

PRECISION MEASUREMENT OF THE HIGGS BOSON MASS AND SEARCH FOR
DI-LEPTON MASS RESONANCES IN $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ DECAYS USING THE CMS DETECTOR AT
THE LHC

By

DANIEL “JAKE” ROSENZWEIG

A DISSERTATION PRESENTED TO THE GRADUATE SCHOOL
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTORATE OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

2022

© 2022 Daniel “Jake” Rosenzweig

I dedicate this to Jacob Myhre.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Without so many two-legged blessings along the way, I could never have made it to this point in my academic career. Thus, I give my unlimited thanks to the following people.

To my wife, Suzanne Rosenzweig, for showing me that dreams do come true. To my mother and father, Vicki and John, who always reassured me that I could achieve anything I put my mind to. Sleep peacefully, Mom. To my siblings, Alex, Ryan, Devin, Jace, and Claudia who reminded me that there was life outside of grad school.

To my mentor, Sheldon Friedman, and his wife, Rita Friedman (Rosenzweig), who chose to invest in my success at a young age To Sheldon's best friend, Dr. Bernard Khoury,

To my physics mentors, Professors Andrey Korytov and Guenkah Mitselmakher, for granting me the one-of-a-kind opportunity to work on the CMS Experiment at CERN. To Dr. Filippo Errico for his To Dr. Noah Steinberg To Darin Acosta, for spending hours of discussion on every t To the gentle gents who introduced me to the world of CMS, Brendan Regnery and Bhargav Joshi.

To my grad school comrades for showing me what it takes to survive the core courses, Dr. Atul Divakarla, Dr. Brendan O'Brien, Dr. Daniel Guerrero, and Dr. Vladimir Martinez.

To the grad students who tagged along in our "CMS Office Hours": Evan Koenig, Nik Menendez, Neha Rawal, John Rötter. And to the many students who let us practice our spiels: Sean Kent, Jeremiah Anglin, Cris Caballeros, Ari Gonzalez,

To my mentee, Matthew Dittrich, for

To my Polish roommates in Saint-Genis-Pouilly for showing me what home away from home feels like.

To the many moms who have offered unconditional support during our darkest times: Silet Wiley, Margaret Sherrill, Dawn Hood, Cyndi Reilly-Rogers.

To my childhood best friends (in order of appearance): Jish, Willis, Shane, Zac, Duck, Marcus for their constant clever competition which has shaped me into the determined man I am today.

And finally to Existence itself for this unpredictable, unbelievable blip of an experience called life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
LIST OF TABLES.....	6
LIST OF FIGURES.....	7
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	9
2 THE CMS DETECTOR.....	10
2.1 The Silicon Tracker	13
2.1.1 The Pixel Detector.....	13
2.1.2 The Strip Detector	15
2.2 The Calorimeters	15
2.2.1 Electromagnetic Calorimeter.....	15
2.2.2 Hadron Calorimeter.....	18
3 HIGGS BOSON MASS MEASUREMENT IN THE $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ CHANNEL	19
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Background Estimation	19
3.2.1 Irreducible Background	19
3.2.2 Reducible Background.....	20
4 SUMMARY	21

LIST OF TABLES

Tables

page

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figures</u>	<u>page</u>
2-1 Life-size poster of the CMS detector, taken during CERN Open Days 2019 in the SX5 warehouse where parts of CMS were assembled.	10
2-2 Points 1 through 8 along the LHC. Collisions occur at Points 1 (ATLAS), 2 (ALICE), 5 (CMS), and 8 (LHCb), whereas the remaining points are used for LHC beam maintenance and testing.	11
2-3 Cut out of the CMS detector showing its various subdetector components.	12
2-4 A transverse view of CMS showing the “filtration process” as different particles pass through different subdetectors. A positron (solid red line) curves due to the presence of the magnetic field and gets stopped in the ECAL, creating an EM shower. A photon (blue dashed line) does not get detected at all by the Silicon Tracker, since it has no electric charge. It continues through to the ECAL and makes a shower here, like the positron. Charged hadrons (solid green line) will show curved tracks from the Silicon Tracker, may leave some trace in the ECAL, but primarily get stopped by the HCAL creating hadronic showers. Neutral hadrons (dashed green line) do not interact with the tracker, and only undergo EM showers a little in the ECAL, but show most energy deposits in the HCAL. Muons (solid blue line) are detected by the Silicon Tracker and then mostly pass through the other subdetectors without interacting until they finally reach the Muon System. Using the Lorentz force law and knowing which direction the magnetic field is pointing, one can deduce the sign of the charge of the particle. Based on the radius of curvature from the trajectory, one can then calculate the momentum and energy of the particle.	14
2-5 (Left) A simulation of the silicon tracker, showing the 3 cylindrical layers of the pixel detector (pink), 4 layers of the TIB (green), and the 6 layers of the TOB (blue) of the strip detector. The endcap components are also shown. (Right) A picture of the real silicon tracker at the center of CMS.	15
2-6 A transverse view of the silicon pixel and strip detectors, explicitly labelling the different layers involved.	16
2-7 (Left) Cross sectional view of the electromagnetic calorimeter of CMS. (Right) One of the Dees which comprise the EE. Each square of 5×5 crystals constitutes a “supercrystal”. Figure taken from Ref.REFERENCE JINST.....	17
2-8 (Left) ECAL crystals made from PbWO ₄ are grown in a lab. (Right) Although made mostly of metal, ECAL crystals are transparent and have a photomultiplier detector attached at the end.....	17

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

The universe, while overwhelmingly vast, is comprised of a curiously small number of elementary particles. These particles and their strong, weak, and electromagnetic interactions with each other are accurately described by the Standard Model (SM). A major shortcoming of the SM was its inability to predict the masses of these particles.

This dissertation presents a precision measurement of the Higgs boson mass and using LHC proton-proton collision data from Run 2 data set from

The SM was not able to predict the masses of these particles until 1964 when the Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism suggested that It wasn't until 1964 that the Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism gave a self-consistent way to : by breaking the electroweak gauge symmetry of the vacuum would give rise to non-zero masses of the weak gauge bosons. This would yield a secondary effect too: there should exist a fundamental scalar boson which is the quantum of the so-called “Higgs field”. On July 4th, 2012, this Higgs boson was discovered.

At first glance, the universe appears to be an overwhelmingly vast and complicated place. However upon closer inspection, it is comprised of only a few different kinds of fundamental particles. Particle physics has given rise to the Standard Model (SM) which mathematically describes these constituents and their interactions with each other.

The Standard Model (SM) is an impressively accurate mathematical theory which describes the fundamental particles of the universe and the rules for their possible interactions. Problematically though, the SM predicts that all particles are massless.

Get to the Higgs boson.

Why is it important? Knowing the mass of the Higgs boson

CHAPTER 2

THE CMS DETECTOR



Figure 2-1. Life-size poster of the CMS detector, taken during CERN Open Days 2019 in the SX5 warehouse where parts of CMS were assembled.

Weighing in at 14,000 tonnes, standing 5 stories tall (15 m), and reaching 29 m long, the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) experiment is one of two general-purpose particle detectors at the LHC (Fig. 2-1). CMS is situated approximately 100 m under the earth at the fifth collision point (Point 5) along the LHC (Fig. 2-2). In 2012, both CMS and its competing experiment, ATLAS, independently discovered the Higgs boson.

As discussed in Section (TODO: REF), the LHC collides bunches of protons every 25 ns to produce thousands of new particles which then travel away from the interaction point. CMS is built around the interaction point in a series of cylindrical subdetectors for nearly hermetic coverage so that most of the particles must travel through CMS. The detector sports a solenoid, after which CMS was named, which generates a 3.8 T uniform magnetic field that points longitudinally down the central axis of CMS. This strong magnetic field applies a Lorentz force on the outgoing charged particles, causing them to follow helical, momentum-dependent trajectories. These curved tracks are then better separated from one another which assists in particle identification. Neutral particles experience no Lorentz force and thus travel in straight lines.

The subdetectors measure the properties of the outgoing particles and carefully filter them out in a clever way (Fig. 2-3). Particles interact with the subdetectors, leaving so called “hits” where

they passed through. Hits are reconstructed into tracks. From the track curvature, deduce charge and momentum of the particles. Depending on which subdetector (or combination of subdetectors) was hit by the outgoing particles, the type of particle can be deduced. A few example particles




Figure 2-2. Points 1 through 8 along the LHC. Collisions occur at Points 1 (ATLAS), 2 (ALICE), 5 (CMS), and 8 (LHCb), whereas the remaining points are used for LHC beam maintenance and testing.

and their associated tracks are shown in Fig. 2-4.

Before discussing each subdetector in the following sections, it is useful to define the coordinate system used in CMS: a typical, right-handed, three-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system (x, y, z) is used, whose center $(0, 0, 0)$ is placed at the nominal pp collision point within CMS. The x -axis points towards the center of the LHC, the y -axis points vertically upward, and the z -axis points westward towards the Jura mountains, tangential to the beam direction. Since CMS covers almost the entire spherical 4π steradians around the interaction point, it is convenient to use spherical coordinates (r, ϕ, θ) , in which r measures the radial distance in the x - y plane, ϕ measures the azimuthal angle in the x - y plane as measured from the x -axis, and θ measures the polar angle as measured from the z -axis. When dealing with ultra-relativistic particles like those produced at the LHC, special relativistic effects like length contraction must be taken into account and so the coordinate θ becomes frame-dependent. It is thus helpful to convert θ to the Lorentz-invariant




Figure 2-3. Cut out of the CMS detector showing its various subdetector components.

quantity called pseudorapidity (η), which is defined as:

$$\eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$$

2.1 The Silicon Tracker

At the heart of CMS is one of the world’s largest silicon detectors: the silicon tracker. The main goal of the silicon tracker is not to capture outgoing particles but to very precisely measure the hits from the charged particles as they pass through it. The tracker also assists in vertex identification, differentiating between primary and secondary vertices, the latter of which often comes from B meson decays. When multiple pp collisions occur within the same BX (pile up), the tracker distinguishes between proton collisions with a resolution of about $100 \mu\text{m}$ longitudinally and $50 \mu\text{m}$ transverse to the beam pipe. This is crucial to resolve which outgoing particles came from which pp vertex.

The tracker consists of two types of pure silicon detectors: the pixel detector and the strip detector, each of which is described in detail below.

2.1.1 The Pixel Detector

The innermost part of the silicon tracker is the pixel detector, which is the closest subdetector to the interaction point. The pixel detector is composed of 66 million silicon “pixels”, as shown in Fig. 2-5 (Left, pink). A single pixel is $100 \mu\text{m} \times 150 \mu\text{m}$ and, collectively, they cover a sensitive area of 1.9 m^2 . Because it sits only 8 cm away from the beam pipe, the pixel detector receives the highest particle flux than any other subdetector: around 10 million particles per cm^2 per second.

The pixel detector is made of three cylindrical layers and two endcaps that surround the beam pipe. In total, the pixel detector has around 6,000 connections (channels) per cm^2 .

After the LHC Run 1 was completed, the accelerator received luminosity upgrades during the 2013-2014 long shutdown period. To handle these higher luminosities, the pixel detector was replaced by the CMS Phase-1 pixel detector during the LHC technical stop in 2016-2017. The upgrades outfitted the detector with four barrel layers and three endcap disks per side, which allowed




Figure 2-4. A transverse view of CMS showing the “filtration process” as different particles pass through different subdetectors. A positron (solid red line) curves due to the presence of the magnetic field and gets stopped in the ECAL, creating an EM shower. A photon (blue dashed line) does not get detected at all by the Silicon Tracker, since it has no electric charge. It continues through to the ECAL and makes a shower here, like the positron. Charged hadrons (solid green line) will show curved tracks from the Silicon Tracker, may leave some trace in the ECAL, but primarily get stopped by the HCAL creating hadronic showers. Neutral hadrons (dashed green line) do not interact with the tracker, and only undergo EM showers a little in the ECAL, but show most energy deposits in the HCAL. Muons (solid blue line) are detected by the Silicon Tracker and then mostly pass through the other subdetectors without interacting until they finally reach the Muon System. Using the Lorentz force law and knowing which direction the magnetic field is pointing, one can deduce the sign of the charge of the particle. Based on the radius of curvature from the trajectory, one can then calculate the momentum and energy of the particle.

for particle detection up to $|\eta| < 2.5$. The overall mass of the pixel detector decreased and granted the detector with better tracking capability.

2.1.2 The Strip Detector

The outer part of the silicon tracker is called the strip detector, which has 10 million detector strips spread across 10 cylindrical layers. The first 4 layers belong to the tracker inner barrel (TIB) and the remaining 6 layers belong to the tracker outer barrel (TOB), Fig. 2-5 (Left, green and blue, respectively). Both the TIB and TOB have two endcaps associated with them, the TID and TEC, respectively. Accounting for all of its components, the strip detector is sensitive to 200 m^2 . Fig. 2-6 gives a clearly-labelled transverse illustration of the pixel and strip detectors.




Figure 2-5. (Left) A simulation of the silicon tracker, showing the 3 cylindrical layers of the pixel detector (pink), 4 layers of the TIB (green), and the 6 layers of the TOB (blue) of the strip detector. The endcap components are also shown. (Right) A picture of the real silicon tracker at the center of CMS.

2.2 The Calorimeters

2.2.1 Electromagnetic Calorimeter

What is it? Particles that pass through the silicon tracker 2.1 encounter the electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL). Those particles which interact electromagnetically but not strongly, mostly photons and electrons, are typically absorbed by the ECAL. The particle's energy is then transferred to the ECAL in the form of an electromagnetic (EM) shower. The size and shape of the EM shower provide information about the particle's energy and trajectory. Since the Higgs boson can decay into two photons, it was essential that the ECAL was able to detect this decay mode.




Figure 2-6. A transverse view of the silicon pixel and strip detectors, explicitly labelling the different layers involved.

Structure: The ECAL is a hermetic, cylindrical, homogeneous sub-detector that consists of a barrel (EB), two endcaps (EE), and a preshower detector in front of each endcap (Figure 2-7, Left). The EB covers $|\eta| < 1.479$ while the EE covers $1.479 < |\eta| < 3.0$. The entire subdetector is composed of transparent lead tungstate (PbWO_4) crystals that point axially towards the center of CMS (the interaction point). The transparent crystals, one of which is shown in Fig. 2-8 (Left), have a high density (8.28 g/cm^3) which provides the ECAL with radiation resistance and a short radiation length ($X_0 = 0.89 \text{ cm}$). Because so many crystals are used (61,200 crystals in the EB and 7,324 in the EE), the ECAL has excellent energy resolution and fine granularity. Each endcap is composed of two “Dee”s, one of which is shown in Figure 2-7 (Right). A single Dee carries 3,662 crystals. Crystals in the barrel are tapered, having front face dimensions $2.2 \times 2.2 \text{ cm}^2$, back face dimensions $2.6 \times 2.6 \text{ cm}^2$, and are 23.0 cm long ($25.8 X_0$). Crystals in the endcaps are also tapered, with front face dimensions $2.862 \times 2.862 \text{ cm}^2$, back face dimensions $3.0 \times 3.0 \text{ cm}^2$, and are 22.0 cm long ($24.7 X_0$). This gives a single crystal from the barrel a volume of approximately 132.5 cm^3 .

3 (mL), about the volume of a small cup of coffee, yet it weighs 1.5 Kg. (REF:PDG). REF:PDG Particle Data Group collaboration, S. Eidelman et al., *Review of particle physics*, *Phys. Lett. B* **592** (2004) 1




Figure 2-7. (Left) Cross sectional view of the electromagnetic calorimeter of CMS. (Right) One of the Dees which comprise the EE. Each square of 5×5 crystals constitutes a “super-crystal”. Figure taken from Ref.REFERENCE JINST




Figure 2-8. (Left) ECAL crystals made from $PbWO_4$ are grown in a lab. (Right) Although made mostly of metal, ECAL crystals are transparent and have a photomultiplier detector attached at the end.

Physics: When electrons or photons pass through the ECAL, they create an EM shower. Electrons radiate more photons as they accelerate around $PbWO_4$ nuclei, in a process called *bremssstrahlung*. Meanwhile, near the presence of a nucleus, photons can pair produce into e^+e^- . This cycle of electron and photon production disperses the initial particle energy into a myriad of

lower-energy particles - this is the EM shower REFERENCE EM SHOWER FIG.

SHOW PICTURE OF EM SHOWER.

The ECAL crystals then scintillate (emits photons) in proportion to the amount of energy deposited by the interacting particle. The scintillator photons are detected by avalanche photodiodes on the back of each barrel crystal or by vacuum phototriodes in the endcap crystals (Fig. 2-8, Right). Conveniently after 1 bunch crossing (25 ns), Approximately 80% of the scintillated light is emitted.

An energy deposit in the ECAL could come from either an electron or a photon. In order to tell the difference, information from the silicon tracker is used. Charged particles, like electrons, will leave hits in the tracker and follow a curved path, whereas photons are electrically neutral and thus will not show any signs within the silicon tracker. So long as the Tracker and ECAL communicate effectively with each other, then they help distinguish between electrons and photons. Charged hadrons interact only minimally with the ECAL, instead continuing on to the Hadron Calorimeter. Neutral hadrons can be detected by the ECAL preshower near the ECAL endcaps which helps distinguish a single photon from π^0 mesons as they decay into two photons with a narrow opening angle, making it look as if the two photons are a single photon. The preshower detector allows CMS to distinguish between low-energy diphoton pairs and single high-energy photons.

NEED SMOOTH TRANSITION INTO HCAL. What about those hadrons? They got through the ECAL... To detect hadrons effectively, we need a Hadron Calorimeter.

2.2.2 Hadron Calorimeter

By the time particles reach the **Hadron Calorimeter** (HCAL), the only kind which remain (usually) are muons and hadrons. At 1 meter thick, the HCAL is a brass scintillator and its primary purpose is to catch and record the energies of hadrons. Similar to the ECAL, the HCAL will scintillate in proportion to the amount of energy of the captured particle. The incoming hadrons will *hadronize* (i.e., produce a hadronic shower), generating jets of quarks and gluons which are bound in various ways forming protons, neutrons, pions, kaons, etc.. Interestingly, the HCAL is made using over a million old, brass shell casings from the Russian Navy back from World War II.

CHAPTER 3

HIGGS BOSON MASS MEASUREMENT IN THE $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ CHANNEL

3.1 Introduction

At the LHC, the Higgs boson is produced in only 1 out of every billion pp collisions. Furthermore, the Higgs boson has a mean lifetime of only 1E-23 s, so the boson itself will never live long enough to interact directly with the CMS Detector. Therefore, the Higgs boson can only be detected by the daughter particles into which it decays.

3.2 Background Estimation

Processes which pass the signal event selection (REF EVENT SELECTION) but are not actually the signal process of interest (HZZ4L) are called background processes. These background events spoil the purity of the signal events and introduce further uncertainty into the final Higgs boson mass measurement. Therefore, it is a priority to properly model and reduce the number of background events.

The two types of background processes present in the HZZ4L analysis are:

- irreducible background
- reducible background

and will be explained in full detail in the subsequent sections.

3.2.1 Irreducible Background

The first kind of background process is that which produces two Z bosons which then decay into four prompt leptons. These four leptons typically get reconstructed as leptons which pass tight selection. Therefore, the event is tagged (incorrectly) as a 4-lepton signal event. Since these processes cannot be distinguished from the signal process and cannot be reduced, they are called irreducible backgrounds. The two irreducible backgrounds for the HZZ4L analysis are:

1. ggTOZZ (gluon-gluon fusion)
2. qqbarTOZZ (quark-antiquark annihilation)

3.2.2 Reducible Background

Besides irreducible backgrounds, there are other background processes that produce non-prompt leptons which are erroneously reconstructed as passing tight selection, due to detector imperfections. These leptons should have been rejected since they come from a non-signal process, the imperfect lepton reconstruction, these leptons appear to be signal leptons. Using careful event selection methods and more efficient detectors, these background processes can be reduced, hence the term reducible backgrounds. Reducible backgrounds include:

1. Z+jets
2. ttbar
3. WZ
4. qqbarTOZZ, ggTOZZ

Since reducible backgrounds are not eliminated entirely within the signal region, they must be modelled and estimated.

More concretely, reducible backgrounds have three main sources:

1. misidentifying light-flavor hadrons (e.g., PIONS) as leptons,
2. heavy-flavor hadrons which decay mid-flight into leptons,
3. and asymmetric photon conversions into electrons.

CHAPTER 4 SUMMARY

words.