

Capital Cost Evaluation for Optimum Process Design of Cryogenic Air Separation

Diploma Thesis
by
Robert Pack

London, SS 2012

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Air Separation Technology	2
2.1	Membrane Processes	2
2.2	Pressure Swing Absorbtion	2
3	Cryogenic Air Separation	4
3.1	Process Model	4
3.2	Uncertainty in Process Modelling	4
3.3	Capital Cost	4
4	Conclusion and Further Research	6

List of Figures

2.1 Comparison of Air Separation Technologies.	3
3.1 Air Separation Unit	5

List of Tables

1 Introduction

Air separation technology, or – more generally speaking – gas separation technology, lies at the heart of the modern process industry. Highly pure oxygen and nitrogen are used in many industrial applications. Modern power generation processes, such as the currently developed OXICOAL process, rely on incineration with pure oxygen to produce flue gases with very high carbon dioxide content for further storage. Nitrogen is essential to many widely used processes such as the production of ammonia in the Haber-Bosch synthesis, as fertilizer or in many organic reactions.

2 Air Separation Technology

There are several ways besides cryogenic air separation to produce highly pure liquid or gaseous nitrogen and oxygen. In this chapter different competing technologies and their main field of applications will be discussed. The mainly used technologies asides from the cryogenic process are pressure swing adsorption (PSA) as well as membrane processes the so called gas permeation (GP).

Fig. 2.1 illustrates the most economically viable processes depending on product purity and product stream volume. It is important to remember, that PSA and GP processes exclusively produce gaseous products whereas cryogenic air separation may yield liquid or gaseous product streams. It can be seen, newer air separation processes cannot supply the high quality or quantity of the cryogenic process. Due to that cryogenic air separation is thought to be the main supplier of highly pure gases in industrial quantities for years to come

add citation

2.1 Membrane Processes

2.2 Pressure Swing Absorbtion




Figure 2.1: Comparison of Air Separation Technologies.

addref: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-7388\(93\)E0193-N](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-7388(93)E0193-N)

3 Cryogenic Air Separation

3.1 Process Model

3.2 Uncertainty in Process Modelling

3.3 Capital Cost




Figure 3.1: Schematic representation of the cryogenic air separation process.

4 Conclusion and Further Research

Todo list

■ add citation	2
■ addref: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-7388(93)E0193-N	3