

Group 66a

Category	Description	Reviewer's Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	I was able to run the application through the executable provided	N/A
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	The variable names and methods were descriptive, and the comments did a good job of letting the reader know what was going on	N/A
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	The implementation of their code looked good	N/A
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	There were no unit tests, but it didn't seem like there needed to be any. Their demo showed off the use of the application, and everything in that demo seemed to work fine	N/A
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	The code appears to fulfill the requirements that they have set	N/A
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	It was mentioned that there could be more signals to the user, such as having different colors for the buttons to indicate if the button was usable or not	Button colors have been changed based on whether the buttons are active or inactive.