

## In the Name of God

### Formal Verification Computer Assignment#2

Razieh Dorehgard - 810199419

#### Read me No.1

First of all, the main architecture of the model is the same as the previous one. I fortunately was able to reuse my Core Rebeca model so the reactive classes, their known rebecs, statevars, and message servers are the same.

#### How to verify the Timed Rebeca model??

Unlike the previous project, we cannot define LTL properties, instead, the verification of the system properties will be confined to each actor reaching its deadlines, as well as the assertions.

#### Safety Property:

##### 1. Assertion:

```
1 property {  
2  
3     define {  
4         isSafetyViolated = ctrl.safetyCheck;  
5     }  
6  
7     Assertion {  
8         Safety: !isSafetyViolated;  
9     }  
10 }  
11
```

## 2. The verification result:

Problems Analysis Result Console	
Attribute	Value
▼ SystemInfo	
Total Spent Time	2
Number of Reached S	4948
Number of Reached T	10647
Consumed Memory	79168
▼ CheckedProperty	
Property Name	Deadlock-Freedom and No Deadline Missed
Property Type	Reachability
Analysis Result	satisfied

### What's new?

Based on the understanding I had from the problem and the project specification, I added some beautiful time constraints to the program:

1. I added some delay to the controller's `isAllowed` because the computations of the check should not take too long:

```
69 msgsrv isAllowed(boolean line, int block, int id, boolean isAuto) {
70     delay(1);
71
72     if (isAuto) {
73         autoBlock[id] = block;
74         autoLine[id] = line;
75
76         check();
77         ((Infrastructure)sender).canMove(shouldStop[id], id, true);
78         lastWasAuto = true;
79     }
80
81     if(!isAuto) {
82         mannedBlock [id] = block;
83         mannedLine [id] =line;
84
85         check();
86         ((Infrastructure)sender).canMove(shouldChangeLine[id], id, false);
87         lastWasAuto = false;
88     }
89 }
```

2. Since the infrastructure plays a role as a proxy, it will have some delay as well:

```
106= msgsrv isAllowed(boolean line, int block, int id, boolean isAuto) {
107     delay(1);
108
109=     if (isAuto) {
110         autoCars[id] = ((Auto)sender);
111         ctrl.isAllowed(line, block, id, true);
112     }
113
114=     if (!isAuto) {
115         mannedCars[id] = ((Manned) sender);
116         ctrl.isAllowed(line, block, id, false);
117     }
118 }

120= msgsrv canMove(boolean canMove, int id, boolean isAuto) {
121     delay(1);
122
123=     if (isAuto) {
124         autoCars[id].canMove(canMove, true);
125     }
126
127=     if (!isAuto) {
128         mannedCars[id].canMove(canMove, false);
129     }
130 }
```

3. When autonomous and manned cars want to ask the controller if they are allowed to move, the message shouldn't take too long to be responded.

```
firstStep = false;
infra.isAllowed(line, block, id, true) deadline(5);
asked = false;
```

4. When autonomous and manned cars want to keep moving using live() message server, the self move shouldn't take longer than 1 time unit:

```
self.live() deadline(1);
```

5. Since the movements of the manned cars might be on a higher level of nondeterminism, I decided to add more delay to the live message server of the manned reactive class; this way we have actually modelled the nondeterminism of the real world in our model and have verified that our system works correctly in those circumstances too.

```
msgsrv live() {  
    delay (?(1, 2, 3));  
    move();  
}
```