

k must be a multiple of m and a multiple of n so that

$$\begin{aligned}k * 1 &= 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_m \\ k * 1 &= 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n\end{aligned}$$

So the lowest value k could possibly hold is $\text{lcm}(m, n)$. Let $k = \text{lcm}(m, n)$, with $k = xn$ and $k = ym$. We now show this is sufficient.

$$(ka, kb) = (x * n * a, y * m * b) = (x * 0, y * 0) = (0, 0)$$

So $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n) = \text{lcm}(m, n)$.

Question 2

Determine whether $3x^2 + 6x - 6$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} ; over \mathbb{Q} ; over \mathbb{Z}_5 ; over \mathbb{Z}_{11} .

Let $f(x) = 3x^2 + 6x - 6$.

Over \mathbb{Z} :

3 is not a unit in \mathbb{Z} so $f(x)$ is reducible because a factor of 3 can be taken out.

Over \mathbb{Q} :

\mathbb{Q} is a field, so since $f(x)$ has no zeroes in \mathbb{Q} , it is irreducible.

Over \mathbb{Z}_5 :

\mathbb{Z}_5 is a field, so since $f(x)$ has no zeroes in \mathbb{Z}_5 , it is irreducible.

Over \mathbb{Z}_{11} :

$f(x)$ has zeroes $x = 4$ and $x = 5$ in \mathbb{Z}_{11} , so $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Z}_{11} .

Question 3

Consider the map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $\varphi(m + n\sqrt{5}) = \begin{bmatrix} m & 5n \\ n & m \end{bmatrix}$.

(a) Verify that φ is a ring homomorphism.

Checking φ is additive:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) + \varphi(m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5}) &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 5n_1 \\ n_1 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m_2 & 5n_2 \\ n_2 & m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 & 5n_1 + 5n_2 \\ n_1 + n_2 & m_1 + m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 + m_2 & 5(n_1 + n_2) \\ n_1 + n_2 & m_1 + m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \varphi(m_1 + m_2 + (n_1 + n_2)\sqrt{5}) \\ &= \varphi((m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) + (m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5})) \end{aligned}$$

So φ is additive. Checking φ is multiplicative:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) * \varphi(m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5}) &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 5n_1 \\ n_1 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} m_2 & 5n_2 \\ n_2 & m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 & 5m_1n_2 + 5m_2n_1 \\ m_2n_1 + m_1n_2 & 5n_1n_2 + m_1m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 & 5(m_1n_2 + n_1m_2) \\ m_1n_2 + n_1m_2 & m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \varphi((m_1m_2 + 5n_1n_2) + (m_1n_2 + n_1m_2)\sqrt{5}) \\ &= \varphi((m_1 + n_1\sqrt{5}) * (m_2 + n_2\sqrt{5})) \end{aligned}$$

So φ is multiplicative. Therefore, φ is a homomorphism.

(b) $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ is a subring of $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Find $\varphi^{-1}(S)$.

I don't understand how to do this question. φ only maps onto elements of S that have $b = 0$, so how can I take φ^{-1} over all S ?

Question 4

Let R and S be rings. Show that $R \oplus S$ and $S \oplus R$ are isomorphic.

Define $\varphi : R \oplus S \rightarrow S \oplus R$ by

$$\varphi((a, b)) = (b, a)$$

φ is additive because:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi((a_1, b_1) + (a_2, b_2)) &= \varphi((a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2)) \\ &= (b_1 + b_2, a_1 + a_2) \\ &= (b_1, a_1) + (b_2, a_2) \\ &= \varphi(a_1, b_1) + \varphi(a_2, b_2)\end{aligned}$$

φ is multiplicative because:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi((a_1, b_1) * (a_2, b_2)) &= \varphi((a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2)) \\ &= (b_1 b_2, a_1 a_2) \\ &= (b_1, a_1) * (b_2, a_2) \\ &= \varphi(a_1, b_1) * \varphi(a_2, b_2)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, φ is a homomorphism. Clearly it is also both one-to-one and onto, so φ is an isomorphism. Since there exists an isomorphism between $R \oplus S$ and $S \oplus R$, they are isomorphic.