import numpy as np import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') data = pd.read csv('Social Network Ads (2)-Copy1.csv') data.head() User ID Gender Age EstimatedSalary Purchased **0** 15624510 19000 0 Male 19 **1** 15810944 20000 0 Male 35 0 **2** 15668575 Female 26 43000 **3** 15603246 Female 27 57000 0 **4** 15804002 0 19 76000 Male data.tail() In [4]: Out[4]: User ID Gender Age EstimatedSalary Purchased **395** 15691863 Female 41000 **396** 15706071 23000 Male 51 **397** 15654296 Female 20000 1 50 **398** 15755018 Male 33000 0 **399** 15594041 Female 36000 1 In [5]: data.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 400 entries, 0 to 399 Data columns (total 5 columns): # Column Non-Null Count Dtype \_\_\_\_\_ 0 User ID 400 non-null int64 1 Gender 400 non-null object 400 non-null int64 Age 3 EstimatedSalary 400 non-null int64 4 Purchased 400 non-null int64 dtypes: int64(4), object(1) memory usage: 15.8+ KB data.describe() User ID Age EstimatedSalary Purchased count 4.000000e+02 400.000000 400.000000 400.000000 **mean** 1.569154e+07 37.655000 69742.500000 0.357500 **std** 7.165832e+04 10.482877 34096.960282 0.479864 min 1.556669e+07 18.000000 15000.000000 0.000000 **25%** 1.562676e+07 29.750000 43000.000000 0.000000 **50%** 1.569434e+07 37.000000 70000.000000 0.000000 1.000000 **75%** 1.575036e+07 46.000000 88000.000000 1.581524e+07 60.000000 150000.000000 1.000000 ## preprocessing In [8]: data.isnull().sum() Out[8]: User ID Gender Age EstimatedSalary Purchased dtype: int64 In [9]: data.nunique() Out[9]: User ID 400 2 Gender 43 Age EstimatedSalary 117 Purchased dtype: int64 data.columns Out[10]: Index(['User ID', 'Gender', 'Age', 'EstimatedSalary', 'Purchased'], dtype='object') df = pd.get dummies(data['Gender'], drop first=True) data.drop(columns=['Gender'],inplace=True) dataframe = pd.concat([df,data],axis=1) In [14]: dataframe.head() Out[14]: Male User ID Age EstimatedSalary Purchased 1 15624510 19000 0 1 15810944 20000 2 0 15668575 43000 0 0 15603246 57000 4 1 15804002 76000 0 dataframe.drop(columns=['User ID'],inplace=True) In [16]: x = dataframe.drop(columns=['Purchased']) y = dataframe['Purchased'] x.shape, y.shape Out[17]: ((400, 3), (400,)) from sklearn.model selection import train test split from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error, confusion matrix, classification report, accuracy score x\_train,x\_test,y\_train,y\_test = train\_test\_split(x,y,train\_size=0.80,stratify=y,random\_state=0) In [19]: x\_train.shape,y\_train.shape Out[20]: ((320, 3), (320,)) model = DecisionTreeClassifier() model.fit(x\_train,y\_train) y\_pred= model.predict(x\_test) print('Accuracy :',accuracy\_score(y\_test,y\_pred)) Accuracy: 0.8875 mat = confusion\_matrix(y\_test,y\_pred) Out[22]: array([[48, 3], [ 6, 23]], dtype=int64) sns.heatmap(mat,fmt='d',annot=True) plt.show() 48 - 15 23 - 10 print(classification\_report(y\_test,y\_pred)) In [24]: precision recall f1-score support 0 0.89 0.94 0.91 51 1 0.88 0.79 0.84 29 accuracy 0.89 80 macro avg 0.89 0.87 0.88 80 0.89 0.89 80 weighted avg 0.89 model.predict([[1,30,30000]]) Out[25]: array([0], dtype=int64) data1 = pd.DataFrame({'Actual':y\_test,'Predicted':y\_pred}) data1.head() **Actual Predicted** 361 245 313 1 0 294 0 376 0 0 sns.countplot(data1['Actual']) plt.show() sns.countplot(data1['Predicted']) plt.show() 50 40 30 20 10 1 0 Actual 50 40 30 20 10 0 Predicted x\_train.shape,y\_train.shape Out[28]: ((320, 3), (320,)) y\_train.value\_counts() 206 114 Name: Purchased, dtype: int64 In [30]: print('Value of o in y\_train before oversampling :-',y\_train.value\_counts()[0]) print('Value of 1 in y\_train before oversampling :-',y\_train.value\_counts()[1]) Value of o in y train before oversampling :- 206 Value of 1 in y\_train before oversampling :- 114 import imblearn from imblearn.over\_sampling import SMOTE sm = SMOTE(sampling strategy=1, random state=42, n jobs=-1, k neighbors=5) x train = np.array(x train) In [34]: y\_train = np.array(y\_train) x\_train.shape,y\_train.shape Out[36]: ((320, 3), (320,)) x\_train\_res, y\_train\_res = sm.fit\_resample(x\_train, y\_train.ravel()) model = DecisionTreeClassifier() model.fit(x\_train\_res,y\_train\_res) y\_predi= model.predict(x\_test) print('Accuracy :',accuracy\_score(y\_test,y\_predi)) Accuracy: 0.9125 In [39]: print(classification\_report(y\_test,y\_pred)) precision recall f1-score support 0.89 0.94 0.91 51 0.88 0.79 0.84 29 0.89 80 accuracy 0.89 0.87 80 0.88 macro avg 0.89 weighted avg print('Accuarcy of training data :-',model.score(x\_train\_res,y\_train\_res)) In [40]: print('Accuarcy of testing data :-', model.score(x\_test, y\_test)) Accuarcy of training data :- 1.0 Accuarcy of testing data :- 0.9125 CRoss validation behaviour. In [41]: from sklearn.model selection import cross val score In [47]: val\_score = cross\_val\_score(model,x\_train\_res,y\_train\_res,cv=10) Out[47]: array([0.85714286, 0.95238095, 0.85365854, 0.92682927, 0.82926829, 0.85365854, 0.90243902, 0.90243902, 0.90243902, 0.85365854]) import numpy as np In [49]: variance = np.var(val\_score) print('Variance :-', variance) mean = np.mean(val\_score) print('mean :- ', mean) std = np.std(val\_score) print('Standard Deviation :- ',std) Variance :- 0.0014005268972550364 mean :- 0.8833914053426248 Standard Deviation :- 0.03742361416612559 Model seems good for unseen data because the standard deviation is very less and all scores are getting close the mean. val\_score\_mean = cross\_val\_score(model,x\_train\_res,y\_train\_res,cv=10).mean()\*100 val\_score\_mean Out[50]: 88.58304297328687 val score max = cross val score(model,x train res,y train res,cv=10).max()\*100 val score max Out[51]: 95.23809523809523 val\_score\_min = cross\_val\_score(model,x\_train\_res,y\_train\_res,cv=10).min()\*100 val score min Out[52]: 83.333333333333333 In [53]: sqrt\_error= np.sqrt(mean\_squared\_error(y\_test,y\_predi)) sqrt error Out[53]: 0.2958039891549808 In [54]: np.std(y\_test) Out[54]: 0.4807221130757353 • HERE WE Can say the standard deviation of the actual value is greter than error occure due the classification. That means our model is good model. **AUC-ROC Curve.** from plotnine import aes,geom\_area,geom\_abline,geom\_line,ggplot,ggtitle from sklearn.metrics import auc,roc\_curve probab=model.predict proba(x test)[:,1] In [59]: fpr,tpr,thresh = roc\_curve(y\_test,probab) roc\_data = pd.DataFrame(dict(fpr=fpr,tpr=tpr)) auc= round(auc(fpr,tpr),1) ggplot(roc\_data, aes(x='fpr', y='tpr'))+geom\_abline(linetype='dashed')+geom\_area(alpha=0.6)+geom\_line()+ggtitle(' AUC-ROC curve with the value AUC=0.9 1-0.75 -0.50 0.25 -0.25 0.75 0.50 fpr Out[63]: <ggplot: (97989171520)> RandomForest Algorithm In [64]: from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier from sklearn.model selection import RandomizedSearchCV classifier = RandomForestClassifier() from scipy.stats import randint In [67]: params = {'n estimators':randint(100,400),'criterion':['gini','entropy'],'min samples leaf':randint(1,5)} clf cv = RandomizedSearchCV(classifier,params,n iter=10,cv=10) clf\_cv.fit(x\_train\_res,y\_train\_res) Out[69]: RandomizedSearchCV(cv=10, estimator=RandomForestClassifier(), param\_distributions={'criterion': ['gini', 'entropy'], 'min\_samples\_leaf': <scipy.stats.\_distn\_infrastructure.rv\_frozen object</pre> at 0x0000016D09CA99D0>, 'n\_estimators': <scipy.stats.\_distn\_infrastructure.rv\_frozen object at 0x0000016D09C26940>}) clf\_cv.best\_params\_ Out[70]: {'criterion': 'entropy', 'min\_samples\_leaf': 4, 'n\_estimators': 194} clf\_cv.best\_score\_ Out[71]: 0.9319396051103368 Y\_predict=clf\_cv.predict(x\_test) mat =confusion\_matrix(y\_test,Y\_predict) mat Out[73]: array([[46, 5], [ 3, 26]], dtype=int64) In [74]: sns.heatmap(mat,fmt='d',annot=True) plt.show() 45 - 40 - 35 - 25 - 20 26 10 print(classification\_report(y\_test,Y\_predict)) precision recall f1-score support 0 0.94 0.90 0.92 51 0.84 0.90 0.87 accuracy 0.90 80 macro avg 0.89 0.90 80 0.89 0.90 weighted avg 0.90 0.90 sqrt\_err=np.sqrt(mean\_squared\_error(y\_test,Y\_predict)) sqrt err Out[76]: 0.31622776601683794 np.std(y test) Out[77]: 0.4807221130757353 • Since we are getting the sqrt error lesser than the standard deviation of actual. That's means our model is good enough. To get the more information regarding to regarding to the our model whether model overfitted or not. In [78]: print('Accuarcy of training data :-',clf\_cv.score(x train res,y train res)) print('Accuarcy of testing data :-',clf cv.score(x test,y test)) Accuarcy of training data :- 0.9368932038834952 Accuarcy of testing data :- 0.9 Since model train on each and every data and predict outof which 94 % data that means our model good in training as well as if we see the model testing accuaracy got increasing upto 90%. Since it is lesser than the training data that's means our model is bit overfitted. AUC\_ROC Curve. In [79]: from sklearn.metrics import roc curve,auc from plotnine import aes, geom abline, geom area, geom line, ggplot, ggtitle probablities=clf cv.predict proba(x test)[:,1] fpr,tpr,thresh=roc curve(y test,probablities) In [82]: roc data = pd.DataFrame(dict(tpr=tpr,fpr=fpr)) auc = round(auc(fpr,tpr),1) ggplot(roc\_data,aes(x='fpr',y='tpr'))+geom\_line()+geom\_abline(linetype='dashed',color='blue',alpha=0.7)+geom\_ar AUc-Roc Curve with auc=1.0 2-1.5 ф 0.5 -0.25 0.75 0.50 fpr Out[86]: <ggplot: (97992694532)> Thank You..!!