Group 66A

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Very similar instructions to group 66Bwhich makes a lot of sense because they are so similar. Had an issue with visual studio was complaining about extra UI dependency.	We were unable to recreate these Dependency issues on other Devices so we are ignoring this Feedback for now.
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	A lot of good comments and variable/methods names made sense.	N/A
ImplementaDon	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write funcDonally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstracDons?	Nothing glaring. Some abstractions can be useful like having a separate method to take a single screenshot rather than having to invoke Bitmap/Graphics every time.	Longer functions have been Extracted into smaller functions To improve readability and maintainability
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesDng cases? Are they readable?	No unit tests, but as a mostly front end application there probably shouldn't be. One thing one can try is launching programs automatically and checking if they are correctly detected by the software.	We personally see that manually Testing if our software detects Applications seems more suitable Since our application is more UI Focused.
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Yes, it seems like the project fits the requirements well.	N/A
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	Clearer labels and maybe some more clear error handling, and add UI indicators for program status: e.g. gray out if button unavailable. Potentially put prebuilt binaries in GitHub's "release" page	Labels have been updated And inactivity of buttons has been Changed by changing the color of Buttons when they are inactive/active