Introduction to Machine Learning

Kernel Support Vector Machines

Varun Chandola

Computer Science & Engineering State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, NY, USA chandola@buffalo.edu





Outline

Support Vector Machines SVM Learning Kernel SVM

Chandola@UB

Support Vector Machines

- A hyperplane based classifier defined by w and b
- ► Like perceptron
- Find hyperplane with maximum separation margin on the training data
- Assume that data is linearly separable (will relax this later)
 - Zero training error (loss)

SVM Prediction Rule

$$y = sign(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b)$$

SVM Learning

- Input: Training data $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N)\}$
- ► **Objective**: Learn **w** and *b* that maximizes the margin

SVM Learning

- SVM learning task as an optimization problem
- Find w and b that gives zero training error
- ▶ Maximizes the margin $\left(=\frac{2}{\|w\|}\right)$
- ► Same as minimizing ||w||

Optimization Formulation

minimize
$$\frac{\|\mathbf{w}\|^2}{2}$$

subject to $y_n(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_n + b) \ge 1, \ n = 1, ..., N.$

▶ **Optimization** with *N* linear inequality constraint

SVM Optimization

Optimization Formulation

minimize
$$\frac{\|\mathbf{w}\|^2}{2}$$
 subject to $y_n(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_n + b) \ge 1, \ n = 1, ..., N.$

▶ Introducing Lagrange Multipliers, α_n , n = 1, ..., N

Rewriting as a (primal) Lagrangian

Solving the Lagrangian

Set gradient of L_P to 0

$$\frac{\partial L_P}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{w} = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n$$

$$\frac{\partial L_P}{\partial b} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0$$

 \triangleright Substituting in L_P to get the dual L_D

Solving the Lagrangian

Set gradient of L_P to 0

$$\frac{\partial L_P}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{w} = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n$$

$$\frac{\partial L_P}{\partial b} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0$$

 \triangleright Substituting in L_P to get the dual L_D

Dual Lagrangian Formulation

$$\label{eq:local_maximize} \begin{split} & \underset{\mathbf{w},b,\alpha}{\text{maximize}} & & L_D(\mathbf{w},b,\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^N \alpha_m \alpha_n y_m y_n (\mathbf{x}_m^\top \mathbf{x}_n) \\ & \text{subject to} & & \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0, \alpha_n \geq 0 \ n = 1,\dots,N. \end{split}$$

CSE 474/574 6 / 10 Chandola@UB

A Key Observation from Dual Formulation

Dot Product Formulation

- All training examples (\mathbf{x}_n) occur in $dot/inner\ products$
- ► Also recall the prediction using SVMs

$$y^* = sign(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}^* + b)$$

$$= sign((\sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n)^{\top}\mathbf{x}^* + b)$$

$$= sign(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n y_n (\mathbf{x}_n^{\top}\mathbf{x}^*) + b)$$

- ▶ Replace the dot products with kernel functions
 - Kernel or non-linear SVM

Widely used variant of SVM

Kernel SVM with radial basis function kernel (RBF)

$$k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2}||\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j||^2\right)$$

- \blacktriangleright What should γ and C be?
 - \blacktriangleright γ determines the influence of a training example inverse of the radius of influence of support vectors
 - C determines the trade-off between the total slack (errors on training data) and the size of the margin (regularization)
 - ightharpoonup Setting γ too large makes the decision boundary too complex, C will not prevent overfitting
 - ightharpoonup Setting γ very small makes the decision boundary simple (linear)
 - \blacktriangleright Usually a grid search is performed to identify optimal C and γ

CSE 474/574 8 / 10

Concluding Remarks on SVM

- ▶ Training time for SVM training is $O(N^3)$
- ▶ Many faster but approximate approaches exist
 - Approximate QP solvers
 - Online training
- SVMs can be extended in different ways
 - 1. Non-linear boundaries (kernel trick)
 - 2. Multi-class classification
 - 3. Probabilistic output
 - 4. Regression (Support Vector Regression)

References