

# ON NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR THE CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES

**P. V. Zaderei and O. V. Ivashchuk**

UDC 517.518.4

We establish necessary conditions for the convergence of multiple Fourier series of integrable functions in the mean.

By  $L_1(T^m)$  we denote a space of  $2\pi$ -periodic integrable functions  $f(x)$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_{T^m} |f(x_1, \dots, x_m)| dx_1 \dots dx_m = \int_{T^m} |f(x)| dx < \infty,$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  and  $T^m = [0, 2\pi)^m$ .

Let  $f \in L_1(T^m)$ , let

$$S[f] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|l|_1=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \quad (1)$$

be its Fourier series, and let

$$S_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{|l|_1=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)}$$

be the  $n$ th partial sum of series (1). Here,  $l = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m)$ ,  $l_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, m}$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ ,

$$(l, x) = l_1 x_1 + l_2 x_2 + \dots + l_m x_m,$$

and

$$|l|_1 = |l_1| + |l_2| + \dots + |l_m|.$$

We say that series (1) converges to the function  $f(x)$  in the mean if

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since relation (2) is true not for all functions  $f(\cdot) \in L_1$  (see [1], Chap. VIII, Sec. 22), it is necessary to establish the conditions for the coefficients of series (1) under which this series is convergent in the mean.

Kyiv National University of Technology and Design, Kyiv, Ukraine.

Translated from *Ukrains'kyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal*, Vol. 63, No. 7, pp. 960–968, July, 2011. Original article submitted April 3, 2009; revision submitted June 3, 2011.

In the present paper, for  $f \in L_1(T^m)$ , we obtain conditions necessary for the validity of relation (2). In [2], Fomin proved that if the series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx \quad (3)$$

converges in the mean, then the relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \frac{a_{n+k}}{k} = 0$$

is true for any sequence of natural numbers  $\{m_n\}$  such that  $m_n \leq n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

In [3], Zaderei and Smal' studied series of the form (3)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \sin kx \quad (4)$$

and established that the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|a_{n+k}|}{k} = 0$$

is necessary for the convergence of series (3) and (4) in the metric of the space  $L_1$ .

In [4], for series of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_m = k} e^{i(l, x)}, \quad l_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

it is shown that the relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln^{m-1} n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|a_{n+k}|}{k} = 0$$

is a necessary condition for the convergence of these series in the mean.

In [5], for series of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_m = k} a_l e^{i(l, x)}, \quad l_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

it is demonstrated that the relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_m = n+k} a_l e^{i(l, x)} \right\|_1 = 0$$

is a necessary condition for the convergence of these series in the mean.

The following theorem is true:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f$  belong to  $L_1(T^m)$ . Then, in order that relation (2) be true, it is necessary that the following equality be true:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sum_s \|A_s(k, n, x)\|_1 = 0,$$

where

$$A_s(k, n, x) = \sum_{\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{s_j} l_j = n+k} c_l e^{i(l, x)}, \quad s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m),$$

$$s_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } l_j \geq 0, \\ 1 & \text{for } l_j < 0, \end{cases} \quad j = \overline{1, m}.$$

**Proof.** The proof of the theorem is based on the well-known assertions formulated in what follows. For trigonometric polynomials of the form

$$t_n(x) = t_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{|l|_1=k} c_l e^{i(l, x)},$$

the following inequality is true:

$$\left\| \frac{\partial t_n(x)}{\partial x_i} \right\|_1 \leq n \|t_n(x_1, \dots, x_m)\|_1. \quad (5)$$

Inequality (5) is the Bernstein-type inequality for trigonometric polynomials.

Let  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , let  $B \subset M$ , let

$$\widetilde{t}_n^{(B)}(x) = (-i)^{|B|} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{|l|_1=k} \prod_{j \in B} \text{sign } l_j c_l e^{i(l, x)}$$

be a function of the variables  $x_j$ ,  $j \in B$ , conjugate to  $t_n(x)$ , and let  $|B|$  be the number of elements of the set  $B$ .

An inequality of the form (5) is also true for the conjugate functions (see [6, p. 21])

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \widetilde{t}_n^{(B)}(x)}{\partial x_i} \right\|_1 \leq n \|t_n(x)\|_1. \quad (6)$$

**Hardy–Littlewood Theorem** [7 p. 454]. Let

$$F(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_n z^n + \dots \in H.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|b_n|}{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(e^{ix})| dx, \quad (7)$$

where  $H$  is a Hardy space (the space of functions  $F(z)$  analytic for  $|z| < 1$  and such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(re^{ix})| dx < \infty).$$

By  $V_{2n}^n(f; x)$  we denote the de la Vallée-Poussin sum of the function  $f(x)$ ,

$$V_{2n}^n(f; x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} S_k(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \lambda_k^{(n)} \sum_{|l|_1=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)},$$

where

$$\lambda_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & k = \overline{0, n+1}, \\ \frac{2n-k+1}{n}, & k = \overline{n+1, 2n}. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$t_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|l|_1=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)}$$

( $c_l = c_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m}$  are complex numbers) be a trigonometric polynomial. The set of these polynomials is denoted by  $T_n$ .

Also let

$$E_n(f)_1 = \inf_{t_n \in T_n} \|f(x) - t_n(x)\|_1$$

be the best approximation of the function  $f(\cdot)$  in the metric  $L_1$ . By  $t_n^*$  we denote the polynomial of the best approximation of the function  $f(\cdot)$  from  $T_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - V_{2n}^n(f)\|_1 &\leq \|f - t_n^*\|_1 + \|t_n^* - V_{2n}^n(f)\|_1 \\ &= E_n(f)_1 + \|V_{2n}^n(f - t_n^*)\|_1 \leq E_n(f)_1 + E_n(f)_1 \|V_{2n}^n\|_{L_1 \rightarrow L_1} \\ &= (\|V_{2n}^n\|_{L_1 \rightarrow L_1} + 1) E_n(f)_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|V_{2n}^n\|_{L_1 \rightarrow L_1}$  is the norm of the de la Vallée-Poussin operator.

By the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, the best approximation  $E_n(f)_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any function  $f \in L_1(T^m)$  (see [8, p. 42]).

Now let

$$\sigma_n(f; x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k(f; x)$$

be the Fejér means.

In [9, 10], Podkorytov proved that  $\|\sigma_n\|_{L_1 \rightarrow L_1} \leq C$ . Since

$$V_{2n}^n(f; x) = \frac{2n+1}{n} \sigma_{2n}(f; x) - \frac{n+1}{n} \sigma_n(f; x),$$

we conclude that

$$\|V_{2n}^n\|_{L_1 \rightarrow L_1} \leq C.$$

According to the Lebesgue inequality, we get

$$\|f - V_{2n}^n(f)\|_1 \leq (\|V_{2n}^n\|_{L_1 \rightarrow L_1} + 1) E_n(f)_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Then

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_1 \geq \|V_{2n}^n(f) - S_n(f)\|_1 - \|f - V_{2n}^n(f)\|_1 \geq \|V_{2n}^n(f) - S_n(f)\|_1 + o(1). \quad (8)$$

The partial Fourier sums of the functions conjugate to  $f(x)$  in the first, second, and both variables are denoted by  $\widetilde{S}_n^{(1)}(f; x)$ ,  $\widetilde{S}_n^{(2)}(f; x)$ , and  $\widetilde{S}_n^{(1,2)}(f; x)$ , respectively, and the conjugate de la Vallée-Poussin sums with respect to the first, second, and both variables are denoted by  $\widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(1)}(f; x)$ ,  $\widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(2)}(f; x)$ , and  $\widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(1,2)}(f; x)$ , respectively. Thus, we have

$$\widetilde{S}_n^{(1)}(f; x) = -i \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|l_1|+|l_2|=k} \text{sign } l_1 c_l e^{i(l,x)},$$

$$\widetilde{S}_n^{(2)}(f; x) = -i \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|l_1|+|l_2|=k} \text{sign } l_2 c_l e^{i(l,x)},$$

$$\widetilde{S}_n^{(1,2)}(f; x) = - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{|l_1|+|l_2|=k} \text{sign } l_1 \text{sign } l_2 c_l e^{i(l,x)},$$

$$\widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(1)}(f; x) = -i \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \lambda_k^{(n)} \sum_{|l_1|+|l_2|=k} \text{sign } l_1 c_l e^{i(l,x)},$$

$$\widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(2)}(f; x) = -i \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \lambda_k^{(n)} \sum_{|l_1|+|l_2|=k} \text{sign } l_2 c_l e^{i(l,x)},$$

$$\widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(1,2)}(f; x) = - \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \lambda_k^{(n)} \sum_{|l_1|+|l_2|=k} \text{sign } l_1 \text{sign } l_2 c_l e^{i(l,x)}.$$

By using the properties of the function  $\text{sign } l$ , we determine the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} & (V_{2n}^n(f; x) - S_n(f; x)) + i \left( \widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(1)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S}_n^{(1)}(f; x) \right) \\ & + i \left( \widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S}_n^{(2)}(f; x) \right) - \left( \widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(1,2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S}_n^{(1,2)}(f; x) \right) \\ & = \begin{cases} 4 \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)}, & l_1 > 0, \quad l_2 > 0, \\ 2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} c_{k,0} e^{ikx_1}, & l_1 > 0, \quad l_2 = 0, \\ 2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} c_{0,k} e^{ikx_2}, & l_1 = 0, \quad l_2 > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ & = \frac{4}{2^{\gamma_l}} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_l$  is the number of coordinates of the vector  $l = (l_1, l_2)$  equal to zero.

For  $l_1 \geq 0$  and  $l_2 \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} &= \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} (V_{2n}^n(f; x) - S_n(f; x)) \\ &+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} i \left( \widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(1)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S}_n^{(1)}(f; x) \right) \\ &+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} i \left( \widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S}_n^{(2)}(f; x) \right) \\ &- \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \left( \widetilde{V}_{2n}^{n(1,2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S}_n^{(1,2)}(f; x) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In the other cases, we obtain similar equalities.

For  $l_1 > 0$  and  $l_2 < 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} \sum_{l_1-l_2=k} c_l e^{(l,x)} &= \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} (V_{2n}^n(f; x) - S_n(f; x)) \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} i \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1)}(f; x) \right) \\
&- \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} i \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(2)}(f; x) \right) \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1,2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1,2)}(f; x) \right). \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $l_1 < 0$  and  $l_2 > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} \sum_{-l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{(l,x)} &= \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} (V_{2n}^n(f; x) - S_n(f; x)) \\
&- \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} i \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1)}(f; x) \right) \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} i \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(2)}(f; x) \right) \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1,2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1,2)}(f; x) \right). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $l_1 \leq 0$  and  $l_2 \leq 0$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} \sum_{-l_1-l_2=k} c_l e^{(l,x)} &= \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} (V_{2n}^n(f; x) - S_n(f; x)) \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} i \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1)}(f; x) \right) \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} i \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(2)}(f; x) \right) \\
&- \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \left( \widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1,2)}(f; x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1,2)}(f; x) \right). \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (9), first, with respect to  $x_1$  and then with respect to  $x_2$  and finding the sum of the equalities obtained as a result, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} k \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 &\leq \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \|(V_{2n}^n(f;x) - S_n(f;x))'_{x_1}\|_1 \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \|(V_{2n}^n(f;x) - S_n(f;x))'_{x_2}\|_1 \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \|(\widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1)}(f;x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1)}(f;x))'_{x_1}\|_1 \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \|(\widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1)}(f;x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1)}(f;x))'_{x_2}\|_1 \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \|(\widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(2)}(f;x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(2)}(f;x))'_{x_1}\|_1 \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \|(\widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(2)}(f;x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(2)}(f;x))'_{x_2}\|_1 \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \|(\widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1,2)}(f;x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1,2)}(f;x))'_{x_1}\|_1 \\
&+ \frac{2^{\gamma_l}}{4} \|(\widetilde{V_{2n}^n}^{(1,2)}(f;x) - \widetilde{S_n}^{(1,2)}(f;x))'_{x_2}\|_1. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Bernstein inequality (5) [or (6)] to each term on the right-hand side of (13), we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} k \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 \leq \frac{2^{\gamma_l} 8n}{4} \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} \sum_{|l_1|+|l_2|=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1. \quad (14)$$

Substituting (14) in (8), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f - S_n(f)\|_1 &\geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2n} \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} k \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 + o(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2n} \int_{T^2} \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} k \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right| dx + o(1). \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the  $2\pi$ -periodicity of the integrand in (15) and the fact that the integral is independent of the interval of integration of length  $2\pi$ , we conclude that



$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T^2} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2n-k+1}{n} k \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} e^{ikt} \right| dt \right) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T^2} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n-k+1}{n} (n+k) \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} e^{ikt} \right| dt \right) dx. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

The integrand in (16) regarded as a function of the variable  $t$  belongs to the Hardy space. Applying the Hardy–Littlewood inequality (7) to this function, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n-k+1}{n} (n+k) \sum_{l_1+l_2=k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} e^{ikt} \right| dt dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T^2} 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \left| \frac{n-k+1}{n} (n+k) \sum_{l_1+l_2=n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right| dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n-k+1}{n} \frac{n+k}{k+1} \int_{T^2} \left| \sum_{l_1+l_2=n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right| dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{l_1+l_2=n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 - \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2\pi} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \sum_{l_1+l_2=n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of relation (2), we conclude that

$$\left\| \sum_{|l|_1=k} a_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and, therefore,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \sum_{|l|_1=n+k} a_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

This yields

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{l_1+l_2=n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 + o(1). \tag{17}$$

In a similar way, we analyze expressions (10), (11), and (12).

Differentiating relation (10), first, with respect to  $x_1$  and then with respect to  $x_2$  and subtracting the equalities obtained as a result, we obtain

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{l_1 - l_2 = n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 + o(1). \quad (18)$$

Performing a similar procedure with relations (11) and (12), we conclude that

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{-l_1 + l_2 = n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 + o(1), \quad (19)$$

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{-l_1 - l_2 = n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 + o(1). \quad (20)$$

We now find the sum of relations (17)–(20). This yields

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \|f - S_n(f)\|_1 &\geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma_l} 2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left( \left\| \sum_{l_1 + l_2 = n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \sum_{l_1 - l_2 = n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{-l_1 + l_2 = n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{-l_1 - l_2 = n+k} c_l e^{i(l,x)} \right\|_1 \right) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

The present work was performed on the basis of the results of the State Budget Project 16.02.22DB.

## REFERENCES

1. N. K. Bari, *Trigonometric Series* [in Russian], Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1961).
2. G. A. Fomin, "On the convergence of Fourier series in the mean," *Mat. Sb.*, **110**, No. 2, 251–265 (1979).
3. P. V. Zaderei and B. O. Smal', "On the convergence of Fourier series in the space  $L_1$ ," *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, **54**, No. 5, 639–646 (2002); **English translation:** *Ukr. Math. J.*, **54**, No. 5, 785–794 (2002).
4. P. V. Zaderei and O. M. Kapitonenko, "Necessary conditions for the convergence of multiple Fourier and Taylor series in the mean," in: *Collection of Works, Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences* [in Ukrainian], **2**, No. 2 (2005), pp. 117–124.
5. P. V. Zaderei, O. V. Ivashchuk, and O. M. Pelahenko, "On necessary conditions for the convergence of multiple Fourier series in the mean," in: *Collection of Works, Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences* [in Ukrainian], **4**, No. 1 (2007), pp. 128–133.
6. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series* [Russian translation], Vol. 2, Mir, Moscow (1965).
7. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series* [Russian translation], Vol. 1, Mir, Moscow (1965).
8. A. F. Timan, *Theory of Approximation of Functions of Real Variable* [in Russian], Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1960).
9. A. N. Podkorytov, "Summation of multiple Fourier series over polyhedra," *Vestn. Leningrad. Univ.*, No. 1, 51–58 (1980).
10. A. N. Podkorytov, "Fejér means in the two-dimensional case," *Vestn. Leningrad. Univ.*, No. 13, 32–39 (1978).

Copyright of Ukrainian Mathematical Journal is the property of Springer Science & Business Media B.V. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.