	<ul> <li>Learning Curve in Machine Learning in Python</li> <li>Learning curve is very famous among the data scientist.</li> <li>Learning curve shows the efficiency and way your machine learning model learns.</li> <li>So,the learning is widely used in diagostics tool in machine learning for the algorithm that learning from training datasets incrementaly.</li> <li>That means we increase our datasets by some steps and then we see the performace of our model.</li> <li>Model can be evoluate on the training datasets and on hold out validation datasets after each update during training .</li> <li>It plots the measure accuracy Performance metric that can shown as like :-https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2Bkp4B8sJ2Y&amp;t=18s\</li> <li>In that we will get to know that the performaces of accuracy on the traing and testing datasets (cross validation) as we are incresing the number of observations Thats means the size of our data.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>If we increse the size of the datasets how fit in overall performace of your model.</li> <li>That means wheather it is desirable to increase the data to improve your performance or you need to work on your model.</li> <li>The metrics which we used to eveluate learning curve that is known as score actually. That could be accuracy score or we can say loss of your model.</li> <li>We can plot our model either accuracy or loss.</li> <li>It is more common to use score that minimizing such as loss or error. where by better score indicates more learning and value of 0.0 indicates that the training datasets was learned perfectly and no mistake was made.</li> <li>No mistakes was made thats means the low bias when your model having really very low bias in that case your model having the high variance which is not desirable.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Variance And Bias Trade Off.</li> <li>In this case of bias and variance,</li> <li>The variance is inversly proportional to the Bias. When we increases the bias then the varinace will be decreases but the error will be high that time.</li> <li>Similarly, for the When we decreases the bias then the varinace will be increases but the error will be high that time also.</li> <li>During this period we have to find the some sweet spot there we can minimized the total error. Not only the bias not only the variance but we minimize there total error.</li> <li>This is known as Bias and Variance Trade Off.</li> <li>So, we need to find such place where we can get the low variance and low biase and low error with optimum complexity.</li> </ul>
In [4]:	<pre>import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns %matplotlib inline  from sklearn import datasets from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier from sklearn.model_selection import learning_curve</pre>
Out[5]:	<pre>dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'frame', 'target_names', 'DESCR', 'feature_names', 'filename'])  print(cancer.DESCR)breast_cancer_dataset:  Breast_cancer_wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset  **Data Set Characteristics:** :Number of Instances: 569</pre>
	:Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class  :Attribute Information:  - radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)  - texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)  - perimeter  - area  - smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)  - compactness (perimeter^2 / area - 1.0)  - concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)  - concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)  - symmetry  - fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1)  The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three worst/largest values) of these features were computed for each image,
	resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field 10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst Radius.  - class:
	area (mean): smoothness (mean): compactness (mean): concavity (mean): concavity (mean): concave points (mean): symmetry (mean): fractal dimension (mean): concave (standard error): concave (standard error): symmetry (standard error): concave (standard error): concave (standard error): concave (standard error): concave (standard error): compactness (standard error): concavity (standard error): concave points (standard error): concave points (standard error): concave (standard error):
	symmetry (standard error):       0.008 0.079         fractal dimension (standard error):       0.001 0.03         radius (worst):       7.93 36.04         texture (worst):       12.02 49.54         perimeter (worst):       50.41 251.2         area (worst):       185.2 4254.0         smoothness (worst):       0.071 0.223         compactness (worst):       0.027 1.058         concavity (worst):       0.0 1.252         concave points (worst):       0.0 0.291         symmetry (worst):       0.156 0.664         fractal dimension (worst):       0.055 0.208
	:Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign  :Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian  :Donor: Nick Street  :Date: November, 1995  This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets.  https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2  Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe
	Characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.  Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.  The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in: [K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets",
	Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].  This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:  ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/  topic:: References  - W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993.  - O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577,
	July-August 1995.  - W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.  In this kind of datasets we having the the 569 rows and 30 features columns. Here is the two classes. In the attributes information we will get the feature parameter.   x = cancer.data y = cancer.target  #569 rows and 30 feature columns. x.shape
Out[8]:	<ul> <li>Learning curve.</li> <li>Determines cross-validated training and test scores for different training set sizes.</li> <li>A cross-validation generator splits the whole dataset k times in training and test data. Subsets of the training set with varying sizes will be used to train the estimator and a score for each training subset size and the test set will be computed. Afterwards, the scores will be averaged over all k runs for each training subset size.</li> <li>Returns</li> <li>train_sizes_abs: array, shape (n_unique_ticks,), dtype int</li> </ul>
In [13]:	<pre>Numbers of training examples that has been used to generate the learning curve. Note that the number of ticks might be less than n_ticks because duplicate entries will be removed.  • train_scores : array, shape (n_ticks, n_cv_folds) Scores on training sets.  • test_scores : array, shape (n_ticks, n_cv_folds) Scores on test set.  train_sizes,train_scores,test_scores = learning_curve(RandomForestClassifier(),x,y,cv=10,n_jobs=1,scoring='acc</pre>



