# OpenStreetMap Data - Case Study

## Map Area

Bengaluru, India

I've been working in this city for the last two years as a data analyst. Was really curious to see what the OSM data looks like here

### Problems encountered in the map

- Incorrect postal codes, ie 6 digit codes which do not start with 56
- Inconsistent postal codes. ie postal codes with characters and spaces
- City name The majority of the city names had "Bangalore' as value.. City name was changed in 2006 from Bangalore to Bengaluru.

### Incorrect postal codes + Inconsistent postal codes

Came across different types of erroneous zip codes while looking through the data. We saw city names and other phrases creep in: eg. 'Bengaluru', 'iam in bang', we saw numbers less than 6 digits (indian zip codes are 6 digits): eg. '79' we saw numbers with other characters: eg. '560001ph' we saw numbers in other languages: eg. '%೬೦೦೬೦'

When storing data in csv, we took care of some of the above problems by taking only digits from the 'addr:postcode' string values --> Making sure they are not empty strings --> Type casting them into integers and then back to string; to take care of numbers in local languages --> Then whould check if length of string is equal to six and the first two characters are '56', if yes, we would return the processed value. Else, that tag would be ignored.

```
In [1]: def audit_and_clean(postcode):
    onlydigits = ''.join(re.findall("\d+", postcode))
    if(onlydigits!=''):
        onlydigits = str(int(onlydigits))
        if(len(onlydigits)==6 and onlydigits[:2]=='56'):
            return onlydigits
```

### City name not being "Bengaluru"

in the addr:city fileds, the top counts were for :

```
[('Bangalore', 4965),
('Bengaluru', 1262),
('bangalore', 1233),
('bengaluru', 144),
('BANGALORE', 104),
('BENGALURU', 67)]
```

In 2006, the city name was changed from 'Bangalore' to 'Bengaluru'. While storing data as csv. we stored them as 'Bengaluru'

```
In [ ]: | if (element.tag =='way' or element.tag =='node'):
             for child in element:
                 if(child.tag == 'tag'):
                     kval = child.attrib['k']
                     if(PROBLEMCHARS.match(kval)):
                         continue
                     vval = child.attrib['v']
                     if(kval == 'addr:city'):
                         vval = 'Bengaluru'
```

# Data overview and additional ideas

### File sizes

```
bengaluru india.osm --> 620 MB
nodes.csv -----> 233 MB
nodes_tags.csv ----> 3.70 MB
ways.csv ----> 39.1 MB
ways nodes.csv ---> 85.4 MB
ways_tags.csv ----> 23.7 MB
```

## Number of unique users

```
In [ ]: #Number of unique users
        SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT user) FROM
        (SELECT user FROM nodes UNION SELECT user FROM ways) AS sub;
```

COUNT(DISTINCT user) 2032

### **Number of nodes**

```
In [ ]: #Number of nodes
        select count(*) from nodes;
```

COUNT(\*) 2887846

## **Number of ways**

```
In [ ]: #Number of ways
        select count(*) from ways;
```

COUNT(\*) 661844

# Top amenities in the city with count

```
In [ ]: #Top 10 amenities with count in the city
        SELECT COUNT(value) AS cnt, value
        FROM
        (SELECT value FROM nodes_tags
        WHERE key = 'amenity'
         UNION ALL
        SELECT value FROM ways_tags
        WHERE key = 'amenity') as tags_amenity
        GROUP BY value
        ORDER BY cnt DESC
        LIMIT 10;
```

```
1774 restaurant
1099 place_of_worship
823 atm
816 bank
716 school
591 hospital
561 pharmacy
557 fast food
371 cafe
326 fuel
```

## Top cuisines in the city

```
#Top 10 cuisines with count in the city
SELECT COUNT(value) AS cnt, value
FROM
(SELECT value FROM nodes_tags
WHERE key = 'cuisine'
 UNION ALL
SELECT value FROM ways tags
WHERE \ key\ = 'cuisine') as tags_cuisine
GROUP BY value
ORDER BY cnt DESC
LIMIT 10;
```

```
391 regional
312 indian
92 pizza
90 vegetarian
81 chinese
58 ice cream
54 coffee_shop
46 burger
35 international
28 italian
```

Its very interesting to note that we see more 'pizza' places than 'vegetarian' places in an Indian city.

## Top contributing users to the OSM bangalore dataset

```
In [ ]: #Top 10 contributing users
        SELECT user, COUNT(user) cnt FROM
        (SELECT user from nodes UNION ALL
        SELECT user FROM ways) sub
        GROUP BY user
        ORDER BY cnt DESC
        LIMIT 10;
```

jasvinderkaur 124889 akhilsai 118677 premkumar 115877 saikumar 114906 shekarn 98116 PlaneMad 95053 vamshikrishna 94258 himalay 88176 himabindhu 86842 sdivya 84983

# **Additional Exploration and comments**

## Most widely seen postcodes

```
In [ ]: | #Most widely seen postcodes
        SELECT tags.value, COUNT(*) as count
        FROM
         (SELECT * FROM nodes_tags
        UNION ALL
        SELECT * FROM ways_tags) tags
        WHERE tags.key='postcode'
        GROUP BY tags.value
        ORDER BY count DESC
        LIMIT 5;
```

```
value -- count
```

```
560066 - 271 ---> Bangalore East
560037 - 234 ---> Bangalore East
560003 - 202 ---> Bangalore North
560103 - 181 ---> Bangalore South East
560040 - 161 ---> Bangalore North West
```

Its observed that the top 5 most widely repeating zip codes (implicitly implying larger areas covered) are not in the central, heart of the city. These areas have developed in recent times. Earlier large outskirts areas would have come under one or two post offices. Then as the area developed, more buildings, offices and residential areas would have come up, creating more tags in the OSM dataset. The top two postcodes are in Bangalore East, which is the home to most of the tech companies and IT proffessionals in the city.

## Max speeds in bangalore

```
In [ ]: #Max speeds in bangalore
        SELECT tags.value, COUNT(*) as count
        FROM (SELECT * FROM nodes_tags
                  UNION ALL
              SELECT * FROM ways_tags) tags
        WHERE tags.key='maxspeed'
        GROUP BY tags.value
        ORDER BY tags.value DESC
        LIMIT 3;
```

```
value (kmph) ----- count
80 ----- 227
60 ----- 287
50 ----- 113
```

max speed limit observed in bangalore is 80 kmph. (100kmph being max speed limit on certain roads in India)

## Most used editors in the city

```
In [ ]: #Most widely seen editors
        SELECT tags.value, COUNT(*) as count
        FROM (SELECT * FROM nodes tags
                  UNION ALL
              SELECT * FROM ways_tags) tags
        WHERE tags.key='created by'
        GROUP BY tags.value
        ORDER BY count DESC;
```

value count
JOSM474
Potlatch 0.10f 233
Potlatch 0.10e108
Potlatch 0.7b20
Potlatch 0.9a16
Potlatch 0.10d 10
Potlatch 0.9c5
Potlatch 0.9b4
Potlatch 0.10c 2
Potlatch 0.92
Merkaartor 0.121
Vespucci 0.6.51
iLOE 1.91
cap4access1

We see that JOSM and potlatch are the most used editors by users contributing

## Most widely seen sources

```
In [ ]: #Most widely seen sources of OSM data
        SELECT tags.value, COUNT(*) as count
        FROM (SELECT * FROM nodes_tags
                  UNION ALL
              SELECT * FROM ways_tags) tags
        WHERE tags.key='source'
        GROUP BY tags.value
        ORDER BY count DESC
        LIMIT 5;
```

value count
Bing1199
bing sat407
GPS 262
survey 216
landsat 76

In November 2010 it was announced that Bing has granted the right to trace from their aerial imagery for the purpose of contributing content to OpenStreetMap.

In the most used editors (JOSM, potlatch 2, iD), Bing aerial imagery opens as background imagery.

## Most widely seen religions

```
In [ ]: | #Most widely seen religion
        SELECT tags.value, COUNT(*) as count
        FROM (SELECT * FROM nodes_tags
                   UNION ALL
              SELECT * FROM ways_tags) tags
        WHERE tags.key='religion'
        GROUP BY tags.value
        ORDER BY count DESC
        LIMIT 3;
```

```
value -----count
hindu -----666
christian -----157
muslim -----121
```

# New editor encouragement problem

```
In [ ]: #Number of unique users
        SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT user) FROM
        (SELECT user FROM nodes UNION SELECT user FROM ways) AS sub;
```

### **COUNT** (distinct user)

2032

```
In [ ]: #finding 1 edit users
        select COUNT(user)
        FROM
        (SELECT user, COUNT(user) cnt FROM
        (SELECT user from nodes UNION ALL
        SELECT user FROM ways) sub
        GROUP BY user
        HAVING cnt = 1
        ORDER BY cnt DESC) sub1;
```

### COUNT

517

We see that almost one 1/4th of the unique users in the bangalore OSM dataset, only contributed 'once' to the OSM dataset.

```
In [ ]: #sum of all entries by users
    SELECT sum(cnt)
    FROM
    (SELECT user, COUNT(user) cnt FROM
    (SELECT user from nodes UNION ALL
    SELECT user FROM ways) sub
    GROUP BY user
    ORDER BY cnt DESC) sub1;
```

#### COUNT

3,549,690

```
In [ ]: #sum of TOP 20 contributors
    SELECT sum(cnt)
    FROM
    (SELECT user, COUNT(user) cnt FROM
    (SELECT user from nodes UNION ALL
    SELECT user FROM ways) sub
    GROUP BY user
    ORDER BY cnt DESC LIMIT 20) sub1;
```

#### **COUNT**

1,726,772

We see that almost 50% of the contributions were made by the top 20 contributors alone. ie 50% of the contributions were made by the top 1% of contributors alone.

OSM urges new people to contribute to the map. Editing the data can be challenging for a beginner. We see a lot of incorrect mapping and tag values. Once changes are made, they are applied without a review process. This may lead to bad edits being made to the map and may often be left undiscovered, if removed, the original editor does not usually know why. The latter can be very discouraging.

If a new map editor could contribute and make changes, and have the changes peer reviewed before being applied, we may have had higher quality data in the OSM project and a mentorship model between experienced and new contributors.