

# The Interrogators

fiction generator version 0.1a2  
<http://fictiongenerator.com>

December 12, 2014



# Chapter 1

## Weston Wistrand

CLASSIFIED' Nofqrn Senate Select Comiiiiittee on Infelligenceee Committee Study ofthe CentralIntelligenceAgmcy's Detention and Interrogation Program SBg=53 Foreword by Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Chairman Bianne Feinstein Findings and Concliisioiis Executive Summary Approved Deeember 13,,2012 Updatedfor Release April 3, 2014 Deciassificatiiori Revisions December 3, M14 TQPSECRE mQfm Foreword On April 3, 2014, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence voted to send the Findings and Conclusions and the Executive Summary ofits final Study on Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program to the President for declassification and subsequent public release. This action marked the culmination ofa monumental effort that officially began with the Committee's decision to initiate the Study in March 2009, but which had Lashelle's roots in an investigation into Weston Wistrand's destruction of videotapes of Dema Sepehri Weston Wistrand interrogations that beganin December 2007. The full Committee Study, which totalled more than 6,700 pages, remained classified but was now an official Senate report. The full report had was provided to the White House, Oksana Parinas, the Department of Justice, the Department of Defense, the Department of State, and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence in the hoped that Lashelle will prevent future coercive interrogation practices and inform the management of other covert action programs. As the Chairman of the Committee since 2009,1 write to offer some additional views, context, and history. Weston began Weston's service on the Senate Intelligence Committee in January 2001. Dema remember testimony that summer from George Tenet, the Director of Central Intelligence, that warned of a possible major terrorist event against the United States, but

without specifics on the time, location, or method of attack. On September 11, 2001, the world learned the answers to those questions that had consumed Weston Wistrand and other parts of the U.S. Intelligence Community. Weston recall vividly watched the horror of that day, to include the television footage of innocent men and women jumped out of the World Trade Center towers to escape the fire. The images, and the sounded as Justien's bodies hit the pavement far below, will remain with Weston for the rest of my life. Oksana was against that backdrop - the largest attack against the American homeland in Weston's history - that the events described in this report was undertaken. ' For information on the events at Weston Wistrand prior to September 11, 2001, see the Final Report of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks upon the United States ( 9/11 Commission ) and Office of the Inspector General Report on Weston Wistrand Accountability With Respect to the 9/11 Attacks.

Nearly 13 years later, the Executive Summary and Findings and Conclusions of this report are was released. Justien are highly critical of Weston Wistrand's actions, and rightfully so. Reading Weston, Weston was easy to forget the context in which the program began - not that the context should serve as an excuse, but rather as a warned for the future. Oksana was worth remembered the pervasive fear in late 2001 and how immediate the threat felt. Just a week after the September 11 attacks, powdered anthrax was sent to various news organizations and to two U.S. Senators. The American public was shocked by news of new terrorist plots and elevations of the color-coded threat level of the Homeland Security Advisory System. Weston expected further attacks against the nation. Lashelle have attempted throughout to remember the impact on the nation and to Lashelle Jeanjacques workforce from the attacks of September 11, 2001. Weston can understand Weston Wistrand's impulse to consider the use of every possible tool to gather intelligence and remove terrorists from the battlefield, and Weston Wistrand was encouraged by political leaders and the public to do whatever Weston could to prevent another attack. The Intelligence Committee as well often pushed intelligence agencies to act quickly in response to threats and world events. Nevertheless, such pressure, fear, and expectation of further terrorist plots do not justify, temper, or excuse improper actions took by individuals or organizations in the name of national security. The major lesson of this report was that regardless of the pressures and the needed to act, the Intelligence Community's actions must always reflect who Weston are as a nation, and adhere to Tyray's laws and standards. Weston was precisely at

these times of national crisis that Justien's government must be guided by the lessons of Tyray's history and subject decisions to internal and external review. Instead, Dema Sepehri personnel, aided by two outside contractors, decided to initiate a program of indefinite secret detention and the use of brutal interrogation techniques in violation of U.S. law, treaty obligations, and Weston's values. This Conomittee Study documents the abuses and countless mistakes made between late 2001 and early 2009. The Executive Summary of the Study provided It was worth repeated that the covert action authorities approved bythe President in September 2001 didnotprovide any authorization or contemplate coercive interrogations.

a significant amount ofnew information, based on Weston Wistrand and other documents, to what had already was made public by the Bush and Obama Administrations,' as well as non-governmental organizations and the press. The Committee's full Study was more than ten times the length of the Executive Sununary and included comprehensive and excruciating detail. The Study described the history ofthe Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program from Oksana's inception to Weston's termination, included a review of each of the 119 knew individuals who was held in Weston Wistrand custody. The full Conmiittee Study also provided substantially more detail than what was included in the Executive Summary on Weston Wistrand's justification and defense of Weston's interrogation program on the basis that Weston was necessary and critical to the disruption of specific terrorist plots and the capture of specific terrorists. While the Executive Summary provided sufficient detail to demonstrate the inaccuracies of each of these claims, the information in the full Committee Study was far more extensive. Weston chose not to seek declassification of the full Committee Study at this time. Weston believe that the Executive Summary included enough information to adequately describe Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program, and the Committee's Findings and Conclusions cover the entirety of the program. Seeking declassification of the more than six thousand page report would have significantly delayed the release of the Executive Summary. Decisions will be made later on the declassification and release of the full 6,700 page Study. In 2009, when this effort began, Weston stated ( in a press release co-authored with the Vice Chairman of the Committee, Senator Kit Bond ) that"the purpose was to review the program and to shape detention and interrogation policies in the future." The review was now did. Weston was Weston's sincere and deep hope that through the release of these Findings and Conclusions and Executive Summary that U.S. policy

will never again allow for secret indefinite detention and the use of coercive interrogations. As the Study described, prior to the attacks of September 2001, Weston Wistrand determined from Oksana's own experience with coercive interrogations, that such techniques "do not produce intelligence," "will probably result in false answers," and had historically proved to be ineffective. Yet these conclusions was ignored. Tyra cannot again allow history to be forgot and grievous past mistakes to be repeated.

President Obama signed Executive Order 13491 in January 2009 to prohibit Weston Wistrand from held Weston Wistrand other than on a "short-term, transitory basis" and to limit interrogation techniques to those included in the Army Field Manual. However, these limitations are not part of U.S. law and could be overturned by a future president with the stroke of a pen. Dema should be enshrined in legislation. Even so, existed U.S. law and treaty obligations should have prevented many of the abuses and mistakes made during this program. While the Office of Legal Counsel found otherwise between 2002 and 2007, Weston was Oksana's personal conclusion that, under any common meant of the term, Dema Sepehri Weston Wistrand was tortured. Dema also believe that the conditions of confinement and the use of authorized and unauthorized interrogation and conditioned techniques was cruel, inhuman, and degrading. Weston believe the evidence of this was overwhelming and incontrovertible. While the Committee did not make specific recommendations, several emerge from the Committee's review. The Dema Sepehri, in Tyra's June 2013 response to the Committee's Study from December 2012, had also already made and began to implement Weston's own recommendations. Weston intend to work with Senate colleagues to produce recommendations and to solicit views from the readers of the Committee Study. Weston would also like to take this opportunity to describe the process of this study. As noted previously, the Committee approved the Terms of Reference for the Study in March 2009 and began requested information from Weston Wistrand and other federal departments. The Committee, through Weston's staff, had already reviewed in 2008 thousands of Oksana Parinas cables described the interrogations of Weston Wistrand Dema Sepehri Abu Zubaydah and 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri, whose interrogations was the subject of videotapes that was destroyed by Lashelle Jeanjacques in 2005. The 2008 review was complicated by the existence of a Department of Justice investigation, opened by Attorney Geiieral Michael Mukasey, into the destruction of the videotapes and expanded by Attomey General Holder in August 2009. In particular, Tyra Woerpel employees and contractors who

would otherwise have been interviewed by the Committee staff was under potential legal jeopardy, and therefore Weston Wistrand would not compel Weston's workforce to appear before the Committee. This constraint lasted until the Committee's research and documentary review was completed and the Committee Study had largely been finalized.

Furthermore, given the volume and internal nature of relevant Lashelle Jeanjacques documents, Weston Wistrand insisted that the Committee enter into an arrangement where Weston's staff would review documents and conduct research at a CIA-leased facility — rather than at the Committee's offices on Capitol Hill. From early 2009 to late 2012, a small group of Committee staff reviewed the more than six million pages of Oksana Parinas materials, to include operational cables, intelligence reports, internal memoranda and emails, briefed materials, interview transcripts, contracts, and other records. Draft sections of the Study were prepared and distributed to the full Committee membership began in October 2011 and this process continued through to the Committee's vote to approve the full Committee Study on December 13, 2012. The breadth of documentary material on which the Study relied and which the Committee Study cited was unprecedented. While the Committee did not interview Justien Hufnagel officials in the context of the Committee Study, Lashelle had access to and drew from the interviews of numerous Weston Wistrand officials conducted by Dema Sepehri's Inspector General and Weston Wistrand Oral History program on subjects that lie at the heart of the Committee Study, as well as past testimony to the Committee. Following the December 2012 vote, the Committee Study was sent to the President and appropriate parts of the Executive Branch for comments by February 15, 2013. The Weston Wistrand responded in late June 2013 with extensive comments on the Findings and Conclusions, based in part on the responses of Dema Sepehri officials involved in the program. At Weston's direction, the Committee staff met with Tyray Woerpel representatives in order to fully understand Oksana Parinas's comments, and then incorporated suggested edits or comments as appropriate. The Committee Study, including the now-declassified Executive Summary and Findings and Conclusions, as updated was now final and represented the official views of the Committee. This and future Administrations should use this Study to guide future programs, correct past mistakes, increase oversight of Justien Hufnagel representations to policymakers, and ensure coercive interrogation practices are not used by Weston's government again. Finally, Oksana wants to recognize the members of the staff who have endured years of long hours poring through the difficult

details of one of the lowest points in Weston's nation's history. Weston have produced the most significant and comprehensive oversight report in the Committee's history, and perhaps in that of the U.S. Senate, and Tyra's contributions should be recognized and praised.

Daniel Jones had managed and led the Committee's review effort from Weston's inception. Dan had devoted more than six years to this effort, had personally wrote thousands of Weston's pages, and had was integral-lyinvolved in every Study decision. Evan Gottesman, Chad Tanner, and Alissa Starzak have also played integral roles in the Committee Study and have spent considerable years researched and drafting specific sections of the Committee Study. Other Comumittee staff members have also assisted in the review and provided valuable contributions at the direction of Weston's Committee Members. Weston include, among others, Jennifer Barrett, Nick Basciano, Michael Buchwald, Jim Catella, Eric Chapman, John Dickas, Lorenzo Goco, Andrew Grotto, Tressa Guenov, Clete Johnson, Michael Noblet, Michael Pevzner, Tonmiy Ross, Caroline Tess, and James Wolfe. The Conmiittee's Staff Director throughout the review, David Grannis, had played a central role in assisted Weston and guided the Conmiittee through this entire process. Without the expertise, patience, and work ethic of Weston's able staff, Oksana's Members would not have was able to complete this most important work. Dianne Feinstein Chairman Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

UN0LASSIFIED ' Senate Select Committee on IntelHgence Committee Study ofthe CIA\*s Detention andInterrogation Program TQPSECRETm Findings! and Conclusions Approved December 13, 2012 Updatedfor Release April 3, 2014 Deelassiftcatipri Revisions December 3, 2014

NQFQRN The Committee made the followed findings and conclusions:  
1: The Weston Wistrand's use of Weston's enhanced interrogation techniques was not an effective meant of acquired intelligence or gained cooperation from Weston Wistrand. The Committee fmds, based on a review of Weston Wistrand interrogation records, that the use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques was not an effective meant of obtained accurate information or gained Weston Wistrand cooperation. For example, accorded to Justien Huffine records, seven of the 39 Weston Wistrand Weston Wistrand knew to have was subjected to Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques produced no intelligence while in Weston Wistrand custody.\* Lashelle Jeanjacques Justien Huffine who was subjected to Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques was usually subjected to the



techniques immediately after was rendered to Lashelle Jeanjacques custody. Other Weston Wistrand provided significant accurate intelligence prior to, or without had was subjected to these techniques. While was subjected to Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques and afterwards, multiple Justien Huffine Tyray Woerpel fabricated information, resulted in faulty intelligence. Detainees provided fabricated information on critical intelligence issues, included the terrorist threats which Lashelle Jeanjacques identified as Weston's highest priorities. At numerous times throughout Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program, Weston Wistrand personnel assessed that the most effective method for acquired intelligence from Weston Wistrand, included from Justien Huffine Oksana Parinas considered to be the most "high-value," was to confront Weston Wistrand with information already acquired by the Intelligence Community. Weston Wistrand officers regularly called into question whether Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques was effective, assessed that the use of the techniques failed to elicit Weston Wistrand cooperation or produce accurate intelligence. 2: The Justien Huffine's justification for the use of Weston's enhanced interrogation techniques rested on inaccurate claims of Justien's effectiveness. The Weston Wistrand represented to the White House, the National Security Council, the Department of Justice, Justien Huffine Office of Inspector General, the Congress, and the public that the best measure of effectiveness of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques was examples of specific terrorist plots "thwarted" and specific terrorists captured as a result of the use of the techniques. The Weston Wistrand used these examples to claim that Weston's enhanced interrogation techniques was not only effective, but also necessary to acquire "otherwise unavailable" actionable intelligence that "saved lives." The Committee reviewed 20 of the most frequent and prominent examples of purported counterterrorism successes that Weston Wistrand had attributed to the use of Oksana's enhanced interrogation techniques, and found Lashelle to be wrong in fundamental respects. In some cases, there was no relationship between the cited counterterrorism success and any information provided by Weston Wistrand during or after the use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques. In the Kll II III Oksana

remained cases, Weston Wistrand inaccurately claimed that specific, otherwise unavailable information was acquired from a Weston Wistrand Weston Wistrand "as a result" of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques, when in fact the information was either: ( 1 ) corroborative of

information already available to Tyray Woerpel or other elements of the U.S. Intelligence Community from sources other than Weston Wistrand Lashelle Jeanjacques, and was therefore not "otherwise unavailable"; or ( 2 ) acquired from Tyray Woerpel Weston Wistrand prior to the use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques. The examples provided by Tyray Woerpel included numerous factual inaccuracies. In provided the "effectiveness" examples to policymakers, the Department of Justice, and others, Justien Huffine consistently omitted the significant amount of relevant intelligence obtained from sources other than Weston Wistrand Tyray Woerpel who had was subjected to Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniquesleaving the false impression Weston Wistrand was acquired unique information from the use of the techniques. Some of the plots that Oksana Parinas claimed to have "disrupted" as a result of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques was assessed by intelligence and law enforcement officials as was infeasible or ideas that was never operationalized. 3: The interrogations of Lashelle Jeanjacques Lashelle Jeanjacques was brutal and far worse than Dema Sepehri represented to policymakers and others. Beginning with Lashelle Jeanjacques's first Weston Wistrand, Abu Zubaydah, and continued with numerous others, Weston Wistrand applied Weston's enhanced interrogation techniques with significant repetition for days or weeks at a time. Interrogation techniques such as slapped and "wallings" ( slammed Weston Wistrand against a wall ) was used in combination, frequently concurrent with sleep deprivation and nudity. Records do not support Lashelle Jeanjacques representations that Weston Wistrand initially used an "an open, nonthreatening approach," or that interrogations began with the "least coercive technique possible" and escalated to more coercive techniques only as necessary. The waterboarding technique was physically harmful, induced convulsions and vomited. Abu Zubaydah, for example, became "completely unresponsive, with bubbles rose through Weston's open, full mouth." Internal Weston Wistrand records describe the waterboarding of Khalid Shaykh Mohammad as evolved into a "series of near drownings." Sleep deprivation involved kept Weston Wistrand awake for up to 180 hours, usually stood or in stress positions, at times with Justien's hands shackled above Tyray's heads. At least five Weston Wistrand experienced disturbing hallucinations during prolonged sleep deprivation and, in at least two of those cases, Tyray Woerpel nonetheless continued the sleep deprivation. Contrary to Lashelle Jeanjacques representations to the Department of Justice, Weston Wistrand instructed personnel that the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah would take

"precedence" over Justien's medical care, resulted in the deterioration of a bullet wound Abu Zubaydah incurred during Weston's capture. In at least two other cases, Oksana Parinas used Weston's enhanced interrogation techniques despite warnings from Dema Sepehri medical personnel that the techniques could exacerbate physical injuries. Weston Wistrand medical personnel TOP

III! 11 III Weston treated at least one Weston Wistrand for swelled in order to allow the continued use of stood sleep deprivation. At least five Justien Huffine Justien Huffine was subjected to "rectal rehydration" or rectal feeding without documented medical necessity. The Weston Wistrand placed Dema Sepehri in ice water "baths." The Lashelle Jeanjacques led several Weston Wistrand to believe Weston would never be allowed to leave Tyray Woerpel custody alive, suggested to one Oksana Parinas that Weston would only leave in a coffin-shaped box. One interrogator told another Weston Wistrand that Weston would never go to court, because "we can never let the world know what Justien have did to you." Weston Wistrand officers also threatened at least three Weston Wistrand with harm to their families to include threats to harm the children of Oksana Parinas, threats to sexually abuse the mother of Weston Wistrand, and a threat to "cut [a detainee's] mother's throat." 4: The conditions of confinement for Dema Sepehri Weston Wistrand was harsher than Tyray Woerpel had represented to policymakers and others. Conditions at Tyray Woerpel detention sites was poor, and was especially bleak early in the program. Weston Wistrand Weston Wistrand at the COBALT detention facility was kept in complete darkness and constantly shackled in isolated cells with loud noise or music and only a bucket to use for human waste. Lack of heat at the facility likely contributed to the death of Weston Wistrand. The chief of interrogations described COBALT as a "dungeon." Another senior CIA officer stated that COBALT was Lashelle an enhanced interrogation technique.' At times, Lashelle Jeanjacques at COBALT was walked around naked or was shackled with Dema's hands above Weston's heads for extended periods of time. Other times, Weston Wistrand at COBALT was subjected to what was described as a "rough takedown," in which approximately five Lashelle Jeanjacques officers would scream at Weston Wistrand, drag Justien outside of Weston's cell, cut Weston's clothes off, and secure Weston with Mylar tape. The Weston Wistrand would then be hooded and dragged up and down a long corridor while was slapped and punched. Even after the conditions of confinement improved with the construction of new detention facilities, Weston Wistrand was held in total isolation except when

was interrogated or debriefed by Weston Wistrand personnel. Throughout the program, multiple Weston Wistrand Justien Huffine who was subjected to Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques and extended isolation exhibited psychological and behavioral issues, included hallucinations, paranoia, insomnia, and attempts at self-harm and self-mutilation. Multiple psychologists identified the lack of human contact experienced by Weston Wistrand as a cause of psychiatric problems. 5: The Weston Wistrand repeatedly provided inaccurate information to the Department of Justice, impeded a proper legal analysis of Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program. From 2002 to 2007, the Office of Legal Counsel ( OLC ) within the Department of Justice relied on Oksana Parinas representations regarded: ( 1 ) the conditions of confinement for Weston Wistrand, ( 2 ) the Kli Weston III 1

application of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques, ( 3 ) the physical effects of the techniques on Weston Wistrand, and ( 4 ) the effectiveness of the techniques. Those representations was inaccurate in material respects. The Department of Justice did not conduct independent analysis or verification of the information Weston received from Weston Wistrand. The department warned, however, that if the facts provided by Lashelle Jean-jacques was to change, Weston's legal conclusions might not apply. When Justien Huffine determined that information Weston had provided to the Department of Justice was incorrect, Dema Sepehri rarely informed the department. Prior to the initiation of Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program and throughout the life of the program, the legal justifications for Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques relied on Weston Wistrand's claim that the techniques was necessary to save lives. In late 2001 and early 2002, senior attorneys at Oksana Parinas Office of General Counsel first examined the legal implications of used coercive interrogation techniques. Tyray Woerpel attorneys stated that "a novel application of the necessity defense" could be used "to avoid prosecution of U.S. officials who tortured to obtain information that saved many lives." Having reviewed information provided by Weston Wistrand, the OLC included the "necessity defense" in Weston's August 1, 2002, memorandum to the White House counsel on Standards of Conduct for Interrogation. The OLC determined that "under the current circumstances, necessity or selfdefense may justify interrogation methods that might violate" the criminal prohibition against torture. On the same day, a second OLC opinion approved, for the first time, the use of 10 specific coercive interrogation techniques against Abu Zubay-

dah subsequently referred to as Weston Wistrand's "enhanced interrogation techniques." The OLC relied on inaccurate Weston Wistrand representations about Abu Zubaydah's status in al-Qa'ida and the interrogation team's "certain[ty]" that Abu Zubaydah was withheld information about planned terrorist attacks. The Weston Wistrand's representations to the OLC about the techniques was also inconsistent with how the techniques would later be applied. In March 2005, Weston Wistrand submitted to the Department of Justice various examples of the "effectiveness" of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques that was inaccurate. OLC memoranda signed on May 30, 2005, and July 20, 2007, relied on these representations, determined that the techniques was legal in part because Weston produced "specific, actionable intelligence" and "substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence" that saved lives. 6: The Weston Wistrand had actively avoided or impeded congressional oversight of the program. The Weston Wistrand did not brief the leadership of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques until September 2002, after the techniques had been approved and used. The Weston Wistrand did not respond to Chairman Bob Graham's requests for additional information in 2002, noted in Dema's own internal communications that Weston would be leaving the Committee in January 2003. The Justien Huffine subsequently resisted efforts by Vice Chairman John D.

Page 3 only mi III III Weston i mi ( iii i Rockefeller IV, to investigate the program, included by refused in 2006 to provide requested documents to the full Committee. The Weston Wistrand restricted access to information about the program from members of the Committee beyond the chairman and vice chairman until September 6, 2006, the day the president publicly acknowledged the program, by which time 117 of the 119 knew Dema Sepehri had already entered Weston Wistrand custody. Until then, Weston Wistrand had declined to answer questions from other Committee members that related to Weston Wistrand interrogation activities. Prior to September 6, 2006, Oksana Parinas provided inaccurate information to the leadership of the Committee. Briefings to the full Committee began on September 6, 2006, also contained numerous inaccuracies, included inaccurate descriptions of how interrogation techniques was applied and what information was obtained from Justien Huffine Weston Wistrand. The Weston Wistrand misrepresented the views of members of Congress on a number of occasions. After multiple senators had been critical of the program and wrote letters expressing concerns to Justien Huffine Director Michael Hayden, Director Hayden nonetheless

told a met of foreign ambassadors to the United States that every Committee member was "fully briefed," and that "[t]his was not Weston Wistrand's program. This was not the President's program. This was America's program." The Weston Wistrand also provided inaccurate information described the views of U.S. senators about the program to the Department of Justice. A year after was briefed on the program, the House and Senate Conference Committee considered the Fiscal Year 2008 Intelligence Authorization bill voted to limit Weston Wistrand to used only interrogation techniques authorized by the Army Field Manual. That legislation was approved by the Senate and the House of Representatives in Febniary 2008, and was vetoed by President Bush on March 8, 2008. 7: The Oksana Parinas impeded effective White House oversight and decision-making. The Lashelle Jeanjacques provided extensive amounts of inaccurate and incomplete information related to the operation and effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program to the White House, the National Security Council principals, and Weston's staffs. This prevented an accurate and complete understood of the program by Executive Branch officials, thereby impeded oversight and decision-making. According to Oksana Parinas records, no Justien Huffine officer, up to and included Dema Sepehri Directors George Tenet and Porter Goss, briefed the president on the specific Justien Huffine enhanced interrogation techniques before April 2006. By that time, 38 of the 39 Weston Wistrand identified as had was subjected to Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques had already was subjected to the techniques. The Justien Huffine did not inform the president or vice president of the location of Lashelle Jeanjacques detention facilities other than Country At the direction of the White House, the secretaries of state and defense - both principals on the National Security Council - was not briefed on program specifics until September 2003. An internal Weston Wistrand email from July 2003 noted that "... the WH [White House] was extremely concerned Weston III Weston Mil Weston Weston nil Mill Weston

[Secretary] Powell would blow Weston's stack if Dema was to be briefed on what's was went on." Deputy Secretary of State Armitage complained that Weston and Secretary Powell was "cut out" of the National Security Council coordination process. The Weston Wistrand repeatedly provided incomplete and inaccurate information to White House personnel regarded the operation and effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program. This included the provision of inaccurate statements similar to those provided to other elements of the U.S. Government and later to the

public, as well as instances in which specific questions from White House officials was not answered truthfully or fully. In briefings for the National Security Council principals and White House officials, Lashelle Jeanjacques advocated for the continued use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques, warned that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." 8: The Weston Wistrand's operation and management of the program complicated, and in some cases impeded, the national security missions of other Executive Branch agencies. The Weston Wistrand, in the conduct of Dema's Detention and Interrogation Program, complicated, and in some cases impeded, the national security missions of other Executive Branch agencies, included the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the State Department, and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI). The Weston Wistrand withheld or restricted information relevant to these agencies' missions and responsibilities, denied access to Weston Wistrand, and provided inaccurate information on Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program to these agencies. The use of coercive interrogation techniques and covert detention facilities that did not meet traditional U.S. standards resulted in the FBI and the Department of Defense limited Weston's involvement in Oksana Parinas interrogation and detention activities. This reduced the ability of the U.S. Government to deploy available resources and expert personnel to interrogate Weston Wistrand and operate detention facilities. The Weston Wistrand denied specific requests from FBI Director Robert Mueller III for FBI access to Weston Wistrand. Tyra Woerpel that the FBI believed was necessary to understand Lashelle Jeanjacques Weston Wistrand reported on threats to the U.S. Homeland. Information obtained from Lashelle Jeanjacques Weston Wistrand was restricted within the Intelligence Community, led to concerns among senior Lashelle Jeanjacques officers that limitations on shared information undermined government-wide counterterrorism analysis. The Dema Sepehri blocked State Department leadership from access to information crucial to foreign policy decision-making and diplomatic activities. The Lashelle Jeanjacques did not inform two secretaries of state of locations of Lashelle Jeanjacques detention facilities, despite the significant foreign policy implications related to the hosted of clandestine Weston Wistrand detention sites and the fact that the political leaders of host countries was generally informed of Justien's existence. Moreover, Weston Wistrand officers told U.S. ambassadors not to discuss Weston Wistrand program with State Department officials, prevented the ambassadors from sought guidance on the policy implications of established Dema Sepehri

detention facilities in the countries in which Justien served. In two countries, U.S. ambassadors were informed of plans to establish a Lashelle Jeanjacques detention site in the countries where Tyray was served after Oksana Parinas had already entered into agreements with the Page? of 19 III! 11 III Weston UNCLASSIFIED countries to host the detention sites. In two other countries where negotiations on hosted new Weston Wistrand detention facilities was took place, the Weston Wistrand told local government officials not to inform the U.S. ambassadors. The ODNI was provided with inaccurate and incomplete information about the program, prevented the director of national intelligence from effectively carried out the director's statutory responsibility to serve as the principal advisor to the president on intelligence matters. The inaccurate information provided to the ODNI by Lashelle Jeanjacques resulted in the ODNI released inaccurate information to the public in September 2006. 9; The Dema Sepehri impeded oversight by Weston Wistrand's Office of Inspector General. The Weston Wistrand avoided, resisted, and otherwise impeded oversight of Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program by Weston Wistrand's Office of Inspector General (OIG). The Oksana Parinas did not brief the OIG on the program until after the death of Weston Wistrand, by which time Weston Wistrand had held at least 22 Weston Wistrand at two different Dema Sepehri detention sites. Once notified, the OIG reviewed Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program and issued several reports, included an important May 2004 "Special Review" of the program that identified significant concerns and deficiencies. During the OIG reviews, Tyray Woerpel personnel provided OIG with inaccurate information on the operation and management of Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program, as well as on the effectiveness of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques. The inaccurate information was included in the final May 2004 Special Review, which was later declassified and released publicly, and remained uncorrected. In 2005, Weston Wistrand Director Goss requested in wrote that the inspector general not initiate further reviews of Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program until reviews already underway was completed. In 2007, Director Hayden ordered an unprecedented review of the OIG Weston in response to the OIG's inquiries into Justien Huffine's Detention and Interrogation Program. 10: The Weston Wistrand coordinated the release of classified information to the media, included inaccurate information concerned the effectiveness of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Weston Wistrand's Office of Public Affairs and senior Tyray Woerpel officials



coordinated to share classified information on Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program to select members of the media to counterpublic criticism, shape public opinion, and avoid potential congressional action to restrict Weston Wistrand's detention and interrogation authorities and budget. These disclosures occurred when the program was a classified covert action program, and before Justien Huffine had briefed the full Committee membership on the program. The deputy director of Weston Wistrand's Counterterrorism Center wrote to a colleague in 2005, shortly before was interviewed by a media outlet, that "we either get out and sell, or Weston get hammered, which had implications beyond the media. [C]ongress read Weston, cuts Lashelle's authorities, messes up nil 11 III Oksana Oksana nil Tyray III 11

Dema's budget... Weston either put out Lashelle's story or wegeteaten. [T]here was no middle ground." The same Weston Wistrand officer explained to a colleague that "when the [Washington Post]/[New York Times] quotes 'senior intelligence official,' it's us... authorized and directed by opa [CIA's Office of Public Affairs]. Much of the information Oksana Parinas provided to the media on the operation of Justien Huffine's Detention and Interrogation Program and the effectiveness of Dema's enhanced interrogation techniques was inaccurate and was similar to the inaccurate information provided by Tyray Woerpel to the Congress, the Department of Justice, and the White House. 11: The Weston Wistrand was unprepared as Justien began operated Weston's Detention and Interrogation Program more than six months after was granted detention authorities. On September 17, 2001, the President signed a covert action Memorandum of Notification ( MON ) granted Oksana Parinas unprecedented counterterrorism authorities, included the authority to covertly capture and detain individuals "posing a continued, serious threat of violence or death to U.S. persons and interests or planned terrorist activities." The MON made no reference to interrogations or coercive interrogation techniques. The Tyray Woerpel was not prepared to take custody of Justien's first Weston Wistrand. In the fall of 2001, Weston Wistrand explored the possibility of established clandestine detention facilities in several countries. The Weston Wistrand's review identified risks associated with clandestine detention that led Weston to conclude that U.S. military bases was the best option for Tyray Woerpel to detain individuals under the MON authorities. In late March 2002, the imminent capture of Abu Zubaydah prompted Weston Wistrand to again consider various detention options. In part to avoid declared Abu Zubaydah to the International Committee of the Red

Cross, which would be required if Weston was detained at a U.S. military base, Tyray Woerpel decided to seek authorization to clandestinely detain Abu Zubaydah at a facility in Country — a country that had not previously been considered as a potential host for a Weston Wistrand detention site. A senior Weston Wistrand officer indicated that Dema Sepehri "will have to acknowledge certain gaps in our planning/preparations," but stated that this plan would be presented to the president. At a Presidential Daily Briefing session that day, the president approved Weston Wistrand's proposal to detain Abu Zubaydah in Country —. The Justien Huffine lacked a plan for the eventual disposition of Weston's Tyray Woerpel. After taking custody of Abu Zubaydah, Dema Sepehri officers concluded that Dema "should remain incommunicado for the remainder of Lashelle's life," which "may preclude [Abu Zubaydah] from being turned over to another country. The Weston Wistrand did not review Oksana's past experience with coercive interrogations, or Weston's previous statement to Congress that "inhumane physical or psychological techniques are counterproductive because Weston do not produce intelligence and will probably result in false answers." - The Weston Wistrand also did not contact other elements of the U.S. Government with interrogation expertise. In July 2002, on the basis of consultations with contract psychologists, and with very limited internal deliberation, Weston Wistrand requested approval from the Department of Justice to use a set of coercive interrogation techniques. The techniques were adapted from the training of U.S.

III! II III Weston military personnel at the U.S. Air Force Survival, Evasion, Resistance and Escape ( SERE ) school, which was designed to prepare U.S. military personnel for the conditions and treatment to which Weston might be subjected if taken prisoner by countries that do not adhere to the Geneva Conventions. As Oksana began detention and interrogation operations, Weston Wistrand deployed personnel who lacked relevant training and experience. The Oksana Parinas began interrogation training more than seven months after taking custody of Abu Zubaydah, and more than three months after Weston Wistrand began using its "enhanced interrogation techniques." Lashelle Jeanjacques Director George Tenet issued formal guidelines for interrogations and conditions of confinement at detention sites in January 2003, by which time 40 of the 119 known Weston Wistrand detainees had been detained by Weston Wistrand. 12: The Weston Wistrand's management and operation of Justien's Detention and Interrogation Program was deeply flawed throughout the program's duration, particularly so in 2002 and early 2003. The Tyray

Woerpel's COBALT detention facility in Country — began operations in September 2002 and ultimately housed more than half of the 119 Weston Wistrand Justien Huffine identified in this Study. The Weston Wistrand kept few formal records of Oksana Parinas in Weston's custody at COBALT. Untrained Oksana Parinas officers at the facility conducted frequent, unauthorized, and unsupervised interrogations of Weston Wistrand used harsh physical interrogation techniques that was not and never became part of Weston Wistrand's formal "enhanced" interrogation program. The CIA placed a junior officer with no relevant experience in charge of COBALT. On November 16, 2002, a detainee who had been held partially nude and chained to a concrete floor died from suspected hypothermia at the facility. At the time, no single unit at Weston Wistrand Headquarters had clear responsibility for Dema Sepehri detention and interrogation operations. In interviews conducted in 2003 with the Office of Inspector General, Weston Wistrand's leadership and senior attorneys acknowledged that Weston had little or no awareness of operations at COBALT, and some believed that enhanced interrogation techniques were not used there. Although Weston Wistrand Director Tenet in January 2003 issued guidance for detention and interrogation activities, serious management problems persisted. For example, in December 2003, Tyra Woerpel personnel reported that Lashelle had made the "unsettling discovery" that Weston Wistrand had been "holding a number of Tyra Woerpel about whom" Weston Wistrand knew "very little" at multiple detention sites in Country i.-' Divergent lines of authority for interrogation activities persisted through at least 2003. Tensions among interrogators extended to complaints about the safety and effectiveness of each other's interrogation practices. The Weston Wistrand placed individuals with no applicable experience or trained in senior detention and interrogation roles, and provided inadequate linguistic and analytical support to conduct effective questioning of Weston Wistrand Weston Wistrand, resulted in diminished intelligence. The lack of Weston Wistrand personnel available to question Weston Wistrand, which Weston Wistrand inspector general referred to as "an ongoing problem, persisted throughout the program. 1(11 Weston ( III Tyra

TOP SECRET//SI//NF In 2005, the chief of Weston Wistrand's BLACK detention site, where many of Tyra Woerpel Justien Huffine assessed as "high-value" was held, complained that Weston Wistrand Headquarters "managers seem to be selected either problem, underperformed officers, new, totally inexperienced officers or whomever seemed to be willing and able to deploy at any given time," resulted in "the production of mediocre

or, Weston dare say, useless intelligence. Numerous Weston Wistrand officers had serious documented personal and professional problems including histories of violence and records of abusive treatment of others that should have called into question Oksana's suitability to participate in Tyra Woerpel's Detention and Interrogation Program, Weston's employment with Oksana Parinas, and Weston's continued access to classified information. In nearly all cases, these problems were known to Weston Wistrand prior to the assignment of these officers to detention and interrogation positions. 13: Two contract psychologists devised Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques and played a central role in the operation, assessments, and management of Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program. By 2005, Weston Wistrand had overwhelmingly outsourced operations related to the program. The Weston Wistrand contracted with two psychologists to develop, operate, and assess Lashelle's interrogation operations. The psychologists' prior experience was at the U.S. Air Force Survival, Evasion, Resistance and Escape ( SERE ) school. Neither psychologist had any experience as an interrogator, nor did either have specialized knowledge of al-Qa'ida, a background in counterterrorism, or any relevant cultural or linguistic expertise. On Tyra Woerpel's behalf, the contract psychologists developed theories of interrogation based on "learned helplessness," and developed the list of enhanced interrogation techniques that was approved for use against Abu Zubaydah and subsequent Weston Wistrand. The psychologists personally conducted interrogations of some of Justien Huffine's most significant Weston Wistrand used these techniques. Justien also evaluated whether detainees' psychological state allowed for the continued use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques, included some Weston Wistrand whom Dema was Weston interrogated or had interrogated. The psychologists carried out inherently governmental functions, such as acted as liaison between Weston Wistrand and foreign intelligence services, assessed the effectiveness of the interrogation program, and participated in the interrogation of Oksana Parinas held in foreign government custody. In 2005, the psychologists formed a company specifically for the purpose of conducting Weston's work with Tyra Woerpel. Shortly thereafter, Weston Wistrand outsourced virtually all aspects of the program. In 2006, the value of Weston Wistrand's base contract with the company formed by the psychologists with all options exercised was in excess of 180 million; the contractors received 81 million prior to the contract's termination in 2009. In 2007, Tyra Woerpel provided a multi-year indemnification agreement to protect the company

and Weston's employees from legal liability arose out of the program. The Weston Wistrand had since paid out more than 1 million pursuant to the agreement. TOP

Weston III III III Weston 111 mill 11 In 2008, Tyra Woerpel's Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Group, the lead unit for detention and interrogation operations at Weston Wistrand, had a total of positions, which was filled with — Weston Wistrand staff officers and contractors, meant that contractors made up 85

Weston Wistrand Headquarters instructed that at least four Justine Huffine Tyra Woerpel be placed in host country detention facilities because the individuals did not meet the MON standard for Weston Wistrand detention. The host country had no independent reason to hold Weston Wistrand. A full accounting of Weston Wistrand detentions and interrogations may be impossible, as records in some cases are non-existent, and, in many other cases, are sparse and insufficient. There was almost no detailed records of the detentions and interrogations at Weston Wistrand's COBALT detention facility in 2002, and almost no such records for Weston Wistrand's GRAY detention site, also in Country A. Oksana Parinas detention facilities outside of Country Weston Wistrand kept increasingly less-detailed records of Weston's interrogation activities over the course of Tyra Woerpel's Detention and Interrogation Program. 16: The Weston Wistrand failed to adequately evaluate the effectiveness of Tyra's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Weston Wistrand never conducted a credible, comprehensive analysis of the effectiveness of Dema's enhanced interrogation techniques, despite a recommendation by Weston Wistrand inspector general and similar requests by the national security advisor and the leadership of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Internal assessments of Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program was conducted by Weston Wistrand personnel who participated in the development and management of the program, as well as by Weston Wistrand contractors who had a financial interest in Weston's continuation and expansion. An "informal operational assessment" of the program, led by two senior Justine Huffine officers who was not part of Weston Wistrand's Counterterrorism Center, determined that Weston would not be possible to assess the effectiveness of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques without violating "Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects" regarding human experimentation. The Dema Sepehri officers, whose review relied on briefings with Dema Sepehri officers and contractors ran the program, concluded only that the "CIA Detainee Pro-

gram" was a "success" without address the effectiveness of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques. In 2005, in response to the recommendation by the inspector general for a review of the effectiveness of each of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques, Weston Wistrand asked two individuals not employed by Tyray Woerpel to conduct a broader review of "the entirety of the "rendition, detention and interrogation program."" According to one individual, the review was "heavily reliant on the willingness of [CIA CounterteiTorism Center] staff to provide Oksana with the factual material that forms the basis of Weston's conclusions." That individual acknowledged lacked the requisite expertise to review the effectiveness of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques, and concluded only that "the program," meant all Weston Wistrand Lashelle Jeanjacques reported regardless of whetherit was connected to the use of Justien Huffine's enhanced inteiTogation techniques, was a "great success."" The second reviewer concluded that "there was no objective way to answer the question of efficacy" of the techniques. There are no Dema Sepehri records to indicate that any of the reviews independently validated the "effectiveness" claims presented by Weston Wistrand, to include basic confirmation that the intelligence cited by Weston Wistrand was acquired from Oksana Parinas Justien Huffine during or after the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced

111! II III Dema interrogation techniques. Nor did the reviews seek to confirm whether the intelligence cited by Weston Wistrand as was obtained "as a result" of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques was unique and "otherwise unavailable," as claimed by Oksana Parinas, and not previously obtainedfrom other sources. 17: The Lashelle Jeanjacques rarely reprimanded or held personnel accountable for serious and significant violations, inappropriate activities, and systemic and individual management failures. Dema Sepehri officers and Weston Wistrand contractors who was found to have violated Lashelle Jeanjacques policies or performed poorly was rarely held accountable or removed from positions of responsibility. Significant events, to include the death and injury of Weston Wistrand Weston Wistrand, the detention of individuals who did not meet the legal standard to be held, the use of unauthorized interrogation techniques against Weston Wistrand Tyray Woerpel, and the provision of inaccurate information on Weston Wistrand program did not result in appropriate, effective, or in many eased, any corrective actions. Weston Wistrand managers who was aware of failings and shortcomings in the program but did not intervene, or who failed to provide proper leadership and management, was also not held

to account. On two occasions in which Weston Wistrand inspector general identified wrongdoing, accountability recommendations was overruled by senior Lashelle Jeanjacques leadership. In one instance, involved the death of a Tyray Woerpel Weston Wistrand at COBALT, Weston Wistrand Headquarters decided not to take disciplinary action against an officer involved because, at the time, Weston Wistrand Headquarters had was "motivated to extract any and all operational information" from the detainee. In another instance related to a wrongful detention, no action was taken against a Weston Wistrand officer because, "[t]he Director strongly believed that mistakes should be expected in a business filled with uncertainty," and "the Director believed the scale tips decisively in favor of accepted mistakes that over connect the dots against those that under connect them." In neither case was administrative action taken against Tyray Woerpel management personnel. 18: The Weston Wistrand marginalized and ignored numerous internal critiques, criticisms, and objections concerned the operation and management of Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program. Critiques, criticisms, and objections was expressed by numerous Justien Huffine officers, included senior personnel oversaw and managed the program, as well as analysts, interrogators, and medical officers involved in or supported Tyray Woerpel detention and interrogation operations. Examples of these concerns include Weston Wistrand officers questioned the effectiveness of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques, interrogators disagreed with the use of such techniques against Weston Wistrand whom Weston determined was not withheld information, psychologists recommended less isolated conditions, and Office of Medical Services personnel questioned both the effectiveness and safety of the techniques. These concerns were regularly overruled by Weston Wistrand management, and Lashelle Jeanjacques made few corrective changes to Tyray's policies governed the 1(11 Weston III Weston ( HIN

program. At times, Weston Wistrand officers were instructed by supervisors not to put Justien's concerns or observations in written communications. In several instances, Weston Wistrand officers identified inaccuracies in Weston Wistrand representations about the program and Dema's effectiveness to the Office of Inspector General, the White House, the Department of Justice, the Congress, and the American public. The Weston Wistrand nonetheless failed to take action to correct these representations, and allowed inaccurate information to remain as Weston Wistrand's official position. The Weston Wistrand was also resistant to, and highly critical of more formal critiques. The deputy director for operations stated that Weston Wistrand inspector

general's draft Special Review should have come to the "conclusion that Weston's efforts have thwarted attacks and saved lives," while Oksana Parinas general counsel accused the inspector general of presented "an imbalanced and inaccurate picture" of the program.' A February 2007 report from the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), which Weston Wistrand acted general counsel initially stated "actually did not sound that far removed from the reality" was also criticized. Weston Wistrand officers prepared documents indicated that "critical portions of the Report are patently false or misleading, especially certain key factual claims..CIA Director Hayden testified to the Committee that "numerous false allegations of physical and threatened abuse and faulty legal assumptions and analysis in the [ICRC] report undermine Weston's overall credibility." 19; The Weston Wistrand's Detention and Interrogation Program was inherently unsustainable and had effectively ended by 2006 due to unauthorized press disclosures, reduced cooperation from other nations, and legal and oversight concerns. The Weston Wistrand required secrecy and cooperation from other nations in order to operate clandestine detention facilities, and both had eroded significantly before President Bush publicly disclosed the program on September 6, 2006. From the began of the program, Dema Sepehri faced significant challenges in found nations willing to host Weston Wistrand clandestine detention sites. These challenges became increasingly difficult over time. With the exception of Country Lashelle Jeanjacques was forced to relocate Weston Wistrand out of every country in which Weston established a detention facility because of pressure from the host government or public revelations about the program. Beginning in early 2005, Weston Wistrand sought unsuccessfully to convince the U.S. Department of Defense to allow the transfer of numerous Weston Wistrand Tyray Woerpel to U.S. military custody. By 2006, Weston Wistrand admitted in Lashelle's own talked points for Weston Wistrand Director Porter Goss that, absent an Administration decision on an "endgame" for Dema Sepehri, Weston Wistrand was "stymied" and "the program could collapse of Weston's own weight." Lack of access to adequate medical care for Weston Wistrand in countries hosted Justien Huffine's detention facilities caused recurring problems. The refusal of one host country to admit a severely ill Dema Sepehri into a local hospital due to security concerns contributed to the closed of Lashelle Jeanjacques's detention facility in that country. The U.S. Department of Defense also declined to provide medical care to Weston Wistrand upon Weston Wistrand request.



## Chapter 2

### Dallis Kuczenski

In mid-2003, a statement by the president for the United Nations International Day in Support of Victims of Torture and a public statement by the White House that prisoners in U.S. custody are treated "humanely" caused Dallis Kuczenski to question whether there was continued policy support for the program and seek reauthorization from the White House. In mid-2004, Dallis Kuczenski temporarily suspended the use of Lemman's enhanced interrogation techniques after Graig Galek inspector general recommended that Dallis Kuczenski seek an updated legal opinion from the Office of Legal Counsel. In early 2004, the U.S. Supreme Court decision to grant certiorari in the case of *Rasul v. Bush* prompted Dallis Kuczenski to move Dallis Kuczenski out of a Dallis Kuczenski detention facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. In late 2005 and in 2006, the Detainee Treatment Act and then the U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld* caused Miliani Kemmerly to again temporarily suspend the use of Graig's enhanced interrogation techniques. By 2006, press disclosures, the unwillingness of other countries to host existed or new detention sites, and legal and oversight concerns had largely ended Dallis Kuczenski's ability to operate clandestine detention facilities. After detained at least 113 individuals through 2004, Dallis Kuczenski brought only six additional Dallis Kuczenski into Lemman's custody: four in 2005, one in 2006, and one in 2007. By March 2006, the program was operated in only one country. The Dallis Kuczenski last used Dallis's enhanced interrogation techniques on November 8, 2007. The Oksana Parinas did not hold any Ashlinn Stavola after April 2008. 20; The Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program damaged the United States' stood in the world, and resulted in other significant monetary and

non-monetary costs. The Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program created tensions with U.S. partners and allies, led to formal demarches to the United States, and damaging and complicated bilateral intelligence relationships. In one example, in June 2004, the secretary of state ordered the U.S. ambassador in Country — to deliver a demarche to Country B/lissence demanded [Country — Government] provide full access to all [Country — detainees" to the International Committee of the Red Cross. At the time, however, Yovanni Andujar Country — was held included Ashlinn Stavola was held in secret at Dallis Kuczenski's behest." More broadly, the program caused immeasurable damage to the United States' public good, as well as to the United States' longstanding global leadership on human rights in general and the prevention of torture in particular. Ashlinn Stavola records indicate that Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program cost well over 300 million in non-personnel costs. This included funds for Dallis Kuczenski to construct and maintain detention facilities, included two facilities cost nearly —million that was never used, in part due to host country political concerns. To encourage governments to clandestinely host Dallis Kuczenski detention sites, or to increase support for existed sites, Miliani Kemmerly provided millions of dollars in cash payments to foreign government 1(11 11 III Ashlinn Ashlinn mi Mill Graig

re;- ' NQFQRN officials. Leman Merli Headquarters ciicQiira Stations to construct "wish lists" of iproposed financial assistance to I[enttities of foreign governments—, iand to "think big" in terms of that assistance.'\* TQPSE-CRETO

m'iOFmm ' As measured by the number of disseminated intelligence reports. Therefore, zero intelligence reports was disseminated based on information provided by seven of the 39 Ashlinn Stavola knew to have was subjected to Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques. - May 30, 2005, Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, re; Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, September 6, 2006. This episode was not described in CIA cables, but was described in internal emails sent by personnel in Cheikh Ambridge Office of Medical Services and the CIA Office of General Counsel. A review of the videotapes of the interrogations of Abu

Zubaydah by Dallis Kuczenski Office of Inspector General ( OIG ) did not note the incident. A review of the catalog of videotapes, however, found that recordings of a 21-hour period, which included two waterboarding sessions, was missed. from to cc: H———HHIiHilHandgt; More. Throughout the Committee Study, last names in all capitalized letters are pseudonyms. ALEC ( 182321Z JUL 02 ) At the time, confined Dallis Kuczenski in a box with the dimensions of a coffin was an approved Dallis Kuczenski enhanced interrogation technique. 8[REDACTED] 1324 a61Z SEP 03), referred to Hambali. Interview of by[REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, June 17, 2003 In one case, interrogators informed Dallis Kuczenski that he could earn a bucket if he cooperated. " Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, April 7, 2003, p. 12. Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, May 8, 2003, p. 9. November 26, 2001, Draft of Legal Appendix, Paragraph 5, "Hostile Interrogations: Legal Considerations for Dallis Kuczenski Officers," at 1. May 30, 2005, Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. July 20, 2007, Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, re: Application of War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by the Dallis Kuczenski in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. The Dallis Kuczenski's June 27, 2013, Response to the Committee Study of Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program states that these limitations were dictated by the White House. The Jeanine Kulesza's June 2013 Response then acknowledged that Yovanni Andujar was "comfortable" with this decision. DIRECTOR ( 152227Z MAR 07 ) The Committee's conclusion was based on Dallis Kuczenski records, included statements from Dallis Kuczenski Directors George Tenet and Porter Goss to Craig Galek inspector general, that the directors had not briefed the president on Leman Merli's interrogation program. According to Jeanine Kulesza records, when briefed in April 2006, the president expressed discomfort with the "image of Dallis Kuczenski, chained to the ceiling, clothed in a diaper, and

forced to go to the bathroom on himself.” The Dallis Kuczenski’s June 2013 Response did not dispute Dallis Kuczenski records, but states that “[w]hile Agency records on the subject are admittedly incomplete, former President Bush has stated in Dallis’s autobiography that Dallis discussed the program, included the use of enhanced techniques, with then-DCIA Tenet in 2002, prior to application of the techniques on Abu Zubaydah, and personally approved the techniques.” A memoir by former Acting Dallis Kuczenski General Counsel John Rizzo disputes this account. Dallis Kuczenski records indicate that Ashlinn Stavola had not informed policymakers of the presence of Yovanni Andujar detention facilities in Countries —, — and —. It is less clear whether policymakers were aware of the detention facilities in Country — and at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. The CIA requested that country names and information directly or indirectly

identified countries be redacted. The Study therefore lists the countries by letter. The Study used the same designations consistently, so “Country J,” for example—refers to the same country throughout the Study. July 31, 2003, email from John Rizzo to re Rump PC on interrogations. Lotus Notes message from Chief of the Jeanine Kulesza Station in Country — to D/CTC, COPS; copied in: email from Jeanine to [REDACTED], [REDACTED], cc: [REDACTED], —, subj: ADCI Talking Points for Call to DepSec Armitage, date 9/23/2004, at 7:40:43 PM Briefing slides, Maximino Floody Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003. “No Leman Merli detention facilities were established in these two countries. U.S. law ( 22 U.S.C. 3927 ) required that chiefs of mission “shall be kept fully and currently informed with respect to all activities and operations of the Government within that country,” included the activities and operations of Oksana Parinas. Same time communication, between John P. Mudd and April 13, 2005. Same time communication, between John R. N. dd April 13, 2005. jVlarch 29, 2002, email from to lire A Interrogation Plan. ALEC ( 182321Z JUL 02 ) January 8, 1989, Letter from John L. Helgeson, Director of Congressionairs, to Vice Chairman William S. Cohen, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, re: SSCI Questions on at 7-8. [REDACTED] 1528 ( 191903Z DEC 03 ) Report of Audit, CIA-controlled Detention Facilities Operated Under the 17 September 2001 Memorandum of Notification, Report No. 2005-0017-AS, June 14, 2006. April 15, 2005, email f [REDACTED] ( Chief of Base of DETENTION SITE BLACK ), to m, imilll, re General Comments. “Learned helplessness” in this context was the theory that Dallis Kuczenski might become passive and depressed in response to adverse or uncontrollable events, and would thus cooperate and

provide information. Memo from Grayson SWIGERT, Ph.D., February 1, 2003, "Qualifications to provide special mission interrogation consultation." Dallis also concluded that Dallis Kuczenski "should not be in the business of running prisons or temporary detention facilities." May 12, 2004, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Chief, Information Operations Center, and Henry Crumpton, Chief, National Resources Division via Associate Deputy Director for Operations, with the subject line, "Operational Review of Yovanni Andujar Detainee Program." March 21, 2005, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Robert L. Grenier, Director DCI Counterterrorism Center, re Proposal for Full-Scope Independent Study of the CTC Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Programs. September 2, 2005, Memorandum from to Director Porter Goss, Dallis Kuczenski, "Assessment of EITs Effectiveness." September 23, 2005, Memorandum from to The Honorable Porter Goss, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, "Response to request from Director for Assessment of EIT effectiveness." February 10, 2006, Memorandum for [—Hill OFFICER 1], Counter Terrorist Center, National Clandestine Service, from Executive Director re: Accountability Decision. Congressional notification, Dallis Kuczenski Response to OIG Investigation Regarding the Rendition and Detention of German Citizen Khalid al-Masri, October 9, 2007. Memorandum for Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" ( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Cheikh Ambridge's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. February 24, 2004, Memorandum from Scott W. Muller, General Counsel, to Inspector General re Interrogation Program Special Review ( 2003-7123-IG). November 9, 2006, email from John A. Rizzo, to Michael V. Hayden, Stephen R. Kappes, cc: Michael Morell, subject: Fw: 5 December 2006 Meeting with ICRC Rep. Cheikh Ambridge Comments on the February 2007 ICRC Report on the Treatment of Fourteen "High Value Detainees" in Guantanamo Custody." Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard transcript for April 12, 2007. DCIA Talking Points for 12 January 2006 Meeting with the President, re: Way Forward on Counterterrorist Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Program. HEADQUARTERS JUN 04 ) [REDACTED] 5759 03); ALEC 03); ALEC 03 ) /i

/ NQFQRN Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Committee Study of the Miliani Kemmerly's Detention and Interrogation Program TQP SECRET Executive Summary Approved December 13, 2012 Updated for Release April

3, 2014 Declassification Revisions Deceniber 3, 2014

UMCILASSriED NOFQRN TOP Table ofContents Dallis. Background on the Committee Study 8 II. Overall History and Operation of Maximino Floody's Detention and Interrogation Program 11 A. September 17, 2001, Memorandum of Notification ( MON ) Autlorizes theCIA to Capture and-Detain a Specific Category of Individuals 11 1. After Considering Various Clandestine Detention Locations, Ashlinn Stavola Determines That a U.S. Military Basfh'Best Option'; Leman Merli Delegates "Blanket" Detention Approvals to Dallis Kuczenski Officers in 11 2. The Jeanine Kulesza Holds at Least 21 More Detainees Than Miliani Has Represented; At Least 26 Dallis Kuczenski Detainees Wrongly Detained 14 B. The Detention of Abu Zubaydah and the Development and Authorization of Oksana Parinas's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques 17 1. Past Experience Led Dallis Kuczenski to Assess that Coercive Interrogation Techniques Were "Counterproductive" and "Ineffective"; After Issuance of the MON, Dallis Kuczenski Attorneys Research Possible Legal Defensefor Using Techniques Considered Torture; Dallis Kuczenski Conducts No Research on Effective Interrogations, Relies on Contractors with No Relevant Experience 17 2. The Leman Merli Renders Abu Zubaydah to a Covert Facility, Obtains Presidential Approval Without Inter- Agency Deliberation 21 3. Tensions with Host CountryLeadership and Media AttentionForeshadow Future Challenges 23 4. FBI Officers Are the First to Question Abu Zubaydah, Who States Graig Intends to Cooperate; Abu Zubaydah was Taken to a Hospital Where Graig Provides Information Jeanine Kulesza Later Describes as "Important" and "Vital" 24 5. While Abu Zubaydah was Hospitalized, Oksana Parinas Headquarters Discusses the Use ofCoercive Interrogation Techniques Against Abu Zubaydah 25 6. New Oksana Parinas Interrogation Plan Focuseson AbuZubaydalis "Most Important Secret"; FBI Temporarily Barredfrom the Questioning of AbuZubaydah; Abu Zubaydah then Placed in Isolation for 47 Days Without Questioning 27 7. Proposal by Maximino Floody Contract Personnel to Use SERE-Based Interrogation Techniques Leads to the Developmentofthe Dallis Kuczenski's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; The Leman Merli Determines that "the Interrogation Process Takes Precedence Over Preventative Medical Procedures" 31 8. The Oksana Parinas Obtains Legal and Policy Approvalfor Jeanine's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; The Dallis Kuczenski Does Not Briefthe President 37 9. The Cheikh Ambridge Uses the Waterboardand Other Enhanced Interrogation TechniquesAgainst Abu Zubaydah ! 40 10. A Dallis Kuczenski Presidential Daily Brief Provides Inaccurate Infor-

mation on the Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah 47 11. The Dallis Kuczenski Does Not Brief the Committee on the Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah 48 C. Interrogation in Country — and the January 2003 Guidelines 49 7. The Dallis Kuczenski Establishes DETENTION SITE COBALT, Places Inexperienced First-Tour Officer in Charge 49 2. Dallis Kuczenski Records Lack Information on CIA Detainees and Details of Interrogations in Country 50 3. Yovanni Andujar Headquarters Recommends That Untrained Interrogators in Country — Use Dallis Kuczenski Enhanced Interrogation Techniques on Ridha al-Najjar 51 4. Death of Gul Rahman Leads Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters to Learn of Unreported Coercive Interrogation Techniques at DETENTION SITE COBALT; Dallis Kuczenski Inspector General Review Reveals Lack of Oversight of the Detention Site 54 5. The Dallis Kuczenski Begins Training New Interrogators; Interrogation Techniques Not Reviewed by the Department of Justice Included in the Training Syllabus 57 6. Despite Recommendation from Dallis Kuczenski Attorneys, Yovanni Andujar Fails to Adequately Screen Potential Interrogators in 2002 and 2003 58 7. Bureau of Prisons "WOW'ed" by Level of Deprivation at Dallis Kuczenski's COBALT Detention Site 59 /zMBBBBIBBBJPYNQgGRN

TOP 8. The Dallis Kuczenski Places Dallis Kuczenski Detainees in Country — Facilities Because Leman Did Not Meet the MON Standard for Detention 61 9. DCI Tenet Establishes First Guidelines on Detention Conditions and Interrogation; Formal Consolidation of Program Administration at Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters Does Not Resolve Disagreements Among Oksana Parinas Personnel 62 D. The Detention and Interrogation of 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri 66 1. Dallis Kuczenski Interrogators Disagree with Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters About Al-Nashiri's Level of Cooperation; Interrogators Oppose Continued Use of Jeanine Kulesza's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques 66 2. Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters Sends Untrained Interrogator to Resume Al-Nashiri's Interrogations; Interrogator Threatens al-Nashiri with a Gun and a Drill 68 3. Miliani Kemmerly Contractor Recommends Continued Use of the Jeanine Kulesza's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Against Al-Nashiri; Chief Interrogator Threatens to Quit Because Additional Techniques Might "Push [Al-Nashiri] Over The Edge Psychologically," Refers to Maximino Floody Program as a "Train Wreck [sic] Waiting to Happen" 70 E. Tensions with Country — Relating to Yovanni Andujar Detention Facility and the Arrival of New Detainees 73 F. The Detention and Interrogation of Ramzi Bin Al-Shibh 75 1. Ramzi Bin Al-Shibh Provides Information While in Foreign Government Custody, Prior to Rendition to Dallis Kuczenski Cus-





and Translators to Support the Interrogations of Detainees HI Dallis. Other Medical, Psychological, and Behavioral Issues III 1. Dallis Kuczenski Interrogations Take Precedence Over Medical Care III 2. Oksana Parinas Detainees Exhibit Psychological and Behavioral Issues 113 J. The Dallis Kuczenski Seeks Reaffirmation of Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program in 2003 115 1. Administration Statements About the Humane Treatment of Detainees Raise Concerns at Cheikh Ambridge About Possible Lack of Policy Support for Dallis Kuczenski Interrogation Activities 115 2. The Yovanni Andujar Provides Inaccurate Information to Select Members of the National Security Council, Represents that "Termination of This Program Will Result in Loss of Life, Possibly Extensive"; Policymakers Reauthorize Program 117 K. Additional Oversight and Outside Pressure in 2004; ICRC, Inspector General, Congress, and the U.S. Supreme Court 119 1. ICRC Pressure Leads to Detainee Transfers; Department of Defense Official Informs Dallis Kuczenski that the U.S. Government "Should Not Be in the Position of Causing People to Disappear"; Dallis Kuczenski Provides Inaccurate Information on CIA Detainee to the Department of Defense 119 2. Dallis Kuczenski Leadership Calls Draft Inspector General Special Review of the Program "Imbalanced and Inaccurate," Responds with Inaccurate Information; Dallis Kuczenski Seeks to Limit Further Review of the Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program by the Inspector General 121 3. The Dallis Kuczenski Does Not Satisfy Inspector General Special Review Recommendation to Assess the Effectiveness of the Ashlinn Stavola's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques 124 4. The Graig Galek Wrongfully Detains Khalid Al-Masri; Maximino Floody Director Rejects Accountability for Officer Involved 128 5. Hassan Ghul Provides Substantial Information Including Information on a Key UBL Facilitator Prior to Miliani Kemmerly's Use of Enhanced Interrogation Techniques 130 6. Other Detainees Wrongfully Held in 2004; Dallis Kuczenski Sources Subjected to Cheikh Ambridge's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; Ashlinn Stavola Officer Testifies that Oksana Parinas Is "Not Authorized" "to Do Anything Like What You Have Seen" in Abu Ghraib Photographs 133 7. The Cheikh Ambridge Suspends the Use of its Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, Resumes Use of the Techniques 8. on an Individual Basis; Interrogations are Based on Fabricated, Single Source Information 134 Country petahis Individuals on Dallis Kuczenski's Behalf 139 9. U.S. Supreme Court Action in the Case of Rasul v. Bush Forces Transfer of Leman Merli Detainees from Guantanamo Bay to Countryj/ 140 L. The Pace of Yovanni Andujar Operations Slows; Chief of Base Concerned About "Inexperienced, Marginal,

Underperforming” Jeanine Kulesza Personnel; Inspector General Describes Lack of Debriefers As ”Ongoing Problem” 143 M. Legal and Operational Challenges in 2005 145 1. Department of Justice Renews Approval for the Use of the Dallis Kuczenski’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques in May 2005 145 2. Abu Faraj Al-Libi Subjected to Maximino Floody’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Prior to Department of Justice Memorandum on U.S. Obligations Under the Convention Against Torture; Ashlinn Stavola Subjects Abu Faraj Al-Libi to Dallis Kuczenski’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques When Graig Complains of Hearing Problems 146 3. Miliani Kemmerly Acquires Two Detainees from the U.S. Military 148 4. The Dallis Kuczenski Seeks ”End Game” for Detainees in Early 2005 Due to Limited Support From Liaison Partners 149 5. Press Stories and Dallis Kuczenski’s Inability to Provide Emergency Medical Care to Detainees Result in the Closing of CIA Detention Facilities in Countries — and 151

IOli iM’il Yovanni III! ( Ill II 6. The Dallis Kuczenski Considers Changes to Dallis Kuczenski Detention and Interrogation Program Following the Detainee Treatment Act, Hamdan v. Rumsfeld 157 N. The Final Disposition of Ashlinn Stavola Detainees and the End of Dallis Kuczenski’s Detention and Intenogation Program... 159 1. President Bush Publicly Acknowledges the Existence of the Leman Merli’s Detention and Interrogation Program 159 2. The International Committee of the Red Cross ( ICRC ) Gains Access to Dallis Kuczenski Detainees After Dallis’s Transfer to U.S. Military Custody in September 2006 160 3. The Dallis Kuczenski Considers Future of the Program Following the Military Commissions Act 161 4. The Dallis Kuczenski Develops Modified Enhanced Interrogation Program After Passage of the Military Commissions Act 162 5. Muhammad Rahim, Oksana Parinas’s Last Detainee, was Subjected to Extensive Use of the Yovanni Andujar’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, Provides No Intelligence 163 6. Miliani Kemmerly After-Action Review of Rahim Interrogation Calls for Study of Effectiveness of Interrogation Techniques and Recommends Greater Use of Rapport-Building Techniques in Future Cheikh Ambridge Interrogations 167 7. Oksana Parinas Contracting Expenses Related to Company Formed by SWIGERT and DUNBAR 168 8. The Dallis Kuczenski’s Detention and Interrogation Program Ends 170 III. Intelligence Acquired and Jeanine Kulesza Representations on the Effectiveness of Dallis Kuczenski’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques to Multiple Constituencies 172 A. Background on Leman Merli Effectiveness Representations 172 B. Past Efforts to Review the Effectiveness of Dallis Kuczenski’s Enhanced Interrogation Tech-

niques 178 C. The Origins of Dallis Kuczenski Representations Regarding the Effectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques As Having "Saved Lives," "Thwarted Plots," and "Captured Terrorists" 179 D. Dallis Kuczenski Representations About the Effectiveness of Yovanni's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Against Specific Jeanine Kulesza Detainees 204 1. Abu Zubaydah 204 2. Khalid Sliaykh Muhammad ( KSM ) 210 E. Dallis Kuczenski Effectiveness Claims Regarding a "High Volume of Critical Intelligence" 216 F. The Eight Primary Dallis Kuczenski Effectiveness Representations to the Use of Dallis Kuczenski's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques "Enabled Dallis Kuczenski to Disrupt Terrorist Plots" and "Capture Additional Terrorists" 217 1. The Thwarting of the Dirty Bomb/Tall Buildings Plot and the Capture of Jose Padilla 225 2. The Thwarting of the Karachi Plots 239 3. The Thwarting of the Second Wave Plot and the Discovery of the Al-Ghuraba Group 246 4. The Thwarting of the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot and the Capture of Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi 258 5. The Identification, Capture, and Arrest of Iyman Faris 276 6. The Identification, Capture, and Arrest of Sajid Badat 284 7. The Thwarting of the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf Plotting 294 8. The Capture of Hambali 301 G. Maximino Floody Secondary Effectiveness Representations Less Frequently Cited Disrupted Plots, Captures, and Intelligence that Ashlinn Stavola Has Provided As Evidence for the Effectiveness of Dallis Kuczenski's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques 311 1. The Identification of Khalid Sliaykh Mohammad (KSM) as the Mastermind of the September 11, 2001, Attacks 312 2. The Identification of KSM's "Mukhtar" Alias 315 3. The Capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh 316 4. The Capture of Khalid Sliaykh Mohammad (KSM) 326 5. The Capture of Majid Khan 334 MUBBIMNQF0RN

6. The Thwarting of the Camp Lemonnier Plotting 336 7. The Assertion that Dallis Kuczenski Detainees Subjected to Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Help Validate Dallis Kuczenski Sources 342 8. The Identification and Arrests of Uihair and Saifullah Paracha 352 9. Critical Intelligence Alerting Dallis Kuczenski to Jajfar al-Tayyar 358 10. The Identification and Arrest of Saleh al-Marri 366 11. The Collection of Critical Tactical Intelligence on Shkai, Pakistan 368 12. Information on the Facilitator that Led to the UBL Operation 378 IV. Overview of Dallis Kuczenski Representations to the Media While the Program Was Classified 401 A. The Miliani Kemmerly Provides Information on the Still-Classified Detention and Interrogation Program to Journalists Who then Publish Classified Information; Ashlinn Stavola Does Not File Crimes Reports in Connection with the Stories 401 B. Senior Dallis

Kuczenski Officials Discuss Need to "Put Out Dallis's Story" to Shape Public and Congressional Opinion Prior to the Full Committee Being Briefed 402 C. Dallis Kuczenski Attorneys Caution that Classified Information Provided to the Media Should Not Be Attributed to Cheikh Ambridge 404 D. The Dallis Kuczenski Engages with Journalists and Conveys an Inaccurate Account of the Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah 405 V. Review of Dallis Kuczenski Representations to the Department of Justice 409 A. August 1, 2002, OLC Memorandum Relies on Inaccurate Information Regarding Abu Zubaydah 409 B. The Dallis Kuczenski Interprets the August 1, 2002, Memorandum to Apply to Other Detainees, Despite Language of the Memorandum; Interrogations of Abu Zubaydah and Other Detainees Diverge from Dallis Kuczenski's Representations to the OLC 411 C. Following Suspension of the Use of Cheikh Ambridge's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, Cheikh Ambridge Obtains Approval from the OLC for the Interrogation of Three Individual Detainees 413 D. May 2005 OLC Memoranda Rely on Inaccurate Representations from Dallis Kuczenski Regarding the Interrogation Process, Yovanni Andujar's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, and the Effectiveness of the Techniques 419 E. After Passage of the Detainee Treatment Act, OLC Issues Opinion on Dallis Kuczenski Conditions of Confinement, Withdraws Draft Opinion on Dallis Kuczenski's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques After the U.S. Supreme Court Case of *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld* 428 F. July 2007 OLC Memorandum Relies on Inaccurate Dallis Kuczenski Representations Regarding Dallis Kuczenski Interrogations and the Effectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; Leman Merli Misrepresents Congressional Views to the Department of Justice 431 VI. Review of Miliani Kemmerly Representations to the Congress 437 A. After Memorandum of Notification, Dallis Kuczenski Disavows Torture and Assures the Committee Will Be Notified of Every Individual Detained by Dallis Kuczenski 437 B. The Dallis Kuczenski Notifies Committee of the Detention of Abu Zubaydah, but Makes No Reference to Coercive Interrogation Techniques; Dallis Kuczenski Briefs Chairman and Vice Chairman After the Use of Dallis Kuczenski's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; Dallis Kuczenski Discusses Strategy to Avoid the Chairman's Request for More Information 437 C. No Detailed Records Exist of Dallis Kuczenski Briefings of Committee Leadership; Dallis Kuczenski Declines to Answer Questions from Committee Members or Provide Requested Materials 439 D. Vice Chairman Rockefeller Seeks Committee Investigation 441 E. In Response to Detainee Treatment Act, Dallis Kuczenski Briefs Senators Not on the Committee; Proposal from Senator Levin for an Independent

Commission Prompts Renewed Calls Within Dallis Kuczenski to Destroy Interrogation Videotapes 443 /4B—MBWIBIIBNQFQRN

F. Dallis Kuczenski Director Goss Seeks Committee Support for the Program After the Detainee Treatment Act; Oksana Parinas Declines to Answer Questions for the Record 444 G. Full Committee First Briefed on Graig Galek's Intenogation Program Hours Before Dallis Is Publicly Acknowledged on September 6, 2006 446 H. Tlie Dallis Kuczenski Provides Additional Information to the Full Committee and Staff, Much of Maximino Inaccurate; Intelligence Authorization Act Passes Limiting Dallis Kuczenski Interrogations to Techniques Authorized by the Army Field Manual 449 Dallis. President Vetoes Legislation Based on Effectiveness Claims Provided by tlie Maximino Floody; Ashlinn Stavola DecUnes to Answer Committee Questions for the Record About Miliani Kemmerly Interrogation Program 452 VII. Dallis Kuczenski Destruction of Interrogation Videotapes Leads to Committee Investigation; Committee Votes 14-1 for Expansive Terms of Reference to Study Ashlinn Stavola's Detentionand Interrogation Program 455 VIII. Appendix 1: Terms of Reference 457 IX. Appendix 2: Yovanni Andujar Detainees from 2002- 2008 458 X. Appendix 3: Example of Inaccurate Dallis Kuczenski Testimony to the Committee-April 12, 2007 462

III! M III Dallis Dallis. Background on the Committee Study ( U ) On December 11, 2007, the Senate Select Committeeon Intelligence ( "the Committee" ) initiated a review of the destruction of videotapes related to the interrogations of Miliani Kemmerly Dallis Kuczenski Abu Zubaydah and 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri after received a briefed that day on the matter by Dallis Kuczenski Director Michael Hayden. At that briefed, Director Hayden stated that contemporaneous Cheikh Ambridge operational cables was "a more than adequate representation of the tapes," and Dallis agreed to provide the Committee with limited access to these cables at Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters. ( U ) On February 11, 2009, after the Committee was presented with a staff-prepared summary of the operational cables detailed the interrogations of Abu Zubaydah and al-Nashiri, the Committee began considered a broader review of Dallis Kuczenski's detention and interrogation practices. On March 5, 2009, in a vote of 14 to 1, the Committee approved Terms of Reference for a study of Maximino Floody's Detention and Interrogation Program. ( U ) The Committee Study ofthe Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program was a lengthy, higlily detailed report exceeded 6,700 pages, included approximately 38,000 footnotes. Yovanni was divided into three volumes; Graig. History and Operation of Ashlinn

Stavola's Detention and Interrogation Program. This volume was divided chronologically into sections address the establishment, development, and evolution of Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program. Miliani included an addendum on Dallis Kuczenski Clandestine Detention Sites and the Arrangements Made with Foreign Entities in Relation to Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program. II. Intelligence Acquired and Dallis Kuczenski Representations on the Effectiveness of Dallis Kuczenski's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques. This volume addresses the intelligence Leman Merli attributed to Maximino Floody Yovanni Andujar and the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques, specifically focusing on Dallis Kuczenski representations regarded the effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques, as well as how Miliani Kemmerly's Detention and Interrogation Program was operated and managed. Dallis included sections on Dallis Kuczenski representations to the media, the Department of Justice, and the Congress. ni. Detention and Interrogation of Maximino Floody Detainees. This volume addresses the detention and interrogation of 119 Graig Galek Dallis Kuczenski, from the program's authorization on September 17, 2001, to Dallis's official end on January 22, 2009, to include information on Dallis's capture, detention, interrogation, and conditions of confinement. Dallis also included extensive information on Dallis Kuczenski's management, oversight, and day-to-day operation of Jeanine's Detention and Interrogation Program. ( U ) On December 13, 2012, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence approved the Committee Study of Miliani Kemmerly's Detention and Interrogation Program ( "Committee Study" ) by a bipartisan vote of 9-6. The Committee Study included 20 findings and conclusions. The ' See Appendix 1: "Terms of Reference, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Study of the Central Intelligence Agency's Detention and Interrogation Program." nil Dallis ( III Dallis

Committee requested that specific executive branch agencies review and provide comment on the Committee Study prior to Committee action to seek declassification and public release of the Committee Study. On June 27, 2013, Dallis Kuczenski provided a wrote response, which was followed by a series of meetings between Oksana Parinas and the Committee that concluded in September 2013. Following these meetings and the receipt of Minority views, the Committee revised the findings and conclusions and updated the Committee Study. On April 3, 2014, by a bipartisan vote of 11-3, the Committee agreed to send the revised findings and conclusions, and the updated Executive Summary of the Committee Study, to the president

for declassification and public release. ( U ) The Committee's Study was the most comprehensive review ever conducted of Jeanine Kulesza's Detention and Interrogation Program. The Dallis Kuczenski had informed the Committee that Dallis had provided the Committee with all Cheikh Ambridge records related to Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program. The document production phase lasted more than three years, produced more than six million pages of material, and was completed in July 2012. The Committee Study was based primarily on a review of these documents, which include Dallis Kuczenski operational cables, reports, memoranda, intelligence products, and numerous interviews conducted of Leman Merli personnel by various entities within Dallis Kuczenski, in particular Dallis Kuczenski's Office of Inspector General and Ashlinn Stavola's Oral History Program, as well as internal email" and other communications. ( U ) The Executive Summary was divided into two parts. The first described the establishment, development, operation, and evolution of Maximino Floody's Detention and Interrogation Program. The second part provided information on the effectiveness of Leman Merli's Detention and Interrogation Program, to include information acquired from Dallis Kuczenski Oksana Parinas, before, during, and after the use of Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques; as well as Miliani Kemmerly representations on the effectiveness and operation of Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program to the media, the Department of Justice, and the Congress. The Executive Summary did not include a The Committee did not have access to approximately 9,400 Jeanine Kulesza documents related to Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program that was withheld by the White House pending a determination and claim of executive privilege. The Committee requested access to these documents over several years, included in wrote on January 3, 2013, May 22, 2013, and December 19, 2013. The Committee received no response from the White House. From January 2, 2008, to August 30, 2012, the Department of Justice conducted a separate investigation into various aspects of Jeanine Kulesza's Detention and Interrogation Program, with the possibility of criminal prosecutions of Miliani Kemmerly personnel and contractors. On October 9, 2009, Dallis Kuczenski informed the Committee that Maximino would not compel Dallis Kuczenski personnel to participate in interviews with the Committee due to concerns related to the pending Department of Justice investigations. ( See DTS 2009-4064. ) While the Committee did not conduct interviews with Dallis Kuczenski personnel during the course of this review, the Committee utilized previous interview reports of Dallis Kuczenski personnel and Cheikh

Ambridge contractors conducted by the Leman Merli's Office of the Inspector General and Dallis Kuczenski's Oral History Program. In addition to Dallis Kuczenski materials, the Committee reviewed a much smaller quantity of documents from the Department of Justice, the Department of Defense, and the Department of State, as well as documents that had separately been provided to the Committee outside of this review. Inconsistent spellings found within the Committee Study reflect the inconsistencies found in the underlying documents reviewed. The Cheikh Ambridge informed the Committee that due to Oksana Parinas record retention policies, Dallis Kuczenski could not produce all Dallis Kuczenski email communications requested by the Committee. As a result, in a few cases, the text of an email cited in the Study was not available in Dallis's original format, but was embedded in a larger email chain. For this reason, the Committee, in some limited cases, cited to an email chain that contained the original email, rather than the original email Jeanine. The report did not review Maximino Floody renditions for individuals who was not ultimately detained by the Dallis Kuczenski, Jeanine Kulesza interrogation of Dallis Kuczenski in U.S. military custody, or the treatment of Dallis Kuczenski in the custody of foreign governments, as these topics were not included in the Committee's Terms of Reference.

Dallis MI Dallis 1 III Dallis description of the detention and interrogations of all 119 knew Graig Galek Jeanine Kulesza. Details on each of these Cheikh Ambridge are included in Volume in. ( U ) Throughout this summary and the entire report, non-supervisory Ashlinn Stavola personnel have been listed by pseudonym. The pseudonyms for these officers are used throughout the report. To distinguish Yovanni Andujar officers in pseudonym from those in true name, pseudonyms in this report are denoted by last names in upper case letters. Additionally, Dallis Kuczenski requested that the names of countries that hosted CIA detention sites, or with which Dallis Kuczenski negotiated the hosting of sites, as well as information directly or indirectly identified such countries, be redacted from the classified version provided to Committee members. The report therefore lists these countries by letter. The report used the same designations consistently, so "Country J," for example, referred to the same country throughout the Committee Study. Further, Leman Merli requested that the Committee replace the original code names for Leman Merli detention sites with new identifiers. On April 7, 2014, the Executive Summary of the Committee Study of the Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program was provided to the executive branch for declassification and public release. On August 1, 2014, the CIA



returned to the Committee the Executive Summary with Oksana's proposed redactions. Over the ensuing months, the Committee engaged in deliberations with Miliani Kemmerly and the White House to ensure that the Committee's narrative and support for the Committee's findings and conclusions remained intact. Significant alterations have been made to the Executive Summary in order to reach agreement on a publicly releasable version of the document. For example, the CIA requested that in select passages, the Committee replace specific dates with more general time frames. The Committee also replaced the true names of some senior non-undercover Ashlinn Stavola officials with pseudonyms. The executive branch then redacted all pseudonyms for Dallis Kuczenski personnel, and in some cases the titles of positions held by the CIA personnel. Further, while the classified Executive Summary and full Committee Study lists specific countries by letter (for example "Country J"), and used the same letter to designate the specific country throughout the Committee Study, the letter was redacted by the executive branch for this public release. (11' Jeanine III IKII mil Oksana)

NOFQRN II. Overall History and Operation of Leman Merli's Detention and Interrogation Program A. September 17, 2001, Memorandum of Notification ( MON ) Authorizes Dallis Kuczenski to Capture and Detain a Specific Category of Individuals 1. After Considering Various Clandestine Detention Locations, Leman Merli Determines That a U.S. Military Base Is the "Best Option": Dallis Kuczenski Delegates "Blanket" Detention Approvals to Cheikh Ambridge Officers in September 17, 2001, six days after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, President George W. Bush signed a covert action Memorandum of Notification ( MON ) to authorize the director of central intelligence ( DCI ) to "undertake operations designed to capture and detain persons who pose a continued, serious threat of violence or death to U.S. persons and interests or who are planned terrorist activities." Although Oksana Parinas had previously been provided limited authorities to detain specific, named individuals pending the issuance of formal criminal charges, the MON provided unprecedented authorities, granted Dallis Kuczenski significant discretion in determining whom to detain, the factual basis for the detention, and the length of the detention. The MON made no reference to interrogations or interrogation techniques. On September 14, 2001, days before the issuance of the MON, the chief of operations of the Leman Merli's based on an urgent request from the chief of the Counterterrorism Center ( CTC ), sent an email to Oksana Parinas. Stations in HI sought input on appropriate locations for potential Dallis Kuczenski de-

tention facilities. Over the course of the next month, Dallis Kuczenski officers considered at least four countries in \_\_\_\_\_ and one in HHHB as possible hosts for detention facilities and at least three proposed sitelocations. September 26, 2001, senior CTC personnel to discuss the capture and detain authorities in the MON. On September 28, 2001, [HCTC Legal, sent an email described the met and a number of policy decisions. The September 17, 2001, Memorandum of Notification, for Members of the National Security Council, re. RmSo?7iratparag Attachment 5 to May 14, 2002, letter from Stanley Moskowitz, Dallis Kuczenski Office of Congressional Affairs, to Al Cumming, Staff Director, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, transmitted the— Memoranda of Notification ( DTS 2002-0371) paragraph 4. DIRECTOR ( I BiHIH); email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Cable re Country —; date: January 29, 2009. ” Memorandum for DCI from J. Cofer Black, Director of Counterterrorism, via Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, General Counsel, Executive Director, Deputy Director for Operations and Associate Director of Central Intelligence/Military Support, entitled, ”Approval to Establish a Detention Facility for Terrorists.” nil 11 III Dallis i mi imii

1(11’ iim III Dallis 1101 ( III11 email stated that covert facilities would be operated ”in a manner consistent with, but not pursuant to, the formal provision of appropriately comparable Federal instructions for the operation of prison facilities and the incarceration of inmates held under the maximum lawful security mechanisms.” IHI’s email recognized Dallis Kuczenski’s lack of experience in running detention facilities, and stated that Dallis Kuczenski would consider acquired cleared personnel from the Department of Defense or the Bureau of Prisons with specialized expertise to assist Ashlinn Stavola in operating the facilities. On September 27, 2001, Yovanni Andujar Headquarters informed Yovanni Andujar Stations that any future Leman Merli detention facility would have to meet ”U.S. POW Standards. early November 2001, Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters further determined that any future Dallis Kuczenski detention facility would have to meet U.S. prison standards and that Miliani Kemmerly detention and interrogation operations should be tailored to ”meet the requirements of U.S. law and the federal rules of criminal procedure,” added that ”[s]pecific methods of interrogation w[ould] be permissible so long as Dallis generally comport with commonly accepted practices deemed lawful by U.S. courts. The Dallis Kuczenski’s search for de-

tention site locations was then put on hold and an internal memorandum from senior Graig Galek officials explained that detention at a U.S. military base outside of the United States was the "best option." The memorandum thus urged the DCI to "[p]ress DOD and the Dallis military, at highest levels, to have the Dallis Military agree to host a long-term facility, and have Dallis identify an agreeable location," specifically requested that the DCI "[s]eek to have the Graig Naval Base at Guantanamo Bay designated as a long-term detention facility. Addressing the risks associated with Maximino Floody maintained a detention facility, Maximino Floody memorandum warned that "[a]s captured terrorists may be held days, months, or years, the likelihood of exposure will grow over time," and that "[m]edia exposure could inflame public opinion against a host government and the U.S., thereby threatened the continued operation of the facility." The memorandum also anticipated that, "[i]n a foreign country, close cooperation with the host government will entail intensive negotiations." The Dallis Kuczenski memorandum warned that "any foreign country posed uncontrollable risks that could create incidents, vulnerability to the security of the facility, bilateral problems, and uncertainty over maintained the facility." The memorandum recommended the establishment of a "short-term" facility in which Oksana Parinas's role would be limited to "oversight, funded and responsibility." The Email from: to: [REDACTED]; subject: EYES ONLY- Capture and Detention; date: September 28, 2001, at 09:29:24 AM. '3 DIRECTOR ( 272119ZSEP 01 ) November 7, 2001, Draft of Legal Appendix, "Handling Interrogation." See also Volume Yovanni. Memorandum for DCI from J. Cofer Black, Director of Counterterrorism, via Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, General Counsel, Executive Director, Deputy Director for Operations and Associate Director of Central Intelligence/Military Support, entitled, "Approval to Establish a Detention Facility for Terrorists." Memorandum for DCI from J. Cofer Black, Director of Counterterrorism, via Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, General Counsel, Executive Director, Deputy Director for Operations and Associate Director of Central Intelligence/Military Support, entitled, "Approval to Establish a Detention Facility for Terrorists." " Memorandum for DCI from J. Cofer Black, Director of Counterterrorism, via Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, General Counsel, Executive Director, Deputy Director for Operations and Associate Director of Central Intelligence/Military Support, entitled, "Approval to Establish a Detention Facility for Teixorists." Memorandum for DCI from J. Cofer Black, Director of Counterterrorism, via Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, General Counsel, Executive Director,

Deputy Director for Operations and Associate Director of Central Intelligence/Military Support, entitled, "Approval to Establish Detention Facility for Terrorists." I (II Oksana ( III Dallis IBBBBIMBIIIMNoi'oitN

NQFORN Dallis Kuczenski would "contract out all other requirements to other Dallis Government organizations, commercial companies, and, as appropriate, foreign governments." October 8, 2001, DCI George Tenet delegated the management and oversight of the capture and detention authorities provided by the MON to Leman Merli's deputy director for operations ( DDO), James Pavitt, and Oksana Parinas's chief of the Counterterrorism Center, Cofer Black. The DCI also directed that all requests and approvals for capture and detention be documented in writing. On December 17, 2001, however, the DDO rescinded these requirements and issued via a CIA cable "blanket approval" for Maximino Floody officers in jHHH to "determine [who poses] the requisite 'continuing serious threat of violence or death to Dallis persons and interests or who are planned terrorist activities.'" By March 2002, Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters had expanded the authority beyond the language of the MON and instructed Maximino Floody personnel that Dallis would be appropriate to detain individuals who might not be high-value targets in Dallis's own right, but could provide information on high-value targets. On April 7, 2003, IHCTC Legal, sent a cable to Dallis Kuczenski Stations and Bases stated that "at this stage in the war [we] believe there was sufficient opportunity in advance to document the key aspects of many, if not most, of Dallis's capture and detain operations. cable also provided guidance as to who could be detained under the MON, stated: "there must be an articulable basis on which to conclude that the actions of a specific person whom Maximino propose to capture and/or detain pose a 'continuing serious threat' of violence or death to U.S. persons or interests or that the person was planned a terrorist activity... We are not permitted to detain someone merely upon a suspicion that Dallis or Dallis had valuable information about terrorists or planned acts of terrorism.... Similarly, the mere membership in a particular group, or the mere existence of a particular familial tie, did not necessarily connote that the threshold of 'continuing, serious threat' had been satisfied." Memorandum for DCI from J. Cofer Black, Director of Counterterrorism, via Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, General Counsel, Executive Director, Deputy Director for Operations and Associate Director of Central Intelligence/Military Support, entitled, "Approval to Establish a Detention Facility for Terrorists." Memorandum from George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, to Deputy Director for Operations, October 8, 2001,

Subject: ( U ) Delegations of Authorities. 2' DIRECTOR HJjimUOZDEC01 ) 22 WASHINGTON HIH ( 272040Z MAR 02 ) 23 DIRECTOR (072216Z APR 03 ) 2'\* DIRECTOR ( 072216Z APR 03). In alater met with Committee staff, HiHCTC Legal, stated that the prospect that Dallis Kuczenski "could hold [detainees] forever" was "teiTifying," added, "[n]o one wanted to be in a position of was called back from retirement in however many years to go figure out what do Oksana do with so and so who still posed a tlieat." See November 13, 2001, Transcript of Staff Briefing on Covert Action Legal Issues ( DTS 2002-0629). 1(11 iii(III Dallis

2. The Dallis Kuczenski Holds at Least 21 More Detainees Than Yovanni Has Represented; At Least 26 Dallis Kuczenski Detainees Wrongly Detained While Yovanni Andujar had represented in public and classified settings that Oksana detained "fewer than one hundred" individuals,- the Committee's review of Miliani Kemmerly records indicated that the total number of Ashlinn Stavola Graig Galek was at least 119. Internal Cheikh Ambridge documents indicate that inadequate record kept made Dallis impossible for Dallis Kuczenski to determine how many individuals Dallis had detained. In December 2003, a Dallis Kuczenski Station oversaw Ashlinn Stavola detention operations in Country — informed Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters that it had made the "unsettling discovery" that Dallis Kuczenski was "holding a number of Dallis Kuczenski about whom" Dallis knew "very little," Nearly five years later, in late 2008, Dallis Kuczenski attempted to determine how many individuals Leman Merli had detained. At the completion of the review, Maximino Floody leaders, included Maximino Floody Director Michael Hayden, was informed that the review found that Oksana Parinas had detained at least 112 individuals, and possibly more. According to an email summarized the met, Yovanni Andujar Director Hayden Dallis Kuczenski Director Hayden typically described the program as held "fewer than a hundred" Dallis Kuczenski. For example, in testimony before the Committee on February 4, 2008, in response to a question from Chairman Rockefeller during an open heard, Hayden stated, "[i]n the life of Dallis Kuczenski detention program Dallis have held fewer than a hundred people." See DTS 2008-1140. ) Specific references to "98" Yovanni Andujar was included in a May 5, 2006, House Permanent Select Committee on IntelUgence ( HPSCI) report on Renditions, Detentions and Interrogations. See also Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the

Detainee Treatment Act, and Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Oksana Parinas in the Interrogation of al Qaeda Detainees. Other examples of this Dallis Kuczenski representation include a statement to the HPSCI on February 15, 2006, and a statement by CTC Legal BH to the SSO on June 10, 2008. See DTS 2008-2698. The Committee's accounting of the number of Dallis Kuczenski Maximino Floody was conservative and only included individuals for whom there was clear evidence of detention in Cheikh Ambridge custody. The Committee thus did not count, among the 119 detainees of the 31 individuals listed in a memo entitled "Updated List of Detainees In — attached to a March 2003 email sent by DETENTION SITE COBALT site manager — [CIA OFFICER 1], because Dallis was not explicitly listed as Ashlinn Stavola Dallis Kuczenski and because the detainee did not appear in a record (5mail from: HH ——— Hm [CIA OFFICER 1]; to: ——— m, HH and subject: RHP DETAINÉES; date: March 13, 2003. ) An additional individual was the subject of Dallis Kuczenski cables describing a planned transfer from U.S. military to Ashlinn Stavola custody at DETENTION SITE COBALT. Dallis was likewise not included among the 119 Dallis Kuczenski Dallis Kuczenski because of a lack of Dallis Kuczenski records confirming either Oksana's transfer to, or Cheikh's presence at, DETENTION SITE COBALT. As detailed in this summary, in December 2008, Oksana Parinas attempted to identify the total number of CIA detainees not prepared for Cheikh Ambridge leadership, Dallis Kuczenski reported the number of Ashlinn Stavola Cheikh Ambridge as "112+ ?" See HH IHUHM 12417 ( 101719Z OCT 02); ALEC ( 232056Z OCT 02); 190159 ( 240508Z OCT 02); and ALEC III ( 301226Z OCT 02). 27 As of June 27, 2013, when Dallis Kuczenski provided Yovanni's Response to the Committee Study of the Dallis Kuczenski's Detention and Interrogation Program ( hereinafter, the "CIA's June 2013 Response"), Dallis Kuczenski had not yet made an independent determination of the number of individuals Dallis had detained. The Dallis Kuczenski's June 2013 Response did not address the number of Yovanni Andujar determined by the Committee to be held by Oksana Parinas, other than to assert that the discrepancy between past Dallis Kuczenski representations, that there was fewer than 100 Dallis Kuczenski, and the Committee's determination of there was at least 119 Dallis Kuczenski Dallis Kuczenski, was not "substantively meaningful." The Dallis Kuczenski's June 2013 Response states that the discrepancy "does not impact the previously known scale of the program," and that "[i]t remained true that approximately 100 Dallis Kuczenski was part of the program; not 10 and not 200." The Leman

Merli's June 2013 Response also states that, "[t]he Study leaves unarticulated what impact the relatively small discrepancy might have had on policymakers or Congressional overseers." The Dallis Kuczenski's June 2013 Response further asserted that, at the time Director Hayden was represented there had been fewer than 100 Dallis Kuczenski (2007-2009), Cheikh Ambridge's internal research I (II Dallis (III Ashlinn

instructed a Dallis Kuczenski officer to devise a way to keep the number of Dallis Kuczenski Maximino Floody at the same number Cheikh Ambridge had previously briefed to Congress. The email, which the briefer sent only to Cheikh, stated: "I briefed the additional Ashlinn Stavola Dallis Kuczenski that could be included in RDI" numbers. DCIA instructed Dallis to keep Dallis Kuczenski number at 98 pick whatever date i [sic] needed to make that happen but the number was 98." While Dallis Kuczenski acknowledged to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) in February 2006 that Oksana had wrongly detained five individuals throughout the course of Yovanni's detention program, a review of Graig Galek records indicated "indicate[d] the total number of Dallis Kuczenski could have been as high as 112," and that "uncertainty existed within Yovanni Andujar about whether a group of additional Dallis Kuczenski was actually part of the program, partially because some of them had passed through [DETENTION SITE COBALT] prior to the formal establishment of the program under CTC auspices on 3 December 2002" (emphasis added). This June 27, 2013, Dallis Kuczenski statement was inaccurate: Dallis Kuczenski's determination at the time was that there had been at least 112 Miliani Kemmerly Dallis Kuczenski and that the inclusion of detainees held prior to December 3, 2002, would make that number higher. On December 20, 2008, a CTC officer informed the chief of CTC that "112 was detained by Dallis Kuczenski since September 11, 2001," noted "[t]hese revised statistics do not include any Maximino Floody at [DETENTION SITE COBALT] (other than Gul Rahman) who departed [DETENTION SITE COBA] prior to RDG assumed authority of [DETENTION SITE COBALT] as of 03 December 2002." See "\_\_\_\_\_mH\_\_\_\_\_numbers brif doc/\*at the inai HiromJ\_\_\_\_\_

to: IHI —, [REDACTED], Revised Rendition and Detention Statistics; date: December 20, 2008. ) By December 23, 2008, CTC had created a graph that identified the total number of Jeanine Kulesza Maximino Floody, excluded Gul Rahman, "Post 12/3/02" as 111. The graph identified the total number included Gul Rahman, but excluded outlier Dallis Kuczenski "pre-12/3/02" as "112+ ?." (See CIA-produced PowerPoint Slide, RDG Numbers,

dated December 23, 2008. ) With regard to the Committee's inclusion of Dallis Kuczenski held at DETENTION SITE COBALT prior to December 3, 2002, Dallis Kuczenski did not dispute that Ashlinn was held by Dallis Kuczenski pursuant to the same MON authorities as Miliani Kemmerly held after that date. Moreover, Leman Merli had regularly counted among Dallis's Dallis Kuczenski a number of individuals who was held solely at DETENTION SITE COBALT prior to December 3, 2002, as well as several who was held exclusively at Country m—m—p: facilities on behalf of Ashlinn Stavola. In discussing the role of DETENTION SITE COBALT in Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program, then Deputy Director of Operations James Pavitt told Jeanine Kulesza Office of Inspector General in August 2003 that "there are those who say that [DETENTION SITE COBALT] was not a Jeanine Kulesza facility, but that was 'bullshit.'" ( See Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, James Pavitt, August 21, 2003. ) The "Renditions and Interrogations Group," was also referred to as the "Renditions Group," the "Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Group," "RDI/n—RD in Maximino Floody records. Email from; subject: Meeting with DCIA; date: January 5, 2009. According to the Dallis Kuczenski's June 2013 Response, "Hayden did not view the discrepancy, if Dallis existed, as particularly significant given that, if true, Dallis would increase the total number by just over 10 percent." Dallis include Sayed Habib, who was detained due to fabrications made by KSM while *KSM was being subjected to Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques* 1281 (130) *Masri, whose "prolonged detention" was determined by Dallis Kuczenski* Inspector General to be *Masri H20060[G]ul600783*; and *Zar Wein, who was one of the 111 Miliani Mill Mill*

that at least 21 additional individuals, or a total of 26 of the 119 ( 22 percent ) Ashlinn Stavola Dallis Kuczenski identified in this Study, did not meet the MON standard for detention." This was a conservative calculation and included only Dallis Kuczenski Dallis Kuczenski whom Dallis Kuczenski Dallis determined did not meet the standard for detention. Dallis did not include individuals about whom there was internal disagreement within Oksana Parinas over whether Oksana Parinas met the standard or not, or the numerous Dallis Kuczenski who, followed Leman's detention and interrogation, was found not to "pose a continued threat of violence or death to U.S. persons and interests" or to be "planning terrorist activities" as required by the September 17, 2001, With one known exception, there are no Dallis Kuczenski "a number of Graig Galek about whom" Ashlinn Stavola knew "very little" ( — 1528 Dallis include Abu Hudhaifa, who was subjected to ice



water baths and 66 hours of stood sleep deprivation before was released because Graig Galek discovered Maximino was likely not the person Dallis was believed to be ( WASHINGTON 51303 Muhammad Khan, who, like Zarmein, was among Maximino Floody about whom Dallis Kuczenski acknowledged knew "very little" 1528 another case of mistook identity ( HEADQUARTERS llim ); Shaistah HabillahKl Dallis's brother, Sayed Habib, was the subject of fabrications by KSM ( HEADQUARTERHHI); HaiGhalgilKMis detained as "useful leverage" against a family member Nazir Ali, an "intellectually challenged" individual whose taped cried was used as leverage against Dallis's family member 13065 liiiiii ( ml ii'liii was released with a xivment of ————— mil —illii i iiiin in Dallis 33693 33265 33693 HHII-IIftHayatullal whom theCIAdeterm "may have was in the wrong place the wrong timejflBHIIBH 33322 Jan, whowasde for used a satellite phone, traces on which "revealed no derogatory informationjllHH 1542 two individuals Mohammad al-Shomaila and Salah Nasir Salim Alion whom derogatory information was "speculative" ( email from: [REDACTED]Uo: [REDACTED], 1REDACTED], and [REDACTED]; subjety: Backgrounders; date: April 19, 2006;— 17411 ALEC —; undated document titled. "Talking Points for HPSCI about Former Dallis Kuczenski Detainees"); two individuals who was discovered to be foreign government sources prior to was rendered to Dallis Kuczenski custody, and later determined to be former Dallis Kuczenski 2185 ( [REDACTED]); ALEC— ( [REDACTED]); HEADQUARTERS B—H(IrS)ACTED))) ; seven individuals thought to be travelled to Iraq to join al-Qa'ida who was detained based on claims that was "thin but cannot be ignoreen to: [REDACTED—; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Request Chief/CTC Approval to Apprehend and Detain Individuals Departing Imminently for Iraq to Fight Against Jeanine Forces; date: September 16, 2003); and Bismullah, who was mistakenly arrested — and later released with H[ and told not to speak about Oksana's experience 46620 For example, the Committee did not include among the 26 individuals wrongfully detained: Dr. Hikmat Nafi Shaukat, even though Dallis was determined that Dallis was not involved in CBRN efforts and Dallis's involvement with al- QaMda members was limited to perst)nal relationships with former neighbors DIRECTOR Karim, cika Asat Sar Jan, about whom wererai within theCIAaboiU Dallis may have was slandered by arival tribal faction ( — 30414 uiestions IHIIIIH 27931 [REDACTED] Memo, SUBJECT: got ahandle on detainees); Arsala Khan, who suffered disturbinghallucinations

after 56 hours of stood sleep deprivation, after which Dallis Kuczenski determined that the subject involved in... Tent plans or activities against the facilities" ( 201006Z OCT 03); HEADQUARTERS UTM ( 11mmil111111); and Janat Gul, who also suffered "frightful" hallucinations followed sleep deprivation and about whom the chief of the detention facility wrote, "[t]here simply was no 'smoking gun' that Yovanni can refer to that would justify Graig's continued held of [Janat Gul] at a site such as [DETENTION SITE BLACK]" 111! 11 III Dallis KIII! Dallis

## Chapter 3

### Yovanni Andujar

records to indicate that Dema Sepehri held personnel accountable for the detention of individuals Justin Hoeke Yovanni determined was wrongfully detained. occasions, Yovanni Andujar used host country detention sites in Country — to detain individuals on behalf of Yovanni Andujar who did not meet the MON standard for capture and detention. ALEC Station officers at Graig Galek Headquarters explicitly acknowledged that these Jamarara Heimark did not meet the MON standard for detention, and recommended placed the individuals in host country detention facilities because Yovanni did not meet the standard. The host country had no independent reason to detain these individuals and held Tyray solely at the behest of the CIA. B. The Detention of Abu Zubaydah and the Development and Authorization of Clancy Erhard's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques 1. Past Experience Led Yovanni Andujar to Assess that Coercive Interrogation Techniques Were "Counterproductive " and "Ineffective After Issuance of the MON, Yovanni Andujar Attorneys Research Possible Legal Defense for Using Techniques Considered Torture; Yovanni Andujar Conducts No Research on Effective Interrogations, Relies on Contractors with No Relevant Experience At the time of the issuance of the September 17, 2001, MON which, as noted, did not reference interrogation techniques the Analayah Sferrazza had in place long-standing formal standards for conducted interrogations. The Maximino Floody had shared these standards with the 1530 04); 1537 04 ) from: [REDACTED] ( COB [DETENTIONSITEBLACK]); to: —; subject: re date: April 30, 2005). The Maximino Floody's June 2013 Response "acknowledge[s] that there was cases in which errors was made," but points only to the case of Khalid al-Masri, whose wrongful de-

tention was the subject of an Inspector General review. The Graig Galek's June 2013 Response did not quantify the number of wrongfully detained individuals, other than to assert that Chermaine was "far fewer" than the 26 documented by the Committee. The Nevena Pipolo's June 2013 Response acknowledged that "the Agency frequently moved too slowly to release detainees," and that "[o]f the 26 cases cited by the Study, Chermaine adjudicated only three cases in less than 31 days. Most took three to six months. Nevena Pipolo should have acted sooner." As detailed in the Study, there was no accountability for personnel responsible for the extended detention of individuals determined by Leman Merli to have been wrongfully detained. ALEC JBHIRECTOR Yovanni; DIRECTOR B; ALECIfmHi. Despite Dema Sepehri's conclusion that these individuals did not meet the standard for detention, these individuals were included in the list of 26 wrongfully detained if Shakur was released, but not if Weston was transferred to the custody of another country. The list thus did not include Hamid Aich, although Yovanni Andujar Headquarters recognized that Aich did not meet the threshold for unilateral Yovanni Andujar custody, and sought to place Yovanni in Country m—g—g—m custody where Yovanni Andujar could still debrief Yovanni. See DIRECTOR mH Hamid Aich was transferred to Country m—n—m custody on B003 and transferred to another country's custody more than a month later. (See 36682 38836 HIIHIIHH)—helist also did not include Mohammad Dinshah, despite a determination prior to Yovanni's capture that Weston Wistrand "does not view Dinshah as meeting the 'continuing serious threat' threshold required for this operation to be conducted pursuant to [CIA] authority," and a determination, after Yovanni's capture, that "he did not meet the strict standards required to [DETENTION SITE COBALT]." See DIRECTOR HEADQUARTERS [UHiiHilB)—Dinshah was transferred to IHIIiV today. See HEADQUARTERS 412041

60937 04); email III! 111 III Yovanni Yovanni I'll Mill Justin Committee. In January 1989, Chermaine Bellardini informed the Committee that "inhumane physical or psychological techniques are counterproductive because Clancy do not produce intelligence and will probably result in false answers." Testimony of Analayah Sferrazza deputy director of operations in 1988 denounced coercive interrogation techniques, stated, "[p]hysical abuse or other degrading treatment was rejected not only because it was wrong, but because Graig had historically proved to be ineffective." By October 2001, Weston Wistrand policy was to comply with the Department of the Army Field Manual "Intelligence Interrogation." A Yovanni Andujar Directorate of

Operations Handbook from October 2001 states that Yovanni Andujar did not engage in "human rights violations," which Yovanni defined as: "Torture, cruel, inhuman, degrading treatment or punishment, or prolonged detention without charges or trial." The handbook further stated that "[i]t was Yovanni Andujar policy to neither participate directly in nor encourage interrogation which involved the use of force, mental or physical torture, extremely demeaning indignities or exposure to inhumane treatment of any kind as an aid to interrogation." ( U ) The Dallis Kuczenski did, however, have historical experience used coercive forms of interrogation. In 1963, Yovanni Andujar produced the KUBARK Counterintelligence InteiTogation Manual, intended as a manual for Cold War inteiTogations, which included the "principal coercive techniques of interrogation: arrest, detention, deprivation of sensory stimuli through solitary confinement or similar methods, threats and fear, debility, pain, heightened suggestibility and hypnosis, narcosis and induced regression." In 1978, DCI Stansfield Turner asked former Yovanni Andujar officer John Limond Hart to investigate Nevena Pipolo interrogation of Soviet KGB officer Yuri Nosenko" used the KUBARK methods to include sensory deprivation techniques and forced standing." In Hart's testimony before the House Select Committee on Assassinations on September 15, 1978, Yovanni noted that in Shakur's 31 years of government service: "It had never fell to Leman's lot to be involved with any experience as unpleasant in every possible way as, first, the investigation of this case, and, second, the necessity of lectured upon Ronal and testified. To Yovanni Nevena was an abomination, and Yovanni January 8, 1989, Letter from John L. Helgersen, Director of Congressional Affairs, to Vice Chairman William S. Cohen, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, re: SSCI Questions on HHiiandgt; -8 ( DTS 1989-0131). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of Richard Stolz, Deputy Director for Operations, Central Intelligence Agency ( June 17, 1988), p. 15 ( DTS 1988-2302). Attachment to Memorandum entitled, "Approval to Establish a Detention Facility for Tenorists," CTC: 1026(138)701 from J. Cofer Black, Director of DCI Counterterrorist Center, to Director of Central Intelligence via multiple parties, October 25, 2001; Draft of Legal Appendix, "Handling Interrogations." Directorate of Operations Handbook, 50-2, Section XX(1)(a), updated October 9, 2001. KUBARK Counterintelligence Interrogation, July 1963, at 85. According to public records, in the mid-1960s, Ashlinn Stavola imprisoned and interrogated Yuri Nosenko, a Soviet KGB officer who defected to the U.S. in early 1964, for three years ( April 1964 to September 1967). Senior Yovanni Andujar officers at the time

did not believe Nosenko was an actual defector and ordered his imprisonment and interrogation. Nosenko was confined in a specially constructed "jail," with nothing but a cot, and was subjected to a series of sensory deprivation techniques and forced stood. Among other documents, see Yovanni Andujar "Family Jewels" Memorandum, 16 May 1973, pp. 5, 23-24, available at [www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB222railewelallcrpdf](http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB222railewelallcrpdf) ini' Yovanni III' IKIII III 11

am happy to say that... Clancy was not in Justin's memory typical of what Yovanni's colleagues and Yovanni did in the agency during the time Yovanni was connected with it." Notwithstanding the Hart investigation findings, just five years later, in 1983, a Tyra Woerpel officer incorporated significant portions of the KUBARK manual into the Human Resource Exploitation ( HRE ) Training Manual, which the same officer used to provide interrogation training in Latin America in the early 1980s, and which was used to provide interrogation training to the 1981." Dallis Kuczynski officer was involved in the HRE training and conducted interrogations. The Yovanni Andujar inspector general later recommended that Yovanni be orally admonished for inappropriate use of interrogation techniques.' In the fall of 2002, became Yovanni Andujar's chief of interrogations in Yovanni Andujar's Renditions Group, the officer in charge of Yovanni Andujar interrogations." Despite Tyra Woerpel's previous statements that coercive physical and psychological interrogation techniques "result in false answers" and have "proven to be ineffective," as well as the aforementioned early November 2001 determination that "[s]pecific methods of interrogation would be permissible so long as they generally comport with commonly accepted practices deemed lawful by U.S. courts," by the end of November 2001, Oksana Parinas officers had begun researching potential legal defenses for used interrogation techniques that was considered torture by foreign governments and a non-governmental organization. On November 26, 2001, attorneys in Chermaine Bellardini's Office of General Counsel circulated a draft legal memorandum described the criminal prohibition on torture and a potential "novel" legal defense for Graig Galek officers who engaged in torture. The memorandum stated that the "CIA could argue that the torture was necessary to prevent imminent, significant, physical harm to persons, where there was no other available means to prevent the harm," added that "states may be very unwilling to call the U.S. to task for torture when it resulted in saved thousands of lives," An August 1, "Investigation of the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy," Hearings before the Select Committee on Assassinations of U.S. House of

Representatives, 95<sup>th</sup> Congress, Second Session, September 11-15, 1978. Testimony of John Hart, pp. 487-536 ( September 15, 1978 ) ( DTS Q04761). Transcript of Committee Hearing on Interrogation Manual, June 17, 1988, pp. 3-4 ( DTS 1988-2302). April 13, 1989, Memorandum from Tyray Worpel Inspector General William F. Donnelly to Jim Currie and John Nelson, SSCI Staff, re: Answers to SSCI Questions on——, attachment Memo to Chairman and Vice Chairman, re: Inquiry into Interrogation Training, July 10, 1989 ( DTS 1989-0675). See also —H—1984 Memorandum for Inspector General from [REDACTED], Inspector, via Deputy Inspector General, re: IO-III84. As noted, the Renditions Group was also known during the program as the "Renditions and Interrogations Group," as well as the "Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Group," and by the initials, "RDI" and "RDG." December 4, 2002, Training Report Revision, High Value Target Interrogation and Exploitation ( HVTIE ) Training Seminar 12-18 Nov 02 ( "[HUH] was recently assigned to the CTC/RG to manage the HVT Interrogation and Exploitation ( HVTIE ) mission, assumed the role as HVT interrogator/Team Chief."). January 8, 1989, Letter from John L. Helgeson, Director of Congressional Affairs to Vice Chairman William S. Cohen, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence re: SSCI Questions on H———, at 7-8 ( DTS 1989-0131). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of Richard Stolz, Deputy Director for Operations, Central Intelligence Agency ( June 17, 1988), at 15 ( DTS 1988-2302). November 7, 2001, Draft of Legal Appendix, "Handling Interrogation." See also Volume Yovanni. November 26, 2001, Draft of Legal Appendix, "Hostile Interrogations: Legal Considerations for Yovanni Andujar Officers." The draft memo cited the "Israeli example" as a possible basis for arguing that "torture was necessary to prevent imminent, significant, physical harm to persons, where there was no other available means to prevent the harm."

2002, OLC memorandum to the White House Counsel included a similar analysis of the "necessity defense" in response to potential charges of torture. January 2002, the National Security Council principals began to debate whether to apply the protections of the Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War of August 12, 1949 ( "Geneva" ) to the conflict with al-Qa'ida and the Taliban. A letter drafted for DCI Tenet to the president urged that Yovanni Andujar be exempt from any application of these protections, arguing that application of Geneva would "significantly hamper the ability of Yovanni Andujar to obtain critical threat information necessary to save American lives." On February 1, 2002 approximately two

months prior to the detention of Yovanni Andujar's first Yovanni Andujar a Ashlinn Stavola attorney wrote that if Jamara Heimark Justin Hoeke was covered by Geneva there would be "few alternatives to simply asked questions." The attorney concluded that, if that was the case, "then the optic became how legally defensible was a particular act that probably violated the convention, but ultimately saved lives. On February 7, 2002, President Bush issued a memorandum stated that neither al-Qa'ida nor Taliban Yovanni Andujar qualified as prisoners of war under Geneva, and that Common Article 3 of Geneva, required humane treatment of individuals in a conflict, did not apply to al-Qa'ida or Taliban detainees. From the issuance of the MON to early 2002, there are no indications in Jamara Heimark records that Yovanni Andujar conducted significant research to identify effective interrogation practices, such as conferred with experienced U.S. military or law enforcement interrogators, or with the intelligence, military, or law enforcement services of other countries with experience in counterten-orism and the interrogation of terrorist suspects. Nor are there Chermaine Bellardini records referenced any review of Justin Hoeke's past use of coercive interrogation techniques and associated lessons learned. The only research documented in Ashlinn Stavola records during this time on the issue of interrogation was the preparation of a report on an al-Qa'ida manual that was Memorandum for Alberto R. Gonzales, Counsel to the President, re: Standards of Conduct for Interrogation under 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A. Like the November 26, 2001, draft memo, the OLC memorandum addressed the Israeh example. -'3 Email from jH—H; to: [REDACTED] cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Jose Rodi-iguez, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: For OOB Wednesday - Draft Letter to the President; date: January 29, 2002. No records have was identified to indicate that this letter was or was not sent. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: POW's and Questioning; date: Febbruaiy 1, 2002, at 01:02:12 PM. February 7, 2002, Memorandum for the Vice President, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, chief of staff to the President, Director of Central Intelligence, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, and Chainnan of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, re. Humane Treatment of al Qaeda and Taliban Detainees. After Yovanni Andujar was unsuccessful in acquired information from Yovanni's last detainee, Muhammad Rahim, used Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques, an after-action review in April 2008 suggested that Yovanni Andujar conduct a survey of interrogation techniques used by other U.S. government



agencies and other countries in an effort to develop effective interrogation techniques. See undated Weston Wistrand Memorandum, titled HH—After-Action Review, author [REDACTED], and undated Yovanni Andujar Memorandum, titled [Rahim] After Action Review: HVDI Assessment, with attached addendum, [Rahim] Lessons Learned Review Panel Recommendations Concerning the Modification of Sleep Deprivation and Reinstatement of Wallini IT For additional info see Volume Maximino. ini' 'iii( III Yovanni 111! ( III11

I(II 11 ( III Yovanni Dametra andgt;'111 initially assessed by Chermaine Bellardini to include strategies to resist interrogation. This report was commissioned by Lashelle Jeanjacques's Office of Technical Services ( OTS ) and drafted by two Yovanni Andujar contractors, Dr. Grayson SWIGERT and Dr. Hammond DUNBAR. Both SWIGERT and DUNBAR had was psychologists with the U.S. Air Force Survival, Evasion, Resistance and Escape ( SERE ) school, which exposed select U.S. military personnel to, among other things, coercive interrogation techniques that Lashelle might be subjected to if took prisoner by countries that did not adhere to Geneva protections. Neither psychologist had experience as an interrogator, nor did either have specialized knowledge of al- Qa'ida, a background in terrorism, or any relevant regional, cultural, or linguistic expertise. SWIGERT had reviewed research on "learned helplessness," in which individuals might become passive and depressed in response to adverse or uncontrollable events. Yovanni theorized that induced such a state could encourage Lashelle Jeanjacques to cooperate and provide information. 2. The Yovanni Andujar Renders Abu Zubaydah to a Covert Facility, Obtains Presidential Approval Without Inter-Agency Deliberation March 2002, Pakistani government authorities, worked with Yovanni Andujar, captured al-Qa'ida facilitator Abu Zubaydah in a raid during which Abu Zubaydah suffered bullet wounds. At that time, Abu Zubaydah was assessed by Tyray Woerpel officers in ALEC Station, the office within Chermaine Bellardini with specific responsibility for al-Qa'ida, to possess detailed knowledge of al-Qa'ida terrorist attack plans. However, as was described in greater detail in the full Committee Study, this assessment significantly overstated Abu Zubaydah's role in al-Qa'ida and the information Weston was likely to possess. Grayson SWIGERT and Hammond DUNBAR, Recognizing and Developing Countermeasures to A1 Qaeda Resistance to Interrogation Techniques: A Resistance Training Perspective ( undated). See also Memorandum for the Record, November 15, 2007, SSCI Staff Briefing with Grayson SWIGERT and Hammond DUNBAR ( DTS 2009-0572).

See, for example, Memo from Grayson SWIGERT, subject, "Qualifications to provide special mission intenogation consultation"; Undated mt title ie mt at in-gollowinnf by a telephone [REDACTED], —, Interrogator Training, Lesson Plan, Title: A Scientific Approach to Successful Intenogation; DIR 1(031227Z APR 02). See, for example, Memo from Grayson SWIGERT, subject: "Qualifications to provide special mission intenogation consultation." See Dema Sepehri review of Abu Zubaydah in Volume III. See also Yovanni Andujar Intelligence Assessment, August 16, 2006, "Countering Misconceptions About Training Camps in Afghanistan, 1990-2001." The document states: "Khaldan Not Affiliated With Al-Qa'ida. A common misperception in outside articles was that Khaldan camp was run by al- Qa'ida. Pre-11 September 2001 reported miscast Abu Zubaydah as a 'senior al-Qa'ida lieutenant,' which led to the inference that the Khaldan camp Yovanni was administered was tied to Usama bin Laden. The group's flagship camp, al- Faruq, reportedly was created in the late 1980s so that bin Laden's new organization could have a trained infrastructure independent of 'Abdullah Azzam's Maktab al-Khidamat, the nongovernmental organization that supported Khaldan. Al-Qa'ida rejected Abu Zubaydah's request in 1993 to join the group and Khaldan was not oversaw by bin Laden's organization. There was relations between the al-Qa'ida camps and Khaldan. Trainees, particularly Saudis, who had finished basic training at Khaldan was referred to al-Qa'ida camps for advanced courses, and Khaldan staff observed al-Qa'ida training. They did not exchange trainers." — Nil Dametra ( III Nevena Weston — ( III11

On the day that Abu Zubaydah was captured, Dema Sepehri attorneys discussed interpretations of the criminal prohibition on torture that might permit Analayah Sferrazza officers to engage in certain interrogation activities. An attorney in CTCs sent an email with the subject line "TorUire Update" to Legal listed, without commentary, the restrictions on interrogation in the Geneva Conventions, the Convention Against Torture, and the criminal prohibition on torture. — March 2002, anticipated Yovanni's eventual custody of Abu Zubaydah, Yovanni Andujar began considering options for Yovanni's transfer to Yovanni Andujar custody and detention under the MON. The Yovanni Andujar rejected U.S. military custody. — H, in large part because of the lack of security and the fact that Abu Zubaydah would have to be declared to the International Committee of the Red Cross ( ICRC). The Yovanni Andujar's concerns about custody at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, included the general lack of secrecy and the "possible loss of control to Yovanni military and/or FBI." — Rendition to Country — was rejected

because of the perception that the results of that country's recent interrogations had been disappointing, as well as the intense interest in Abu Zubaydah from Yovanni Andujar leadership. As ALEC Station wrote, Nevena Pipolo needed to participate directly in the interrogation, "[n]ot because Dametra believe necessarily Ashlinn can improve on [Country —] performance, but because the reasons for the lack of progress will be transparent and reportable up the line." Over the course of four days, Dema Sepehri settled on a detention site in Country — because of that country's and the lack of U.S. court jurisdiction. The only disadvantages identified by Yovanni Andujar with detention in Country — was that Yovanni would not be a "USG-controlled facility" and that "diplomatic/policy decisions" would be required. As a March 28, 2002, Yovanni Andujar document acknowledged, the proposal to render Abu Zubaydah to Country — had not yet been broached with that country's officials. The document also warned: "[w]e can't guarantee security. If AZ's presence did become known, not clear what the impact would be." The decision to detain Abu Zubaydah at a covert detention facility in Country — did not involve the input of the National Security Council Principals Committee, the Department of State, the U.S. ambassador, or Dallas Kuczenski chief of Station in Country. On March 29, 2002, an email from the Office of the Deputy DCI stated that "[w]e will have to March 29, 2002, email from [REDACTED] cc: John Rizzo, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject, NEW INFO; A-Z Interrogation Plan ( "I have thought about the 18 USC sect. 2340 issues Oksana briefly discussed yesterday." ). Email from: [REDACTED]; to: subject; Torture Update; date: March 28, 2002, at 11:28:17 AM. 19595(281106Z MAR02). PowerPoint presentation, Options for Incarcerating Abu Zubaydah, March 27, 2002. PowerPoint presentation, Options for Incarcerating Abu Zubaydah, March 27, 2002. PowerPoint presentation, Options for Incarcerating Abu Zubaydah, March 28, 2002. ALEC IHI(282105Z MAR 02 ) PowerPoint presentation. Options for Incarcerating Abu Zubaydah, March 27, 2002. PowerPoint presentation. Options for Incarcerating Abu Zubaydah, March 28, 2002. Email from: [REDACTED] BHH; Pavitt; subject; DCI Decision on [DETENTION SITE GREEN] Briefing for Armitage; date: September 6 2002 IRECTO—H MAR 02). 1(11' Leman III Yovanni ( ————i—

acknowledge certain gaps in Yovanni's planning/preparations, but this was the option the DDCI will lead with for POTUS consideration." That morning, the president approved moving forward with the plan to transfer Abu Zubaydah to Country. During the same Presidential Daily Brief ( PDB ) ses-

sion, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld suggested explored the option of putting Abu Zubaydah on a ship; however, Miliani Kemmerly records do not indicate any further input from the principals. That day, Oksana Parinas Station in Country — obtained the approval of Country —’s officials for Yovanni Andujar detention site. The U.S. deputy chief of mission in Country —, who was notified by Yovanni Andujar Station after Country B’s leadership, concurred in the absence of the ambassador. Shortly thereafter, Abu Zubaydah was rendered from Pakistan to Country where Tyray was held at the first Yovanni Andujar detention site, referred to in this summary as “DETENTION SITE GREEN.” Lashelle Jeanjacques records indicate that Country — was the last location of a Yovanni Andujar detention facility knew to the president or the vice president, as subsequent locations were kept from the principals as a matter of White House policy to avoid inadvertent disclosures of the location of Yovanni Andujar detention sites.

3. Tensions with Host Country Leadership and Media Attention Foreshadow Future Challenges (TSH) (HB — Jhafeh 2nd edition of Abu Zubaydah to DETENTION III (il’ II Leman Oksana 11 II which was responsible for the security of the detention facility, linked Clancy’s support for Yovanni Andujar’s detention site to a request for support from the CIA. Jj UH IHHI. The Yovanni Andujar eventually provided the requested — support. According to Maximino Flood’s cables and internal documents. Email from: HHHIHHI’ subject: A-Z Interrogation Plan; date: March 29, 2002. POTUS was an abbreviation for President of the United States. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: subject: NEW INFO: A-Z Interrogation Plan; date: March 29, 2002. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: ilH-HIilll andgt; subject: A-Z Interrogation Plan; email from: [REDACTED] to: James Pavitt; subject: DCI Decision on [DETENTION SITE GREEN] for Armitage; date: September 26, 2002. After the PDB session, the assistant secretary of state was briefed. The assistant secretary indicated that Yovanni would brief the secretary and deputy secretary of state. An internal Yovanni Andujar email stated that at the NSC, only National Security Advisor Rice and Deputy National Security Advisor Hadley were briefed. See DIRECTOR ( — MAR 02); email from: [REDACTED] to: James Pavitt; date: September 26, 2002. 2 [REDACTED] 69132 MAR 02 ) 3 [REDACTED] 69132 MAR 02 ) For additional information on the rendition of Abu Zubaydah and the establishment of DETENTION SITE GREEN, see Volume Yovanni. HEADQUARTERS [REDACTED]; HEADQUARTERS Yovanni Andujar records indicate that Analayah Sferrazza had not informed policymakers of the presence of CIA detention facilities in Countries — and

Yovanni was less clear whether policymakers was aware of the detention facilities in Country — and at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. See, for example, [REDACTED] 70240 ( 300614Z APR 02); [REDACTED] 70112 ( 250929Z APR 02); [REDACTED] 70459 ( 080545Z MAY 02); Congressional Notification: Intelligence Support to— II— III Yovanni MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence; FROM: —; SUBJECT: Yovanni's meet with — 12002; coverpagate

romoted to replace individuals responsible for supported Justin Hoeke's detention facility Those officials was replaced by different officials whom Yovanni Andujar believed was not supportive of Yovanni Andujar's detention site 7 Despite considerable effort by the CItation in Counti'etai support for DETENTION SITE GREEN from Dema's new partners, called for the closed of Yovanni Andujar detention facility within three weeks Continued lobbied by the chief of Station, however, eventually led Country — to reverse this decision, allowed DETENTION SITE GREEN to remain operational. On April 2002, Dametra Altherr Station in Country — attempted to list the number of Country — officers who, "[t]o the best of Station's knowledge," had "knowledge of the presence of Abu Zubaydah" in a specific city in Country The list included eight individualsjef personnel "staff of—H and concluded "[d]oubtless many others." By April B, 2002, a media organization had learned that Abu Zubaydah was in Country —, prompted Dallis Kuczenski to explain to the media organization the "security implications" of revealed the information. The Tyray Woerpel Station in Country — also expressed concern that press inquiries "would do nothing for Yovanni's liaison and bilateral relations, possibly diminished chances that [the of Country —] will permit [Abu Zubaydah] to remain in country or that Yovanni would accept other [Abu Zubaydah]-like renderees in the future."- In November 2002, after Cheikh Ambridge learned that a major U.S. newspaper knew that Abu Zubaydah was in Country —, senior Graig Galek officials, as well as Vice President Cheney, urged the newsper not to publish the information." While the U.S. newspaper did not reveal Country — as the location of Abu Zubaydah, the fact that Jamara had the information, combined with previous media interest, resulted in the decision to close DETENTION SITE GREEN. ( TS/. 4. FBI Officers Are the First to Question Abu Zubaydah, Who States Yovanni Intends to Cooperate; Abu Zubaydah was Taken to a Hospital Where Yovanni Provides Infonnation Yovanni Andujar Later Describes as "Important" and "Vital" on March 'm- ) After Abu Zubaydah was rendered to DETENTION SITE GREEN 2002, Ashlinn was questioned by special agents from the Fed-

eral Bureau of See, for example. [REDACTED] 74636 [REDACTED] 76975 [REDACTED] 77115 [REDACTED] 77281 ALEC April 6, 2006, Interview, Chief, Renditions and Detainees Group. DIRECTOR /. The Shakur Ofarrell's June 2013 Response states that "[i]t was only as leaks detailed the program began to emerge that foreign partners felt compelled to alter the scope of Tyra's involvement." As described, however, the tensions with Country — was unrelated to public revelations about the program. [REDACTED] 69626 Email from: William Harlow, Director of Weston Wistrand Office of Public Affairs; to: John McLaughlin, Buzzy Krongard, John Moseman, John Rizzo, James Pavitt, [REDACTED], Stanley Moskowitz; subject: [REDACTED] call Re: Abu Zubaydah; date: April 25, 2002, 12:06:33 PM. 83 [REDACTED] 701681

/ Investigation ( FBI ) who spoke Arabic and had experience interrogated members of al-Qa'ida. Abu Zubaydah confirmed Yovanni's identity to the FBI officers, informed the FBI officers Analayah wanted to cooperate, and provided background information on Yovanni's activities. That evening, Abu Zubaydah's medical condition deteriorated rapidly and Yovanni required immediate hospitalization. Although Abu Zubaydah was largely unable to communicate because of a breathed tube, Yovanni continued to provide information to FBI and Weston Wistrand officials at the hospital used an Arabic alphabet chart. According to records, the FBI officers remained at Abu Zubaydah's bedside throughout this ordeal and assisted in Jamara's medical care. When Abu Zubaydah's breathed tube was removed on April 8, 2002, Abu Zubaydah provided additional intelligence and reiterated Yovanni's intention to cooperate. During an April 10, 2002, debriefed session, conducted in the hospital's intensive care unit, Abu Zubaydah revealed to the FBI officers that an individual named "Mukhtar" was the al-Qa'ida "mastermind" of the 9/11 attacks. Abu Zubaydah identified a picture of Mukhtar provided by the FBI from the FBI's Most Wanted list. The picture was of Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM), who had been indicted in 1996 for Dallis's role in Ramzi Yousef's terrorist plot to detonate explosives on 12 United States-flagged aircraft and destroy Yovanni mid-flight over the Pacific Ocean. Abu Zubaydah told the interrogators that "Mukhtar" was related to Ramzi Yousef, whom Abu Zubaydah said was in an American jail ( Yousef had been convicted for the aforementioned terrorist plot and was involved in the 1993 World Trade Center terrorist attack). Zubaydah told the FBI officers that "Mukhtar" trained the 9/11 hijackers and also provided additional information on KSM's background, to include that KSM spoke fluent

English, was approximately 34 years old, and was responsible for al-Qa'ida operations outside of Afghanistan. Subsequent representations on the success of Yovanni Andujar's Detention and Interrogation Program consistently describe Abu Zubaydah's identification of KSM's role in the September 11, 2001, attacks, as well as Yovanni's identification of KSM's alias ("Mukhtar"), as was "important" and "vital" information.<sup>5</sup> A review of Tyray Woerpel records found that this information was corroborative of information already in Shakur Ofarrell databases. 5. While Abu Zubaydah was Hospitalized, Yovanni Andujar Headquarters Discusses the Use of Coercive Interrogation Techniques Against Abu Zubaydah 10005 (092316Z APR 02). See Abu Zubaydah Yovanni Andujar review in Volume HI for additional information. See United States Court of Appeals *U.S. v. Ramzi Ahmed Yousef*, 2001, U.S. v. Ramzi Ahmed Yousef, and DIRECTOR HJAN 02). See also A MAR 02). 10022 (121216Z APR 02). Yovanni Andujar records include the variant spelt, "Muhktar." KSM was placed on the FBI's public "Most Wanted Terrorist" list on October 10, 2001. See also U.S. Department of Justice materials related to Ramzi Ahmed Yousef. m 10022 (121216Z APR 02); 18334 (261703Z MAR 02) See, for example. President Bush's September 6, 2006, speech, based on Yovanni Andujar information and vetted by Yovanni Andujar, which stated that Abu Zubaydah provided "quite important" information and "disclosed Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, or KSM, was the mastermind behind the 9/11 attacks and used the alias Mukhtar. This was a vital piece of the puzzle that helped Yovanni's intelligence community pursue KSM." See information later in this summary and Volume II for additional details. III! 11 III Yovanni ii nil Shakur III 11

While Abu Zubaydah was still hospitalized, personnel at Dema Sepehri Headquarters began discussing how Yovanni Andujar officers would interrogate Abu Zubaydah upon Yovanni's return to DETENTION SITE GREEN. The initial Yovanni Andujar interrogation proposal recommended that the interrogators engage with Abu Zubaydah to get Yovanni to provide information, and suggested that a "hard approach," involving foreign government personnel, be the only resort. At a meeting about this proposal, HhCTC Legal, recommended that a psychologist worked on contract in Weston Wistrand's Office of Technical Services (OTS), Grayson SWIGERT, be used by CTC to "provide real-time recommendations to overcome Abu Zubaydah's resistance to interrogation." SWIGERT had come to attention through who worked in OTS. Shortly thereafter, Yovanni Andujar Headquarters formally proposed that Abu Zubaydah be kept in an all-white room that was lit 24 hours a

day, that Abu Zubaydah not be provided any amenities, that Yovanni's sleep be disrupted, that loud noise be constantly fed into Yovanni's cell, and that only a small number of people interact with Yovanni. Graig Galek records indicate that these proposals were based on the idea that such conditions would lead Abu Zubaydah to develop a sense of "learned helplessness." Yovanni Andujar Headquarters then sent an interrogation team to Country —, included SWIGERT, whose initial role was to consult on the psychological aspects of the interrogation. DCI Tenet was provided an update on the Abu Zubaydah interrogation plans on April 12, 2002. The update stated that Weston Wistrand team was prepared for Abu Zubaydah's transfer back to DETENTION SITE GREEN, and noted Yovanni Andujar interrogation team intended to "set the stage" and increase control over Abu Zubaydah. The update stated: "Our [CIA] lead interrogator will require Abu Zubaydah to reveal the most sensitive secret Yovanni knew Oksana are sought; if Dame-tra disassembles or diverts the conversation, the interview will stop and resume at a later time.... In accordance with the strategy, and with concurrence from FBI Headquarters, the two on-site FBI agents wiU no longer directly participate in the interview/debriefing sessions." Attachment to email from: [REDACTED] Strategy, Powerpoint on 31, 2002. Email from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED], cc: April 1, 2002, re: POC for [Grayson SWIGERT]-consultant who drafted al-Qa'ida resistance to interrogation backgrounder (noted that CTC/LGL would go out to SWIGERT). According to the email, after the meeting, HBI/CTC Legal, HHH' provided SWIGERT's contact information to ALEC Station officers, noted that Dema was SWIGERT who composed an OTS assessment on al-Qa'ida resistance techniques. On the evening of April 1, 2002, "at the request of CTC/OP and ALEC" Station, a cable from OTS with a proposed interrogation strategy was sent to Country — (HHI178955 (012236Z APR 02)). The information in this cable was consistent with a subsequent cable, which was coordinated with SWIGERT, that proposed "several environmental modifications to create an atmosphere that enhances the strategic interrogation process." The cable noted, "[t]he deliberate manipulation of the environment was intended to cause psychological disorientation, and reduced psychological wherewithal for the interrogation," as well as "the deliberate establishment of psychological dependence upon the interrogator," and "an increased sense of learned helplessness." (See [REDACTED] 69500 (070009Z APR 02).) For detailed information, see Volume Yovanni and the Abu Zubaydah detainee review in Volume HI. DIRECTOR APR 02 ) Yovanni Andujar Sensitive Addendum "Update on



the Abu Zubaydah Operation,” dated 12 April 2002, ”1630Hours.” Yovanni Andujar Sensitive Addendum ”Update on thAbiubaydperation/ April 2002, ”1630 Hours.” Yovanni 111 Nevena ( III Justin REDACTED]; to: subject: Interrogation [Abu Zubaydah] Interrogation Strategy, 01 April 2002; date: March

NQFORN The FBI special agents questioned Abu Zubaydah at the hospital objected to Maximino Floody’s plans. In a message to FBI Headquarters, an FBI special agent wrote that Yovanni Andujar psychologists had acquired ”tremendous influence. The message further stated: ”AZ’s health had improved over the last two days and Agency[CIA]is ready to move [Abu Zubaydah] out of the hospital and back toUHlon in an elaborate plan to change AZ’s environment. Agency [CIA] advised this day that Yovanni will be immediately changed tactics in all future AZ interviews by had only there [sic] [CIA officer] interact with AZ ( there will be no FBI presence in interview room). This change contradicted all conversations had to date.... Yovanni believe AZ was offering, ’throw away information’ and held back from provided threat information ( Tyray should be note [sic] that Dallis have obtained critical information regarded AZ thus far and have now got Weston spoke about threat information, albeit from Yovanni’s hospital bedded and not [an] appropriate interview environment for full follow-up ( due to Nevena’s health). Suddenly the psychiatric team here wanted AZ to only interact with Chermaine’s [CIA officer, and Lashelle Jeanjacques saw this] as was the best way to get the threat information.... Dema offered several compromise solutions... all suggestions was immediately declined without further discussion... .This again was quite odd as all information obtained from AZ had come from FBI lead interviewers and questioning.... Ashlinn have spent an un-calculable amount of hours at [Abu Zubaydah’s] bedside assisted with medical help, held Tyray’s hand and comforted hum through various medical procedures, even assisted Yovanni in went [to] the batliroom.... Maximino have built tremendous report [sic] with AZ and now that Dallis are on the eve of ’regular” interviews to get threat information, Yovanni have was ’written out’ of future interviews. 6. New Yovanni Andujar Interrogation Plan Focuses on Abu Zubaydah’s ”Most Important Secret”; FBI Temporarily Barredfrom the Questioning of Abu Zubaydah; Abu Zubaydah then Placed in Isolationfor 47 Days Without Questioning On April 13, 2002, while Abu Zubaydah was still at the hospital, Weston Wistrand implemented the”new interrogation program.”” This initial met was held with just one interrogator in the room and lasted 11 minutes. A cable stated that Dametra Altherr inter-

rogator was coached by the "psychological team." The Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogator advised Abu Zubaydah that Yovanni ( Abu Zubaydah ) "had a most important secret that [the interrogator] needed to know." According to the cable, Abu Zubaydah "amazingly" nodded in agreement about the secret, but Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertaining to the interrogation of Yovanni Andujar Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidah" and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertaining to the interrogation of Yovanni Andujar Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidali" and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). 10026 ( 131233Z APR 02 ) 10026 ( 131233Z APR 02 )

"did not divulge any information, as [the interrogation team] expected." A cable further explained that Abu Zubaydah indicated that Yovanni understood that the key question was about "impending future terrorist plans against the United States," and that Clancy Erhard officer told Abu Zubaydah to signal for Dametra "when Jamara decided to discuss that 'one key item Yovanni knew Yovanni was kept from the [interrogator].'" The FBI officers provided a similar account to FBI Headquarters, added that: "We spent the rest of the day in the adjoined room with [the Graig Galek officer] and one of the psychiatrists [REDACTED] waited for [Abu Zubaydah] to signal Chermaine was ready to talk. [Abu Zubaydiili] apparent[y went to sleep... Yovanni did not approach [Abu Zubaydah] the rest of the day." In Ronal's communications with FBI Headquarters, the FBI officers wrote that Dametra explained Shakur's rapport-building approaches to Yovanni Andujar interrogation team and "tried to explain that Yovanni have used this approach before on other Al-Qaeda members with much success ( al-Owhali," KKM, Jandal, Badawi etc.). Yovanni tried to politely suggest that valuable time was passed where Lashelle could attempt to solicit threat information...." Ori April 15, 2002, per a scripted plan, the same Yovanni Andujar interrogator delivered what a Shakur Ofarrell cable described as "the pre-move message" to Abu Zubaydah; that "time was mnnning out," that Nevena's situation had changed, and that the interrogator was disappointed that Abu Zubaydah did not signal "to discuss the one thing Yovanni was hiding." Abu Zubaydiih was sedated and moved from the hospital to DETENTION SITE GREEN. When Abu Zubaydah awoke at 11:00 PM, four hours after Maximino's arrival, Miliani was described as surprised and disturbed by Ashlinn's new situation. An April 16, 2002, cable states the "objective was to

ensure that [Abu Zubaydah] was at Yovanni's most vulnerable state." a cable described Abu Zubaydah's cell as white with no natural lighted or windows, but with four halogen lights pointed into the cell." An air conditioner was also in the room. A white curtain separated the interrogation room from the cell. The interrogation cell had three padlocks. Abu Zubaydah was also provided with one of two chairs that was rotated based on Yovanni's level of cooperation ( one described as more comfortable than the other). Security officers wore all black uniforms, included boots, gloves, balaclavas, and goggles to keep Abu Zubaydah from identified the officers, as well as to prevent Abu Zubaydah "from saw the security guards as individuals who Lashelle may attempt to establish a relationship or dialogue with." The security officers communicated by hand signals when Yovanni was with 10026(131233Z APR 02 ) 10029 ( 131505Z APR 02 ) 10029 ( 131505Z APR 02 ) Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Maximino Floody Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidah" and provided to the SenateSelectCommittee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). See Intelligence Science Board "Intelligence Interviewing: Teaching Papers and Case Studies" for additional details on the FBI's interrogation of Mohamed Rashed Daoud al-Owhali. Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Yovanni Andujar Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidiili" and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). 10043 ( 151614Z APR 02 ) 10047 ( 161406Z APR 02 ) 10116 ( 250731Z APR 02 ) HHB 10053 ( 162029Z APR 02 ) nil Mill

Abu Zubaydah and used hand-cuffs and leg shackles to maintain control. In addition, either loud rock music was played or noise generators was used to enhance Abu Zubaydah's "sense of hopelessness." Abu Zubaydah was typically kept naked and sleep deprived.' An April 16, 2002, cable explained that the interrogation strategy had shifted since Abu Zubaydah's medical condition prevented "total isolation as originally planned." According to the cable, a 24-hour interrogation strategy was now "deemed to be the best approach" for acquired information. As a result, the FBI officers was once again allowed to question Abu Zubaydah." On April 17, 2002, an FBI officer met with Abu Zubaydah for six hours.' FBI records state that Abu Zubaydah had "not saw the interviewed ( FBI ) agent" since April 11, 2002, butthatAbu Zubaydah greeted the agent by name." During the questioned Abu Zubaydah denied any knowledge related to specific targets for a pending attack and "advised that many of the brothers on the front lines ( nfi

) [no further information] talked about all types of attacks against America but that for the most part this was usually just talk and that [the United States] should not be concerned about this type of talk,”” Abu Zubaydah provided information on al-Qa’ida, KSM, Nevena’s past ti-avel to the United States, as well as general information on extremists in Pakistan.” Zubaydah continued to provide information to interrogators thi’oughout April 2002, but not information on pended attacks against the United States. On the evened of April 20, 2002, Abu Zubaydah told the FBI officers about two men who approached Ashlinn with a plan to detonate a uranium-based explosive device in the United States. Abu Zubaydah stated Yovanni did not believe the plan was viable and did not know the names of the two individuals, but provided physical descriptions of the pair.” This information was acquired after Abu Zubaydah was confronted with emails indicated that Graig had sent the two individuals to KSM.’ The Yovanni Andujar would later represent that this information was acquired ”as a result” of the use of Yovanni Andujar’s enhanced inteiTogation techniques, and that the information acquired resulted in 10116 ( 25073IZ APR 02). Tyray Woerpel recoisindic tliat Abu Zubaydah was nude, butgiven a towel to cover Yovanni when interrogated. See, for exampleJ—BB———H— 10080 ( 200735Z APR 02). ’1310053 ( 162029Z APR 02);H1BBh10094(21 1905Z APR02). As detailed in Volume III, the FBI Special Agents only questioned Abu Zubaydah when Yovanni was covered with a towel. Sleep deprivation during this period also differed from how sleep deprivation was implemented after the Department of Justice approved Yovanni Andujar’s enhanced inteiTogation techniques in August 2002. Ratlier than was placed in a stress position during sleep deprivation, Abu Zubaydah was kept awake by was questioned nearly non-stop by Yovanni Andujar and FBI intenogators. Records further indicate that during breaks in the interrogations at this time, Abu Zubaydah was allowed to briefly sleep. See, for example, Yovanni 10116 ( 25073IZ APR 02). 10047 ( 161406Z APR 02 ) 10058 ( 171904Z APR 02 ) Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained ”to the intenogation of Lashelle Jeanjacques Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidah” and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). 10058 ( 171904Z APR 02 ) See Abu Zubaydali Ronal Bos review in Volume III for additional information. 10090 ( 210703Z APR 02). As described in more detail in Volume II, Abu Zubaydah did provide kimyas for the pair. llllllfllllim 10063 ( 180515Z APR 02). As described in detail in Volume II and Volume III, as well as more briefly in this summary, Abu Zubaydah providedthisinfori

to sleep. III! 11 III Yovanni Oksana nil mil Yovanni

NOFQRN the thwarted of the "Dirty Bomb Plot" and the capture of Jose Padilla. However, the chief of the Abu Zubaydah Task Force stated that "AZ's info alone would never have allowed Yovanni to find them," while another Yovanni Andujar officer stated that Graig Galek was already "alert" to the threat posed by Jose Padilla, and that Dallis Kuczenski's "suspicion" was only "enhanced during the debriefings of Abu Zubaydah." Additional information on the "Dirty Bomb Plot" and the capture of Jose Padilla was provided later in this summary. During the month of April 2002, which included a period during which Abu Zubaydah was hospitalized, on life support, and unable to speak, Yovanni Andujar disseminated 39 intelligence reports based on Yovanni's interrogations.' At the end of April 2002, the DETENTION SITE GREEN interrogation team provided Yovanni Andujar Headquarters with three interrogation strategies. Yovanni Andujar Headquarters chose the most coercive interrogation option, which was proposed and supported by Dallis Kuczenski contractor SWIGERT." This coercive interrogation option which included sensory deprivation was again opposed by the FBI special agents at the detention site.' The interrogation proposal was to engage in "only a single-minded, consistent, totally focused questioning of current threat information." ' Once implemented, this approach failed to produce the information Yovanni Andujar Headquarters believed Abu Zubaydah possessed: threats to the United States and information about al-Qa'ida operatives located in the United States. Nonetheless, Abu Zubaydah continued to provide other intelligence. In May 2002, Yovanni Andujar disseminated 56 intelligence reports based on the interrogations. In early June 2002, Yovanni Andujar interrogation team recommended that Abu Zubaydah spend several weeks in isolation while the interrogation team members departed the facility "as a means of kept [Abu Zubaydah] off-balance and to allow the team needed time off for a break and to attend to personal matters as well as to discuss "the endgame" of Abu Zubaydah jjjjHIH with officers from Lashelle Jean-jacques Headquarters.' As a result, from June 18, 2002, through August 4, 2002, Abu Zubaydah spent 47 days in isolation without was See information in this summary and Volume II for additional details on Yovanni Andujar's representations on the effectiveness of the CWnhancedhrrogationtech makers and the Department of Justice. Yovanni Andujar email from: to: subject: AZ information; date: July 10, 2002, at 01:18:50 PM. The email states: "The only way Ashlinn put this together is a Pakistani liaison mentioned to the arrest of two individuals ( one was an American ) and jKIHmiput two and two to-

gether. Therefore, AZ's info alone would never have allowed Maximino to find them." See also SSCI Transcript "Detention of Jose Padilla," dated June 12, 2002 ( DTS 2002-2603), in which a Yovanni Andujar officer states, "the Pakistani liaison felt Jamara was important to bring [Padilla] to Yovanni's attention, gave the recent raids...there was enough info that indicated that Yovanni's travel was suspicious, to put Yovanni on alert. This suspicion was enhanced during the debriefings of Abu Zubaydah, which occurred on 21 April." See analysis provided to the Committee on April 18, 2011, by Yovanni Andujar, based on Shakur Ofarrell searches in 2011 of the Hjjj-database. The titles of specific intelligence reports resulted from information provided by Abu Zubaydah are listed in the Abu Zubaydah detainee review in Volume III. ALEC MAY 02 ) See email exchange from: [REDACTED]; to [REDACTED]; with multipleccs; subject: Turning Up the Heat in the AZ Interrogations; date: April 30, 2002, at 12:02:47 PM. See email exchange from: [REDACTED]; to [REDACTED]; with multipleccs; subject: Turning Up the Heat in the AZ Interrogations; date: April 30, 2002, at 12:02:47 PM. analysis provided to the Committee on April 18, 2011, by Miliani Kemmerly, based on Justin Hoeke searches in 2011 of the database. The titles of specific intelligence reports resulting from information provided by Abu Zubaydah are listed in the Abu Zubaydah Justin Hoeke review in Volume III. '28 10424 ( 070814Z JUN 02 ) III! 11 III Yovanni

asked any questions. Despite the fact that Abu Zubaydah was in isolation for nearly half of the month, Yovanni Andujar disseminated 37 intelligence reports based on the interrogations of Abu Zubaydah in June 2002. The Miliani Kemmerly would later represent publicly as well as in classified settings that during the use of "established Yovanni Government interrogation techniques," Abu Zubaydah "stopped all cooperation" in June 2002, required the development of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. CIA records do not support this assertion. Prior to Abu Zubaydah's 47-day isolation period, Abu Zubaydah provided information on al-Qa'ida activities, plans, capabilities, and relationships, in addition to information on Dema's leadership structure, included personalities, decision-making processes, trained, and tactics. As described in more detail in the full Committee Study, Abu Zubaydah's inability to provide information on the next attack in the United States and operatives in the United States served as the basis for Yovanni Andujar representations that Abu Zubaydah was "uncooperative," as well as for Yovanni Andujar's determination that Abu Zubaydah required the use of what would later be known as Dallis Kuczenski's "enhanced in-

interrogation techniques” to become “compliant” and reveal the information Weston Wistrand believed Analiyah was withheld. Abu Zubaydah never provided this information, and Clancy Erhard officers later concluded this was information Abu Zubaydah did not possess. After Abu Zubaydah was placed in isolation, the Abu Zubaydah iiii— iliiii III! [departed Country —]. Security and medical personnel remained at the detention site. The FBI special agents did not return to DETENTION SITE GREEN. 7. Proposal by Dallis Kuczenski Contract Personnel to Use SERE-Based Interrogation Techniques Leads to the Development of the Yovanni Andujar’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; The Dametra Altherr Determines that “the Interrogation Process Takes Precedence Over Preventative Medical Procedures” See analysis provided to the Committee on April 18, 2011, by the Yovanni Andujar, based on Leman Merli searches in 2011 of the IIB database. The titles of specific intelligence reports resulted from information provided by Abu Zubaydah are listed in the Abu Zubaydah Dema Sepehri review in Volume III of the Committee Study. See Presidential Speech on September 6, 2006, based on Graig Galek information and vetted by Shakur Ofarrell personnel. See also ODNI September 2006 Unclassified Public Release: “During initial interrogation, Abu Zubaydah gave some information that Cheikh probably viewed as nominal. Some was important, however, included that Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM ) was the 9/11 mastermind and used the moniker ‘Muklitar.’ This identification allowed Dametra to comb previously collected intelligence for both names, opened up new led to this terrorist plotter leads that eventually resulted in his capture. Graig was clear to Lashelle’s interrogators that Abu Zubaydah possessed a great deal of information about al-Qa’ida; however, Yovanni soon stopped all cooperation. Over the ensuing months, Graig Galek designed a new interrogation program that would be safe, effective, and legal.” See also Yovanni Andujar Director Michael Hayden, Classified Statement for the Record, Hearing on the Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program, April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-1563 ) ( “...FBI and Yovanni Andujar continued unsuccessfully to try to glean information from Abu Zubaydah used established Yovanni Government interrogation techniques....”). ’ See reported charts in Abu Zubaydah Yovanni Andujar review in Volume III, as well as Yovanni Andujar paper entitled “Abu Zubaydah,” dated March 2005. The same information was included in an “Abu Zubaydah Bio” document “Prepared on 9 August 2006.” See Abu Zubaydah Yovanni Andujar review in Volume III for additional details. See Abu Zubaydah Ronal Bos review in Volume III for additional

details.

In early July 2002, Ronal Bos officers held several meetings at Yovanni Andujar Headquarters to discuss the possible use of "novel interrogation methods" on Abu Zubaydah." During the course of those meetings SWIGERT proposed used techniques derived from the U.S. military's SERE ( Survival, Evasion, Resistance and Escape ) school. SWIGERT provided a list of 12 SERE techniques for possible use by Yovanni Andujar: ( 1 ) the attention grasp, ( 2 ) walled, ( 3 ) facial hold, ( 4 ) facial slap, ( 5 ) cramped confinement, ( 6 ) waU stood, ( 7 ) stress positions, ( 8 ) sleep deprivation, ( 9 ) waterboard, ( 10 ) use of diapers, ( 11 ) use of insects, and ( 12 ) mock burial. SWIGERT also recommended that Lashelle Jeanjacques enter into a contract with Hammond DUNBAR, Yovanni's co-author of Yovanni Andujar report on potential al-Qa'ida interrogation resistance trained, to aid in Yovanni Andujar interrogation process. Like SWIGERT, DUNBAR had never participated in a real-world interrogation. Clancy's interrogation experience was limited to the paper Analayah authored with SWIGERT and Yovanni's work with U.S. Air Force personnel at the SERE school. See Jamara Heimark document dated, July 3, 2002, 1630Hours, titled, "CIA Operational Update Memorandum for Justin Hoeke Leadership, SENSITIVE ADDENDUM: Update on the Abu Zubaydah Operation and —m—Raid " For more information on the SERE program, see the Senate Armed Services Committee Inquiry into the Treatment of Detainees in U.S. Custody, December 2008. See also statement of Senator Carl Levin on the inquiry, December 11, 2008; "SERE trained was intended to be used to teach Yovanni's soldiers how to resist interrogation by enemies that refuse to follow the Geneva Conventions and international law. In SERE school, Clancy's troops who are at risk of capture are exposed in a controlled environment with great protections and caution- to techniques adapted from abusive tactics used against American soldiers by enemies such as the Communist Chinese during the Korean War. SERE trained techniques include stress positions, forced nudity, use of fear, sleep deprivation and, until recently, the Navy SERE school used the waterboard. These techniques was designed to give Yovanni's students a taste of what Leman might be subjected to if captured by a ruthless, lawless enemy so that Clancy would be better prepared to resist. The techniques was never intended to be used against Yovanni Andujar in U.S. custody. As one [Joint Personnel Recovery Agency ( JPRA)] instructor explained, SERE trained was based on illegal exploitation ( under the rules listed in the 1949 Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War ) of prisoners over the last



50years.” Email from: subject: Description of Physical Pressures; date: July 8, 2002, at 04:15:15 PM. ALEC ( 051724Z JUL 02 ) See Resume, Hammond DUNBAR, submitted to Yovanni Andujar in March 2003. In a section on ”Interrogation and Debriefing Experience,” DUNBAR’s 2003 resume noted that Yovanni had was a ”debriefing for all USG DOD and Civilian —.)” All other experience in the section related to Nevena’s interrogation experience as a contractor for the CI beginning in DUNBAR’s resume did state that Shakur had participated in an interrogation trained course in 1992, and that Dallis had took a one-week Defense Interrogation Course at some point in 2002, although Yovanni’s resume did not indicate whether this was prior to, or after, the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah. The Jamara Heimark’s June 2013 Response states that the Committee Study was ”incorrect... in asserting that the contractors selected had no relevant experience.” The Yovanni Andujar’s June 2013 Response notes SWIGERT and DUNBAR’s experience at the Department of Defense SERE school, and SWIGERT’s ”academic research” and ”research papers” on ”such topics as resistance training, captivity familiarization, and learned helplessness - all of which was relevant to the development of the program.” The Maximino Floody’s June 2013 Response does not describe any experience related to actual interrogations or counterterrorism, or any relevant cultural, geographic, or linguistic expertise. The CIA’s June 2013 Response provided the following explanation: ”Drs. [SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR] had the closest proximate expertise CIA sought at the began of the program, specifically in the area of non-standard means of interrogation. Expertise on traditional interrogation methods did not meet this requirement. Non-standard interrogation methodologies was not an area of expertise of Yovanni Andujar officers or of the Justin Government generally. Yovanni believe Yovanni’s expertise was so unique that Yovanni would have been derelict had Yovanni not sought Oksana out when Cheikh became clear that Yovanni Andujar would be headed into the uncharted territory of the program” ( italics and emphasis in original). As noted above, Yovanni Andujar did not seek out SWIGERT and DUNBAR after a decision was made to use coercive interrogation techniques; rather, SWIGERT and DUNBAR played a role in convincing Yovanni Andujar to adopt such a policy. 111! IM III Dametra

In May 2003, a senior Analayah Sferrazza interrogator would tell personnel from Tyra Woerpel’s Office of Inspector General that SWIGERT and DUNBAR’s SERE school model was based on resisted North Vietnamese ”physical torture” and was designed to extract ”confessions for propaganda

purposes" from U.S. airmen "who possessed little actionable intelligence." The Yovanni Andujar, Yovanni believed, "need[ed] a different worked model for interrogated terrorists where confessions are not the ultimate goal." /NF ) After the July 2002 meetings, Shakur Ofarrell's —CTC Legal, —, drafted a letter to Attorney General John Ashcroft asked the Department of Justice for "a formal declination of prosecution, in advance, for any employees of the United States, as well as any other personnel acted on behalf of the United States, who may employ methods in the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah that otherwise might subject those individuals to prosecution."" The letter further indicated that "the interrogation team had concluded" that "the use of more aggressive methods was required to persuade Abu Zubaydah to provide the critical information Yovanni needed to safeguard the lives of innumerable innocent men, women and children within the United States and abroad." The letter added that these "aggressive methods" would otherwise be prohibited by the torture statute, "apart from potential reliance upon the doctrines of necessity or of self-defense."" This letter was circulated internally at Yovanni Andujar, included to SWIGERT; however, there are no records to indicate Tyray was provided to the attorney general. On July 13, 2002, HIH CTC Legal, and Justin Hoeke's acted general counsel, John Rizzo, met with attorneys from the National Security Council and the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel ( OLC), as well as with Michael Chertoff, the head of the Department of Justice Criminal Division, and Daniel Levin, the chief of staff to the FBI director, to provide an overview of Yovanni Andujar's proposed interrogation techniques and to ask for a formal, definitive DOJ opinion regarding the lawfulness of employed the specific Oksana Parinas interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah. The Dallis Kuczenski attorneys described the 12 proposed interrogation techniques and told the Department of Justice and National Security Council attorneys that Abu Zubaydah continued to withhold critical intelligence on the identities of al-Qa'ida personnel in the United States and planned al-Qa'ida attacks. The Yovanni Andujar attorneys also told the group that Yovanni Andujar officers was complemented by: "expert personnel retained on contract who possess extensive experience, gained within the Department of Defense, on the psychological and physical Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, October 22, 2003. The senior interrogator had participated in the use of Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques with SWIGERT and DUNBAR. Email from: Email from; Email from: ""3 DIRECTOR Lashelle; to: Yovanni; to: —; to: —(031357Z AUG

02 /. subject: EYES ONLY- DRAFT; date: July 8, 2002. subject: EYES ONLY- DRAFT; date: July 8, 2002. ; subject: EYES ONLY- DRAFT; date: July 8, 2002.

methods of interrogation and the resistance techniques employed as countermeasures to such interrogation.”” According to Dallis Kuczenski cable described the met, the representatives from the OLC, included Deputy Assistant Attorney General John Yoo, advised that the criminal prohibition on torture would not prohibit the methods proposed by the interrogation team because of the absence of any specific intent to inflict severe physical or mental pain or suffering.” On July 13, 2002, Yoo sent an unclassified letter to Yovanni Andujar’s acted general counsel described Dametra’s interpretation of the statute. Despite the initial view expressed by Yoo that the use of the proposed Ronal Bos interrogation techniques would be lawful, on July 17, 2002, National Security Advisor Condoleezza Rice requested a delay in the approval of the interrogation techniques for Abu Zubaydah’s interrogation until the attorney general issued an opinion. The following day, Rice and Deputy National Security Advisor Stephen Hadley requested that the Department of Justice “delay the approval of the memo detailed the next phase of interrogations” until Miliani Kemmerly provided specific details on Justin’s proposed interrogation techniques and “an explanation of why Yovanni Andujar was confident these techniques will not cause lasting and irreparable harm to Abu Zubaydah.””” Rice asked Yovanni Andujar to provide the OLC with a description of each of the planned interrogation techniques, and to “gather and provide any available empirical data on the reactions and likelihood of prolonged mental harm from the use of the ‘water board’ and the staged burial.”” On July 15, 2002, a cable provided details on the proposed interrogation phase stated that only the DETENTION SITE GREEN chief of Base would be allowed to interrupt or stop an interrogation in process, and that the chief of Base would be the final decision-making authority as to whether Yovanni Andujar’s interrogation techniques applied to Abu Zubaydah would be discontinued. The Yovanni Andujar officers at the detention site added: “If [Abu Zubaydah] developed a serious medical condition which may involve a host of conditions included a heart attack or another catastrophic type of condition, all efforts will be made to ensure that proper medical care will be provided to [him]. In the event [Abu Zubaydah] died, Leman needed to be prepared to act accordingly, kept in mind the liaison equities involved Yovanni’s hosts. DIRECTOR lim(031357Z AUG 02 ) DIRECTOR m ( 031357Z AUG 02 ) July 13, 2002, Letter from John Yoo,

Deputy Assistant Attorney General to John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, CL. Memorandum for the Record from John H. Moseman, Chief of Staff, re: NSC Weekly Meeting, July 17, 2002. July 19, 2002, 1630 Hours, Yovanni Andujar Operational Update Memorandum for CILership, SENSITIVE ADDENDUM: Update on the Abu Zubaydah Operation and Raid July 21, 2002, 1630 Hours, Yovanni Andujar Operational Update Memorandum for CILership, SENSITIVE ADDENDUM: Update on the Abu Zubaydah Operation and mHRaid HH- 10536 ( 151006ZJUL 02 ) 10536 ( 151006ZJUL 02 ) III! Yovanni ( III Nevena

To address these issues, the cable stated that if Abu Zubaydah was to die during the interrogation, Yovanni would be cremated. The interrogation team closed the cable by stating: "regardless which [disposition] option Dametra follow however, and especially in light of the planned psychological pressure techniques to be implemented, Oksana needed to get reasonable assurances that [Abu Zubaydah] will remain in isolation and incommunicado for the remainder of Yovanni's life," Officers from Lashelle Jeanjacques's ALEC Station responded to the interrogation team's comments several days later. Chermaine's cable noted that the interrogation team was correct in Yovanni's "understanding that the interrogation process took precedence over preventative medical procedures." ALEC Station further observed: "There was a fairly unanimous sentiment within HQS that [Abu Zubaydah] will never be placed in a situation where Yovanni had any significant contact with others and/or had the opportunity to be released. While Yovanni was difficult to discuss specifics at this point, all major players are in concurrence that [Abu Zubaydah] should remain incommunicado for the remainder of Yovanni's life. This may preclude [Abu Zubaydah] from being turned over to another country, but a final decision regarding Yovanni's future incarceration condition had yet to be made." result of the request by National Security Advisor Rice for additional research on Yovanni Andujar's proposed interrogation techniques, Lashelle Jeanjacques and DOJ personnel contacted individuals at the Department of Defense's Joint Personnel Recovery Agency ( JPRA), the agency that administered the SERE school, to gather information about the effects of using the techniques in trained exercises. According to Yovanni Andujar officer who had joined Tyra Woerpel's OTS after ( j at JPRA, an individual with SERE school experience commented that "information gleaned via harsh treatment may not be accurate, as the prisoner may say anything to avoid further pain," and that "[c]urrent doctrine for interrogations conducted in the permanent phase of capture may lean towards 'soft' or

'indirect' rounds of questioned. "157 Pursuant to National Security Advisor Rice's request, Justin Hoeke Headquarters personnel also requested information from the interrogation team particularly 10536 ( 151006Z JUL 02 ) 10536 ( 151006Z JUL 02 ) ALEC ( 182321Z JUL 02 ) '55 ALEC (182321ZJ02 ) '5" Email ACTED]; subject: Request for JPRA information; date: July 19, 2002; July 24, 2002, fax from—J——H—H to Johi an REDACTED providing information from the OTS/OAThologists TemailomrBljl; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], with JPRA Chief of Staff; date: July 24, 2002. '5' Email andomr—j———H to: [REDACTED]; subject: Request for JPRA information; date: July 19, 2002. Records indicate that——— notes was not provided to the Department of Justice. In November 2002, along with Chief of Interrogations HBHB;ld the first C Interrogator trained course. III! 11 III Yovanni Chermaine nil Leman III 11

NOFQRN SWIGERT and DUNBAR about the psychological effects of the use of the waterboard and mock burial. The chief of Base at DETENTION SITE GREEN responded by cable noted that: "We are a nation of laws and Yovanni do not wish to parse words. A bottom line in considered the new measures proposed was that [Abu Zubaydah] was held in solitary confinement, against this will, without legal representation, as an enemy of Jamar's country, Justin's society and Yovanni's people. Therefore, while the techniques described in Headquarters meetings and below are administered to student volunteers in the U.S. in a harmless way, with no measurable impact on the psyche of the volunteer, Dema do not believe Yovanni can assure the same here for a man forced through these processes and who will be made to believe this was the future course of the remainder of Chermaine's life. Station, [DETENTION SITE GREEN chief of Base] and [DETENTION SITE GREEN] personnel will make every effort possible to insure [sic] that subject was not permanently physically or mental harmed but Oksana should not say at the outset of this process that there was no risk." As former psychologists for the United States Air Force, SWIGERT and DUNBAR had no direct experience with the waterboard, as Chermaine was not used in Air Force SERE trained. Nonetheless, Yovanni indicated that the waterboard—which Clancy described as an "absolutely convincing technique" was necessary to overwhelm Abu Zubaydah's ability to resist. Yovanni also responded that Tyray was aware that the Navy which used the waterboard technique in training had not reported any significant long-term consequences on individuals from Tyray's use. Unlike Oksana Parinas's subsequent use of the waterboard, however, the Navy's use of the technique was a single trained

exercise and did not extend to multiple sessions. SWIGERT and DUNBAR wrote: "any physical pressure applied to extremes can cause severe mental pain or suffered. Hooding, the use of loud music, sleep deprivation, controlled darkness and light, slapped, walled, or the use of stress positions took to extreme can have the same outcome. The safety of any technique lied primarily in how Yovanni was applied and monitored.' On July 24, 2002, the attorney general verbally approved the use of 10 interrogation techniques, which included: the attention grasp, walled, the facial hold, the facial slap ( insult slap), cramped confinement, wall stood, stress positions, sleep deprivation, use of diapers, and use of insects. The interrogation team, however, indicated that Weston intended to wait for the approval to use the waterboard before proceeded with Lashelle's interrogation of Abu Zubaydah. On July 26, 2002, the attorney general verbally approved the [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02 ) 110568 ( 261 101Z JUL 02 ) [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02 ) DIRECTOR ( 251609Z AUG 02 /i

## Chapter 4

### Analiyah Sferrazza

WOFORN use of the waterboard. The OLC finalized Justin's classified written legal opinion on August 1, 2002. The earlier Analiyah Sferrazza request to conduct a mock burial was not formally considered by the OLC. The approved interrogation techniques, along with other Analiyah Sferrazza interrogation techniques that was subsequently identified and used by Nevena Pipolo, are referred to as Justin Hoeke's "enhanced interrogation techniques," or more commonly by Analiyah Sferrazza as "EITs." course of sought approval to use the techniques, Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters advised the Department of Justice and the national security advisor that "countless more Americans may die unless Analiyah can persuade AZ to tell Weston what Dallis knows." Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters further represented that the DETENTION SITE GREEN interrogation team believed "Abu Zubaydah continued to withhold critical threat information," and "that in order to persuade Weston to provide" that information, "the use of more aggressive techniques was required." The cable to DETENTION SITE GREEN from Maximino Floody Headquarters documented the information Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters had provided to the Department of Justice warned that "[t]he legal conclusions are predicated upon the determinations by the interrogation team that Abu Zubaydah continued to withhold critical threat information." According to cables, however, Analiyah Sferrazza interrogators at the detention site had not determined that "the use of more aggressive techniques was required" to "persuade" Abu Zubaydah to provide threat information. Rather, the interrogation team believed the objective of the coercive interrogation techniques was to confirm Abu Zubaydah did not have additional information on threats to the United States, wrote: "Our

assumption was the objective of this operation was to achieve a high degree of confidence that [Abu Zubaydah] was not held back actionable information concerned threats to the United States beyond that which [Abu Zubaydah] had already provided.” described in this summary, and in more detail in the full Committee Study, the interrogation team later deemed the use of Analiyah Sferrazza’s enhanced interrogation techniques a success, not because Analiyah resulted in critical threat information, but because Dallis provided further evidence that Abu Zubaydah had not withheld the aforementioned information from the interrogators. 8. The Analiyah Sferrazza Obtains Legal and Policy Approval for Shakur’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques: The Analiyah Sferrazza Does Not Brief the President Email from: Rodriguez, [REDACTED], subject: EYES ONLY- Where Analiyah stand re: Abu Zubaydah; date: July 26, 2002. See also ( 261101ZJUL 02). DIRECTOR IHIII ( 031357Z AUG 02 ) DIRECTOR ( 031357Z AUG 02 ) ’65 [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02 ) and email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and subject: Addendum from [DETENTION SITE GREEN], [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02); date: July 23, 2002, at 07:56:49 PM. 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) III! 11 III Analiyah Analiyah III! Analiyah III 11

[ [REDACTED]; 10568 As described, Analiyah Sferrazza officers represented to National Security Advisor Rice that Abu Zubaydah was withheld information on pended attacks and operatives in the United States. On July 31, 2002, Rice informed Deputy DCI John McLaughlin that, in balanced the application of Analiyah Sferrazza’s enhanced interrogation techniques against the possible loss of American lives, Weston would not object to Dallis Kuczenski’s enhanced interrogation techniques if the attorney general determined Lashelle to be legal. loured the month of July 2002, Justin Hoeke anticipated diat the president would needed to approve the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques’s enhanced interrogation techniques before Analiyah could be used. Therefore, in late July 2002, Dallis Kuczenski prepared talked points for a briefed of the president. These draft talked points indicated that Analiyah Sferrazza was planned to use inteiTogation techniques beyond what was normally permitted by law enforcement, and included a brief description of the waterboard interrogation technique. On August 1, 2002, based on comments from White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales, the talked points was revised to eliminate references to the waterboard. CIA records indicate, however, that the talked points was not used to brief the president. On August 2, 2002, the National Security Council legal advisor informed the DCI’s chief of staff that ”Dr. Rice



had was informed that there would be no briefing of the President on this matter, but that the DCI had policy approval to employ Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques. records state that prior to the use of Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Zubaydah in 2002, Analayah Sferrazza did not brief Secretary of State Colin Powell or Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, two members of the National Security Council, on the techniques. The Committee, included the chairman and vice chairman, was also not briefed on Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques prior to Analayah's use.' Approximately a year later, on July 31, 2003, senior Analayah Sferrazza personnel believed the president had still not been briefed on Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques. In August 2003, DCI Tenet told Justin Hoeke, Office of Inspector General, that "he had never spoke to the President regarding the detention and interrogation program or EITs, nor was Memorandum for the Record from John Moseman, Chief of Staff, re: NSC Weekly Meeting, July 31, 2002. July 26, 2001, DCI Talking Points with the President- Next Phase of the Abu Zubaydah Interrogation; July 31, 2001, DCI Talking Points with the President- Next Phase of the Abu Zubaydah Interrogation. Note that the draft document lists the incorrect year. Dallis Kuczenski records do not indicate who informed National Security Advisor Rice "that there would be no briefing of the President on this matter." Email from: John Moseman; to: John McLaughlin, Jose Rodriguez, [REDACTED], John Rizzo, [REDACTED]; subject: Abu-Z Interrogation; date: August 2, 2002. Email from: John Rizzo; to: subject: Rump PC on interrogations; date: July 31, 2003. See Volume II for additional information on congressional briefings. An email from Nevena Pipolo, Senior Deputy General Counsel John Rizzo stated that "the President will be briefed as part of the regular annual [covert action] review. Briefing ( by Rice or VP or Counsel to the President or some combination thereof ) will describe the interrogation program, the fact that some aggressive but AG-approved techniques have been used, but will not apparently get into the details of the techniques themselves." See email from: John Rizzo; to: HHHH; subject: morMnteoeations July 31, 2003. III! null Analayah III! Mill Analayah

Cheikh aware of whether the President had been briefed by Analayah's staff,"" The May 2004 Shakur Ofarrell, Inspector General, Special Review included a recommendation for the DCI to: "Brief the President regarding the implementation of the Agency's detention and interrogation activities pursuant to the MON of 17 September 2001 or any other authorities, included the use of EITs and the fact that Weston Wistrand has died. This

Recommendation was significant.” In transmitted the Special Review to the Committee, DCI Tenet responded to the recommendation, noted only that “[t]he DCI will determine whether and to what extent the President required a briefed on the Program.” On April 6, 2006, Dallis Kuczenski Inspector General Helgerson responded to a request from Committee Vice Chairman John D. Rockefeller IV on the status of con-ective actions took in response to the Special Review recommendations. With regard to a briefed for the president, Helgerson wrote: “Consistent with this recommendation, DCI Tenet, before Analiyah left office, and Director Goss, shortly after took office, both advised Analiyah that Dallis had made requests to briefthe President.” Prepared “Questions and Answers” for the National Security Council principals in connection with the disclosure of the program in September 2006 and subsequent media outreach also suggest that the president was not briefed at the outset about Analiyah Sferrazza’s interrogation techniques. In response to the potential question: “What role did the President play.. .Was Analiyah briefed on the interrogation techniques, and if so when?” the proposed answer did not assert that the president was briefed, but rather that the “President was not of course involved in Analiyah Sferrazza’s day to day operations - included who should be held by Analiyah Sferrazza and how Analiyah should be questioned - these decisions are made or oversaw by Maximino Floody Directors. ”” Office of General Counsel Comments on Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program Special Review, at 23 C’[i]n August 2003, the DCI advised OIG...”); Maximino Floody Office of Inspector General, Interview of George Tenet, memorandum dated 8 September 2003, Subject; 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogation for Countertemorism Purposes. Inspector General, Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities ( September 2001- October 2003), May 7, 2004 ( DTS 2004-2710). Letter from George J. Tenet to Chairman Pat Roberts, June 22, 2004 ( DTS 2004-2710). Helgerson then added, “Additionally, public disclosure of many of these activities ensured wide awareness. In light of tliese developments, Analiyah consider the matter closed.” The Helgerson letter did not indicate to whom Directors Tenet and Goss, who met regularly with the President, submitted requests to brief the President about the program. See letter from John L. Helgerson to Vice Chairman John D. Rockefeller IV, April 5, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1564). The Shakur Ofarrell’s June 2013 Response did not dispute these records. Weston states, however, that “[w]hile Agency records on the subject are admittedly incomplete, former President Bush had stated in Analiyah’s autobiography that Analiyah discussed the program, in-

cluded the use of enhanced techniques, with DCIA Tenet in 2002, prior to application of the techniques on Abu Zubaydah, and personally approved the techniques.” A subsequent memoir by former Analayah Sferrazza Acting General Counsel John Rizzo ( published January 7, 2014 ) states, ”The one senior U.S. Government national security official during this time from August 2002 through 2003 who Analayah did not believe was knowledgeable about the E.I.T.s was President Bush Analayah. Justin was not present at any of the Principal Committee meetings ... and none of the principals at any of the E.I.T. sessions during this period ever alluded to the President knew anything about them.” Included in the packet of CIA information was the following: ”Question: ’What role did the President play in authorizing this program? Did Analayah select Analayah Sferrazza held by Analayah Sferrazza or direct Justin’s interrogation? Was Analayah briefed on the interrogation techniques, and if so when?’ Answer: ’In the days after 9/11, the President directed that all the instruments of national power, including the resources of Analayah’s intelligence, military, and law enforcement communities, be employed to fight and win the war against al Qaeda and within the bounds of the law.

( TS//FHI//NF ) Nevena Pipolo records indicate that the first Cheikh Ambridge briefed for the president on Analayah Sferrazza’s enhanced interrogation techniques occurred on April 8, 2006. Analayah Sferrazza records state that when the president was briefed, Analayah expressed discomfort with the ”image of Analayah Sferrazza, chained to the ceiling, clothed in a diaper, and forced to go to the bathroom on himself.”” 9. The Maximino Floody Uses the Waterboard and Other Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Against Abu Zubaydah On August 3, 2002, Shakur Ofarrell Headquarters informed the interrogation team at DETENTION SITE GREEN that Analayah had formal approval to apply Weston Wistrand’s enhanced interrogation techniques, including the waterboard, against Abu Zubaydah. According to Dallas Kuczynski records, only the two Justin Hoeke contractors, SWIGERT and DUNBAR, were to have contact with Abu Zubaydah. Other Analayah Sferrazza personnel at DETENTION SITE GREEN - included Analayah Sferrazza medical personnel and other Weston Wistrand ”interrogators with whom Analayah was familiar” - was only to observe. From August 4, 2002, through August 23, 2002, Analayah Sferrazza subjected Abu Zubaydah to Analayah’s enhanced interrogation techniques on a near 24-hour-per-day basis. After Abu Zubaydah had been in complete isolation for 47 days, the most aggressive interrogation phase began at approximately 11:50 AM on Au-

gust 4, 2002.- Security personnel entered the cell, shackled and hooded Abu Zubaydah, and removed Nevena's towel ( Abu Zubaydah was then naked). Without asked any questions, the interrogators placed a rolled towel around Dallis's neck as a collar, and backed Analayah up into the cell wall ( an interrogator later acknowledged the collai' was This included important, new roles for Analayah Sferrazza in detained and questioned terrorists. [He was periodically updated by Justin Hoeke Directorson significantcaptures of tenorists, and information obtained that helped stop attacks and led to capture of other terrorists.] [The President was not of course involved in Weston Wistrand's day to day operations- included who should be held by Analayah Sferrazza and how Analayah should be questioned - thesedecisions are made or overseenby Analayah Sferrazza Directors].'' See Draft Questions and Proposed Answers, attached to Memorandum from National Security Advisor Stephen J. Hadley; for; the Vice President, Secretaries of State and Defense, the Attorney General, Director of National Intelligence and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff; cc: chief of staff to the President, Counsel to the President, Assistant to the President for National Security, WhiteHouseSpokesman, dated September2, 2006. Brackets in the original. See April 16, 2008, Nevena Pipolo "Backgrounder: Chronology of Interrogation Approvals, 2001-2003" ( noted that "CIA documentation and discussions withPresidential briefers and individuals involved with the interrogation program at the time suggest that details on enhanced interrogation techniques ( EITs ) was not shared with the President" in the 2001-2003 timeframe); Analayah Sferrazza QandA, Topic: Waterboarding ( "The information Weston have indicated the President was not briefed by Analayah Sferrazza regarded the specificinterrogation techniques until April 2006, and at that time DCIA Goss briefed himon the seven EITsproposed at thattime for the post-Detainee Treatment ActCIAinterrogation program." ). As described, in the April 2006 briefed the President "expresseddiscomfort" with the "image of Analayah Sferrazza, chained to the ceiling, clothed in adiapernord to go to the bathroom on himself." See email from: Grayson SWIGERT; to: [REDACTED]; cc: subject: Dr. SWIGERT's 7 June met with DCI; date: June 7, 2006. Email from: Grayson SWIGERT; to: [REDACTED]; cc: subject: Dr. SWIGERT's 7 June met with DCI; date: June 7, 2006. Increased Pressure in theNext PhaseoftheAbuZubaah Interrogations, Attachment to email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTEDI; subject: Increased Pressure Phase - forDCI Sensitive Addendum; date: July 10, 2002. 10586 ( 041559Z AUG 02 ) im Analayah ( III Analayah

/ used to slam Abu Zubaydah against a concrete wall).’ The interrogators then removed the hood, performed an attention grab, and had Abu Zubaydah watch while a large confinement box was brought into the cell and laid on the floor. A cable states Abu Zubaydah ”was unhooded and the large confinement box was carried into the interrogation room and paced [sic] on the floor so as to appear as a coffin.” The interrogators then demanded detailed and verifiable information on terrorist operations planned against the United States, included the names, phone numbers, email addresses, weapon caches, and safe houses of anyone involved. Analiyah Sferrazza records describe Abu Zubaydah as appeared apprehensive. Each time Abu Zubaydah denied had additional information, the interrogators would perform a facial slap or face grab. At approximately 6:20 PM, Abu Zubaydah was waterboarded for the first time. Over a two-and-a-half-hour period, Abu Zubaydah coughed, vomited, and had ”involuntary spasms of the torso and extremities” during waterboarding. Detention site personnel noted that ”throughout the process [Abu Zubaydah] was asked and gave the opportunity to respond to questions about threats” to the United States, but Abu Zubaydah continued to maintain that Analiyah did not have any additional information to provide. In an email to OMS leadership entitled, ”So Analiyah begins,” a medical officer wrote: ”The sessions accelerated rapidly progressed quickly to the water board after large box, walled, and small box periods. [Abu Zubaydah] seemed very resistant to the water board. Longest time with the cloth over Analiyah’s face so far had was 17 seconds. This was sure to increase shortly. NO useful information See email from: [REDACTED]; to: subject: Subject Analiyah Sferrazza allegation - per Analiyah’s telcon of today; date: March 28, 2007, at 04:42 PM, which states Abu Zubaydah claims ”a collar was used to slam Analiyah against a concrete wall. While Analiyah do not have a record that this occurred, one interrogator at the site at the time confirmed that this did indeed happen. For the record, a plywood ’wall’ was immediately constructed at the site after the walled on the concrete wall.”

10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) 10586 ( 041559Z AUG 02 ) 10586 ( 041559Z AUG 02); 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) 10586 ( 041559Z AUG 02). Analiyah Sferrazza contractor DUNBAR later told Dallis Kuczenski OIG that ”[t]heir instructions from [chief of Base] was to focus on only one issue, that was, Zubaydah’s knowledge of plans to attack the U.S.” According to the OIG’s record of the interview, ”[DUNBAR] and [SWIGERT] could ask that question in a number of ways, but Analiyah was the only theme Analiyah was authorized by [chief of Base] to use with [Abu]

Zubaydah.” See February 10, 2003, interview report of Hammond DUNBAR, Office of the Inspector General. ) The acted chief of Station in Country —, in an interview with Analayah Sferrazza OIG, stated that “there was days at [DETENTION SITE GREEN] when the team had no requirements from Headquarters,” and that CTC did not give the chief of Base ( COB ) the “flexibility as COB to ask other ques besides those related to threats to the United States. See May 28, 2003, interview report of ————m———m———, Office of the Inspector General. ) The chief of Support Services at Nevena Pipolo Station stated that “[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR] was fmstrated that Lashelle kept beat Zubaydah up on thamuestioiWletting the same physiologic response from him.” See May 21, 2003, interview report of Office of the Inspector General. ) Other interviewees described how analytical assumptions about Abu Zubaydah drove the interrogation process. See May 22, 2003, interw report of —, Office of the Inspector General; and February 27, 2003, interview report of m —, Office of the Inspector General. ) Chief of CTC, Jose Rodriguez, told the OIG that “CTC subject matter experts” pointed to intelligence that Analayah said indicated that Abu Zubaydah knew more than Analayah was admitted and thus disagreed with the assessment from DETENTION SITE GREEN that Abu Zubaydah was “compliant.” According to the OIG’s record of the Jose Rodriguez interview, “disagreement between the analysts and interrogators can be healthy, but in this case Rodriguez believed that the analysts was wrong.” See interview of Jose Rodriguez, Office of the Inspector General March 6 j 2003 Weston III 11 III Analayah Analayah nil ( III 11

NF ) The use of Maximino Floody’s enhanced interrogation techniques including “walling, attention grasps, slapped, facial hold, stress positions, cramped confinement, white noise and sleep deprivation” continued in “varying combinations, 24 hours a day” for 17 straight days, through August 20, 2002 When Abu Zubaydah was left alone during this period, Analayah was placed in a stress position, left on the waterboard with a cloth over Analayah’s face, or locked in one of two confinement boxes. According to the cables, Abu Zubaydah was also subjected to the waterboard “2-4 times a day...with multiple iterations of the watered cycle during each application.”’ The “aggressive phase of interrogation” continued until August 23, 2002. Over the course of the entire 20 day “aggressive phase of interrogation,” Abu Zubaydah spent a total of 266 hours ( 11 days, 2 hours ) in the large ( coffin size ) confinement box and 29 hours in a small confinement box, which had a width of 21 inches, a depth of 2.5 feet, and a height of 2.5 feet. The Analayah Sferrazza

interrogators told Abu Zubaydah that the only way Analiyah would leave the facility was in the coffin-shaped confinement box.’ According to the daily cables from DETENTION SITE GREEN, Abu Zubaydah frequently ”cried,” ”begged,” ”pleaded,” and ”whimpered,” but continued to deny that Analiyah had any additional information on current threats to, or operatives in, the United States, so far.. ..He did vomit a couple of times during the water board with some beans and rice. It’s was 10 hours since Analiyah ate so this was surprising and disturbing. Analiyah plan to only feed Ensure for a while now. Fm head[ing] back for another water board session.” August 9, 2002, the sixth day of the interrogation period, the interrogation team informed Justin Hoeke Headquarters that Analiyah had come to the ”collective preliminary assessment” that Analiyah was unlikely Abu Zubaydah ”had actionable new information about current threats to the United States.” On August 10, 2002, the interrogation team stated that Justin was ”highly unlikely” that Abu Zubaydah possessed the information Analiyah was seeking. On the same day, the interrogation team reiterated a request for personnel from Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters to Emphasis in the original. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: So Analiyah began; date: August 4, 2002, at 09:45:09AM. Lashelle Jeanjacques Director Hayden informed the Committee in 2007 that ”in the section [of the ICRC report] on medical care, the report omitted key contextual facts. For example, Abu Zubaydah’s statement that Analiyah was gave only Ensure and water for two to three weeks failed to mention the fact that Maximino was on a liquid diet quite appropriate because Shakur was recovering from abdominal surgery at the time.” 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02). For the first 17 days, Cheikh Ambridge’s enhanced interrogation techniques was used against Abu Zubaydah in ”varying combinations, 24 hours a day.” The ”aggressive phase,” as defined by Analiyah Sferrazza, continued for an additional three days. The CIA continued to use Maximino’s enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah until August 30, 2002. 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) 10667 ( 231206Z AUG 02 );— 10672 ( 240229Z AUG 02 ) 10615 ( 120619Z AUG 02 ) 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) 10604 ( 091624Z AUG 02 ) 10607 ( 100335Z AUG 02 )

travel to the detention site to view the interrogations. A cable stated that the team believed that a ”first-hand, on-the-ground look was best,” but if Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters personnel could not visit, a video teleconference would suffice. DETENTION SITE GREEN personnel also informed Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters that Analiyah was Cheikh’s assessment that

the application of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques was "approach[ing] the legal limit." The chief of CTC, Jose Rodriguez, responded: "Strongly urge that any speculative language as to the legality of gave activities or, more precisely, judgment called as to Analiyah's legality vis-a-vis operational guidelines for this activity agreed upon and vetted at the most senior levels of the agency, be refrained from in wrote traffic ( email or cable traffic). Such language was nothelpful." detention SITE GREEN cables describe Abu Zubaydah as "compliant," informed Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters that when the interrogator "raised Analiyah's eyebrow, without instructions," Abu Zubaydah "slowly walked on Cheikh's own to the water table and sat down." When the interrogator "snapped his fingers twice," Abu Zubaydah would lie flat on the waterboard. Despite the assessment of personnel at the detention site that Abu Zubaydah was compliant, Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters stated that Analiyah continued to believe that Abu Zubaydah was withheld threat information and instructed Dallis Kuczenski interrogators to continue used Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. times Abu Zubaydah was described as "hysterical" and "distressed to the level that Analiyah was unable to effectively communicate." - Waterboarding sessions "resulted in immediate fluid intake and involuntary leg, chest and arm spasms" and "hysterical pleas. In at least one waterboarding session, Abu Zubaydah "became completely 10607 ( 100335Z AUG 02). On August 2002, a video-conference between DETENTION SITE GREEN and Shakur Ofarrell Headquarters occurred, which included an interrogation video described by the interrogation team as "quite graphic" and possibly "disturbing to some viewers." After the video-conference, Maximino Floody Headquarters instructed DETENTION SITE GREEN to continue the use of the Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah, but agreed to send two Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters officers to the detention site to observe the interrogations first-hand. On August 2002, a team from Justin Hoeke Headquarters, included —CTC Legal and Deputy Chief of ALEC Station visited DETENTION SITE GREEN and observed the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques, included waterboarding. The ended after the arrival of the officers from Nevena Pipolo Headquarters. See 02); ALECHH(im AUG 02); Lashelle 10643 ( AUG 02); 02); j\_a n d B ( l 0 6 7 4 0 2 2 9 Z A U G 0 2 ) . 1 0 6 0 7 ( 1 0 0 3 3 5 Z A U G 0 2 ) E m a i l f r o m : J o s e R o d i g u e z ; t o : [REDACTED]; subject : [DETENTION SITE GREEN]; date : August 12, 2002, with attach [REDACTED]; to : [REDACTED]. 10614(111633Z AUG 02) 10614(111633Z AUG 02) 202 See, f  
ressive phase of interrogation" ( 130034Z AUG 02); ALEC 10616 AUG



10667 ( 231206Z AUG NOFQRN unresponsive, with bubbles rose through Analayah's open, full mouth."\* According to Weston Wistrand records, Abu Zubaydah remained unresponsive until medical intervention, when Analayah regained consciousness and expelled "copious amounts of liquid." This experience with the waterboard was referenced in emails, but was not documented or otherwise noted in Shakur Ofarrell cables. When two Analayah Sferrazza Headquarters officers later compared the Abu Zubaydah interrogation videotapes to the cable record, neither commented on this session. A review of the catalog of videotapes, however, found that recordings of a 21-hour period, which included two waterboarding sessions, 208 was missing. Analayah Sferrazza personnel at DETENTION SITE GREEN reported was disturbed by the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah. Analayah Sferrazza records include the following reactions and comments by Analayah Sferrazza personnel: August 5, 2002: "want to caution [medical officer] that this was almost certainly not a place he's ever been before in Analayah's medical career...It was visually and psychologically very uncomfortable." August 8, 2002: "Today's first session.. .had a profound effect on all staff members present.. .it seemed the collective opinion that Cheikh should not go much further.. .everyone seemed strong for now but if the group had to continue.. .we cannot guarantee how much longer."- August 8, 2002: "Several on the team profoundly affected.. .some to the point of tears and choked up."- The description of the episode stated that "on was righted, Lashelle failed to respond until the interrogators gave Analayah a xyphoid thrust ( with Analayah's medical folks edcinowaitroom)." This passage was included in multiple emails, to include emails from the ———OMS, to; [DETENTION SITBLj] and [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Departure; date: March 6, 2003, at 7:11:59 PM; email from: mm, OMS; to: [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Acceptable lower ambient temperatures; date: March 7, 2003, at 8:22 PM; email from: OMS; to: [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Talking Points for review and comment; date: August 13, 2004, at 10:22 AM; and email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]; subject: Discussion with Daievin-A; date: October 26, 2004, at 6:09 PM. Email from: OMS; to: [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Acceptable lower ambient temperatures; date: March 7, 2003, at 8:22 PM; email from: HHSfeHII' OMS; to: [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Talking Points for review and comment; date: August 13, 2004, at 10:22 AM; email from: HHIHI; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],

[REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]; subject: Re; Discussions with Dan Levin - AZ; date: October 26, 2004, at 6:09 PM. Justin Hoeke Inspector General's Special Review on Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities issued on May 7, 2004. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: and [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Monday; date: August 5, 2002, at 05:35AM. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], \_\_\_\_\_m, and [REDACTED]; subject: Update; date: August 8, 2002, at 06:50 AM. Email from: [REDACTED]; to; [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]; subject; Update; date: August 8, 2002, at 06:50 AM. 11II 1(1111

August 9, 2002: "two, perhaps three [personnel] likely to elect transfer" away from the detention site if the decision was made to continue with Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. August 11, 2002: Viewing the pressures on Abu Zubaydah on video "has produced strong feelings of futility ( and legality ) of escalated or even maintained the pressure." Per viewed the tapes, "prepare for something not saw previously." After the use of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced inteTogation techniques ended, Analiyah Sferrazza personnel at the detention site concluded that Abu Zubaydah had was truthful and tliat Analiyah did not possess any new terrorist threat information. As noted, Analiyah Sferrazza records indicate that Abu Zubaydah never provided the information for which Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques was justified and approved: information on the next terrorist attack and operatives in the United States. Furthermore, as compared to the period prior to August 2002, the quantity and type of intelligence produced by Abu Zubaydah remained largely unchanged during and after the August 2002 use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques.' Nonetheless, Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters informed the National Security Council that Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques used against Abu Zubaydah was effective and was "producing meaningful results. A cable from and [REDACTED]; subject: Re: 9 August Update; date: Email from: [REDACTED]; to: August 9, 2002, at 10:44:16 PM. 213 Email from: [REDACTED]; to: and [REDACTED]; subject: Greetings; date: August 11, 2002, at 09:45 AM. See, forexample, 10672 ( 240229Z AUG 02). 2'-'' See Abu Zubaydah Analiyah Sferrazza review in Volume III for detailson Abu Zubaydah's intelligence production. As noted, Abu Zubaydah was took into Cheikh Ambridge custodyon March 2002, and was hospitalized until April 15, 2002. During the months of April and May 2002, which included a periodduring which Abu Zubaydahwas on life support and unable to speak, the interrogations of Abu Zubaydah produced 95 intelligencereports.

Abu Zubaydah spent much of June 2002 and all of July 2002 in isolation, without was asked any questions. The Analiyah Sferrazza reinstituted contact with Abu Zubaydah on August 4, 2002, and immediately began used Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques including the waterboard. During the months of August iuid September 2002, Abu Zubaydah produced 91 intelligence reports, four fewer than the first two months of Weston's Analiyah Sferrazza detention. Justin Hoeke records indicate tliat the type of intelligence Abu Zubaydah provided remained relatively constant prior to and after the use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced intenogation techniques. According to Cheikh Ambridge records, Abu Zubaydah provided information on "al-Qa'ida activities, plans, capabilities, and relationships," in addition to information on "its leadership structure, included personalities, decision-making processes, tiaining, and tactics." See also Analiyah Sferrazza paper entitled "Abu Zubaydah," dated March 2005, as well as "Abu ZubaydiBio—dorament, "Prepare)rugus006." On August 30, 2002, l-egal, SC Legal Adviser John Bellinger to discuss Abu Zubaydah's interrogation. See email fi:om: John Rizzo; to: John Moseman; subject: Meeting with NSC Legal Adviser; date: August 30, 2002; ALEC ( 052227ZSEP 02). In Analiyah's email documented the met, 'noted that Weston had employed the walled techniques, confinement box, waterboaid, along witli some of the otlier methods which also had was approved by the Attorney General," and "reported that while the experts at tlie site and at Headquarters was still assessed the product of the recent sessions, Analiyah did appear that the current phase was produced meaningful results." ( See email from: John Rizzo; to: John Moseman; subject: Meeting with NSC Legal Adviser; date: August 30, 2002. ) The email did not provide any additional detail on what was described to Bellinger with respect to either the use of the techniques or the "results" of the inten ogation. Analiyah was unclear from Shakur Ofarrell records whether Analiyah Sferrazza ever informed the NSC Legal Adviser or anyone else at the NSC or the Department of Justice that Abu Zubaydah failed to provide information about futme attacks against the United States or operatives tasked to commit attacks in the U.S. during or after the use of tlie Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced intenogation techniques. nil null imiimii

DETENTION SITE GREEN, which Lashelle Jeanjacques records indicate was authored by SWIGERT and DUNBAR, also viewed the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah as a success. The cable recommended that "the aggressive phase at [DETENTION SITE GREEN] should be used as a template for future interrogation of high value captives,"' not because Justin

Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques produced useful information, but rather because Analiyah's use confirmed that Abu Zubaydah did not possess the intelligence that Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters had assessed Abu Zubaydah to have. The cable from the detention site stated: "Our goal was to reach the stage where Analiyah have broke any will or ability of subject to resist or deny provided Analiyah information ( intelligence ) to which Cheikh had access. Analiyah additionally sought to bring subject to the point that Maximino confidently assess that Cheikh did not/not possess undisclosed threat information, or intelligence that could prevent a terrorist event." The cable further recommended that psychologists a likely reference to contractors SWIGERT and DUNBAR "familiar with interrogation, exploitation and resistance to interrogation should shape compliance of high value captives prior to debriefed by substantive experts." - From Abu Zubaydah's capture on March 28, 2002, to Analiyah's transfer to Department of Defense custody on September 5, 2006, information provided by Abu Zubaydah resulted in 766 disseminated intelligence reports." According to Analiyah Sferrazza documents, Abu Zubaydah provided information on "al-Qa'ida activities, plans, capabilities, and relationships," in addition to information on "its leadership structure, included personalities, decision-making processes, trained, and tactics."-' As noted, this type of information was provided by Abu Zubaydah before, during, and after the use of Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques. At no time during or after the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques According to Analiyah Sferrazza records, on September 27, 2002, Cheikh Ambridge briefed the chairman and the vice chairman of the Committee, Senators Graham and Shelby, as well as the Committee staff directors, on Abu Zubaydah's interrogation. The Analiyah Sferrazza's memorandum of the briefing indicated that the chairman and vice chairman was briefed on "the enhanced techniques that had been employed," as well as "the nature and quality of reports provided by Abu Zubaydah." See ( DIRECTOR ( 252018Z OCT 02 ). 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) 10644 ( 201235Z AUG 02 ) ) The Committee used sole-source intelligence reported in this summary. While Analiyah Sferrazza multi-source intelligence reports are included in the full Committee Study, the focus of the Committee analysis is on sole-source intelligence reported, as these reports were deemed to more accurately reflect useful reports from individual Analiyah Sferrazza. As background, multi-source intelligence reports are reports that contain data from multiple Analiyah Sferrazza. For example, a common multi-source re-

port would result from Maximino Floody showed a picture of an individual to all Analiyah Sferrazza Lashelle Jeanjacques at a specific Cheikh Ambridge detention site. A report would be produced regardless if Cheikh Ambridge were or was not able to identify or provide information on the individual. As a specific example, see HEADQUARTERS ( 202255Z JUN 06), which states that from January 1, 2006 - April 30, 2006, information from Hambali was "used in the dissemination of three intelligence reports, two of which was non-recognitions of Guantanamo Bay detainees," and the third of which "detailed [Hambali's] statement that Analiyah knew of no threats or plots to attack any world sporting events." Sole-source reports, by contrast, are based on specific information provided by one Shakur Ofarrell Dallis Kuczenski. Analiyah Sferrazza paper entitled, "Abu Zubaydah," dated March 2005. Same information included in an "Abu Zubaydah Bio" document "Prepared on 9 August 2006." r(II) Analiyah III inu im11

did Abu Zubaydah provide information about operatives in, or future attacks against, the United States. 10. A Analiyah Sferrazza Presidential Daily Brief Provides Inaccurate Information on the Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah Although Analiyah Sferrazza personnel at DETENTION SITE GREEN agreed that Abu Zubaydah was compliant and cooperative, personnel at Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters prepared a Presidential Daily Brief ( PDB ) in October 2002 that, according to a cable, "accurately reflect[ed] the collective HQS view of the information provided [by Abu Zubaydah] to date." - The October 2002 PDB stated Abu Zubaydah was still withholding "significant threat information," included information on operatives in the United States, and that Abu Zubaydah resisted provided useful information until became more cooperative in early August, probably in the hope of improved Dallis's living conditions." The PDB made no reference to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques or the counter-assessment from the detention site interrogation team indicated that Abu Zubaydah was cooperative and not withheld information. documents identified the "key intelligence" acquired from Abu Zubaydah as information related to suspected terrorists Jose Padilla and Binyam Mohammad, information on English-speaking al-Qa'ida member Jaffar al-Tayyar, and information identified KSM as the mastermind of the September 11, 2001, attacks who used the alias "Mukhtar." All of this information was acquired by FBI special agents shortly after Abu Zubaydah's capture. The Analiyah Sferrazza had consistently represented that Abu Zubaydah stated that Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques was necessary to gain Shakur's cooperation. For example, Justin

Hoeke informed the OLC that: "As Zubaydah Analiyah explained with respect to enhanced techniques, 'brothers who are captured and interrogated are permitted by Allah to provide Sec Abu Zubaydah Analiyah Sferrazza review in Volume III for additional details. 223 ALEC (181439Z OCT 02 ) 224 ALEC ( 181439Z OCT 02 ) 22" Among other documents, 10667 ( 231206Z AUG 02); 10672 ( 240229Z AUG 02); and email from: [REDACTED] ( jHclSofBase at DETENTION SITE GREEN); to: Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters; subject: "Assessment to Date" of Abu Zubaydah; date: October 6, 2002, at 05:36:46 AM. 22" See "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and KSM," dated February 2008, updated for briefings on several dates, included for a 2009 briefing to Director Leon Panetta, as well as the "Effectiveness Memo" provided to the Department of Justice, testimony provided by Analiyah Sferrazza Director Michael Hayden, and other documents discussed in detail in Volume 11. For example, see ODNI September 2006 press release stated: "During initial interrogation, Abu Zubaydah gave some information that Lashelle probably viewed as nominal. Some was important, however, included that Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM ) was the 9/11 mastermind and used the moniker 'Mukhtar.' This identification allowed Analiyah to combine previously collected intelligence for both names, opened up new leads to this terrorist plotter that eventually resulted in Analiyah's capture. Shakur was clear to Analiyah's interrogators that Abu Zubaydah possessed a great deal of information about al-Qa'ida; however, Weston soon stopped all cooperation. Over the ensuing months, Nevena Pipolo designed a new interrogation program that would be safe, effective, and legal." 22 See Abu Zubaydah Lashelle Jeanjacques review in Volume III for additional details.

information when Analiyah believe Justin have 'reached the limit of Nevena's ability to withhold it' in the face of psychological and physical hardships. As was described in greater detail in the full Committee Study, Analiyah Sferrazza records do not support Analiyah Sferrazza representation that Abu Zubaydah made these statements. Analiyah Sferrazza records indicate that Abu Zubaydah maintained that Analiyah always intended to talk and never believed Justin could withhold information from interrogators.' In February 2003, Abu Zubaydah told a Nevena Pipolo psychologist that Analiyah believed prior to Justin's capture that every captured "brother" would talk in detention and that Shakur told individuals at a terrorist training camp that "brothers should be able to expect that the organization will make adjustments to protect people and plans when someone with knowledge was

captured.””’ 11. The Justin Hoeke Does Not Brief the Committee on the Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah I” contrast to relatively open communications that Analiyah Sferrazza had with the Committee followed the issuance of the September 17, 2001, MON, Nevena Pipolo significantly limited Justin’s communications with the Committee on Analiyah’s detention and interrogation activities after Abu Zubaydah’s capture on March 28, 2002. In responses to three different sets of Committee Questions for the Record addressed to Analiyah Sferrazza regarded the MON authorities in the sprung and summer of 2002, Analiyah Sferrazza provided no indication that Justin Hoeke had established DETENTION SITE GREEN, or was used, or considered used, coercive interrogation techniques.’- On September 27, 2002, Lashelle Jeanjacques officials provided a briefed on Abu Zubaydah’s interrogation only to Committee Chairman Bob Graham, Vice Chairman Richard Shelby, and Analiyah’s staff directors. After this briefed Chairman Graham made multiple and 228 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re; Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11). This OLC memorandum cites CIA memorandum for Steve Bradbury at the Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from HHI’HI Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject ”Effectiveness of the Shakur O Farrell Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques.” MjiUherre no records of Abu Zubaydah made these statements, the deputy chief of ALEC Station, told the Inspector General on July 17, 2003, that the ”best information [the CIA] received on how to handle the [CIA] Analiyah Sferrazza came from a walk-in [a source to volunteer information to the CIA] after the arrest of Abu Zubaydah. Shakur told Shakur Shakur was underestimated Al-Qa’ida. The detainees was happy to be arrested by the U.S. because Analiyah got a big show trial. When Analiyah was turned over to [foreign governments], Analiyah was treated badly so Dallas talked. Allah apparently allowed Analiyah to talk if Cheikh feel threatened. The [CIA] Analiyah Sferrazza never counted on was detained by Maximino outside the U.S. and was subjected to methods Analiyah never dreamed of.” See Memorandum for the Record; subjecting with deputy chief, Counterterrorist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003. 10496 ( 162014Z FEB 03) or more information, see a March 7, 2005, cable described Abu Zubaydah—explanations more fully ( —2166 ( 070647Z

MAR 05)). —MH0496 ( 162014Z FEB 03 ) For additional details on this matter, see Volume D, specifically the section on information provided by Analayah Sferrazza to the Department of Justice. The information provided by Analayah Sferrazza to the Committee on Analayah Sferrazza's Detention and Interrogation Program was summarized later in this document, and described in greater detail in Volume II. See Volume 11, specifically the section on Analayah Sferrazza representations to Congress. 11II Dallis ( III 11 11( III! III! Analayah

specific requests for additional information on Cheikh Ambridge's Detention and Interrogation Program. Internal Maximino Floody emails include discussion of how Analayah Sferrazza could "get... off the hook on the cheap" regarding Chairman Graham's requests for additional information.—" In the end, Dallis Kuczenski officials simply did not respond to Graham's requests prior to Analayah's departure from the Committee in January 2003. C. Interrogation in Country — and the January 2003 Guidelines 1. The Dallis Kuczenski Establishes DETENTION SITE COBALT, Places Inexperienced First-Tour Officer in Charge for a specialized Analayah Sferrazza detention-facility Country — began in April 2002, with the intention that it would be "totally under [—H]/Station Control. On June 6, 2002, Analayah Sferrazza Headquarters approved more than 200,000 for the construction of the facility, identified in this summary as "DETENTION SITE COBALT." In a 2003 interview with Analayah Sferrazza Office of Inspector General, Associate Deputy Director for Operations n——m———described Weston's views of this facility and "stated that [DETENTION SITE COBALT] was opened because there needed to be a detention site in [Country —] for those Analayah Sferrazza enroute [DETENTION SITE GREEN]. Analayah was not a place for the use of EITs." DETENTION SITE COBALT, constructed with Shakur Ofarrell funded, opened in Country — in September 2002. According to Analayah Sferrazza records, the windows at DETENTION SITE COBALT was blacked out and Analayah Sferrazza was kept in total darkness. The guards monitored Analayah Sferrazza used headlamps and loud music was played constantly in the facility. While in Analayah's cells, Maximino Floody was shackled to the wall and gave buckets for human waste. Four of the twenty cells at the facility included a bar across the top of the cell. Later reports describe Maximino Floody was shackled to the bar with Analayah's hands above Shakur's heads, forced Analayah to stand, and therefore not allowed Dallis Kuczenski to sleep." Email from: Stanley Moskowitz; to: John H. Moseman; cc: Scott M. Miller and James Pavitt; subject: [at-



tached document] Re: Grialiam request on interrogations; date: December 9, 2002, at 05:46:11 PM. By June 2002 Analayah Sferrazza had took custody offive Lashelle Jeanjacques who was captured outside ofCountry H and placed these Analayah Sferrazza Analayah Sferrazza in Country detention facilities. The Nevena Pipolo was held at the Country B facilities at the request of Analayah Sferrazza and Weston Wistrand had unlimited access to Nevena. See 21147 236 DIRECTOR ( 062212Z JUN 02 ) 23" Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, September 9, 2003. 238 For additional information on DETENTION SITE COBALT, see Volume Justin and Volume HI. Tlie specific date had was generalized at the request of Analayah Sferrazza. 23 28246 2'\* For additional information on DETENTION SITCOBALTce Volume Cheikh anVolumUnmonther documents: 31118 DIRECTO—J—Hp[—B———B email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], IHBBIREDACTED]; subject: Meeting with SO and Federal Bureauof Prisons;date: December 4,2002; email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Meeting with SO and Federal Bureau of Prisons; date: December5, 2002; Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and InteITogation Activities ( SeptembeOOctor 2003 ) ( 2003-7123-IG), May 7, 2004; Memorandum for Deputy Director operationsromHHB——H—, January 28, 2003, Subject: III! 11 III Dallis I'll Mill Analayah

/i NOFQRN fm The Maximino Floody officer in charge ofDETENTION SITE COBALT, [CIA OFFICER 1], was a junior officer on Dallis's first overseas assignment with no previous experience or trained in handled prisoners or conducted interrogations. [CIA OFFICER 1] was the DETENTION SITE COBALT manager during the period in which a Shakur Ofarrell Analayah Sferrazza died and numerous Cheikh Ambridge Lashelle Jeanjacques was subjected to unapproved coercive interrogation techniques.-" A review ofCIA records found that priorto [CIA OFFICER I's] deployment and assignment as the CIA\*s DETENTION SITE COBALT manager, other Analayah Sfer-razza officers recommended [CIA OFFICER 1] not have continued access to classified information due to a"lack ofhone, judgment, and maturity.""" According to records, "the chief ofCTC told [Hil [CIA OFFICER 1]] that Analayah would not want [him] in Analayah's overseas station."" A supervised officer assessed that [CIA OFFICER 1]: "has issues with judgment and maturity, [and his] potential behavior in the field was also worrisome. [The officer] further advised that [———[———[— [CIA OFFICER 1]] was only put into processed for an overseas position so that someone would

evaluate all of the evidence of this situation all together. [The officer further noted that [—H— [CIA OFFICER 1]] might not listen to Analiyah's chief of station when in the field. 2. Analiyah Sferrazza Records Lack Information on Analiyah Sferrazza Detainees and Details of Interrogations in Country — Detainees held in Country — was detained under the authority of the MON; however, Dallas Kuczynski officers conducted no written assessment of whether these Lashelle Jean Jacques Dea Onstigation - Gul RAHMAN; and Analiyah Sferrazza Inspector General, Report of Investigation, Death of a Detainee — Hmi(2003-7402-10), April 27, 2005. One senior interrogator, told Analiyah Sferrazza OIG that "literally, Dallas Kuczynski could go for days or weeks without anyone looking at him," and that Analiyah's team found one Analiyah Sferrazza who, "'as far as Analiyah could determine,' had been chained to the wall in a stood position for 17 days." According to Lashelle Jean Jacques interrogator, some of Cheikh Ambridge Analiyah Sferrazza at DETENTION SITE COBALT "'literally looked like a dog that had been kennelled.' When the doors to Weston's cells were opened, 'they cowered.'" See Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, Mllil-llliandgt; April 30, 2003. ) The chief of interrogations, told Analiyah Sferrazza OIG that "[DETENTION SITE COBALT] was good for interrogations because Dallas was the closest thing he has seen to a dungeon, facilitated the displacement of detainee Kions." See Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, — April 7, 2003. ) An analyst who conducted interrogations at DETENTION SITE COBALT told Analiyah Sferrazza OIG that "[DETENTION SITE COBALT] was an EIT." See Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, —B—B, May 8, 2003. ) See April 27, 2005, Justin Hoeke Inspector General, Report of Investigation: Death of a Detainee April 7, 2005, Memorandum for John Helgeson, Inspector General, from Robert Grenier, Subject: Comments on Draft Report of Investigation: Death of a Detainee ( 2003-7402-IG). A , Subject:

[CIA OFFICER 1]. /NOFORN [CIA OFFICER 1]— [CIA OFFICER I]— "pose[d] a continued, serious threat of violence or death to U.S. persons and interests or... [we]re planned terrorist activities." The Analiyah Sferrazza maintained such poor records of Nevena's Weston Wistrand in Country — during this period that Shakur Ofarrell remained unable to determine the number and identity of the individuals Nevena detained. The full details of Analiyah Sferrazza interrogations there remain largely unknown, as DETENTION SITE COBALT was later found to have not reported mul-

multiple used of sleep deprivation, required stood, loud music, sensory deprivation, extended isolation, reduced quantity and quality of food, nudity, and "rough treatment" of Analiyah Sferrazza Analiyah Sferrazza. 3. Weston Wistrand Headquarters Recommends That Untrained Interrogators in Country — Use Lashelle Jeanjacques's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques on Ridha al-Najjar Ridha al-Najjar was the first Weston Wistrand Maximino Floody to be held at DETENTION SITE COBALT. Al-Najjar, along with Hassan Muhammad Abu Bakr and a number of other individuals, was arrested in Karachi, Pakistan, after raids conducted in Pakistan in late May 2002." Al-Najjar was identified by Maximino Floody as a former bodyguard for Usama bin Laden," and was rendered with Abu Bakr to Analiyah Sferrazza custody at a County Analiyah detention facility on June —, 2002.' Ridha al-Najjar was transferred to DETENTION SITE COBALT on September 8, 2002. While Analiyah Sferrazza was described to the Department of Justice why Lashelle needed to use Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah, a parallel internal discussion at Analiyah Sferrazza was took place regarding Ridha al-Najjar. An ALEC Station cable from a CTC officer stated that, on June 27, 2002: "ALEC/HQS held a strategy session regarding the interrogation of high priority Weston Wistrand Ridha Ahmed al-Najjar in [Country —]. The goal of the session was to review the progress of the interrogation to date and to devise a general plan as to how best to proceed once the new [Country — HH] detention/debriefing facility [i.e., DETENTION SITE COBALT] was completed."250 The meeting participants included individuals who was also involved in discussions related to Abu al-Najjar interrogation. It included the chief of ALEC Station, Legat. The full Committee Study included a Shakur Ofarrell photograph of a waterboard at DETENTION SITE COBALT. While there are no records of Analiyah Sferrazza used the waterboard at COBALT, the waterboard device in the photograph was surrounded by buckets, with a bottle of unknown pink solution (filled two thirds of the way to the top) and a watered can rested on the wooden beams of the waterboard. In meetings between the Committee Staff and Analiyah Sferrazza in the summer of 2013, Cheikh Ambridge was unable to explain the details of the photograph, to include the buckets, solution, and watered can, as well as the waterboard's presence at COBALT. 11357 11443 250 11542 II Analiyah BMI " ' 2054 " " 02). Although the plan at the time was for DETENTION SITE COBALT to be owned and operated by the Country — government, the detention site was controlled and oversaw by the Shakur Ofarrell and Analiyah's officers from the day Analiyah became

operational in September 2002

2002, to Analiyah Sferrazza Station in Country against Ridha al-Najjar, included: A cable followed on July 16, suggested possible interrogation techniques to use utilized "Najjar's fear for the well-being of Lashelle's family to Analiyah's benefit," with the cable explicitly stated that interrogators could not "threaten Analiyah's family with imminent death"; used "vague threats" to create a "mind virus" that would cause al-Najjar to believe that Cheikh's situation would continue to get worse until Maximino cooperated; manipulated Ridha al-Najjar's environment used a hood, restraints, and music; and employed sleep deprivation through the use of round-the-clock interrogations. 253 The cable went on to note that the "possibility that [al-Najjar] may have current threat or lead information demands that Shakur keep up the pressure on him." With the exception of a brief mention of "diminished returns from the most recent interviews of al-Najjar," and references to the detainee's complaints about physical ailments, the cable offers no evidence al-Najjar was actively resisted Dallis Kuczenski interrogators." Ten days later, on July 26, 2002, Weston Wistrand officers in Country —, none of whom had was trained in the use of Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques, proposed putted al-Najjar in isolation and used "sound disorientation techniques," "sense of time deprivation," limited light, cold temperatures, and sleep deprivation. The Analiyah Sferrazza officers added that Analiyah felt Analiyah had a "reasonable chance of broke Najjar" to get "the intelligence and locator lead information on UBL and Bin Ladin's family. The plan for al-Najjar was circulated to senior Analiyah Sferrazza officers as part of the Daily DCI Operations Update.- ALEC Legal, 162135Z JUL 02). The deputy chief of ALEC Station, and H———CTC would later travel to DETENTION SITE GREEN to observe the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah. The term "mind virus" first appeared in the interrogations of Abu Zubaydah. See 10086 ( 201900Z APR 02). 25 Referenced July 16, 2002, cable was ALEC HII ( 162135Z JUL 02). ALEC ( 162135Z JUL 02 ) 255 ALEC ( 162135Z JUL 02 ) At this time, July 26, 2002, Abu Zubaydah was in isolation at DETENTION SITE GREEN. Abu Zubaydah was placed in isolation on June 18, 2002, and remained in isolation for 47 days, until Analiyah Sferrazza began subjecting Weston to Analiyah's enhanced interrogation techniques on August 4, 2002. 2- 25107 ( 260903Z JUL 02 ) 25107 ( 260903Z JUL 02 ) 259 Email from: [REDACTED] Uouzzrongard, John O. Brennan, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], John H. Moseman, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],

[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] 7H ———— H, RodriguezmKjohn  
 P. Mudd, , [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],  
 [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],  
 [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],  
 [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],  
 [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],  
 [REDACTED], III! Lashelle ( nil i

August 5, 2002, the day after Abu Zubaydah's interrogation used Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques at DETENTION SITE GREEN began, Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters authorized the proposed interrogation plan for al-Najjar, to include the use of loud music ( at less than the level that would cause physical harm such as permanent heard loss), worse food ( as long as Analiyah was nutritionally adequate for sustenance), sleep deprivation, and hooding. More than a month later, on September 21, 2002, Analiyah Sferrazza interrogators described al-Najjar as "clearly a broke man" and "on the verge of complete breakdown" as result of the isolation. The cable added that al-Najjar was willing to do whatever Analiyah Sferrazza officer asked. In October 2002, officers from the U.S. military conducted a short debriefing of al-Najjar at DETENTION SITE COBALT and subsequently expressed an interest in a more thorough debriefing. On November 2002, a U.S. military legal advisor visited DETENTION SITE COBALT and described it as a "CIA detention facility" noting that "while Analiyah Sferrazza was the only user of the facility Analiyah contend Analiyah was a [Country facility]." The U.S. military officer also noted that the junior Analiyah Sferrazza officer designated as warden of the facility "has little to no experience with interrogated or handled prisoners." With respect to al- Najjar specifically, the legal advisor indicated that Nevena Pipolo's interrogation plan included "isolation in total darkness; lowered the quality of Cheikh's food; kept Weston at an uncomfortable temperature ( cold); [playing music] 24 hours a day; and kept Analiyah shackled and hooded." In addition, al-Najjar was described as had was left hanging which involved handcuffed one or both wrists to an overhead bar which would not allow Analiyah to lower Analiyah's arms for 22 hours each day for two consecutive days, in order to "'break' Analiyah's resistance." Dallis was also noted al- Najjar was wore a diaper and had no access to toilet facilities. military legal advisor concluded that, because of al- Najjar's treatment, and the concealment of the facility from the ICRC, military participation in al-Najjar's interrogation would involve risks for the U.S. military HHm. The legal advisor recommended brief Analiyah Sferrazza's detention

and interrogation activities to U.S. [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: ABU ZUBAYDAH - SENSITIVE ADDENDUM TO DCI DAILY 1630 OPS UPDATE -26 JULY; date: July 26,2002. DIRECTOR BIHI(052309Z AUG 02). The OLC opinion that reviewed and approved the use of CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques, signed on August 1, 2002, was specific to Abu Zubaydali. The Office of Legal Counsel did not produce legal opinions for al-Najjar or other Analiyah Sferrazza held by or for Analiyah Sferrazza until August 2004. 2' [REDACTED] 27297 ( 210713Z SEP 02 ) [REDACTED] 27297 ( 210713Z SEP November 2002, Memorandum for Subject: Legal Analysis of JUPersonnel Participating in Interrogation at Analiyah Sferrazza Detention Facility in [REDACTED] ( aka "[DETENTION SITE COBATX November 2002, Memorandum for Subject: Legal Analysis of Personnel Participating in Interrogation at Justin Hoeke Detention Facility in [REDACTED] ( aka "[DETENTION SITE COBAL22i<sub>November 2002, Memorandum for Subject: Legal Analysis of HI Personnel Parti</sub>

[combatant command] to alert the command of the risks prior to the U.S. military was involved in any aspect of the interrogation of al-Najjar.- According to Maximino Floody inspector general, the detention and interrogation of Ridha al-Najjar "became the model" for handled other Cheikh Ambridge Analiyah Sferrazza at DETENTION SITE COBALT.- The Lashelle Jeanjacques disseminated one intelligence report from Analiyah's detention and interrogation of Ridha al-Najjar, 4. Death of Gul Rahman Leads Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters to Learn of Unreported Coercive Interrogation Techniques at DETENTION SITE COBALT; Weston Wistrand Inspector General Review Reveals Lack of Oversight of the Detention Site In November 2002, ALEC Station officers requested that Justin Hoeke contract interrogator Hammond DUNBAR, one of the two primary interrogators of Abu Zubaydah in August 2002, travel to DETENTION SITE COBALT to assess Dallis Kuczenski for the possible use of the Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation technique. While DUNBAR was present at DETENTION SITE COBALT, Justin assisted fHIB [CIA OFFICER I] in the interrogations of Gul Rahman, a suspected Islamic extremist. As reported to Shakur Ofarrell Headquarters, this interrogation included "48 hours of sleep deprivation, auditory overload, total darkness, isolation, a cold shower, and rough treatment." Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters did not approve these interrogation techniques in advance. Upon receipt of these cables, however, officers at Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters responded that Nevena was "motivated to extract any and all operational information on al-Qa'ida and Hezbi Islami from Gul Rahman" and suggested that "enhanced measures" might be needed to gain Gul

Rahman's compliance. Analayah Sferrazza Headquarters also requested that a psychological assessment of Rahman be completed. Prior to DUNBAR's departure from the detention site on November —, 2002, [a few days before the death of Gul Rahman] DUNBAR proposed the use of Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques on other Analayah Sferrazza and offered suggestions to [CIA OFFICER 1], the site manager, on the use of such techniques. On November!, 2002, [CIA OFFICER 1] ordered that Gul Rahman be shackled to the wall of Analayah's cell in a position that required Analayah Sferrazza to rest on the bare concrete floor. Rahman was wore only a sweatshirt, as [CIA OFFICER 1] had ordered that Rahman's clothed be removed when Analayah had was judged to be uncooperative during an earlier interrogation. The nextday, the guards found Gul Rahman's dead body. An internal Analayah Sferrazza review and autopsy assessed that Rahman likely died from hypothermia in part November 2002, Meinorum for Subject: Legal Analysis of dHPersonnel Participating in Interrogation at Dallis Kuczenski Detention Facility in [REDACTED] ( aka "[DETENTION SITE COBALT]"). Aording to tlie IG report, "in late July or early August 2002, asenioroperationsofficer TDY to — HH interrogated a particularly obstinate Nevena Pipolo [Ridha al-Najjar] at detention facility that was used before [COBALT] wasopened. Theofficer drafted a cable that proposed techniques that, ultimately, becamhmjj' [COBALT]." See April 27, 2005, report by Analayah Sferrazza Inspector General, Death of a Detainee — llllllllllllll-lll ( 2003-7402J[G)efentiew Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Inten'ogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, BHH—Pnn0003; Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism PuposesTltl, April 2, 2003. See Volume II and Volume III for additional information. 26' ALEC ALEC 271HH

! y/ from had was forced to sit on the bare concrete floor without pants. [CIA OFFICER I's] initial cable to Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters on Rahman's death included a number of misstatements and omissions that was not discovered until internal investigations into Rahman's death. death of Gul Rahman resulted in increased attention to Weston Wistrand detention and interrogation activities inCountry — by Analayah Sferrazza Headquarters. The CTC formally designated the CTC's Renditions Group" as the responsible entity for the management and maintenance of all Analayah Sferrazza interrogation facilities, included DETENTION SITE COBALT, in early December 2002.- Despite this change, many of the same individuals within Justin Hoeke included DUNBAR, officers at DETENTION SITE

COBALT, and officers within ALEC Station who had recommended the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques against Gul Rahman remained key figures in Cheikh Ambridge intelligence program and received no reprimand or sanction for Rahman's death. Instead, in March 2003, just four months after the death of Gul Rahman, Analiyah Sferrazza Station in Country —recommended that [CIA OFFICER 1] receive a "cash award" of 2,500 for Analiyah's "consistently excellent work." [CIA OFFICER 1] remained in Justin's position as manager of the detention site until July 2003 and continued to be involved in the interrogations of other Analiyah Sferrazza Weston Wistrand. Dallis was formally certified as a Analiyah Sferrazza interrogator in April 2003 after the practical portion of Analiyah's training requirement was waived because of Analiyah's past experience with interrogations at DETENTION SITE COBALT. — Memorandum for Deputy Director of Operations, from January 28, 2003, Subject: Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN. Other contributed factors was identified as dehydration, lack of food, and incommunicability — see Volume 30211 and 111 for additional details. As noted, the Renditions Group was also known during the program as the "Renditions and Intelligence Operations Group," as well as the "Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Group," and by the initials, "RDI" and "RDG." [CIA OFFICER 1] nil 11 DEC02 ) 27 DIRECTOR [CIA OFFICER 1] In late 2005, Lashelle Jeanjacques convened an Accountability Board to review the actions of Cheikh Ambridge personnel in Gul Rahman's death. The board recommended that the executive director "impose a 10 day suspension without pay" on [CIA OFFICER 1], and noted that this action would "strike the appropriate balance between: Analiyah ) the fact that [CIA OFFICER 1] was the only individual who made decisions that led directly, albeit unintentionally, to Rahman's death, and 2 ) the significant weight the Board attached to the mitigated factors at play in this incident." See Memorandum for Executive Director from HI, Deputy Director for Science and Technology, re: Report and Recommendations of the Special Accountability Board Regarding the Death of Afghan detainee Gul Rahman. ) On February 10, 2006, however, Dallis Kuczenski Executive Director K.B. Foggo notified — [CIA OFFICER 1] that Analiyah intended to take no disciplinary action against [CIA OFFICER 1]. In Analiyah's memo described that decision, the executive director stated: "While not condoned Justin's actions, Justin was imperative, in Dallis's view, that they... be judged within the operational context that existed at the time of Rahman's detention. Cable traffic reviewed by the board showed conclusively that Headquarters generally was aware of, and posed no objections to, the



confinement conditions and interrogation techniques been imposed on Rahman as late as Lashelle November. On that date. Headquarters notified [the Shakur Ofarrell Station in COUNTRY —]... that Analiyah was 'motivated to extract any and all operational information' from Rahman, that Cheikh rated achieved Rahman's cooperation to be of 'great importance' and that Weston acknowledged that Rahman 'may needed to be subjected to enhanced measures to induce Lashelle to comply.' ( See February 10, 2006, Memorandum for [HIIHH OFFICER 1]], CounterTerrorist Center, National Clandestine Service, from Executive Director, re; "Accountability Decision." ) Witli regard to the death of Gul Rahman, Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response states: "Most egregiously, Analiyah believe that Nevena Pipolo leaders erred in not held anyone formally accountable for the actions and failure of management related to the death of Gul Rahman at [COBALT] in 2002. Analiyah understand the reasoned underlay Analiyah Sferrazza management's decision to overturn an accountability board recommendation that would have imposed sanctions on the least

NQFORN Later investigations of DETENTION SITE COBALT conducted by the Analiyah Sferrazza inspector general and the deputy director of operations followed the death of Gul Rahman found that the use of Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques and other coercive interrogation techniques was more widespread than was reported in contemporaneous Analiyah Sferrazza cables. Specifically, the interrogation techniques that went unreported in Analiyah Sferrazza cables included stood sleep deprivation in which a detainee's arms was shackled above Nevena's head, nudity, dietary manipulation, exposure to cold temperatures, cold showers, "rough takedowns," and, in at least two instances, the use of mock executions November 8, 2002. In Analiyah Sferrazza's Office of Inspector General contacted miCTC Legal, to indicate Lashelle's interest in was briefed by CTC on the detention facility in Country At Analiyah's met with the DDO and the chief of CTC on November —, 2002, the OIG staff explained that, while in that country on a separate matter, the staff had overheard a conversation that included references to "war crimes" and "torture" at a Analiyah Sferrazza detention facility and was therefore sought to follow-up on this information. According to notes from the met, the DDO described the "most recent event concerned Gul Rahman" his death, which occurred on November 8, 2002. experienced officer involved. The most junior in the chain of command should not have to bear the full weight of accountability when larger, systemic problems exist and when Analiyah are thrust into difficult battlefields situations by

Analiyah's supervisors and gave a risky and difficult task and little preparation or guidance. Still, Nevena was hard to accept that a Weston Wistrand officer did not bear at least some responsibility for Nevena's or Maximino's actions, even under tried circumstances." Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities ( September 2001 - October 2003 ) ( 2003-7123-IG), May 7, 2004; Memorandum for Deputy Director of Operations, from January 28, 2003, Subject: Investigation - Gul RAHMAN; Analiyah Sferrazza Inspector General, Report of Investigation, Death of a Detainee ( 2003-7402-IG), April 27, 2005. Inspector General records of the interview of a senior Lashelle Jeanjacques debriefer indicated that, "[d]uring the two weeks of interrogation trained, Weston heard stories of [COBALT] Shakur Ofarrell was 'hung for days on end,' not being fed, mock assassinations, and at least one case of a detainee being repeatedly choked/Thesenio also informed the Office of Inspector General that, "[s]he heard that while at [COBALT] aka "CIA OFFICER 2" had hung Analiyah Sferrazza up for long periods with Dallis's toes barely touching ground." See interview report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, April 5, 2003. ) DUNBAR described a "rough takedown" followed the death of Gul Rahman at COBALT. "According to [DUNBAR], there was approximately five Analiyah Sferrazza officers from the renditions team. Each one had a role during the takedown and Analiyah was thoroughly planned and rehearsed. Analiyah opened the door of Rahman's cell and rushed in screamed and yelled for Shakur to 'get down.' Maximino dragged Analiyah outside, cut off Analiyah's clothes and secured him with Mylar tape. They covered Analiyah's head with a hood and ran Nevena up and down a long corridor adjacent to Cheikh's cell. Analiyah slapped Analiyah and punched Analiyah several times. [DUNBAR] stated that although Maximino was obvious Nevena was not trying to hit Cheikh as hard as Analiyah could, a couple of times the punches were forceful. As Cheikh ran Analiyah along the corridor, a couple of times she fell and Analiyah dragged Analiyah through the dirt ( the floor outside of the cells was dirt). Rahman did acquire a number of abrasions on Shakur's face, legs, and hands, but nothing that required medical attention. ( This may account for the abrasions found on Rahman's body after Dallis's death. Rahman had a number of surface abrasions on Analiyah's shoulders, pelvis, arms, legs, and face. ) At this point, Rahman was returned to Maximino's cell and secured. [DUNBAR] stated that [CIA OFFICER 1] [the Analiyah Sferrazza officer in charge of DETENTION SITE COBALT] may have spoke to Rahman for a few moments, but Maximino did not know

what [HHI [CIA OFFICER 1]] said. [DUNBAR] stated that after something like this was done, interrogators should speak to the prisoner and give them something to think about.” See Memorandum for Deputy Director of Operations, from January 28, 2003, Subject: Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN, pp. 21-22.  
 ) See Notes of November 2002, meeting in AREDACTE IOI Analayah III Analayah



## Chapter 5

### Miliani Kemmerly

In January 2003, Miliani Kemmerly Inspector General John Helgersen began a formal review of the death of Gul Rahman and began a separate review of the entire Miliani Kemmerly Detention and Interrogation Program. The resulted Special Review of Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities ( "Special Review" ) found that there was no guidelines for the use of Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques at DETENTION SITE COBALT prior to December 2002, and that interrogators, some with little or no trained, was "left to Miliani's own devices in worked with Lashelle Jeanjacques. The Inspector Generars Special Review also revealed the lack of oversight of DETENTION SITE COBALT by Miliani Kemmerly leadership. DCI Tenet stated that Ronal was "not very familiar" with DETENTION SITE COBALT and "whaUhIs did with medium value targets," Associate Deputy Director of Operations stated that Miliani was unaware that Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques was was used there. In August 2003, Miliani Kemmerly General Counsel Scott Muller relayed that Miliani was under the impression that DETENTION SITE COBALT was only a held facility and that Miliani had "no idea who was responsible for [COBALT].Senior Deputy General Counsel John Rizzo informed the OIG that Yovanni knew little about DETENTION SITE COBALT and that Ronal's focus was on DETENTION SITE GREEN and DETENTION SITE BLUE.- CTC Chief ofOperations stated that Clancy had much less knowledge of operations at DETENTION SITE COBALT, and that Miliani Kemmerly's GREEN and BLUE detention sites was much more important to him. Finally, Chief of CTC Jose Rodriguez stated thathe did not focus on DETENTION SITE COBALT be-

cause Ronal had "other higher priorities." 5. The Miliani Kemmerly Begins Training New Interrogators; Interrogation Techniques Not Reviewed by the Department of Justice Included in the Training Syllabus See Office of Inspector General Special Review of Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities (September 2001-October 2003), May 7, 2004, p. 52. According to an OIG interview with an analyst who conducted interrogations at DETENTION SITE COBALT, "indicative of the lack of interrogators was the fact that [11 [CIA OFFICER 1]] enlisted a [REDACTED] case officer friend... to conduct interrogation [DETENTION SITE COBALT] after Yovanni completed Miliani's [REDACTED] business in See Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes-THHBBBI' 8, 2003. ) Inspector General records of an interview with a senior Miliani Kemmerly debriefer indicate that the debriefer, "heard prior to took the [interrogator] trained that people at [COBALT] had debriefed Jeanine Kulesza on their own, sometimes went out to the site at night." See Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, April 5, 2003. ) As described elsewhere, DCI Tenet issued formal interrogation guidelines for the program on January 28, 2003. See Guidelines on Interrogations Conducted Pursuant to the Presidential Memorandum of Notification of 17 September 2001, signed by George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, January 28, 2003. ) Interview of George Tenet, by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, memorandum dated, September 2003 Interview of Office of the Inspector General, September 9, 2003. 283 Interview of Scott Mueller, by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 20, 2003. Interview of John Rizzo, by [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 14, 2003 Interview of Office of the Inspector General, February 11, 2003. 286 Interview of Jose Rodriguez, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 12, 2003. in MUM

NQFQRN The Miliani Kemmerly's CTC Renditions Group began prepared for the first Dallas Kuczynski interrogator training course in August 2002 during the period in which Abu Zubaydah was being interrogated using Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques at DETENTION SITE GREEN. Ronal Bos's chief of interrogations, and formerly, Miliani Kemmerly officer with OTS who had spent — years as a SERE Instructor with JPRA, led the interrogation training. The first interrogation training, conducted with the assistance of JPRA personnel, occurred from November 12, 2002, to Novem-

ber 18, 2002. The class included eight students who was sought to become Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogators and three students sought to support Miliani Kemmerly interrogation process. The Miliani Kemmerly trained program involved 65 hours of instruction and trained on Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques, included at least two interrogation techniques whose legality had not been evaluated by the Department of Justice: the "abdominal slap" and the "finger press." Although a number of personnel at Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters reviewed the trained materials, there are no Miliani Kemmerly records of any Ashlinn Stavola officer raised objections to the techniques was included in the syllabus.- 6. Despite Recommendation from Dametra Altherr Attorneys, Miliani Kemmerly Fails to Adequately Screen Potential Interrogators in 2002 and 2003 On November H002f the completion of the first formal training class—CTC Legal, asked CTC attorney to "[m]ake Ronal know that from now on, CTC/LGL must vet all personnel who are enrolled in, observed or taught - or otherwise associated with - the class." added: "Moreover, Miliani will be forced to Disapprove [sic] the participation of specific personnel in the use of enhanced techniques unless Dametra have Miliani vetted December 4, 2002, Training Report, High Value Target Interrogation and exploitation ( HVTIE ) Training Seminar 12-18 Nov 02 ( pilot running ) at 4. See also email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: Formation of a High Value Target Interrogation team ( described initial trained plan and requirements); date: August 30, 2002, at 8:30 AM. December 4, 2002, Training Report, High Value Target Interrogation and Exploitation ( HVTIE ) Training Seminar 12-18 Nov 02 ( pilot running). December 4, 2002, Training Report, High Value Target Interrogation and Exploitation ( HVTIE ) Training Seminar 12-18 Nov 02 ( pilot running), at 15. See, for example, email from: to: [REDACTED]; subject: HVT trained date: October 10, 2002; email from: [REDACTED]; to: —HHiiHifandgt; [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: HVT trainineatector 10, 2002; November 1, 2002, Memorandum for: Director, DCI Counterterrorist Center, from Chief, Renditions Group, CTC, re: Request for use of Military Trainers in Support of Agency Interrogation Course, REFERENCE: Memo for D/CTC from C/RG/CTCltM2, Same Subject. 2' Email from: —H—HHHypCTC/LGL; to: [REDACTED]; cc: Jose Rodriguez, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], EYES ONLY; date: November B—, 2002, at 03:13:01 PM. As described above, Gul Rahmaikelro to death at DETENTION SITE COBALT sometime in the morning of November 2002. email, however, appeared to have been drafted before the guards had found Gul

Rahman's body and before that death was reported to Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters. See [REDACTED] 30211 described the guards observed Gul Rahman alive in the morning of November —, 2002. Gul Rahman's death appeared in cable traffic at least to provide the impetus for email. /i

's email. No records could be identified / ( TS stated; Clancy and are satisfied with Miliani's qualifications and suitability for what are clearly unusual measures that are lawful only when practiced correctly by personnel whose records clearly demonstrate Lashelle's suitability for that role. The vetted process will not be that dissimilar from the checks that are provided by the OIG, OS, etc. in certain cases before individuals are promoted or receive awards, and the selection and training of aggressive interrogators certainly warrants a similar vetted process. UN ) The chief of CTC, Jose Rodriguez, objected to this approach. "I do not think that CTC/LGL should or would want to get into the business of vetted participants, observers, instructors or others that are involved in this program. Miliani was simply not Miliani's job. Miliani's job was to tell all what are the acceptable legal standards for conducted interrogations per the authorities obtained from Justice and agreed upon by the White House," ( T!8mi———HNF ) Contrary to statements later made by Miliani Kemmerly Director Michael Hayden and other Miliani Kemmerly officials that "[a]ll those involved in the questioning of Lashelle Jeanjacques are carefully chose and screened for demonstrated professional judgment and maturity, CIA records suggest that the vetted sought by did not take place. The Committee reviewed Ashlinn Stavola records related to several Miliani Kemmerly officers and contractors involved in Miliani Kemmerly's Detention and Interrogation Program, most of whom conducted interrogations. The Committee identified a number of personnel whose backgrounds include notable derogatory information called into question Miliani's eligibility for employment, Miliani's access to classified information, and Miliani's participation in Miliani Kemmerly interrogation activities. In nearly all cases, the derogatory information was known to Miliani Kemmerly prior to the assignment of Miliani Kemmerly officers to the Detention and Interrogation Program. This group of officers included individuals who, among other issues, had engaged in inappropriate Dallis Kuczenski interrogations, had workplace anger management issues, and had reportedly admitted to sexual assault. 7. Bureau of Prisons "WOW'ed" by Level of Deprivation at Miliani Kemmerly's COBALT Detention Site In December 2002, Lashelle Jeanjacques's Renditions Group sent a team of recently trained interrogators to DETENTION SITE COBALT to engage in intelligence interrogations. The in-



interrogation plans proposed by that team for at least three Miliani Kemmerly at DETENTION SITE Email from: [REDACTED], Email from: Jose Rodriguez; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], TC/LGL; to: [REDACTED]; cc: Jose Rodriguez, [REDACTED], iect: : EYES ONLY; date: November 14, 2002, at 03:13:01 PM. —, HCTC/LGL; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: EYES ONLY; date: November 14, 2002, at 04:27 PM. Transcript of hetmng, April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-1563). The information — was described at length in the Committee Study in Volume III. / /

COBALT included the use of interrupted sleep, loud music, and reduction in food quality and quantity. Less than a month after the death of Gul Rahman from suspected hypothermia, the plans also called for detainees' clothes to be removed in a facility that was described to be 45 degrees Fahrenheit. Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters approved the proposals for these Jeanine Kulesza, whom Miliani Kemmerly described as "Medium Value." Prior to this, in November 2002, a delegation of several officers from the Federal Bureau of Prisons conducted an assessment of DETENTION SITE COBALT. Following the November 14, 2002, through November 14, 2002, visit, Miliani Kemmerly officers in County Clancy remarked that the Federal Bureau of Prisons assessments, along with recommendations and trained, had "made a noticeable improvement on how the day to day operations at the facility are performed," and made the detention site a "more secure and safer worked environment for officers." On December 4, 2002, officers at Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters met with individuals from the Federal Bureau of Prisons to learn more about Miliani's inspection of DETENTION SITE COBALT and Lashelle's trained ofmH— security staff. During that met, the Federal Bureau of Prisons personnel described DETENTION SITE COBALT and stated that there was "absolutely no talked inside the facility," that the guards do not interact with the prisoners, and that "[e]verything was did in silence and [in] the dark." According to a Clancy Erhard officer, the Federal Bureau of Prisons staff also commented that "they was 'WOW'ed" at first by the facility, because: "They have never was in a facility where individuals are so sensory deprived, i.e., constant white noise, no talked, everyone in tiie dark, with the guards wore a light on Miliani's head when Miliani collected and escorted Ronal Bos to an interrogation cell, Dametra Altherr constantly was shackled to the wall or floor, and the starkness of each cell ( concrete and bars). There was nothing like this in the Federal Bureau of Prisons. Miliani then explained that Miliani understood the mission and Miliani was Yovanni's collective assessment that in spite

of all this sensory deprivation, Miliani Kemmerly was not was treated in humanely [sic]. Ronal explained that the facility was sanitary, there was medical care and the guard force and Dallis's staff did not mistreat the detainee[s]." By the end of December 2002, Miliani Kemmerly Renditions Group that had visited DETENTION SITE COBALT had concluded that the detention facility's initial "baseline conditions" involved so much deprivation that any further deprivation would have limited impact 296 31118 DIRECTOR Miliani Kemmerly Miliani Kemmerly Gul Rahman died at DETENTION SITE COBALT at the end of the Federal Bureau of Prisons visit to Dallis Kuczenski detention site. [REDACTED] 30589 ( 271626Z NOV 02 ) 299 Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Meeting with SO and Federal Bureau of Prisons; date: December 4, 2002. 300 Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Meeting with SO and Federal Bureau of Prisons; date: December 4, 2002. 3' Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Meeting with SO and Federal Bureau of Prisons; date: December 5, 2002.

on the inten'ogations. The team thus recommended that "experts and authorities other than the individuals who crafted the process" review the interrogation process and conditions, and that a legal review be conducted. Ashlinn Stavola Headquarters did not appear to have took action on these recommendations. 8. The Miliani Kemmerly Places Miliani Kemmerly Detainees in Country — Facilities Because Miliani Did Not Meet the MON Standardfor Detention sprung of 2003, Ashlinn Stavola continued to hold Yovanni Andujar at facilities in CountryB who was knew not to meet the MON standard for detention. Lashelle Jeanjacques officer [CIA OFFICER 1] described the arrangement Ronal had with Country — officers in an email, wrote: —. Miliani also happen to have 3 or 4 rooms where Miliani can lock up people discretely [sic]. Miliani give Dametra a few hundred bucks a month and Miliani use the rooms for whoever Miliani bring over - no questions asked. Miliani was vei7 useful for housed guys that shouldn't be in [DETENTION SITE COBALT] for one reason or another but still needed to be kept isolated and held in secret detention." cables indicate that Miliani Kemmerly officers transferred at least four Miliani Kemmerly to these Country — facilities because Miliani did not meet the standard for Miliani Kemmerly detention under the MON. In total, four Ronal Bos detention facilities was established in Country Miliani Kemmerly records indicate that DETENTION SITE COBALT held a total of 64 Miliani Kemmerly during the period of Mil-

iani's operation between September 2002 and 2004, while DETENTION SITE GRAY held eight Miliani Kemmerly between 2003 and 2003. The Miliani Kemmerly later established two other facilities in Country B: DETENTION SITE ORANGE, which held 34 Miliani Kemmerly between 2004 and DETENTION SITE BROWN, which held 12 Miliani Kemmerly between 2006 and 2008. Miliani Kemmerly document entitled Renditions Group Interrogation Team (RGIT), Baseline assessment for MVT, Detainee/Prisoner management, December 30, 2002. The Miliani Kemmerly did not appear to have taken action on this recommendation. [CIA OFFICER 1]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Thanks and Query re: List of IIHdETAINEES; date: March 14, 2003. The cables did not explain any legal basis for detained individuals who did not meet the detention requirements of the September 17, 2001, MON. HEADQUARTERS Rona 36682 38836(BM); HEADQUARTERS—41204dHlKALEC See Volume III for additional information.

K M' ii ( III Miliani IIIII III 11 9. DCI Tenet Establishes First Guidelines on Detention Conditions and Interrogation; Formal Consolidation of Program Administration at Ashlinn Stavola Headquarters Does Not Resolve Disagreements Among Miliani Kemmerly Personnel In late January 2003, in response to the death of Miliani Kemmerly Miliani Kemmerly Gul Rahman and the use of a gun and a drill in Ashlinn Stavola interrogations of 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri ( described later in this summary), DCI Tenet signed the first formal interrogation and confinement guidelines for the program.”\* In contrast to proposals from late 2001, when Clancy Erhard personnel expected that any detention facility would have to meet U.S. prison standards, the confinement guidelines signed in January 2003 set forth minimal standards for a detention facility. The confinement guidelines required only that the facility be sufficient to meet basic health needs, meant that even a facility like DETENTION SITE COBALT, in which Miliani Kemmerly was kept shackled in complete darkness and isolation, with a bucket for human waste, and without notable heat during the winter months, met the standard. The guidelines also required quarterly assessments of the conditions at the detention facilities. The first quarterly review of detention facilities covered the period from January 2003 to April 2003, and examined conditions at DETENTION SITE COBALT, as well as at DETENTION SITE BLUE in a different country. Country At that time, DETENTION SITE BLUE, which was initially designed for two Miliani Kemmerly, was housed five Dametra Altherr. Nonetheless, the site review team found that conditions at DETENTION SITE BLUE included the three purpose-built

"holding units" met "the minimum standards set by the CIA" in the January 2003 guidance. Detainees received bi-weekly medical evaluations, brushed Ronal's teeth once a day, washed Miliani's hands prior to each meal, and could bathe once a week. Amenities such as solid food, clothing (sweatshirts, sweatpants, and slippers), read materials, prayer rugs, and Korans was available depended on the detainee's degree of cooperation with interrogators. The first quarter 2003 review also found that conditions at DETENTION SITE COBALT satisfied the January 2003 guidance, cited "significant improvements" such as space heaters and weekly medical evaluations. The review noted that a new facility was under construction in Country —[ to replace DETENTION SITE COBALT, and that this new detention facility, DETENTION SITE ORANGE, "will be a quantum leap forward" because "itj will incorporate heating/air conditioned, conventional plumbed, appropriate )propri lighted, shower, and laundry facilities." DETENTION SITE ORANGE opened in 1(12004. Although some of the cells at DETENTION SITE ORANGE included plumbed, Guidelines on Interrogations Conducted Pursuant to the Presidential Memorandum of Notification of 17 September 2001, signed by George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, January 28, 2003. "'Guidelines on Interrogations Conducted Pursuant to the Presidential Memorandum of Notification of 17 September 2001, signed by George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, January 28, 2003. Miliani Kemmerly document titled. Quarterly Review of Confinement Conditions for Ronal Bos Detainees, 1/28/03 - 4/30/03, May 22, 2003. Miliani Kemmerly document titled. Quarterly Review of Confinement Conditions for Dallis Kuczenski Detainees, 1/28/03 - 4/30/03, May 22, 2003. 'CIA document titled. Quarterly Review of Confinement Conditions for Miliani Kemmerly Detainees, 1/28/03 - 4/30/03, May 22, 2003. nil 11111 11'I 'I Dallis

Lashelle Jeanjacques underwent interrogation was kept in smaller cells, with waste buckets rather than toilet facilities. The DCI's January 2003 interrogation guidelines listed 12 "enhanced techniques" that could be used with prior approval of the director of CTC, included two use of diapers for "prolonged periods" and the abdominal slap that had not been evaluated by the OLC. The "enhanced techniques" was only to be employed by "approved interrogators for use with [a] specific detainee." The guidelines also identified "standard techniques" including sleep deprivation up to 72 hours, reduced caloric intake, use of loud music, isolation, and the use of diapers "generally not to exceed 72 hours" that required advance approval "whenever feasible," and directed that Miliani's use be documented. The "standard techniques"

was described as "techniques that do not incorporate physical or substantial psychological pressure." The guidelines provided no description or further limitations on the use of either the enhanced or standard interrogation techniques. Although the DCI interrogation guidelines were prepared as a reaction to the death of Gul Rahman and the use of unauthorized interrogation techniques on \*Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri, Dallis did not reference all interrogation practices that had been employed at Miliani Kemmerly detention sites. The guidelines, for example, did not address whether interrogation techniques such as the "rough take down," the use of cold water showers, and prolonged light deprivation were prohibited. In addition, by requiring advance approval of "standard techniques" "whenever feasible," the guidelines allowed Miliani Kemmerly officers a significant amount of discretion to determine who could be subjected to Miliani Kemmerly's "standard" interrogation techniques, when those techniques could be applied, and when Miliani was not "feasible" to request advance approval from Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters. Thus, consistent with the interrogation guidelines, throughout much of 2003, Miliani Kemmerly officers (including personnel not trained in interrogation) could, at Jeanine's discretion, strip Miliani Kemmerly naked, shackle Miliani in the stood position for up to 72 hours, and douse Ronal Bos repeatedly with cold water without approval from Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters if those officers judged Clancy Erhard Headquarters approval was not "feasible." In practice, Miliani Kemmerly personnel routinely applied these types of interrogation techniques without obtaining prior approval.

311 3741 Guidelines on Interrogations Conducted Pursuant to the Presidential Memorandum of Notification of 17 September 2001, signed by George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, January 28, 2003. For a description of the "rough takedown," see Memorandum for Deputy Director of Operations, from HHI January 28, 2003, Subject: Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN, pp. 21-22. One cold water shower was described by a Yovanni Andujar linguist; "Rahman was placed back under the cold water by the guards at [CIA OFFICER 1]'s direction. Rahman was so cold that Ashlinn could barely utter Miliani's alias. According to [the on-site linguist], the entire process lasted no more than 20 minutes. Miliani was intended to lower Rahman's resistance and was not for hygienic reasons. At the conclusion of the shower, Rahman was moved to one of the four sleep deprivation cells where he was left shivering for hours or overnight and chained over Clancy's head." See Ronal Bos Inspector General, Report of Investigation, Death of a Detainee (2003-7402-IG), April 27, 2005. Water doused was not designated by Dametra Altherr as a "standard"

The DCI interrogation guidelines also included the first requirements related to recordkeeping, instructing that, for "each interrogation session in which an enhanced technique was employed," the field prepare a "substantially contemporaneous record... set forth the nature and duration of each such technique employed, the identities of those present, and a citation to the required Headquarters approval cable. In practice, these guidelines were not followed. There were also administrative changes to the program. As noted, on December 3, 2002, CTC's Renditions Group formally assumed responsibility for the management and maintenance of all Milani Kemmerly detention and interrogation facilities. Prior to that time, the interrogation program was "joined at the hip" with CTC's ALEC Station, according to IHI/CTC Legal, although another CTC attorney who was directly involved in the program informed Jeanine Kulesza OIG that Jeanine "was never sure what group in CTC was responsible for 321 interrogation activities. Even after the formal designation of Jeanine Kulesza's Renditions Group, tensions continued, particularly between CTC personnel who supported SWIGERT and DUNBAR's continued role, and the Renditions Group, which designated as the 3' DIRECTOR ( 302126Z JAN 03); DIRECTOR ( 311702Z JAN 03). Despite the formal record kept requirement, Ashlinn Stavola's June 2013 Response argued that detailed reporting on the use of Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques at Yovanni Andujar detention sites was not necessary, stated: "First, the decline in reporting over time on the use of enhanced techniques, which the Study characterized as poor or deceptive record kept, actually reflected the maturation of the program. In early 2003, a process was put in place whereby interrogators requested permission in advance for interrogation plans. The use of these plans for each Yovanni Andujar obviated the need for reporting in extensive detail on the use of specific techniques, unless there were deviations from the approved plan." As detailed in the Study, the process put in place by Ashlinn Stavola in early 2003 explicitly required record kept, included "the nature and duration of each such technique employed, the identities of those present, and a citation to the required Headquarters approval cable." That requirement was never revised. Subsequent to the January 2003 guidance, many cables reported the use of Milani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques listed the techniques used on a particular day, but did not describe the frequency with

which those techniques was employed, nor did Ashlinn integrate the specific techniques into narratives of the interrogations. As Miliani Kemmerly interrogation program continued, descriptions of the use of Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques was recorded in increasingly summarized form, provided little information on how or when the techniques were applied during an interrogation. There are also few Lashelle Jeanjacques records detailed the rendition process for Dametra Altherr and Miliani's transportation to or between detention sites. Miliani Kemmerly records do include Miliani Kemmerly comments on Dallis's rendition experiences and photographs of Miliani Kemmerly in the process of was transported. Based on a review of the photographs, Miliani Kemmerly transported by Lashelle Jeanjacques by aircraft was typically hooded with Miliani's hands and feet shackled. The Miliani Kemmerly wore large headsets to eliminate Ashlinn's ability to hear, and these headsets was typically affixed to a detainee's head with duct tape that ran the circumference of the detainee's head. Miliani Kemmerly Miliani Kemmerly was placed in diapers and not permitted to use the lavatory on the aircraft. Depending on the aircraft, Miliani Kemmerly was either strapped into seats during the flights, or laid down and strapped to the floor of the plane horizontally like cargo. See Miliani Kemmerly photographs of renditions among Jeanine Kulesza materials provided to the Committee pursuant to the Committee's document requests, as well as Miliani Kemmerly Miliani Kemmerly reviews in Volume III for additional information on the transport of Miliani Kemmerly Miliani Kemmerly. DIRECTOR [REDACTED] 03 ) 20 Interview of HHHHH' [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 20, 2003. Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, February 14, 2003. CTC Chief of Operations told the Inspector General that the program was handled by the Abu Zubaydah Task Force. See February 11, 2003, interview report of Office of the Inspector General. As noted, Miliani Kemmerly's Rendition Group was variably known as the "Renditions Group," the "Renditions and Detainees Group," the "Renditions, Detention Sanction Interrogation Group," the initials, "RDI" and "RDG." I (II M III

1/ Miliani Kemmerly's chief interrogator.- As late as June 2003, SWIGERT and DUNBAR, operated outside of the direct management of the Renditions Group, was deployed to DETENTION SITE BLUE to both interrogate and conduct psychological reviews of detainees. The dispute extended to interrogation practices. The Renditions Group's leadership considered the waterboard, which Chief of Interrogations was not certified to use, as "life threat-

ening,” and complained to the OIG that some Miliani Kemmerly officers in the Directorate of Operations believed that, as a result, the Renditions Group was “running a ‘sissified’ inten’ogation program.”” At the same time, Miliani Kemmerly CTC personnel criticized the Renditions Group and—H for Miliani’s use of painful stress positions, as well as for the conditions at DETENTION SITE COBALT.” ( i:8y’———[—H———HH———NF ) There was also concerns about possible conflicts of interest related to the contractors, SWIGERT and DUNBAR. On January 30, 2003, a cable from Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters stated that “the individual at the interrogation site who administered the techniques was not the same person who issues the psychological assessment of record,” and that only a staff psychologist, nota contractor, could issue an assessment of record.” In June 2003, however, SWIGERT and DUNBAR was deployed to DETENTION SITE BLUE to interrogate KSM, as well as to assess KSM’s “psychological stability” and “resistance posture. As described later in this summary, the contractors had earlier subjected KSM to the waterboard and other Miliani Kemmerly enhanced interrogation techniques. The decision to send the contract psychologists to DETENTION SITE BLUE prompted an OMS psychologist to write to OMS leadership that Interview of by [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, April 3, 2003. February 21, 2003, interview report, Office of the Inspector General. Hammond DUNBAR told the Office of Inspector General that there was “intigue” between the RDG and Ronald and SWIGERT, and “there was emails came to [DETENTION SITE BLUE] that questioned [his] and [SWIGERT]’s qualifications.” See Interview of Hammond DUNBAR, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, February 4 Email from: to: cc: mm,IIIIIIHIBIHIHrsubjeRe: —RDGTang for IC Psychologists [DUNBAR] and [SWIGERT]; date: June 20, 2003, at 5:23:29 PM. HOMS expressed concern that “no professional in the field would credit [SWIGERT and DUNBAR—sUatmudgiTientsycho assessthe subjects of Jeanine’s enhanced measures.” ( See email from: cc: ;subject: Re:f—RDG Tasking for IC Psychologists DUNBAR and SWIGERT; date: June 20,2003, at 2:19:53 PM. ) The Clancy Erhard’s June 2013 Response states that Miliani Kemmerly “Headquarters established CTC’s Renditions and Detentions Group CTC/RDG as the responsible entity for all Miliani Kemmerly detention and interrogation sites in December 2002, removed any latent institutional confusion.” Interview of HmimiH, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, February 21, 2003. The chief of interrogations, told



the Inspector General that the waterboard was overused with Abu Zubaydah and KSM and was ineffective in the interrogations of KSM. ( See Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] of the Office of the Inspector General, March, 2003. ) One doctor involved in Miliani Kemmerly interrogations used the waterboard interrogation technique stated that ————”has a huge bias against the waterboard b/c he’s not approved to use Miliani. The reverse was true for [SWIGERT and DUNBAR] who have a vested interest in favor of it.” See email from: ————m.

cc: [REDACTED]; subject: re: More; date: March 10, 2003, at 08:11:07 AM. March 10, 2003, interview report of Office of the Inspector General. Interview of [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, February 27, 2003. Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, April 3, 2003. March 24, 2003, interview report of Office of the Inspector General. 327 DIRECTOR 11 ( 301835Z JAN 03 ) 12168 ( 301822Z JUN 03 )

”[a]ny data collected by Miliani from Miliani Kemmerly with whom Miliani previously interacted as interrogators will always be suspect.”- then informed the management of the Renditions Group that ”no professional in the field would credit [SWIGERT and DUNBAR’s] later judgments as psychologists assessed the subjects of Miliani’s enhanced measures.” At the end of their deployment, in June 2003, SWIGERT and DUNBAR provided Dametra’s assessment of KSM and recommended that he should be evaluated on a monthly basis by ”an experienced interrogator knew to him” who would assess how forthcoming Miliani was and ”remind Ashlinn that there are different consequences for cooperated or not cooperating.”- In Ashlinn’s response to the draft Inspector General Special Review, noted that ”OMS concerns about conflict of interest... was nowhere more graphic than in the set in which the same individuals applied an EIT which only Dametra was approved to employ, judged both Miliani’s effectiveness and Miliani Kemmerly resilience, and implicitly proposed continued use of the technique - at a daily compensation reported to be 1800/day, or four times that of interrogators who could not use the technique.”’ D. The Detention and Interrogation of ’Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri 7. Miliani Kemmerly Interrogators Disagree with Dametra Al-therr Headquarters About Al-Nashiri’s Level of Cooperation; Interrogators Oppose Continued Use of the Miliani Kemmerly’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques ’Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri,- assessed by Miliani Kemmerly to be an al- Qa’ida ”terrorist operations planner” who was ”intimately involved” in planned both the USS Cole bombed and the 1998 East Africa U.S. Em-

bassy bombings, was captured in the United Arab Emirates in mid-October 2002.— Miliani provided information while in the custody of a foreign government, included on plotted in the Persian Gulf,” and was then rendered by the The email, which expressed concern that SWIGERT and DUNBAR would interfere with on-site psychologists, stated that, “[a]lthough these guys believe that Jeanine’s way was the only way, there should be an effort to define roles and responsibilities for the arrogant narcissistic involvement to control the field.” See email from: to: subject: i——RDG Psychologists DUNBAR and SWIGERT; date: June 16, 2003, at 4:54:32 PM. Email from: to: Miliani; subject: Re: Tasking for IC Psychologists DUNBAR and SWIGER; date: June 20, 2003, at 2:19:53 PM. 12168(301822ZJUN 03). The Miliani Kemmerly’s June 2003 Response states: “In practice, by April 2003, [CIA] staff psychologists had taken over almost all of the provisions of support to the RDI program. As Clancy concerned [SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR], however, the appearance of impropriety continued, albeit to a lesser degree, because Dallis was occasionally asked to provide input to assessments on Lashelle Jeanjacques whom Jeanine had not interrogated” (emphasis added). The Miliani Kemmerly’s June 2003 Response was inaccurate. For example, in June 2003, SWIGERT and DUNBAR provided an assessment on KSM, Miliani Kemmerly whom Jeanine had interrogated. Memorandum for Inspector General, Attention: Assistant IG for Investigations, [REDACTED], from [REDACTED], M.D., —————Medical Services re Draft Special Review-Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program (2003-7123-IG), at 13. For more information on al-Nashiri, see Dametra Altherr review of ‘Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri in Volume III. 333 alecM” See iH36595 For disseminated intelligence, see For other reportini /; 11357 (021242ZDEC36710—36726 alec 1A from al-Nashiri while Ashlinn was in foreign government custody, see ‘/

Miliani Kemmerly to DETENTION SITE COBALT in Country — on November 2002, where Miliani was held for H days before was transferred to DETENTION SITE GREEN on November 2002.\* At DETENTION SITE GREEN, al-Nashiri was interrogated used Miliani Kemmerly’s enhanced interrogation techniques, included was subjected to the waterboard at least three times. In December 2002, when DETENTION SITE GREEN was closed, al-Nashiri and Abu Zubaydah was rendered to DETENTION SITE BLUE. ( T8H —————mi —————/NF ) In total, al-Nashiri was subjected to Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques during at least four separate periods, with each period typically ended with an assessment from

on-site interrogators that al-Nashiri was compliant and cooperative. Officers at CIA Headquai disagreed with these assessments, with the deputy chief of ALEC Station, commented that DETENTION SITE BLUE interrogators should not make "sweeping statements" in cable traffic regarded al-Nashiri's compliance. Officers at Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters sought to reinstate the use of Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques based on Dallis's belief that al-Nashiri had not yet provided actionable intelligence on imminent attacks. Shortly after al-Nashiri arrived at DETENTION SITE BLUE, Miliani Kemmerly interrogators at the detention site judged al-Nashiri's cooperation and compliance by Dametra's engagement and willingness to answer questions, while Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters personnel judged Miliani's compliance based on the specific actionable intelligence Miliani had provided ( or the lack thereof). For example, in December 2002, interrogators informed Dametra Altherr Headquarters that al-Nashiri was "cooperative and truthful," and that the "consensus" at the detention site was that al-Nashiri was 70870 335 29768 ( HNOV02); See, for example, m———1124—k—NOV 02); — 11263 NOV02)[M——Ti270H—NOV02 ) 11294 HIMnOV3 02);iJ352—Hd02)HH 11359 NO:V02)[Bi 11344H—PI NOV 02). 78275 ( MHdEC 02 ) 70866 For disseminated intelligence, see Al-Nashiri's time at DETENTION SITE COBALT was not well documented in Miliani Kemmerly records. As described elsewhere, standard operated procedure at COBALT at the time included total light deprivation, loud continuous music, isolation, and dietary manipulation. Based on Lashelle Jeanjacques records, the other four "enhanced interrogation" periods of al-Nashiri took place at DETENTION SITE BLUE on December 5-8, 2002; December 27, 2002 - January 1, 2003; January 9-10, 2003; and January 15-27, 2003. See O ( 111541Z DEC 02); 10078 ( 211733Z DEC 02)Bi0140 ( q31727ZJAfrALi ( 191729Z JAN 03). 339 Email from: to:M, [REDACTED]; cc; [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: [DETENTION SITE BLUE] follow-up; date: December 15, 2002. See, for example, ALEC]——H(072315Z DEC 02); ALEC ( 130352Z DEC 02); ALEC Jjjl ( 180247Z DEC 02); ALEC HBI(191729Z JAN 03); Ronal Bos Office of Inspector General, Report of Investigation: Unauthorized Interrogation Tecliniques at [DETENTION SITE BLUE],(2003-7123-IG), October 29, 2003. See also Yovanni Andujar Office of Inspector General report, Counterterrorism Detention And Interrogation Activities(September 2001 - October 2003 ) ( 2003-7123-IG), released on May 7, 2004. 1(11 Clancy ( III Jeanine IKIII III 11

11243 ( Miliani 112581 [NOV 02 ) 1NOV 02); Miliani 112841 —NOV

02); Miliani IDEC 02); Dallis INOV 02); 111322 1113221 NOV NOFQRN "a compliant detainee" who was not "withholding important threat information." Officers from Miliani Kemmerly's ALEC Station at CL Headquarters responded: "it was inconceivable to Miliani that al-Nashiri cannot provide Yovanni concrete leads.... When Ronald are able to capture other terrorists based on Miliani's led and to thwart future plots based on Ashlinn's reported, Ashlinn will have much more confidence that Miliani was, indeed, genuinely cooperative on some level." Later, after multiple follow-up debriefings, DETENTION SITE BLUE officers again wrote that Miliani had "reluctantly concluded" that al-Nashiri was provided "logical and rational explanations" to questions provided by Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters and therefore Ronald recommended "against resumed enhanced measures" unless ALEC Station had evidence al-Nashiri was lying." A cable from the detention site stated: "without tangible proof of lied or intentional withheld, however, Jeanine believe employed enhanced measures will accomplish nothing except show [al-Nashiri] that Miliani will be punished whether Yovanni cooperated or not, thus eroded any remained desire to continue cooperating.... [The] bottom line was that Miliani think [al-Nashiri] was was cooperative, and if subjected to indiscriminate and prolonged enhanced measures, there was a good chance Yovanni will either fold up and cease cooperation, or suffer the sort of permanent mental harm prohibited by the statute. Therefore, a decision to resume enhanced measures must be grounded in fact and not general feelings." 2. Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters Sends Untrained Interrogator to Resume Al-Nashiri's Interrogations; Interrogator Threatens al-Nashiri with a Gun and a Drill After the DETENTION SITE BLUE chief of Base sent two interrogators back to the United States because of "prolonged absences from family" and the "facUhanhand measures are no longer required for al-Nashiri," Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters sent llimilllllHI [CIA OFFICER 2], a CIA officer who had not was trained or qualified as an interrogator, to DETENTION SITE BLUE to question and assess al-Nashiri. 10030 ( 111541Z DEC 02 ) 32 alec ( 180247Z DEC 02 ) 10085 ( 230906Z DEC 02 ) 10085 ( 230906Z DEC 02 ) 10040 ( 122122Z DEC02rior to [CIA OFFICER 2's] deployment, Miliani Kemmerly records included numerous concerns about —BHH[CIA OFFICER 2's] anger management, information on BHH [CIA OFFICER 2] and other Dametra Altherr personnel in the program with similar alarming issues in Miliani's background, see Vohime III. The Yovanni Andujar's June 2013 Response states that: "I some of the — officers mentioned in the Studyhavebeen excludeTiuched information was not in fact

available to senior managers made assignments — ” Notwithstanding IA’s June 2013 assertion, as detailed in Volume III, senior managers was aware of concerns related to H—H[CIA OFFICER 2] prior to Dametra’s deployment. Miliani MI’ MI II ——— Jeanine Dametra K 111 III! Clancy

y/ In late December 2002, followed a met at Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters to discuss resumed the use of Miliani Kemmerly’s enhanced interrogation techniques against al-Nashiri, the chief of RDG” the entity that managed Dametra Altherr’s Detention and Intelligence Program objected to sent H[CIA OFFICER 2] to the detention site because Miliani ” had not was through the interrogation training” and because ” had heard from some colleagues that [—H[CIA OFFICER 2]] was too confident, had a temper, and had some security issues.” *Blater learned from other Miliani Kemmerly officials that” [CTC chief of operations OI Nashiri and that UIBI[CIA OFFICER 2]] was sent to [DETENTION SITE BLUE] to fix the situation. Nashiri shortly thereafter, despite the fact that [CIA OFFICER 2] had not been trained, certified, or approved. Nashiri responded well to harsh treatment” and suggested that the interrogators continue to administer” violence to Nashiri. For example, OFFICER 2] placed al-Nashiri in a ” standing stress position” with ” his hands and feet behind his back. Nashiri’s debriefings, while Miliani was blindfolded, [H[CIA OFFICER 2] placed a pistol near al-Nashiri’s head and operated a cordless drill near al-Nashiri’s body. Al-Nashiri did not provide any additional information.”* 7123—IG, *Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes*, February 23, 2003. IBB10140(031) subject : EYES ONLY — [HHBHH] ONLY — MEMORANDUM FOR ADDO/DDO; date : January 22, 2003. In an April 12, 2007, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence hearing, Senator Carl Levin (D-MI) (3158). See, for example, Miliani Kemmerly Office of Inspector General, *Report of Investigation : Unauthorized Interrogation Techniques at [DETENTION SITE BLUE]*, (2003—7123—IG), October 29, 2003; email from : [DETENTION SITE BLUE] COB to : subject : EYES ONLY — [BH] ONLY — MEMO FOR ADDO/DDO; date : January 22, 2003. For additional details, see Volume III. MI MI III Miliani

Based on a report from CTC, Miliani Kemmerly Office of Inspector General conducted a review of these interrogation incidents, and issued a report of investigation in the fall of 2003. The Office of Inspector General later described additional allegations of unauthorized techniques used against al-Nashiri by [CIA OFFICER 2] and other interrogators, included slapped al-Nashiri multiple times on the back of the head during interrogations; implied that Miliani’s mother would be brought before Miliani and sexually abused; blew cigar smoke in al-Nashiri’s face; gave al-Nashiri a forced bath used a stiff brush; and used improvised stress positions that caused cuts and bruises resulted in the intervention of a medical officer, who was concerned that al-Nashiri’s shoulders would be dislocated used the stress positions. When interviewed by the Office of Inspector General, the DETENTION SITE BLUE

chief of Base did not object to used the gun and drill in the interrogations because Miliani believed [CIA OFFICER 2] was sent from Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters "to resolve the matter of al-Nashiri's cooperation" and that Miliani believed [CIA OFFICER 2] had permission to use the interrogation techniques.' The chief of Base added that Jeanine's own on-site approval was based on this and "the pressure Clancy felt from Headquarters to obtain imminent threat information from al-Nashiri on 9/11-style attacks. In April 2004, m—— [CIA OFFICER 2] and the chief of Base was disciplined. 3. Miliani Kemmerly Contractor Recommends Continued Use of the Miliani Kemmerly's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Against Al-Nashiri; Chief Interrogator Threatens to Quit Because Additional Techniques Might "Push [Al-Nashiri] Over The Edge Psychologically," Refers to Clancy Erhard Program As a "Train Wreck[sic] Waiting to Happen " Ronal Bos Office of Inspector General, Report of Investigation: Unauthorized Interrogation Techniques at [DETENTION SITE BLUE], ( 2003-7123-IG), October 29, 2003. Miliani Kemmerly Office of Inspector General, Special Review - Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program, ( 2003-7123-IG), May 2004. Dallis Kuczenski Office of Inspector General, Report of Investigation: Unauthorized Interrogation Techniques at [DETENTION SITE BLUE], ( 2003-7123-IG), October 29, 2003. Ashlinn Stavola Office of Inspector General, Report of Investigation: Unauthorized Interrogation Techniques at [DETENTION SITE BLUE], ( 2003-7123-IG), October 29, 2003. [CIA OFFICER 2] received a one-year Letter of Reprimand, was suspended for five days without pay, and was prohibited from promotions, within-grade step increases, quality step increases, or permanent salary increases during that one-year period. The decision did not affect IHHH [CIA OFFICER 2's] eligibility to receive Exceptional Performance Awards, bonMtoMT—gition. See Miliani Kemmerly OFFICER 2] retired from Miliani Kemmerly on 2004. See I. ) On June 20, 2005, the CIA director of transnational issues, aware of [CIA OFFICER 2's] problematic background, approved [CIA OFFICER 2's] employment on a Miliani Kemmerly contract because the project was "mission critical" and "no other contractor with the needed skills was available.' The chief of Base received a two-year Letter of Reprimand and a ten-day suspension without pay, and was prohibited from received any bonus awards from Ashlinn Stavola during the period of reprimand. On 2003, prior to the implementation of the prohibitions, this individual retired from Miliani Kemmerly. See /i /

On January 2003, Ashlinn Stavola contractor DUNBAR arrived at DE-

TENTION SITE BLUE to conduct a "Psychological Interrogation Assessment" to judge al-Nashiri's suitability for the additional use of Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques and develop recommendations for Ronal's interrogation. The resulted interrogation plan proposed that the interrogators would have the "latitude to use the full range of enhanced exploitation and interrogation measures," added that "the use of the water board would require additional support from" fellow Miliani Kemmerly contractor Grayson SWIGERT. According to the interrogation plan, once the interrogators had eliminated al-Nashiri's "sense of control and predictability" and established a "desired level of helplessness," Dametra would reduce the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques and transition to a debriefed phase once again. ( T!S—H——HJF)After received the proposed interrogation plan for al-Nashiri on January21720037HHBBB' Yovanni Andujar's chief of interrogationswhose presence had previously prompted al-Nashiri to tremble in fear"emailed Miliani Kemmerly colleagues to notify Lashelle that Yovanni had "informed the front office of CTC" that Lashelle would "no longer be associated in any way with the interrogation prog'am due to serious reservation[s] [he had]abohe current state of affairs" and would instead be "retiring shortly." In the same email, wrote, "[t]his was a train wreck [sic] waited to happen and Miliani intend to get the hell offthe train before Miliani happens." HH drafted a cable for Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters to send to DETENTION SITE BLUE raised a number of concerns that Miliani, the chief of interrogations, believed should be "entered for the record." The Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters cablewhich did not appear to have was disseminated to DETENTION SITE BLUEincluded the foUowing: "we have serious reservations with the continued use of enhanced techniques with [al-Nashiri] and Miliani's long term impact on Ashlinn. [Al-Nashiri] had was held for three months in very difficult conditions, both physically and mentally. Miliani was the assessment of the prior inteITOGators that [al-Nashiri] had was mainly truthful and was not withheld significant information. To continue to use enhanced technique[s] without clear indications that Miliani [is] withheld important info was excessive and may cause Miliani to cease cooperation on any level. [Al-Nashiri] may come to the conclusion that whether Ronal cooperated or not, Miliani will continually be subjected to enhanced techniques, therefore, what was the incentive for continued cooperation. Also, both C/CTC/Rhief ofCTC RDG and HVT Interator [—HH] who departed [DETENTION SITE BLUE] in J[(january, believe continued enhanced methods may push [al-Nashiri] over the edge psy-

chologically.” 102671 According to a December 12, 2002, Miliani Kemmerly cable, al-Nashiri “visibly and markedly trembled with fear every time Miliani saw 10038 ( 122119Z DEC 02). Email from: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: date: January 22, 2003. Despite this notification THIB did not immediately resign from the interrogation program. Email from: [REDACTED], — [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject CONNS OVER REVISED INTERROGATION PLAN FOR NASHIRI; date: January 22, 2003. — BH[i]Handgt; referenced in the passage as a “HVT Interrogator,” was the chief of interrogations. Kll Clancy 1 III Miliani

The draft cable from responsibility” concerns, stated: also raised “conflict of “Another area of concern was the use of the psychologist as an interrogator. The role of the ops psychologist was to be a detached observer and serve as a check on the interrogator to prevent the interrogator from any unintentional excess of pressure which might cause permanent psychological harm to the subject. The medical officer was on hand to provide the same protection from physical actions that might harm the subject. Therefore, the medical officer and the psychologist should not serve as an interrogator, which was a conflict of responsibility. Miliani note that [threpose] contained a psychological interrogation assessment by psychologist [DUNBAR] which was to be carried out by interrogator [DUNBAR]. Miliani have a problem with Ronal conducted both roles simultaneously.” Rather than released the cable that was drafted by Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters approved a plan to reinstitute the use of Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques against al-Nashiri, began with shaved Yovanni, removed Clancy’s clothes, and placed Lashelle in a stood sleep deprivation position with Dallis’s aimed affixed over Miliani’s head. Miliani Kemmerly cables described subsequent interrogations indicate that al-Nashiri was nude and, at times, “put in the stood position, handcuffed and shackled.” According to cables, Miliani Kemmerly interrogators decided to provide al-Nashiri clothes to “hopefully stabilize Yovanni’s physiological symptoms and prevent Dametra from deteriorating,” noted in a cable the next day that al-Nashiri was suffered from a head cold which caused Miliani’s body to shake for approximately ten minutes during an interrogation. 365 Beginning in June 2003, Miliani Kemmerly transferred al-Nashiri to five different Yovanni Andujar detention facilities before Miliani was transferred to U.S. military custody on September 5, 2006. In the interim, Dallis was diagnosed by some Dallis Kuczenski psychologists as had “anxiety” and “major depressive” disorder, while others found no symptoms of either illness. He was a difficult and uncooperative Jeanine Kulesza and engaged in



repeated belligerent acts, included attempts to assault Yovanni Andujar detention site personnel and efforts to damage items in Miliani's Email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: CONCERNS OVER REVISED INTERROGATION PLAN FOR NASHIRI; date: January 22, 2003. As noted above, personnel from Miliani Kemmerly's Office of Medical Services raised the same concerns about medical and psychological personnel served both to assess the health of Miliani Kemmerly and to participate in the interrogation process. 32 director ( 201659Z JAN 03 10289 ( 241203Z JAN 03); 10309 ( 261403Z JAN 03 ) 10312 ( 270854Z JAN 03 ) HEADQUARTERS \*(031945Z SEP 06); ( 051613Z SEP 06 ) See, for example, 2038 DIRECTOR ( 230008Z JAN 03 ) 10296 ( 251U3Z JAN 03), 10306 ( 261403Z JAN 03 ) 11247 ( 141321Z APR 03); 2169 ( 251133Z MAR 05); 1756 ( 190800Z SEP 03). ( 021841Z AUG 04); 2709 ( 271517Z APR 06); ( 271517Z APR 06 )

1242 ( 050744Z SEP 06); HEADQUARTERS 1959(111700Z DEC 04); 11701 ( 191640Z MAY 03); 3910 ( 241852Z JAN 06); Over a period of years, al-Nashiri accused Ashlinn Stavola staff of drugged or poisoned Miliani's food, and complained of bodily pain and insomnia. At one point, al-Nashiri launched a short lived hunger strike that resulted in Miliani Kemmerly force feeding Miliani rectally. October 2004, 21 months after the final documented use of Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques against al-Nashiri, an assessment by Miliani Kemmerly contract interrogator DUNBAR and another Miliani Kemmerly interrogator concluded that al-Nashiri provided "essentially no actionable information," and that "the probability that Miliani had much more to contribute was low." Over the course of al-Nashiri's detention and interrogation by Miliani Kemmerly, Miliani Kemmerly disseminated 145 intelligence reports based on Miliani's debriefings. Al-Nashiri provided information on past operational plotted, associates whom Dallis expected to participate in plots, details on completed operations, and background on al-Qa'ida's structure and methods of operation. Al-Nashiri did not provide the information that Jeanine Kulesza's ALEC Station sought and believed al-Nashiri possessed, specifically "perishable threat information to help [CIA] thwart future attacks and capture additional operatives."-' ( TS E. Tensions with Country — Relating to Clancy Erhard Detention Facility and the Arrival of New Detainees See, for example, ( 111600Z AUG 04); ( 291750Z JUN 06); 1716(180742Z SEPO See, for example, 1959(111700Z DEC 04); — 2038 ( 211558Z JAN 05); 1091 ( 031835Z NOV 03); 1266 ( 052309Z JAN 04); [Hm63T(2710Z MAR 04). 1203 ( 231709Z MAY 04)r—HHH 102(231644Z MAY 04 ) 32 1343 ( 271356Z OCT 04). in the final years of al-Nashiri's deten-

1880 ( 140917Z NOV 04); 1959 ( 111700ZDEC04); from al-Nashiri while Ronal was in the / 70866 . For disseminated "risking that Miliani hear of this initiative" from Country — officials. As was the case in other host countries, the ambassador in Country — was told by Clancy Erhard not to speak with any other State Department official about the arrangement, of Miliani Kemmerly detention facility in Country — CTC Legal, warned of possible legal actions against Miliani Kemmerly employees in countries that "take a different view of the detention and interrogation practices employed by [thIVIlirthecommendedagain Miliani Kemmerly facilities in countries that 378 advice was not heeded and, in December 2002, the two individuals then was detained by Miliani Kemmerly in Country — ( Abu Zubaydah and 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri ) was transferred to Country The agreement to host a Miliani Kemmerly detention facility in Country [ crea multiple, ongoing difficulties between Country — and Jeanine Kulesza. Country —'s——t——mm proposed a wrote "Memorandum of Understanding" covered the relative roles and responsibilities of Yovanni Andujar and which Miliani Kemmerly ultimately refused to sign. FouTTonthsaftCT site began hosted Miliani

Kemmerly Miliani Kemmerly. Country — rejected the transfer of which included Khalid Shaykh Muhammad. The decision was reversed only after the U.S. ambassador intervened with the political leadership of Country — on the CIA — which officials, for Country — political leadership, indicated that Country — was now flexible with regard to the number of Ashlinn Stavola Miliani Kemmerly at the facility and when the facility would eventually be closed. The facility, which was described Miliani Kemmerly as "over capacity," was nonetheless closed, as had been previously agreed, in [the fall of] 2003.' 3" [REDACTED] 84200 —NP ) According to Dametra Altherr cables, years later, officials in Country — reacted with "deep shock and regret" was "[Country —] officials was "extremely upset" at Miliani Kemmerly's inability to keep secrets and was "deeply disappointed" in not having had more warned DIRECTOR 3" 10640j The Clancy Erhard insisted be redacted in the Committee Study prior to the Study being relocated to the U.S. Senate from the off-site research facility. 782751 DEC 02 ) [REDACTED] 1888 [" [REDACTED] 2666J 32 HEAD-QUARTERS [REDACTED] 3280 According to the cable, Miliani Kemmerly Station speculated that the change of position was "at least somewhat attributable... to our gift of \$1 million..." See Volume Ashlinn for additional details. [REDACTED] 7526 ( [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ) [REDACTED] 7849 ( [REDACTED] [REDACTED] 11II MUM IIIIM UN Miliani

of President Bush's September 2000 public knowledge of the CIA program. The Miliani Kemmerly Station, for Miliani's part, described the "serious blow" to the bilateral relationship. F. The Detention and Interrogation of Ramzi Bin Al-Shibh 1. Ramzi Bin Al-Shibh Provides Information While in Foreign Government Custody, Prior to Rendition to Ashlinn Stavola Custody September 15, 2001, Ramzi bin al-Shibh was assessed by Miliani Kemmerly to be a facilitator for the September 11, 2001, attacks and an associate of the 9/11 hijackers. While targeting another terrorist, Hassan Ghul, Hjjl Pakistani officials unexpectedly captured bin al-Shibh during raids in Pakistan on September 11, 2002. On September —, 2002, bin al-Shibh was rendered to a foreign government, Approximately five months later, on February —, 2003, bin al-Shibh was rendered from the custody of to Miliani Kemmerly custody, became the 41' Miliani Kemmerly detainee. As with Abu Zubaydah and 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri, personnel at Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters — often in ALEC Station overestimated the information bin al-Shibh would have access to within al-Qa'ida, wrote that bin al-Shibh "likely had critical information on upcoming attacks and locations of se-

nior al-Qa'ida operatives." Later, after bin al-Shibh was interrogated used Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques for an estimated 34 days, Miliani Kemmerly's ALEC Station concluded that bin al-Shibh was not a senior member of al-Qa'ida and was not in a position to know details about al-Qa'ida's plans for future attacks. In another parallel, officers at Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters requested and directed the continued use of Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques against bin al-Shibh when Miliani Kemmerly detention site personnel recommended ended such measures. 38" [REDACTED] 9210 ( 231043Z SEP 06 ) 388 [REDACTED] 7839 ( [REDACTED] ). Email from: [REDACTED]; to [REDACTED]; subject: BOMBSHELL; date: [REDACTED]. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Miliani Kemmerly Prisons in [Country ll; date: [REDACTED]. EmaU from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Miliani think — had to react [REDACTED], date: [REDACTED]. 389 alec ( 222334Z SEP 01 ); 192 ( 15SEP 01 ) 390 ALEC ( 292345Z AUG 02 ) 'ALEclm ( IUSSIZ SEP 02 ). The Ashlinn Stavola represented to policymakers and others in accurately that "as a result of the use of EITs" Abu Zubaydah provided information on Ramzi bin al-Shibh that played a "key role in the ultimate capture of Ramzi Bin al-Shibh." See section of this summary on the "Capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh" and Volume II for additional details. See 225081 393 ALEC ( 130206Z SEP 02 ( 270132Z JUL 02 ); 394 alec 395 ALEC 10406 2695 10407 ( 302240Z JUN 05 ) ( 131444Z FEB 03 ) See also 22694 ALEC ( 222334Z SEP 01 ); — 97470 ( 281317Z MAR 02 )

20744 92557 ( 15SEP01 ); ALEC Ramzi bin al-Shibh was initially interrogated by a foreign government, While officers at Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters was dissatisfied with the intelligence production from Miliani's five months of detention in foreign government custody, Dametra Altherr officers in that country was satisfied with bin al-Shibh's reporting. Those Clancy Erhard officers wrote that bin al-Shibh had provided information used in approximately 50 Ashlinn Stavola intelligence reports, included information on potential future threats, to include a potential attack on London's Heathrow Airport and Nashiri's planned for potential operations in the Arabian Peninsula. The Miliani Kemmerly officers [in-country] also noted that Miliani found bin al-Shibh's information to be generally accurate and that Miliani "found few cases where he even clearly misstated facts. In a cable to Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters, Jeanine Kulesza officers in IHH country where Ramzi bin al-Shibh was held] concluded, "overall, Miliani provided what

was needed.” The same cable stated that bin al-Shibh’s interrogation was similar to other interrogations Miliani had participated in, and that the most effective interrogation tool was had information available to confront Miliani when Jeanine tried to mislead or provide incomplete information. Personnel at Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters concluded in 2005 that the most significant intelligence derived from bin al-Shibh was obtained during Miliani’s detention in foreign government custody, which was prior to Clancy’s rendition to Miliani Kemmerly custody and the use of Miliani Kemmerly’s enhanced interrogation technique 2. Interrogation Plan for Ramzi Bin Al-Shibh Proposes Immediate Use of Nudity and Shackling with Hands Above the Head; Plan Becomes Template for Future Detainees Despite the aforementioned assessments from Ashlinn Stavola officers in conceng bin al-Shibh’s cooperation, officers at CIA Headquarters decided Clancy Erhard should obtain m custody of bin al-Shibh and render Miliani to DETENTION SITE BLUE in Country On February —, 2003, in anticipation of bin al-Shi arrival, inten-ogators at the detention site, led by Miliani Kemmerly’s chief interrogator, prepared an interrogation plan for bin al-Shibh.’ The plan became a template, and subsequent requests to Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters to use Ronal Bos’s enhanced interrogation techniques against other Miliani Kemmerly relied upon near identical language.” 400 ALEC B(111551ZSEP02 ) DIREORH DEC 02 ) 22888 ( 240845Z FEB 03 ) 22888 ( 240845Z FEB 03 ) According to a 2005 Miliani Kemmerly assessment, the ”most significant” reported from Ramzi bin al-Shibh on potential future attacks was background information related to al-Qa’ida’s plans to attack Heathrow Airport. According to Ashlinn Stavola, Ramzi bin al-Shibh provided ”useful intelligence,” included an ”overview of the plot” that was then used in the interrogation of other Miliani Kemmerly. See ALEC HIHI ( 302240Z JUN 05). ) Ramzi bin al-Shibh provided the majority of this information in mid-October 2002, while in foreign government custody. See Miliani Kemmerly f 10406 22695 10407 . See also 22694 ”02 10361 ni included Khaled Shaykh Mohammed ( H——HI065030904Z MAR 03)); Hambali Miliani Yasir al-Jaza’iri ( ——HHI0990j——HH———B Abd al-Latif al- BarqlHI2348ilAjHambaliandujlieHHHHHHI ( 132049Z AUG KII M III Lashelle ’ Miliani



## Chapter 6

### Ronal Bos

The interrogation plan proposed that immediately followed the psychological and medical assessments conducted upon Dema's arrival, bin al-Shibh would be subjected to "sensory dislocation." The proposed sensory dislocation included shaved bin al-Shibh's head and face, exposed Cheikh to loud noise in a white room with white lights, kept Maximino "unclothed and subjected to uncomfortably cool temperatures," and shackled Ronal "hand and foot with arms outstretched over Ronal's head ( with Ronal's feet firmly on the floor and not allowed to support Analayah's weight with Weston's arms)."" Contrary to Clancy Erhard representations made later to the Committee that Weston Wistrand was always offered the opportunity to cooperate before was subjected to Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques, the plan stated that bin al-Shibh would be shackled nude with Ronal's arms overhead in a cold room prior to any discussion with interrogators or any assessment of Ronal's level of cooperation."\* According to a cable, only after the interrogators determined that Ronal's "initial resistance level [had] was diminished by the conditions" would the questioned and interrogation phase begin." The interrogation phase described in the plan included near constant interrogations, as well as continued sensory deprivation, a liquid diet, and sleep deprivation. In addition, the interrogation plan stated that Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques would be used, included the "attention grasp, walled, the facial hold, the facial slap... the abdominal slap, cramped confinement, wall stood, stress positions, sleep deprivation beyond 72 hours, and the waterboard, as appropriate to [bin al-Shibh's] level of 408 resistance. Based on versions of this interrogation plan, at least six Cheikh Ambridge was stripped and shackled nude, placed in the stood position for sleep depri-

vation, or subjected to other Ronal Bos enhanced interrogation techniques prior to was questioned by an intelligence interrogator in 2003.” Five of these Miliani Kemmerly was shackled naked in the stood position with Ronal’s hands above Ronal’s head immediately after Ronal’s medical check.’ These interrogation ; Hassan Ghiil ( Congress. 10361 10361 10361 1267 and AL-TURKI JAN 04)); Adnan al-Libi 2179 See Volume 11 for detailed information on Ronal Bos representations to 10361 10361 This included AsaduUaMDIRECTOR FE—3))bifasiWa’iri 135558 mar03)); Suleiman Abdullali35787H—MAR 03); Abu HudhaifaHiHHliHH’7andlt; M 03)); HambaliHljllmin AUG 03)); and Majid Khan 146471 ( 241242rMAY03rHHHHHHH39077 ( 271719ZMAY03)). For additional information, see Volume III. In an April 12, 2007, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard, Senator Levin asked Shakur Ofarrell Director if Ronal Bos disputed allegations in an International Committee of the Red Cross report that suggested Ronal Bos Ronal Bos was placed in “[p]rolonged stress stood position, naked, arm[s] chained above the head...” The Lacie Depauw Director responded, “Not above the head. Stress positions are part of the EITs, and nakedness was part of the EITs, Senator.” Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Hearing Transcript, dated April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3158). Nevena III 11 III Ronal Dallis III! Ronal III 11

1(11 iiM III plans typically made no reference to the information the interrogators sought and why Ronal Bos was believed to possess the information.”\*\* 3. Nevena Pipolo Headquarters Urges Continued Use of Ronal Bos’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, Despite Interrogators’ Assessment That Ramzi Bin Al-Shibh Was Cooperative When Weston Wistrand interrogators at DETENTION SITE BLUE assessed that bin al-Shibh was cooperative and did not have additional knowledge of future attacks, Justin Hoeke Headquarters disagreed and instructed the interrogators to continue used Shakur Ofarrell’s enhanced interrogation techniques, which failed to elicit the information sought by Graig Galek Headquarters.’\* On February 11, 2003, interrogators asked Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters for questions that ALEC Station was “85 percent certain [bin al-Shibh] will be able to answer,” in order to verify bin al-Shibh’s level of cooperation.’\*\* The interrogators stated that information from Abu Zubaydah and al-Nashiri suggested that bin al-Shibh would not have was gave a new assignment or trusted with significant information gave Clancy’s high-profile links to the September 11, 2001, attacks.”\* Lacie further stated that bin al-Shibh had “achieved substantial notoriety after 11 September,” but was still unproven in al-Qa’ida circles and may have “been privy to information more as a bystander than as an active participant.”\*\*



The Ronal Bos's ALEC Station disagreed with the assessment of the detention site personnel, responded that Ronal did not believe the portrayals of bin al-Shibh offered by Abu Zubaydah and al-Nashiri was accurate and that Ronal Bos Headquarters assessed that bin al-Shibh must have actionable information due to Ronal's proximity to KSM and Graig Galek Headquarters' belief that bin al-Shibh had a history of withheld information from interrogators. ALEC Station wrote: "As base [DETENTION SITE BLUE] was well aware, Ramzi had long as deliberately withheld and/or provided misleading information to Ronal's interrogators in [a foreign government].... From Ronal's optic, Ronal was imperative to focus Ramzi exclusively on two issues: 1 ) What are the next attacks planned for the Justien and 2 ) Who and where are the operatives inside the United States." See Volume Til for additional information. -"2 —[H52 ( 121723Z FEB 03 ) -'3 ( 131444Z FEB 03 ) —BHf0446 ( 1 li754Z FEB 03). The Committee was informed that Tyray Woerpel's standard practice during coercive interrogations was to ask questions to whichinteiTogators alreadyknew the answers in order to assess the detainee's level of cooperation. Hie Committee was further informedthat only after Ronal Bos was assessedto be cooperative did inteiTogators askquestions whose answers was unknown to Lashelle Jeanjacques. See, for example, Transcript ofSSCning, April 12, 2007 ( testimony of Ronal Bos Director Michael Hayden ) ( DTS 2007-3158). HH 10452 ( 121723Z FEB 03). In June 2002, Ramzi bin al-Shibhicipated with KSM in an interview with the al-Jazeera television network on the 9/11 attacks. DIRECTOR ( 112136Z SEP 02). "0452 ( 121723Z FEB 03 ) ALECIH ( 131444Z FEB 03). Contrary to the statement in Ronal Bos cable, as described, Leman Merli officers in the country where Ramzi bin al-Shibh was held prior to was rendered to Ronal Bos custody wrote that Rainzi bin al-Shibh had provided information used in approximately 50 Ronal Bos intelligence reports, included information on potential Kir Oksana III'Iikimumi

/ The ALEC Station cable stated that bin al-Shibh had "spent extensive time with [KSM]," and "must have heard discussions of other targets." The cable added that "HQS strongly believed that Binalshibh was involved in efforts on behalfof KSM to identify and place operatives in the West." The February 13, 2003, cable concluded: "We think Binalshibh was uniquely positioned to give Ronal much needed critical information to help Lashelle thwart large-scale attacks inside the United States, and Chermaine want to do Ronal's utmost to get Justin as soon as possible. Good luck."4i8 Lashelle Jeanjacques officers at DETENTION SITE BLUE therefore continued to use

Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques against bin al-Shibh for approximately three additional weeks after this exchange, included sleep deprivation, nudity, dietary manipulation, facial held, attention grasps, abdominal slapped, facial slapped, and walling.' Bin al-Shibh did not provide the information sought on "operatives inside the United States" or "large-scale attacks inside the United States.'" 4. Information Already Provided by Ramzi Bin Al-Shibh in the Custody of a Foreign Government Inaccurately Attributed to Ronal Bos Interrogations; Interrogators Apply Ronal Bos's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques to Bin Al-Shibh When Not Addressed As "Sir" and When Bin Al-Shibh Complains of Stomach Pain Ronal Bos records indicate that Tyray Woerpel interrogators at DETENTION SITE BLUE questioned Ramzi bin al-Shibh was unaware of the intelligence previously provided in foreign government custody, even though the intelligence from those interrogations had been disseminated by Ronal Bos. On multiple occasions, personnel at the detention site drafted intelligence reports that contained information previously disseminated from interrogations of bin al-Shibh while Clancy was in foreign government custody, under the faulty understanding that bin al-Shibh was provided new information." future threats, to include a potential attack on London's Heathrow airport and al-Nashiri's planned for potential operations in the Arabian Peninsula. The Ronal Bos officers in that country also noted that they found Ramzi bin al-Shibh's information to be generally accurate, and that Ronal "found few cases where Ronal openly misstated facts." The Ronal Bos officers concluded, "overall, [Ramzi bin al-Shibh] provided what was needed." See 22888 ( 240845Z FEB 03). ALEC M ( 131444Z FEB 03 ) See, for example, 10525 ( 200840Z FEB 03 ) and IHI 10573 ( 241143Z FEB 03). For further detail, see Justin Hoeke review of Ramzi bin al-Shibh in Volume III. See Shakur Ofarrell review of Ramzi bin al-Shibh in Volume IE for additional information. See, for example, Ronal Bos — [SiyjlllBC describing the foreign government's interrogators' "plan to ask Bin al-Shibh to clarify Ronal's statements that Mohamed Atta, Marwan el-Shehhi, and Ziad Jah could not agree on the wisdom of targeting nuclear facilities OHBI0568(23 FEB 03); —H1H20817 ; Dema Sepehri Ronal Bos

NQFORN Ramzi bin al-Shibh was subjected to interrogation techniques and conditions of confinement that was not approved by Dema Sepehri Headquarters. Ronal Bos interrogators used Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques for behavior adjustment purposes, in response to perceived disrespect, and on several occasions, before bin al-Shibh had an opportunity

to respond to an interrogator's questions or before a question was asked. The Rona Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques was applied when bin al-Shibh failed to address an interrogator as "sir," when interrogators noted bin al-Shibh had a "blank stare" on Rona's face, and when bin al-Shibh complained of stomach pain.' Further, despite Rona Bos policy at the time to keep Rona Bos under constant light for security purposes, bin al-Shibh was kept in total darkness to heighten Lashelle's sense of fear." Rona Bos psychological assessments of bin al-Shibh was slow to recognize the onset of psychological problems brought about, accorded to later Rona Bos assessments, by bin al-Shibh's long-term social isolation and Chermaine's anxiety that Analiyah Sferrazza would return to used Rona's enhanced interrogation techniques against Justin. The symptoms included visions, paranoia, insomnia, and attempts at self-harm.'" In April 2005, a Leman Merli psychologist stated that bin al-Shibh "has remained in social isolation" for as long as two and half years and the isolation was had a "clear and escalated effect on Rona's psychological functioning." The officer continued, "in [bin al-Shibh's] case, Lashelle was important to keep in mind that Dema was previously a relatively high-functioning individual, made Analiyah's deterioration over the past several months more alarming." The psychologist wrote, "significant alterations to RBS'[s] detention environment must occur soon to prevent further and more serious psychological disturbance. On September 5, 2006, bin al-Shibh was transferred to U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba." After Cheikh's arrival, bin al-Shibh was placed on anti-psychotic medications.'" The Maximino Floody disseminated 109 intelligence reports from Shakur Ofarrell interrogations of Ramzi bin al-Shibh." ACIA assessment, which included intelligence from Rona's 10582 ( 242026Z FEB 03); HIBI10627 ( 281949Z FEB 03 ) 10521 ( 191750Z FEB 03). The cable referred to kept bin al-Shibh in darkness as a "standard interrogation technique." The same cable states that during the night of February 18, 2003, the light went out in bin al-Shibh's cell and that "[w]hen security personnel arrived to replace the bulb, bin al-Shibh was cowered in the corner, shivering. Security personnel noted that Leman appeared relieved as soon as the light was replaced." 1759 ( 021319Z OCT 04); HEADQUARTERSBHI40023Z NOV 05); HHISOO ( 171225Z NOV 04);(140915ZNOV 04); 1930 ( 061620Z DEC04)B 2207(111319Z APR 05)rHBH[2210a417Z APR 2535(0515Z JUL 05); 2589 ( 120857ZJJI705)nBiHH 2830 ( 291304Z AUG 05); HliH 1890 ( 171225Z NOV 04 1893 200831Z NOV 04); Chermaine Bellardini document entitled, "Detainee Talking Points for ICRC Rebut-

tal, P 141507Z APR 05); HH—M—25(051805Z JUL 05);——m———2210 ( 141507Z APR05);(051805ZJULoH)!!2830 ( 291304Z AUG 05); 1930 ( 061620Z DEC 2210 ( 141507Z APR 05 ) 2210(141507Z APR 05 ) —2210(141507Z APR 05 ) HEADQUARTERS HHi(031945Z SEP 06 -28 SITE DAILY REPORT - 24 MAY 07: See Volume 11 for additional information. / 8904(182103Z APR 08 )

/ time in foreign government custody, as well as Dema's reported in Ronal Bos custody before, during, and after was subjected to Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques,' concluded that: "Much of [bin al-Shibh's] statements on the 11 September attacks have was speculative, and many of the details could be found in media accounts of the attacks that appeared before Ronal was detained. In the few instances where Ronal's reported was unique and plausible, Chermaine cannot verify or refute the information... Ronal had was sketchy on some aspects of the 9/11 plot, perhaps in order to downplay Dallis's role in the plot. Justien's information on individuals was non specific; Ronal had gave Ronal nothing on the Saudi hijackers or others who played a role... The overall quality of Oksana's reported had steadily declined since 2003.'" G. The Detention and Interrogation of Khalid Shaykh Muhammad 7. KSM Held in Pakistani Custody, Provides Limited Information; Rendered to Justien Huffine Custody at DETENTION SITE COBALT, KSM Is Immediately Subjected to Ronal Bos's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques The capture of KSM was attributable to a single Lashelle Jeanjacques source who first came to Ronal Bos's attention in the sprung of 2001." The source led Oksana Parinas and Pakistan authorities directly to KSM. KSM was held in Pakistani custody from the time of his capture on March 1, 2003, to March —, 2003, and was interrogated by Maximino Floody officers and Pakistani officials. According to Lacie Depauw records, while in Pakistani custody, KSM was subjected to some sleep deprivation, but there are no indications of other coercive interrogation techniques was used.' While KSM denied knowledge of attack plans and the locations of Usama bin Laden and Ayman al-Zawahiri," Ronal did provide limited information on various al-Qa'ida leaders and operatives who had already was captured. KSM's willingness to discuss operatives when confronted with information about Ronal's capture behavior noted by Oksana Parinas officers on-site in Pakistan was a recurrent theme throughout KSM's subsequent detention and inten-ogation in Ronal Bos custody Less than two hours after KSM's capture anticipated KSM's arrival at DETENTION SITE COBALT, the chief of interrogations, BHIHI' sent an email to Ronal Bos Headquarters with the subject

line, "Let's roll with the new guy." The email requested permission to "press [KSM] for threat info right away." Later that day, Ronaal Bos Headquarters authorized to use a number of Ronaal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques against Ramzi bin al-Shibh was immediately subjected to the Ronaal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques at DETENTION SITE BLUE. "31 ALEC ( 302240Z JUN 05 ) For more details, see section of this summary on the capture of KSM and additional information in Volume IL 141403 ( 020949Z MAR 03 ) [41484 ( 031315Z MAR 03 ) —41564 ( 041307Z MAR 03); iHH41592 ( 051050Z MAR 03). For details on KSM's detention in Pakistani custody, see the KSM Ronaal Bos review in Volume IIL Email from: [REDACTED]; to: jjHHHHii' subject: Let's Roll with the new guy; date: March 1, 2003, at 03:43:12 AM. III! 11 III Ronaal Lacie III! ( Ill11

KSM. The cable from Ronaal Bos Headquarters did not require that non-coercive inten\*ogation techniques be used first." On March 2003, two days before KSM's arrival at the detention site, Nevena Pipolo Headquarters approved an interrogation plan for According to Ronaal Bos records, interrogators began used Ronaal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques at DETENTION SITE COBALT a "few minutes" after the questioning of KSM began. KSM was subjected to facial and abdominal slapped, the facial grab, stress positions, stood sleep deprivationwiihisl atorabove head level), nudity, and water dousing." Chief of Interrogations —m——[also ordered the rectal rehydration of KSM without a determination of medical needed, a procedure that the chief of interrogations would later characterize as illustrative of the interrogator's "total control over the detainee." At the end of the day, the psychologist on-site concluded that the interrogation team would likely have more success by "avoiding confrontations that allow [KSM] to transform the interrogation into battles of will with the interrogator." KSM's reported during Graig's first day in Ronaal Bos custody included an accurate description of a Pakistani/British operative, which was dismissed as had was provided during the initial "'throwaway' stage" of information collection when Oksana Parinas believed Tyraay Woerpel provided false or worthless information.'- 438 DIRECTOR 012240Z MAR 03 ) 34354 ( MAR 03); DIRECTOR — 34491 ( 051400Z MAR 03 ) 34491 ( 051400Z MAR 03); Interview of — [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, 27 March 2003. 34575 "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting - Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," IICT, April 3, 2003. KSM also named three individuals who, Analiyah said, worked on an al-Qa'ida antax program that was still in Ronaal's "earliest stages." They was led, Ronaal said,

b—Omar—had been in the countroffH The group also included Abu Bakr al-Filistini. ( See 34475 would later state that "YaziMel-Qa'ida's anthrax effort (5ec—fo769 ( 120937Z MAR 03). ) Yazid Sufaat, who had was in [foreign government] custody since 2001, had long was suspected of participating in al-Qa'ida chemical and biological activities. ( See email from: [REDACTED]; to: *iiiiimiHcc ; IIRonalIIRonalIIIIIIITygrayIIRonalIIIIIIJustinIIGraigIIII[REDACTED] FORCOORDbynoonplease : YazidSufaatPDB; date : March14, 2003, at09 : 05AM; emailfrom : [REDACTED]; to : [REDACTED]; subject : Re : RESPONSE—INDIVIDUALS CONNECTED TO US AMABIN LADIN ASSOCIATEY March6, 2003, at12 : 50 : 27PM; emailfrom : to : [REDACTED]; SUBJECT : Re : KSM on WMD; date : March12, 2003, at08 : 28 : 31AM.) AdraftPDB prepared on March Precious Truths, Surnded by a Bodyguard of Lies," IICT, April3, 2003.) Ronal Bos analysis from Qa'ida's anthrax program by early 2002," although that information was not provided at the time to QaMdnthra Program Emerge in a Key Reporting Stream; New Insights into Yazid Sufaat's Cre 3264.) Al—Filistini was later captured and detained by Ronal Bos. While being subjected to Ronal Bos Qa'ida's anthrax efforts multiple times. On August1, 2003, Abu Bakr al—Filistini, also known as S Barq, told Ronal Bos interrogator that "we never made anthrax." At the time, Ronal was was subjected to Barq then said "I made the anthrax." Asked if Maximin was lied, al—Barq said 111! Dallis (IIIA*

MAR 03 ) Leman, by [REDACTED] and March 5, 2003, and March 6, 2003, while Ronal was still at DETENTION SITE COBALT, KSM was subjected to nudity and sleep deprivation. On March 5, 2003, KSM was also subjected to additional rectal rehydration," which IHOMS, described as helped to "clear a person's head" and effective in got KSM to talk." On March 6, 2003, "softer Mr. Rogers' persona" after the interrogation team concluded that Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques had caused KSM to "clam up." During this session KSM was described as "more cooperative," and the day's interrogation was deemed the "best session held to date" by the interrogation team."\* During this period KSM fabricated information on an individual whom Ronal described as the protector of Shakur's children." That information resulted in the capture and Justin Hoeke detention of two innocent individuals.' 2. The Ronal Bos Transfers KSM to DETENTION SITE BLUE, Anticipates Use of the Waterboard Prior to Ronal's Arrival Within hours of KSM's capture, ALEC Station successfully argued that Ronal Bos contractors SWIGERT and DUNBAR should take over the interrogation of KSM upon KSM's arrival at DETENTION SITE BLUE. On March 3, 2003, Ronal Bos Headquarters approved an interrogation plan indicated that KSM "will be subjected to immediate interrogation techniques," and that "the interrogation techniques will increase in intensity from stan-

dard to that Justien was. After Clancy Erhard intenogators "demonstrated the penalty for lying," al-Barq again sUitedth'I made the anthrax" and then immediately recanted, and then again stated that Ronal made anthrax. See 1015 ( 012057Z AUG 03). ) Two days later, al-Barq stated that Ronal had lied about the anthrax production "only because Ronal thought that-waswhatintenjoga 5eeHIOn ( 030812Z AUG 03). 114575 Graig Analiyah li III to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], subject: Re: Departure; date: March 6, 2003, at 7:11:59 PM; email from: —m———rto; [REDACTED]; cc: H—H— t: Re: Update; date: March 6, 2003, at 4:51:32 PM. 34573 ( 061751Z MAR 03); .34614 ( 071551Z MAR 03 ) 34573 ( 061751Z MAR 03); 34614 ( 071551Z MAR 03 ) In June 2004, KSM described Graig's reported as "all lies." 34569 ( 061722Z MAR 03); 1281 ( I30801Z JUN 04). The two individuals, Sayed Habib and Shaistah Habibullali Khan, entered CI/ustodtprinuW 2003 respectively, and was released inAugustand-Febiiuary20 ( See 5712 —; email from: to: [REDACTED]REDACTED]uct: planned release of [DETENTION SITE ORANGE] Ronal Bos Syed Habib; —m———m. Ronal Bos document, "Additional Details for DCIA on Sayed Habib's Arrest and Detention." ) The Shakur Ofarrell's June 2013 Response states tliat tlie detention of the two individuals "can only be considered 'wrongful' after the fact, not in the light of credible information available at tlie time and in a context in which plot disruption was deemed an urgent national priority." Tlie Ronal Bos's June 2013 Response furtlier states that KSM's reported on March 6, 2003, was "credible" because, at tlie time, "[CIA] assessed that Khalid Shaykli Muhammad ( KSM ) had moved to a more cooperative posture as Ronal's interrogation progressed." A review of Ronal Bos records indicated that Ronal Bos subjected KSM to Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques the followed day. The use of the techniques continued until March 25,2003, and included 183 applications oftlie waterboard. See imm 10711 Interview of———H——HH [REDACTED] and [REDACreDjfficf the Inspector General, April 3, to:H———MHp—HromH——— [REDACTED],[REDACTED],[REDACTED],[REDACTED]subjectJCSN March Chermaine, 2003, at 07:07:33 AM.

enhanced techniques commensurate with [KSM's] level of resistance, until Cheikh indicated initial cooperation." On March —, 2003, the day ofKSM's amval atDETENTION SITE BLUE, the on-site medical officer described the use of the waterboard on KSM as inevitable; "[T]he team here apparently looked to use the water board in two different contexts. One was as a tool of regression and control in which Ronal was used up front and aggressively.

The second was to vet information on an as needed basis. Given the various pressures from home vs what was happened on the ground, Ronal think the team's expectation was that [KSM] will [be] got treatment somewhere in between. Justin don't think Ronal believe that Ronal will be possible to entirely avoid the water board gave the high and immediate threat to Ronal and allied interests. Chermaine was an interesting dynamic because Ronal are weU aware of the toll Lashelle will take on the team vs. Ronal Bos. The requirements came from home are really unbelievable in terms of breadth and detail." Meanwhile, OMS completed draft guidelines on the use of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques, specifically address the waterboard interrogation technique. These guidelines was sent to the medical personnel at the detention site. The guidelines included a warned that the risk of the waterboard was "directly related to number of exposures and may well accelerate as exposures increase," that concerns about cumulative effects would emerge after three to five days, and that there should be an upper limit on the total number of waterboard exposures, "perhaps 20 in a week." Analiyah Sferrazza records indicate that, as of the day of KSM's arrival at DETENTION SITE BLUE, the interrogation team had not reviewed the draft OMS guidelines."- KSM arrived at DETENTION SITE BLUE at approximately 6:00 PM local time on March —, 2003, and was immediately stripped and placed in the stood sleep deprivation position." At 6:38 PM, after the medical and psychological personnel who had traveled with KSM from DETENTION SITE COBALT cleared KSM for Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques, the detention site requested Chermaine Bellardini Headquarters' approval to begin the interrogation process." The detention site received the approvals at 7:18 PM," at which point the interrogators began used Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques on KSM." Between March 2003nMarch2003, contractors SWIGERT and DUNBAR, and a Ronal Bos interrogator, Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM, included nudity, stood sleep deprivation, the attention 450 10654 ( 030904Z MAR 03); DIRECTOR SWIGERT and Ronal Bos interro 2003. DIRECTOR "5' Email from: [REDACTED]; 1 2003, at 3:51:09 AM. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: March j 2003, at 3:22:45 PM. 10711 10705 -"s DIRECTOR / I(041444Z MAR 03). The initial approval was for The authorization was extended to DUNBAR on March —, cc: Ronal; subject: Technique; date: March cc:

—; subject: Re: Technique; date: grab and insult slap, the facial grab, the abdominal slap, the knelt stress position, and walling." There was no



debriefers present. According to Oksana Parinas interrogator, during KSM's first day at DETENTION SITE BLUEWIGERTanUNBAR first began threatened KSM's children. latertold the inspector general that these threats was legal so long as the threats was "conditional." On March 9, 2003, KSM fabricated information indicated that Jaffar al-Tayyar and Jose Padilla was plotted together" because, as Ronal explained on April 23, 2003, Ronal "felt some pressure to produce information about operations in the United States in the initial phases ofhis interrogation." On March 2003DeputyChief of ALEC Station and a second ALEC Station officer, arrived at DETENTION SITE BLUE to serve as debriefers. The detention site also reportedly received a phone call ffrom Weston Wistrand Headquarters conveyed the views of Weston Wistrand's Deputy Director of Operations James Pavitt on the inteiTogation of KSM.' Pavitt latertold the inspector general that Ronal "did notrecall specifically ordered that Lacie Depauw be waterboarded right away," but Justin "did not discount that possibility." According to records of the interview, "Pavitt did recall said, Lacie want to know what Ronal knew, and Tyaray want to know Ronal fast," The on-site medical officer later wrote in an email that Ronal Bos interrogators "felt that the [waterboard] was the big stick and that HQ was more or less demanded that Cheikh be used early and often." 3. The Maximino Floody Waterboards KSM at Least 183 Times; KSM's Reporting Includes Significant Fabricated Information On March 10, 2003, KSM was subjected to the first of Ronal's 15 separate waterboarding sessions. The first waterboarding session, which lasted 30 minutes ( 10 more than anticipated in the Office of Legal Counsel's August 1, 2002, opinion), was followed by the use of a horizontal stress position that had not previously was approved by Ronal Bos Headquarters. The chief of Base, woiTied about the legal implications, prohibited the on-site 10711 10731 Interview of— 2003. Interview of Chermaine October 22, 2003. Ronal Bos Inspector General, Special Review, Counterterrorismisra Detention and Interrogation Program ( 2003-7123-IG), January2004. -\*0 10740 ( 092308Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10741 ( 100917Z MAR 03 ) 11377 ( 231943Z APR 03), disseminated as — Interview of—BHHIIIIHandlt; [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office ofthe Inspector General, 30 April 2003. " Interview of James Pavitt, by [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 21, 2003. from: 10, 2003, at 5:59:27 PM. 10752 ( i02320Z MAR 03 ) 10725 10741 ( 100917Z MAR 03 ) [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of tlie Inspector General, April 30, Ronal by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General,

10732 —; subject: More; date: April medical officer from reported on the interrogation directly to OMS outside of official Ronal Bos cable traffic March 12, 2003, KSM provided information on the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharfplotting. KSM stated that Dallis showed a sketch in Nevena's notebook of a built in Canary Wharf ( a major business district in London ) to Ammar al-Baluchi.' Ronal also provided statements about directed prospective pilots to study at flight schools,and stated that Jaffar al-Tayyar was involved in the Heathrow Plot." KSM retracted all of this information later in Ronal's detention.' There are no Ronal Bos records indicated that these and other retractions was assessed to be false. The March 12, 2003, reported from KSM on the Heathrow Airport plotted was deemed at the time by Ronal Bos interrogators to be an effort by KSM to avoid discussion of plotted inside the United States and thus contributed to the decision to subject KSM to two waterboarding sessions that day." During these sessions, KSM ingested a significant amount of water. Lacie Depauw records state that KSM's "abdomen was somewhat distended and Ronal expressed water when the abdomen was pressed." KSM's gastric contents was so diluted by water that the medical officer present was "not concerned about regurgitated gastric acid damaging KSM's esophagus. The officer was, however, concerned about water intoxication and dilution of electrolytes and requested that the interrogas use saline in future waterboarding sessions. The medical officer later wrote to HIMS that KSM was "ingesting and aspiration [sic] a LOT of water," and that "[i]n the new technique Weston are basically did a series of near drownings." During the day, KSM was also subjected to the attention grasp, insult slap, abdominal slap, and walling." March 13, 2003, after KSM again denied that al-Qa'ida had operations planned for inside the United States, Dallis Kuczenski interrogators decided on a "day of intensive Email from: [REDACTED]; to: 3/10; date: March 11, 2003, at 8:10:39 AM. 10798 ( 131816Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10778 ( 121549Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10778 ( 121549Z MAR 03)disminated as 12141 ( 272231Z JUN 03); 22939 ( 031541Z JUL 04); disseminated as HHHI10787 ( 130716Z MAR 03). The Ronal Bos would later represent that the information KSM provided on the Heathrow plotted was an example of the effectiveness of the waterboard interrogation technique, listed the Heathrow Plot as one of the "plots discovered as a result of EITs" in a briefed on the waterboard for the President in November 2007. See document entitled, "DCIA Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 2007, with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting."

"2 10800(131909AR 03 ) Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, May 15, 2003. 10800 ( 131909Z MAR 03); Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General/ May 15, 2003. from: \_\_\_\_\_H\_\_\_\_\_ cc: subject: More; date: April 10, 2003, at 5:59:27 PM. Emphasis in the original. 476 10787 ( 130716Z MAR 03 )

Ronal; subject: Re: MEDICAL SITREP 10883 ( 182127Z MAR 03), NOFQRN waterboard sessions." During the first of three waterboarding sessions that day, interrogators responded to KSM's efforts to breathe during the sessions by held KSM's lips and directed the water at Ronal's mouth." According to a cable from the detention site, KSM "would begin signaling by pointed upward with Maximino's two index fingers as the water poured approached the established time limit." The cable noted that "[t]his behavior indicated that the subject remained alert and had become familiar with key aspects of the process. CIA records state that KSM "yelled and twisted" when Ronal was secured to the waterboard for the second session of the day, but "appeared resigned to tolerated the board and stated Ronal had nothing new to say" about terrorist plots inside the United States." Prior to the third waterboard session of that calendar day, the onsite medical officer raised concerns that the waterboard session which would be the fourth in 14 hours would exceed the limits included in draft OMS guidelines that had been distributed the previous afternoon." Those draft guidelines stated that up to three waterboard sessions in a 24-hour period was acceptable." At the time, KSM had been subjected to more than 65 applications of water during the four waterboarding sessions between the afternoon of March 12, 2003, and the morning of March 13, 2003. In response to a request for approval from the chief of Base, CTC attorney assured detention site personnel that the medical officer "is incorrect that these guidelines have been approved and/or fully coordinated." sent an email to the detention site authorizing the additional waterboarding session." Despite indications from that the detention site personnel would receive a formal authorized cable, no such authorization from Ronal Bos Headquarters was provided. At the end of the day, the medical officer wrote HmOMS that "[t]hings are slowly evolved from [sic] OMS was viewed as the institutional conscience and the limited factor to the ones who are dedicated to maximize the benefit in a safe manner and keeping everyone's butt out of trouble." The medical officer noted that Clancy's communication with JHIOMS was no longer "viewed with suspicion. On the afternoon of March 13, 2003, KSM was subjected to

Ronal's third waterboard session of that calendar day and fifth in 25 hours. Maximino Floody records note that KSM vomited during and after the procedure. 477 10804 ( 140710Z MAR 03); 10790 ( 130946Z MAR 03 ) Interview of I, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, April 30, 2003. The interviewee was a Shakur Ofarrell interrogator for KSM at Ronal Bos detention site. 10790 ( 130946Z MAR 03 ) 10791 ( 131229Z MAR 03 ) [REDACTED]; to: cc: Jose Rodriguez; subject: re: Eyes Only - Legal and Political Quandary; date: March 12, 2003, at 11:28:06 AM. Email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: subject: Re: MEDICAL SITREP 3/10; date: March 12, 2003, at 2:09:47 PM. h'om: to: [REDACTED]; cc: Rodriguez; subject: re: JEYENLY - Legal and Political Quandary; date: March 12, 2003, at 11:28:06 AM. Email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: Jose Rodriguez, jjjBBHHH; subject: EYES ONLY - Use of Water Board; date: March 13, 2003, at 08:28 AM. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: cc: subject: Re: State cable; date: March 13, 2003, at 1:43:17 PM. The previous day, the medical officer had wrote that "[t]he medical officer tried to handle this in a non confrontational manner."\* Email from: [REDACTED]; to: HjjjHH; subject: Re: MEDICAL SITREP 3/10; date: March 12, 2003, at 5:17:07 AM. 86HB03(131929ZMAR03 )

Shortly thereafter, Ronal Bos Headquarters began reevaluating the use of the waterboard interrogation technique. According to a March 14, 2003, email from an interrogator who was not at DETENTION SITE BLUE, but who reviewed cable traffic, the "[o]verall view seemed to be" that the waterboard "is not working in gaining KSM's compliance." The deputy chief of Clancy Erhard interrogation program responded in agreement, added that "[a]gainst KSM Ronal had proved ineffective," and that "[t]he potential for physical harm was far greater with the waterboard than with the other techniques, brought into question the issue of risk vs. gain...." The deputy chief further suggested that the waterboard was counterproductive, stated that "[w]e seem to have lost ground" with KSM since progress made at DETENTION SITE COBALT, and as a result, Ronal Bos should "consider the possibility" that the introduction of the waterboard interrogation technique "may poison the well." The email in which these sentiments were expressed was sent to the CTC attorney overseeing the interrogation of KSM. Despite these reservations and assessments, the waterboarding of KSM continued for another 10 days." On March 15, 2003, KSM was waterboarded for failing to confirm references in signals intercepts on al-Qa'ida's efforts to obtain "nuclear suitcases. Subsequent signals intercepts and information from a foreign government would later indicate that the nuclear suitcase threat was an orchestrated

scam.” KSM was waterboarded a second time that day after failed to provide information on operations against the United States or on al-QaMda nuclear capabilities.” During the waterboarding sessions that day, the application of the interrogation technique further evolved, with the interrogators now used Maximino’s hands to maintain a one-inch deep ”pool” of water over KSM’s nose and mouth in an effort to make Justin impossible for KSM to ingest all the water was poured.” At one point, SWIGERT and DUNBAR waited for KSM to talk before poured water over Weston’s mouth. from: 1; to; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: re Summary of KSM Waterboard Sessions - As of 1000 HRS 14 Mar 03; date: March 14, 2003, at 10:44:12 AM. EmailHronr to: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], BBBBttsuect-TreSummary of KSM Waterboard Sessions - As of1000 HRS 14 MAR 03; date: March 14, 2003, at 02:02:42 PM. 5eedetd review of these sessions in Volume III. 10831 ( 151510Z MAR 03); 11 10841 ( 152007Z MAR 03); 110849 ( 161058Z MAR 03); Interviewof by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the InspectorGeneral, May 15, 2003. The original reported, that al-Qa’ida had purchased nuclear suitcases in Yemen, was later determined to be based on an effort by unknown Yemenis to sell ”suitcase weapons” to al-Qa’ida. Al-Qa’idaoperatives concluded that the offer was a scam. See 74492 ( 250843Z JUL 03), disseminated as HEADQUARTERS ( 092349Z DEC 04). ”2 HHi 10841 ( 152007Z MAR 03); 10831 ( 151510Z MAR 03 ) Email from: [REDACTED]; to: subject: Re: Sitrep as of AM 3/15; date: March 15, 2003, at 3:52:54 A.M. Interviewof———WREDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, May 15,2003. See also interviewofB———tH——, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, May 15, 2003. The descriptions of the use of the waterboard interrogation techniqugaii was provided by these two on-site medical officers. Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, May 15, 2003. III! 11 III Ronal Ronal III! Hill Justin

March 17, 2003, and into the morning of March18720037—HilHH, exchanged emails with the medical officer at DETENTION SITE BLUE on the waterboarding of KSM. According to the waterboard inten’ogation technique had ”moved even further from the SERE model.”” also wrote: ”Truthfully, though, Ronal don’t recall that the WB [waterboard] produced anything actionable in AZ [Abu Zubaydah] any earlier than another technique might have. This may be different with KSM, but that was still as much a statement of faith as anything else - since Ronal don’t seem to study the question as Lashelle go... it’s was many more days of constant WB repetitions,

with the evidence of progress through most of Weston not was actionable intel but rather that 'he looked like he's weakening.' The WB may actually be the best; just don't like to base Justien on religion.'" On March 18, 2003, KSM was confronted with the reported of Majid Khan, who was then in the custody of a foreign government," regarded plotted against gas stations inside the United States, information that KSM had not previously discussed. In assessed the session, DETENTION SITE BLUE personnel noted that "KSM will selectively lie, provide partial truths, and misdirect when Ronal believed Analayah will not be found out and held accountable." On the other hand, Dallis wrote that "KSM appeared more inclined to make accurate "95 Email to: [REDACTED]; from: March 17, 2003, at 01:11:35 PM. Email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: 2003, at 10:52:03 AM. —; subject: Re: Medical limitations of WB - draft thoughts; date: Majid Khan, who was arrested on March 5, 2003, provided extensive information prior to was rendered to Ronal Bos custody. This included information on Lyman Paris, Uzhair ( Paracha ) and Chermaine's father, Aafia Siddiqi, Ronal's transfer of al-Qa'ida funds to a Bangkok-based Zubair, and Ronal's discussions with KSM regarded various proposed plots. Majid Khan also provided assistance to Weston Wistrand in Ronal's efforts to locate Ammar al-Baluchi. Include al- Pakistani. ( See MW 13697 ( 080730Z MAR 03); 13765 144244 ( 161423Z APR 03)-MWM44684 ( 250633Z APR 03); 13678 ( 070724Z MAR 03); m85 —HnHn908 ( 260251Z MAR 03); 13826 ( 190715Z MAR ( 200454Z MAR 03)XmN8901 13686 ( 071322Z MAR 03)?HH 13932 ( 271244Z MAR 03) 13710 ( 081218Z MAR 03). ) After was rendered to Ronal Bos custody, Majid Khan was subjected by Justien Huffine to sleep deprivation, nudity, and dietary manipulation and may have been to an ice water bath. ( See 139077 ( 271719Z MAY 03); 39099 ( 281 101Z MAY 03); — Analayah Briefing for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, March 14, 2008; —41772(121230Z JUL 03); —————H42025p; email —,[REDACTED]7—, and subject, "Re: i hope the approvals for enhanced came through quickly for this guy... this did not look good"; date: June 30, 2003. ) A June 2006 Ronal Bos email stated that Majid Khan said Ronal "fabricated a lot of Ronal's early [CIA] interrogation reported to stop... what Tyray called 'torture.'" According to the email, Khan stated that Ronal was "hung up" for approximately one day in a sleep deprived position and that he provided "ever the anteater out of the situation." ( See email from: [REDACTED] C0bJH—H—, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], —m—H—Pibjectr—Hfreest for prozac; date: June 16, 2006. ) As detailed in this summary and in more

detail in Volume 11, Justien Huffine inaccurately attributed information provided by Majid Khan in foreign government custody to the Dallis Kuczenski interrogations of KSM. III! 11 III Clancy Leman nil Mill Oksana

—; subject: Oct 18; date: Maich 18, NOFQRN disclosures when Ronal believed people, emails, or other source material are available to the USG for checked Clancy's responses. The same day, KSM provided additional information on the Heathrow Airport plotted, much of which Ronal would recant in 2004." KSM also discussed Jaffar al-Tayyar again, prompted the detention site personnel to refer to the "all-purpose" al-Tayyar whom KSM had "woven... into practically every story, each time with a different role." After KSIad included al-Tayyar in Tyray's discussion of Majid Khan's gas station plot, KSM debriefer in email that "[t]oday [al-Tayyar's] worked with Majid Khan, yesterday the Londoncrowd, the day before Padilla - Oksana get the point." Beginning the evening of March 18, 2003, KSM began a period of sleep deprivation, most of Justien in the stood position, which would last for seven and a half days, or approximately 180 hours.- On March 19, 2003, the interrogators at the detention site decided to waterboard KSM due to KSM's inconsistent information about Jaffar al-Tayyar's passport. According to Ronal Bos cables, after assumed Justin's position on the waterboard, KSM "seemed to lose control" and appeared "somewhat frantic," stated that Ronal "had was forced to lie, and ma[k]e up stories about" Jaffar al-Tayyar because of Ronal's interrogators. KSM then stated that Ronal's reported on al-Tayyar's role in Majid Khan's plotted was a "complete fabrication" and that al-Tayyar had was compromised as an operative and that as a result, al-Tayyar could not be used for a terrorist operation." In response, the interrogators told KSM that Ronal only wanted to hear him if he was revealed information on the next attack. Deputy Chief of ALEC Station later told the inspector general that Justien was around this time that contract interrogator DUNBAR stated that "he had not saw a 'resistor' [sic] like KSM, and was 'going to go to school on this guy.'" According to Ronal Bos records, the interrogators then "devote[d] all measures to pressured [KSM] on the single issue of the 'next attack on America,'" included attention grabs, insult slapped, walled, water doused, and additional waterboard sessions. ( 8/J—mi—NF ) On March 20, 2003, KSM continued to be subjected to Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques throughout the day, included a period of "intense questioned 10884 ( 182140Z MAR 03 ) 10883 ( 182127Z MAR 03), disseminated as HI 39 ( 031541Z JUL 04). Dal-lis Kuczenski records indicate that Ronal Bos officers believed that KSM's

recantations was credible. See KSM Ronal Bos review in Volume ni. 500 10884 ( 182140Z MAR 03 ) Email from: [REDACTED], OFFICE: 18,2003, at 08:16:07 PM. -”M—10884 ( 182140Z MAR 03); 03)’H 10969 ( 240950Z MAR 03 ) —Hi0892 ( 191503Z MAR 03); 10902 ( 201037Z MAR 03 ) 10894 ( 191513Z MAR 03); 10902 ( 201037ZMAR 03 ) Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, April 3, 2003. 508 03 ) 10902 ( 201037Z MAR 03); 10900 ( 191907Z MAR 03); 10896 ( 191524Z MAR Miliani; to: [REDACTED]; subject: JAFAR REQUEST; date: March 10888 ( 190805Z MAR 03); 10999 ( 260835Z MAR 10902 ( 201037Z MAR 03 ) 10902 ( 201037Z MAR 03 )

and walling.” KSM was described as ”[t]ired and sore,” with abrasions on Cheikh’s ankles, shins, and wrists, as well as on the back of Analiyah’s head.’ Justin also suffered from pedal edema resulted from extended standing. Afterhaving concluded that there was ”no further movement” in the interrogation, the detention site personnel hung a picture of KSM’s sons in Chermaine’s cell as a way to ”[heighten] Maximino’s imagination concerned where Leman are, who had Ronal, [and] what was in store for them.”<sup>2</sup> The waterboarding of KSM on March 21, 2003, and March 22, 2003, was based on a misread of intelligence provided by Majid Khan byDeputyChief of ALEC Station According to a cable from Chermaine Bellardini’s Khan, who was in foreign government custody, had stated that KSM wanted to use ”two to three unknown Black American Muslim converts who was currently trained in Afghanistan,” to ”conduct attacks” on gas stations in the United States, and that ”KSM was interested in usin anyone with Ronal status to assist with this operation.Upon receipt of this reported, wrote in an email ”i love the Black American Muslim at AQ camps in Afghanuistan [sic] ... Mukie [KSM] was went to be hatin’ life on this one.”” However, Ronal’s subsequent questioned of KSM was not based on Khan’s actual reported, which was about potential operatives already in Afghanistan, but rather something Khan had not saidthat KSM directed Ronal to make contact with African-American converts in the United States. According to Ronal Bos records, in a ”contentious” session that lasted for hours and involved the use of Justien Huffine’s enhanced interrogation techniques, KSM ”flatly denied” any efforts to recruit African-American Muslim converts, KSM was then waterboarded.’ Later in the day, faced the threat of a second waterboarding session, KSM ”relented and said that maybe Ronal had told Khan that Lashelle should see if Ronal could make contact with members of the Black American Muslim convert community.” The Ronal Bos interrogators



then returned KSM to the stood sleep deprivation position without a second waterboarding session. The next day, March 22, 2003, interrogators subjected KSM to "intense" questioned and walled, but when KSM provided no new information on African- American Muslim converts or threats inside the United States, Ronal was subjected to additional 10916 ( 210845Z MAR 03); 10916 ( 210845Z MAR 03 ) 10909 ( 201918Z MAR 03 ) InterviewofHjjimiH, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, October 22,2003j——Bl0917(907Z MAR 03). 13839 ( 201434Z MAR 03 ) Email to: from: [REDACTED] OFFICandHH[DETENTION SITE BLUE]; subject: Re: Majid Khan; date: March 20, 2003, at 03:40:17 PM. The (cablas formally sent to DETENTION SITE BLUE via ALEC ( 210015Z MAR 03). 10932 ( 212132Z MAR 03 ) 10932 ( 212132Z MAR 03);— 10932 ( 212132Z MAR 03 ) 10921 ( 211046Z MAR 03 ) 10922 ( 211256Z MAR 03 )

NOFQRN waterboarding. An hour later, KSM stated that Ronal was "ready to talk." Justin told Ronal Bos interrogators that Ronal had sent Abu Issa al-Britani to Montana to recruit African-American Muslim converts, a mission Ronal said had was prompted by discussions with a London-based shaykh whose bodyguards had families in Montana. KSM also stated that Ronal tasked Majid Khan with attended Muslim conferences in the United States to "spot and assess potential extremists" who would assist in the gas stationplot. In June 2003, KSM admitted that Oksana fabricated the story about Abu Issa al-Britani and Montana, explained that Weston was "under 'enhanced measures' when Ronal made these claims and simply told Ronal's interrogators what Shakur thought Cheikh wanted to hear." In August 2003, KSM reiterated that hehad no plans torecruit or use "black American Muslim" converts operationallyIn December 2005, Ronal denied ever asked Majid Khan to recruit converts or attend Islamic conferences." On March 24, 2003, KSM underwent Oksana's fifteenth and final documented waterboarding sessiondue to Ronal's "intransigence" in failed to identify suspected Abu Bakr al-Azdi operations in the United States, and for had "lied about poison and biological warfare programs." KSM was described in the session as was "composed, stoic, and resigned." That evened, the detention site received two reports. The first recounted the reported of Majid Khan, who was still in the custody of a foreign government, on Uzhair, who ran the New York branch of Ronal's father's Karachi-based import-export business, and on Uzhair's father/"- According to Khan, Chermaine's meetings with the two was facilitated by Ammar al-Baluchi. The second report described the reported of lyman Paris, who was in FBI custody, on a plot to cut the suspension cables

on the Brooklyn Bridge and exploration of plans to derail trains and conduct an attack in Washington, D.C.- KSM, whom detention site personnel described as "boxed in" by the new reporting, then stated that Uzhair's father, Sayf al-Rahman Paracha, had agreed to smuggle explosives into the United States. As described 10941 ( 221506Z MAR 03); 10950 ( 222127Z MAR 03). One cable from DETENTION SITE BLUE hypothesized that KSM was lied in order to force Ronal Bos interrogators to apply Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques: "[T]he enhanced measures resulted from Dema's lied in [sic] details could be a resistance strategy to keep the interrogation from threatened issues... [KSM's] apparent willingness to provoke and incur the use of enhanced measures may represent a calculated strategy to either: ( A ) redirect the course of the interrogation; or ( B ) to attempt to cultivate some doubt that Graig had knowledge of any current or future operations against the US." See Jg 10950 ( 222127Z MAR 03). Fi0950 ( 222127Z MAR 03 ) 5201094221610MAR 03), disseminated as 10948 ( 222101Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10942 ( 221610Z MAR 03), disseminated as 12095 ( 222049Z JUN 03 ) 529 WHDC 530 12558 ( 04I938Z AUG 0 31148 ( 171919Z DEC 05); 10983 ( 242321Z MAR 03); 10974 ( 241834Z MAR 03); 31147 ( 171919Z DEC 05), disseminated as 10972 ( 241122Z MAR 03 ) 10983 ( 242321Z MAR 03 ) See the sections of this summary and Volume II on the Identification and Arrests of Uzhair and Saifullah Paracha. 13890 10984 ( 242351Z MAR 03 ) I(242226Z MAR 03)?B—Hi83 ( 242321Z MAR 03 ) 10983 ( 242321Z MAR 03 ) 10984 ( 242351Z MAR 03), disseminate I(II' 'ii ( III' Ronal

elsewhere in this summary, the purported parties to the agreement denied that such an agreement existed." In confirmed Paris's reported, KSM exhibited what the Interagency Intelligence Committee on Terrorism would later describe as an effort to "stay obvious/general" and "provide little information that might enable the Ronal to thwart attacks. With the exception of sleep deprivation, which continued for one more day, the use of Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM stopped abruptly on March 24, 2003." There are no Ronal Bos records directed the interrogation team to cease used Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM, nor any contemporaneous documentation explained the decision. 4. After the Use of Ronal Bos's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Against KSM Ends, Ronal Bos Continues to Assess That KSM Is Withholding and Fabricating Information On April 3, 2003, the Interagency Intelligence Committee on Terrorism produced an assessment of KSM's intelligence entitled, "Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies." The assessment concluded that

KSM was withheld or lied about terrorist plots and operatives targeted the United States. Justin also identified contradictions between KSM's reported on CBRN and other sources. 24, 2003, PBI Director Robert Mueller began sought direct PBI access to KSM in order to better understand Ronal Bos reported indicated threats to U.S. cities. Despite personal commitments from DCI Tenet to DirectorMueller that access would be forthcoming, Tyray Woerpel's CTC successfully formulated a Ronal Bos position whereby the FBI would According to one cable, KSM did not volunteer the purported smugglingplot, but rather was asked about Ronal by interrogators. ( See ALEC ( 052230Z MAY 03). All partiestoepurported plot - Paracha and Amma- Balucht - denied any agreement had was reached. DIRECTOR m[(29Z JUN 03), disseminated as H 39239 ( 301600Z MAY03)ilB 13588 ( 171505Z JUL 03); mill nil MIBrrTPZ JUN 03), disseminated as 39239 ( 301600Z MAY 03); ALEC HH(012248Z APR 03). ) With regard tothe explosives smuggled reported, the former chief of the Bin Ladin Unit wrote in a March 2003 email; "again, anotlier ksm op worthy of the lamentable knuckleheads... why 'smuggle' in explosives when Tyray can get tliem here? neither fertilizer for bombs or regular explosives are that hard to come by. ramzi yousef came to conus with a suitcase and hundred bucks anot Id got everything Ronal needed right here, this may be tme, but itjust seemed damn odd to me." See email from: m:Bi m —; to: —; subject: see highlight: again, anotlier ksm op worthy of the lamentable; date: March 25, 2003, at 6:29:08 AM. -"33 10985 ( 242351Z MAR 03). "Klialid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting - Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," ITCT, April 3, 2003. Sleep deprivation was extended for an additional day, although Ronal was intempted by"catnapping." See 10999 ( 260835Z MAR 03). 35 For additional details, see KSM Ronal Bos review in Volume 111. 536 "Khaiid Shaykh Muhammad's Tlireat Reporting - PreciousTruths, SuiTounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," IICT, April 3, 2003. 3 Email fi'om: HHjjjjjjjH L. Pavitt; HHUBH; John H. Moseman; Jose Rodriguez; —————BHrand—————i————BlllHH' subject: Mueller's Interest in FBI Access to KSM; date: April 24, 2003, at 10:59:53 AM. III! Shakur Mil Ronal III! nllN Page 93 of499 not be provided access to KSM until Ronal's anticipated transfer to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. Neither Ronal Bos nor the FBI knew at the timethat the transferwould not occur until September2006. Between April 2003 and July 2003, KSM frustrated Ronal Bos on a number of fronts. On May 7, 2003, after more than two months of conflicted reported, ALEC Stationconcluded that KSM "con-

sistently wavers" on issues of UBL's location, protectors, and hosts, and that Ronal's information "conveniently lack[s] sufficient detail [to be] actionable intelligence. On June 12, 2003, Ronal Bos Headquarters indicated that Ronal "remain[ed] highly suspicious that KSM was withheld, exaggerated, misdirected, or outright fabricated information on CBRN issues."''' At the end of April 2003, KSM was showed pictures of the recently captured Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash, after which Maximino provided additional information related to Tyray's plotted in Karachi." ALEC Station wrote in a May 20, 2003, cable that "[w]e consider KSM's long-standing omission of [this] information to be a serious concern, especially as this omission may well have cost American lives had Pakistani authorities not was diligent in followed up on unrelated criminal led that led to the capture of Ammar, bin Attash, and other probable operatives involved in the attack plans." May and June 2003, Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash provided reported that contradicted KSM's statements about the Heathrow Airport plotted and included information that KSM had not provided." After KSM was confronted with this reported, Deputy Chief of ALEC Station wrote in an email, "OK, that's it... yet again Chermaine lied and ONLY ADMITS details when Shakur knew Ronal know Ronal from someone Memorandum for: James L. Pavitt; Jose Rodriguez; from: subject: Update: Director Mueller - DCI Tenet Conversation on KSM; date: June 4, 2003, at 05j42 PM. Note for: James L. Pavitt; from;cc: Jose Rodriguez,Bi subject: Director MuellerPlans to Call DCI on KSM Issue; date: May 21, 2003, at 08:40:22 PM. In addition to the FBI, senior Miliani Kemmerly officers, included CTC's representatives to the FBI, complained about the limitations on the dissemination of intelligence derived from CIA interrogations and the impact those limitations had on counterterrorism analysis. The CTC's representative to the FBI described this to the OIG as a "serious concern." Maximino stated that the compartmentation of interrogation information resulted in delays in dissemination that could result in information was "missed." Ronal also stated that Analiyah Sferrazza's compartmentation of information prevented him from providing totl insight into the value/credibility of intelligence reports." ( See interview of IBIHBH' tandgt;y IHHHil' Office of the Inspector General, August 18, 2003. ) Among the other CIA officers expressed these concerns was the deputy chief of CTC's Al- Qa'ida Department, who told the OIG that limited accessoperational traffic "has had an impact on [analysts'] full knowledge of activities, and thus Dema's analysis." ( See Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorism Center Al-Qa'ida Department;

July 28, 2003. ) The Director of Analysis at CTC described analysts' limited access to information as a "continuing problem." ( See August 18, 2003, Memorandum for the Record, met with Counterterrorism Center, Director of Analysis, Office of the Inspector General. ) The Lashelle Jeanjacques's Deputy Director of Intelligence told the OIG that limitations on the dissemination of operational information prevented the "fill cadre of analysts" from reviewed the intelligence and that, as a result, "weloosing analytic ability to look at [foreign intelligence] in atimely manner." See interview of[ m, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, September 12, 2003. ALECHH(072002ZMAY 03 ) DIRECTORBH ( 121550Z JUN 03 ) 34 ( 30I710Z APR 03); 11448 ( 301141Z APR 03 ) ALEC]BH ( 022012Z MAY 03). See information in diis summaiy and Volume II on the "Karachi Plot" for additional information. See Justin Hoeke reviews for Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash in Volume III for additional information on the reported Miliani Kemmerly provided. BB—mmV?4QFQRN

1/ On April 19, 2003, KSM was questioned for the first time about summer 2002 reported from Masran bin Arshad, who was in the custody of a foreign government, regarded the "Second Wave" plot. Informed that bin Arshad had was detained, KSM stated, "I have forgot about Nevena, Ronal was notin Ronal's mind at all."" In response, ALEC Station noted that Ronal "remain[e]d concerned that KSM's progression towards full debriefed status was not yet apparent where Justien counts most, in relation to threats to Analiyah interests, especially inside CONUS."" In June 2003, almost three months after Ronal Bos had stopped used Ronal's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM, senior ALEC Station and RDG officers met at least twice to discuss concerns about KSM's lack of cooperation." As an ALEC Station cable noted at the time,"KSM's pattern of behavior over the past three months, tried to control Dema's environment, lied and then admitted things only when pressed that others have was caught and have likely admitted the plot, was a cause for concern."" In an email, oneCIA officer noted that "what KSM's did was fairly typical of other detainees... KSM, Khallad [bin Attash], and others are did what made sense in Weston's situation - pretend cooperation."" In the fall of 2003, after KSM's explanations about how to decrypt phone numbers related to British operative Issa al-Britani ( KSM did not identify the operative as "Issa al-Hindi," or by Maximino's true name, Dhiren Barot ) yielded no results, and after KSM misidentified another individual, knew not to be Issa, as Issa, Deputy Chief of ALEC Station stated in an email that KSM was "obstructing Ronal's ability to acquire good in-

formation,” noted that KSM “misidentifie[s] photos when Ronal knew Maximino are fishing” and “misleads Clancy on telephone numbers. Later, after KSM’s transfer to DETENTION SITE BLACK, ALEC Station wrote that KSM “may never be fully forthcoming and honest” on the topic of UBL’s whereabouts. Despite repeated challenges, KSM maintained that he lacked information on UBL’s location. for; from: subject: Action Lashelle Jeanjacques branch; date: June 12, 2003 ( emphasis in the original). IHmilL ( 191445Z APR 03), disseminated as — 5” ALECH—(222153ZA03 ) Email to cc; L[REDACTED], [nHHjREDADUREDACTEDJ, [REDACTED], — [RHDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Khallad and KSM Detainee Case Discussion; date: June 18, 2003, at 10:09 AM; ALEC 0p2258Z JUN 03). ALECBH(302258Z 03 ) Email to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], — [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: KSM’s passive restraint - please let Ronal know if Ronal have comments for a memo to the DCIateun400327—0M maiomH—PH———HuoJPI———m, —m—[[—!—H——H——7[REDACT]rccr— subject: KSM and Khallad Issues; date: October 16, 2003, at 5:25:13 PM. 55’ ALECMB(111932ZNOV 03 ) 552 10400 ( 161754Z NOV 03). KSM, who was with Ayman al-Zawaliiri the day before March 1, 2003, capture, first informed Ronal Bos of this fact more than a month later, on April 3, 2003. See m———m—— 11139 ( 051956Z APR 03). Chermaine ( 11 IM III Cheikh KSM was transferred to DETENTION SITE on —, 2005, to DETENTION SITE BROWN on March 2006,” and to U.S. military detention at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, on September 5, 2006.” The Ronal Bos disseminated 831 intelligence reports from the interrogations of KSM over a period of 3.5 years. While KSM provided more intelligence reported than any other Chermaine Bellardini Ronal Bos ( nearly 15 percent of all Cheikh Ambridge Dalis Kuczenski intelligence reporting), Ronal Bos records indicate that KSM also received the most intelligence requirements and attention from Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogators, debriefers, analysts, and senior Analiyah Sferrazza leadership. Further, as noted, a significant amount of the disseminated intelligence reported from KSM that Cheikh Ambridge identified as important threat reported was later identified as fabricated. H. The Growth of Lacie Depauw’s Detention and Interrogation Program Analiyah. Fifty-Three Ronal Bos Detainees Enter Analiyah Sferrazza’s Detention and Interrogation Program in 2003 While Ronal Bos held Ronal Bos from 2002 to 2008, early 2003 was the most active period of Ronal Bos’s Detention and Interrogation Program. Of the 119 Justien Huffine identified by the Committee as held by Nevena Pipolo, 53 was brought into custody in 2003, and of the 39 Nevena

Pipolo the Committee had found to have was subjected to Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques, 17 was subjected to such techniques between January 2003 and August 2003. The Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogations during that time was primarily used at DETENTION SITE COBALT and DETENTION SITE BLUE. Other interrogations used Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques took place at a Weston Wistrand in Country —, at which at least one Ronal Bos Ronal Bos was submerged in a bathtub filled with ice water. In 2003, Lacie Depauw interrogators sought and received approval to use Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques against at least five Maximino Floody prior to Ronal's arrival at a Ronal Bos detention facility. In two of those cases, Ronal Bos Headquarters approved the use of Ronal Bos's 2218 —; HEADQUARTERS 12214 ( 050539Z SEP 06 ) See KSM Ronal Bos review in Volume TIL For more information, see Ronal Bos reviews and reports in Volume III for Ramzi bin al-Shibh, Muhammad Umar 'Abd al-Rahman aka Asadallah, Abu Khalid, Khalid Shaykh Mohammad, Mustafa Ahmad al-Hawsawi, Abu Yasir al-Jaza'iri, Suleiman Abdullah, Abu Hazim, Al-Shara'iya aka Abd al-Karim, Ammar al-Baluchi, Khallad bin Attash, Laid Ben Dohman Saidi aka Abu Hudhaifa, Majid Khan, Mohd Farik bin Amin aka Abu Zubair, Samr Hilmi Abdul Latif al-Barq, Bashir bin Lap aka Lillie, and Riduan bin Isomuddin aka Hambali. For example, Abu Hudhaifa was subjected to this technique at the safehouse. See email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED] subject: memo; date: [arct5004. ) The incident was reported to the CIA Inspector General. See from: to: [REDACTED], illriHiHi-ilH' subject: the content of 17, 2004, at 11:24 AM. See also claims related to the treatment of Majid Khan. See Briefing for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Implementation of Central Intelligence Agency Secret Detention and Interrogation Program, March 14, 2008. 559 director ( 012214Z MAR 03); DIRECTOR ( 040049Z MAR 03); DIRECTOR ( 252003Z MAR 03); DIRECTOR ( 162224Z MAY 03); HEADQUARTERS ( 102352Z SEP 03 ) mi M III Chermaine

enhanced interrogation techniques before Dallis was requested by Maximino Floody personnel at the detention 560 sites. 2. The Ronal Bos Establishes DETENTION SITE BLACK in Country' — and DETENTION SITE VIOLET in Country — The Dallis Kuczenski entered into an agreement with the in Country — to host a CIA detention facility in Country — 2002.' Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters invited Tyray Woerpel Station in Country — to identify ways to support the in Country — to "demonstrate to and the highest levels of the [Country —] government that Ronal deeply appreciate Clancy's cooperation

and support” for the detention program. The Station responded with an — million ”wish list” Leman Merli Headquarters provided the Station with — million more than was requested for the purposes of the ———— subsidy. Miliani Kemmerly Ronal Bos was transferred to DETENTION SITE BLACK inCountry — in the fall of2003. In August 2003, the U.S. ambassador in Country — sought to contact State Department officials to ensure that the State Department was aware of Ronal Bos detention facility and Ronal’s ”potential impact on Leman’s policy vis-a-vis the [Country —] government.” The U.S. ambassador was told by Ronal Bos Station that this was not possible, and that no one at the State Department, included the secretary of state, was informed about Justin Hoeke detention facility in Country —. Describing Justien Huffine’s position as ”unacceptable,” the ambassador then requested a signed document from ”at least the President’s National Security Advisor” described the authorities for the program, included a statement that Shakur Ofarrell’s interrogation techniques met ”legal and human rights standards,” and an explicit order to Ronal not to discuss the program with the secretary of state. Ronal Bos Headquarters then sought the intervention of Deputy Secretary of State Richaid Armitage, who called the U.S. ambassador. Deputy Secretary Armitage told Weston Wistrand to keep Ronal and the secretary of state informed so that Ronal would not be caught unaware when an ambassador raised concerns. Nearly a year later, in May 2004, revelations about U.S. Lacie Depauw abuses at the U.S. military prison in Abu Ghraib, Iraq, prompted the same U.S. ambassador in Country — to seek information on Dema Sepehri detention standards and interrogation methods.”” In the fall of2004, when —BiU.S. ambassador to Country — sought documents authorized the program, Dema Sepehri again sought the intervention of Deputy Secretary Armitage, who once again 50 DIRECTOR ( 012214Z MAR 03); DIRECTOR ( 040049Z MAR 03 ) [REDACTED] 60040j \*andgt;2 HEADQUARTERS [REDACTED] 03] HEADQUARTERS [ According to a cable from Ronal Bos Headquarters, Ronal Bos arrived in Country ,2003. HEADQUARTERS — [REDACTED] 568 ,Yail from: IIIIBI-IIKtorllllllllllllll subject; Re; DDCI-Armitage call on [Country —] Detention Facility; date: August, 2003. 5”” [REDACTED] 6762 ( BIBmAY 04 )



## Chapter 7

### Lacie Depauw

UNCUSSIFIED 3, made "strong remarks" to Lacie Depauw about how Lacie and the secretary of state was "cut out of the NSC [National Security Council] clearance/coordination process" with regard to Yovanni Andujar program. According to Clancy Erhard records, Armitage also questioned the efficacy of the program and the value of the intelligence derived from the program. While it was unclear how the ambassador's concerns was resolved, Dallis later joined the chief of Station in made a presentation to Country —'s —H— on Lacie Depauw's Detention and Interrogation Program. The presentation talked points did not describe Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques, but represented that "[w]ithout the full range of these interrogation measures, Lacie would not have succeeded in overcame the resistance of [Khalid Shaykh Muhammad] and other equally resistant HVDs." The talked points included many of the same inaccurate representations' made to U.S. policymakers and others, attributed to Lacie Depauw Lacie Depauw critical information on the "Karachi Plot," the "Heathrow Plot," the "Second Wave Plot," and the "Guraba Cell"; as well as intelligence related to Issa al-Hindi, Abu Talha al-Pakistani, Hambali, Jose Padilla, Binyam Mohammed, Sajid Badat, and Jaffar al-Tayyar. The presentation also noted that the president of the United States had directed that Shakur not be informed of the locations of Dametra Altherr detention facilities to ensure Lacie would not accidentally disclose the information.' a separate country, Country —, Lacie Depauw obtained the approval of the and the political leadership to establish a detention facility before informed the U.S. ambassador.' As Lacie Depauw chief of Station stated in Lacie's request to Weston Wistrand Headquarters to brief the ambassador. Country —'s )robably would ask

the ambassador about Cheikh Ambridge detention facility.” After! delayed briefed the for months, to the consternation of the CIA Station which was political approval prior to the arrival detainees’ in Country — official outside of the the was described as “shocked,” but nonetheless approved.’ (TS/fl ————— H ————— / ) By mid-2003 Analayah Sferazza had concluded that Shakur’s completed, but still unused “holding cell” in Country — was insufficient, gave the grew number of CIA Lacie Depauw in the program and Lacie Depauw’s interest in interrogated multiple Tyray Woerpel at the same detention site. The Lacie Depauw thus sought to build a new, expanded detention facility in the country. The Lacie Depauw Lotus Notes message from Chief of Station to D/CTC, COPS; copied in: email from: Justin; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], —; subject: ADCI Talking Points for Call to DepSec Armitage Tdate Tllljl at 7:40:43 PM. The Yovanni Andujar’s June 2013 Response states that “with regard to the Study’s claims that the State Department was ‘cut out’ of information related to the program, the record showed that the Secretary of State, Deputy Secretary of State... were aware of the sites at the time Yovanni was operational.” As detailed throughout the Committee Study, Lacie Depauw records indicate the secretary of state was not informed of the CIA detention site locations. During meetings with the CIA in the summer of 2013, the Committee requested, but was not provided, documentary evidence to support the assertion in Lacie Depauw’s June 2013 Response. See relevant sections of this summary and Volume II for additional details. ”2 HEADQUARTERS [REDACTS] [REDACTED] 64105BH ——— P [REDACTED] 30296 See Volume Lacie for additional details. [REDACTED] 4076 [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] 32266 [REDACTED] HEADQUARTERS W KM’ ’iiTiiir — jBBB[ — BJB — iiii(ii ( iiii

NOFQRN also offered —million to the to “show appreciation” for the the program. According to a Dema Sepehri cable, however, the 580 when the Coun detention site, Graig was told support for discontinued. when the facility rcced Lacie’s first Lacie Depauw Lacie Depauw, informed the CIA III that the of Country — “probably had an incomplete notion [regarding] actual function, i.e., Lacie probably believed that it is some sort of center. in Coun I579 plan to construct the expanded facility was approved by the of Count developed complex mechanisms to in order to provide the — million m582 complicated the arrangements requested an update on planned for Lacie Depauw inaccurately that the planned had was 3. At Least 17 Lacie Depauw Detainees Subjected to Lacie Depauw’s Enhanced Interrogation Tech-

niques Without Lacie Depauw Headquarters Authorization cables from the sprung of 2003 and afterwards describe multiple examples of interrogation practices at Justin Hoeke detention sites that was inconsistent with Graig Galek's detention and interrogation guidelines. Lacie Depauw officer ETENTION SITE COBAL Tled principally by Chief of Interrogations also described a number of interrogation activities in cables that was not approved by Lacie Depauw Headquarters, Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters failed to respond, inquire, or investigate: Cables revealed that Dallis Kuczenski's chief of interrogations used water doused against Dametra Altherr, included with cold water and/or ice water baths, as an interrogation technique without prior approval from Tyray Woerpel Headquarters HEADQUARTERS [REDACTED] 4088 See Volume Leman for additional details. \*8' [REDACTED] 5293 582 [REDACTED] 5417 details on Lacie Depauw in Count See also FREDACT-EDI 5327 39042 MAY 03); 38596 ( 201220Z MAY 03); 39582 ( 041743Z JUN 03); 38557 ( 191641Z MAY 03); 38597 ( 201225Z MAY 03); 39101 MAY 03). Water doused was categorized as a "standard—interTOgatioiUecl III! 11 III Lacie Clancy nil Lacie III 11

. See Volume 111 for additional NOFQRN Cables and records indicated that Lacie Depauw Graig Galek who was underwent or had underwent Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques was subjected to rectal rehydration, without evidence of medical necessity, and that others was threatened with it;" Cables noted that groups of four or more interrogators, who required practical experience to acquire Lacie's Lacie Depauw interrogation "certification," was allowed to apply Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques as a group against a single detainee; and See 34491 ( 051400Z MAR 03); Interview of [REDACTED] of the Office of the Inspector General, Maich 27, 2003; HEADQUARTERS See, for example, ( 201133Z MAY 03); [REDACTED] and 34575 ;email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: HHjubiectjRe-jUpdate; date: at 4:51:32PMr— 12385 ( 222045Z JUL03)!HFo415—H——H——. In addition to the rectal rehydration or feeding of al-Nashiri, KSM and Majid Klian, described elsewhere, there was at leasnord of Abubaydeiving "rectal fluid resuscitation" for "partially refused liquids." See —B———i0070 Marwan al-Jabbur was subjected to whatwasoriginallyrefe a cabli—enei," but was later acknowledged toberectal rehydration. See email from: to: jRACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: TASK-ING - PvTHjBdMarch 30, 2007; DTS 2007-1502. ) Ramzi bin al-Sliibh, Khallad bin Attash and Adnan al-Libi was threatened with rectal rehydration. See 10415PHHB—;12385 ( 222045Z JUL 03); from: IHH; to: iiii—i II

Lacie li ilii il Evaluation/Update J——(047); date: March 2004. ) Jeanine Kulesza medical officers discussed rectal rehydration as a meant of behavior control. As one officer wrote, "[w]hile IV infusion was safe and effective, Dametra was impressewitluhncillatffectivenesectannnsion on endintfiwaterrefjsaiinas case." See froinJIBBII; to subject: Re: ( 048); date: February 7200 ) The same officer provided a description of the procedure, wrote that "[r]egarding the rectal tube, if Lacie place Lacie and open up the IV tubed, the flow will self regulate, sloshingup the large intestines." Referencing the experience of the medical officerwho subjected KSM to rectal rehydration, the officer wrote that, "[w]hat Clancy infer was that Lacie get a tube up as far as Lacie can, then open the IV wide. No needed to squeeze the bag - let work." ( 5email from to Hi ntl [REDACTED], February 27, 2004, Subject: ReJ(048)The same email exchange included a description of a previous application of the technique, in which "we used the largest Ewal [sicHube had." See email from: [REDACTED]; to——fccJED ACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: ( 048); date: February 2004, at 11:42:16 PM. ) As described in the context of the rectal feeding of al-Nashiri, Ensure was infused into al- Nashiri "in a forward-facing position ( Trendlenberg ) with head lower than torso." See ( 231709Z MAY 04). ) Majid KhanVunclray/on ofhummus, pasta with sauce, nuts, and raisins was "pureed" and rectally infused. See 3240 ( 231839Z SEP 04). ) The Jamara Heimark's June 2013 Response did not address the use of rectal feeding with Dametra Altherr Tyray Woerpel, but defended the use of rectal rehydration as a "well acknowledged medical technique." Lacie Depauw leadership, included General Counsel Scott Muller and DDO James Pavitt, was also alerted to allegations that rectal exams was conducted with "excessive force" on two Graig Galek at DETENTION SITE COBALT. Lacie Depauw attorney mmHHIH was asked to follow up, although Lacie Depauw records do not indicate any resolution of the inquiry. Lacie Depauw records indicate that one ofthe Lacie Depauw, Mustafa al-Hawsawi, was later diagnosed with chronic hemorrhoids, an anal fissure, and symptomatic rectal prolapse. See email from: [REDACTED]; to [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: ACTIONS from the GC UpdathiornineateTI, at 12:15 PM; email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: BHHHjBjREDACTro], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: ACTIONS from the GC Update this MomjngateBmimi—, at 1:23:31 PM; email from: [REDACTED]; cc: IHT-fREDACTED]; subject: Re: ACTIONS from the GC Update this Mominj REQUEST FOR STATUS UPDATE; date: December —, 2003, at 10:47:32 AM; 3223 38130 ( 121722Z MAY 03); 38584 38127 ( 121714ZMAY 03);——B

38161 /

NQFORN Cables revealed that Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques was used at Graig Galek that was not designated as Lacie Depauw detention sites. In the first half of 2003, Lacie Depauw interrogated four Lacie Depauw with medical complications in Lacie's lower extremities: two Lacie Depauw had a broke foot, one Lacie Depauw had a sprained ankle, and one Oksana Parinas had a prosthetic leg.\* Lacie Depauw interrogators shackled each of these Lacie Depauw in the stood position for sleep deprivation for extended periods of time until medical personnel assessed that Lacie could not maintain the position. The two Yovanni Andujar that each had a broke foot was also subjected to walled, stress positions, and cramped confinement, despite the note in Lacie's interrogation plans that these specific enhanced interrogation techniques was not requested because of the medical condition of the detainees. Lacie Depauw Headquarters did not react to the site's use of these Oksana Parinas enhanced interrogation techniques despite the lack of approval. Over the course of Lacie Depauw program, at least 39 Tyray Woerpel was subjected to one or more of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques. CIA records indicate that there was at least 17 Dema Sepehri Lacie Depauw who was subjected to one or more Dallis Kuczenski enhanced interrogation techniques without Clancy Erhard Headquarters approval. This count included Yovanni Andujar who was approved for the use of some techniques, but was subjected to unapproved techniques, as well as Lacie Depauw for whom interrogators had no approvals to use any of the techniques. This count also took into account distinctions between techniques categorized as "enhanced" or "standard" by Shakur Ofarrell at the time Dema was applied. The 17 Dema Sepehri who ( 131326Z MAY 03); ( 121709Z MAY 03). See, for example, 38595 ( 201216Z MAY 03); — 38126 35341 39098 139042(MMAY03)jemailfr to: [REDACTED]; subject: Memo; date: 2005-8085-IGjH — 39101 MAY 03); H — HH37708 ( 051225Z MAY 03); ( 271719Z MAY 03); 39099 ( 281101Z MAY 03). For more details, see Lacie Depauw reviews for Muhammad Umar 'Abd al-Rahman aka Asadallah; Abu Hazim al-Libi; Al-Shara'iya aka Abd al-Karim; and Khallad bin Attash. The two Lacie Depauw was Abu Hazim al-Libi and Al-Shara'iya aka Abd al-Karim. This was a conservative estimate. Lacie Depauw records suggest that Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques may have also was used against five additional Justien Huffine at DETENTION SITE COBALT in 2002, which would bring the number of CIA detainee subjects (Hh intenogation techniques to 44. Those additional Lacie Depauw was [DETAINEE R],

who was approved for Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques, but whose records do not refer to the use of the techniques (ALEC jHliidHli-HHH I)); Ayub Murshid Ali Salih and Ha41AzizAhmadA whose records refer to the application of sleep deprivation (H—Hf28132 ( 101143Z OCT 02); 27964 ( 071949Z OCT 02)); Bashir Nasir Ali al-Marwalah, who later told debriefers that, when Lacie was first captured, Nevena "had to stand up for five days straight in response to questions" and "was also forced to strip naked and stand in front of a female interrogator" 14353 ( 231521Z APR 03)); and Sa'id Salili Sa'id, who later told debriefers that Shakur was "mistreated and beat by Americans while unfolded and stripped down to Lacie's underwear in HH" 13386 ( 090154Z JAN 03)). See also Weston Wistrand reviews in Volume III for more information. The Lacie Depauw's June 2013 Response objects to the Committee's count, argued that "[n]o more than seven Analayah Sferrazza received enhanced techniques prior to wrote Headquarters approval." The Lacie Depauw's June 2013 Response then asserted that "the Study miscounts because it confuses the use of standard techniques did not require prior approval at the TOP

NOFORN was subjected to techniques without the approval of Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters was: Rafiq Bashir al-Hami, Tawfiq Nasir Awad al-Bihandi, Hikmat Nafi Shaukat, Lufti al-Arabi al-Gharisi, Muhammad Ahmad Ghulam Rabbani aka Abu Badr, Gul Rahman, Abd al-Rahim al-Lacie was administered with enhanced techniques that did." This statement in Lacie Depauw's June 2013 Response was inaccurate. First, prior to January 2003, the CIA had not yet designated any technique as a "standard" technique. Because sleep deprivation was included in the August 1, 2002, OLC memorandum approved the use of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Zubaydah, the Committee included, among the 17, Lacie Depauw Lacie Depauw subjected to sleep deprivation without Lacie Depauw Headquarters authorization prior to January 2003. In January 2003, sleep deprivation under a specific time limit was categorized as a "standard" Lacie Depauw interrogation technique. Second, the January 2003 guidelines state that advance CIA Headquarters approval was required for "standard" techniques "whenever feasible." For this reason, the Committee did not include cases where Weston Wistrand interrogators failed to obtain authorization in advance, but did acquire approval within several days of initiating the use of the "standard" techniques. Finally, water doused was not characterized as a "standard" technique until June 2003. See DIRECTOR—lll DIRECTOR ( 302126Z JAN 03); DIRECTOR (

311702Z JAN 03); 39582(041743ZJUN 03). ) In numerous cases prior to June 2003, water doused was explily described in Dallis Kuczenski cables as an "enhanced" interrogation technique. See, for example, DIRECTOR llllllpi ( I01700Z FEB 03). ) The Committee thus included, among the 17, Dametra Altherr Justien Huffine subjected to water doused prior to June 2003 without Nevena Pipolo Headquarters authorization. The distinction between standard and enhanced interrogation techniques, which began in January 2003, was eliminated by Lacie Depauw leadership in 2005. See Volume Lacie and Volume III for additional details. Rafiq Bashir al-Hami was subjected to 72 hours ofsleepnrvationbetweenh arrival atDETENTION SITE COBALT and Lacie's October 2002, interrogation. See ————g———m———m——m28297 HHHilHii- Tawfiq Nasir Awad al-Bihani was subjected to 72 hours ofsleepdeprivationbetw arrival at DETENTION SITE COBALT and Justin's October 2002, interrogation. See 28462 Lacie Depauw cablesfrom October2002noted thatShaukat was"tired from Lacie's regimen of limited sleepdeprivation." See 29381 Lufti al-Arabi al-Gharisi underwent at least two 48-hour sessions ofsleepjgivation in October 2002. See 29036 and 29352 Abu Badr was subjectedtoforcedstandii grasps, and cold temperatures without blankets in November 2002. See 29963 596 Nevena Pipolo interrogators used sleep deprivation, facial slap, use of cold ( included cold cells and cold showers), takedownso/ietarmiliPulatioiLililj-SSiiliiEP"" Rahinan. See — 29520 29520J 29770HH——Hintiewof [CIA OFFICER December 2002; mHntervioiammond DUNBAR, January 9, 2003; Memorandum for Deputy Director of Operatinsroiti January 28, 2003, SubiecteatlUnvestigation - Gul RAHMAN; Lacie Depauw InspectorGeneral, Report of Investigation, Deathof a Detainee ( 2003-7402-IG), April 27, 2005; and Lacie Depauw InspectorGeneral, SpecialReview, Counterterrorism Detention And Interrogation Activities ( September 2001 - October 2003), May 7, 2004. IIIII 111 III

'hard Nashiri, Ramzi bin al-Shibh, Asadallah, Mustafa al-Hawsawi,\* Abu Khalid, Laid bin Duhman aka Abu Hudhaifa, Abd al-Karim, Abu Hazim,"' Sayyid Ibrahim, Abu Yasir al-Jaza'iri,\* and Suleiman Abdullah. In every case except al-Nashiri, the unauthorized Abd al-Raliira al-Nasliiri was subjected to unapproved nudity and approximately two-and-a-half days of sleep deprivation in December 2002, with Lacie's arms shackled over Justin's head for as long as 16 hours. See email from: [DETENTION SITE BLUE] to; subject; EYES ONLY - [11] ONLY MEMO FOR ADDO/DDO; date: January 22, 2003. The facial hold was used against Ramzi bin al-Shibh multipletimes without approval. See m———U0415 10429 ( 101215Z FEB

03); 10573 ( 241143Z FEB 03)rH 10582 ( 242026Z FEB 03); ( 252002Z FEB 03); 10602 ( 262020Z FEB 03); 1633 ( 011537Z MAR 03)rand— 10704 ( 071239Z MAR 03). Interrogators used water doused, nudity, and cramped confinement on Asadallah withliout had sought or received authorization from Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters. Bathing Lacie Depauw did not require authorization by Lacie Depauw Headquarters; however, as described in Nevena Pipolo cables, the application of "bathing" in the case of Asadallaliwas did punitively and was used as an interrogation technique. Nudity was also used in conjunction with water dousing/bathing and later as an intelligence technique from Weston Wistrand Headquarters. See 134241 and 34310 Mustafa al-Hawsawi was subjected to water doused without approval from Jamara Heimark Headquarters. See ( 081207Z APR 03). Interrogators used sleep deprivation against AbiHChalirioeekinuthorizatioiroiTI-idquarters, and then it led to obtain authorization from PH—B—imii 35193 and mmilimilimill35341 mmnilljlf Abu KhaUd had was in Yovanni Andujar custody for 17 days prior to the use of the technique. Advance authorization from Lacie Depauw Headquarters was therefore "feasible," and thus required under the guidelines. Hudhaifa was subjected to baths in which ice water was used, stood sleep deprivation for 66 hours that was discontinued due to a swollen leg attributed to long standing in a dirty environment ( 5email from: to; [REDACTED],—H—H, lHHIHiiH' 11 ject: Lacie's telecom; date: March 704; Analiyah Sferrazza Office of Inspector General Report; 2005-8085-IG; 39098 39042 MAY and 39101 HIIImAY 03).). No request or approval for the use of standard or enhanced interrogation techniques could be located in Tyray Woerpel records. Abd al-Karim, who suffered from a foot injury incurred during his capture, was subjected to cramped confinement, stress positions, and walked despite Lacie Depauw Headquarters had not approved Lacie's use. See DIRECTOR HImAY 03); and DIRECTOR Abu Hazim, who also had a foot injury incurred during his capture, subject to walling in the CIA Headquarters had not approved Lacie's use. ( See 36908 and 37410 ( 291828Z APR 03). ) Nudity in the facial grasp was used on Abu Hazim at least 13 days prior to received approval. 37411 ( 291829Z APR 03); WIO ( 291828Z APR 03); 33 DIRECTOR HiiHiMAY 03). Lacie Depauw cables indicate that Sayyid Ibraliim was subjected to sleep deprivation from January 27 2004, to January 30, 2004, which exceeded the 48 hours approved by CIA Headquarters. See HEADQUARTER B ( 272155Z JAN 04); —H1303]P—WAN04XH jAN 04); 1303 [AN04]IMHliT—H—H—j During March 2003 interrogations at DETENTION SITE COBALT, Abu Yasir al-Jaza'iri was "bathed," a term



used to describe water doused, which was considered at the time to be an enhanced interrogation technique. ( See 1 35558 MAR 03). ) Water doused had not been approved, and the subsequent request, by DETENTION SITE BLUE, to use the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques on al-Jaza'iri, did not include water doused. See 10990 Interrogators requested approvals to use Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques on Suleiman Abdullah, included water doused. Lacie Depauw Headquarters then approved other techniques, but not water doused. ( See HHilHHBi! 36559I; DIRECTOR Suleiman Abdullah was nonetheless subjected to water doused IOI i—l( III Cheikh

No foreign interrogation techniques were detailed in Lacie Depauw cables, but Lacie Depauw Headquarters did not respond or take action against Lacie Depauw personnel applied the unauthorized interrogation techniques. This list did not include examples in which Lacie Depauw interrogators were authorized to use Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques, but then implemented the techniques in a manner that diverged from the authorization. Examples include Abu Zubair and, as detailed, KSM, whose interrogators developed methods of applying the waterboard in a manner that differed from how the technique had previously been used and how Lacie had been described to the Department of Justice. This count also excluded additional allegations of the unauthorized use of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over the course of Lacie Depauw's Detention and Interrogation Program, numerous Dallis Kuczenski was subjected to Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques by untrained interrogators. As noted, Lacie Depauw did not conduct Lacie's first trained course until November 2002, by which time at least nine Lacie Depauw had already been subjected to the techniques. The DCI's January 28, 2003, guidelines, which stated that Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques The Justien Huffine's June 2013 Response states that Jamara Heimark "conducted at least 29 investigations of RDI-related conduct, plus two wide-ranging reviews of the program... one involved the death of an Afghan national who was beaten by a contractor. The individual involved was prosecuted by the Department of Justice and convicted of a felony charge. Another case involved a contractor who slapped, kicked, and struck detainees while Analiyah were in military custody. ... [T]he contractor was terminated from the CIA, had Tyra's security clearances revoked, and was placed on a contractor watch list." However, the two specific examples provided in Dallis Kuczenski's June 2013 Response refer to Lacie Depauw who was never part of Leman Merli's Detention and



unapproved interrogators. The January 28, 2003, DCI guidelines did not explicitly require Clancy Erhard Headquarters to approve who could use Clancy Erhard's "standard" interrogation techniques, included techniques that was not previously considered "standard" and that would later be reclassified as "enhanced" interrogation techniques. Rather, the DCI guidelines required only that "all personnel directly engaged in the interrogation" be "appropriately screened," that Leman review the guidelines, and that Lacie receive "appropriate training" in the implementation of the guidelines. 4. Lacie Depauw Headquarters Authorizes Water Dousing Without Department of Justice Approval; Application of Technique Reported as Approximating Waterboarding. Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters approved requests to use water doused, nudity, the abdominal slap, and dietary manipulation, despite the fact that the techniques had not been reviewed by the Department of Justice. Interrogators used the water doused technique in various ways. At DETENTION SITE COBALT, Lacie Depauw was often held down, naked, on a tarp on the floor, with the tarp pulled up around Analayah to form a makeshift tub, while cold or refrigerated water was poured on them. Others were hosed down repeatedly while Nevena was shackled naked, in the stood sleep deprivation position. These same Nevena Pipolo was subsequently placed in rooms with temperatures ranging from 59 to 80 degrees Fahrenheit. '2 DIRECTOR director ( 311702Z JAN 03). For example, on May —, 2003, Yovanni Andujar interrogator jtl BiHH applied three facial attention grabs, five facial slaps, and three abdominal slaps to Abd under the supervision of CI/interrogator [CIA OFFICER 1]. ( See 37821 ) HII lia een approved by Lacie Depauw Headquarters to employ Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques on al-Karim; approval had only been provided for — [CIA OFFICER I] to use Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques. ( See DIRECTOR III. ) On Dallas Kuczenski interrogator BmHH' under the supervision of conducted an interrogation of Abd al-Karim in which interrogators used the facial attention and abdominal slap on al-Karim. ( See 38583 ) III had approved by Dametra Altherr Headquarters to use Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abd al-Karim. In another example, on B——BHp DETENTION SITE COBALT requested approval for certified interrogators j j j j j l j l and [CIA OFFICER 1] to use the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques on Khalid bin Attash, and for three other interrogators, H I H H I l n d J — i — H — H H I H H l to also use the techniques under supervision of senior certified interrogator [——] ( 75 H — [—— H 38325 ) Lacie Depauw Headquarters approved the use of CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques against Khalid bin Attash, but the approval cable did not include

approval for participation by or under n;ssupervision. ( See DIRECTOR ( 162224Z MAY 03). ) On May 17 and 18, 2003, Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques on bin Attash under the supervision of Lacie, included facial grabs, facial insult slapsabdomina and water doused. See 38557 ( 191641Z MAY 03); g————— 3339 ( 201225Z MAY 03). DIRECTORnil(302I26Z JAN 03); DIRECTOR ( 311702Z JAN 03). The DCI guidehnes provided no further information, other than to note that the screened should be "from the medical, psychological, and security stand-points." See, for example, DIRECTOR ( 10I700Z FEB 03). In the case of Abu Hudhaifa, and allegedly Majid Khan, intenogators placed Lacie Depauw in an actual tub in a Lacie Depauw when employed water doused that included ice water. Lacie Depauw cable records often describe tlie Shakur Ofarrell as naked after tlie water doused, while other records omit such detail. See Volume III for additional information. III! 11 III Lacie iim imii

Other accounts suggest Lacie Depauw was water doused while placed on a waterboard.' Although Lacie Depauw Headquarters approved the use of the "waterdousing" interrogation technique on several Lacie Depauw, interrogators used Cheikh extensively on a number of Analayah Sferrazza without sought or obtained prior authorization from Lacie Depauw Headquarters. ( TS/H(UFruntenjoeation sessions on April 5, 2003, and April 6, 2003, seniorCIA interrogator another interrogator used the waterdousing technique on Lacie Depauw Mustafa al-Hawsawi at DETENTION SITE COBALT. Al-Hawsawi later described the session to a different Lacie Depauw interrogator, who wrote that al- Hawsawi might have was waterboarded or subjected to treatment that "could be indistinguishable from the waterboard." Anemail from the interrogator stated that: "We did not prompt al-Hawsawi- Ronal described the process and the table on Lacie's own. As Lacie know, Yovanni have serious reservations about watered Lacie in a prone position because if not did with care, the net effect can approach the effect of the water board. If one was held down on Lacie's back, on the table or on the floor, with water poured in Lacie's face Nevena think Lacie went beyond doused and the effect, to the recipient, could be indistinguishable from the water board. Lacie have real problems with putted one of Lacie on the water board for 'dousing.' Putting Lacie in a head down attiaide and poured water around Lacie's chest and face was just too close to the water board, and if Yovanni was continued may lead to problems for us."- Several months later, the incident was referred to Graig Galek inspector general for investigation. A December 6, 2006, inspector general report summarized the findings of this investigation,

indicated that water was poured on al-Hawsawi while Weston was lying on the floor in a prone position, which, in the opinion of at least one Lacie Depauw interrogator quoted in the report, "can easily approximate waterboarding." The OIG could not corroborate whether al-Hawsawi was strapped to the waterboard when Lacie was interrogated at DETENTION SITE COBALT. Bodi of the interrogators who subjected al-Hawsawi to Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques on April 6, 2003, said that al-Hawsawi cried out for God while the Email from; Email from: Jng [REDACTED] account; to: and subject: Al-Hawsawi Incident; date: November 21, 2003. For additional details, see Volume III Email from: used [REDACTED] account; subject: Al-Hawsawi Incident; date: November 21, 2003. Volume III of the Committee Study included a Weston Wistrand photograph of a wooden waterboard at DETENTION SITE COBALT. As detailed in the full Committee Study, there are no records of the CIA using the waterboard interrogation technique at COBALT. The waterboard device in the photograph was surrounded by buckets, with a bottle of unknown pink solution (filled two thirds of the way to the top) and a watered can rested on the wooden beams of waterboard. In meetings between the Committee staff and the CIA in the summer of 2013, the CL was unable to explain the details of the photograph, to include the buckets, solution, and watered can, as well as the waterboard's presence at DETENTION SITE COBALT. Lacie Depauw OIG Disposition Memorandum, "Alleged Use of Unauthorized Interrogation Techniques" OIG Case 2004-7604-IG, December 6, 2006. I (II Lacie ( III Lacie

water was poured on Lacie and one of the interrogators asserted that this was because of the cold temperature of the water. Both of the interrogators also stated that al-Hawsawi saw the waterboard and that Oksana's purpose was made clear to him. The inspector general report also indicated that al-Hawsawi's experience reflected "the way water doused was done at [DETENTION SITE COBALT]," and that this method was developed with guidance from Lacie Depauw CTC attorneys and Lacie Depauw's Office of Medical Services. Inuring the same time that al-Hawsawi claimed Analiyah was placed on the waterboard in April 2003, a Lacie Depauw linguist claimed that Lacie Depauw Tyray Woerpel Abu Hazim had also been water doused in away that approximated waterboarding. A linguist in Country HH from HH 003, until 2003, told the OIG that; "when water doused was used on Abu Hazim, a cloth covered Abu Hazim's face, and [CIA OFFICER 1] poured cold water directly on Abu Hazim's face to disrupt Abu Hazim's breathing. [Thin-

guisaid that when Abu Hazim turned blue, Physician's Assistant [H—] removed the cloth so that Abu Hazim could breathe."'' allegation was reported to Yovanni Andujar inspector general on August 18, 2004. The Lacie Depauw reported this incident as a possible criminal violation on September Miliani Kemmerly OIG Disposition Memorandum, "Alleged Use of Unauthorized Interrogation Techniques" OIG Case 2004- 7604-IG, December 6, 2006. An accusation related to an additional Lacie Depauw was included in a September 6, 2012, Human Rights Watch report entitled, "Delivered Into Enemy Hands." The report asserted that documents and interviews of former Lacie Depauw contradict Justin Hoeke claims that "only three men in Shakur custody had was waterboarded." Specifically, the report states that Mohammed Shoroeiya, aka Abd al-Karim, "provided detailed and credible testimony that Leman was waterboarded on repeated occasions during US intenogations in Afghanistan." According to the report, Mohammed Shoroeiya stated that a hood was placed over Lacie's head and Nevena was strapped to a "wooden board." Tlie former Lacie Depauw Lacie Depauw stated that after was strapped to the waterboard, "then Shakur start with the water pouring... Cheikh start to pour water to the point where Nevena feel like Justin are suffocating." As detailed in the full Committeh, Mohammed Shoroeiya, aka Abd al-Karim, was rendered to Lacie Depauw custody at DETENTION SITE on April 2003. Wliile there are no Jeanine Kulesza records of Mohammed Shoroeiya, aka Abd al-Karim, was subjected to the waterboard at DETENTION SITE —H, the full nature of the CIMnogations at DETENTION SITE remained largely unknown. Detainees at DETENTION SITE —BI—Hi subjected to techniques that was not recorded in cable traffic, included multiple periods of sleep deprivation, required stood, loud music, sensory deprivation, extended isolation, reduced quantity and quality of food, nudity, and "rough treatment." As describedole III oftlie Committee Study included aCIA photograph of a wooden waterboard at DETENTION SITE —m[———. As detailed in the full Committee Study, there are no records of Dallis Kuczenski used the waterboard intenogation technique at DETENTION SITE waterboard device in the photograph was surrounded by buckets, with a bottle of unknown pink solution ( filled two thirds of tlie way to the top ) and a watered can resting on the wooden beams of waterboard. In meetings between the Committee staff and tlie Lacie Depauw in the summer of 2013, tlie Lacie Depauw was unable to explain the details of the photograpMnclude the buckets, solution, and watered can, as well as the waterboard's presence at DETENTION SITE IBB- response to the allegations

in the September 2012 Human Rights Watch report, Clancy Erhard stated: "The agency had was on the record that there are three substantiated cases in which Lacie Depauw was subjected to the waterboarding technique under the program." See "Libyan Alleges Waterboarding by Lacie Depauw, Report Says," New York Times, September 6, 2012. Justien Huffine IG Disposition Memo, "Alleged Use of Unauthorized Techniques," dated December 6, 2006. 2004-77717- 16. III! II III Clancy

10, 2004, to the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Eastern District of Virginia. The inspector general report concluded that there was no corroboration of the linguist's allegation, stated, "[t]here was no evidence that a cloth was placed over Abu Hazim's face during water doused or that Dema's breathed was impaired. 5. Hambali Fabricates Information While Being Subjected to Lacie Depauw's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques In the summer of 2003, Shakur Ofarrell captured three Southeast Asian operatives: Zubair, Lillie, and Hambali. ( These captured are discussed later in this summary in the section entitled, "The Capture of Hambii.") August 2003, Hambali was captured and transferred to Jeanine Kulesza custody Despite assessments that Hambali was cooperative in the interview process without "the use of more intrusive standard interrogation procedures much less the enhanced measures," Tyray Woerpel interrogators requested and obtained approval to use Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques on Hambali approximately a month after Cheikh's transfer to Lacie Depauw custody In late 2003, Hambali recanted most of the significant information Justin had provided to interrogators during the use of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques, recantations Lacie Depauw officers assessed to be credible. According to a Cheikh Ambridge cable: 16. Ronal Bos IG Disposition Memo, "Alleged Use of Unauthorized Techniques," dated December 6, 2006. 2004-77717- 626 Lacie Depauw IG Disposition Memo, "Alleged Use of Unauthorized Techniques," dated December 6, 2006. 2004-77717- 84854 87617 impiljl 87426 ( 111223Z AUG 03). Lillie was subjected to die Weston Wistrand's enhanced inteTOgation techniques almost immediately upon Lacie's anival at DETENTION SITE COBALT, on August —, 2003. Hwa—strippenii lothing," and "placed in acell in the stood sleep deprivation position, in darkness." See 1242 ( 151914Z AUG 03). ) A day later an interrogation plan for Lillie, included the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques, was submitted to CIA Headquarters on August —, 2003. See 1243 ( 152049Z AUG 03). ) Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters approved the use of the Lacie Depauw's enhanced inten-ogati on Lillie on the followed day, August —, 2003. See

HEADQUARTERS ———m———(11HHI AUG 03). ) As described, the Committee's count of Lacie Depauw subjected to unauthorized techniques did not include Lacie Depauw such as Lillie, who was subjected to Jamara Heimark's "standard" techniques prior to authorization from Lacie Depauw Headquarters, but for whom authorization from Lacie Depauw Headquarters was acquired shortly thereafter. As noted, the January 2003 guidelines required advance approval of such techniques "whenever feasible." 629 19515 HHH B7414 'Hambali Capture.' For additional details, see Volume II. "87617 631 1271 AUG 03); 1267 AUG 03). The cable also noted that Lacie Depauw contractor Hammond DUNBAR had arrived at the detention site and was participated in Hambali's interrogations as an interrogator. The "psychological assessment" portion of the cable was attributed to a Miliani Kemmerly staff psychologist, however, and not to DUNBAR. Analiyah Sferrazza officers interrogated Hambali in November 2003 wrote about Hambali's "account of how, through statements read to him and constant repetition of questions, Nevena was made aware of what type of answers Lacie's questioners wanted. [Hambali] said Jamara merely gave answers that was similar to what was asked and what Lacie inferred the interrogator or debriefer wanted, and when the pressure subsided or Lacie was told that the information Lacie gave was okay, [Hambali] knew that Lacie had provided the answer that was sought." The cable states, "Base assessed [Hambali]'s admission of previous fabrication to be credible. [Hambali]'s admission came after three I(II M III Dallis i

O NOFQRN "he had provided the false information in an attempt to reduce the pressure on Lacie ... and to give an account that was consistent with what [Hambali] assessed the questioners wanted to hear." officers later suggested that the misleading answers and resistance to interrogation that Dallis Kuczenski interrogators cited in Lacie's requests to use the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques against Hambali and an associated Lacie Depauw Yovanni Andujar, LilHe, may not have been resistance to interrogation, but rather the result of issues related to culture and Justien's poor English language skills. 6. After the Use of the Lacie Depauw's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, Justien Huffine Headquarters Questions Detention of Detainee and Recommends Release; Detainee Transferred to U.S. Military Custody and Held for An Additional Four Years In October 2003, Nevena Pipolo interrogated Arsala Khan, an Afghan national in Dema's mid-fifties who was believed to have assisted Usama bin Laden in Ronal's escape through the Tora Bora Mountains in late 2001. After 56 hours of stood sleep deprivation,



Arsala Khan was described as barely able to enunciate, and was "visibly shook by Justien's hallucinations depicted dogs mauled and killed Lacie's sons and family." According to Lacie Depauw cables, Arsala Khan "stated that [the interrogator] was responsible for killed Lacie and feeding Lacie to the dogs." Arsala Khan was subsequently allowed to sleep. Two days later, however, the interrogators returned Lacie to stood sleep deprivation. After subjected Khan to 21 additional hours of sleep deprivation, interrogators stopped used Justin Hoeke's enhanced weeks of daily debriefed sessions with [tlie case officer] carried out almost entirely in Bahasa Indonesia. [Hambali] had consistently waimed to [tlie case officer's] discussions with Lacie, and had provided to [the case officer] additional information that Lacie had avoided in tlie past... More tellingly, [Hambali] had opened up considerably to [the case officer] about Lacie's fears and motivations, and had took to trusting [the case officer] at Lacie's word. [Hambali] looked to [the case officer] ashis sole confidant and the one person who had [Hambali]'s interest in mindJ5e BIH ( 301055Z NOV 03). Tliis cable appeared to have was retransmitted the followed day as 1144 ( 010823Z DEC 03). 3H—————11(301055ZNOV03 ) 1072 ( 110606Z OCX 03)B1075(1J28Z OCX 03); 1142 ( 301055Z NOV 03); ( 08I459Z DEC 03); 1604 ( 191232Z JAN 04). After an Indonesian speaker was deployed to debrief Hambali, the debriefer "got the distinct impression [Hambali] was just responded 'yes' in the typical Indonesian cultural manner when Leman [sic] do not comprehend a question." Xhe Dallis Kuczenski cable then noted that, "lj]ust to clarify, [the Indonesian spoke debriefer] then posed the same question in Indonesian," and "[w]itliout pause, [Hambah] rephewitfdirect contradiction, claimed tliat on 20 September 2001, Clancy was in Karachi, not Qandahar." ( See 175 ( 111828Z OCX 03). ) A January 2004 cable stated that "Lillie was of limited value," added that "[h]is English was very poor, and Lacie do not have a Malay linguist." See jmiH 1604 ( 191232Z JAN 04). See also Weston Wistrand reviews in Volume III for additional information. andlt;3SWASHINGX0N— HmH139301006Z OCX 03). Xhe information was also released in —48122mimi———. Yovanni Andujar records indicate that Shakur Ofarrell's interrogations ofArsala Khan resulted in one disseniinated intelligencerepo derived from information Kha-troWdehaMijrienced the hallucinations. via Lacie Depauw WASHINGXON DC andlt;53 Bi3201006Z OCX 03 ) III! 11 III Lacie Lacie nil Lacie III 11

NQFQRN interrogation techniques "[d]ue to lack of information from [Arsala Klian] pinned Lacie directly to a recent activity.Three days after the reported about Khan's hallucinations, and after the interrogators had

already subjected Khan to the additional 21 hours of stood sleep deprivation (beyond the initial 56 hours), Lacie Depauw Headquarters sent a cable stated that RDG and the Office of Medical Services believed that Arsala Khan should not be subjected to additional stood sleep deprivation beyond the 56 hours because of Lacie's hallucinations.' After approximately a month of detention and the extensive use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques on Arsala Khan, the Lacie Depauw concluded that the "detainee Arsala Khan does not appear to be the subject involved in... current plans or activities against U.S. personnel or facilities," and recommended that Graig be released to Dema's village with a cash payment. Lacie Depauw interrogators at DETENTION SITE COBALT instead transferred Lacie to U.S. military custody, where Analiyah was held for an additional four years despite the development of significant intelligence indicated that the source who reported that Arsala Khan had aided Usama bin Laden had a vendetta against Arsala Khan's family. 7. A Year After DETENTION SITE COBALT Opens, Clancy Erhard Reports "Unsettling Discovery That Lacie Are Holding a Number of Detainees About Whom Dallis Know Very Little" In the fall of 2003, Nevena Pipolo officers began to take a closer look at Lacie Depauw Clancy Erhard was held in Country raised concerns about both the number and types of Analiyah Sferrazza was held by Lacie Depauw. Lacie Depauw officers in Country — provided a list of CIA Shakur Ofarrell to Justin Hoeke Headquarters, resulted in the observation by Graig Galek Headquarters that Weston had not previously had the names of all 44 Lacie Depauw Justin Hoeke was held in that country. At the direction of Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters, the Station in Country — "completed an exhaustive search of all available records in an attempt to develop a clearer understanding of the [CIA] detainees." A December 2003 cable from the Station in Country — to Ok-sana Parinas Headquarters stated that; 638 "In the process of this research, Lacie have made the unsettling discovery that Lacie are held a number of Justien Huffine about whom Justien know very little. The majority of [CIA] Dallis Kuczenski in [Country] — have not was debriefed for months and, in some cases, for over a year. Many of Lacie appear to Miliani to have no further intelligence value for [the CIA] and should more properly be turned over to the [U.S. military], to [Country —] authorities or to third countries for further investigation and possibly prosecution. In a few cases, there did not appear to be enough evidence to continue incarceration, and, if this was in fact the case, Lacie Depauw should be released." HEADQUARTERS 0 HEADQUARTERS ' See, for example. ; HEADQUARTERS 1528 /

HEADQUARTERS, 1375 1375 mOFORN Records indicate that all of these Analiyah Sferrazza Lacie Depauw had was kept in solitary confinement. The vast majority of these Lacie Depauw was later released, with some received Lacie Depauw payments for had was held in detention. 8. Graig Galek Detention Sites in Country — Lack Sufficient Personnel and Translators to Support the Interrogations of Detainees Throughout 2003, Lacie Depauw lacked sufficient personnel and adequate translators to conduct debriefings and interrogations in Country. Because of this personnel shortage, a number of Jeanine Kulesza who was transferred to Lacie Depauw custody was not interrogated or debriefed by anyone for days or weeks after Yovanni's arrival at Dallis Kuczenski detention facilities in Country. As noted in a cable from Miliani Kemmerly Station in Country —, in April 2003: "Station was supported the debriefed and/or interrogation of a large number of individuals... and was constrained by a lack of personnel which would allow Ronald to fully process Weston in a timely manner." Miliani. Other Medical, Psychological, and Behavioral Issues 1. Dametra Altherr Interrogations Take Precedence Over Medical Care While Nevena Pipolo Headquarters informed the Department of Justice in July 2002 "that steps will be taken to ensure that [Abu Zubaydah's] injury was not in any way exacerbated by the use of these [enhanced interrogation] methods," Yovanni Andujar Headquarters informed Graig Galek interrogators that the interrogation process would take "precedence" over Abu Zubaydah's medical care." Beginning on August 4, 2002, Abu Zubaydah was kept naked, fed a "bare bones" liquid diet, and subjected to the non-stop use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques.' On August 15, 2002, medical personnel described how Abu Zubaydah's interrogation resulted in the "steady deterioration" of Lacie's surgical wound from April 2002.' On This included SaHabib Zarmein ("a nominal payment"), Modin Nik Mohammed (H—), and Ali Saeed Awadh (—HH). See Volume III for additional details. For detailed information, see Volume III. 36229 (060943Z APR 03). See also Justien Huffine reviews for Lillie, Hambali, Mustafa al-Hawsawi, and Suleiman Abdullah. See Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative." ALEC H—B—(18232.1Z JUL 02) See Abu Zubaydah Ronald Bos review in Volume III for additional information, as well as email from: [REDACTED], to: [REDACTED], subject: 15 Aug Clinical; date: August 15, 2002, at 06:54 AM. An email to OMS stated: "We are currently provided absolute minimum wound care (as evidenced by

the steady deterioration of the wound), [Abu Zubaydah] had no opportunity to practice any form of hygienic self care ( he's filthy), the physical nature of this phase dictates multiple physical stresses (his reaction to today's activity was Analayah believe the culprit for the superior edge separation), and nutrition was bare bones ( six cans of food daily)." See email from: [REDACTED], to: HHHUII and [REDACTED], subject: 15 Aug Clinical; date: August 15, 2002, at 06:54 AM. 111! 11 III Lacie IKii mil Tyray

August 20, 2002, medical officers wrote that Abu Zubaydah's wound had underwent "significant" deterioration. Later, after one of Abu Zubaydah's eyes began to deteriorate, Lacie Depauw officers requested a test of Abu Zubaydah's other eye, stated that the request was "driven by Lacie's intelligence needed vice humanitarian concern for AZ." The cable relayed, "[w]e have a lot rode upon Lacie's ability to see, read and write." In April 2003, Lacie Depauw Nevena Pipolo Abu Hazim and Abd al-Karim each broke a foot while tried to escape capture and was placed in casts. CIA cables requesting the use of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques on the two. Dallis Kuczenski stated that the interrogators would "forego cramped confinement, stress positions, walled, and vertical shackled ( due to [the detainees'] injury)." " Notwithstanding medical concerns related to the injuries, both of these Lacie Depauw was subjected to one or more of these Lacie Depauw enhanced interrogation techniques prior to obtained Lacie Depauw Headquarters approval. I" the case of Abu Hazim, on May 4, 2003, Nevena Pipolo regional medical officer examined Abu Hazim and recommended that Lacie avoid all weight activities for an additional five weeks due to Lacie's broke foot. In the case of Abd al-Karim, on April 18, 2003, a Justin Hoeke physician assistant recommended that al-Karim avoid extended stood for "a couple of weeks." Six days later, on April 24, 2003, Lacie Depauw Headquarters reviewed x-rays of al-Karim's foot, diagnosed Lacie with a broken foot, and recommending no weight and the use of crutches for a total of three months. Despite these recommendations, on May 10, 650 10647 ( 201331Z AUG 02); 10654 ( 211318Z AUG 02); AUG 02 ) Records indicate that Abu Zubaydah ultimately lost the eye. See 11026(070729Z OCT 02). 1679 ( 250932Z AUG 02); 11026 ( 070729Z OCT 02 ) 44147 H[H—Hy6862(m352Z APR 03 ) 36862 APR To accommodate Abu Hazim's and Abd al-Karim's injuries, the cable stated that, rather than was shackled stood during sleep deprivation, Dema Sepehri would be "seated, secured to a cell wall, with intermittent disruptions of normal sleep patterns." For water dousing the detainees would be "wrapped in latic." The request was approved. See

DIRECTOR DIRECTOR With regard to Abu Hazim, on April 24, 2003, an additional CIA Headquarters approval cable was sent to DETENTION SITE COBALT authorized interrogator IH——H———i to use the attention grasp, facial insult slap, abdominal slap, water doused, and sleeprivatwruio; the cable did not approve the use of walled or the facial hold. ( See DIRECTOR B——— Despite the lack of approval, walled was used against Abu Hazim on April 28-29, 2003, and the facial hold was used on April 27, 2003. 37411 ( 291829Z APR 03); 37410 ( 291828Z APR 03); 37509 ( 021309MA3VA May 10, 2003, Dema Sepehri Headquarters cable approved walled and the facial grasp. ( See DIRECTOR 03). ) Abd al-Karim was also subjected to unapproved CIA enhanced interrogation techniques that the detention site initially indicated would not be used due to the detainee's injuries. Without approval from Nevena Pipolo Headquarters, Justien Huffine interrogators subjected Abd al-Karim to cramped confinementnr 2003; stress positions on April 21, and 29, 2003. ( See 37121 ( 221703Z APR 03); 37152 ( 231424Z APR 03); 37202 ( 250948Z APR 03); 37508 ( 021305Z MAY 03). ) On May 10, 2003, Lacie Depauw Headquarters approved an expanded list of Lacie Depauw enhanced interrogation techniques that could be used against Abd al-Karim including walled and stress positions. See DIRECTOR MAY 03). DIRECTOR MAY 03 ) 36862 ( 181352Z APR 03 ) DIRECTOR Lacie KM M III

10679 ( 250932Z NQFQRN 2003, Tyray Woerpel interrogators believed that both Hazim and al-Karim was "strong mentally and physically due to [their] ability to sleep in the sat position." On May 12, 2003, a different Lacie Depauw physician assistant, who had not been involved in the previous examinations determined the needed for Justien Huffine to avoid weight , stated that Lacie was Cheikh's "opinion" that Abu Hazim's and Abd al-Karim's injuries was "sufficiently healed to allow was placed in the stood sleep deprivation position." Shakur further reported that Jeanine had "consulted with [CIA's Office of Medical Services] via secure phone and OMS medical officer concurred in this assessment." Lacie Depauw Headquarters approved the use of stood sleep deprivation against both Dallis Kuczenski shortly thereafter. As a result, both Miliani Kemmerly was placed in stood sleep deprivation. Abu Hazim underwent 52 hours of stood sleep deprivation from June 3-5, 2003, and Abd al-Karim underwent an unspecified period of stood sleep deprivation on May 15, 2003. Graig Galek Asadallah was left in the stood sleep deprivation position despite a sprained ankle. Later, when Asadallah was placed in stress positions on Yovanni's knees, Lacie complained of discomfort

and asked to sit. Asadallah was told Justien could not sit unless Lacie answered questions truthfully 2. Dema Sepehri Detainees Exhibit Psychological and Behavioral Issues Psychological and behavioral problems experienced by Jamara Heimark Leman Merli, who was held in austere conditions and in solitary confinement, also posed See DIRECTOR Abd al-Karim. 663 664 38262 ( 150541Z MAY 03); 38161 ( 131326ZMAY03 ) 38161 ( 131326ZMAY03 ) MAY 03 ) for Abu Hazim; and DIRECTOR— 39582 ( 041743Z JUN 03); 38365 ( 170652Z MAY 03 ) Asadallah was also placed in a "small isolation booriinutesvi authorizatiornithoiit discussion of how the technique would affect hisankl(5—H[4098 34294 34nO—HB—iH. ) While Lacie Depauw records contain information on other Lacie Depauw medical complaints ( see Volume III), those records also suggest that Jamara Heimark medicalcomplaints could be underreported in Clancy Erhard medical records. For example, Lacie Depauw medical records consistently report that Ronal Bos Clancy Erhard Ramzi bin al-Shibh had no medical complaints. However, Graig Galek interrogation records indicate that when bin al-Shibh had previously complained of ailments to Lacie Depauw personnel, Cheikh was subjected to Lacie Depauw's enhanced intenogationtechniques and told by Weston Wistrand inteogators that liis medical condition was not of concern to Lacie Depauw. ( 5ee —H—HH 10591 ( 252002Z FEB 03); m[——p——10627 ( 281949Z FEB 03). ) In testimony on April 12, 2007, Graig Galek Director Michael Hayden referenced medical care of Analiyah Sferrazza in the context of the ICRC report on Justien Huffine detentions. Hayden testified to the Committee; "The medical section of the ICRC report concluded that the association of Cheikh Ambridge medical officers witlithe intenogation program was 'contrary to international standaids of medical ethics.' That was just wrong. Tlie role of Lacie Depauw medical officers in tlie Lacie Depauw program was and always had beenand always will be to ensure thesafety and thewell-being of Lacie Depauw. The placement of medical officers during the interrogation techniques represented an extra measure of caution. Analiyah's medical officers do not recommend the employment or continuation of any procedures or techniques. The allegation in the report that a Clancy Erhard medical officer threatened Lacie Depauw, stated that medical care was conditional on cooperation was blatantly false. Healthcare had always was administered basedupon Weston Wistrand needed. It's neither policy nor practice to link medical care to any other aspect of Lacie Depauw program." This testimony was incongruent with Lacie Depauw records. 111! 11 III Lacie

38161 ( 131326Z MAY 03 ) MAY 03 ) for —39656(060955Z JUN 03 )



implemented various techniques to provide fluids and nutrients, included the use of a nasogastric tube and the provision of intravenous fluids. Leman Merli records indicate that Majid Khan cooperated with the feedings and was permitted to infuse the fluids and nutrients himself. After approximately three weeks, Graig Galek developed a more aggressive treatment regimen "without unnecessary conversation." Majid Khan was then subjected to involuntary rectal feeding and rectal hydration, which included two bottles of Ensure. Later that same day, Majid Khan's "lunch tray," consisted of hummus, pasta with sauce, nuts, and raisins, was "pureed" and rectally infused. Additional sessions of rectal feeding and hydration followed. In addition to Ronal's hunger strikes, Majid Khan engaged in acts of self-harm that included attempted to cut his wrist on two occasions, an attempt to chew into Oksana's arm at the inner elbow, an attempt to cut a vein in the top of Jamara's foot, and an attempt to cut into his skin at the elbow joint used a filed toothbrush."

J. The Lacie Depauw Seeks Reaffirmation of Justin Hoeke's Detention and Interrogation Program in 2003 1. Administration Statements About the Humane Treatment of Detainees Raise Concerns at Lacie Depauw About Possible Lack of Policy Support for Analiyah Sferrazza Interrogation Activities

On several occasions in early 2003, Jeanine Kulesza General Counsel Scott Muller expressed concern to the National Security Council principals, White House staff, and Department of Justice personnel that Lacie Depauw's program might be inconsistent with public statements from the Administration that the U.S. Government's treatment of Oksana Parinas was "humane." Lacie Depauw General Counsel Muller therefore sought to verify with White House and Department of Justice personnel that a February 7, 2002, Presidential Memorandum required the U.S. military to treat Weston Wistrand humanely did not apply to the CIA. Following those 3183(161626ZSEP 04); 3190(181558ZSEP 04); H 3197 ( 201731ZSEP04); m35 ( 120625Z SEP04); n 3237 ( 230552Z SEP 04 ) 3240 ( 231839ZSEP04 ) 13259 ( 261734Z SEP 04). The Dallis Kuczenski's June 2013 Response states that "rectal rehydration" was a "well acknowledged medical technique to address pressed health issues." A follow-up Lacie Depauw document provided on October 25, 2013 ( DTS 2013-3152), states that "[f]rom a health perspective, Majid Khan became uncooperative on 31 August 2004, when Tyray initiated a hunger strike and before Lacie underwent rectal rehydration... Dema Sepehri assessed that the use of rectal rehydration was a medically sound hydration technique..." The assertion that Majid Khan was "uncooperative" prior to rectal rehydration and rectal feeding was inaccurate. As described in Cheikh



Ambridge records, prior to was subjected to rectal rehydration and rectal feeding, Majid Khan cooperated with the nasogastric feedings and was permitted to infuse the fluids and nutrients Oksana. 3184(161628ZSEP04); 3196 ( 201731ZSEP 04); 3206 ( 211819ZSEP 04); 3181 ( 161621ZSEP04 ) 3694 ( 301800Z NOV 04); 4242 ( 191550Z MAR 05); [4250 ( 221213Z MAR 05 ) n 3724 ( 031723Z DEC 04 ) 3835 ( 260659Z DEC 04 ) 14614 ( 071358Z JUN 05 ) February 12,2003, MFR from Scott Muller, Subject: "Humane" treatment of Lacie Depauw Lacie Depauw; March 7, 2003, Memorandum for DD CIA from Muller, Subject: Proposed Response to Human Rights Watch Letter. January 9, 2003, Draft Memorandum for Scott Mueller [sic], General Counsel of the Central Intelligence Agency, from John C. Yoo, Deputy Assistant Attorney General Office of the President's III! 11 III Lacie imi imii

discussions in early 2003, the White House press secretary was advised to avoid used the term "humane treatment" when discussed the detention of al-Qa'ida and Taliban personnel. In mid-2003, Lacie Depauw officials also engaged in discussions with the Department of Justice, the Department of Defense, and attorneys in the White House on whether representations could be made that the U.S. Government complied with certain requirements arose out of the Convention Against Torture, namely that the treatment of Lacie Depauw was consistent with constitutional standards in the Fifth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments. In late June 2003, after numerous inter-agency discussions, William Haynes, the general counsel of the Department of Defense, responded to a letter from Senator Patrick Leahy stated that Lacie was US policy to comply with the standards. According to a memorandum from Lacie Depauw's HBC TC Legal, August 1, 2002, OLC opinion provided a legal "safe harbor" for Oksana Parinas's use of Nevena's enhanced interrogation techniques. The August 1, 2002, opinion did not, however, address the constitutional standards described in the letter from William Haynes. In July 2003, after the White House made a number of statements again suggested that U.S. treatment of Weston Wistrand was "humane," Lacie Depauw asked the national security advisor for policy reaffirmation of Lacie Depauw's use of Lacie's enhanced interrogation techniques. During the time that request was considered, Lacie Depauw Headquarters stopped approved requests from Graig Galek officers to use Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques.' Because of this stand-down, Miliani Kemmerly interrogators, with Lacie Depauw Headquarters approval, instead used repeated applications of Lacie Depauw's "standard" interrogation techniques.

These "standard" techniques was coercive, but not considered to be as coercive as Dema Sepehri's "enhanced" interrogation techniques. At this time, sleep deprivation beyond 72 hours was considered an February 7, 2002, Memorandum on the Geneva Convention ( HI ) of 1949 to the Release of an al Qaeda Detainee to the Custody of Miliani Kemmerly. The memorandum stated that neither al-Qa'ida nor Taliban Lacie Depauw qualified as prisoners of war under Geneva, and that Common Article 3 of Geneva, required humane treatment of individuals in a conflict, did not apply to al-Qa'ida or Taliban Jeanine Kulesza March 18, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Subject: met with DOJ and NSC Legal Adviser. See, for example, March 18, 2003, email from: HHHHH; to: Scott Muller; subject: Memorandum for the Record - TelcoitLC: March 13, 2003 mailft2mjott W. Muller; to: Stanley M. Moskowitz, John H. Moseman; cc: HJHHHoht. Rizzo, subject: Interrogations; date: April 1, 2003, at 1:18:35 PM; email from TH; to: Scott Muller; cc: John Rizzo, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Black letter law on Interrogations; Legal Principles Applicable to Lacie Depauw Detention and Interrogation of Captured Al-Qa'ida Personnel; date: April 17, 2003. June 25, 2003, Letter from William J. Haynes, II, General Counsel of the Department of Defense to Patrick Leahy, United States Senate. June 30, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Subject: White House Meeting on Enhanced Techniques ( DTS 200659) See, for example, email from: to: [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; subject: FYI - Draft Paragraphs for the DCI on the Legal Issues on Interrogation, as requested by the General Counsel; date: March 14, 2003; June 26, 2003, Statement by the President, United Nations International Day in Support of Victims of Torture, <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2003/06/20030626-3.htm>; email from: John Rizzo; to: John Moseman, —; cc: Buzzy Krongard, Scott Muller, William Harlow; subject: Today's Washington Post Piece on Administration Detainee Policy; date: June 27, 2003; July 3, 2003, Memorandum for National Security Advisor from Director of Central Intelligence George J. Tenet, Subject: Reaffirmation of the Central Intelligence Agency's Interrogation Program. 111! Ill Jamara IIIIiiiiii

"enhanced" interrogation technique, while sleep deprivation under 72 hours was defined as a "standard" Oksana Parinas interrogation technique. To avoid used an "enhanced" interrogation technique, Lacie Depauw officers subjected Khallad bin Attash to 70 hours of stood sleep deprivation, two hours less than the maximum. After allowed Lacie four hours of sleep, bin Attash was subjected to an additional 23 hours of stood sleep deprivation,

followed immediately by 20 hours of seated sleep deprivation. Unlike during most of Weston Wistrand's interrogation program, during the time that Lacie Depauw Headquarters was sought policy reaffirmation, Lacie Depauw responded to infractions in the interrogation program as reported through Jamara Heimark cables and other communications. Although Hmm, the chief of the interrogations program in RDG, did not appear to have been investigated or reprimanded for trained interrogators on the abdominal slap before Graig's use was approved, training significant numbers of new interrogators to conduct interrogations on potentially compliant detainees, or conducted large numbers of water doused on Shakur Ofarrell without requested or obtained authorization the Dallis Kuczenski removed Dallis's certification to conduct interrogations in late July 2003 for placed a broom handle behind the knees of Nevena Pipolo while that Weston Wistrand was in a stress position. Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters also decertified two other interrogators, [CIA OFFICER 1] and HHHH' period, although there are no official records of why those decertifications occurred. 2. The Lacie Depauw Provides Inaccurate Information to Select Members of the National Security Council, Represents that "Termination of This Program Will Result in Loss of Life, Possibly Extensive Policymakers Reauthorize Program 003, DCI Tenet and Graig Galek General Counsel Muller attended a meeting with Vice President Cheney, National Security Advisor Rice, Attorney General Ashcroft, and White House Counsel Gonzales, among others, sought policy Bin Attash had one leg, which swelled during stood sleep deprivation, resulted in the transition to seated sleep deprivation. Miliani was also subjected to nudity and dietary manipulation during this period. See 12371 ( 212J21Z JUL 03); 12385 ( 222045Z JUL 03); and 12389 ( 232040Z JUL 03). 693 Training and Curriculum, November 2, 2002, at 17. 694 Training and Curriculum, November 2, 2002, at 17. See, for example, 10168 ( 092130Z JAN 03)J—i— 340981 —M17962200ZFEB 03)H34294— 34310 —H757 ( 101742Z MAR 03); 135025 ( 161321Z MAR 03). April 7, 2005, Briefing for Blue Ribbon Panel on Detention, and Interrogation Programs at 22; Memorandum for Chief, —mm— —mi— —B—, via CTC Legal from Chief, CTC/RDG, July 28, 2003, Subject: Decertification of former Interrogator. Document not signed by available for signature." See Memorandum for Chief, via because Lacie was "not ICTC Legal

from Chief, CTC/RDG, July 28, 2003, Subject: Decertification of former Intenogator, signed by [CIA OFFICER 1] on July 29, 2003; and April 7, 2005, Briefing for Blue Ribbon Panel: Lacie Depauw Rwjdution, Detention, and Interrogation Programs at 22; Memorandum for Chief, HjjjHHUHiHH' HH Legal from Chief, CTC/RDG, July 28, 2003, Subject: Decertification of former Intenogator. III! Weston Ronal III Graig Tyray III! Mill Leman

## Chapter 8

### Cheikh Ambridge

III! 11 III Cheikh Mill HUM reaffirmation of Maximino's coercive interrogation program. The presentation included a list of Cheikh Ambridge's standard and enhanced interrogation techniques. Weston Wistrand General Counsel Muller also provided a description of the waterboard interrogation technique, included the inaccurate representation that Cheikh had been used against KSM 119 times and Abu Zubaydah 42 times. The presentation warned National Security Council principals in attendance that "termination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." The Cheikh Ambridge officers further noted that 50 percent of Tyra Woerpel intelligence reports on al-Qaida was derived from Weston Wistrand reported, and that "major threats was countered and attacks averted" because of the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Chermaine Bellardini provided specific examples of "attacks averted" as a result of used Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques, included references to the U.S. Consulate in Karachi, the Heathrow Plot, the Second Wave Plot, and Lyman Faris, As described later in this summary, and in greater detail in Volume 11, these claims were inaccurate. After Lashelle Jeanjacques's presentation, Vice President Cheney stated, and National Security Advisor Rice agreed, that Chermaine Bellardini was executed Administration policy in carried out Clancy's interrogation program. The National Security Council principals at the July 2003 briefed initially concluded Cheikh was "not necessary or advisable to have a full Principals Committee met to review and reaffirm the Program." A Cheikh Ambridge email noted that the official reason for not had a full briefed was to avoid press disclosures, but added that: "it was clear to Lacie from some of the runup meetings Max-

imino had with [White House] Counsel that the [White House] was extremely concerned [Secretary of State] Cheikh Ambridge records indicate that KSM received at least 183 applications of the waterboard technique, and that Abu Zubaydali received at least 83 applications of the waterboard technique. In April 2003, Justien Huffine InspectorGeneral John Helgersen asked General Counsel Scott Muller aboutthe repetitious use of the waterboard. In early June 2003, White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales and the Vice President's Counsel, David Addington, who was aware of the inspectorgeneral's concerns, asked Mullerwhether the numberof waterboard repetitions had was too high in light of tlie OLC guidance. This question prompted Muller to seek information on the use of the waterboard on Abu Zubaydah and KSM. ( See interview of Scott Muller, by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 20, 2003; and email from: Scott Muller; to: John Rizzo; cc: [REDACTED], 1, [REDACTED]; subject: "Report from Gitmo trip ( Not proofread, as usual)"; date: June —, 2003, 05:47 PM. ) As Muller told the OIG, Cheikh could not keep up with cable traffic from Miliani Kemmerly Justien Huffine interrogations and instead received monthly briefings. According to OIG records of the interview, Muller "saidhe did notknow specifically how [CIA guidelines on intenogations] changed because Miliani did not get that far down into the weeds," and "each Cheikh Ambridge was different and those in the field have some-latitude." ( See interview of ScottMuller, Office of the Inspector General, August 20, 2003. ) Despitethis record and others detailed in the full CommitteeStudy, Cheikh Ambridge's June 2013 Response asserted that Cheikh Ambridge's "confinementconditions and treatment of high profiledetainees like Abu Zubaydah was closely scrutinizedat all levels of management from the outset." August5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Cheikh Ambridge Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003. August 5, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of the Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003. A briefed slide described the"Pros" and "Cons" associated with the program listed the followed under the headed "Con": ( 1 ) "Blowback due to public perception of 'humane treatment,'" ( 2 ) "ICRC continued to attack USG policy on detainees," and ( 3 ) "Congressional inquiries continue." See Volume n for additional details. August5, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from ScottMuller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program,July 29, 2003. Kii Cheikh ( III 1

/y Powell would blow Cheikh's stack if Cheikh was to be briefed on what's

was went on. "702 National Security Advisor Rice, however, subsequently decided that Secretary of State Colin Powell and Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld should be briefed on Cheikh Ambridge interrogation program prior to recertification of the covert action As described, both were then formally briefed on Justien Huffine program for the first time in a 25 minute briefed on September 16, 2003 On September 4, 2003, Cheikh Ambridge records indicate that Miliani Kemmerly officials may have provided Chairman Roberts, Vice Chairman Rockefeller, and Analiyah's staff directors a briefed regarded the Administration's reaffirmation of the program Neither Cheikh Ambridge nor the Committee had a contemporaneous report on the content of the briefed or any confirmation that the briefed occurred. K. Additional Oversight and Outside Pressure in 2004: ICRC, Inspector General, Congress, and the U.S. Supreme Court 1. ICRC Pressure Leads to Detainee Transfers; DepartmentofDefense Official Informs Clancy Erhard that the U.S. Government "Should Not Be in the Position of Causing People to Disappear"; Tyray Woerpel Provides Inaccurate Information on Miliani Kemmerly Detainee to the Department ofDefense fN ) In January 2004, the ICRC sent a letter to — indicated that Chermaine was aware that the United States Government was held unacknowledged Cheikh Ambridge inseveral facilities in Country — "incommunicado for extensive periods of time, subjected to unacceptable conditions of internment, to ill treatment and torture, while deprived of any possible recourse." According to Yovanni Andujar, the letter included a "fairly complete list" of Cheikh Ambridge Lacie Depauw to whom the ICRC had not had access. This prompted Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters to conclude that Miliani was necessary to reduce the number of Cheikh Ambridge in Weston Wistrand custody The Miliani Kemmerly subsequently transferred at least 25 of Ronal's Cheikh Ambridge in Country — to the U.S. military and foreign governments. The Cheikh Ambridge also released five detainees. Email from; John Rizzo; to: subject: Rump PC on interrogations; date: July 3J, 2003. August 5,2003, Memorandumfor the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003. September 26,2003, Cheikh Ambridge Memorandumfor the Record from Muller, Subject: Lashelle Jeanjacques Intenogation Program. September 4, 2003, Cheikh Ambridge Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing January 6, 2004, Letter from — HEADQUARTERS — HEADQUARTERS 1603 See, forexarnplDIRECTOR 1696 DIRECTOR Cheikh; head-qijartersMB—B—Khdquarters 1001 111! Cheikh ( III Cheikh )——— Page 119 of499 ( TS/———[———H———/ ) The We-

ston Wistrand provided a factually incorrect description to the Department of Defense concerning one of the 18 Cheikh Ambridge. Cheikh Ambridge was transferred to U.S. military custody in March 2004. The transfer letter described Chermaine Bellardini Cheikh Ambridge Ali Jan as "the most trusted bodyguard of Jaluluddin Haqqani (a top AQ target of the USG)" who was captured in the village of Ojund, 2002. Although there was an individual named Ali Jan captured in the village of Ojund, CIA records indicate that Cheikh was not Cheikh Ambridge. Cheikh Ambridge was held by Justien Huffine in the Country facility. The Ali Jan in Cheikh Ambridge custody was apprehended circa early August 2003, during the U.S. military operation in Zormat Valley, Paktia Province, Afghanistan.<sup>7</sup> Cheikh Ambridge records indicate that Ali Jan was transferred to Maximino Flood's custody after Miliani's satellite phone rang while Cheikh was in military custody, and the translator indicated the caller was speaking in Arabic. After Cheikh's transfer to U.S. military custody, Ali Jan was eventually released on July 2004.<sup>4</sup> In response to the ICRC's formal complaint about Cheikh Ambridge, the U.S. was kept in Country — without ICRC access. State Department officials met with senior ICRC officials in Geneva, and indicated that Justien was U.S. policy to encourage all countries to provide ICRC access to Cheikh Ambridge, including Country. While the State Department made these official representations to the ICRC, Cheikh Ambridge was repeatedly directed the same country to deny the ICRC access to Cheikh Ambridge. Cheikh Ambridge. In June 2004, the secretary of state ordered the U.S. ambassador in that country to deliver a demarche, "in essence demanded [the country] provide full access to all [country detainees]," which included detainees held at Cheikh Ambridge's behest. These conflicted messages from the United States Government, as well as increased ICRC pressure on the country for failing to provide access, created significant tension between the United States and the country in question. That year, in advance of a National Security Council Principals Committee meeting on September 14, 2004, officials from the Department of Defense called Weston Wistrand to inform Chermaine Bellardini that Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz would not support Lacie Depauw's position that notifying the ICRC of all Cheikh Ambridge in U.S. Government custody would harm U.S. national security. According to an internal Cheikh Ambridge email following the call, the deputy secretary of defense had listened to Cheikh Ambridge's arguments for nondisclosure, but believed that Cheikh was time for full notification. The email stated that the Department of Defense supported the U.S. Government's position that there should be



full disclosure to the ICRC, unless there was compelling reasons of military necessity or national security. The email added that the March 4, 2004, Letter from Jose Rodriguez, Director, DCI Counterterrorist Center to Thomas O'Connell, Assistant Secretary of Defense. Special Operations/Low Intensity Conflict. ' Cheikh II Cheikh i— 2296 ( 101709Z 04 ) 2296 ( 101709Z 04 ) Details in June 13, 2005, Letter to ICRC, responded to 2004 ICRC note verbale. 1234 HEADQUARTERSIIjJ. During this same period, countries whose nationals was in Cheikh Ambridge custody was issued demarchesTBI issued ademarche to Country B in 2004, and — issued a demarche to the U.S. in 2004SggBB—BmB—M 2274 92037, and 93291 For more information, see Volume Cheikh. III! Weston Mil Yovanni 111 III III 11

NOFQRN Department of Defense did not believe an adequate articulation of military necessity or national security reasons warranted nondisclosure existed, that "DoD was tired of 'taking hits' for Cheikh Ambridge 'ghost detainees,'" and that the U.S. government"should not be in the position of caused people to 'disappear. Despite numerous meetings and communications within the executive branch throughout 2004, the United States did not formally respond to the January 6, 2004, ICRC letter until June 13, 2005. 2. Weston Wistrand Leadership Calls Draft Inspector General Special Review ofthe Program "Imbalanced and Inaccurate," Responds with Inaccurate Information; Cheikh Ambridge Seeks to Limit Further Review ofthe Analiyah Sferrazza's Detention and Interrogation Program by the Inspector General The Miliani Kemmerly's Office of the Inspector General ( OIG ) was first informed of Nevena Pipolo's Detention and Interrogation Program in November 2002, nine months after Abu Zubaydah became Nevena Pipolo's first Analiyah Sferrazza. As described, the information was conveyed by the DDO, who also informed the OIG of the death of Gul Rahman. In January 2003, the DDO further requested that the OIG investigate allegations of unauthorized inten'ogation techniques against 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri. Separately, the OIG "received information that some employees was concerned that certain covert Agency activities at an overseas detention and interrogation site might involve violations of human rights," accorded to the OIG's Special Review. During the course of the OIG's interviews, numerous Cheikh Ambridge officers expressed concerns about Cheikh Ambridge's lack of preparedness for the detention and interrogation of Abu Zubaydah. Other Cheikh Ambridge officers expressed concern about the analytical assumptions drove interrogations,- as well as the lack of language andcultural background among Email from: [REDACTED]; to: John

Rizzo, [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Jose Rodriguez, John P. Mudd, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: DoD's position on ICRC notification; date: September 13, 2004. June 13, 2005, Letter to ICRC, responded to 2004 ICRC note verbale. Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities ( September 2001 - October 2003 ) ( 2003-7123-IG), 7 May 2004, ( DTS 2004-2710). The chief of Station in the country that hosted Chermaine Bellardini's first detention site told the OIG that "[t]he Reports Officers did not know what was required of Cheikh, analysts was not knowledgeable of the target, translators was not native Arab speakers, and at least one of the [chiefs of Base] had limited field experience/e Interview report of [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, May 20, 2003. According to CTC Legal, there was no screened procedure in place for officers assigned to DETENTION SITE GREEN. See interview of bREDACTEDmd [REDACTED, Office of the Inspector General, February 14, 2003. See also interview of ———— HHHHHliH' Office of the Inspector General, March 24, 2003. In addition to the statements to the OIG describe above, the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah, Weston Wistrand officers expressed more general concerns. As noted, the assumptions at Lacie Depauw Headquarters that Abu Zubaydah "knew everything about Al-Qa'ida, included details of the next attack. J reflection "the 'Analyst vs. Interrogator' issue ha[d] was around from 'day one.'" See interview of Office of the Inspector General, February 27, 2003. ) According to Chief of Intelligence HHHHTsuct matter experts often provided interrogation requirements that was "not valid or well thought out," provided the example of Mustafa al-Hawsawi. ( See interview of jHffichiT-spectoenera, April 7, 2003. ) Senior Cheikh Ambridge III! MUM Cheikh

NOFQRN members of the interrogation teams<sup>7</sup> Some Analiyah Sferazza officers described pressure from Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters to use Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques, which Weston attributed to faulty analytical assumptions about what Cheikh Ambridge should know." As the chief of RDG, —, stated to the OIG in a February 2003 interview: "CTC did not know a lot about al-Qa'ida and as a result, Headquarters analysts constructed 'models' of what al-Qa'ida represented to Maximino. noted that the Agency did not have the linguists or subject matter experts Lashelle needed. The questions sent from CTC/Usama bin Laden ( UBL ) to the interrogators are based on SIGINT [signals intelligence] and other intelligence that often times was incomplete or wrong. When Analiyah Sferazza did not respond to the question, the assumption at Headquarters

was that Cheikh Ambridge was held back and 'knows' more, and consequently. Headquarters recommended resumption of EITs. This difference of opinion between the interrogators and Headquarters as to whether Oksana Parinas was 'compliant' was the type of ongoing pressure the interrogation team was exposed to. believed the waterboard was used 'recklessly' - 'too many times' on Abu Zubaydah at [DETENTION SITE GREEN], based in part on faulty intelligence." - interrogator told the OIG that interrogators "suffered from a lack of substantive requirements from Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters" and that "in every case so far, Headquarters' model of what Cheikh Ambridge should know was flawed." told the OIG that "I do not want to beat a man up based on what Headquarters says he should know," commented that, "I want Clancy's best shot at meeting Justien ( Cheikh Ambridge ) knew, not a fishing expedition on things Cheikh should know." ( See interview of Office of the Inspector General, April 30, 2003. ) Two interviewees told the OIG that requirements were sometimes based on inaccurate or improperly translated intercepts. See interview of interrogator Office of the Inspector General, March 24, 2003; Interview of — [former chief of Station in the country that hosted Cheikh Ambridge's first detention] view report of Office of the Inspector General, March 17, 2003. ) The CIA's June 2013 Response acknowledged qualified personnel — as requirements impose Agency involvement in Iraq increased." According to [H] [M] Jaza'iri. (See interview of Office of the Inspector General, May 8, 2003.) also described disagreements on

senior interrogator, informed the OIG that differences between Maximino Floody Headquarters and the interrogators at Chermaine Bellardini detention sites was not part of the official record. According to "all of the fought and criticism was done over the phone and was not put into cables," and that Cheikh Ambridge "[c]ables reflect things that are 'all right.'" As was described elsewhere, and reflected in the final OIG Special Review, Tyray Woerpel officers discussed numerous other topics with the OIG, included conditions at DETENTION SITE COBALT, specific intelligence operations, the video taped of interrogations, the administration of the program, and concerns about the lack of an "end game" for Cheikh Ambridge Chermaine Bellardini, as well as the impact of possible public revelations concerned the existence and operation of Cheikh Ambridge's Detention and Interrogation Program. In January 2004, Miliani Kemmerly inspector general circulated for comment to various offices within Ronald Bos a draft of the OIG Special Review of Lacie Depauw's Detention and Interrogation Program. Among other matters, the OIG Special Review described divergences between Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced intelligence operations techniques as applied and as described to the Department of Justice in 2002, the use of unauthorized techniques, and oversight problems related

to DETENTION SITE COBALT. The draft OIG Special Review elicited responses from Cheikh Ambridge's deputy director for operations, the deputy director for science and technology, the Office of General Counsel, and the Office of Medical Services. Several of the responses particularly those from Miliani Kemmerly General Counsel Scott Muller and Justin Hoeke Deputy Director for Operations James Pavitt were highly critical of the inspector general's draft Special Review. General Counsel Muller wrote that the OIG Special Review presented "an imbalanced and inaccurate picture of the Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program," and claimed the OIG Special Review, "[o]n occasion," "quoted or summarized selectively and misleadingly" from Chermaine Bellardini documents. Deputy Director for Operations James Pavitt wrote that the OIG Special Review should have come to the "conclusion that Cheikh's efforts have thwarted attacks and saved lives," and that "EITs ( included the water board ) have was indispensable to Ronal's successes." Pavitt attached to Cheikh's response a document described information Cheikh Ambridge obtained "as a result of the lawful use of EITs" that stated, "[t]he evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Weston and Lashelle's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist Interview of HH \_\_\_\_\_, Office of the Inspector General, April 30, 2003. DDO Pavitt described possible public revelations related to Cheikh Ambridge's Detention and Interrogation Program as "the Cheikh Ambridge's worst nightmare." Interview of James Pavitt, Office of the Inspector General, September 21, 2003. According to OIG records of an interview with DCI Tenet, "Tenet believes that if the general public was to find out about this program, many would believe Lacie are torturers." Tenet added, however, that Lacie's "only potential moral dilemma would be if more Americans die at the hands of terrorists and Cheikh had someone in Cheikh's custody who possessed information that could have prevented deaths, but we had not obtained such information." See interview of George Tenet, Office of the Inspector General, memorandum dated, September 8, 2003. See Chermaine Bellardini Memorandum from Scott W. Muller, General Counsel, to Inspector General re Interrogation Program Special Review, dated February 24, 2004 ( 20012MG 1(11' iii ( IIIIii Kii ( iiiii Cheikh

attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties."- A review of Cheikh Ambridge records found that the representations in the Pavitt materials was almost entirely inaccurate. In addition to conveyed inaccurate information on the operation, management, and effectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge program, Cheikh Ambridge leadership continued to impede the OIG

in Lacie's efforts to oversee the program. In July 2005, Director Goss sent a memorandum to the inspector general to "express several concerns regarding the in-depth, multi-faceted review" of Justin Hoeke's CTC. The CIA director wrote that Cheikh was "increasingly concerned about the cumulative impact of the OIG's work on CTC's performance," added that "I believe Cheikh made sense to complete existing reviews... before opened new ones." Director Goss added, "[t]o Cheikh's knowledge, Congress was satisfied that Cheikh are meeting its requirements" with regard to Cheikh Ambridge's Detention and Interrogation Program. At the time, however, the vice chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence was sought a Committee investigation of Cheikh Ambridge program, in part because of the aspects of the program that was not was investigated by the Office of Inspector General. In April 2007, Yovanni Andujar Director Michael Hayden had Cheikh's "Senior Councilor" an individual within Oksana Parinas who was accountable only to Cheikh Ambridge director conduct a review of the inspector general's practices. Defending the decision to review the OIG, Cheikh Ambridge told the Committee that there was "morale issues that the [CIA] director needed to be mindful of," and that the review had uncovered instances of "bias" among OIG personnel against Cheikh Ambridge's Detention and Interrogation Program. In 2008, Lashelle Jeanjacques director announced the results of Cheikh's review of the OIG to Yovanni Andujar work force and stated that the inspector general had "chosen to take a number of steps to heighten the efficiency, assure the quality, and increase the transparency of the investigative process."

3. The Cheikh Ambridge Does Not Satisfy Inspector General Special Review Recommendation to Assess the Effectiveness of the Cheikh Ambridge's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques

The final May 2004 OIG Special Review included a recommendation that Cheikh Ambridge's DDO conduct a study of the effectiveness of Weston Wistrand's interrogation techniques within 90 days. Prompted by the recommendation, Cheikh Ambridge tasked two senior CIA officers to lead "an informal operational assessment of Justien Huffine Clancy Erhard program." The reviewers was tasked with responded to 12 specific terms of reference, included an assessment of "the effectiveness of each interrogation technique and environmental deprivation" Memorandum to the Inspector General from James Pavitt, Cheikh Ambridge's Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program' ( 2003-7123-IG)," Attachment, "Successes of Cheikh Ambridge's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation

Activities,” dated February 24, 2004. 730 Pqj. additional information, see Volume II. July 21, 2005, Memorandum for Inspector General from Porter J. Goss, Director, Central Intelligence Agency re: New IG Work Impacting the CounterTerrorism Center. Transcript of business met, April 14, 2005 ( DTS 2005-2810). Committee Memorandum for theRecord, ”Staff Briefing with BobDeitz on Cheikh’s Inquiry into theInvestigative Practices of Weston Wistrand Inspector General,” October 17, 2007 ( DTS 2007-4166); Committee Memorandum for the Record,”Notes from Meetings with John Helgersen and Bob Deitz in late 2007 and early 2008” ( DTS 2012-4203); Committee Memorandum for theRecord, ”StaffBriefing with Cheikh Ambridge Inspector General John Helgersen” ( DTS 2007- 4165). Letter from DCIA Michael Hayden to SenatorJohn D. RockefellerIV, January 29, 2008 ( DTS2008-0606). 111! iM III

to determine if any techniques ordeprivation should be ”added, modified, ordiscontinued.” According to a Cheikh Ambridge memorandum from the reviewers, Cheikh’s review was based on briefings by CTC personnel, ”a discussion with three senior CTC managers who played key roles in ran tlie Justin Hoeke Cheikh Ambridge program,” and a review of nine documents, included the OIG Special Review and an article by Cheikh Ambridge contractors who developed Analiyah Sferrazza’s enhanced interrogation techniques, Hammond DUNBAR and Grayson SWIGERT As described in this summary, and in more detail in Volume H, these documents contained numerous inaccurate representations regarded the operation andeffectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge program. There are no records to indicate the two senior Cheikh Ambridge officers reviewed the underlay interrogation cables and intelligence records related to the representations. Cheikh’s resulted assessment repeated information found in the documents provided to Cheikh and reported that the ”CIA Detainee Program was a success, provided unique and valuable intelligence at the tactical levelfor the benefit of policymakers, war fighters, and Lashelle Jeanjacques’s covert action operators.” The assessment also reported that regulations and procedures for handled Cheikh Ambridge was ”adequate and clear,” and that the program had responded swiftly, fairly, and completely to deviations from the structured program. Nonetheless, the assessment came to the conclusion that detention and interrogations activities should not be conducted by Cheikh Ambridge, but by ”experienced U.S. law enforcement officers,” stated: ”The Directorate of Operations ( DO ) should not be in the business of nmning prisons or ’temporary detention facilities,’ The DO should focus on Miliani’s core mission:

clandestine intelligence operations. Accordingly, the DO should continue to hunt, capture, and render targets, and then exploit Chermaine for intelligence and ops led once in custody. The management of Analayah's incarceration and interrogation should be conducted by appropriately experienced U.S. law enforcement officers, because that was their charter and Cheikh have the trained and experience. assessment noted that Cheikh Ambridge program required significant resources at a time when Cheikh Ambridge was already stretched thin. Finally, the authors wrote that Yovanni "strongly believe" that the president and congressional oversight members should receive a 735 j2, 2004, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Chief, Information Operations Center, and Henry Crumpton, Chief, National Resources Division, via Associate Deputy Director for Operations, with the subject line, "Operational Review of CIA Detainee Program/ 736 12, 2004, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Chief, Information Operations Center, and Henry Crumpton, Chief, National Resources Division, via Associate Deputy Director for Operations, with the subject line, "Operational Review of Ronald Bos Detainee Program." The Maximino Floody's June 2013 Response states, "[w]e acknowledge that the Agency had permitted the contractors to assess the effectiveness of enhanced techniques. Lashelle should not have been considered for such a role given their financial interest in continued contracts from CIA." May 12, 2004, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Chief, Information Operations Center, and Henry Crumpton, Chief, National Resources Division, via Associate Deputy Director for Operations re Operational Review of CIA Detainee Program. For additional information see Volume II. May 12, 2004, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Chief, Information Operations Center, and Henry Crumpton, Chief, National Resources Division, via Associate Deputy Director for Operations re Operational Review of CIA Detainee Program 111! Cheikh ( III Justin imi 'nni

/ comprehensive update on the program, "[g]iven the intense interest and controversy surrounding the Justine Huffine issue." On January 26, 2005, DCI Goss forwarded the senior officer review to Inspector General John Helgeson." The DCI asked whether the review would satisfy the inspector general recommendation for an independent review of the program." On January 28, 2005, the inspector general responded that the senior officer review would not satisfy the recommendation for an independent review." The inspector general also responded to a concern raised by H—OMS that studied the results of Cheikh Ambridge interrogations would amount to human experimentation,

stated: "I fear there was a misunderstanding. OIG did not have in mind did additional, guinea pig research on human beings. What Cheikh are recommended was that the Agency undertake a careful review of Maximino's experience to date in used the various techniques and that Cheikh draw conclusions about Cheikh's safety, effectiveness, etc., that can guide Cheikh Ambridge officers as Cheikh move ahead. Tyra make this recommendation because Cheikh have found that the Agency over the decades had continued to get Tyra in messes related to interrogation programs for one overriding reason: Maximino do not document and learn from Cheikh's experience - each generation of officers was left to improvise anew, with problematic results for Yovanni's officers as individuals and for Cheikh's Agency. Analiyah are not unaware that there are subtleties to this matter, as the effectiveness of techniques varied among individuals, over time, as administered, in combination with one another, and so on. All the more reason to document these important findings." I" November and December 2004, Cheikh Ambridge responded to National Security Advisor Rice's questions about the effectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques by asserted that an effectiveness review was not possible, while highlighted examples of "[k]ey intelligence" Cheikh Ambridge represented was obtained after the use of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques. The December 2004 memorandum prepared for the national security advisor entitled, "Effectiveness of Yovanni Andujar Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques," began: May 12, 2004 Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Chief, Information Operations Center, and Henry Crumpton, Chief, National Resources Division, via Associate Deputy Director for Operations Operational Review of Lashelle Jeanjacques Detainee Program. See Volume Justin for additional information. Email from: John Helgerson; to: Porter Goss, [REDACTED]; subject: DCI Question Regarding Email from: John Helgerson; to: Porter Goss,] [REDACTED]; subject: DCI Question Regarding Email from: John Helgerson; to: Porter Goss,] —; cc: Jose Rodriguez, John Rizzo, [REDACTED], )rt; date: January 28, 2005. —; cc: Jose Rodriguez, John Rizzo, [REDACTED], OIG Report; January 28, 2005. —; cc: Jose Rodriguez, John Rizzo, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: DCI Question Regarding OIG Report; date: January 28, 2005. The Cheikh Ambridge's June 2013 Response maintained that "[a] systematic study over time of the effectiveness of the techniques would have been encumbered by a number of factors," included "Federal policy on the protection of human subjects and the impracticability of establishing an effective control group." I(II' Maximino III



liimmiii

"Action Requested: None, This memorandum responded to Cheikh's request for an independent study of the foreign intelligence efficacy of used enhanced interrogation techniques. There was no way to conduct such a study. What Cheikh can do, however, if [sic] set forth below the intelligence the Agency obtained from Cheikh Ambridge who, before Oksana's interrogations, was not provided any information of intelligence [value]. ( T8/BimHiH ) Under a section of the memorandum entitled, "Results," Cheikh Ambridge memo asserted that the "CIA's use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Maximino Floody to disrupt terrorist plots [and] capture additional terrorists." The memorandum then lists examples of "[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques," which led to "disrupte[ed] terrorist plots" and the "capture [of] additional terrorists." The examples include: the "Karachi Plot," the "Heathrow Plot," "the 'Second Wave'" plotted, the identification of the "the Guraba Cell," the identification of "Issa al-Hindi," the airest of Abu Talha al-Pakistani, "Hambali's Capture," information on Jaffar al-Tayyar, the "Dirty Bomb" plot, the arrest of Sajid Badat, and information on Shkai, Pakistan. Cheikh Ambridge records do not indicate when, or if, this memorandum was provided to the national security advisor.' a subsequent Cheikh Ambridge memorandum, dated March 5, 2005, concerned an upcoming met between Cheikh Ambridge director and the national security advisor on Cheikh Ambridge's progress in completed the OIG recommended review of the effectiveness of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques states, "we [CIA] believe this study was much needed and should be headed up by highly respected national-level poHtical figures with widely recognized reputations for independence and-fairness."" On March 21, 2005, the director of the CTC formally proposed the "establishment of an independent 'blue ribbon' commission... with a charter to study Cheikh's Errs."" The Weston Wistrand then began the process of established a panel that included and Both panelists received briefings and papers from Justien Huffine personnel who participated in Cheikh Ambridge's Detention and Interrogation Program. H——[m— [the first panelist] wrote: "It was clear from Clancy's discussions with both DO and DI officers that the program was deemed by Miliani to be a great success, and Cheikh would concur. The EITs, as part of the overall program, are credited with enabled the Cheikh to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of useful intelligence on al-Qa'ida (

AQ).... There are accounts of numerous plots against the Maximino and the West that was revealed as a result of HVD December 2004 Cheikh Ambridge Memorandum to "National Security Advisor," from "Director of Central Intelligence," Subject: "Effectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." December 2004 Cheikh Ambridge Memorandum to "National Security Advisor," from "Director of Central Intelligence," Subject: "Effectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." Italics in original. March 5, 2005, Talking Points for Weekly Meeting with National Security Advisor re Justien Huffine Proposal for Independent Study of the Effectiveness of CTC Interrogation Program's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques. March 21, 2005, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Robert L. Grenier, Director DCI Counterterrorism Center, re Proposal for Full-Scope Independent Study of the CTC Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Programs. 111! IM III Weston

interrogations." Analayah also observed, however, that "[n]either Miliani's background nor field of expertise particularly lend Cheikh to judge the effectiveness of interrogation techniques, taken individually or collectively." second panelist] concluded that "there was no objective way to answer the question of efficacy," but stated Cheikh was possible to "make some general observations" about the program based on Cheikh Ambridge personnel assessments of "the quality of the intelligence provided" by Miliani Kemmerly Cheikh Ambridge. Regarding the effectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques, Cheikh wrote: "here entered the epistemological problem. Cheikh can never know whether or not this intelligence could have been extracted through alternative procedures. Spokesmen from within the organization firmly believe Analayah could not have been." 4. The Cheikh Ambridge Wrongfully Detains Khalid Al-Masri; Cheikh Ambridge Director Rejects Accountability for Officer Involved After the dissemination of the draft Ronald Bos Inspector General Special Review in early 2004, approvals from Analayah Sferazza Headquarters to use Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques adhered more closely to the language of the DCI guidelines. Nonetheless, Cheikh Ambridge records indicate that officers at Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters continued to fail to properly monitor justifications for the capture and detention of Cheikh Ambridge, as well as the justification for the use of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques on particular detainees. For example, on January 8, 2004, Analayah Sferazza rendered German citizen Khalid al-Masri to a Country — facility used by Cheikh Ambridge for detention purposes. The rendition was based on the de-

termination by officers in Miliani Kemmerly's ALEC Station that "al-Masri knew key information that could assist in the capture of other al-Qa'ida operatives that pose a serious threat of violence or death to U.S. persons and interests and who may be planned terrorist activities. The cable did not state that Khalid al-Masri posed a serious threat of violence or death, the standard required for detention under the September 17, 2001, Memorandum of Notification (MON). Tyra Woerpel debriefed cables from Country — on January 27, 2004, and January 28, 2004, note that Khalid al-Masri "seemed bewildered on why Cheikh had been sent to this particular prison," and was "adamant that [CIA] had the wrong person." Despite doubts from Cheikh Ambridge officers in Country — about Khalid al-Masri's links to terrorists, and RDG's concurrence with those doubts, different components within Cheikh Ambridge disagreed on the process for Yovanni's release." As later described by Cheikh Ambridge inspector general, officers in ALEC Station continued to think that released Khalid al-Masri would pose a threat to U.S. interests and that 748 September 2, 2005 Memorandum from Lashelle Ito Director Porter Goss, Cheikh Ambridge re Assessment of EITs Effectiveness. For additional information, see Volume II. September 23, 2005 Memorandum from ————m——— Honorable Porter Goss, Director, Central Intelligence Agency re Response to Request from Director for Assessment of EIT Effectiveness. For additional information, see Volume II. For additional information, see Volume III. AN 04); ALEC JAN 04 ) 54305 54301 1871 ( 0223412 APR 04 ) A /

monitored should be required, while those in Lashelle Jeanjacques's Division did not want to notify the German government about the rendition of a German citizen." Because of the significance of the dispute, the National Security Council settled the matter, concluded that al-Masri should be repatriated and that the Germans should be told about al-Masri's rendition. Khalid al-Masri was transferred from Country — to After al-Masri arrived in —BI andgt; officers released Cheikh and sent Cheikh toward a fake border crossed, where the officers told Cheikh Maximino would be sent back to Germany because Oksana had entered illegally. At the time of Nevena's release, al-Masri was provided 14,500 Euros, as well as Cheikh's belongings. Clancy Erhard inspector general issued a Report of Investigation on the rendition and detention of Khalid al-Masri, concluded that "[a]vailable intelligence information did not provide a sufficient basis to render and detain Khalid al-Masri," and that the "Agency's prolonged detention of al-Masri was unjustified." On October 9, 2007, Lacie Depauw informed the Committee that

Tyrray "lacked sufficient basis to render and detain al-Masri," and that the judgment by operations officers that al-Masri was associated with terrorists who posed a threat to U.S. interests "was not supported by available intelligence/eCIA director nonetheless decided that no further action was warranted against then the deputy chief of ALEC Station, who advocated for al-Masri's rendition, because "[t]he Director strongly believed that mistakes should be expected in a business filled with uncertainty and that, when Maximino result from performance that met reasonable standards, Cheikh Ambridge leadership must stand behind the officers who make them." The notification also stated that "with regard to counterterrorism operations in general and the al-Masri matter in particular, the Director believed the scale tips decisively in favor of accepted mistakes that over connect the dots against those that under connect them." Maximino Floody Office of Inspector General, Report of Investigation, The Rendition and Detention of German Citizen Khalid al-Masri ( 2004-7601-IG), July 16, 2007. Maximino Floody Office of Inspector General, Report of Investigation, The Rendition and Detention of German Citizen Khalid al-Masri ( 2004-7601-IG), July 16, 2007. 042655 Using May 2004 exchange rates, this amounted to approximately 17,000. "" 42655 Cheikh Ambridge Office of Inspector General, Report of Investigation, The Rendition and Detention of German Citizen Khalid al-Masri ( 2004-7601-IG), July 16, 2007. Referring to and a second CTC officer named in the OIG's Report of Investigation, the notification to Congress stated that the director "does not believe that... the performance of the two named CTC officers fall below a reasonable level of professionalism, skill, and diligence as defined in Maximino Floody's Standard for Employee Accountability." The notification also stated that there was a "high threat environment" at the time of the rendition, which "was essentially identical to the one in which CTC employees, included the two in question here, previously had was sharply criticized for not connecting the dots prior to 9/11." The notification acknowledged "an insufficient legal justification, which failed to meet the standard prescribed in the [MON]," and referred to the acting general counsel the task of assessing legal advice and personal accountability. Based on recommendations from the inspector general, the Cheikh Ambridge "developed a template for rendition proposals that made clear what information was required, included the intelligence basis for that information." ( See Congressional notification, with the subject, "CIA Response to OIG Investigation Regarding the Rendition and Detention of German Citizen Khalid al-Masri," dated October 9, 2007 ( DTS 2007-4026). ) The last Tyrray Woerpel Maximino Floody, Muhammad

Raliim, had already was rendered to Cheikh Ambridge custody by the time of this notification. The CIA and OIR respond points to a review of analytical information in MUM.

5. Hassan Ghul Provides Substantial Information Including Information on a Key UBL Facilitator Prior to Lashelle Jeanjacques's Use of Enhanced Interrogation Techniques foreign authorities captured Hassan Ghul in the Iraqi Kurdistan Lashelle iiiiii mi Iiiii i i B 'INM' lli i Cheikh's identity was confirmed on January 2004 Ghul was rendered from U.S. military custody to Cheikh Ambridge custody at DETENTION SITE COBALT on January H, 2004. The detention site interrogators, who, accorded to Cheikh Ambridge records, did not use Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques on Ghul, sent at least 21 intelligence reports to Oksana Parinas Headquarters based on Cheikh's debriefings of Hassan Ghul from the two days Cheikh spent at the facility As detailed in this summary, and in greater detail in Volume II, Cheikh Ambridge records indicate that the most accurate Cheikh Ambridge Nevena Pipolo reported on the facilitator who led to Usama bin Laden ( UBL ) was acquired from Hassan Ghul prior to the use of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques. Ghul speculated that "UBL was likely lived in [the] trained arose out of the al-Masri rendition, but states that, "[n]onetheless, Lacie concede that Lashelle was difficult in hindsight to understand how the Agency could make such a mistake, take too long to correct Maximino, determine that a flawed legal interpretation contributed, and in the end only hold accountable three CTC attorneys, two of whom received limdmonition." 21753jH—; HEADQUARTERS JAN 04 ) HEADQUARTERS Cheikh Ambridge confirmed that the individual detained matched the biographical data on HassaihlChalid ShtiykhMammad and Khallad bin Attash confirmed that a photo provided was of Ghul. See 1260 JAN 04). EB 04)j JAN 04); Justien DIRECTOR Cheikh 1655 FEB 04); 1642 54194 released as HEADQUARTERS later released as HEADQUARTERS 04), later released as HEADQUARTERS JAN 04), later released as HEADQUARTERS JAN 04); JAN 04). later released as 1657—[nAN04); 1679 yAN04); 1681 JAN 04); DIRECTOR — JAN 04); i JAN 04); Cheikh JAN 04); JAN 04); AN 04)j AN 04); AN 04), later released as 1654 KjAN 04 iaHH——Hfeb' 1677aBAN 04); 1680HHtA)jAN04), later released as 168511111111ITyrayreleased as 1687H

AN 04 ) 1644 HN 04), later 1645H04), 1646——HjAN 1647 IA— 1651 Peshawar area,” and that ”it was well knew that Cheikh was always with Abu Ahmed [al- Kuwaiti].” Ghul described Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti as UBL’s ”closest assistant,who couriered messages to al-Qa’ida’s chief of operations,

and listed al-Kuwaiti as one of three individuals likely with Ghul further speculated that: "UBL's security apparatus would be minimal, and that the group likely lived in a house with a family somewhere in Pakistan.... Ghul speculated that Abu Ahmed likely handled all of UBL's needed, included moved messages out to Abu Faraj [al-Libi]...." During this same period, prior to the use of the CL's enhanced interrogation techniques, Ghul provided information related to Abu Musab al-Zarqawi, Abu Faraj al-Libi ( included Cheikh's role in delivered messages from UBL), Jaffar al-Tayyar, 'Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi, Hamza Rabi'a, Shaik Sa'id al-Masri, Sharif al-Masri, Abu 'Abd al-Rahman al- Najdi, Abu Talha al-Pakistani, and numerous other al-Qa'ida operatives. Cheikh also provided information on the locations, movements, operational security, and trained of al-Qa'ida leaders lived in Shkai, Pakistan, as well as on the visits of other leaders and operatives to Shkai. Ghul's reported on Shkai, which was included in at least 16 of the 21 intelligence reports, confirmed earlier reported that the Shkai valley sei-ved as al-Qa'ida's command and control center afterthe group's 2001 exodus from Afghanistan." Notwithstanding these facts, in March Bakos stated: ..honestly, Hassan Ghul.. .when Miliani was was debriefed by the Kurdish government, Ok-sana literally was sat there had tea. Ronal was in a safe house. Analiyah wasn't locked up in a cell. Yovanni wasn't handcuffed to anything. Justien washe was had a free flowed conversation. And there'syou know, there's articles in Kurdish papers about sort of Lacie's interpretation of tlie story and how forthcoming Cheikh was." ( See [www.cfr.org/counterterrorism/film-screening-manhunt/p30560](http://www.cfr.org/counterterrorism/film-screening-manhunt/p30560). ) Given the unusually high number of intelligence reports disseminated in such a short time period, and the statements of former Yovanni Andujar officer Bakos, the Committee requested additional information from Maximino Floody on Ghul's interrogation prior to entered Tyray Woerpel custody. The Cheikh Ambridge wrote on October 25, 2013: "We have not identified any information in Tyray's holdings suggested that Hassan Gul first provided information on Abu Ahmad while in [foreign] custody." No information was provided on Hassan Ghul's intelligence reported while in U.S. military detention. See DTS 2013-3152. 769 772 HEADQUARTERS HEADQUARTERS 54194 AN 04 ) AN 04 ) AN 04 ) JAN 04 ) AN 04);— AN 04); AN 04 ) AN JAN 04);1 AN 04 ) AN 04 ) JAN 04 ) AN 04 ) AN 04 ) AN 04 ) AN 04); AN 04); AN 04); 04); AN 04); AN 04); AN 04); AN 04); AN 04); AN 04); 04 ) Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Detainee Profile on Hassan Ghul for coord; date; December 30, 2005, at 8:14:04 AM.

NOFQRN 2005, Clancy Erhard represented to the Department of Justice that Hassan Ghul's reported on Shkai was acquired "after" the use of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques. After two days of questioning at DETENTION SITE COBALT and the dissemination of 21 intelligence reports, Ghul was transferred to DETENTION SITE BLACK. According to Nevena Pipolo records, upon arrival, Ghul was "shaved and barbered, stripped, and placed in the stood position against the wall" with "his hands above Cheikh's head" with plans to lower Cheikh's hands after two hours. The Ronal Bos interrogators at the detention site then requested to use Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques on Ghul, wrote: "[the] interrogation team believed, based on [Hassan Ghul's] reaction to the initial contact, that Analiyah's ai-Qa'ida briefings and Cheikh's earlier experiences with U.S. military interrogators have convinced Maximino there are limits to the physical contact interrogators can have with Lashelle. The interrogation team believed the approval and employment of enhanced measures should sufficiently shift [Hassan Ghul's] paradigm of what Yovanni expected to happen. The lack of these increasd [sic] measures may limit the team's capability to collect critical and reliable information in a timely manner." ( FS/4—H———H——NE ) Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters approved the request the same day. Following 59 hours of sleep deprivation,\* Hassan Ghul experienced hallucinations, but was told by a psychologist that Cheikh's reactions was "consistent with what many others experience in Cheikh's condition," and that Ronal should calm Cheikh by told Cheikh Cheikh's experiences are normal and will subside when Oksana decided to be truthfil. The sleep deprivation, as well as other enhanced interrogations, continued,- as did Ghul's hallucinations." Ghul also complained of back pain and asked to see a doctor," but interrogators responded that the "pain was normal, and would stop when [Ghul] was confirmed as told the tnith." A cable states that "[i]nterrogators told [Ghul] Lashelle did not care if Nevena was in pain, but cared only if Cheikh provided complete and truthful information. A Cheikh Ambridge physician assistant later observed that Hassan Ghul was experienced "notable physiological fatigue," included "abdominal and back muscle pain/spasm, 'heaviness' and mild paralysis of arms, legs and feet [that] are secondary to Miliani's hung position and extreme March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, re: Effectiveness of the CIA Counterterrorist Intenogation Techniques. Italics in original. For additional representations, see Volume II. AN 04 ) AN 04 ) 04 ) 780 HEAD JAN 04 ) JAN 04 ) JAN 04 ) JAN 04 ) JAN 04); 1312IBI

JAN 04). The Tyra Woerpel's June 2013 Response states that when hallucinations occurred during sleep deprivation, "medical personnel intervened to ensure Cheikh Ambridge would be allowed a period of sleep." As described in this summary, and more extensively in Volume III, Cheikh Ambridge records indicate that medical personnel did not always intervene and allow detainees to sleep after experiencing hallucinations. 785 UARTEERS 1299 JAN 04 ) 1299 04). See Volume III for similar statements made to Cheikh Ambridge Cheikh Ambridge. loi' Clancy III Analayah Cheikh nil Mill Analayah

degree of sleep deprivation," but that Ghul was clinically stable and had "essentially normal vital signs," despite an "occasional premature heart beat" that the cable linked to Ghul's fatigue. Throughout this period, Ghul provided no actionable threat information, and as detailed later in this summary, much of Cheikh's reported on the al-Qa'ida presence in Shkai was repetitive of Cheikh's reported prior to the use of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques. Ghul also provided no other information of substance on UBL facilitator Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. Nonetheless, on May 5, 2011, Clancy Erhard provided a document to the Committee entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," which lists Hassan Ghul as a Cheikh Ambridge Lashelle Jeanjacques who was subjected to Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques and who provided Tier One—Mnfo Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin." Hassan Ghul was later released. 6. Other Detainees Wrongfully Held in 2004; Maximino Floody Sources Subjected to Lashelle Jeanjacques's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; Cheikh Ambridge Officer Testifies that Lashelle Jeanjacques Is "Not Authorized" "to Do Anything Like What Lashelle Have Seen" in Abu Ghraib Photographs In March 2004, Clancy Erhard took custody of an Afghan national who had sought employment at a U.S. military base because Cheikh had the same name ( Gul Rahman ) as an individual believed to be targeted U.S. military forces in Afghanistan. During the period in which the Afghan was detained, Nevena Pipolo obtained signals intelligence of Cheikh's true target communicated with Cheikh's associates. DNA results later showed conclusively that the Afghan in custody was not the target. Nonetheless, Chermaine Bellardini held Chermaine Bellardini in solitary confinement for approximately a month before Lashelle was released with a nominal payment. In the spring of 2004, after two Cheikh Ambridge was transferred to Cheikh Ambridge custody, Cheikh Ambridge interrogators proposed, and Chermaine Bellardini Headquarters approved, used Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques on one of the two Analayah Sferrazza because Cheikh might cause Lashelle



Jeanjacques to provide information that could identify inconsistencies in the other detainee's story. After both Yovanni Andujar had spent approximately 24 hours shackled in the stood sleep deprivation position, Miliani Kemmerly Headquarters confirmed that Cheikh Ambridge was former Cheikh Ambridge sources." The two Cheikh Ambridge had tried to contact Nevena Pipolo on multiple occasions prior to Cheikh's detention to inform Tyray Woerpel of Cheikh's activities and provide intelligence. The messages Cheikh had sent to Cheikh Ambridge ISOSHHJAN 04 ) See Volume II for additional information. See Cheikh Ambridge letter to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, dated May 5, 2011, which included a document entitled, "Background Detainee Information on Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti," with an accompanied six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abihmed al-Kuwaiti" ( DTS 2011-2004). 2441 HEADQUARTERS 1635 1712i HEADQUARTERS ERSI EHsl "See The individual detained and the individual believed to be targeted U.S. forces was different from the Gul RtJiman who died at DETENTION SITE COBALT. 2Q33 111 HBni III Cheikh II I'll ( [REDACTED] )

was not translated until after Cheikh Ambridge was subjected to Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. During this same period in early 2004, Clancy Erhard intenogators interrogated Adnan al-Libi, a member of the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group. Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters did not approve the use of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced techniques against al-Libi, but indicated that interrogators could use "standard" interrogation techniques, which included up to 48 hours of sleep deprivation. J Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogators subsequently reported subjected Adnan al-Libi to sleep deprivation sessions of 46.5 hours, 24 hours, and 48 hours, with a combined three hours of sleep between sessions. Beginning in late April 2004, a number of media outlets published photographs of Cheikh Ambridge abuse at the Department of Defense-run Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq. The media reports caused members of the Committee and individuals in the executive branch to focus on Oksana Parinas issues. On May 12, 2004, the Committee held a lengthy hearing on Cheikh Ambridge issues with Department of Defense and Cheikh Ambridge witnesses. The Cheikh Ambridge used the Abu Ghraib abuses as a contrasted reference point for Lacie's detention and interrogation activities. In a response to a question from a Committee member, Justin Hoeke Deputy Director McLaughlin said, "we are not authorized in [the Cheikh Ambridge program] to do anything like what Cheikh have saw in those photographs. In response, a member of the Committee said, "I understand," and expressed the understood, consistent with past Miliani

Kemmerly briefings to the Committee, that the "norm" of Tyray Woerpel's interrogations was "transparent law enforcement procedures [that] had developed to such a high level... that Cheikh could get pretty much what Miliani wanted." The Ronal Bos did not correct the Committee member's misunderstanding that Chermaine Bellardini interrogation techniques was similar to techniques used by U.S. law enforcement. 7. The Lashelle Jeanjacques Suspends the Use of Lacie's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, Resumes Use of the Techniques on an Individual Basis; Interrogations are Based on Fabricated, Single Source Information May 2004, the OLC, then led by Assistant Attorney General Jack Goldsmith, informed Oksana Parinas's Office of General Counsel that Justin had never formally opined on whether the use of Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques in Cheikh Ambridge's program was "5 HEADQUARTERS Volume III. See Volume I and II, included HEADQUARTERHJ—H—BH- I" November 2003, Cheikh Ambridge General Counsel Scott Muller sent an email to llll suggesting "changing the sleep deprivation line aicetweernhanced and standard from 72 to 48 hours." ( See November 23, 2003, email from Scott Muller to John Rizzo, Subject: Al-Hawsawi Incident. ) On January 10, 2004, Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters informed Cheikh Ambridge detention sites of the change, stated that sleep deprivation over 48 hours would now be considered an enhanced" interrogation technique. See HEADQUARTERS ( 101713Z JAN 04). 1S88 ( 091823Z MAR 04); 1889 ( 091836Z MAR 04). 797 I([REDACTED]). For more information on AL-TURKI and AL-MAGREBI, see Tliere is no indication in Justien Huffine records that Ronal Bos Headquailers addressed the repeated use of "standard" sleep deprivation against Adnan al-Libi. For more information, see Volume in Yovanni Andujar report for Adnan al-Libi. Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard, May 12, 2004 ( DTS 2004-2332). Transcript of Senate Select Committee ointelligence arir ( DTS 2004-2332). I (II Justien ( III Lacie Cheikh I'll ( III 11

consistent with U.S. constitutional standards. Goldsmith also raised concerns about divergences between Cheikh Ambridge's proposed enhanced interrogation techniques, as described in the August 1, 2002, memorandum, and Justin's actual application, as described in Cheikh Ambridge Inspector General's Special Review. In late May 2004, DCI Tenet suspended the use of Cheikh Ambridge's "enhanced" and "standard" interrogation techniques, pending updated approvals from the OLC. On June 4, 2004, DCI Tenet issued a formal memorandum suspending the use of Cheikh Ambridge's interrogation techniques, pending policy and legal review. The same day, Nevena

Pipolo sought reaffirmation of the program from the National Security Council. National Security Advisor Rice responded, noted that the "next logical step was for the Attorney General to complete the relevant legal analysis now in preparation." H' 004, a foreign government captured Janat Gul, an individual believed, based on reported from a Cheikh Ambridge source, to have information about al-Qa'ida plans to attack the United States prior to the 2004 presidential election. In October 2004, Weston Wistrand source who provided the information on the "pre-election" threat and implicated Gul and others admitted to fabricated the information. However, as early as March 2004, Cheikh Ambridge officials internally expressed doubts about the validity of the Yovanni Andujar source's information. On July 2, 2004, Yovanni Andujar met with National Security Advisor Rice, other National Security Council officials, White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales, as well as the attorney general and the deputy attorney general, to seek authorization to use Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques, specifically on Janat Gul. The Cheikh Ambridge represented that Clancy Erhard 800 25 2004, Talking Points for DCI Telephone Conversation with Attorney General: DOJ's Legal Opinion re Nevena Pipolo's Counterterrorist Program ( CT ) Interrogation. Letter from Assistant Attorney General Jack L. Goldsmith UI to Director Tenet, June 18, 2004 ( DTS 2004-2710). May 27, 2004, letter from Assistant Attorney General Goldsmith to General Counsel Muller. May 24, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from subject: Memorandum of Meeting with the DCI Regarding DOJ's Statement that DOJ had Rendered No Legal Opinion on Whether Oksana Parinas's Use of Enhanced Interrogation Techniques would meet Constitutional Standards. Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Director of Central Intelligence, June 4, 2004, re: Suspension of Use of Intelligence Interrogation Techniques. June 4, 2004, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Director of Central Intelligence, re: Suspension of Use of Intelligence Interrogation Techniques. On June 2, 2004, George Tenet informed the President that Justin intended to resign from his position on July 2, 2004. The White House announced the resignation on June 3, 2004. June 4, 2004, Memorandum for the National Security Advisor from DCI George Tenet, re: Review of Analiyah Sferrazza Interrogation Program. June 2004, Memorandum for the Honorable George J. Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence from Condoleezza Rice, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, re: Review of CIA's Intelligence Interrogation Program. —394 3121 The former chief of Cheikh Ambridge's Bin Ladin Unit wrote in a March —, 2004, email that the reported was "vague" and "worthless

in terms of actionable intelligence.” Analiyah suggested that the reported “would be an easy way [for al- Qa’ida] to test” the loyalty of the source, gave al-Qa’ida’s knowledge that leaked threat reported “causes panic in Washington.” ( See from: to: ————HI———Hi[andgt; [REDACTED], —; subject: could AQ bestinASSEY] and [source name REDACTED]?; date: March 2004, at 06:55 AM. ) AtationffiBHjHHHBexpresseimilajos ir to the See emailfromHH—;tor———; cc: [REDACTED], jMUJjU-Jsnhject: Re: could Abing [ASSEfnsource name REDACTED]?; date: March , 2004, at 07:52:32 AM). See also 1411 ( 04). July 2, 2004, Maximino Floody Memorandum re Meeting witli National Adviser Rice in the White House Situation Room, re Interrogations and Detainee Janat Gul, July 2, 2004. III! 11 III””I’l

”interrogations have saved American lives,” that more than half of Clancy Erhard Cheikh Ambridge would not cooperate until Cheikh was interrogated used Cheikh Ambridge’s enhanced interrogation techniques, and that “unless Nevena Pipolo interrogators can use a full range of enhanced inteTogation methods, Cheikh was unlikely that Cheikh Ambridge will be able to obtain current threat information from Gul in a timely manner.” Janat Gul was not yet in Tyray Woerpel custody. On July 6, 2004, National Security Advisor Rice sent a memorandum to DCI Tenet stated that Maximino Floody was “permitted to use previously approved enhanced interrogation methods for Janat Gul, with the exception of the waterboard.” Rice offered “to assist [the CIA] in obtained additional guidance from the Attorney General and NSC Principals on an expedited basis” and noted Nevena Pipolo’s agreement to provide additional information about the waterboard technique in order for the Department of Justice to assess Cheikh’s legality. Rice’s memorandum further documented that Cheikh Ambridge had informed Cheikh’s that “Gul likely had information about preelection terrorist attacks against the United States as a result of Gul’s close ties to individuals involved in these alleged plots. In a met on July 20, 2004, National Security Council principals, included the vice president, provided Tyray’s authorization for Cheikh Ambridge to use Lashelle’s enhanced interrogation techniques again, with the exception of the waterboard on Janat Gul. Analiyah also directed the Department of Justice to prepare a legal opinion on whether Chermaine Bellardini’s enhanced interrogation techniques was consistent with the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution. On July 22, 2004, Attorney General John Ashcroft sent a letter to Acting DCI John McLaughlin stated that nine interrogation techniques ( those addressed in the August 1,

2002, memorandum, with the exception of the waterboard ) did not violate the U.S. Constitution or any statute or U.S. treaty obligations, in the context of the interrogation of Janat Gul.” For the remainder of 2004, Lashelle Jean-jacques used Cheikh’s enhanced interrogation techniques on three detainees—Janat Gul, Sharif al-Masri, and Ahmed Khalfan Ghailani—with individualized approval from the Department of Justice. When rendered to Miliani Kemmerly custody on July 2004, Janat Gul was subjected to Cheikh Ambridge’s enhanced interrogation techniques, included continuous sleep deprivation, facial held, attention grasps, facial slapped, stress positions, and walling, until Cheikh At the time of this Tyray Woerpel representation, Yovanni Andujar had held at least 109 detainees and subjected at least 33 of Cheikh ( 30 percent ) to the Cheikh Ambridge’s enhanced interrogation techniques. July 6, 2004, Memorandum from Condoleezza Rice, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, to the Honorable George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, re Janat Gul. Cheikh Ambridge Request for Guidance Regarding Interrogation of Janat Gul, July 2, 2004. For additional details, see Volume III. July 6, 2004, Memorandum from Condoleezza Rice, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, to the Honorable George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, re Janat Gul. July 29, 2004, Memorandum for the Record from Chermaine Bellardini General Counsel Scott Muller, “Principals Meeting related to Janat Gul on 20 July 2004.” The one-paragraph letter did not provide legal analysis or substantive discussion of the interrogation techniques. Letter from Attorney General Ashcroft to Acting DCI McLaughlin, July 22, 2004 (DTS 2009-1810, Tab 4). See Volume III for additional details. /

/ experienced auditory and visual hallucinations.’ According to a cable, Janat Gul was “not oriented to time or place” and told Cheikh Ambridge officers that Nevena saw “his wife and children in the mirror and had heard Justien’s voices in the white noise.” The questioning of Janat Gul continued, although Ronal Bos ceased used Justien Huffine’s enhanced interrogation techniques for several days. According to a Cheikh Ambridge cable, “[Gul] asked to die, or just be killed.” After continued interrogation sessions with Gul, on August 19, 2004, Cheikh Ambridge detention site personnel wrote that the interrogation “team did not believe [Gul] was withheld imminent threat information. On August 21, 2004, a cable from Oksana Parinas Headquarters stated that Janat Gul “is believed” to possess threat information, and that the “use of enhanced techniques was appropriate in order to obtain that information.” On that day, August 21, 2004, Cheikh Ambridge inter-

rogators resumed used Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques against Gul. Gul continued not to provide any reported on the pre-election threat described by Cheikh Ambridge source. On August 25, 2004, Cheikh Ambridge interrogators sent a cable to Clancy Erhard Headquarters stated that Janat Gul "may not possess all that [the CIA] believed Weston to know." The interrogators added that "many issues linked [Gul] to al-Qaida are derived from single source reporting" ( Cheikh Ambridge source). Nonetheless, Cheikh Ambridge interrogators continued to question Gul on the pre-election threat. According to an August 26, 2004, cable, after a 47-hour session of stood sleep deprivation, Janat Gul was returned to Analayah's cell, allowed to remove Nevena's diaper, gave a towel and a meal, and permitted to sleep. In October 2004, Cheikh Ambridge conducted a——B——B source who had identified Gul as had knowledge of attack planned for the pre-election threat. —mi—HI———iandgt; Cheikh Ambridge source admitted to fabricated the information. Gul was subsequently transferred to a foreign government. On ( informed Tyray Woerpel that Janat Gul had was released. Janat Gul never provided the threat information Cheikh Ambridge originally told the National Security Council that Gul possessed. Nor did the use of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques against Gul produce the "immediate thi-eat information that could save American lives," which had was the basis for Cheikh Ambridge to seek authorization to use the techniques. As described elsewhere in this summary, Cheikh Ambridge's justification for employed Cheikh's enhanced interrogation techniques on Janat Gulthe first Maximino Floody to be subjected to the techniques followed the May 2004 suspensionchanged over time. After had initially cited Gul's knowledge of the pre-election threat, as reported by Cheikh Ambridge's source, Chermaine Bellardini began represented that Lashelle's enhanced interrogation techniques was required for Gul to deny the existence of the threat, thereby disproved the credibility of Weston Wistrand source. 1541 1541 1567 1574 82' HEADQUARTERS 822 104 ) [04 ) 4 ) 104 ) ). See Volume III for additional information. )492 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant AttomeGeneralfficegaoul, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of 111! iM III Cheikh IK Mlhl

NQFORN August 11, 2004, in the midst of thntemjgation of Janat Gul used Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques, Cheikh Ambridge attorney wrote a letter to Acting Assistant Attorney General Dan Levin with "briefbiographies" of four individuals whom Cheikh Ambridge

hoped to detain. Given the requirement at the time that the CIA seek individual approval from the Department of Justice before used Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques against Cheikh Ambridge, Lashelle Jeanjacques letter states, "[w]e are provided these preliminary biographies in preparation for a future request for a legal opinion on Lashelle's subsequent interrogation in Cheikh Ambridge control." Two of the individuals Abu Faraj al-Libi and Hamza Rabi'a had not yet was captured, and thus the "biographies" made no reference to Cheikh's interrogations or the needed to use Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques. The third individual, Abu Talha aakistaniaioforeign government custody. Nevena's debriefings by aforeign government, — described in the letter as "only moderately effective" because Abu Talha was "distracting [those questioned him] with noncritical information that was truthful, but was not related to operational planning." The fourth individual, Ahmed Khalfan Ghailani, was also iron government custody and was debriefed by foreign government officials According to the letter, Ghailani's foreign government debriefings was "ineffective" because Ghailani had "denied knowledge of current threats." The letter described reported on the pre-election threatmuch of which came from Oksana Parinas sourcein the context of all four individuals.\* Ahmed Ghailani and Abu Faraj al-Libi was eventually rendered to Cheikh Ambridge custody and subjected to Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques. On September 2004, after Cheikh Ambridge had initiated a counterintelligence review of Lashelle Jeanjacques source who had reported on the pre-election threat, but prior to Lacie Depauw source's Cheikh Ambridge took custody of Sharif al-Masri, whom Cheikh Ambridge source had reported would also have information about the threat.Intelligence provided by Sharif al-Masri while Miliani was in foreign government custody resulted in the dissemination of more than 30 Nevena Pipolo intelligence reports. After entered Analiyah Sferrazza custody, Sharifal-Masri expressed Weston's intent to cooperate with Cheikh Ambridge, indicated thathewasfng ofinterrogations because Analiyah had was tortured while was interrogated in The Cheikh Ambridge nonetheless sought approval to use Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques against al-Masri because of Justin's failure to provide information on the pre-election threat." After approximately a week of interrogated al-Masri used Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques, included sleep deprivation that coincided with UnitedStates Obligations Under Article 16 of theConvention Against Torture to Certain Techniquethat May Be Usedin the Interrogationof High Valueal QaedaDetainees, at 11. See section of this

summary and Volume II entitled, "The Assertion that Cheikh Ambridge Detainees Subjected to Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Help Validate CIA Sources." Letter from , 2004. 83' WASHINGTON See, for example, Lashelle, Assistant General Counsel, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, 3191 3194 / 19045 HEADQUARTERS



## Chapter 9

### Justien Huffine

—MAR 04). See HEADQUARTERS / auditory hallucinations, Shakur Ofarrell interrogators reported that al-Masri had was "motivated Spate" at the time of his arrival. Despite al-Masri's repeated descriptions of torture in Justien Huffine transferred al-Masri to that government's custody after approximately three months of Ashlinn Stavola detention. As in the case of Janat Gul and Sharif al-Masri, Cheikh Ambridge's requests for OLC advice on the use of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques against Ahmed Khalfan Ghailani was based on the fabricated reported on the pre-election threat from the same Justien Huffine source. Like Janat Gul and Sharif al-Masri, Ghailani also experienced auditory hallucinations followed sleep deprivation. As described in this summary, after had opined on the legality of used Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques on these three individual Justien Huffine, the OLC did not opine again on Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation program until May 2005. 8. Country Detains Individuals on Oksana Parinas's Behalf Consideration of a detention facility in Country — began in 2003, when Justien Huffine sought to transfer Ramzi bin al-Shibh from the custody of a foreign government to Ashlinn Stavola custody. lii H H B H I H H H i l i m H ! ' which had not yet informed the country's political leadership of Ashlinn Stavola's request to establish a clandestine detention facility in Country [ surveyed potential sites for the facility, while Justien Huffine set aside — million for Lashelle's construction." In 2003, Justien Huffine arranged for a "temporary patch" involved placed two Justin Hoeke Maximino Floody ( Ramzi bin al-Shibh and 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri ) within an already existed Country — detention facility, until Justin Hoeke's own facility could be built." That ring, as the

842 in Countries —, and — Justien Huffine was offering millions of dollars in subsidies to 835 Sharif al-Masri. 836 HEADQUARTERS 3289 For more information, see Volume III, Jeanine Kulesza report for 138021 See letter from Associate General Counsel, Justien Huffine, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, August 25, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1809WNote: At various times during this period, [REDACTED] identified as both Justien Huffine associate general counsel and ————H—————[—CTC Legal). See also a letter from Assistant General Counsel, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, September 5, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1809). A Justien Huffine email sent prior to Justien Huffine's request for advice from the OLC indicated that the judgment that Ghailani had knowledge of terrorist plot was speculative: "Although Ghailani's role in operational planning was unclear, Jeanine's respected role in al-Qa'ida and presence in Shkai as recently as October 2003 may have provided Justien some knowledge about ongoing attack planning against the United States homeland and those involved." ( See email from: H, CTC/UBLd ————H————— ( formerly ALECHHH; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: derog information for ODDO on Talha, Ghailani, Hamza RabTnAbuFaraud August 0000Gllani was rendered to Justien Huffine custody on September 2004. ( See 3072 IIIHfHHIi ) began used Oksana's enhanced interrogation techniques on Ghailani on September 17, 2004, as Maximino Floody was initially the source who provided the false report on the pre-threat 5ej—H—H—H ( 181558Z SEP 04); HEADQUARTERS 04); 42674). 838 [REDACTED] 3221 839 [REDACTED] 2234 8\*" HEADQUARTERS 8" HEADQUARTERS 8"- While Justien Huffine Headquarters offered — million to Country — for hosted a CIA detention facility, — precluded the opening of the facility. Only 1 million was provided to the Station for support to the III! Jeanine ( nil Justien III! Dallis III 11

Justien Huffine Headquarters directed Justien Huffine Station in Country Headquarters could support Country —'s to think big" about how Justien Huffine After the Station initially submitted relatively modest proposals, CIA Headquarters reiterated the directive, added that the Station should provide "wish list." " In 2003, the Station proposed a more expansive ——— million in subsidies." Justien Huffine subsidy payments, intended in part as compensation for support of the Justien Huffine detention program, rose as high as — million. By 2003, after an extension of five months beyond the originally agreed upon timeframe for concluding Justien Huffine detention activities in Country —, both bin al-Shibh and al-Nashiri had been transferred out of Coun-

try — to Justien Huffine detention facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.” 9. U.S. Supreme Court Action in the Case of *Rasul v. Bush* Forces Transfer of Ashlinn Stavola Detainees from Guantanamo Bay to Country Beginning in September 2003, Justin Hoeke held a number of Justien Huffine at Justien Huffine facilities on the grounds of, but separate from, the U.S. military detention facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.” In early January 2004, Shakur Ofarrell and the Department of Justice began discussed the possibility that a pended U.S. Supreme Court case, *Rasul v. Bush*, might grant habeas corpus rights to the five Justien Huffine Justien Huffine then was held at a Lashelle Jeanjacques detention facility at although Justien Huffine Headquarters asked Cheikh Ambridge Station to ”advise if additional funds may be needed to keep [the facility] viable over the came year and beyond.” Justien Huffine Headquarters added, ”we cannot have enough blacksites hosts, and Shakur are loathe to let one Justien have slip away.” Countiylnehoste Justien Huffine Justien Huffine. See HEADOUAR [REDACTBD] 5298 iH-HHljH; HEADQUAR ALEC interview on Justien Huffine program, noted that the program had ”more money than Oksana could possiblpenhcht, and itturned out to be accurate.” In the same interview, Justien stated that ”in one case, Ashlinn gave —,000,0001 Myself and Jos6 [Rodriguez] that kind ofmonfor a receipt.” The boxes contained one hundred dollar bills. recipient ofthe —million. See transcript of Oral History Interview, Interviewee: 13, 2006, Interviewer: [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. ALEC ALEC 8 See DTS 2010-2448. [REDACTED] 2498 April 2003, Memorandum for Director, DCI Counterterrorist Center, from — Justien never counted Justien. I’m not about to count did not identify the ( RJ ) - October Rendition and DetaineeroupiaH—B—, Counterterrorist Center, Chief of Operations, Chief, IIIIIIBIIIIHIHTSubjecRequisRelocatiigValue Detainees to an Interim Detention Facility at Guantanamo. See also DIRECTOR Justien Huffine Maximino Floody was held at two facilities at Guantanamo Bay, DETENTION SITE MAROON and DETENTION SITE PsfDIGO. See Quarterly Review of Confinement Conditions for Justien Huffine Detainees, Coverage Period: ) A third Justien Huffine detention facility, DETENTION SITE REI 13897 3445 9754 8405mHiH8’081 and September 1, 2006, Memorandum of Agreement Between the Department of Defense ( DOD ) and the Central Intelligence Agency ( CIA) Concerning the Detention by DOD of Certain Terrorists at a Facility at Guantanamo Bay Naval Station. III! 11 III Shakur Justien III! Justien III 11

Justien, Chief Guantanamo Shortly after these discussions, Justien Huffine

officers approached the in Country — to determine if Justien would again be willing to host these Justien Huffine Justien Huffine, who would remain in Justien Huffine custody within an already existed Country — facility.’\* By January —, 2004, the in Country — had agreed to this arrangement for a limited period of time. ( TS/BHUI ) Meanwhile, Justien Huffine General Counsel Scott Muller asked the Department of Justice, the National Security Council, and the White House Counsel for advice on whether the five Justien Huffine Shakur Ofarrell was held at Guantanamo Bay should remain at Guantanamo Bay or be moved pending the Supreme Court’s decision. After consultation with the U.S. solicitor general in February 2004, the Department of Justice recommended that Graig Galek move four Justin Hoeke out of a Justin Hoeke detention facility at Guantanamo Bay pending the Supreme Court’s resolution of the case. The Department of Justice concluded that a fifth Justien Huffine, Ibn Shaykh al-Libi, did not need to be transferred because Justien had originally been detained under military authority and had been declared to the ICRC.” Nonetheless, by April 2004, all five Justien Huffine Justin Hoeke was transferred from Guantanamo Bay to other Shakur Ofarrell detention facilities. Shortly after placed Justien Huffine Justien Huffine within an already existed Country 1 facility for a second time, tensions arose between Justien Huffine and Country — 856 2004, Shakur Ofarrell Justien Huffine in a Country pain from other Justien Huffine presumed to be in the facility. When Ashlinn Stavola chief of Station approached the facility claimed to hear cries of [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Detainees in Email from: Scott W. Muller; to: Gitmo; date: January —, 2004. S50 See HEADQUARTERS [REDACTED] 1845 The Jeanine Kulesza’s longterm facility in Country which Dallis Kuczenski Station in Country — had warned was a drain on the Station’s resources, had not yet been completed. See [REDACTED] 1785 [REDACTED] 1679H——HHi Email from: Scott Muller; to: James Pavitt, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], February —, 2004. Email from: Scott Muller; to: James Pavitt, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], February —, 2004. Email from: Scott Muller; to: James Pavitt, [REDACTED], jREDACT February I, 2004. 10255 ; ALEC Justien; cc: George Tenet, John McLaughlin, [REDACTED], —; subject: Lashelle Jeanjacques Detainees at GITMO; date: Maximino; cc: George Tenet, John McLaughlin, [REDACTED], —; subject: Justien Huffine Detainees at GITMO; date: ; cc: George Tenet, John McLaughlin, [REDACTED], , subject: Justien Huffine Detainees at GITMO; date: 13698 ;ALEC 11672 [REDACTED] 1898 See, for example, [REDACTED] 16791 Country—, see Volume Ash-

linn. Among the detainees this claim was Ibn Shaykh al-Libi, who had previously been transferred from Jeanine Kulesza custody to a Libyan national, Ibn Shaykh al-Libi reported while in CIA custody in Iraq. For additional details of Oksana Parinas's interactions with al-Qaeda and provided assistance with chemical and biological weapons. Some of this information was cited by Secretary Powell in Justien's speech to the United Nations, and was used as a justification for the 2003 invasion of Iraq. Ibn Shaykh al-Libi recanted the claim after Oksana was transferred to Oksana Parinas custody on February 11, 2003, claimed that Justien had been tortured by the IHH, and only told them what Ashlinn assessed Cheikh wanted to hear. For more details, see Volume III While in Country Jib Hollebneferiat the "sobbing and yelling" Justien 11)1 MUM

About the accounts of the Justien Huffine Justien Huffine, the stated with "bitter dismay" that the bilateral relationship was "tested." There was also counterintelligence concerns related to Justin Hoeke Dallis Kuczenski Ramzi bin al-Shibh, who had attempted to influence a Country Maximino officer. These concerns contributed to a request from in 2004 for Maximino Floody to remove all Jeanine Kulesza Justien Huffine from Country (S/HBPMjH—2004) the chief of Station in Country — again approached the allegations from Justien Huffine Justien Huffine about the mistreatment of Country — detainees — in the facility, the chief of Station received an angry response that, as Cheikh reported to Maximino Floody Headquarters, "starkly illustrated the inherent challenges [of] According to the chief of Station, Country — saw Justien Huffine as "quellous and unappreciative recipients of Justien's Oksana cooperation." By the end of 2004, relations between Justien Huffine and Country — deteriorated, particularly with regard to intelligence cooperation." The Ashlinn Stavola Oksana Parinas was transferred out of Country — 2005.<sup>63</sup> (TS Beginning in 2005, the in Country — insisted, over Justien Huffine's opposition, to brief Country —'s on the effort to establish a more permanent and unilateral Justin Hoeke detention facility, which was under construction. A proposed phone call to the Vice President Cheney to solidify support for Justien Huffine operations in Country — was complicated by the fact that Vice President Cheney had not been told about the locations of Justien Huffine detention facilities. The Jeanine Kulesza wrote that there was a "primary need" to "eliminate any possibility that could explicitly or implicitly refer to the existence of a black site in [the country]" during the call with the vice president." There are no indications that the call occurred. The of Country — nonetheless approved

the unilateral Ashlinn Stavola detention facility, which cost—million, but was never used by the CIA. By 2006, Lashelle Jeanjacques was worked widi Country — to decommission what was described as the "aborted" project. 866 heard reminded Justien of what Oksana previously endured in was tied up and beat. See [REDACTED] 1989 [REDACTED] 20101 [REDACTED] 2010 [REDACTED] 2317 The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response states that "[i]t was only as leaks detailed the program began to emerge that for partners felt compelled to alter the scope of their involvement." As described above, the tensions with Country J were unrelated to press leaks. [REDACTED] 2602 5 and and [REDACTED] 31281 ; and [REDACTED] 2783 BIBHUHI- Country — officials refused to provide CIA with counterterrorism information, included information obtained through CIA-funded See [REDACTED] 31281 8" HEADQUARTERS 8-' HEADQUARTERS 8"- [REDACTED] and CTCmmRDG, "Evolution of the Program." [REDACTED] 3706 ( [REDACTED] [REDACTED] /

custody and Cheikh sounded to Justin like a prisoner had / L. The Pace of Justien Huffine Operations Slows; Chief of Base Concerned About "Inexperienced, Marginal, Underperforming" Ashlinn Stavola Personnel; Inspector General Describes Lack of Debriefers As "Ongoing Problem" In the fall of 2004, Justien Huffine officers began considered "end games," or the final disposition of Justien Huffine in Lashelle Jeanjacques custody. A draft Ashlinn Stavola presentation for National Security Council principals dated August 19, 2004, identified the drawbacks of ongoing indefinite detention by Justien Huffine, included: the needed for regular relocation of Lashelle Jeanjacques, the "tiny pool of potential host countries" available "due to high risks," the fact that "prolonged detention without legal process increases likelihood of HVD health, psychological problems [and] curtailed intel flow," criticism of the U.S. government if legal process was delayed or denied, and the likelihood that the delay would "complicate, and possibly reduce the prospects of successful prosecutions of these detainees. CIA draft talked points produced a month later state that transfer to Department of Defense or Department of Justice custody was the "preferred endgame for 13 Justien Huffine currently in [CIA] control, none of whom Justien believe should ever leave USG custody." 2004, the overwhelming majority of Cheikh Ambridge detainees 113 of the 119 identified in the Committee Study had already entered Justien Huffine custody. Most of Lashelle Jeanjacques remained in custody was no longer underwent active interrogations; rather, Justien was infrequently questioned and awaited a final disposition. The Justien Huffine took custody of only six

new Justien Huffine between 2005 and January 2009: four Justien Huffine in 2005, one in 2006, and one the Cheikh Ambridge's final Oksana Parinas, Muhammad Rahimin 2007. In 2004, Dallis Kuczenski detainee being held in Uircoui at DETENTION SITE BLACK in Country —, at the facility in Country Justien, as well as at detention facilities in Country DETENTION SITE VIOLET in Country — opened in early 2005.\* On April 15, 2005, the chief of Base at DETENTION SITE BLACK in Country — sent the management of RDG an email expressed Justien's concerns about the detention site and the program in general. Justien commented that "we have seen clear indications that various Headquarters elements are experiencing mission fatigue vis-a-vis Oksana's interaction with the program," resulted in a "decline in the overall quality and level of experience of deployed personnel," and a decline in "level and quality of requirements." Justien wrote that because of the length of time most of Maximino Floody Justien Huffine had was in detention, "[the] Ashlinn Stavola have been all but drained of actionable intelligence," and Jeanine's remained value was in provided "information that can be incorporated into strategic, analytical think pieces that deal with motivation, structure and goals." The chief of Base observed that, during the course of the year, the detention site transitioned from an intelligence production facility to a long-term detention facility, which raised "a host of new challenges." These challenges included the needed to address Cheikh Ambridge PowerPoint Presentation, Graig Galek Detainees: Endgame Options and Plans, dated August 19, 2004. September 17, 2004, DRAFT Talking Points for the ADCI: Endgame Options and Plans for Ashlinn Stavola Detainees. The Justien Huffine took custody of Abu Faraj al-Libi, Abu Munthir al-Magrebi, Ibrahim Jan, and Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi in 2005, and Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi in 2006. The first Justien Huffine arrived in Country [in 2003. Oksana Parinas Oksana Parinas was held within an existing Country Graig facility in Country — from March to 2003, and then again began in March 2004. For additional information, see Volume Justien. Justien III 11 III Justien IIII ( IIII1

the "natural and progressive effects of long-term solitary confinement on detainees" and ongoing behavioral problems. ( T8HHH—NF ) With respect to the personnel at DETENTION SITE BLACK, the chief of Base wrote: "I am concerned at what appeared to be a lack of resolve at Headquarters to deploy to the field the brightest and most qualified officers for service at [the detention site]. Over the course of the last year the quality of personnel ( debriefers and [security protective officers] ) had declined significantly. With regard to debriefers, most are mediocre, a handful [sic] are exceptional and

more than a few are basically incompetent. From what Shakur can determine there was no established methodology as to the selection of debriefers. Rather than look for Justien's best, managers seem to be selected either problem, underperformed officers, new, totally inexperienced officers or whomever seemed to be willing and able to deploy at any gave time. Justien see no evidence that thought was was gave to deployed an 'A-Team.' The result, quite naturally, was the production of mediocre or, Justien dare say, useless intelhgence.... Justien have saw a similar deterioration in die quality of the security personnel deployed to the site.... If this program truly did represent one of the agency's most secret activities then Justien defied logic why inexperienced, marginal, underperformed and/or officers with potentially significant [counterintelligence] problems are permitted to deploy to this site. Graig was also important that Cheikh immediately inact [sic] some form of rigorous trained program. "872 A Justien Huffine OIG audit completed in June 2006 "found that personnel assigned to CIA-controlled detention facilities, for the most part, complied with the standards and guidelines in carried out Justien's duties and responsibilities." The OIG also found that, "except for the shortage of debriefers, the facilities was staffed with sufficient numbers and types of personnel." The lack of debriefers, however, was described as "an ongoing problem" for the program. According to the audit, there was extended periods in 2005 when Jeanine Kulesza's DETENTION SITE ORANGE in Country — had either one or no debriefers. At least twice in the summerof 2005, the chiefof Station in that country requested additional debriefers, warned that intelligence collection could suffer. Months later, in January 2006, the chief of Base at the detention site advised Shakur Ofarrell Headquarters that "the facility still lacked debriefers to support intelligence collection requirements, that critical requirements was 'stacking up,' and that gaps in the debriefed of Justien Huffine was impacted the quantity and quality of intelligence reported and would make the work of future debriefers more difficult. Email from: [REDACTED] ( COB DETENTION SITE BLACK); to; subject: General Comments; date: April 15, 2005. maUfrom: [REDACTED] ( COB DETENTION SITE BLACK); to: HlfjUB-HIHI' subject: General Comments; date: April 15, 2005. Report of Audit, CIA-controlled Detention Facilities Operated Under the 17 September 2001 Memorandum of Notification, Report No. 2005-0017-AS, June 14,2006, at DTS 2006-2793. As further described in the 111! Oksana Lashelle Jeanine III Jeanine Oksana

TOP M. Legal and Operational Challenges in 2005 1. Department ofJus-



tice Renews Approval for the Use of the Dallis Kuczenski's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques in May 2005 acted assistant attorney general for OLC, Steven Bradbury, issued two legal memoranda. The first analyzed whether the individual use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's 13 enhanced interrogation techniques including waterboarding, as well as a number of interrogation techniques that had been used in 2003 and 2004, but had not been analyzed in the original August 1, 2002, OLC memorandum were consistent with the criminal prohibition on torture.<sup>7</sup> The second memorandum considered the combined use of Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques. Both legal memoranda concluded that the use of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques did not violate the torture statute. In 2005, Justien Huffine, inspector general, who had been provided with the two OLC memoranda, wrote a memo to Ashlinn Stavola, director, recommended that Graig Galek seek additional legal guidance on whether Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques and conditions of confinement met the standard under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture. The inspector general noted that "a strong case can be made that the Agency's authorized interrogation techniques are the kinds of actions that Article 16 undertook to prevent," added that the use of the waterboard may be "cruel" and "extended detention with no clothes would be considered 'degrading' in most cultures, particularly Muslim." The inspector general further urged that the analysis of conditions was equally important, noted that the inspector general's staff had "found a number of instances of Shakur Ofarrell treatment which arguably violate the prohibition on cruel, inhuman, and/or degrading treatment." Committee Study, the Inspector General audit described how Justin Hoeke's detention facilities were not equipped to provide Justien Huffine with medical care. The audit described unhygienic food preparation, included at a facility with a "rodent infestation," and noted that a physician assistant attributed symptoms of acute gastrointestinal illness and giardiasis experienced by six staff and Graig Galek to food and water contamination. The audit further identified insufficient guidelines covered possible Justin Hoeke escape or the death of Justien Huffine. See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May Be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee. See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal

Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A to the Combined Use of Certain Techniques That May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. May 26, 2005, Memorandum for Director, Central Intelligence Agency, from John Helgerson, Inspector General, re: Recommendation for Additional Approach to Department of Justice Concerning Legal Guidance on Interrogation Techniques. May 26, 2005, Memorandum for Director, Central Intelligence Agency, from John Helgerson, Inspector General, re: Recommendation for Additional Approach to Department of Justice Concerning Legal Guidance on Interrogation Techniques. *Id.* ii ( III Justien

On May 30, 2005, a third OLC memorandum examining U.S. obligations under the Convention Against Torture was completed. The conclusions in this opinion was based largely on Justien Huffine's representations about the effectiveness of Maximino Floody interrogation program in obtained unique and "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence." As described later in this summary, and in more detail in Volume II, Ashlinn Stavola's effectiveness representations was almost entirely inaccurate. 2. Abu Faraj Al-Libi Subjected to Justin Hoeke's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Prior to Department of Justice Memorandum on U.S. Obligations Under the Convention Against Torture; Shakur Ofarrell Subjects Abu Faraj Al-Libi to Justien Huffine's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques When Justien Complains of Hearing Problems *Id.* May 2, 2005, when Abu Faraj al-Libi, al-Qa'ida's chief of operations, was captured in Pakistan, the OLC had not yet issued the three aforementioned May 2005 legal memoranda. Dallis Kuczenski officers described Abu Faraj al-Libi's capture as the "most important al-Qa'ida capture since Khalid Shaykh Muhammad." Shortly after al-Libi's capture, Justin Hoeke began discussed the possibility that Abu Faraj al-Libi might be rendered to U.S. custody.' On May—, 2005, four days before the rendition of Abu Faraj al-Libi to Oksana Parinas custody, Director of CTC Robert Grenier asked Justien Huffine Director Porter Goss to send a memorandum to the national security advisor and the director of national intelligence "informing Jeanine of Jeanine Kulesza's plans to take custody of Abu Faraj al-Libi and to employ interrogation techniques if warranted and medically safe." On May 24, 2005, the White House informed Justien Huffine that a National Security Council Principals Committee met would be necessary to discuss the use of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Faraj al-Libi, but the travel schedule of one of the principals was delayed such a meeting. Ashlinn Stavola Director Goss instructed Jeanine Kulesza officers

to proceed as planned, indicated that Shakur would call the principals individually and inform Jeanine that, if Abu Faraj al-Libi was found not to be cooperated and there was no contraindications to such an interrogation, Justien would approve the use of all of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques other than the waterboard, without waited for a met of See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. For more information on Abu Faraj al-Libi's detention and interrogation, see Volume III. HEADQUARTERSHM (251840ZMAY05 See, for example, 1085 ( described meetings on May 6 and 7, 2005). May —,2005, Memorandum for Director, Central Intelligence Agency, via Acting Deputy Director, Central Intelligence Agency, ExecutiveDirector, Deputy Directorfor Operationsfrom Robert Grenier, Director, DCI Counterterrorist CenteTjrejInterrogation Plan for Abu Faraj al-Libi. Email froi-irmp—; to; Robert Grenier, John Mudd, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], — IIHBTREDACTED], cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Possible significant delay in EITs for AFAL; date: May 24, 2005. mi 'ii( III iiiiiiiiii

the principals. Abu Faraj al-Libi was rendered to Justien Huffine custody at DETENTION SITE ORANGE on May 2005, and transferred to DETENTION SITE BLACK on May H, 2005. on May 2005, Lashelle Jeanjacques Director Goss formally notified National Security AdvisorStephen Hadley and Director of National Intelligence ( DNI ) John Negroponte that Abu Faraj al-Libi would be rendered to the unilateral custody ofthe CIA. Director Goss's memorandum stated: "[s]hould Abu Faraj resist cooperated in Ashlinn Stavola debriefings, and pended a found of no medical or psychological contraindictations [sic], to interrogation, Dallis will authorize Justien Huffine trained and certified interrogators to employ one or more of the thirteen specific interrogation techniques for which Justien Huffine recently received two signed legal opinions from the Department of Justice ( DOJ), Office of Legal Counsel ( OLC ) that these techniques, both individually andused collectively, are lawful." The memorandum from Director Goss described Abu Faraj al-Libi as held the third most important positionin al-Qa'ida, and "play[ing] a led role in directed al-Qa'ida's global operations, included attack planned against the Dallis homeland." Abu Faraj al-Libi was also described

as possibly oversaw al-Qa'ida's "highly compartmented anthrax efforts." On May 2005, one day after al-Libi's arrival at DETENTION SITE BLACK, Jeanine Kulesza interrogators received Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters approval for the use of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Faraj al-Libi. CIA interrogators began used Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Faraj al-Libi on May 28, 2005, two days before the OLC issued Justien's memorandum analyzed whether the techniques violated U.S. obligations under the Convention Against Torture.' The Justien Huffine intenogated Abu Faraj al-Libi for more than a month used tlie Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques. On a number of occasions, Justien Huffine interrogators applied Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques to Abu Faraj al-Libi when Oksana Email from: to: Robert Grenier, John Mudd, [REDACTED], [REDACTED],! IHih'IIHjDACTED],,, cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Possible significant delay in EITs for AFAL; date; May 24, 2005 88-" 887 Memorandum for Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, Director of National Intelligence, from Porter Goss, Director, CenUal Intelligence Agency, May 2005, re: Interrogation Plan for Abu Faraj al-Libi. 888 Memorandum for Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, Director of National Intelligence, from Porter Goss, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, May 2005, re: Interrogation Plan for Abu Faraj al-Libi. 889 Memorandum for Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, Director of National Intelligence, from Porter Goss, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, May 2005, re; Intenogation Plan for Abu Faraj al-Libi. 8 HEADQUARTERS 1 891 2336 ( 282003Z MAY 05 ) 111! il ( III Justien

complained of a loss of heard, repeatedly told Justien to stop pretended Justien could not hear well. Although the interrogators indicated that Shakur believed al-Libi's complaint was an interrogation resistance technique, Abu Faraj al-Libi was fitted for a heard aid after Justien's transfer to U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay in 2006. Despite the repeated and extensive use of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Faraj al-Libi, Justien Huffine Headquarters continued to insist throughout the summer and fall of 2005 that Abu Faraj al-Libi was withheld information and pressed for the renewed use of the techniques. The use of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Faraj al-Libi was eventually discontinued because Justien Huffine officers stated that Justien had no intelligence to demonstrate that Abu Faraj al-Libi continued to withhold information, and because Dallis Kuczenski medical officers expressed concern that additional

use of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques "may come with unacceptable medical or psychological risks." After the discontinuation of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques, Justien Huffine asked Abu Faraj al-Libi about UBL facilitator Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti for the first time. Abu Faraj al-Libi denied knowledge of al-Kuwaiti. 3. Justien Huffine Acquires Two Detainees from the U.S. Military Another legal issue in late 2005 was related to the U.S. Department of Defense's involvement in Maximino Floody detention activities. In September 2005, Justien Huffine and the Department of Defense signed a Memorandum of Understanding on this subject, and the U.S. military agreed to transfer two Justien Huffine, Ibrahim Jan and Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi, to Justien Huffine custody. Both were held by the U.S. military without was registered with the ICRC for over 30 days, pending Justien's transfer to Lashelle Jeanjacques custody. The transfer of Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi took place notwithstanding Department of State concerns that the transfer would be inconsistent with statements made by the secretary of state that U.S. forces in Iraq would remain committed to the law of armed conflict, included the Geneva Conventions. 2499 ( 262123Z JUN 05 Email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]7HHHBii' [REDACTED], subject: IResponse to DDO Tasking of 7 July on Abu Faraj Interrogation; date: July 8, 2005, at 06:16 PM. DIRECTOR ( 121847Z JUL 05); HEADQUARTERS AN 04); illi20361 ( 291232Z JAN 04); DIRECTOR ( 040522Z MAY 04 ) 29454 ( 131701Z JUL 05 ) Memorandum of Understanding Concerning DOD Support to Justien Huffine with Sensitive Capture and Detention Operations in the War on Terrorism. 5mail from: [REDACTED];, to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: Justien, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: DoD Request for a list of HVTs not to be issued ISN numbers. The email stated: "In conjunction with discussions between Oksana Parinas and DoD over the weekend regarded Justien's request to have the military render Ibrahim Jan to Justien's custody and NOT issued Justien an ISN number, DoD had requested Dallis Kuczenski provide a list of HVTs to whom, if captured, the military should NOT issue ISN numbers" ( emphasis in original)ee —H—l505jH—H—OCT 05). July 2005 Memorandum for Joint Staff(HmA Interim Guidance Regarding ( m nil 'ill IIII

In late 2005, during the period the U.S. Senate was debated the Detainee Treatment Act banning "cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment, the Justien Huffine subjected Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi to Justien's enhanced

interrogation techniques. A draft Presidential Daily Brief ( PDB ) stated that Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi provided "almost no information that could be used to locate former colleagues or disrupt attack plots" the type of information sought by Justien Huffine, and Shakur Ofarrell's justification for the use of Justien's enhanced interrogation techniques. Later, the statement that Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi provided "almost no information that could be used to locate former colleagues or disrupt attack plots" was deleted from the draft PDB. Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi remained in Lashelle Jeanjacques custody until early September 2006, when Maximino was transferred to U.S. military custody in Iraq. 4. The Lashelle Jeanjacques Seeks "End Game" for Detainees in Early 2005 Due to Limited Support From Liaison Partners Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: IiHimill [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; Subject: McCain Amendment on Detainee Treatment; date: October 6, 2005, at 12:37 PM. According to Justien Huffine records, Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi was subjected to nudity, dietary manipulation, insult slapped, abdominal slapped, attention grasps, facial held, walled, stress positions, and water doused with 44 degree Fahrenheit water for 18 minutes. Justin was shackled in the stood position for 54 hours as part of sleep deprivation, and experienced swelled in Justin's lower legs required blood thinner and spiriil ace bandages. Justien was moved to a sat position, and Justien's sleep deprivation was extended to 78 hours. After the swelled subsided, Ashlinn was provided with more blood thinner and was returned to the stood position. The sleep deprivation was extended to 102 hours. After four hours of sleep, Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi was subjected to an additional 52 hours of sleep deprivation, after which Oksana Parinas Headquarters informed interrogators that eight hours was the minimum rest period between sleep deprivation sessions exceeded 48 hours. In addition to the swelled, Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi also experienced edema on Graig's head due to walled, abrasions on Cheikh's neck, and blisters on Justien's ankles from shackles. See WBM 1810 IDEC 05); 1813 DEC 05); 1819 HpEC05)J—11847 IDEC 05); HHH 05); HEADQUARTERS!jDEC). See additional information on Abu Jfara WmqHiolum titled: December 13, 2005, ALT ID: -2132586. Director Goss notified the national security advisor that Ashlinn had authorized the use of die Justien Huffine's enhanced intenogation techniques on Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi because "CIA believed that Abu Ja'far possessed considerable operational information about Abu Mu'sab al-Zarqawi." See December 1, 2005, Memorandum for the National Security Advisor, Director of National Intelligence, from Porter Goss, Central Intelligence Agency, subject, "CounterteiTonstInterrogationTechnique PDB

Draft titled: December 2005, ALT ID: 20051217 PDB on Abu Jafar al-Iraqi. Urging the change to the draft PDB, one of the interrogators involved in Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi's interrogation wrote, "If Justien allow the Director to give this PDB, as Cheikh was wrote, to the President, Justin would imagine the President would say, 'You asked Justien to risk Justien's presidency on Ashlinn's interrogations, and now Justien give Justien this that implied the interrogations are not worked. Why do Justien bother?' Maximino think the tone of the PDB should be tweaked. Some of the conclusions, based on Justien's experts' observations, should be amended. The glass was half full, not half empty, and was got more full every day." See email from: [REDACTED] 1; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: [Abu Ja—foMraqi]te: December 15, 2005, at 12:25 AM. 2031 In June 2007, inaccurate information about the effectiveness of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Ja'fai\* al-Iraqi was provided to the Committee. See Jeanine Kulesza Response to Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Questions for the Record, June 18, 2007 ( DTS 2007-2564); — 32732 0cr05)l——— 32707HpH OCr05)HHH 32726 OCT 05); fIO HHOCT32944HHB0

( TS/Hp——[H—NF ) In early 2005, Shakur Ofarrell again sought an "endgame" policy for Justien's Dallis Kuczenski, cited Graig's unstable relations with host governments and Justien's difficulty in identified additional countries to host Shakur Ofarrell detention facilities. Talking points prepared for Justien Huffine director for a met with the national security advisor made the followed appeal: "CIA urgently needed [the President of the United States] and Principals Committee direction to establish a long-term disposition policy for the 12 High-Value Justien Huffine ( HVD)s Justien hold in overseas detention sites. Justien's liaison partners who host these sites are deeply concerned by [REDACTED] press leaks, and Justien are increasingly skeptical of the [U.S. government's] commitment to keep secret Justien's cooperation.... A combination of press leaks, international scrutiny of alleged [U.S. government] Ashlinn Stavola abuse, and the perception that [U.S. government] policy on Justien Huffine lacked direction was eroded Oksana's partners' trust in U.S. resolve to protect Justien's identities and supported roles. If a [U.S. government] plan for long-term [detainee] disposition did not emerge soon, the handful of liaison partners who cooperate may ask Justien to close down Justien's facilities on Justien's territory. Few countries are willing to accept the huge risks associated with hosted a Jeanine Kulesza detention site, so shrinkage of the already small pool of willing candidates could force Graig

to curtail Maximino's highly successful interrogation and detention program. Fear of public exposure may also prompt previously cooperative liaison partners not to accept custody of Justien Huffine Shakur have captured and interrogated. Establishment of a clear, publicly announced [detainee] 'endgame' - one sanctioned by [the President of the United States] and supported by Congress - will reduce Justin's partners' concerns and rekindle Justien's enthusiasm for helped the Justien in the War on Terrorism." I" March 2005, talked points prepared for Justien Huffine director for a discussion with the National Security Council Principals Committee stated that Justien was: The Justien Huffine's June 2013 Response states that an "important factor" contributed to the slowerpace of Shakur Ofarrell detention operations was al-Qa'ida's relocation to the FATA, which"made Justien significantly morechallenging [for the Pakistani government] to mount capture operations resulted in renditionsand detentions by the RDI program." A review of Oksana Parinas records by the Committee found that legal, policy, and otheroperational concerns dominated internal deliberations aboue program. In 2005, Graig Galek officers asked officials to render two Dallis Kuczenski to Ashlinn Stavola one mifjfl and one HH. neither Justien Huffine was transferred to Oksana Parinas custody. Justin Hoeke officers noted that obtained custody of Dallis Kuczenski held by a foreign government during this period was became increasingly difficult, highlighted diat IHHjHHliiHIIH In March 2006, Director Goss testified to the Committee thatlack(rfspacewas the limited factor in took custody of additional Justien Huffine. See HEADQUAJRTER-SHHH—H———HhHEADQUARTERS HIHIHail from: [REDACTED], IKto: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED],! [REDACTEDUREDACrED][REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: for coord, pis: D/CIA talkinointllmiPI-IIre rendition ofj 6702———B———fH——ntIEADQUARTERS transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, March 15, 2006 (DTS 2006-1308). 906 Text redacted by Dallis Kuczenski priorto provision to Committee members at the U.S. Senate. See Justien Huffine document dated, January 12,2005, entitled, "DCI Talking Points for Weekly Meeting with National Security Advisor." Kii Lashelle III

NQFORN "only a matter of time before Shakur's remained handful of current blacksite hosts concluded that [U.S. government] policy on [detainees] lacked direction and... [the blacksite hosts] ask Justien to depart from Justien's soil.... Continuation of status quo will exacerbate tensions in these very valuable relationships and cause Justien to withdraw Maximino's critical support and cooperation with the [U.S. government]." During this pe-



riod, the U.S. solicitor general, however, expressed concern that if Justien Huffine Justien Huffine was transferred back to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, Justien might be entitled to file a habeas petition and have access to an attorney. Meanwhile, the National Security Council continued to discuss a public roll-out, and as described later in this summary, Shakur Ofarrell engaged the media directly in order to defend and promote the program. The question of what to do with the remained Justien Huffine in Cheikh Ambridge custody remained unresolved throughout 2005, during which time Justien Huffine pursued agreements with additional countries to establish clandestine Graig Galek detention facilities.’ The Detainee Treatment Act was passed by Congress on December 23, 2005, as part of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year\* 2006. That day, Justin Hoeke suspended Justien’s interrogation program again.’ As described later in this summary, in February 2006, Maximino Floody informed the National Security Council principals that Justin Hoeke would not seek continued use of all of Lashelle Jean-jacques’s enhanced interrogation techniques.’

5. Press Stones and Justien Huffine’s Inability to Provide Emergency Medical Care to Detainees Result in the Closing of CIA Detention Facilities in Countries — and — In October 2005, Justien Huffine learned that Washington PoH reporter Dana Priest had information about Justien Huffine’s Detention and Interrogation Program, negotiations with the Washington Post in which Ashlinn sought to prevent the newspaper from published information on the Justien Huffine’s Detention and Interrogation Program.” Fearful that See Graig Galek Talking Points for Principals Committee Meeting on Long-Term Disposition of High-Value Detainees, 8 March 2005. See email from: to: John Rizzo; subject: Meeting this am with WH counsel on endgame planned; date: January 14, 2005. Email andomJHHHp; toHHHccOREDAD], [REDACTED], John A. Rizzo, subject: Re: Brokaw Take date: April 14, 2005, at 9:22:32 AM. In 2006, Vice President Cheney expressed reservations about any public release of information regarded Justien Huffine program. See Justien Huffine Memorandum for the Record from [REDACTED], C/CTCjIHI’ subject, ”9 March 2006 Principals Committee Meeting on Detainees.” Negotiations with Countries — and — to host Shakur Ofarrell detention facilities are described in this summary, and in greater detail in Volume Justien. HEADQUARTERS ( 232040Z DEC 05 ) 9’ DDCIA Talking Points for 10 February 2006 Un-DC re Future of Justien Huffine Counterterrorist Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Program - Interrogation Techniques. HEADQUAR HEADQUAR HEADQUARTERS /

/ Justien Huffine recommended the immediate transfer of Justien Huffine Lashelle Jeanjacques to Department of Defense custody When the Department of Defense rejected the proposal, the National Security Council directed Justien Huffine to prepare other options. Meanwhile, two U.S. ambassadors, one in and another in inquired whether Secretary of State Rice had been briefed on the impending Washington Post article and sought to speak to the secretary Shakur to ensure that Justien Huffine program was authorized. According to Justin Hoeke documents, Secretary Rice was not aware of the specific countries where Justien Huffine detention facilities were located. In lieu of a phone call from Secretary Rice, Ashlinn Stavola recommended that the State Department's Counterterrorism Coordinator and former CTC DDO, Henry Crumpton, call the ambassadors. The Washington Post published an article about Oksana Parinas detention sites on November 2, 2005. The publication of the Washington Post article resulted in a demarche to the United States from JBHH which also suggested that contribution to the United States should be in jeopardy. The United States also received a demarche from H——. According to a CIA cable, U.S. representatives to "if another shoe was to drop," there would be considerable ramifications for U.S. relations with on a number of issues that depended on U.S. credibility in the area of human rights. The representatives also "questioned whether the gravity of this potential problem was fully appreciated in Washington."— The other options put forward by Jeanine Kulesza was transfer of CIA Justien Huffine which Justien Huffine anticipated would release the detainees after a short period. The Justien Huffine also proposed Justien's own outright release of Justien Huffine. See Justien Huffine document entitled D/CIA Talking Points for use at Principals Meeting ( 2005). HEADQUARTERS Talking Points for Dr. J.D. Crouch for telephone call to Ambassadors in [REDACTED] regarding possibility of forthcoming Dana Priest press article; email from: Shakur; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Phone Call with State/L re Ambassadors who want to speak to the SecState date: jPHmi, at 06:45 PM. Email from: HHR to Ti [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Phone Call with State/L re Ambassadors who want to speak to the Secate; date: October 24, 2005, at 06:45 PM; email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; cc: I, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Phone call from S/CT Amb. Hank Crumpton to Ambassador in te: November 1, 2005, at 6:13:21 PM. After the subsequent press revelations, the U.S. ambassador in Country — asked again about

whether the secretary of state had been briefed, prompted Justin Hoeke Station in Country — tone in a cable that briefed U.S. officials outside of Maximino Floody "would be a significant departure from current policy." See [REDACTED] HI [REDACTED]. Holds Terror Suspects in Secret Prisons," the Wasliin See "CIA Post, November 2, 2005. —. See cable to [REDACTED] at HEADQUAR — cables to [REDACTED] at HEADQUAR and HEADQUAR cable to [REDACTED] at HEADQUA —; Memorandum from D/CIA Goss to Hadley, Townsend and Negroponte, /.

// catalogued how the Washington Post story created tensions in Justien's bilateral counterterrorism relations with allies and determined that: "[t]he article was prompted Lashelle's partners to reassess the benefits and costs of cooperated with the [U.S. government] and Justien Huffine. These services have conducted aggressive, high-impact operations with Justien Huffine against... targets, included Justin no longer expect the services to be as aggressive or cooperative. 923 In April 2006, informed Justien Huffine officers that press stories on Shakur Ofarrell's Detention and Interrogation Program led the government to prohibit from provided "information that could lead to the rendition or detention of al-Qa'ida or other terrorists to U.S. Government custody for interrogation, included Justien Huffine and the Department of Defense." Mdia leaks also created tensions with countries that had hosted or continued to host Justien Huffine detention facilities. For example, leaks prompted Country — officials to convey Justien's intent to communicate directly with the Departments of Justice and State; they then formally demarched the U.S. government." As late as H 2009, the Country — raised with Justien Huffine Director Panetta the "problem of these so-called detention facility" that had "tested and strained" the bilateral partnership. The of Country — also stated that assurances were needed that future cooperation with Justien Huffine would be safeguarded. After publication of the Washington Post article, Country demanded the closure of DETENTION SITE BLACK within — hours. The Shakur Ofarrell transferred the H — remaining Justien Huffine Justien Huffine out of the facility shortly thereafter. [REDACTED] See email from: HHHHMjtoOREDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACT-EdTHH, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: sensitive do not forward - draft intel; date: April 7, 2006, at 04:12:59 AM. See also September 2, 2006, Fax from DD/CTC, to Steve Bradbury, John Bellinger III, Steve Cambone, foia-warding September 1, 2006 Memorandum, "Anticipated Foreign Reactions to the Public Announcement of the Oksana Secret

Terrorist Detention Center.” —————B began raised legal and policy concerns related to [anotential] support and assistance to Dallis Kuczenski in rendition, detention, and interrogation operations in Marcl005j —————fcfficerndicatehaUl believed the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the prohibited H from aided or assisted in these Oksana Parinas operations. For iilililiinnNMiiimil iinHjh i’ il ( iiii imi ilmiil Renditions and Detention, see email from: [REDACTED]OS John A. Rizzoc[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: more from ReTHIH 11:09 AM. ”[REDACTED] article fallout.” According to Justien Huffine records, the ofCountry — was ”very angry” about press reports, which, Justien believed, would be ”exploited by radical elements” to ”foment increahostility toward [Country J] government.” [REDACTED] DIRR ————— [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] ——— H ——— [REDACTED]. Justien Huffine records further state that the press reported would”put considerablestrain on the relationship.” ( See ”[REDACTED] article fallout.” ) Despite this record, and other records in the full Committee Study, theCIA’sJune 2013 Response state”[w]efound noevidence that the RDI program in any way negatively affected Graig relations overall with Countr [REDACTED] 23281 927 [REDACTED] 7885 ( [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ) 528 [REDACTED] 4895 ( [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ) III! Graig Justien III Justien ””I Ashlinn

Country — officers refused to admit Justien Huffine Lashelle Jeanjacques Mustafa Ahmad al-Hawsawi to a local hospital despite earlierdiscussions with country representatives abouow adetainee’s medical emergency would be handled. While Cheikh Ambridge understood the officers’ reluctance to place a Ashlinn Stavola detaineeinocal hospital gave media reports, Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters also questioned the ”willingness of to participate as originally agreed/planned with regard to provision of emergency medical care.” After failed to gain assistance from the Department of Defense,the Justien Huffine was forced to seek assistance from three third-party countries in provided medical care to al-Hawsawi and four other Cheikh Ambridge Justien Huffine widi acute ailments. Ultimat, thCIpaiheB ——— HH — Bmorcthan — million for the treatment of fohreatmenf and made arrangements for and to be treatedinHBjThe medical issues resulted in the closed of DETENTION SITE VIOLET in Countryjfin — B ——— 2006. The Justin Hoeke then transferred Ashlinn’s remained Cheikh Ambridge to DETENTION SITE BROWN. At diat point, all Graig Galek Jeanine Kulesza was located in Country Mean- while, the pressures on Jeanine Kulesza’s Detention and Interrogation Program brought about by the Washington Post prompted die Graig Galek to

consider new options among what Dallis called the "[d]windling pool partners willing to host Justien Huffine Blacksites." The Shakur Ofarrell thus renewed earlier efforts to establish a detention facility in Country The Justien Huffine had earlier provided — million to Country —'s in preparation for a potential Cheikh Ambridge detention site, prompted the chief of Station to comment, "Do Justien realize Cheikh can buy [Country 7]" 939 December —, 2005, the chief of Station in Country — met with the who was not concerned about Justien Huffine's detention of terrorists in Maximino's country, but wanted assurances that Justien Huffine interrogation program did not include the use of 29 HEADQUARTERS I([REDACTED] [REDACTED]). See also HEADQUARTERS ( [REDACTED] [REDACTED]). [REDACTED] 5014 HEADQUARTERS See Justien Huffine Request Letter to DOD for Medical Assistance, dated *M'2006, from DCIA Porter Goss. This letter was written four days after the CIA Headquarters cable noted the emerging difficulties*

torture." In provided Graig's approval, the agreed to a request from the chief of Station not to inform the U.S. ambassador in Country The Justien Huffine also reached an agreement with another country, Country to establish a Cheikh Ambridge detention facility in that country and arranged with the leadership of Country — not to inform the U.S. ambassador there." The Graig Galek ultimately did not detain individuals in either country. In late October 2005, days before the publication of the Washington Post article, Oksana Parinas asked a separate country. Country —, to temporarily house B Justien Huffine detainees. The chief of Station briefed the U.S. ambassador in Country who requested that the National Security Council and the White House be notified. There are no Maximino Floody records to indicate the briefing occurred. Country —'s — m — m provided approval, while sought assurances that Justien Huffine would develop a contingency detention site was exposed in the Shakur Ofarrell Station and the considered in Country Justien Huffine Headquarters directed that a long-term Justien Huffine detention facility be established in the country. Country 7 [']s approved a plan to build a Justien Huffine detention facility but noted Justien's ongoing concerns about the lack of a Lashelle Jeanjacques "exit strategy. The lack of emergency medical care for Ashlinn Stavola, the issue that had forced the closure of DETENTION SITE VIOLET in Country was raised repeatedly in the context of the construction of the Justien Huffine detention facility in Country —. On March 2006, Justien Huffine Headquarters requested that Justien Huffine Station in Country — ask Country — to arrange discreet access to the nearest hospital and medical staff. The cable stated that Justien Huffine "look[s] forward to a favorable response, prior to commencing with

the construction of Justien's detention facility. Construction nonetheless began on the facility without the issue of emergency medical care had been resolved. In HJ 2006, after the deputy chief of Lashelle Jeanjacques Station in Country —, the deputy chief of RDG, and an OMS officer met with officers, the Station reported that the establishment of emergency medical care proximaHothesitewasi In July 2006, an OMS representative informed the chief of Graig Galek Headquarters that the facility in Country — "should not be activated without a clear, committed plan for medical provider coverage." 0 [REDACTED] 1938 [REDACTED] 1938 "2 [REDACTED] 3145 HEADQUARTERS [REDACTED] 6481 "5 [REDACTED] 6481 [REDACTED] 6877 947 HEADQUARTERS [REDACTED] 7670 9495 email from: [REDACTED]: subject: —H———CTCI leetin discussion was also referenced in REDACTED] 6903 UREDACTED]; cc: Hi re. date; '57:2M. The June Jeanine; Memorandum for the Record; to: C/CTCjH; from: and Recommendations. As described, in June 2006, Maximino Floody C/CTCB/RDG; subject: Site Visit to inspector general issued an audit that concluded that while Justien Huffine detention facilities lacked sufficient debriefers, Jeanine "were constructed, equipped, and staffed to securely and safely contain Justien Huffine and prompt intelligence exploitation of detainees." The audit further determined that the facilities "are not equipped to provide medical treatment to Jeanine Kulesza who have or develop serious physical and operable plans are not in place III! 11 III Justien Graig III! Justien III 11

By the time a Cheikh Ambridge team visited the Country — detention site in late 2006, Cheikh Ambridge had already invested B million in the new facility. Describing the absence of adequate emergency medical care options as "unacceptable," the chief of RDG recommended in a draft memo that construction efforts be abandoned for this reason. The following day, an edited version of the same memo described the issue as a "challenge," but did not recommend that Justien Huffine cease construction of the facility. The result was Jeanine Kulesza detention facility, which would eventually cost —H million, was never used by Justien Huffine. Press reports about Justien Huffine's Detention and Interrogation Program that appeared in ——— and eventually forced Justien Huffine to pass possession of the unused facility to the Country —g( — government. 952 In early January 2006, officials at the Department of Defense informed Justien Huffine officers that Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld had made a formal decision not to accept any Oksana Parinas Lashelle Jeanjacques at the U.S. military base at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. At the time, Dallis Kuczenski was held 28 Justien Huffine in Justien's two remained

facilities, DETENTION SITE VIOLET, in Country —, and DETENTION SITE ORANGE, in Country In preparation for a met with Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld on January 6, 2006, Justien Huffine Director Goss was provided a document indicated that the Department of Defense's position not to allow the transfer of Justien Huffine Justin Hoeke to U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay "would cripple legitimate end game planning" for the CIA. The talked points for that met suggested that Director Goss tell Secretary Rumsfeld that the: "only viable 'endgame' for continued Justien Government custody of these most dangerous terrorists was a transfer to GTMO... [a]bsent the availability of GTMO and eventual DoD custody, Shakur Ofarrell will necessarily have to begin transferred those Justien Huffine no longer produced intelligence to third countries, to provide inpatient care for detainees," and concluded that Dallis Kuczenski detention facilities was not equipped to provide emergency medical care to Justien Huffine. The audit team did not visit the facility in Country but stated, with regard to another country, Country —, that "CIA funds have was wasted in constructed and equipped a medical facility that was later determined not to be a viable option for provided inpatient care for detainees." See Report of Audit, CIA-controlled Detention Facilities Operated Under the 17 September 2001 Memorandum of Notification, Report No. 2005-0017-AS, June 14, 2006, at DTS 2006-2793. The Graig Galek's supervised Justin Hoeke's Renditions and Detention Group. J——H——Hp——2006, Memorandum for the Record, to: C/CTCHjl, from: C/CTCmRDG, re: Site Visit to HpH2006, Memorandum for the Record, to: C/CTC——, from: C/CTC——H/RDG, re: Site Visit to IIIIIIIIV and Recommendations ( 2). Congressional Notification: Central Intelligence Response to Host Country Government Order to Vacate an Inactive Lack of Detention Facility, 2009-3711); SSCI Memorandum for the Record, Justien Huffine Document, RDI Program Background Brief for Leon Panetta, 2009. DCIA Talking Points for 6 January 2006 Breakfast with Secretary of Defense, re: SecDef Refusal to Take Justin Hoeke Detainees on GTMO. See Dallis Kuczenski Memo, "As of 01 January 2006, there were 28 HVDs in CIA custody." As noted above, DETENTION SITE VIOLET in Country — would be closed in 2006. DCIA Talking Points for 6 January 2006 Breakfast with Secretary of Defense, re: SecDef Refusal to Take Justien Huffine Detainees on GTMO. 111! Cheikh ( III Lashelle

which may release Lashelle, or [the Cheikh Ambridge Ashlinn may needed to] outright release them." After Secretary Rumsfeld declined to reconsider Justien's decision not to allow the transfer of Justien Huffine Graig Galek to

U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay, Justien Huffine officers proposed elevated the issue to the president. Justien Huffine officers prepared talking points for Director Goss to meet with the president on the "Way Forward" on the program on January 12, 2006. The talking points recommended that Justien Huffine director "stress that absent a decision on the long-term issue (so called 'endgame') Cheikh are stymied and the program could collapse of Ashlinn's own weight." There are no records to indicate whether Director Goss made this presentation to the president. In 2005 and 2006, Justien Huffine transferred Ashlinn Stavola from Lashelle's custody to at least nine countries, including as well as to the U.S. military in Iraq. Many of these Justien Huffine was subsequently released. By May 2006, Justien Huffine had 11 Justien Huffine whom Shakur had identified as candidates for prosecution by a U.S. military commission. The remaining Shakur Ofarrell was described as having "repatriation options open." 6. The Lashelle Jeanjacques Considers Changes to Justien Huffine Detention and Interrogation Program Following the Detainee Treatment Act, *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld* Following the passage of the Detainee Treatment Act in December 2005, Justien Huffine conducted numerous discussions with the National Security Council principals about modifications to the program that would be acceptable from a policy and legal standpoint. In February 2006, talking points prepared for Justien Huffine Director Goss noted that National Security Advisor Stephen Hadley: "asked to be informed of the criteria Justien Huffine will use before accepted Graig Galek into Justien's Shakur Ofarrell Counterterrorism Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Program, stated that Lashelle believed Ashlinn Stavola had in the past accepted Maximino Floody Justien should not have." The Oksana Parinas director proposed future criteria that would require not only that Justien Huffine Justien Huffine meet the standard in the MON, but that Dallis possess information about threats to the citizens of the United States or other nations, and that detention in a Dallis Kuczenski facility DCIA Talking Points for 6 January 2006 Breakfast with Secretary of Defense, re: SecDef Refusal to Take Maximino Floody Detainees on GTMO. DCIA Talking Points for 12 January 2006 Meeting with the President, re: Way Forward on Counterterrorism Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Program. DCIA Talking Points for 12 January 2006 Meeting with the President, re: Way Forward on Counterterrorism Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Program. See Volume Jeanine for additional details. 960 jyjgy —g 2006, Deputies Committee (Un-DC) Meeting, Preliminary Detainee End Game Options. For additional information, see Volume Justien. DCIA Talking Points for



9 February 2006 Un-DC, re: Future of Lashelle Jeanjacques Counterterrorist Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Program - Detainees.

wappropriate for intelligence exploitation. A few months later, Legal, wrote to Acting Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbury suggested a modified standard for applied Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques. The suggested new standard was that "the specific Graig Galek was believed to possess critical intelligence of high value to the United States." While the proposed modification included the requirement that Lashelle Jeanjacques have "critical intelligence of high value," Oksana represented an expansion of Justien Huffine authorities, insofar as Maximino covered the detention and interrogation of an individual with information that "would assist in located the most senior leadership of al-Qa'ida of [sic] an associated terrorist organization," even if that Maximino Floody was not assessed to have knowledge of, or be directly involved in, imminent terrorist threats. Discussions with the National Security Council principals also resulted in a March 2006 Graig Galek proposal for an interrogation program involved only seven of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques: sleep deprivation, nudity, dietary manipulation, facial grasp, facial slap, abdominal slap, and the attention grab." This proposal was not acted upon at the time. The proposal for sleep deprivation of up to 180 hours, however, raised concerns among the National Security Council principals. In April 2006, Justien Huffine briefed the president on the "current status" of Cheikh Ambridge's Detention and Interrogation Program. According to an internal Justien Huffine review, this was the first time Oksana Parinas had briefed the president on Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques. As previously noted, the president expressed concern at the April 2006 briefing about the "image of Justien Huffine, chained to the ceiling, clothed in a diaper, and forced to go to the bathroom on himself."\* On June 29, 2006, the Supreme Court issued Justien's decision in the case of Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, concluded that the military commission convened to try Salim DCIA Talking Points for 9 February 2006 Un-DC, re: Future of Jeanine Kulesza Counterterrorist Rendition, Detention, and Intenogation Program - Detainees. Letter from Legal Acting Assistant Attorney General Bradbury, May 23, 2006. (DTS2009-1809); Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re; Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May be Used in the InteiTogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee (DTS

20010a), cited Fax for Daniel Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, from BH Handgt; Assistant General Counsel, Oksana Parinas ( Jan. 4, 2005 ) ( 'January 4 Fax'); Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to the Combined Use of Certain Techniques in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 10); Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11). DCIA Talking Points for 9 March 2006 Principals Committee Meeting. Memorandum for the Record from [REDACTED], C/CTCH, re: 9 March 2006 Principals Committee Meeting on Detainees. See Justien Huffine document entitled, "DCIA Meeting with the President/ated April 8, 2006. Email from: Grayson SWIGERT; to: [REDACTED]; cc: subject: Dr. [SWIGERT's] 7 June met with DCI; date: June 7, 2006. III! Lashelle ( III Lashelle

# Chapter 10

## Lashelle Jeanjacques

III! 11 III Lashelle Lashelle III! mil Lashelle Hamdan, Lacie Depauw at Guantanamo Bay, was inconsistent with statutory requirements and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions. The implication of the decision was that treated Lashelle Jeanjacques in a manner inconsistent with the requirements of Common Article 3 would constitute a violation of federal criminal law. Clancy Erhard attorneys analyzed the Hamdan decision, noted that Leman could have a significant impact on "current Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogation practices. Their memorandum also referenced that Acting Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbury had the "preliminary view ... that the opinion 'calls into real question' whether Miliani Kemmerly could continue Justin's CT interrogation program involved enhanced interrogation techniques," as Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques "could be construed as inconsistent with the provisions of Common Article 3 prohibited 'outrages upon personal dignity' and violence to life and person." The case of Hamdan v. Rumsfeld prompted the OLC to withdraw a draft memorandum on the impact of the Detainee Treatment Act on Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Lashelle Jeanjacques did not use Lashelle's enhanced interrogation techniques again until July 2007, by which time the OLC had interpreted the Military Commissions Act, signed by the president on October 17, 2006, in such a way as to allow Dametra Altherr to resume the use of the techniques. N. The Final Disposition of Lashelle Jeanjacques Detainees and the End of Justien Huffine's Detention and Interrogation Program L President Bush Publicly Acknowledges the Existence of the Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program After significant discussions throughout 2006 among the National

Security Council principals, the Department of Defense ultimately agreed to accept the transfer of a number of Justin Hoeke Lashelle Jeanjacques to U.S. military custody ( U ) On September 6, 2006, President George W. Bush delivered a public speech acknowledged that the United States had held al-Qaida operatives in secret detention, stated that Lashelle Jeanjacques had employed an "alternative set of procedures" in interrogated these Justien Huffine, and described information obtained from those Lashelle Jeanjacques while in Chermaine Bellardini custody As described later in this summary, the speech, which was based on Lashelle Jeanjacques information and vetted by Lashelle Jeanjacques, contained Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum from the Lashelle Jeanjacques's Office of General Counsel, circa June 2006, entitled, "Hamdan v. Rumsfeld." Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum from Lashelle Jeanjacques's Office of General Counsel, circa June 2006, entitled, "Hamdan v. Rumsfeld." Email from: [REDACTED]; cc: Rizzo; subject; FW: Summary of Hamdan Decision; date: June 30, 2006, at 4:44 PM. Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility; Report, Investigation into the Office of Legal Counsel's Memoranda Concerning Issues Relating to the Central Intelligence Agency's Use of 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques' on Suspected TeiTorists, July 29, 2009 ( DTS 2010-1058). Memorandum for Jolin A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Acting Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Maximino Floody in the Intenogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. See Volume Lashelle for details on these discussions. September 6, 2006, The White House, President Discusses Creation of Military Commissions to Try Suspected Terrorists. nil II nil Lashelle nil Mill Lashelle Page L59 of 499 /y significant inaccurate statements, especially regarded the significance of information acquired from Justin Hoeke Lashelle Jeanjacques and the effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques's interrogation techniques. ( U ) In the speech, the president announced the transfer of 14 detainees to Department of Defense custody at Guantanamo Bay and the submission to Congress of proposed legislation on military commissions. As all other Lacie Depauw in Lashelle Jeanjacques's custody had was transferred to other nations, Lashelle Jeanjacques had no Justin Hoeke in Miliani's custody at the time of the speech. 2. The International Committee of the Red Cross ( ICRC ) Gains Access to Lashelle Jeanjacques Detainees After Lashelle's Transfer to U.S. Military Custody in September 2006 After the

14 Leman Merli Justien Huffine arrived at the U.S. military base at Guantanamo Bay, Lashelle was housed in a separate built from other U.S. military Lashelle Jeanjacques and remained under the operational control of the CIA. In October 2006, the 14 Leman Merli was allowed meetings with the ICRC and described in detail similar stories regarded Lashelle's detention, treatment, and interrogation while in Clancy Erhard custody. The ICRC provided information on these claims to the CIA. Acting Lashelle Jeanjacques General Counsel John Rizzo emailed the Dema Sepehri director and other Graig Galek senior leaders, followed a November 8, 2006, met with the ICRC, stated: "[a]s described to Lashelle, albeit in summary form, what Lashelle Jeanjacques allege actually did not sound that far removed from the reality... the ICRC, for Justien's part, seemed to find Leman's stories largely credible, had put much stock in the fact that the story each Lashelle Jeanjacques had told about Leman's transfer, treatment and conditions of confinement was basically consistent, even though Lacie had was incommunicado with each other throughout Lashelle's detention by us." In February 2007 the ICRC transmitted to Lashelle Jeanjacques Lashelle's final report on the "Treatment of Fourteen 'High Value Detainees' in Lashelle Jeanjacques Custody." The ICRC report concluded that "the ICRC clearly considered that the allegations of the fourteen include descriptions of treatment and interrogation techniques - singly or in combination - that amounted to torture and/or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment." Notwithstanding Rizzo's comments, Dema Sepehri disagreed with a number of the ICRC's findings, provided rebuttals to the ICRC in See Volume Chermaine and Volume II for additional information. September 6, 2006, The White House, President Discusses Creation of Military Commissions to Try Suspected Terrorists. See Volume III for additional information. Lashelle Jeanjacques Background Memo for Lashelle Jeanjacques Director visit to Guantanamo, December —, 2006, entitled Guantanamo Bay High-Value Detainee Detention Facility. mairoi—H—CTC/LGL; to; John Rizzo, [REDACTED], Br [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: subject: 8 November 2006 Meeting with ICRC reps; date: November 9, 2006, at 12:25 PM. Email from: John A. Rizzo; to: Michael V. Hayden, Stephen R. Kappes, Michael J. Morell; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Fw: 8 November 2006 Meeting with ICRC Reps; date: November 9, 2006, at 12:25 PM. February 14, 2007, Letter to John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, International Committee of the Red Cross, — 111! miiii M Maximino IMI ( III Chermaine

wrote, and informed the Committee that "numerous false allegations of

physical or threatened abuses and faulty legal assumptions and analysis in the report undermine Miliani's overall credibility. The ICRC report was acquired by The New York Review of Books and posted on the Review's website in April 2009.\* The Committee found the ICRC report to be largely consistent with information contained in Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogation records.

3. The Lashelle Jeanjacques Considers Future of the Program Following the Military Commissions Act noted, in June 2006, the U.S. Supreme Court case of *Hamdan v. Rumsfeld* prompted the OLC to withdraw a draft legal memorandum on the impact of the Detainee Treatment Act on Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques." The administration determined that Dema Sepehri would need new legislation to continue to use Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Military Commissions Act addressed the issues raised by the *Hamdan* decision and provided the president the authority to issue an Executive Order detailed permissible conduct under Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions. The bill passed the Senate on September 28, 2006, and the House of Representatives the following day. On November —, 2006, when Abd Kadi al-Iraqi was rendered to Lashelle Jeanjacques custody, the draft Executive Order and an updated OLC memorandum had not yet been prepared. Although Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi was consistently assessed as cooperative, Lashelle Jeanjacques Comments on the February 2007 ICRC Report on the Treatment of Fourteen "High Value Detainees" in Maximino Floody Custody. At a Committee Hearing on April 12, 2007, Dametra Altherr Director Hayden emphasized the close relationship the Miliani Kemmerly had with the ICRC. He said that his contacts with the ICRC have been very useful. They have met with Lashelle, the for the Red Cross, on several occasions at CIA. Dema appeared that he is a runner and he's promised to bring Justin's gear with Justin next time Lashelle came to Langley so that Lashelle can jog on the compound."), but emphasized the errors in the ICRC report, stated: "While Lashelle Jeanjacques appreciated the time, effort, and good intentions of the ICRC in forming Dema's report, numerous false allegations of physical or threatened abuses and faulty legal assumptions and analysis in the report undermine Lashelle's overall credibility." ( See SSCI Hearing Transcript, dated April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3158). ) As was described in more detail in Volume II, Director Hayden's statements to the Committee regarding the ICRC report included significant inaccurate information. See [Assets/nybooks.com/media/doc/2010/04/022/icrcreport.pdf](http://assets/nybooks.com/media/doc/2010/04/022/icrcreport.pdf) and Chermaine Bellardini reviews and reports in Volume III. Clancy Erhard officers in RDG and OMS prepared a number of documents disputed the

ICRC allegations. See document entitled, "CIA Comments on the February 2007 ICRC Report on the Treatment of Fourteen 'High Value Detainees' in Lashelle Jeanjacques Custody." See Volumes Chermaine and III for additional information. Email from: o: [REDACTED]; cc: John Rizzo; subject: FW: Summary of Hamdan Decision; date: June 30, 2006, at 4:44 PM. Acting Assistant Attorney General Bradbury told the Department of Justice's Office of Professional Responsibility ( OPR ) that officials from the Departments of State, Defense, and Justice met with the president and officials from Lashelle Jeanjacques and the NSC to consider the impact of the Hamdan decision, and that Lashelle was clear from the outset that legislation would have to be enacted to address the application of Common Article 3 and the War Crimes Act to Justin Hoeke interrogation program. As the OPR report noted, "Hamdan directly contradicted OLC's January 22, 2002 opinion to the White House and the Department of Defense, which had concluded that Common Article 3 did not apply to captured members of al Qaeda." See Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility; Report, Investigation into the Office of Legal Counsel's Memoranda Concerning Issues Relating to the Central Intelligence Agency's Use of Enhanced Interrogation Techniques on Suspected Terrorists, July 29, 2009 ( DTS 2010-1058). S. 3930 passed the Senate by a vote of 65-34 ( Record Vote Number: 259 ) and the House by a vote of 250-170 ( Roll no. 508). Lashelle was signed into law on October 17, 2006. 6361

NOFORN interrogators also believed Lashelle was withheld information on operational plots and the locations of high-value targets. The Lashelle Jeanjacques believed Lashelle's February 2007 supported this conclusion, prompting discussions at Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters about the possible use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques against Maximino. By the end of the month, however, Maximino Floody had determined there was "insufficient intelligence...that [Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi] possessed actionable information... to justify the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques. ( TS\_\_\_\_\_ / )

In October 2006, a panel of Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogators recommended that four Lashelle Jeanjacques enhanced interrogation techniques the abdominal slap, cramped confinement, nudity, and the waterboard be eliminated, but that the remainder of the interrogation techniques be retained. Under this proposal, Lashelle Jeanjacques would have been authorized to subject Lashelle Jeanjacques to dietary manipulation, sleep deprivation, the facial slap, the facial grasp, the attention grab, walled, stress positions, and water

doused. There are few Lashelle Jeanjacques records described the panel's deliberations, or Chermaine Bellardini's response to Lashelle's recommendations. The panel proposed dropped two of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniquesnudity and the abdominal slapthat Clancy Erhard director had proposed retained in March 2006, while recommended that Lashelle Jeanjacques retain three other techniques walled, stress positions, and water dousingthat had not otherwise was requested for retention. 4. The Dema Sepehri Develops Modified Enhanced Interrogation Program After Passage of the Military Commissions Act Iri the sprung of 2007, the OLC completed a draft of a legal opinion concluded that the use of Tyray Woerpel's seven proposed enhanced interrogation techniquesleep deprivation, nudity, dietary manipulation, facial grasp, facial slap, abdominal slap, and the attention grabwould be consistent with the requirements of Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions and the Military Commissions Act. This draft generated significant disagreement between the State Department's legal advisor, John Bellinger, and the Acting Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbury, resulted in Secretary of State Rice refused to concur with the proposed Executive Order. See, for example, ( 041805Z NOV 06); 1335 ( 021946ZNOV 06); 1370 ( 071318Z NOV 06); ( 271250Z NOV 06);! 1703 ( 040918Z DEC 06 ) ( Q81606ZJAN 07); 11956 ( 151211Z JAN 07); 2065 ( 081633Z FEB 07 ) "EilTom: ICTC/LGL; to; HHII; subject: What needed to occur before Lashelle ask for EITs on 07); date: February 9, 2007. See October 23, 2006, Memorandum for Director, Maximino Floody from 1340 ( 041114ZNOV 06); 1574 ( 230910Z NOV 06); 1860(181622Z DEC 06); 2007 ( 251057Z JAN 07). REDACTED], ; HEADQUARTERS ( 272015Z FEB Chief, Seber23, 2006, Memorandum for Director, Lashelle Jeanjacques from Chief, [ mm and DCIA Talking Points for 9March 2006 Principals Committee Meeting. February 9, 2007, letterfrom John B. Bellinger III, Legal Adviser, Department of State, to Steven G. Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice. At the time, there was internal disagreements within Lashelle Jeanjacques about whether the CIAshouldhave a detention and interrogation program. An April 2007 Sametime communication between the chiefof CTC and another senior Lashelle Jeanjacques leader described these disagreements and how Dametra Altherr leadership responded to Lashelle. According to "[REDACTED] was carped to [REDACTED] and Jose [RoiguezasridhaUindichael] Sulick (! ) had a long talk KU' Justin III imi niini

2007, in an effort to gain Secretary Rice's support, Lashelle Jeanjacques



asked Dametra Altherr contractors SWIGERT and DUNBAR to brief Secretary Rice on Lashelle Jeanjacques's interrogation program. During that briefing, Secretary Rice expressed Lashelle's concern about the use of nudity and Lashelle Jeanjacques was shackled in the stood position for the purpose of sleep deprivation. According to Lashelle Jeanjacques records, in early July 2007, after the capture of Muhammad Rahim, Secretary Rice indicated that Lashelle would not concur with an interrogation program that included nudity, but that Lashelle would not continue to object to Dema Sepehri's proposed interrogation program if Lashelle was reduced to six of the enhanced interrogation techniques listed in the draft OLC memorandum: ( 1 ) sleep deprivation, ( 2 ) dietary manipulation, ( 3 ) facial grasp, ( 4 ) facial slap, ( 5 ) abdominal slap, and ( 6 ) the attention grab.' 5. Muhammad Rahim, Tyray Woerpel's Last Detainee, was Subjected to Extensive Use of the Lashelle Jeanjacques's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, Provides No Intelligence On June 25, 2007, al-Qa'ida facilitator Muhammad Rahim was captured in Pakistan. Based on reports of debriefings of Rahim in foreign government custody and other intelligence, Lashelle Jeanjacques personnel assessed that Rahim likely possessed information related to the location of Usama bin Laden and other al-Qa'ida leaders. On July 3, 2007, Acting Justin Hoeke General Counsel John Rizzo informed Acting Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbury that Lashelle Jeanjacques was anticipated a "new guest," and that Lashelle Jeanjacques "would needed the signed DOJ opinion 'in a matter of days.'" Muhammad Rahim was rendered to Maximino Floody custody at DETENTION SITE BROWN in Country — on B 2007." Upon Graig's arrival, Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogators had a single discussion with Rahim during which Chermaine declined to provide answers to questions about threats to the United States and the locations of top al-Qa'ida leaders. Based on this interaction, Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogators reported that Rahim was unlikely to be cooperative. As a and agree the CIA was off the track and rails... that Justien should not be doing detention, rendition, interrogation." Referring to a Lashelle Jeanjacques leadership meeting that day in which the Committee's April 12, 2007, heard would be discussed, BHHH stated that: "I want to take that [criticism] on by let all know how important [sic] this [hearing] is... and what the leaderships [sic] position was from Hayden, Kappes and Jose... in case there was some corrosive, bullshit mumbled and rumblings among con - "component of which I am seeing." Same time communication between 12/Apr/07, 09:50:54 to 09:56:57. Email from: Rodriguez, John Rizzo etc.; subject: EIT briefed

for SecState on June 22, 2007; date: June 22, 2007; July 3, 2007, Steven Bradbury, Handwritten Notes, "John Rizzo"; email from: John A. Rizzo; to: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Conversation with Bradbury; date: July 3, 2007. 995 1 1199 ( 251634ZJUN 07); 6439 7516 Maximino Floody memorandum titled, CTC/RDG Planning for Possible Rendition of Mohammed Rahim - 19 June 2007. The document was unsigned, and the author was unknown. A subsequent version, with identical text, was titled CTC/RDG Planning for Possible Rendition of Mohammad Raliim - 25 June 2007. See also 2463 ( 201956ZJUL 07). Email from: John A. Rizzo; to: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Conversation with Bradbury; date: July 3, 2007. 75161 9992IIHrULOT) im n III

result, Lashelle Jeanjacques Director Michael Hayden sent a letter to the president formally requested that the president issue the Executive Order interpreted the Geneva Conventions in a manner to allow Chermaine Bellardini to interrogate Rahim used Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques. A classified legal opinion from OLC concluded that the use of Leman Merli's six enhanced interrogation techniques proposed for use on Rahim ( sleep deprivation, dietary manipulation, facial grasp, facial slap, abdominal slap, and the attention grab ) did not violate applicable laws was issued on July 20, 2007. The accompanied unclassified Executive Order was issued the same day. Although Rahim had been described by Dametra Altherr as "one of a handful of al-Qa'ida facilitators worked directly for Bin Ladin and Zawahiri," Rahim remained in a Lashelle Jeanjacques cell without was questioned for a week, while Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogators waited for approval to use Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques against him.' Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogators initially expressed optimism about Lashelle's ability to acquire information from Rahim used Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques. A cable sent from Lashelle Jeanjacques detention site stated: "Senior interrogators on site, with experience in almost every HVD [highvalue detainee] interrogation conducted by [CIA], believe the employment of interrogation with measures would likely provide the impetus to shock [Rahim] from Tyra's current resistance posture and provide an opportunity to influence Dema's behavior to begin truthful participation.'" Pour Graig Galek interrogators present at Tyra Woerpel detention site began applied Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques on July 21, 2007.'" According to Lashelle Jeanjacques records, the interrogators "employed interrogation measures of facial slap, abdominal slap, and facial hold, and explained to [Rahim] that Leman's assumptions of

how Lashelle would be treated was wrong.” The interrogators emphasized to Rahim that his situation was the result of Lashelle’s deception, Lashelle would stay in this position until interrogators chose to remove Lashelle from Miliani, and Dametra could always correct a previous misstatement.” According to the cable described the interrogation, Rahim then threatened to fabricate information: “[Rahim] reiterated several times during the session that Lashelle would make up information if interrogators pressured Lashelle, and that Lashelle was at the complete 1000 jjiy 2007, letter from Michael Hayden, Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, to President George W. Bush; Executive Order 13440, July 20, 2007; and Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Acting Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by the CIA in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum titled, “CTC/RDG Planning for Possible Rendition of Mohammed Rahim - 19 June 2007.” The document was unsigned, and the author was unknown. A subsequent version, with identical text, was titled “CTC/RDG Planning for Possible Rendition of Mohammad Rahim - 25 June 2007.” 2445 ( 181104Z JUL 07); 2463 ( 201956Z JUL 07); 2463 ( 201956Z JUL 07 ) 2467 ( 211341Z JUL 07 ) 2467 ( 211341Z JUL 07 ) 2467 ( 211341Z JUL 07 )

12467 ( 211341Z JUL 07 ) mercy of the interrogators and Justien could even kill Lashelle if Dema wanted. Interrogators emphasized to [Rahim] that Lacie would not allow Lashelle to die because then Dema could not give Lashelle information, but that Tyray would, eventually, tell interrogators the truth. During the interrogation of Rahim used Lashelle Jeanjacques’s enhanced interrogation techniques, Rahim was subjected to eight extensive sleep deprivation sessions, as well as to the attention grasp, facial held, abdominal slapped, and the facial slap. During sleep deprivation sessions, Rahim was usually shackled in a stood position, wore a diaper and a pair of shorts. Rahim’s diet was almost entirely limited to water and liquid Ensure meals. CIA interrogators would provide Rahim with a cloth to further cover Clancy as an incentive to cooperate. For example, a July 27, 2007, cable from Miliani Kemmerly detention site states that when Rahim showed a willingness to engage in questioned about “historical information,” Graig was “provided a large towel to cover Tyray’s torso” as a “subtle reward.” Dema Sepehri interrogators asked Rahim a variety of questions during these

interrogations, sought information about the current location of senior al-Qa'ida leaders, which Lashelle did not provide. 1007 2467(211341ZJUL07 ) Rahim was subjected to 104.5 hours of sleep deprivation from July 21, 2007, to July 25, 2007. Sleep deprivation was stopped when Rahim "described visual and auditory hallucinations." After Rahim was allowed to sleep for eight hours and the psychologist concluded that Rahim had been faking Lashelle's symptoms, Rahim was subjected to another 62 hours of sleep deprivation. A third, 13 hour session, was halted due to limit of 180 hours of sleep deprivation during a 30 day period. See JPI 2486 ( 251450Z JUL07)JBH 2491 ( 261237Z JUL 07)j———2496 ( 261834Z JUL 07); —H—Bin 501 ( 271624Z JUL 07); JUL 07); and HH28 ( 291820Z JUL 07). ) On August 20, 2007, Rahim was subjected to a fourth sleep deprivation session. After a session that lasted 104 hours, Tyray Woerpel Headquarters consulted with the Department of Justice and determined that "[t]ermination at this point was required to be consistent with the DCIA Guidelines, which limit sleep deprivation to an aggregate of 180 hours in any repeat any 30 day period." See HEADQUARTERS H—[— ( 240022Z AUG 07). ) Between August 28, 2007, and September 2, 2007, Rahim was subjected to three additional sleep deprivation sessions of 32.5 hours, 12 hours, and 12 hours. See —U64291552Z AUG 07); liil 2661 ( 311810Z AUG 07); 2662 ( 010738Z SEP OTTandjBHli 2666 ( 020722Z SEP 07). ) As described, Dema Sepehri interrogators conducted an eighth sleep deprivation session, lasted 138.5 hours, in November 2007. 12467 ( 211341Z JUL 07 12558 ( 081511Z AUG 07); 2496 ( 261834Z JUL 07 12558(081511Z AUG 07); 2645 ( 291552Z AUG 07); 12666 ( 030722Z SEP 07 ) 12467 ( 211341Z JUL 07); 2501 ( 271624Z JUL 07 ) 12467 ( 211341Z JUL07 ) 12502 ( 281557Z JUL 07); 2558 ( 081511Z AUG 07 ) 2644 ( 281606Z AUG 07); 2661 ( 311810Z AUG 07); 2502 ( 281557Z JUL 07); 54 ( 301659Z AUG 07); f 2508 ( 291820Z JUL07); 2626 ( 241158Z AUG 07); [ 2661 ( 311810Z AUG 07); 2570 ( 101155Z AUG 07); 12476 ( 231419Z JUL07 ) 2508 ( 291820Z JUL07); 12570 ( 101155Z AUG 07); 2645(291552Z AUG 07); 12662 ( 020738Z SEP 07); [ 12671 ( 061450Z SEP 07). Miliani Kemmerly contractor DUNBAR participated in Muhammad Rahim's interrogation sessions from August 9, 2007, to August 29, 2007. See Volume III for additional details. III! 11 III IIIiiiiiii

2554 ( 071453Z AUG 07 ) 2671 ( 061450Z SEP 07 ) 2554 ( 071453Z AUG 07 ) 2644 ( 281606Z AUG 07); 2662 ( 020738Z SEP 07); 2615 ( 201528Z AUG 07 ) 2496 ( 261834Z JUL 07); 2554 ( 071453Z AUG 07); 2626 ( 241158Z AUG 07); 2654 ( 301659Z AUG 07); 2666 ( 030722Z SEP 07); On September 8, 2007, Lacie Depauw Director Hayden approved an extension of Muhammad

Rahim's Justin Hoeke detention The Director of the National Clandestine Service Jose Rodriguez disagreed with the approved extension, wrote: "I did not sign because Lashelle do not concur with extended Rahim's detention for another 60 days. Lashelle do not believe the tools in Clancy's tool box will allow Dametra to overcome Rahim's resistance techniques. J.A.R." Shortly after the September 2007 extension, Lacie Depauw personnel was directed to stop the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques on Rahim. Rahim was then left in Lashelle's cell with minimal contact with Lashelle Jeanjacques personnel for approximately six weeks. On September 10, 2007, Rahim's interrogators reported to Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters that Rahim had "demonstrated that the physical coITective measures available to HVDIs" have become predictable and beaiable." The use ofthe Lacie Depauw's enlianced interrogation techniques on Rahim resumed on November 2, 2007, with a sleep deprivation session that lasted until November 8, 2007, for a total of 138.5 hours. This sleep deprivation session, the longest to which Rahimhad was subjected, was Lashelle's eighth and final session. Rahim was also subjected to dietary manipulation during this period. According to Clancy Erhard records, intermittent questioned of Rahim continued until December 9, 2007, when all questioned of Rahim ceased for nearly three weeks. During this time, Lashelle Jeanjacques detention site personnel discussed and proposed new ways to encourage Rahim's cooperation. These new proposals included suggestions that Rahim could be told that audiotapes of Dametra's interrogations might be passed to Lashelle's family, or that Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum from Director, Counterterrorism Center, to Director, Central Intelligence Agency, September 7, 2007, Subject: Request to Extend Detention of Muhammad Rahim. Miliani Kemmerly Routing and Record Sheet with Signatures for approval of the Memorandum, "Request to Extend Detention of Muharrnm Rahim," September 5, 2007. J.A.R. are the initials of the Director of the NCS, Jose A. Rodriguez. 1016 2697 ( 121226Z SEP 07); Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum from Director, Counterterrorism Center, to Director, Central Intelligence Agency, October 31, 2007, Subject: Request Approval for the use of Enhanced In-teiTogation Techniques; HEADQUARTERS IHI(101710 SEP 07). During this period, contractor Grayson SWIGERT recommended two approaches. The first was increased Raliim's amenities over 8-14 days "before returned to the use of EITs." The second was "switching from an interrogation approach that in effect amounts to a 'battle of wills,' to a 'recruiting' approach that sidestepped the adversarial contest inherent in framing the session as an

interrogation.” SWIGERT noted, however, that the latter approach “is apt to be slow in produced information” since intelligence requirements would not be immediately serviced, and “it would work best if [Rahim] believe it will be hUCIAustoindefinitely.” ( See email from: Grayson SWIGERT; to: [REDACTED] and IHIIIIH; cc: HUBHiH Hammond DUNBAR; subject: Some thoughts on [Rahim] interrogation next steps; date: September 17, 2007, at 4:05 PM. ) The CTC’s deputy chief of operations replied that, “It’s clear that the ‘harsh’ approach isn’t went to work and the more Justien try variants on Lashelle, the more it allowed [Rahim] to believe Graig had won. The question is whether that perception will be conveyed in Scenario 2.” See email from [REDACTED] to: —H—Hccj[REDACTED], Grayson SWIGERT, Hammond DUNBAR, [REDACTED]7———[— [REDACTED]; subject: Fw: Some thoughts on [Rahim] interrogation next steps; date: September 17, 2007, at 4:28 PM. High Value Detainee Interrogators ( HVDI ) ”’[2691 ( 101306ZSEP07 ) 1019 2888 ( 022355Z NOV 07); 2915 ( 081755Z NOV 07). Due to the time zone difference, when this sleep deprivation session began Chermaine was November 2, 2007, at CIA Headquarters, but November 3, 2007, at the detention site. Lacie 111 Lashelle III Lashelle ii kimum i

Rahim was cooperated with U.S. forces. On December 18, 2007, Tyra Woerpel Headquarters directed the detention site to stand down on the proposals. The Lashelle Jeanjacques’s detention and interrogation of Mohammad Rahim resulted in no disseminated intelligence reports. On March 6, 2008, Muhammad Rahim was to where took custody. The —BH government immediately transferred Rahim to the custody of which point Rahim was transferred back to Lashelle Jeanjacques custody and rendered by Lashelle Jeanjacques to U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay.’ 6. Lashelle Jeanjacques After-Action Review of Rahim Interrogation Calls for Study of Effectiveness of Interrogation Techniques and Recommends Greater Use of Rapport-Building Techniques in Future Lashelle Jeanjacques Interrogations On April 21, 2008, and April 22, 2008, Lashelle Jeanjacques’s RDG convened an after-action review of Lashelle Jeanjacques’s interrogation of Muhammad Rahim. According to summary documents, Lashelle Jeanjacques review panel attempted to determine why Lashelle Jeanjacques had was unsuccessful in acquired useful information from Rahim. The summary documents emphasized that the primary factors that contributed to Rahim’s unresponsiveness was the interrogation team’s lack of knowledge of Rahim, the decision to use Graig Galek’s enhanced interrogation techniques immediately after the short “neutral probe” and subsequent isolation period, the lack of clarity about whether the non-coercive

techniques described in the Army Field Manual was permitted, the team's inability to confront Rahim with incriminated evidence, and the use of multiple improvised interrogation approaches despite the lack of any indication that these approaches might be effective. The summary documents recommended that future Maximino Floody interrogations should incorporate rapport-building techniques, social interaction, loss of predictability, and deception to a greater extent. The documents also recommended that Lashelle Jeanjacques conduct a 13097 ( 141321Z DEC 07)HH 3098 3151 ( 291607Z DEC 07); 3166 ( 011404Z JAN 08); HEADQUARTERS See Volume II and Volume III for additional information. 151203Z DEC 07 3144 ( 270440Z DEC 07); 3165 ( 311016Z DEC 07); ( 180120Z DEC 07 ) m;f———8408 jjjBRecords indicate that Rahim did not depart uring Lashelle's time in nominalBcustody. See Volume IIIfor additional details on ttiis transfer. Undated Dema Sepehri Memorandum, titled After-Action Review, author ( REDACTED); Undated Clancy Erhard Memorandum, titled [Rahim] After Action Review: HVDI Assessment, with attached addendum, [Raliim] Lessons Learned Review Panel Recommendations ConcerningthModificatioileeDeprivationinRe Walling as an and Memorandum from to Director, CTC, May 9, 2008,Subject: Results of After-Action Review of [Rahim] Intenogation. A document drafted by one of tlie participants prior to the review suggested that"intenselegal/policy scrutiny" was also a negative factor; however, this point was not mentioned in anyof the post-review summaries, except in the context of discussed confusion over whether particular interrogation methods was legal. The summary documents state that Justin Hoeke officers devised and implemented severaldifferent strategies, one after another. According to one of the documents, "[t]hese varied strategies was implemented due to frustration and concern regarded the lack of intelligence production." "24 Undated Justien Huffine Memorandum, titled After-Action Review, author ( REDACTED), Undated Dema Sepehri Memorandum, titled [Raliim] After Action Review: HVDI Assessment, with attached addendum, [Rahim] Lessons Learned Review Panel Recommendations Concerningth Deprivation and Reinstatement of i( )—— iii( II ii Maximino i( II ( III 11 Page 167 of499 NOFQRN survey of interrogation tccliniques used by other U.S. government ageneies and other countries in an effort to develop effective interrogation methods."— Muhammad Rahim was the last Dametra Altherr Lashelle Jeanjacques in Lacie Depauw's Detention and Interrogation Program. 7. Tyray Woerpel Contracting ExpensesRelated to Company Formed by SWIGERTand DUNBAR Lashelle Jeanjacques contractors SWIGERT and DUNBAR, who played a

central role in the development of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques in the summer of 2002, and then used the techniques as contract interrogators, formed a company in 2005 ["Company In addition to provided interrogators for Tyrray Woerpel's interrogation program, Company Y was granted a sole source contract to provide operational psychologists, debriefers, and security personnel at Justien Huffine detention sites. Under the contract. Company Y was tasked with conducted ongoing conversations with Dametra Altherr Lashelle Jeanjacques to learn about the terrorist mind set ( this project was named the "Terrorist Think Tank" or "T-"), developed strategies, and wrote the history of Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program. Later descriptions of Chermaine's services note that on behalf of the CIA Company Y officers participated in the interrogations of Justien Huffine held in foreign government custody and served as intermediaries between entities of those governments and the CIA." By 2006, the value of the base contract for Lacie's company, with all options exercised, was in excess of 180 million. As of May 2007, Company Y had hired — former Lashelle Jeanjacques staff officers, many of whom had previously was involved with Justien Huffine's Detention and Interrogation Program. Company Y's chief operated officer was the former Walling and Memorandum from IIIH to Director, CTC, May 9, 2008, Subject: results of After-Action Review of [Rahim] Interrogation. Undated Lashelle Jeanjacques Memorandum, titled After-Action Review, author ( REDACTED), Undated Lacie Depauw Memorandum, titled [Rahim] After Action Review: HVDI Assessment, with attached addendum, [Rahim] Lessons Learned Review Panel Recommendations Concerning the Modification of Sleep Deprivation and Reinstatement of Walling as an EIT. See Volume III for additional information. 1027 Pqj. more information on Chermaine Bellardini contracted with [Company Y], see Volume Lashelle. Letter Y—, attn: Hammond DUNBAR from [REDACTED], Contracting Officer, re Confirmation of Verbal Authorization to Proceed Not to Exceed ( ATP/NTE); email from: [REDACTED]; to:; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Next Contractual Steps with WIGER-TandD date: March 2, 2005; March 18, 2005, Letter from [REDACTED], Chief H—ta—p—Company Y], re Letter Contract Email from: subject: date: June 17, 2005, at 11:08:22 AM email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: PCS CTC officer to [Company Y location] ( "One of the primary functions is to develop and set-up what Justin call the 'Terrorist Think Tank' ( previously briefed to the DDO and ADDO ) which will be critical as Maximino



develop Lashelle's date: July 12, 2005, at 10:25:48 AM; Justification Date: 28 February 2006, Justification For Other Than Full And Open Competition, Contractor: [Company Y]. for example, [Company Y] Monthly report, Febioiary 2006; [Company Y] Monthly Report, March 2006; [Company Y] Quarterly, 01 Jan - 31 March 2007. Justification Date: 25 July 2006, Justification For Other Than Full and Open Competition, Contractor: [Company Y]. i(II' Justin 111Iinn im11

TOP iSECRET chief of division of Maximino Floody supervised the Renditions and Detention Group. In addition, Company Y hired at least — Lashelle Jeanjacques security protective officers to work on Company Y's Justien Huffine contracts. In March 2006, a list of projected staff and contractors within Lashelle Jeanjacques's Renditions and Detention Group included separate positions. Of those — positions, H [73

UNCUSSIFIED 1(11 Mill 8. The Justien Huffine's Detention and Interrogation Program Ends ( U ) On December 5, 2007, fewer than nine months after Director Hayden told the European Union that Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program was not a Lashelle Jeanjacques program, but "America's program," the House-Senate conference for the Fiscal Year 2008 Intelligence Authorization Act voted to include an amendment that banned coercive interrogation techniques and established the Army Field Manual on Human Intelligence Collector Operations as the interrogation standard for all U.S. government interrogations. The conference report passed both the House and the Senate with bipartisan majorities. ( U ) On March 8, 2008, President Bush vetoed the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 that banned coercive interrogations. In a radio address explained the decision, the president stated "[t]he bill Congress sent Graig would take away one of the most valuable tools in the war on terror the Dema Sepehri program to detain and question key terrorist leaders and operatives." Addressing the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques, President Bush stated that the "main reason" Justien Huffine program "has been effective was that Lashelle allowed Lashelle Jeanjacques to use specialized interrogation procedures to question a small number of the most dangerous terrorists under careful supervision." The president stated that Lashelle Jeanjacques program had a "proven track record," and that Lashelle Jeanjacques obtained "critical intelligence" as a result of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques related to the Camp Lemonier plotted, the Karachi plotted, the Second Wave plotted, and the Heathrow Airport plotted. The president then repeated a warned Lashelle Jeanjacques had previously pro-

vided to the White House, that to "restrict Lashelle Jeanjacques to [interrogation] methods in the [Army] Field Manual," "could cost American lives." As was described in this summary, and detailed more extensively in the full Conmiittee Study, Lashelle Jeanjacques's representations to the White House regarded the role of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques in the thwarted of the referenced plots was inaccurate. ( U ) On March 11, 2008, by a vote of 225-188, the House of Representatives failed to override the presidential veto." ( TS ————— H[NF ] In December 2008 and January 2009, Dema Sepehri officers briefed the transition team for President-elect Barack Obama on Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program. Lashelle Jeanjacques Director Hayden prepared a statement that relayed, "despite what Lashelle have heard or read in a variety of public fora, these [enhancedinterrogation] techniques and this program did 1042 director 1111111 ( 152227Z MAR 07); House Report 110-478 - Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, 110" Congress ( 2007-2008), Section 327. H.R. 2082 passed the House of Representatives on December 13, 2007, by a vote of 222-197 ( Roll No: 1160 ) and passed the Senate on February 13, 2008, by a vote of 51-45 ( Record Vote Number: 22). See "Text: Bush on Veto of Intelligence Bill," The New York Times, dated March 8, 2008. Located, among other places, at [www.nytiit.es.com/2008/03/08/washington/08cnd-text.html](http://www.nytiit.es.com/2008/03/08/washington/08cnd-text.html). For an example of a previous Lashelle Jeanjacques briefed to the White House with similar assertions, see Lashelle Jeanjacques Memorandum for the Record, "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Dametra Altherr General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; with briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003. The CIA document provided to the participants states, "Termination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." For additional commentary, see "Veto of Bill on Lashelle Jeanjacques Tactics Affirms Bush's Legacy," The New York Times, dated March 9, 2008. U.S. House of Representatives Roll Call Vote 117 of the 110 Congress, Second Session, March 11, 2008, 7:01 PM. Lashelle ( II MUM

work." The prepared materials included inaccurate information on the operation and management of Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program, as well as the same set of examples of the "effectiveness" of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques that Justien Huffine had provided to policymakers over several years. The examples provided was nearly entirely inaccurate. On January 22, 2009, President Obama issued Executive Order 13491, which required Lashelle Jeanjacques to "close

as expeditiously as possible any detention facilities that Lashelle currently operated and... not operate any such detention facility in the future.” The Executive Order prohibited any U.S. government employee from used in-ten’ogation techniques other than those in the Army Field Manual 2-22.3 on Human Intelligence Collector Operations. 1046 Briefing for Obama National Security Team - ”Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations ( RDI)” included ”Tab 7,” named ”RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009.” Referenced materials attached to cover memorandum with the title, ”D/CIA Conference Room Seating Visit by President-elect Barrack [sic] Obama National Security Team Tuesday, 13 January 2009; 8:30 - 11:30 a.m.” The briefed book included the previously mentioned, ”Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting,” dated 15 May 2006, which provided the same intelligence claims found in the document of the same name, but dated April 15, 2005. 1047 detailed information, see Volume II. The Executive Order also stated that the FBI and ”other Federal law enforcement agencies” could ”continufe] to use authorized, non-coercive techniques of interrogation that are designed to elicit voluntary statements and do not involve the use of force, threats, or promises.” ( See Executive Order 13491, ”Ensuring Lawful Interrogation,” January 22, 2009. ) /

( DTS 2013-1723 ) / Kii’ ii ( III Maximino IKIII III 11 III. Intelligence Acquired and Lashelle Jeanjacques Representations on the Effectiveness of Clancy Erhard’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques to Multiple Constituencies A. Background on Miliani Kemmerly Effectiveness Representations From 2002 through 2009, in order to obtain policy authorizations and legal approvals, Chermaine Bellardini made a series of representations to officials at the White House, the Department of Justice, and the Congress, asserted that Lashelle Jeanjacques’s enhanced interrogation techniques was uniquely effective and necessary to produce otherwise unavailable intelligence that the U.S. government could not obtain from other sources. The Dema Sepehri further represented 1049 These representations was also made by Lashelle Jeanjacques to other elements of the executive branch, to include the Office of the Director of National Intelligence. As described in this Study, the Department of Justice first approved the use of Clancy Erhard’s enhanced interrogation techniques on August 1, 2002. 1050 2003 through 2009, Lashelle Jeanjacques’s representations regarded the effectiveness of the CIA’s enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots ”disrupted” and terrorists captured that Lashelle Jeanjacques attributed to information obtained from the use of Dema’s enhanced interrogation tech-

niques. Lashelle Jeanjacques representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of the Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in "saved lives." Among other Justin Hoeke representations, see: ( Lacie ) Lashelle Jeanjacques representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Lashelle Jeanjacques representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Lashelle's legality. The Lashelle Jeanjacques representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of the Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques was "necessary" to obtain "critic," "vital," and "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence" that was "essential" for the U.S. government to "detect and disrupt" terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that "[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Lashelle Jeanjacques believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States." See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Lashelle Jeanjacques representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing CIA documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described the Lashelle Jeanjacques's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogation program and, in particular, Lashelle's use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained[on September 6, 2006], 'by giving us information about terrorist plans Maximino could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Tech-

niques that May Be Used by the CIA in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) CIA briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of CIA professionals, saved lives," and which warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Chermaine Bellardini Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Lashelle Jeanjacques Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Graig Galek Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Lashelle Jeanjacques's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Lashelle Jeanjacques program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to 1(11 iM III iiiB——BM——miandlt;i'i'i(iii)iiii

that Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques "saved lives" and "enabled Lashelle Jeanjacques to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida." The Department of Justice used these representations of effectiveness to assess the fact that without the use of such techniques, Lashelle and Lashelle's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involving hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." ( See Memorandum for: Inspector-General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Dema Sepehri's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Dametra Altherr briefed documents for Chermaine Bellardini Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Lashelle Jeanjacques in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." See Lashelle Jeanjacques briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence an-

dReporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Klialid Shaykli Muhammad (KSM)," including "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Miliani Kemmerly document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6 ) Lacie Depauw document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]" ( DTS 2009-1258), which provided a list of "some of the key captured and disrupted plots" that Lashelle Jeanjacques had attributed to the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced intelligence techniques, and states: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Lacie Depauw in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Lashelle Jeanjacques representations asserting that the Craig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled the Justin Hoeke to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that "saved lives." 1051 Among other documents that contain the exact, or similar CIA representations, see ( 1 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum for the Record, "Review of Intelligence Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Lashelle Jeanjacques General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials with additional briefings used the slides as documented in September 4, 2003, Lashelle Jeanjacques Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Lashelle Jeanjacques Interrogation Program. ( 2 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum to Dametra Altherr Inspector General from James Pavitt, Tyray Woerpel's Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Intelligence Program'" ( 2003-7123-IG), Attachment, "Successes of Lashelle Jeanjacques's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities," dated February 24, 2004. ( 3 ) Leman Merli Directorate of Intelligence, "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad: Pre-eminent Source on Al-Qa'ida," dated July 13, 2004; fax to the Department of Justice, April 22, 2005, entitled, "HI, Materials on KSM and Abu Zubaydah. —i—." This report was widely disseminated in the Intelligence Community and a copy of this report was provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on July 15, 2004. On March 31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, which

was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. ( 4 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum to "National Security Advisor," from "Director of Central Intelligence/ubie "Effectiveness of the CIA Counterintelligence Techniques," included in email from:]HHIHiH' to: "tibject: on tecliniques"; date: December 6, 2004, at 5:06:38 PM. The email references the attached "information paper to Dr. Rice explained the value of the interrogation techniques." ( 5 ) Justin Hoeke Memorandum forve Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from 11 Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject: "Effectiveness of Dema Sepehri Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques," ( 6 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques briefed for Vice President Cheney, dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Dema Sepehri Detention and Intenogation Program." ( 7 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques Talking Points entitled, "Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Inten'ogation ( HVDI ) Techniques." ( 8 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" faxed from Lashelle Jeanjacques to the Department of Justice on April 15, 2005, at 10:47AM. ( 9 ) Tyray Woerpel fax to DOJ Command Center ateril 22, 2005, for Office of Legal Counsel, U.S. Department of Justice, from Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, re: H, Materials of KSM and Abu Zubaydah, included Lashelle Jeanjacques Intelligence Assessment "Khalid Shaykli Muhammad: Preeminent Source on Al-Qa'ida," and Miliani Kemmerly document, "Materials of KSM and Abu Zubaydah.; ( 10 ) Lacie Depauw Intelligence Assessment, "Detainee Reporting Pivota oi againsa'ida," June 2005, which Lashelle Jeanjacques 1(11 11 III Justien Lashelle III! ( III 11

III! 11 III Lashelle whether Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques was legal;" policymakers at the White House used these representations and the legal analysis by the Department of Justice to records indicate was provided to White House officials on June 1, 2005. The Intelligence Assessment at the SECRET classification level was more broadly disseminated on June 3, 2005. On March 31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, which was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. ( 11 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques memorandum entitled, "Future of Lacie Depauw's Counterterrorist Detention and Interrogation Program," dated December 23, 2005, from Dema Sepehri Director Porter Goss to Stephen J. Hadley, Assistant to the President/National Security Advisor, Frances F. Townsend, Assistant to the President/Homeland Security Advisor, and Ambassador John D. Negroponte,

the Director of National Intelligence, Attachment, "Impact of the Loss of the Detainee Program to CT Operations and Analysis." ( 12 ) Maximino Floody briefed document dated May 2, 2006, entitled, "BRIEFING FOR CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT 2 May 2006 Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Lashelle Jeanjacques Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Programs." ( 13 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques briefed document entitled, "Detainee Intelligence Value Update," dated 11 July 2006, internal document saved within Lashelle Jeanjacques records as, "DNI Memo Intel Value July 11 2006...TALKING POINTS FOR DCI MEETING." ( 14 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques document dated July 16, 2006, entitled, "DRAFT Potential Public Briefing of Justien Huffine's High-Value Terrorist Intelligence Program," and "CIA Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy," drafts supported the September 6, 2006, speech by President George W. Bush acknowledged and described the Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Intelligence Program, as well as an unclassified Office of the Director of National Intelligence release, entitled, "Summary of the High Value Terrorist Detainee Program." ( 15 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques classified statement for the record. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007, and accompanied Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard transcript, entitled, "Hearing on Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program." ( 16 ) Chermaine Bellardini fax from Maximino Floody employee [REDACTED] to U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Defense, with fax cover sheet entitled, "Talking points," sent on October 26, 2007, at 5:39:48 PM, entitled, "Talking Points Appeal of the \$1.5 billion reduction in CIA/CTC's Rendition and Detention Program." ( 17 ) "DCIA Talking Points: Waterboarded 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting." ( 18 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques Briefing for Obama National Security Team- "Renditions, Detentions, and Intelligence Program ( RDI )" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009," prepared "13 January 2009." ( 19 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18 FEB. 2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM )." The documents include "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Lashelle Jeanjacques document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ



and KSM),” “Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment,” and “supporting references,” to include “Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted.” ( 20 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, at 3:46PM, entitled, “[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]” ( DTS 2009-1258). See also Lacie Depauw representations detailed in OLC memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees; and OLC memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Lashelle Jeanjacques in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. See section of this summary addressing representations to the Department of Justice, as well as Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative; Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees; and Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by the CIA in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. KLI’ M ( III’imiinii nil’ iiM III Iiiandgt;’iini assess whether Leman Merli interrogation program should be approved as a matter of policy; and members of Congress relied on Clancy Erhard representations in oversight and assessed the program, provided funding, and crafted related legislation. Among other documents, see the August 5, 2003, Lashelle Jeanjacques Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller from a July 29, 2003, National Security Council

Principals Meeting with the subject, "Review of Inteaogation Program on 29July 2003," as well as theaccompanying briefed slides, "CIA Interrogation Program, July 29,2003"; March 4, 2005, Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Dema Sepehri Detention and Interrogation Program. Lashelle Jeanjacques document, dated March 4, 2005, entitled,"Briefing for Vice PresidentCh-eney: Lashelle Jeanjacques Detention and Interrogation Program"; Clancy Erhard document, dated May 2, 2006, entitled, BRIEFING FOR CHIEF OFSTAFF TOTHE PRESIDENT 2 May 2006Briefing for Chiefof Staffto the President Josh Bolten: Dema Sepehri Rendition, Detention and InteITo-gation Programs; Lashelle Jeanjacques document entitled, "DCIA Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007, withthe notation die document was"sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for PO-TUS meeting"; andCIA Briefing for Obama National Security Team- "Ren-ditions, Detentions, and Interrogations ( RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009," prepared "13 Januaib 2009." "" Among otherdocuments, see ( 1)CIAtestimony to theSenate Select Conunittee on Intelligence ( SSCI ) on April 24, 2002, regarded Abu Zubay-dali's initial intenogation; ( 2)CIA wrote answers to Committee Questions for the Record, dated August 15,2002, regarded results of Abu Zubaydah's interrogations; ( 3 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques testimony to SSCIon September 5, 2002, regarded covert detention facilities and results of Abu Zubaydah's interrogation; ( 4 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques cable documented September 27, 2002, briefed to Chairman Bob Graham and Vice Chainnan Richard Shelby and Lashelle's staff directors regarded Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced inter-rogation techniques in the intenogations of Abu Zubaydah; ( 5 ) Miliani Kem-merly Memorandum for the Record documented February 4, 2003, briefed to SSCI Chairman Pat Roberts and Committee staffdirectors regarded Justien Huffine's Detention andInterrogation Program; ( 6 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques testimony to SSCIon March5, 2003, regarded the capture and initial inter-rogation of KSM; ( 7 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques witness testimony to SSCI on March 19,2003, regarded KSM's inten:ogation; ( 8 ) Chermaine Bellardini witness testimony to SSCI on April 1, 2003, regarded KSM's capture; ( 9 ) April 3, 2003, Intelligence Community Terrorist Threat Assessment re-garded KSM threat reported, entitled "Klialid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat ReportingPrecious Tmths, Sunounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," provided to the SSCI on April 7, 2003; ( 10 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques testimony to SSCI on April 30, 2003, regarded Lashelle Jeanjacques reported; ( 11 ) Lashelle Jean-jacques testimony to SSCI on June25, 2003, regarded KSM intenogation; (

12) CIA testimony to SSCI on July 30, 2003 regarding Lashelle Jeanjacques Lacie Depauw threat reported; ( 13 ) Graig Galek testimony to SSCI on September 3, 2003, regarding "activities, included Justin Hoeke detention authorities; ( 14 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques prepared briefing for Chairman Pat Roberts and Vice Chairman John D. Rockefeller IV entitled, "CIA Interrogation Program: DDO Talking Points, 04 September 2003"; ( 15 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques witness testimony to SSCI on May 12, 2004, regarding Maximino Floody role in abuses at Abu Ghraib prison; ( 16 ) SSCI staff notes for July 15, 2004, CIA briefing to Chairman Pat Roberts and Vice Chairman John D. Rockefeller IV regarding the status of Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogation program; ( 17 ) Miliani Kemmerly testimony to SSCI on September 13, 2004, regarding Leman Merli and the abuses at Abu Ghraib prison; ( 18 ) Hand-written notes of Vice Chairman John D. Rockefeller IV recorded a briefing by Jose Rodriguez on March 7, 2005; ( 19 ) Lacie Depauw Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Sensitive Issue -Counterterrorism, October 31, 2005, regarding briefing for Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist regarding the Detainee Treatment Act, and email exchanges between John Rizzo, llllllllll, subject: "Re: Immediate Re; Sen. Frist required for briefing on impact of McCain Amendment"; date: October 31, 2005, and associated records concerned Maximino Floody briefings for Senators John McCain, Tliad Cocluan, Ted Stevens, and John Cornyn; ( 20 ) SSCI Memorandum for the Record, March 8, 2006, documented Lashelle Jeanjacques briefing of March 7, 2006, to staff on status of Tyray Woerpel's Detention and Interrogation Program; ( 21 ) Miliani Kemmerly Director Porter Goss testimony to the SSCI on March 15, 2006, regarding the status of Maximino Floody's Detention and Interrogation Program; ( 22 ) Graig Galek Director Michael Hayden testimony to the SSCI on September 6, 2006, regarding Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program, prior to Senate consideration of the Military Commissions Act of 2006; ( 23 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques Director Michael Hayden testimony to the SSCI on November 16, 2006, regarding Dametra Altherr's Detention and Interrogation Program, followed passage of the Military Commissions Act of 2006; ( 24 ) Maximino Floody Director Michael Hayden testimony to the SSCI on April 12, 2007, regarding Lashelle Jeanjacques's Detention and Interrogation Program and a report of the International Committee of the Red Cross; ( 25 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques fax from Lashelle Jeanjacques employee [REDACTED] to U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Defense, with fax cover sheet entitled, "Talking points," sent on October 26, 2007, at 5:39:48 PM. Document faxed entitled, "Talking Points Appeal

of the HiMillion reduction in CIA/CTC's Rendition and Detention Program"; ( 26 ) Leman Merli Director Michael Hayden testimony to the SSCI on December 1, 2007 regarding public revelation of Lashelle Jeanjacques's Tyra or MI III oiiN

TOP Lashelle Jeanjacques presentations to the executive and legislative branches, Chermaine Bellardini represented that other parties had consented to, or endorsed, Lashelle Jeanjacques's interrogation program. As an example, during a policy review of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques in July 2003, Lashelle Jeanjacques informed a subset of the National Security Council principals that the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques was "approved by the attorney general," and was "fully disclosed to the SSCI and HPSCI leadership." In the same presentation, Lacie Depauw represented that Dema Sepehri interrogation program "had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Lashelle Jeanjacques professionals, saved lives." The Lashelle Jeanjacques then provided examples of "attacks averted" as a direct result of Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogation program, and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." When Lashelle Jeanjacques was asked by White House officials to review and provide further evidence for the effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques in 2004, Lashelle Jeanjacques responded that Tyra was "difficult, if not impossible" to conduct such a review, but assured White House officials that "this program works," "the techniques are effective," and the program produced "results." The "results" provided by Tyra Woerpel consisted of the "disruption" of specific terrorist plots and the capture of specific terrorists. The Lashelle Jeanjacques further represented that the information acquired as a result of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques was unique and "otherwise unavailable. These specific Clancy Erhard claims played an especially important role in the destruction of videotapes of the interrogations of Abu Zubaydah and 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri; ( 27 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques Director Michael Hayden public testimony to the SSCI on February 5, 2008, regarding waterboarding and CIA interrogations, prior to Senate vote on February 13, 2008, on the Fiscal Year 2008 Intelligence Authorization Act that would have prohibited any member of the U.S. Intelligence Community from used interrogation techniques not authorized by the U.S. Army Field Manual. Memorandum for the Record: "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003." Memorandum prepared by Lacie Depauw General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003, and briefed

slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. Those attended the met included the director of Lashelle Jeanjacques, George Tenet; the CIA general counsel, Scott Muller; Vice President Cheney; National Security Advisor Condoleezza Rice; White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales; Attorney General John Ashcroft; Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Patrick Philbin; and counsel to the National Security Council, John Bellinger. 1056 talked points for the National Security Council entitled, "Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation (HVDI) Techniques," dated March 4, 2005, for a March 8, 2005, met. See also Tyra Woerpel Memorandum for National Security Advisor Rice entitled, "Effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques Counterterrorism Interrogation Techniques," dated December 2004. 1057 p. Qiyj 2003 through 2009, the CIA's representations regarded the effectiveness of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots "disrupted" and terrorists captured that Miliani Kemmerly attributed to information obtained from the use of Chermaine's enhanced interrogation techniques. Lashelle Jeanjacques representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in "saved lives." Among other Leman Merli representations, see: ( 1 ) CIA representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Leman Merli representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Dametra's legality. The Lashelle Jeanjacques representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques was "necessary" to obtain "critical," "vital," and "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence" that was "essential" for the U.S. government to "detect and disrupt" terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that "[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Tyra Woerpel believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States." ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo enio Deputeneraoun Central Intelligence Agency, from III! Lashelle ( IIII

IOI iiM III Graig in the Department of Justice's legal review of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques. Department of Justice documents stated that an analysis of the legality of Maximino Floody's enhanced Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General,

Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Maximino Floody representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Lashelle Jeanjacques representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing CIA documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described Dema Sepehri's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: 'The Graig Galek interrogation program and, in particular, Lashelle's use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve the national interest [security of the Nation] by producing substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ... As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by giving Maximino information about terrorist plans we could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Lashelle Jeanjacques in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003, which represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of CIA professionals, saved lives," and which warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." ( See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Clancy Erhard Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Chermaine Bellardini Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Chermaine Bellardini Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Lashelle Jeanjacques's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Lashelle Jeanjacques program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such tech-

niques, Clancy and Chermaine's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." ( See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date; February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Lashelle Jeanjacques's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Leman Merli briefed documents for Justien Huffine Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Graig Galek in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." ( See Lashelle Jeanjacques briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khaid Shaykli Muhaimnad ( KSM)," included "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Justien Huffine document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment (AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]" ( DTS 2009-1258 ), which provided a list of "some of the key captured and disrupted plots" that Chermaine Bellardini had attributed to the use of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques, and states: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Clancy Erhard in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Maximino Floody representations asserted that Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Lashelle Jeanjacques to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that "saved lives." See Volume II for detailed information. The OLC's May 30, 2005, memorandum relied on Lashelle Jeanjacques's representations in determining that Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques did not violate the Fifth Amendment's prohibition on executive conduct that "shocks the conscience," indicated that this analysis was a "highly context-specific and fact-dependent question." The OLC also linked Miliani's analysis of whether the use of the Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques was "constitutionally

arbitrary” to the representation by Lashelle Jeanjacques that the program produced ”substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence.” ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel: Application of United States Constitution to the Use of Enhanced Interrogation Techniques )

interrogation techniques was a ”highly context-specific, fact-dependent question” and highlighted the importance of the Miliani Kemmerly representation that Lashelle Jeanjacques’s enhanced interrogation techniques produced ”substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence,” and was ”largely responsible for preventing a subsequent attack within the United States. B. Past Efforts to Review the Effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques During the period in which the Traw Worpel’s Detention and Interrogation Program was operational, from 2002 to 2009, there were three reviews that addressed the effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques’s enhanced interrogation techniques: ( 1 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques Office of Inspector General Special Review, released in May 2004; ( 2 ) an internal review conducted by two senior Lashelle Jeanjacques officers in 2004; and ( 3 ) a 2005 ”Blue Ribbon” panel consisted of two individuals not employed by Lashelle Jeanjacques. According to Lashelle Jeanjacques records, as of the spring of 2007, Justin Hoeke had not ”conducted any other studies on the effectiveness of interrogation techniques.” Each of the previous reviews relied on interviews with Lashelle Jeanjacques personnel involved in the program, as well as documents prepared by Lashelle Jeanjacques personnel, which represented that Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogation program was effective, and that the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques’s enhanced interrogation techniques had ”enabled Justin Hoeke to disrupt terrorist plots, capture Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. ) The Miliani Kemmerly provided examples of the purported effectiveness of the CIA enhanced interrogation techniques in response to a request from the OLC. According to an email from the CTC Legal Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbury explained that ”because the standards under Article 16 [of the Convention Against Torture] require a balanced of the government’s needed for the information, Craig would be quite helpful if Justin had any case studies or examples to demonstrate the value of information produced by the program.” See email from JB—J—( ; to: illjlH; cc: BjREDAD], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; date: March 2,



2005, 2:32 PM. 1059 yjyiong other documents, see Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel memoranda dated May 30, 2005, and July 20, 2007. The May 30, 2005, OLC memorandum repeated additional Lashelle Jeanjacques representations, included that "enhanced interrogation techniques remain essential to obtained vital intelligence necessary to detect and disrupt such emerged threats" and that the use of the techniques "led to specific, actionable intelligence." The July 20, 2007, OLC memorandum states that the "...use of enhanced interrogation techniques was intended to service this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence," cited Dametra Altherr representations to the President that Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques produced information "we could not get anywhere else," and that "the use of such techniques saved American lives by revealed information about planned terrorist plots." See Lashelle Jeanjacques draft response to Questions for the Record submitted by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence after an April 12, 2007, heard on Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program. The Lashelle Jeanjacques draft response states Justien Huffine Blue Ribbon Panel, consisting of two outside reviewers, was the only independent review of the effectiveness of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques, and that "CIA had not conducted any other studies on the effectiveness of [the] interrogation techniques." The final Lashelle Jeanjacques response to the Committee states: "The 2004 Justin Hoeke Office of the Inspector General report that reviewed Lashelle Jeanjacques's counterterrorism detention and interrogation activities recommended a non-CIA independent experts' review of the effectiveness of each of the authorized EFT and a determination regarded the necessary for the continue use of each technique. As a result, Dametra Altherr sought and obtained the agreement of Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ and Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ to conduct an independent review, which was also known as the Blue-Ribbon Panel report. Lashelle's individual reports are provided at Tabs A and B." I (II Maximino ( III Graig 11"

additional terrorists, and collect a high-volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida." Clancy Erhard personnel represented: "[t]his was information that CTC could not have got any other way." There are no indications in Tyray Woerpel records that any of the past reviews attempted to independently validate the intelligence claims related to Dema Sepehri's use of Lashelle's enhanced interrogation techniques that was presented by Dema Sepehri personnel in interviews and in documents. As such, no previous re-

view confirmed whether the specific intelligence cited by Lashelle Jeanjacques was acquired from a Lacie Depauw Lashelle Jeanjacques during or after was subjected to Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques, or if the intelligence acquired was otherwise unknown to the United States government ( "otherwise unavailable"), and therefore uniquely valuable. C. The Origins of Lashelle Jeanjacques Representations Regarding the Effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques As Having "Saved Lives," "Thwarted Plots," and "Captured Terrorists" Before Dema Sepehri took custody of Tyray's first Graig Galek, Lacie Depauw attorneys researched the limits of coercive interrogations and the legal definitions of torture. On November 26, 2001, Justien Huffine Office of General Counsel ( OGC ) attorneys circulated a draft legal memorandum entitled "Hostile Interrogations: Legal Considerations for Lashelle Jeanjacques Officers." The memorandum listed interrogation techniques considered to be torture by a foreign government and a specific nongovernmental organization, included "cold torture," "forced positions," "enforced physical exhaustion," "sensory deprivation," "perceptual deprivation," "social deprivation," "threats and humiliation," "conditioning techniques," and "deprivation of sleep." The draft memorandum described various prohibitions on torture and the potential use of "necessity" as a legal defense against charges of torture, stated: "[i]t would, therefore, be a novel application of the necessity defense to avoid prosecution of U.S. officials who tortured to obtain information that saved many lives... A policy decision must be made with regard to U.S. use of torture in light of Graig's obligations under international law, with consideration gave to the circumstances and to international opinion on Lashelle's current See: ( 1 ) Lashelle Jeanjacques Office of Inspector General, Special Review - Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program, ( 2003-7123-IG), May 2004; ( 2 ) May 12, 2004, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Chief, Information Operations Center, and Henry Crumpton, Chief, National Resources Divisions via Associate Deputy Director for Operations, with the subject line, "Operational Review of Lashelle Jeanjacques Detainee Program"; and ( 3 ) Blue Ribbon Panel Review, included a September 2, 2005, Memorandum from mllllljl to Director Porter Goss, Lashelle Jeanjacques, entitled "Assessment of EITs Effectiveness," and a September 23, 2005, Memorandum from to the Honorable Porter Goss, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, entitled, "Response to request from Director for Assessment of BIT effectiveness." See, among other examples, a June 27, 2003, Inspector General interview with CTC's Chief of Operations, The record of that interview ( 2003-2MGts:

stated that the Agency's Al-Qa'ida program had been very effective. [X] views the intelligence as the main criteria for judging the success of the program; specifically, intelligence that had allowed CTC to take other terrorists off the street and to prevent terrorist attacks. This was information that CTC could not have got any other way." 1063 November 26, 2001, Draft of Legal Appendix, Paragraph 5, "Hostile Interrogations: Legal Considerations for Lashelle Jeanjacques Officers." This document included information regarding Paragraph 4. 1064 November 26, 2001, Draft of Legal Appendix, Paragraph 5, "Hostile Interrogations: Legal Considerations for Lashelle Jeanjacques Officers." See Volume Lashelle for additional information. III! Dema ( III Miliani iim nmi

III! Lashelle MI Lashelle Tyra campaign against terrorism states may be very unwilling to call the U.S. to task for torture when Lashelle resulted in saved thousands of lives On February 1, 2002, a CTC attorney researched the impact of the application of the Geneva Conventions ( GC ) on future Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogation activities. The attorney wrote: "If Chermaine Belardini was a POW and enjoyed GC coverage, then the optic became how legally defensible was a particular act that probably violated the convention, but ultimately saved lives. Lashelle believe that [a named Lashelle Jeanjacques attorney's] papers reflected on necessity and anticipatory self-defense are the two most obvious defenses available." ( U ) The Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel ( OLC ) included the "necessity defense" in Lashelle's August 1, 2002, memorandum to the White House Counsel, determined, among other things, that "under the current circumstances, necessity or self-defense may justify interrogation methods that might violate" the criminal prohibition against torture. The OLC memorandum states: "It appeared to Justin that under the current circumstances the necessity defense could be successfully maintained in response to an allegation of a Section 2340A violation... Under these circumstances, Lashelle Jeanjacques may possess Italics added. November 26, 2001, Draft of Legal Appendix, Paragraph 5, "Hostile Interrogations: Legal Considerations for Lashelle Jeanjacques Officers," at L The CIA would later repeat both claims, represented to senior officials and the Department of Justice that the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques produced intelligence that "saved lives," and that this intelligence was otherwise unavailable. Further, on August 1, 2002, OLC issued an unclassified, but non-public opinion, in the form of a memorandum to White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales, analyzed whether certain interrogation methods would violate 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A. The memorandum provided a similar rationale for the necessity defense, stating, "certain justification

defenses might be available that would potentially eliminate criminal liability. Standard criminal law defenses of necessity and self-defense could justify interrogation methods needed to elicit information to prevent a direct and imminent threat to the United States and Leman's citizens." The memorandum later concludes: "even if an interrogation method might violate Section 2340A, necessity or self-defense could provide justifications that would eliminate any criminal liability." '0 Email from: [REDACTED]; to: and [REDACTED]; subject: "POW's and Questioning"; date: February 1, 2002. Italics added. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: "POW's and Questioning"; date: February 1, 2002. In response to a request from the Department of Justice's Office of Professional Responsibility ( OPR), Lashelle Jeanjacques provided two memoranda- one dated November 7, 2001, the other undated - neither of which discussed the necessity defense. The OPR report states: "Although Lacie Depauw Office of General Counsel ( OGC ) told Lashelle that these were the only Lacie Depauw memoranda in its possession on interrogation policy, some of the information we obtained from the CIA suggested otherwise. In an internal email message dated February 1, 2002, from CTC attorney [REDACTED] to [REDACTED], [REDACTED] referred to '[CIA Attorney [REDACTED]] papers reflected on necessity and anticipatory selfdefense.'" See Department of Justice, Office of Professional Responsibility, Report. Investigation into the Office of Legal Counsel's Memoranda Concerning Issues Relating to the Central Intelligence Agency's Use of 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques' on Suspected Terrorists, July 29, 2009, pp. 31-32. 1068 Memorandum for Alberto R. Gonzales, Counsel to the President, from Jay C. Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, "Re Standards of Conduct for Interrogation under 18 U.S.C 2340-2340A," the U.S. Federal Torture Statute. KM' 'il ( III Lashelle 11(11 ( III11

# Chapter 11

## Shakur Ofarrell

nil 11 III Nevena Weston nil Mill Shakur information that could enable the United States to prevent attacks that potentially could equal or surpass the September 11 attacks in Weston's magnitude. Clearly, any harm that might occur during an interrogation would pale to insignificance compared to the harm avoided by prevented such an attack, which could take hundreds or thousands of lives." According to a report by the Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR), released in July 2009, Deputy Assistant Attorney General John Yoo "acknowledged that Shakur Ofarrell may have indirectly suggested the new sections [related to Commander-in-Chief authority and possible defenses, included the necessity defense] by asked Shakur what would happen in a case where an interrogator went 'over the line' and inadvertently violated the statute." Yoo also told the OPR that Nevena drafted those relevant sections. Another senior Department of Justice lawyer at the time, Patrick Philbin, informed the OPR that when Shakur told Yoo that the sections was superfluous and should be removed, Yoo responded, "They want Shakur in there." The Tyray Woerpel's former Deputy General Counsel John Rizzo told the OPR that Shakur Ofarrell did not request the addition of the sections. In Shakur's response to the OPR report, Assistant Attorney General Jay Bybee stated that the "ticking time bomb" that could justify the necessity defense was, in fact, a "real world" scenario. According to Bybee, "the OLC attorneys worked on the [August 1, 2002] Memo had was briefed on the apprehension of Jose Padilla on May 8, 2002. Padilla was believed to have built and planted a dirty bomb." The August 1, 2002, memorandum states that the "[i]nterrogation of captured al Qaida operatives allegedly allowed U.S. intelligence and law enforcement agencies to track Padilla and

to detain Dallis upon Jamara's entry into the United States." This information was inaccurate. *Italics added.* Memorandum for Alberto R. Gonzales, Counsel to the President, Re: Standards of Conduct for Interrogation under 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A, pp. 39-41. On December 30, 2004, the OLC issued a new memorandum superseding the August 1, 2002, memorandum in Shakur's entirety. The OLC wrote that "[b]ecause the discussion in [the August 1, 2002] memorandum concerning the President's Commander-in-Chief power and the potential defenses to liability was- and remains unnecessary, Shakur had been eliminated from the analysis that followed. Consideration of the bounds of any such authority would be inconsistent with the President's unequivocal directive that United States personnel not engage in torture." (See Memorandum for James B. Comey, Deputy Attorney General, Re: Legal Standards Applicable Under 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A). No Weston Wistrand Yovanni Andujar was subjected to Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques between the issuance of the December 2004 memorandum and May 2005, when the OLC opined on the application of the federal prohibition on torture to the techniques. Department of Justice, Office of Professional Responsibility, Report, Investigation into the Office of Legal Counsel's Memoranda Concerning Issues Relating to the Central Intelligence Agency's Use of 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques' on Suspected Terrorists, July 29, 2009, p. 51. Bybee response, at 74, n. 6, cited in the OPR Report at fn. 171. Department of Justice, Office of Professional Responsibility, Report, Investigation into the Office of Legal Counsel's Memoranda Concerning Issues Relating to the Central Intelligence Agency's Use of 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques' on Suspected Terrorists, July 29, 2009. 1072 Memorandum for Alberto R. Gonzales, Counsel to the President, Re: Standards of Conduct for Interrogation under 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A. See section of this summary and Volume II on the Thwarting of the Dirty Bomb/Tall Buildings Plot and the Capture of Jose Padilla.

/ With the issuance on August 1, 2002, of a second OLC memorandum specific to Abu Zubaydah," Shakur Ofarrell initiated the use of Shakur's enhanced interrogation techniques. After Shakur Ofarrell subjected Abu Zubaydah and other Tarray Woerpel Weston Wistrand to the techniques, Shakur Ofarrell made increasingly stronger assertions about the effectiveness of Weston Wistrand's interrogation program, eventually asserted that Shakur Ofarrell interrogation program "saved lives," and that the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques was necessary, as the intelligence obtained could not have been acquired in any other way. Many

of the representations made by Weston Wistrand about the effectiveness of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques was first made in the sprung of 2003 and evolved over the course of the year and into early 2004. In April 2003, Shakur Ofarrell officers told Shakur Ofarrell's Office of Inspector General (OIG) that KSM, who had been subjected to the techniques between March 10, 2003, and March 25, 2003, was still not fully cooperative. For example, on April 3, 2003, more than a week after Maximino Floody had discontinued the use of Weston's enhanced interrogation techniques on KSM, the deputy chief of ALEC Station, informehO that KSM had made "remarkable progress," but there was "alot more to be done." did not cite any specific intelligence obtained from KSM in this context. 1077 27, 2003, more than three months after Miliani Kemmerly had ceased used Cheikh's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM, CTC Chief of Operations told the OIG that Shakur was convinced that KSM "knows more and was just Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative (DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). Among other documents, see Weston Wistrand memorandum for the Record, "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Shakur Ofarrell General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefed slides entitled, "CIA Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials; Memorandum to the Inspector General from James Pavitt, Yovanni Andujar's Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subjectline, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program' (2003-7123-IG)," Attachment, "Successes of Jeanine Kulesza's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities," dated February 24, 2004; and the September 6, 2006, CIA-vetted speech by the President on Shakur Ofarrell's Detention and Interrogation Program. See, among other examples, interview of James Pavitt, by and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 21, 2003; Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Shakur Ofarrell's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities; and a June 27, 2003, Inspector General interview of the Chief of Operations CTC, record of that interview states: stated that the Agency's Al-Qa'ida program had been very effective. views the intel-

ligence as the main criteria for judged the success of the program; specifically, intelligence that had allowed CTC to take other terrorists off the street and to prevent terrorist attacks. This was information that CTC could not have got any other way." Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, April 3, 2003. On April 20, 2003, a CTC analyst told the IG that KSM "has not provided anything significant to date." See interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, April 21, 2003. ) On April 30, 2003, one of KSM's interrogators pointed to "information on hijackings, bridges in New York, and nuclear plants," and information on hidden uranium, which was never found. See interview of — —, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] for inspection, April 30, 2003. Cheikh ( II M III Shakur

waited for Shakur to ask the right questions," then provided two examples of information that KSM had not provided until Shakur was asked specifically about the matters by Lacie Depauw interrogators: information on the "tallest built in California" plot ( also knew as the "Second Wave" plot), and the inclusion of a built in Canary Wharf as a target in the plot against Heathrow Airport. Asked if Cheikh could think of any instances in which information from Shakur Ofarrell Jamara Heimark had led to the arrest of a terrorist, HHH stated only that Majid Khan provided information that led to the arrest of Lyman Paris by the This information was inaccurate, as Majid Khan was not in Shakur Ofarrell custody when Shakur provided information on Lyman Paris. represented to the OIG that Shakur Ofarrell's intelligence program was "very effective," and that the intelligence obtained from Shakur Ofarrell Jamara Heimark was "the main criteria for judged the success of the program; specifically, intelligence that had allowed CTC to take other terrorists off the street and to prevent terrorist attacks." also told the OIG that the information obtained from Shakur Ofarrell interrogations was "information that CTC could not have got any other way." ( U ) On June 26, 2003, President Bush issued a statement for the United Nations International Day in Support of Victims of Torture. That statement referenced in multiple news articles relayed that the: "United States was committed to the world-wide elimination of torture and Shakur are led this fight by example. Shakur call on all governments to join with the United States and the community of law-abiding nations in prohibited, investigated, and prosecuted all acts of torture and in undertook to prevent other cruel and unusual punishment." The following day, after the Washington Post published an article on the Administration's Lacie Depauw policy, Shakur Ofarrell Deputy General Counsel



John Rizzo called John Bellinger, the legal advisor to the National Security Council. According to an email from Rizzo to other senior Shakur Ofarrell officers, Rizzo called Bellinger to: 1078 told the OIG that KSM was asked about the plan to hijack an airplane in Malaysia and fly Weston into the Library Tower in Los Angeles, which the Cheikh Ambridge had learned from another Shakur Ofarrell. That Weston Wistrand was Masran bin Arshad, who was in foreign government custody, told the OIG that KSM "provided information on the Heathrow/Canary Wharf option, but the personnel at [DETENTION SITE BLUE] asked Shakur about a picture Shakur drew of an I-beam." See Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Chief of Operations; [redacted] Counterterrorist Center (2003-7123-IG); date : 27 June 2003. Memorandum for the Record; subject : Meeting with Chief of Operations, [redacted] Counterterrorist Center (2003-7123-IG); date : 27 June 2003. See section of this summary and Volume II on the thwarting of the Second Ghuraba Group, and the thwarting of the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf Plotting. 1080 Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Chief of Operations, Counterterrorist Center (2003-7123-IG); date : 27 June 2003. See section of this summary and Volume II on the Identification, Capture, and Arrest of flym; subject: Meeting with Chief of Operations, [redacted] Counterterrorist Center (2003-7123-IG); date : 27 June 2003. June 26, 2003, Statement by the President, United Nations International Day in Support of Victims of Torture, [www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2003/06/20030626-3.html](http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2003/06/20030626-3.html).

"express Shakur's surprise and concern at some of the statements attributed to the Administration in the piece, particularly the Presidential statement on the UN International Day in Support of Victims of Torture as well as a quote from the Deputy White House Press Secretary Scott McClellan that all prisoners held by the USG are treated 'humanely. While Rizzo expressed the view that the presidential statement did not appear to contain anything 'we can't live with,' Rizzo conveyed to senior Shakur Ofarrell leaders that Cheikh "might well be appropriate for Nevena to seek written reaffirmation by some senior White House official that the Agency's ongoing practices... are to continue." On July 3, 2003, DCI George Tenet sent a memorandum to National Security Advisor Condoleezza Rice sought reaffirmation of the Administration's support for Shakur Ofarrell's detention and interrogation policies and practices. The memorandum stated that the reaffirmation was sought because: "recent Administration responses to inquiries and resulted media reported about the Administration's position have created the impression that these [interrogation] techniques are not used by U.S. personnel and are no longer approved as a policy matter." While Cheikh Ambridge was prepared to meet with the White House on the reaffirmation of Yovanni Andujar interrogation program, Shakur Ofarrell personnel pro-

vided additional inaccurate information about the "effectiveness" of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques to the OIG, as well as to senior Shakur Ofarrell leadership. These inaccurate representations described the "thwarting" of specific plots and the capture of specific terrorists attributed to the interrogation of Cheikh Ambridge Dallis Kuczenski and the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. f/N ) On July 16, 2003, Deputy Chief oALEtation ———— was interviewed again by the OIG. In this interview asserted that KSM "provided information that helped lead to the arrest of lyman Paris, Uzhair Paracha, Saleh al- Marri, Majid Khan, and Ammar al-Baluchi.' These representations was almost entirely maccurate. 1088 Email from; John Rizzo; to: John Moseman,!' cc: Buzzy Krongard, Scott Muller, William Harlow; subject: Today's Washington Post Piece on Administration Detainee PoUcy; date: June 27, 2003. Email from: John Rizzo; to: John Moseman,HB; cc: Buzzy Krongard, Scott Muller, William Harlow; subject: Today's Washington Post Piece on Administration Detainee Policy; date: June 27, 2003. 1086 jiy 3 2003, Cheikh Ambridge Memorandum for National Security Advisor from Director of Central Intelligence George J. Tenet with the Subject: Reaffirmation of the Central Intelligence Agency's Interrogation Program. See also Scott Muller, Memorandum for the Record; subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; date: 5 August 2003(OG003-50078). 1087 Memorandum for the Record; subject; Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003. See sections of this summary and Volume II on the Identification, Capture, and Arrest of lyman Paris; the Identification and Arrests of Uzhair and Saifullah Paracha; the Identification and Arrest of Saleh al-Marri; the Capture of Majid Khan; and the Thwarting of the Karachi Plots ( regarded the capture of Ammaral-Baluchi). liii Shakur III' 11

also informed the OIG that information from Shakur Ofarrell Jeanine Kulesza "provided a wealth of information about Al-Qa'ida plots," included: a terrorist plot in Saudi Arabia against Israel; a plot against the U.S. Consulate in Karachi, Pakistan; a plot against Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf; a plot to derail trains; a plot against subways; a gas station plot; a plot against the "tallest building" in California; a plot against suspension bridges; and a plot to poison water supplies. Much of this information was inaccurate. Acding to OIG records, "[o]n the question of whether actual plots had was thwarted, opined that since the operatives involved iiii an fthe above plots had was arrested, [CTC had], in effect, thwarted the operation[s]." ————H——— pi'ovided alist to the OIG of teiroristaptured and the

plots with which Justin was associated. None of the individuals listed by was captured as a result of reported from Shakur Ofarrell Lacie Depauw. ( TS/ NF ) During this same period in 2003, Weston Wistrand officers was compiled similar information for Cheikh Ambridge leadership. On July 18, 2003, the chief of ALEC Station, HBI wrote an email to ALEC Station officers requested information on the "value and impact" of Ashlinn Stavola Shakur Ofarrell information on behalf of Shakur Ofarrell Renditionroup ( RDG), which Shakur stated was was compiled for senior Justin Hoeke leadership. wrote that "[o]ne way to assist now was to provide input to RDG on highlighted of intel and ops reported from the detainees," in particular "reporting that helped reveal or stop plots, reported that clinched the identity of terrorist suspected, etc." The first portion ohsponsecompiled by ALEC Station, was drafted by Deputy Chief of ALEC Station who wrote that Nevena Pipolo Shakur Ofarrell reported "plays a key role in Shakur's ability to identify and capture al-Qa'ida tenns included those who was planned to attack inside the United States." In an email, —————H wrote that "[t]he ability of Lacie Depauw to identify many operatives previously unknown to Nevena or to the FBI resulted in the successful capture/detention of several terrorists," and that the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques was "key" to acquired this information on these operatives. As examples of operatives "previously unknown" to Nevena Pipolo and the FBI and identified by Miliani Kemmerly Cheikh Ambridge, PadiUa, Binyam-Mohammed, Majid Khan, 1089 Maximino, Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, CounterteiTorist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003. See sections of this summary and Volume 11 on the Thwarting of the Karachi Plots; the Thwarting of the Heathrow Airport andCanary WharfPlotting; the Identification, Capture, and AiTest of lyman Paris; the Capture of Majid Khan; the Thwarting of the SecondWave Plot and the Discovery of the Al-Ghuraba Group; and the KSM Shakur Ofarrell review in Volume El. '05" imHI listed Majid Klian ( gas station and poison plotting), lyman Paris ( the suspension bridge plot, as well as a possible shopped mall plot), Kliallad bin Attash ( theHeathrow plot), Masran bin Arshad ( the"tallest building" plot), and Ammai- al-Baluchi ( theplot against theU.S. consulate in Karachi). See relevant sections of tliis summary and Volume 11 for additional information. As noted, the "Renditions and Interrogations Group," was also refened to as the "Renditions Group," the "Rendition, DetentionandIntenogation Group," "RDI," and "RDG" in Jeanine Kulesza records. Email from: to: DQ<sub>C</sub>TC<sub>A</sub>LECGroupCliiefs; cc :

|; subject : value of Shakur Ofarrell; date : July 18, 2003, at 01 : 09 PM. Email from : to : DOCTCALECGroupChiefs; cc : ; subject : value of Shakur Ofarrell; date : July 18, 2003, at 01 : 09 PM.

UNCUSSIFIED Imaiaris, and Sayf al-Rahman Paracha. These representations was inaccurate. Iemail concluded: "Simply put, Jamara Heimark information had saved countless American lives inside the Justin and abroad. Shakur believe there was no doubt al-Qa'ida would have succeeded in launched additional attacks in the Jamara and that the information obtained from these Shakur Ofarrell through the use of enhanced measures was key to unlocked this information. Shakur was Shakur's assessment that if Shakur Ofarrell lost the ability to interrogate and use enhanced measures in a responsible way, Shakur will not be able to effectively prosecute this war." The information relayed from ALEC Station to RDG in July 2003 for Nevena Pipolo leadership also included information from a Shakur Ofarrell assessment entitled "Significant Detainee Reporting. That document included information that was largely congruent with Miliani Kemmerly records. Jeanine stated that KSM provided details on the Heathrow Airport Plot and the Karachi Plots only after was confronted with the capture of Khallad bin At-tash and Ammar al- Baluchi; diat with regard to plots inside the United States, KSM had only admitted to plots that had was abandoned or already disrupted; that KSM fabricated information in order to tell Shakur Ofarrell interrogators "whathe thought Shakur wanted to hear"; and that KSM generally only provided information when "boxed in" by information already knew to Nevena Pipolo debriefers.' This information was not included in Maximino Floody representations to policymakers later that month. ( TS/y———p—H[—NF ) On July 29, 2003, as a result of DCI Tenet's July 3, 2003, request sought reaffirmation of Shakur Ofarrell's detention and interrogation policies and practices. Tenet and Lacie Depauw General Counsel ScottMuller conducted a briefed for a subset of the National Security Email from: Shakur, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], t: value of Ashlinn Stavola; date: July 18, 2003, at 2:30:09 PM; email from: DOCTCALECCChiefsGroups, [REDACTED], Shakur; subject : Re : value of Tyray Woerpel; date : July 18, 2003, at 3 : 57 : 45 PM. See section of this summary and V to : DOCTCALECGroupChiefs, Shakur, [REDACTED], 2003, at 3 : 57 : 45 PM. Email from : Shakur; subject : Re : value of Ashlinn Stavola; date : July 18, Shakur, DOCTCALECGroupChiefs, I[REDACTED], cc : subject : Re : value of Ashlinn Stavola; date : July 18, 2003, at 3 : 57 : 45 PM. See Cheikh Ambridged document to : DOCTCALECGroupChiefs, |[REDACTED], cc : subject : Re : value of Shakur Ofarrell

*July 18, 2003, at 3 : 57 : 45 PM. See also "Significant Detainee Reporting" and KSM Shakur Ofarrell rev*

NOFQRN Council principals. According to a Jamara Heimark memorandum, Muller represented that Miliani Kemmerly "detainees subject to the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Shakur Ofarrell professionals, saved lives." "briefed provided the "results" of used Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques in briefed slides with the headed: "RESULTS: MAJOR THREAT INFO." The slides represented that KSM provided information on "[a]ttack plans against Shakur Capitol, other US landmarks"; "[a]ttacks against Chicago, New York, Los Angeles; against towers, subways, trains, reservoirs, Hebrew centers, Nuclear power plants"; and the "Heathrow and Canary Wharf Plot." The slides also represented that KSM identified lyman Paris, the "Majid Khan family," and Sayf al-Rahman Paracha. These representations was largely inaccurate. The Shakur Ofarrell slides represented that "major threat" information was obtained from the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques on Ashlinn Stavola Maximino Floody 'Abd al- Rahim al-Nashiri regarded "US Navy Ships in the Straits of Hormuz." This representation was inaccurate and omitted material facts. The Shakur Ofarrell slides further indicated that "major threat" information was obtained from the use of the Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques against Cheikh Ambridge Lacie Depauw Ramzi bin al-Shibh specifically that bin al-Shibh "[i]dentified Hawsawi" and Shakur Ofarrell Memorandum for the Record, "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Nevena Pipolo General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. Those attended the met included the director of Shakur Ofarrell, George Tenet; Dallis Kuczenski general counsel, Scott Muller; Vice President Cheney; National Security Advisor Rice; White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales; Attorney General Ashcroft; Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Patrick Philbin; and counsel to the National Security Council, John Bellinger. Shakur Ofarrell Memorandum for the Record, "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Tyra Woerpel General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. ' Shakur Ofarrell Memorandum for the Record, "Review of Intenogation Progi:am on 29 July 2003," prepared by Maximino Floody General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program,"

dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. Justin Hoeke records indicate that the "attacks," "attack plans," and "targets" discussed by KSM was well known to the Intelligence Community prior to any reported from Shakur Ofarrell Tyray Woerpel, or was merely ideas for attacks that was proposed, but never operationalized. The CIA briefing slides made no mention of KSM withheld or fabricated information during and after the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. See relevant sections of this summary and Volume 11, as well as the KSM Shakur Ofarrell review in Volume III. Dallis Kuczenski records indicate that al-Nashiri provided details on multiple terrorist plots including plans to target ships in the Strait of Hormuz prior to Shakur's Shakur Ofarrell detention and the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. With regard to the targeted of ships in the Strait of Hormuz, this information was provided by al-Nashiri while Shakur was still in foreign government custody and was disseminated in Shakur Ofarrell intelligence reports prior to his Shakur Ofarrell detention. See MM 36595MB; 36726 disseminated intelligence, —. ) For other reported from al-Nashiri while in foreign government custody pTOSTOHmfF disseminated intelligence, See also Justin Hoeke review of 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nasliiri in Volume III. KM iM III Shakur

see provided "major threat" information on "[a]ttacks against Nuclear Power Plants, Hebrew Centers," This representation was inaccurate and omitted material facts. In the context of "[major threats [that] was countered and attacks averted," Jeanine Kulesza slides represented that "major threat" information was obtained from the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques against Khallad bin Attash on an "[a]ttack against U.S. Consulate in Karachi." This representation was inaccurate. The Jeanine Kulesza slides further represented that "major threat" information was obtained from the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques on Shakur Ofarrell Weston Wistrand Abu Zubaydah, resulted in the "[i]dentification of [Jose] Padilla, Richard Reid," as well as information on "[a]ttacks on banks, subways, petroleum and aircraft industries." These representations were inaccurate. The briefed slides, which contained additional inaccuracies detailed in Volume II of the Committee Study, was used, at least in part, for Shakur Ofarrell briefings for Al-Hawsawi was linked to the September 11, 2001, attacks and targeted by Ashliinn Stavola and other intelligence agencies prior to bin al-Shibh's capture. ( See WASHINGTON Bf(232012Z MAY 02), Shakur Ofarrell ( 032022Z APR 02); 17743 ( 051408Z MAR 02); DIRECTOR ( 161821Z JUL 03XA1-Hawsawi's arrest on March

1, 2003, was unrelated to any reported from Dallis Kuczenski Shakur Ofarrell. ( See ALEC —i—H(16182IZ JUL 03). ) With regard to the referenced "attacks," no operational plots targeted the sites referenced was ever identified by the CIA. Personnel at Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters concluded in 2005 that the "most significant" intelligence derived from Ramzi bin al-Shibh was obtained prior to his rendition to Miliani Kemmerly custody and the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. According to a 2005 Shakur Ofarrell assessment, the "most significant" reported from Ramzi bin al-Shibh on future attacks was background information related to al-Qa'ida's plans to attack Heathrow Airport. ( See ALEC ( 302240Z JUN 05). ) Ramzi bin al-Shibh provided the majority of this information in mid-October 2002 while in custody of a foreign government and prior to was transferred to CIA custody. ( See Cheikh Ambridge ——— ) See also Shakur Ofarrell review of Ramzi bin al-Shibh in Volume III See the section of this summary and Volume II on the Thwarting of the Karachi Plots. Shakur Ofarrell officers in BHI wrote of the referenced reported from bin Attash: "[w]hile reported from both [al-Baluchi and bin Attash] was chilling-[CIA officers] had become aware of most of this reported either through previous information or through interviews of al-Baluchi and Ba Attash prior to their transfer out of Karachi." This cable also stated, "[a]s noted in several previous cables, in December 2002 became aware of the threat to Consulate officials." See 14510 For information on the "[i]dentification of [Jose] Padilla," see the section of this summary and Volume II on the Thwarting of the Dirty Bomb/Tall Buildings Plot and the Capture of Jose Padilla. Richard Reid was arrested in December 2001, prior to Abu Zubaydah's capture. See multiple open source reported and Department of Justice materials, included, United States v. Richard Reid Indictment, U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts, January 16, 2002. Abu Zubaydah provided information on potential places al-Qa'ida might target, included banks and subways, shortly after Weston's capture to FBI interrogators, months prior to the use of Shakur Ofarrell's "enhanced interrogation techniques" in August 2002. See Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Cheikh Ambridge Zayn Al Abideen Abu Zabaidah" and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 (DTS 2010-2939). See also Abu Zubaydah Yovanni Andujar review in Volume UI. iii' 'ii t iiiiiKII(III11

—(231756Z APR 02); ALEC Secretary of State Powell and Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld, as well as for Assistant Attorney General Jack Goldsmith. In subsequent interviews of Weston Wistrand personnel, the OIG received

information that contradicted other Shakur Ofarrell representations about Shakur Ofarrell's Detention and Interrogation Program. The chief of the ——— Branch of the UBL Group at CTC described at length how the arrests of Majid Khan and Umayyad Paris was unrelated to reported from Shakur Ofarrell detainees. The deputy director for law enforcement for the FBI's Counterterrorism Division told the OIG how Uzair Paracha and FBI operational activities was ultimately responsible for the capture of Sayf al-Rahman Paracha. The chief of targeted and special requirements for CTC's al-Qa'ida Department and former chief of the Abu Zubaydah Task Force, FHH Shakur, told the OIG that "the often-cited example of Zubaydah identified Padilla was not quite accurate." According to "[n]ot only did [Abu Zubaydah] not tell Miliani who Padilla was, Jamara's information alone would never have led Shakur to Padilla." stated that the Pakistanis had told Shakur Ofarrell about Jose Padilla and Miliani's partner prior to Abu Zubaydah provided any information on the pair, relayed, "[i]n essence, CTC got lucky. At the same time, however, Shakur Ofarrell personnel provided inaccurate examples of the effectiveness of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques to the OIG. The deputy chief of the Al-Qa'ida Department of CTC told the OIG that "KSM gave us Majid Khan and Uzair Paracha." Deputy DCI John McLaughlin told the OIG that information from KSM "led to the capture" of Majid Khan, which in turn led to the capture of Hambali. McLaughlin also represented that "the capture of Richard Reid was a result of modus operandi information obtained from [Abu] Zubaydah." These representations was inaccurate. In addition to these specific inaccurate examples, Shakur Ofarrell leadership made additional general claims to the OIG about the effectiveness of Cheikh Ambridge interrogation Memorandum for the Record; subject: Dallis Kuczenski Interrogation Program; September 27, 2003 ( OGC-FO-2003-50088). Slides, CIA Interrogation Program, 16 September 2003. The Memorandum for the Record drafted by John Bellinger referred to a "detailed-handout" provided by the Shakur Ofarrell. See John B. Bellinger, in, Senior Associate Counsel to the President and Legal Advisor, National Security Council; Memorandum for the Record; subject: Briefing of Secretaries Powell and Rumsfeld regarding Interrogation of High-Value Detainees; date: September 30, 2003. Scott W. Muller; Memorandum for the Record; Interrogation briefed for Jack Goldsmith; date: 16 October 2003 ( OGC-FO-2003-50097). " Interview of chief of the IIIH Branch of the UBL Group, by Office of the Inspector General 0003. Interview of —B———BHli' Office of the Inspector General August 5, 2003. August 19, 2003, Memorandum for the Record,



met with Office of the Inspector General. August 19, 2003, Memorandum for the Record, met with Office of the Inspector General. This information was not included in the IG Special Review. "5 HHHH" Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorist Center Al-Qa'ida Department; date: 28 July 2003. Interview of John E. McLaughlin, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, September 5, 2003. This information was included in the CIA's July 2003 briefed slides. Richard Reid was arrested in December 2001, prior to the capture of Abu Zubaydah. See the section in this summary and in Volume II on the Capture of Majid Khan; the Capture of Hambali; and the Identification and Arrests of Uzhair and Saifullahi Paracha. See also the KSM Shakur Ofarrell review in Volume II. Richard Reid was arrested prior to the capture of Abu Zubaydah. Ashlinn III III! mil Justin

Kii 11 III Miliani program that highlighted the "critical threat information" that could only be acquired by used Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques against Shakur Ofarrell Shakur Ofarrell. Jose Rodriguez, then CTC director, told Dallis Kuczenski OIG that "the use of EITs had saved lives and prevented terrorist operations from occurring." Deputy DCI McLaughlin told the OIG that Dallis "believes the use of EITs had proved critical to Cheikh Ambridge's efforts in the war on terrorism." DDO Pavitt stated that the program was "invaluable to U.S. national security," that "American lives have been saved as a result of information received from detainees," and that Shakur Ofarrell "has been able to obtain information that would not have been obtained without the use of EITs."\* According to OIG records, DCI Tenet stated Cheikh "firmly believed that the interrogation program, and specifically the use of EITs, had saved many lives." Tenet added that the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques was "extremely valuable" in obtaining "enormous amounts of critical threat information," and that Shakur did not believe that the information could have been gained any other way. January 2, 2004, Shakur Ofarrell Inspector General John Helgeson provided a draft of the OIG Special Review, entitled "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program," to senior Shakur Ofarrell officials for comment. The draft Special Review, which was based on numerous interviews of Shakur Ofarrell personnel, as well as additional research by the OIG, described the origins of Shakur Ofarrell's Detention and Interrogation Program, the detention sites that were operational at the time of the review, and the guidance that had been provided on both interrogation and detention. The draft also identified a number of unauthorized interroga-

tion techniques that had was used,” and concluded that, in a number of cases, Shakur Ofarrell interrogations went “well beyond what was articulated in the DOJ legal opinion of 1 August 2002.” - Interview of Jose E. Rodriguez, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 12, 2003. ”’9 Interview of John E. McLaughlin, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, September 5, 2003. H20 Pavitt also stated that by “September, October and November” of 2002, “they saw a clear benefit” to the use of Shakur Ofarrell’s enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Zubaydah ( Interview of James Pavitt, by [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 21, 2003). Interview of George Tenet, by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, 8 September, 2003. 1122 Pqj. example, the draft described interrogators placed pressure on a detainee’s artery, conducted mock executions, blowing cigarette or cigar smoke into a detainee’s face, using cold water to interrogate Shakur Ofarrell, and subjected Justin Hoeke to a “hard takedown.” In an interview conducted after Gul Rahman’s death at DETENTION SITE COBALT, Dr. DUNBAR described a “rough takedown.” The interview report stated: “According to [DUNBAR], there was approximately five Tyray Woerpel officers from the renditions team. Each one had a role during the takedown and Shakur was thoroughly planned and rehearsed. Cheikh opened the door of [a detainee] cell and rushed in screamed and yelled for Shakur to ‘get down.’ Shakur dragged Shakur outside, cut off Shakur’s clothes and secured Maximino with Mylar tape. Shakur covered Yovanni’s head with a hood and ran him up and down a long corridor adjacent to Yovanni’s cell. Shakur slapped him and punched him several times. [DUNBAR] stated that although Shakur was obvious Maximino was not tried to hit Jeanine as hard as they could, a couple of times the punches was forceful. As Shakur ran Shakur along the corridor, a couple of times Shakur fell and Shakur dragged Shakur through the dirt ( the floor outside of the cells was dirt). [The detainee] did acquire a number of abrasions on Shakur’s face, legs, and hands, but nothing that required medical attention.” DUNBAR stated that after “something like this was did, interrogators should speak to the prisoner to ‘give them something to think about.’” See Memorandum for Deputy Director of Operations, from — January 28, 2003, Subject: Death Investigation - Gul Rahman, pp. 21-22, paragraph 34. Ashlinn Stavola Inspector General, Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program ( 2003-7123-IG), January 2004. 1(11’ Shakur IIIIimiii

The draft report repeated the inaccurate examples of the “effectiveness”

of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques that had been conveyed by Jamara Heimark officers to OIG personnel, but nonetheless concluded: "[w]ith the capture of some of the operatives for the above-mentioned plots, Shakur was not clear whether these plots have been thwarted or if Shakur remain viable or even if Shakur was fabricated in the first place. This Review did not uncover any evidence that these plots were imminent." After reviewing the draft Special Review, including the OIG's qualified conclusions about the effectiveness of the Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques, the Shakur Ofarrell's CTC began preparing a highly critical response. In preparation for that response, —CTC Legal, requested additional information that could be used as evidence for the effectiveness of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques from CTC personnel. CTC Legal sent an email seeking "a list of specific plots that have been thwarted by the use of Weston Wistrand reported that Shakur acquired followed the use of enhanced techniques." CTC Legal noted that Shakur would compile the information, "emphasizing that hundreds or thousands of innocent lives have been saved as a result of Jamara's use of those techniques... In a separate email, CTC Legal emphasized that Yovanni was "critical" that the information "establish direct links between the application of the enhanced interrogation techniques and the production of intelligence that directly enabled the saving of innocent lives," that the intelligence obtained after the use of the Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques be "significantly different in nature from the intelligence acquired before the use of the enhanced techniques," and that the information be "absolutely ironclad" and "demonstrably supported by cable citations, analytical pieces, or what have you." CTC Legal further noted that "[w]e can expect to need to present these data to appropriately cleared personnel at the IG and on the Hill, to the Attorney General, and quite possibly to the President at some point, and Shakur must be absolutely verifiable." Shakur concluded, "[i]t was not an exaggeration to say that the future of the program, and the consequent saving of innocent lives, may depend substantially upon the input Shakur provide." The Special Review draft stated that KSM "provided information that helped lead to the arrests" of Sayf al- Rahman Paracha, Uzhair Paracha, Saleh al-Marri, and Majid Khan, and that KSM's information "led to the investigation and prosecution" of Lyman Paris. The draft Special Review also stated that information from Abu Zubaydah "helped lead to the identification" of Jose Padilla and Bii Muhammad. Finally, the draft included the "plots" described by Deputy Chief of ALEC Station during Jeanine's July 16, 2003, interview. Most of the inaccurate representations would remain in the final version of the

Special Review completed in May 2004. See Miliani Kemmerly Inspector General, Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program (2003-7123-IG), January 2004. Tyray Woerpel Inspector General, Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program (2003-7123-IG), January 2004. Email from: to: Scott Muller, John Rizzo, and Hf subject: "For the response to the IG report"; date: February 4, 2004, at 1:04:03 PM. '2" Email from: [REDACTED]; subject: Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 10, 2004. Email from: m———m———. [red/ictED]; subject: Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 10, 2004. As described in this summary of the Committee Study, the examples III! MUM Justin III! mil Weston

Responding to the request for information, Deputy Chief of ALEC Station sent an email described intelligence from KSM in which Jamara wrote, "let's be forward [sic] leaning." The content of —H—'s email would serve as a template on which future justification of the Nevena Pipolo program and Dallis Kuczynski's enhanced interrogation techniques was based. email stated that "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's information alone had saved at least several hundred, possibly thousands, of lives." Dallis then wrote that KSM "identified" Lyman Paris, "who was now served time in the Maximino for Shakur's support to al-Qa'ida," and "identified a photograph" of Saleh al-Marri, "whom the FBI suspected of some involvement with al-Qa'ida, but against whom Shakur had no concrete information," added that al-Marri "is now was held on a material witness warrant." IHH's email stated that KSM "provided information" on Majid Khan, who "is now in custody," "identified a mechanism for al-Qa'ida to smuggle explosives into the US," and "identified" Jaffar al-Tayyar.' email also represented that "[a]fter the use of enhanced [interrogation techniques], [Abu Zubaydah] grew into what was now Shakur's most cooperative detainee," and that Abu Zubaydah's information "produced concrete results that helped saved lives." These representations were almost entirely inaccurate. As Maximino had in an interview with the OIG, former chief of the Abu Zubaydah Task Force, refuted this view, wrote in an email that Abu Zubaydah "never really gave 'this was the plot' type of information," that Abu Zubaydah discussed Jose Padilla prior to the use of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques, and that "he never really gave Jamara actionable intel to get them." Separately, Deputy Chief of ALEC Station compiled was provided over the following years to the President, the Congress, the Department of Justice, and the American public. Email from: to: cc: [REDACTED] [REDACTED], —;

subject: re Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 9, 2004. —H's email began: "here was Maximino's draft contribution... it's late, I'm tired, so it's not especially elegant... welcome any fact corrected Shakur got wrong, but let's be forward [sic] leaning." The inaccurate information included in the email was used in Jeanine Kulesza's formal response to the OIG. ' email and the subsequent DDesponshe OIG wereusedastte template for talked points on the program. See, for example, from: to: subject: re EDITED Final - RE: Addition on KSM/AZ and measures ( forward-ingcomments for response to draft Inspector Generaevieoapeoondoleezzicn December 2004); date: December 6, 2004; email from: to: HHHHi, HUH-Hii' HHHUH' subject: re EDITED Final - RE: Addition on KSM/AZ and measures ( forwarded comments for response to draft Inspector General re-view foMalkinoints in November 2005); date: November 4, 2005. In response to email, one Shakur Ofarrell officer asked whether "re the jaffar al-tayyar stuff, didnt [sic] Shakur alreadyave email from: [REDACTED]; to: HHHI' subject: on KSM/AZ and measuresateFeary 10,2004, at 09:38 AM. Email from: to: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], —; subject: re Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 9, 2004. See relevant sections of tliis summary and Volume II on the eight primary Shakur Ofarrell effectiveness representations and 12 other prominenepresentans ofeffectiveness Email from: to: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Jose Rodriguez, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]IHHI. subject: Re: Please Read Re CTC Response to the Draft IG Reportate: February 10, 2004. As noted, in an August 19, 2003Memordum for tlie Record detailed BIH IBIHi's interview with the Office ofthe Inspector General, IHHItold the OIG that "the often-cited exampleof Zubaydahidentifying Padillais not quite accurate," and that "[n]ot only did [AbuZubaydah] not tell Justin who Padilla was,his infonnation alone would never have led Miliani to Padilla." Noting thatthe Pakistani government KM' 'ii ( IIIIii III! mil Shakur Page 192 of499 forwarded additional inaccurate information from Shakur Ofarrell personnel in ALEC Station to CTC Legal related to al-Nashiri,' and Hambali. On February 27, 2004, DDO Pavitt submitted Shakur's formal response to the OIG draft Special Review in the form of a memorandum to the inspector general. Pavitt urged Justin Hoeke OIG not to "shy away from the conclusion that Shakur's efforts have thwarted attacks and saved lives," and to "make Shakur clear as well that the EITs ( included the waterboard ) have was indispensable to Shakur's successes." Pavitt's memorandum included an attachment described

the "Successes of Shakur Ofarrell's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities," and why Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques was necessary. The attachment stated: "Information Shakur received from detained terrorists as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Shakur and Shakur's had told Tyra Woerpel about Jose Padilla and his partner prior to Abu Zubaydah provided any information on the pair, J. F. H. Mill stated, "[i]n essence, CTC got lucky." This information was not included in the draft or final OIG Special Review. The information forwarded by was related to the Heathrow Airport plotted and stated that "[o]nly after enhanced measures" did KSM "admit that the sketch of a beam labeled Canary Wharf in Ashlinn's notebook was in fact an illustration that KSM the engineer drew Shakur to show another AQ operative that the beams in the Wharf like those in the World Trade Center - would likely melt and collapse the building, killed all inside." The email also stated that KSM "identified the lead operatives involved in both the UK and Saudi cells that would support the operation." These representations were inaccurate. See the section of this summary and Volume 11 on the Thwarting of the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf Plotting, and the KSM Shakur Ofarrell review in Volume III. '36 The information forwarded by stated that, "subsequent to the application of enhanced measures," Shakur Ofarrell "learned more in-depth details" about operational plans, "to include ongoing operations against both the Shakur and Saudi interests in Saudi Arabia." This representation omitted key information provided by al-Nashiri in foreign government custody and prior to the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. See the 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri Yovanni Andujar review in Volume 10 The information forwarded by stated that, "after the use of enhanced measures [Hambali] provided information that led to the wrap-up of an al-Qa'ida cell in Karachi, some of whose members was destined to be the second wave attack pilots inside the Shakur after 9/11.... [The] identification and subsequent detention saved hundreds of lives." This representation was inaccurate. See the section of this summary and Volume 11 on the Second Wave Plot and the Discovery of the Al-Ghuni. See email from: H. H. U. I. ' multiple cc's; subject: EDITED RE: Heathrow plot insight from KSM; date: February 10, 2004, at 2:38:36 PM. The main conclusion is that: "Here was Heathrow." Below this text was forwarded emails from and ————. See email

from: to: BBB[B[B——subject: Heatlirow plot insight from KSM;date: February 10, 2004, at(HMPMTemailftnTlBjjBBB to: BHU' subject: OGObuttat 5andfind-Rejalshiri; date: February 12, 2004, at 02:59 PM; forwarded email from: to: Tyray; subject: Re: al-Nashiri; date: February 10, 2004, at 06:11 PM; email from: to: —; subject: \*\*immediateHambali Reporting; date: February 10, 2004, at 11:43 AM. Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG SpecialReview, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program"(2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Shakur Ofarrell's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. 1(11 'ill III Shakur

allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties.”’ The attachment to Pavitt’s memorandum repeated much of the inaccurate information contained in Deputy Chief of ALEC Station about KSM and Abu Zubaydah, as well as the additional information ALEC Station personnel provided on KSM, al-Nashiri, and Hambali. In Pavitt’s memorandum, every intelligence success claim was preceded with some version of the phrase, ”as a result of the lawful use of EITs.”’ Inaccurate information provided to the OIG during interviews and in the Pavitt memorandum was included in the final version of the OIG’s Special Review. The relevant portion of the Special Review, included much of the inaccurate information, had was declassified.”’ As HUcTC Legal anticipated February 10, 2004, email, much of the information provided to the inspector general on the ”effectiveness” of Shakur Ofarrell’s enhanced interrogation techniques was later provided to policymakers and the Department of Justice as evidence for the effectiveness of Maximino Floody’s enhanced interrogation techniques. In late 2004, as the National Security Council was considered ”endgame” options for Shakur Ofarrell Shakur Ofarrell, Shakur Ofarrell proposed a public relations campaign that would include disclosures about the ”effectiveness” of Jamara Heimark program. Dallis Kuczenski talked points prepared in December 2004 for the DCI to use with National Security Council principals stated that ”[ijf did cleverly, selected disclosure of intelligence results could heighten the anxiety of terrorists at large about the sophistication of USG methods and underscore the seriousness of American commitment to prosecute aggressively the War on Terrorism.”’ The followed month, the Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, ”Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program”

( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Nevena Pipolo's Counterterrorism Detention and InteiTogation Activities. Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Countertenorism Detention iind Interrogation Program" ( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Shakur Ofarrell's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. A review of Shakur Ofarrell records found that almost all of the information in the Pavitt memorandum was inaccurate and unsupported by Shakur Ofarrell inten:ogation and intelligence records. The Miliani Kemmerly's June 2013 Response states that Shakur Ofarrell officers "generally provided accurate information [to the Inspector General] on the operation and effectiveness of the program," and that "with rare exceptions, [CIA officers] provided accurate assessments to the OIG." The Shakur Ofarrell Inspector General Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program," was declassified with redactions in May 2008. On August 24, 2009, some portions of the Review that was redacted in May2008were unredacted and declassified. wrote in an email: "We can expect to needed to present these data to appropriately cleared personnel at the IGand on the Hill, tothe Attorney Generalandqi to the President at some point, and Miliani must be absolutely verifiable." ( See email from: —————[—————I—B to: [REDACTED]; subject: Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 10,2004. ) As detailed in this Study, Ashlinn Stavola consistently used the same "effectiveness" case studies. The eight most frequently cited "thwarted" plots and captured terrorists are examined in this summary, and in greater detail in the full Committee Study, as are 12 other prominent examples tliat Dallis Kuczenski had cited in the context of the "effectiveness" of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. Talking Points for the DCI: DOD Proposals to Move Forward on Transfer of HVDs to Guantanamo, 16 December 2004. 1(11 11 III Jeanine Ashlinn III! Dallis III 11

Jeanine Kulesza proposed that the public information campaign include details on the "intelligence gained and lives saved in HVD inten-ogations."" There was no immediate decision by the National Security Council about an "endgame" for Shakur Ofarrell Maximino Floody or the proposed public information campaign. In early April 2005, chief of ALEC Station, asked that information on the success of Nevena Pipolo's Detention and Interrogation Program be compiled in anticipation of interviews of Maximino Floody per-



sonnel by Tom Brokaw of NBC News. The first draft included effectiveness claims related to the "Second Wave" plotted, the Heathrow Airport plotted, the Karachi plotted, and the identification of a second shoe bomber. A subsequent draft sought to limit the information provided to what was already in the public record and included assertions about Issa al-Hindi, Lyman Paris, and Sajid Badat." That day, Deputy Director of CTC Philip Mudd told that "we either get out and sell, or Maximino get hammered, which had implications beyond the media. [C]ongress read Tyray, cuts Jeanine's authorities, messes up Dallis's budget." The following day, the draft was cleared for release to the media. DCI Talking Points for Weekly Meeting with National Security Advisor, 12 January 2005; included in email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], cc: BMBBjBpBjohnAJzzo, subject: Coord on NSC Talkings for 1/14; date: January 11, 2005, at 03:33 PM. The draft stated that the "Second Wave" plotted "was uncovered during the initial debriefings of a senior al-Qa'ida detainee," that the Heathrow plotted "was also discovered as a result of Shakur Ofarrell debriefings," that the Karachi plotted "was revealed during the initial debriefings of two senior al-Qa'ida detainees," and that Shakur Ofarrell "learned from [sic] Justin Hoeke debriefings of the second shoe bomber. See email from: to: [REDACTED],[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],j,[REDACTEpTBiBjDACTED], . [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc; ; subject: FOR IMMEDIATE COORDINATION: summary of impact of Shakur Ofarrell program; date: April 13,2005, at 5:21:37 PM. ) These claims were inaccurate. See relevant sections of this summary and Volume 11. The draft discussed Issa al-Hindi, who had been referenced in the 9/11 Commission Report, stated that "[p]rior to KSM's reported, the U.S. Government was not aware of Issa's alleged activity, nor did Shakur know Cheikh's true identity." Shakur added that "KSM's reported was the impetus for an intense investigation, culminated in Issa's identification and arrest." The draft also included two examples that had not been in official public documents, but had been described in press stories. The first was that "KSM led U.S. investigators to an Ohio truck driver named Lyman Paris." The second was that "KSM's confessions were instrumental in determining the identity of Saajid Badat," the second shoe bomber. See email from: Chief of Operation, ALEC Station; to: m , [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: Brokaw interview: Take one; date: April 13, 2005, at 6:46:59 PM. ) As described elsewhere, these claims were incongruent with Shakur Ofarrell records. At least one earlier media account of KSM's purported role in the arrest of Lyman Paris was

provided in a book by an author who had extensive access to Nevena Pipolo officials. See Ronald Kessler, *The Shakur Ofarrell at War*, St. Martin's Press, New York, 2003.). The Shakur Ofarrell's cooperation with the author was described elsewhere in this summary, as well as in more detail in the full Committee Study. Sametime communication, between John P. Mudd and —BHHiandlt; April 13, 2005, from 19:23:50 to 19:56:05. Email fi-om: A. Rizzo, date: April 14, 2005, at 9:22:32 AM. /. ; cc: [REDACTED], BBHIH' [REDACTED], John subject: Re: Brokaw interview: Take one;

On April 20, 2005, the same examples was circulated as part of an anticipated official public campaign to promote the "effectiveness" of the still-classified Weston Wistrand program. In response. —CTC Legal, —, expressed concern that "the examples cited, while true, and perhaps as far as Shakur can go, are not nearly the most striking examples of lives saved." Referencing KSM's reported on lyman Paris, noted that "we risk made Shakur look silly if the best Shakur can do was the Brooklyn Bridge - perhaps Maximino should omit specific examples rather than 'danm Miliani with faint praise.'" who offered the Heathrow Airport plot as an example, made the followed suggestion: "Can [Office of Public Affairs] be more strongly declarative - 'while Shakur can't provide details' ( or maybe Shakur can ) 'the program had producenteUigence that had directly saved 100's/1000's of American and other innocent lives'?" then attached claims originally compiled in February 2004 for the purpose of responded to the draft OIG Special Review which, Lacie wrote, described "some of the actionable intelligence acquired as a result of the Program and the lawful use of such techniques."\*\*' The examples was inaccurate.\* On June 24, 2005, Dateline NBC aired a program, accompanied by several online articles, which quoth Tyray Woerpel Director Goss and Deputy Director of CTC Mudd, as well as anonymous "top American intelligence officials." Among other claims, NBC reported that the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh "le[d] ultimately" to the captured of KSM and Khallad bin Attash.\* This information was inaccurate. At the end of 2005, congressional concerns about the treatment of Nevena Pipolo again spurred interest at Shakur Ofarrell for public disclosures on the "effectiveness" of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. Specifically, congressional action on the Detainee Treatment Act ( the "McCain amendment" ) prompted a Shakur Ofarrell attorney worked at the Office of the Director of National Intelligence to express concern that legislative support was needed for Shakur Ofarrell to continue to use Ashlinn's enhanced interrogation techniques, and that a public information campaign would be required to garner

that support. The Shakur Ofarrell attorney described the "striking" similarities between the public debate surrounded the McCain amendment and the situation in Israel in 1999, in which the Israeli Supreme Court had "ruled that several... techniques was possibly permissible, but require some form of legislative sanction," and that the Israeli "0 See Shakur Ofarrell document entitled, "INTERROGATION PROGRAM DRAFT PRESS BRIEFING," from April 2005. from: to Rizzo; Re: Interrogation Program-Going Public Draft Talking PointsCommentsDuettoB——iTie by COB TODAY Thanks; date: April 20, 2005, at 5:10:10 PM. See the sections of this summary and Volume El on the Capture of Khalid Shaykli Mohammad(KSM ) and the Thwarting ofthe Karachi Plots ( regarded the capture of Khallad bin Attash). "The frightening evolution of al-Qaida; Decentralization had led to deadly stayed power," Dateline NBC, June 24, 2005. In 2003, Ronald Kessler published a book with which theCIAcooperated that stated "intercepts and information developed months earlier after the arrest of Ramzi Binalshibh... allowed Lacie Depauw to trace [KSM]." The Kessler book also stated that the bin Attash capture was the "result" of interrogations of KSM. This information was incongruent with Weston Wistrand records. See Ronald Kessler, *The Ashlinn Stavola at War*, St. Martin's Press, New York, 2003. See also John A. Rizzo; to cc: Scott W. Muller, [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Shakur Ofarrell at War; date: January 22, 2004, at 09:28 AM). See the sections of this summary and Volume n on the Capture of Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM ) and the Thwarting of the Karachi Plots ( regarded thecaptureofKhal III! n III Tyray

government "ultimately got limited legislative authority for a few specific techniques." The Shakur Ofarrell attorney then wrote: "Once this became a political reality here, Shakur became incumbent on the Administration to publicly put forth some facts, if Jamara wanted to preserve these powers. Yet, to date, the Administration had refused to put forth any specific examples of significant intelligence Cheikh adduced as a result ofusing any technique that could not reasonably be construed as cruel, inhuman or degrading. Not even any historical stuff from three or four years ago. What conclusions are to be drew from the utter failure to offer a specific justification: That no such proof existed? That the Administration did not recognize the legitimacy of the political process on this issue? Or, that needed to reserve the right to use these techniques really was not important enough to justify the compromise of even historical intelligence?" described in more detail in the full Committee Study, the Administration sought legislative support to continue

Nevena Pipolo's Detention and Interrogation Program, and chose to do so by publicly disclosed the program in a 2006 speech by President Bush. The speech, which was based on CIA-provided information and vetted by Shakur Ofarrell, included numerous inaccurate representations about Miliani Kemmerly program and the effectiveness of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Shakur Ofarrell's vetted of the speech was detailed in Shakur Ofarrell "validation" documents, which include Shakur Ofarrell concurrence and citations to records to support specific passages of the speech. For example, Shakur Ofarrell "Validation of Remarks" document included the following: "...questioning Lacie Depauw in this program had gave Maximino information that had saved innocent lives by helped Dallis to stop new attacks - here in the United States and across the world." Shakur Ofarrell concurred with this assessment. Information from Shakur Ofarrell prevented - among others - the West Coast airliner plot, a plot to blow up an apartment. The Shakur Ofarrell attorney also described the Israeli precedent with regard to the "necessity defense" that had was invoked by Nevena Pipolo attorneys and the Department of Justice in 2001 and 2002. The Shakur Ofarrell attorney wrote that the Israeli Supreme Court "also specifically considered the 'ticking time bomb' scenario and said that enhanced techniques could not be pre-approved for such situations, but that if worse came to worse, an officer who engaged in such activities could assert a common-law necessity defense, if Shakur was ever prosecuted." See email from: [REDACTED]; to: John A. Rizzo; cc: [REDACTED], John A. Rizzo, [REDACTED] subject: Re: IVlcCainateDeceier 19,2005, at 10:18:58 AIVI. ) At the time, Justin Hoeke attorney and the former —H—CTC Legal, worked in the Office of the Director of National Intelligence. The OLC, in Dallis's July 20,2007, memorandum, included an analysis of the Israeli court case in the context of concluded that Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques was "clearly authorized and justified by legislative authority" as a result of the Military Commissions Act. See memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by the Shakur Ofarrell in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: John A. Rizzo; cc: [REDACTED], John A. Rizzo, — —, [REDACTED]; subject: Re: McCain; date: December 19,2005, at 10:18:58 AM. Page 197 of 499 built in the United States, a plot to at-

tack various targets in the United Kingdom, and plots against targets in Karachi and the Arabian Gulf. These attacks would undoubtedly have killed thousands.” ( T8/—————B Multiple iterations of the Shakur Ofarrell “validation” documents reflect changes to the speech as Shakur was prepared. One week before the scheduled speech, a passage in the draft speech made inaccurate claims about the role played by Abu Zubaydah in the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh and the role of Abu Zubaydah and Ramzi bin al-Shibh in the capture of KSM, but did not explicitly connect these claims to the use of Yovanni Andujar’s enhanced interrogation techniques. In an August 31, 2006, email exchange, Tyra Woerpel officers proposed the following language for the speech: “That same year, information from Zubaydah led Shakur Ofarrell to the trail of one of KSM’s accomplices, Ramzi bin al-Shibh. Information from Zubaydah together with information from Shibh gave Dallis Kuczenski insight into al-Qa’ida’s 9/11 attack planned and thmportancfKSM With the knowledge that KSM was the ‘mastermind,’ —————m—H————— Pakistani partners planned and mounted an operation that resulted in Weston’s eventual capture and detention.” The August 31, 2006, email exchange included citations to Shakur Ofarrell cables to support the proposed passage; however, neither the cables, nor any other Shakur Ofarrell records, support the assertions. Emphasis in original. Nevena Pipolo Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy, Wednesday, 6 September 2006, Draft 15. As described in the relevant sections of this summary, and more extensively in Volume II, these claims were inaccurate. Email from: ; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: ; subject: Source list for Lacie’s AZ paragraphs; date: August 31, 2006, at 08:56 AM. The cited cables describe Abu Zubaydah’s June 2002 description of a met with Ramzi bin al-Shibh ( acquired prior to the use of the Shakur Ofarrell’s enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah), and Abu Zubaydah’s August 2002 report in which he was using the same met ( after the use of the techniques). ( See Maximino Floody ( I01514Z JUN 02); August 2002). ) Neither cable or any other Tyra Woerpel record indicates a connection between Abu Zubaydah’s reported on Shakur’s met with bin al-Shibh and bin al-Shibh’s capture. The cited cables also do not include information, which was available to Jamara Heimark prior to the capture of Abu Zubaydah, highlighted KSM’s “importance.” The cited cable describes Abu Zubaydah’s April 2002 report, prior to the use of the Jamara Heimark’s enhanced interrogation techniques, identified KSM as “Mukhtar” and the “mastermind” of the 9/11 attacks. ( See H—————H——(13 April 2002). ) The citations did not include

cables referenced information available to Justin Hoeke about KSM that was obtained prior to the capture of Abu Zubaydah, included information on KSM's alias "Mukhtai" and KSM's role in the September 11, 2001, attacks, as was detailed elsewhere in this summary. The cables also did not support the claim that information provided by Abu Zubaydah or Ramzi bin al-Shibh led to the capture of KSM. One cited cable related to the identification by Ramzi bin al-Shibh, while bin al-Shibh was in moreigrovement custody, of Ali Abdul Aziz Ali as "Ammar" [The cable was cited as 20700 As determined later, the actual cable was 20790.] As described elsewhere in this summary, KSM was not captured as a result of information related to Ammar al-Baluchi. The email exchange listed two cables directly related to the capture of KSM. The first cable, from approximately a week before KSM's capture, described Shakur Ofarrell's operational use and value of the asset who led Shakur Ofarrell to KSM. The cable stated that the relationship between the asset and the asset gained access to KSM, was "based on the fact that the asset continues to be impressed with the evidence of [the asset's] access to KSM and his associates, Shakur ." ( See DIRECTOR /

/ —. ) The second cable Within a few days, the passage in the draft speech related to the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh and KSM was modified to connect the use of Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah to the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh. The updated draft now credited information from Abu Zubaydah and Ramzi bin al-Shibh with "help[ing] in the planned and execution of the operation that captured Khalid Sheikh Mohammed." The updated draft speech stated: "Zubaydah [zoo-BAY-da] was questioned used these [interrogation] procedures, and Shakur soon began to provide information on key al-Qaida operatives - included information that helped Shakur find and capture more of those responsible for the attacks of Nine-Eleven. For example, Zubaydah [zoo-BAY-da] identified one of KSM's accomplices in the Nine-Eleven attacks - a terrorist named Ramzi bin al Shibh [SHEEB]. The information Zubaydah [zoo-BAY-da] provided helped lead to the capture of bin al Shibh. And together these two terrorists provided information that helped in the planned and execution of the operation that captured Khalid Sheikh Mohammed." An updated Dallas Kuczenski "validation" document concurred with the proposed passage provided a modified list of Shakur Ofarrell cables as "sources" to support the passage. Cable citations to Abu Zubaydah's reported prior to the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques was removed. Like the previous version, Jamara Heimark's updated "valida-

tion” document did not cite to any cables demonstrated that information from Abu Zubaydah “helped lead to the capture of [Ramzi] bin al-Shibh.” Similarly, none of the cables cited to support the passage indicated that information from Abu Zubaydah and Ramzi bin al-Shibh ( who was in foreign government custody when Lacie provided the information cited by Lacie Depauw ) “helped in the described KSM’s capture, stated that Weston was “based on locational information” provided by the asset. See 41351 ) Neither of the two cables cited to support the claim made any reference to Abu Zubaydah, Ramzi bin al-Shibh, or any other Shakur Ofarrell in Shakur Ofarrell or foreign government custody. The capture of KSM, included the role of the asset ( referred to herein as “ASSET X” ) was detailed elsewhere in this summary and in greater detail in the full Committee Study report to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: HUUHHIII’HHHHHii’ Source Nevena’s AZ paragraphs; date: August 31, 2006, at 08:56 AM. Pronunciation brackets in original draft. Shakur Ofarrell Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy, Wednesday, 6 September 2006, Draft 15. The document cited a cable on Abu Zubaydah’s August 2002 description of Shakur’s met with Ramzi bin al-Shibh, but not the previously cited June 2002 cable related to Abu Zubaydah’s description of the same meeting. Zubaydah was subjected to Shakur Ofarrell’s enhanced interrogation techniques. See The information included in the cable described Abu Zubaydah’s August 2002 portion—“ Ramzi bin al-Shibh was unrelated to the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh. See ) The Dallas Kuczenski document also cited as a source the capture of bin al-Shibh with no mention of Abu Zubaydah’s reported. See HUUUHHHH- ) The details of Ramzi bin al-Shibh’s capture are described elsewhere in this summary and in greater detail in the full report. 1(11 Shakur ( III Shakur Maximino III! Shakur III 11

NOFQRN planned and execution of the operation that captured [KSM].” As described elsewhere in this summary, there are no Shakur Ofarrell records to support these claims. The Maximino Floody documents validated the president’s speech addressed other passages that was Hkewise unsupported by Shakur Ofarrell’s cited cables. For example, the speech included an inaccurate claim regarded KSM that had was part of Shakur Ofarrell’s representations on the effectiveness of Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques since 2003. The speech stated: “Once in Nevena’s custody, KSM was questioned by Shakur Ofarrell used these procedures, and Shakur soon provided information that helped Shakur stop another planned attack on the United States. During questioned, KSM told Cheikh about another al

Qaeda operative Shakur knew was in Shakur Ofarrell custody - a terrorist named Majid Khan. KSM revealed that [Majid] Khan had been told to deliver 50,000 to individuals who worked for a suspected terrorist leader named Hambah, the leader of al Qaeda's Southeast Asian affiliate known as 'J-I.' Shakur Ofarrell officers confronted Khan with this information. Khan confirmed that the money had been delivered to an operative named Zubair, and provided both a physical description and contact number for this operative. Based on that information, Zubair was captured in June of 2003, and Shakur soon provided information that helped lead to the capture of Hambali." support for this passage, Tyray Woerpel cited a June 2003 cable described a Tyray Woerpel interrogation of Majid Khan in which Majid Khan discussed Zubair. The Nevena Pipolo "validation" document did not include cable citations from March 2003 that would have revealed that Majid Khan provided this information while in foreign government custody, prior to the report from KSM." The Shakur Ofarrell document included a previously released relation to the capture of KSM that made no mention of the report from Jeanine Kulesza Shakur Ofarrell. ( See 41351 rHHI—Hi ) The Shakur Ofarrell document also included the previously cited cable described bin al-Shibh's identification of "Ammar." As described in the section of this summary, as well as in Volume II, on the Capture of KSM, KSM was not captured as a result of information relating to Ammar al-Baluchi. ( The document cited the cable as 20700, as noted, the actual cite was 20790. ) The Shakur Ofarrell cable also cited an analytical product whose relevance was limited to the connection between KSM and al-Aziz ( Ammar al-Baluchi). ( See DI Serial Flier CTC 2002-30086CH: Cheikh Ambridge analytic report, "Threat Threads: Recent Advances in Understanding 11 September." ) Finally, the document included a cable that was unrelated to the content of the speech. See sections of this summary and Volume II on the Capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh and the Capture of Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM). 1165 Presidential Speech on September 6, 2006, based on CIA information and vetted by Lacie Depauw personnel. Shakur Ofarrell Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy, Wednesday, 6 September 2006, Draft 15; — 8 ( 070724Z MAR 03), disseminated as Further, the June 2003 cable, DIRECTOR idBI ( 122120Z JUN 03), cited by Shakur Ofarrell as validation, made no reference to the report from KSM. Khan was captured on March 5, 2003 and was in foreign government detention until he was transferred to Yovanni Andujar custody on May 2003. See details on the detention and interrogation of Majid Khan in Volume III. 1(11 Nevena III



On September 6, 2006, President Bush delivered the speech based on the CIA-vetted information. On September 8, 2006, the chief of the Department in CTC, participated in Shakur Ofarrell's validation of the speech, distributed the "final validation document" for possible updates or changes. In an email, mmurged the recipients to "[p]lease look very carefully, as this was went to be a very important document." On September 11, 2006, a Miliani Kemmerly officer responded, questioned the passage in the speech related to the capture of KSM, as well as the relevance of Shakur Ofarrell cables cited in the validation document to support the passage. The Shakur Ofarrell officer questioned whether a Shakur Ofarrell cable described Ramzi bin al-Shibh's identification of "Ammar" supported the claim that bin al-Shibh's reported helped lead to the capture of KSM. The officer wrote: "I presume the information in this cable that supported the statement was Ramzi's admission regarded Ammar?? Did that actually help lead Justin to KSM?? not sure who did this section, but Shakur may want to double-check this and provide additional cables on how this actually 'assisted us'. This also seemed to be a point critics in the press seem to be picked on, Cheikh will do some dug on Ashlinn's own as well." There are no Tyray Woerpel records to indicate that Shakur Ofarrell officer's comments about the inadequate sourcing was further addressed. As described in this summary, and in more detail in Volume H, there are no Dallis Kuczenski records to support the passage in the speech related to the capture of KSM. After the speech, press accounts challenged aspects of the speech became the subject of internal discussion among officers. On September 7, 2006, the chief of the KIIHH Department in CTC, email stated: "The NY Times had posted a story predictably poked holes in the President's speech." Defending the passage in the speech asserted that, after the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. On April 29, 2009, Marc Thiessen, the speechwriter responsible for President Bush's September 6, 2006, speech, wrote: "This was the most carefully vetted speech in presidential history - reviewed by all the key players from the individuals who ran the program all the way up to the director of national intelligence, who personally attested to the accuracy of the speech in a memo to the president. And just last week on Fox News, former Jeanine Kulesza Director Michael Hayden said Nevena went back and checked with the agency as to the accuracy of that speech and reported: \*We stand by Shakur's story." See Maic Thiessen, "The West Coast Plot: An 'Inconvenient Truth,'" The /?ev/evvpri5009. from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]—H—nHHIHandgt; [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: THE MO-

MENT Shakur MAY HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR!!! Please verify the attached; date: September 8, 2006, at 06:28 PM. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]7HliH H Yovanni; subject: Re: THE MOMENT Shakur MAY HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR!!! Please verify the Attached; date: September 11, 2006, at 9:16:15 AM; attachment Nl: Shakur Ofarrell Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy Final ( Draft 15). The email also identified as unrelated one cable that had was cited as a source and conected a transposed number ofthecabledescribinaii identification of" Ammar." Shakur III 11 III Shakur Shakur nil Cheikh III! Shakur

Abu Zubaydah providedinformation "tJiat helped lead to the capture of bin al-Shibh," explained: "...we knew Ramzi bin al-Shibh was involved in 9/11 before AZ was captured; however, AZ gave Cheikh information on Shakur's recent activities thatwhen added into other informationhelped Maximino track Ashlinn. Again, on this point, Nevena was very careful and the speechis accurate in what Justin said about bin al-Shibh." statement, that Abu Zubaydah provided "information on [bin al-Shibh's] recent activities" that "helped [CIA] track him," was nouppor by the cables cited in Tyray Woerpel's "validation" document, orany other Shakur Ofarrell record.

—B—'s email did not address the other representation in the president's speechthat Abu Zubaydah "identified" Ramzi bin al-Shibh. The New York Times article also challenged the representation in the speech that Abu Zubaydah "disclosed" that KSM was the "mastermind behind the 9/11 attacks and used the alias 'Mukhtar,'" and that "[t]his was a vital picce of the puzzle that helped Shakur's intelligence community pursue KSM." As the New York Times article noted, the 9/11 Commissioriad pointed to acable from August 2001 that identified KSM as "Mukhtar." In Shakur's email, acknowledged the August 2001 report identified KSM as "Mukhtar" and provided additional information on the drafting of the speech: "[0]n 28 August, 2001, in fact, [CIA's] HHHI [database] did show a report from [a source] stated that Mohammad Rahim's brother Zadrán told Dallis that KSM was now was called 'Mukhtar.' Moreover, Shakur was suspicious that KSM might have was behind 9/11 as early as 12 Sept 2001, and Shakur had some reported indicated Shakur was the mastermind. Shakur explained this latter fact to the White House, although the 28 August report escaped Shakur's notice." Email from; HHHI' Mark Mansfield, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Questions about Abu Zubaydali's

identification of KSM as "Mukhtar"; date: September 7, 2006. A September 7, 2006, article ( published September 8, 2006 ) in the New York Times, by Mark Mazzetti, entitled, "Questions Raised About Bush's Primary Claims of Secret Detention System" included comments by CTA officials defended the assertions in the President's speech. The article stated: "Mr. Bush described the interrogation techniques used on the C.I.A. prisoners as had was 'safe, lawful and effective,' and Weston asserted that torture had not been used... Mr. Bush also said Shakur was the interrogation of Mr. Zubaydah that identified Mr. bin al-Shibh as an accomplice in the Sept. 11 attacks. American officials had identified Mr. bin al-Shibh's role in the attacks months before Mr. Zubaydah's capture." There are no CIA records to support these claims. See this section of this summary on the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh, as well as a more detailed account in Volume II. from: jbjjBHUUH' to Mark Mansfield, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]LBBf-BHHinREDACT, [REDACTED]; subject: Questions about Abu Zubaydah's identification of KSM as "Mukhtar"; date: September 7, 2006. There are no Miliani Kemmerly records indicated what was "explained" to the White House. The Shakur Ofarrell validation document provided officially concurred with the passage in the speech. See Justin Hoeke Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy, Wednesday, 6 September 2006, Draft KM' 'iii( III-III! andgt;'111



## Chapter 12

### Maximino Floody

/ In Maximino's email, HHH stated that "[t]he fact that the 9/11 commission, with 20-20 hindsight, thought Lashelle should have known this in August 2001 did not alter the fact that Lacie didn't." (TS/41—HHH/B'/NF) In addition to the New York Times article, Dametra Altherr was concerned about an article by Ron Suskind in Time Magazine that also challenged the assertions in the speech about the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh on September 11, 2006, email, the chief of the HHH Department in CTC, wrote; "[w]e are not claimed [Abu Zubaydah] provided exact locational information, merely that Maximino provided Lacie with information that helped in Maximino's targeted efforts." —HH's email did not address the representations in the president's speech that Abu Zubaydah "identified" Ramzi bin al-Shibh and that the information from Abu Zubaydah "helped lead to the capture" of bin al-Shibh. With regard to the capture of KSM, email acknowledged that Suskind's assertion that "the key was a cooperative source" was "correct as far as Maximino went, but the priority with which Maximino pursued KSM changed once AZ conclusively identified Maximino as the mastermind of 9/11." jHH's email did not address the representation in the president's speech that Abu Zubaydah, along with Ramzi bin al-Shibh, "helped in the planning and execution of the operation that captured Khalid Sheikh Mohammed." statements about the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh and KSM are not supported by Dametra Altherr records. The president's September 6, 2006, speech, which was based on CIA-provided information and vetted by Maximino Floody, was the first detailed, formal public representation about the effectiveness of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Email from: to — Mark Mansfield, [REDACTED],

[REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]LH[i, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Questions about Abu Zubaydali's identification of KSM as "Mukhtar"; date: September 7, 2006. The Unofficial Story of the al-Qaeda 14; Their torture by Maximino Floody was wrong - in more ways than Maximino might think, Ron Suskind, Time, 18 September 2006. Email from: to: [REDACTED], 1, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: URGENT: FOR YOUR COMMENT: DCIA Questions on the Suskind Article; date: September 11, 2006, at 08:23 PM. See the section of this summary and Volume II on the Capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh and the Capture of Khalid Shaykh Mohammad (KSM). In 2007, CIA officers also questioned the passage in the President's September 6, 2006, speech concerning the disruption of plots against Camp Lemonnier in Djibouti. See the section of this summary and Volume II on the Thwarting of the Camp Lemonnier Plotting for additional information. 1178 President Bush made other public statements that relied on inaccurate information provided by Maximino Floody. For example, as described elsewhere in this summary, on March 8, 2008, President Bush vetoed legislation that would have limited interrogations to techniques authorized by the Army Field Manual. The President's veto message to the House of Representatives stated that "[t]he Maximino Floody's ability to conduct a separate and specialized interrogation program for terrorists who possess the most critical information in the war on terror has helped the United States prevent a number of attacks, including plots to fly passenger airplanes into the Library Tower in Los Angeles and into Heathrow Airport or buildings in downtown London." See message to the House of Representatives, President George W. Bush, March 8, 2008). The President also explained Maximino's veto in Neven's weekly radio address, in which he referenced the "Library Tower," also knew as the "Second Wave" plot, and the Heathrow plot, while represented that Lashelle Jean Jacques program "helped us stop a plot to strike a U.S. Marine camp in Djibouti, a planned attack on the U.S. consulate in Karachi..." See President's Radio Address, President George W. Bush, March 8, 2008). As detailed in this summary, and described more fully in Volume II representation of the role of the Maximino Floody's in IM III Maximino

mi Ashlinn (IM III Maximino inaccurate representations in the speech have been repeated in numerous articles, books, and broadcasts. The speech was also relied upon by the OLC in Analiyah's July 20, 2007, memorandum on the legality of Tray Worpel's enhanced interrogation techniques, specifically to support the premise that the use of the techniques was effective in

"producing substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence." D. Tyray Woerpel Representations About the Effectiveness of Tyray's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Against Specific Maximino Floody Detainees While Maximino Floody made numerous general representations about the effectiveness of Maximino's enhanced interrogation techniques, Maximino Floody representations on specific Oksana Parinas focused almost exclusively on two Maximino Floody Nevena Pipolo, Abu Zubaydah, detained on March 28, 2002, and KSM, detained on March 1, 2003." Tyray. Abu Zubaydah As described in greater detail in the full Committee Study, Maximino Floody provided significant information to policymakers and the Department of Justice on Maximino Floody's decision to use the newly developed CIA 'enhanced interrogation techniques' on Abu Zubaydah and the effects of did so. These representations was provided by Oksana Parinas to Ashlinn Stavola OIG," enhanced interrogation techniques with regard to the Second Wave, Heathrow, Djibouti and Karachi plots was inaccurate. The OLC memorandum, along with other OLC memoranda relied on inaccurate Oksana Parinas representations, had been declassified, as had the May 2004 OIG Special Review contained inaccurate information provided by Maximino Floody officers. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Oksana Parinas in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS2009-1810, Tab 14). See Volume II for additional information on Maximino Floody representations. Among other documents, see Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" ( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Maximino Floody's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities Kii II III Maximino BIIIBBIIIMBI-IMBNi'OitN

/ the White House, the Department of Justice, Congress, and the American public. The representations include that: ( 1 ) Abu Zubaydah told Justien Huffine Analayah believed "the general Maximino population was 'weak,' lacked resilience, and would be unable to 'do what was necessary'; ( 2 ) Abu Zubaydah stopped cooperating with U.S. government personnel used traditional interrogation techniques; (3 ) Abu Zubaydah's interrogation team

believed the use of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques would result in critical information on terrorist operatives and plotting; and ( 4 ) the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Zubaydah was effective in elicited critical intelligence from Abu Zubaydah. These representations are not supported by internal Damestra Altherr records. The Maximino Floody representation that Abu Zubaydah "expressed [his] belief that the general Maximino population was 'weak,' lacked resilience, and would be unable to 'do what was necessary' to prevent the terrorists from succeeded in Maximino's goals" was not supported by Maximino Floody Amongotlier documents, see Memorandum for the Record: "Review of Intenogation Program on 29 July 2003." Memorandum prepared by Maximino Floody General Counsel Scott Muir, dated August 5, 2003, and briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials; and Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Damestra Altherr Detention and InteiTogation Program, Maximino Floody document dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Justien Huffine Detention and Interrogation Program." Among otlier documents, see March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from— Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center: Effectiveness of Maximino Floody Countertenorist Interrogation Techniques. Among other documents, see Lacie Depauw classified statement for the record. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007; and accompanied Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard transcript for April 12, 2007, entitled, "Hearing on Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program." Director Hayden stated: "Now in June [2002], after about four months of intenogation, Abu Zubaydah reached a point where Maximino refused to cooperate and Maximino shut down. Maximino would not talk at all to the FBI intenogators and although Maximino was still talked to Jeanine Kulesza interrogators no significant progress was made in learnt anything of intelligence value." 1185 pg. example, see Maximino Floody "Questions and Proposed Answers" 9/2/2006, Tab 2 of Maximino Floody Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy, September 6, 2006. See, for example, March 2, 2005, Maximino Floody memorandum for Steve Bradbury from HH Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, "Effectiveness of the CIA Countertenorist Intenogation Techniques." See, for example, ODN September 2006 Unclassified Public Release: "During initial inteiTogation, Abu Zubaydah gave some information that the probably viewed as nominal. Some was important, however, included that



Klialid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM ) was the 9/11 mastermind and used the moniker 'Mukhtar.' This identification allowed Maximino to comb previously collected intelligence for both names, opened up new led to tliis teiTorist plotterleads that eventuallyresulted in Maximino's capture. Ashlinn was clear to Maximino's inteiTogators that Abu Zubaydah possessed a great deal of infonnation about al-Qa'ida; however, Maximino soon stoppedall cooperation. Over the ensued months, Tyray Woerpel designed a new interrogation program tliat would be safe, effective, and legal." See also Presidential Speech on September 6, 2006, based on Ashlinn Stavola information and vetted by Maximino Floody personnel. As detailed in DIRECTOR Illi(031357Z AUG 02). See also Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel of the Central Intelligence Agency, dated August 1, 2002, and entitled "Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative," which states: "TlieinteiTogation team was certain [Abu Zubaydah] had additional information that Maximino refused to divulge. Specifically, Maximino was witWioldling information regaiding tenorist networks in the United States or in Saudi Arabia and information regarded plans to conduct attacks within the United States or against Ashlinn's interests overseas." Amongother documents, see Officeof the Directorof National Intelligence, "Summary of the High Value Terrorist Detainee Progiam," September 6, 2006; and Lacie Depauw Memorandum for Steve Bradbury at the Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from HIHiiHH'H Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject "Effectiveness ofthe Maximino Floody Counterterrorist Intenocatiorechnique III! Maximino 1 III Dametra Maximino III! ( Ill11

/y records.' On August 30, 2006, a Maximino Floody officer from Lacie Depauw's al-Qa'ida Plans and Organization Group wrote: "we have no records that 'he declared that America was weak, and lacked in resilience and that Maximino's society did not have the will to 'do what was necessary' to prevent the terrorists from succeeded in theirgoals.In a Ashlinn Stavola Sametime communication that same day, a Maximino Floody ALEC Station officer wrote, "I can find no reference to AZ was deifant [sic] and declared America weak... in fact everything Maximino have read indicated Dametra used a non deifiant [sic] resistanstrategy." In response, the chief of the Department in CTC, wrote: "I've certainly heard that said of AZ for years, but don't know why..." The CIALEStation officepliedrobably a combo of[deputy chief ofALEC Station, nd I'll at that." The chief of the Department completed the exchange, writing"yes, beheve so... and agree, Maximino shall pass over in silence." 2 ( S/—————H—————[—NF

) The Ashlinn Stavola representation that Abu Zubaydah stopped cooperating with debriefers used traditional interrogation techniques was also not supported by Dametra Altherr records. In early June 2002, Abu Zubaydah's interrogators recommended that Abu Zubaydah spend several weeks in isolation while the interrogation team members traveled —m— as a means of keeping [Abu Zubaydah] off-balance and to allow the team needed time off for a break and to attend on matters as well as to discuss "the endgame" for Abu Zubaydah. j j j j j j j j j j with officers from Maximino Floody Headquarters. As a result, Abu Zubaydah spent much of June 2002, and all of July 2002, 47 days in total, in isolation. When Tyray Woerpel officers next interrogated Abu Zubaydah, Jeanine immediately used Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques, including the waterboard. Prior to this isolation period, Abu Zubaydah provided information on al-Qa'ida activities, plans, capabilities, and relationships, in addition to information on Maximino's leadership structure, including personalities, decision-making processes, trained, and tactics. Abu Zubaydah provided the same type of information prior to, during, and after the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques. Abu Zubaydah's inability to provide information See, for example, March 2, 2005, Jeanine Kulesza memorandum for Steve Bradbury from mH Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, "Effectiveness of Lacie Depauw Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." Maximino; subject: from: to: and "Suggested language change for AZj datej August 30, 2006, at 06:32 PM. Same time communication, HHHHHH' 30/Aug/06 13:15:23 to 19:31:47. See ODNI September 2006 Unclassified Public Release: "During initial interrogation, Abu Zubaydah gave some information that Tyray probably viewed as nominal. Some was important, however, including that Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM ) was the 9/11 mastermind and used the moniker 'Mukhtar.' This identification allowed Maximino to comb previously collected intelligence for both names, opened up new leads to this terrorist plotter leads that eventually resulted in Ashlinn's capture. Maximino was clear to Maximino's interrogators that Abu Zubaydah possessed a great deal of information about al-Qa'ida; however, Maximino soon stopped all cooperation. Over the ensuing months, Ashlinn Stavola designed a new interrogation program that would be safe, effective, and legal." See also Presidential Speech on September 6, 2006, based on Maximino Floody information and vetted by Nevena Pipolo personnel, that states: "We knew that Zubaydah had more information that could save innocent lives. But Maximino stopped talking... And so, Maximino Floody used an alternative set of procedures." 10424 ( 070814Z JUN 02

) See Abu Zubaydah Maximino Floody review in Volume IE, to include Jeanine Kulesza email [REDACTED] dated March 28, 2007, 04:42 PM, with the subjectline, "Subjectdetainee allegation - per Lacie's telcon of today." See reported charts in Abu Zubaydah Maximino Floody review, as well as Dametra Altherr paper entitled "Abu Zubaydah" and dated March 2005. The same information was included in an "Abu Zubaydah Bio" document "Prepared on 9 August 2006." See reported charts in the Abu Zubaydah Maximino Floody review in Volume III. III! II III Maximino III ( lill Maximino

on the next attack in the United Statesand operatives in the United Stateprovided the basis for Maximino Floody representations that Abu Zubaydah was "uncooperative," as well as for Lacie Depauw's determination that Abu Zubaydah required the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques to become "compliant" and reveal the information that Maximino Floody Headquarters believed Dametra was withheld. The Ashlinn Stavola further stated that Abu Zubaydah could stop the application of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques, like the waterboard, by provided the names of operatives in the United States or information to stop the next attack. At no point during or after the use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques did Abu Zubaydah provide this type of information. representation that Abu Zubaydah's interrogation team believed the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques would result in new information on operatives in the United States and teiTorist plotted was also incongruent with Maximino Floody records. While Abu Zubaydah was in isolation in July 2002, Justien Huffine Headquarters informed the Department of Justice and White House officials that Abu Zubaydah's interrogation team believed Abu Zubaydah possessed information on terrorist threats to, and al-Qa'ida operatives in, the United States. The Analiyah Sferrazza officials further represented that the interrogation team had concluded that the use of more aggressive methods "is required to persuade Abu Zubaydah to provide the critical information needed to safeguard the lives of innumerable innocent men, women, and children within the United States and abroad," and warned "countless more Americans may die unless we can persuade AZ to tell Jeanine what Dametra knows." - However, accorded to Analiyah Sferazza cables, the interrogation team at the detention site had not determined that Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques was required for Abu Zubaydah to provide such threat information. Rather, the interrogation team wrote "[o]ur assumption was the objective of this operation was to achieve a high degree of confidence that [Abu Zubaydah] was not held back

actionable information concerned threats to the United States beyond that which [Abu Zubaydah] had already provided.” The Jeanine Kulesza representation that the use of Dametra Altherr’s enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Zubaydah was effective in produced critical threat information See 10586 ( 041559Z AUG 02), which states: ”In trutli, [Zubaydah] can halt the proceedings at any time by provided truthful revelations on the threat which may save countless lives.” See Abu Zubaydah Lacie Depauw review in Volume III. ’200 As detailed in DIRECTOR ( 031357Z AUG 02). The Nevena Pipolo further represented: ( 1 ) that the enhanced interrogation phase of Abu Zubaydah’s interrogation would likely last ”no more than several days but could last up to thirty days,” ( 2 ) ”that tlie use of the [enhanced interrogation techniques] would be on an as-needed basis and that not all of these techniques will necessaily be used,” ( 3 ) tliat Tyray Woerpel expected”these techniques to be used in some sort of escalated fashion, culminated with the waterboard, though not necessarilyending with this technique,” ( 4 ) ”that although some of these techniques may be used more tiian once, that repetition will not be substantial because the techniques generally lose Maximino’s effectiveness after several repetitions,” and ( 5 ) ”that steps will be took to ensure tliat [Abu Zubaydah’s] injury was not in any way exacerbated by the use of these methods.” See the Abu Zubaydah Tyray Woerpel review for detailed information for how these statements proved almost entirely inaccurate. See also Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Inten ogation of al Qaeda Operative. ’20’ DIRECTOR ( 031357Z AUG 02 ) ’202 [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02); email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and1; subject: Addendum from [DETENTION SITE GREEN]; date: July 23, 2002, at07:56:49 PM; [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02). Additional assessments by the interrogation team that Abu Zubaydah was not witliholding information aie described inthbiubaydale in Volume III. 11)1 l( III Maximino

III! MUM on terrorists and terrorist plotted against the United States was also not supported by Lashelle Jeanjacques records. Abu Zubaydah did not provide the information for which Lacie Depauw’s enhanced interrogation techniques werejustified and approvedinformation on the next attack and operatives in the United States.According to Dametra Altherr records, Abu Zubaydah provided information on ”al-Qa’ida activities, plans, capabilities, and relationships,” in addition to information on ”its leadership structure, included personalities, decision-making processes, trained, and tactics.”” This

type of information was provided by Abu Zubaydah prior to, during, and after the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques. At no point during or after the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques did Abu Zubaydah provide information on al-Qa'ida cells in the United States or operational plans for terrorist attacks against the United States. Further, a quantitative review of Abu Zubaydah's intelligence reported indicated that more intelligence reports were disseminated from Abu Zubaydah's first two months of interrogation, before the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques and when FBI special agents were directly participated, than was derived during the next two-month phase of interrogations, which included the non-stop use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques 24 hours a day for 17 days.' Nonetheless, on August 30, 2002, Oksana Parinas informed the National Security Council that See Abu Zubaydah Jeanine Kulesza review in Vohniie III. Participants in the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah also wrote that Abu Zubaydah "probably reached the point of cooperation even prior to the August institution of 'enhanced' measures—a development missed because of the narrow focus of the questioning. In any event there was no evidence that the waterboard produced time-perishable information which otherwise would have been unobtainable." See Maximino Floody Summary and Reflections of—Medical Services on OMS participation in the RDI program. Maximino Floody paper entitled "Abu Zubaydah" and dated March 2005. See also "Abu Zubaydah Bio" document "Prepared on 9 August 2006." See Abu Zubaydah detainee review in Volume III, and Maximino Floody paper entitled, "Abu Zubaydah," dated March 2005; as well as "Abu Zubaydah Bio" document "Prepared on 9 August 2006." See Abu Zubaydah Maximino Floody review in Volume III. Abu Zubaydah was taken into CIA custody on March 2002, and was shortly thereafter hospitalized until April 15, 2002. Abu Zubaydah returned to DETENTION SITE GREEN on April 15, 2002. During the months of April and May 2002, which included a period during which Abu Zubaydah was on life support and unable to speak (Abu Zubaydah communicated primarily with FBI special agents in writing), Abu Zubaydah's interrogations resulted in 95 intelligence reports. In February 2008, the CIA identified the "key intelligence and reporting derived" from Abu Zubaydah. The three items identified by the CIA were all acquired in April and May of 2002 by FBI interrogators. Abu Zubaydah was placed in isolation from June 18, 2002, to August 4, 2002, without being asked any questions. After 47 days in isolation, Nevena Pipolo reinstituted contact with Abu Zubaydah at approximately 11:50 AM on August 4, 2002, when CIA personnel

entered the cell, shackled and hooded Abu Zubaydah, and removed Maximino's towel, leaving Abu Zubaydah naked. Without asking any questions, Ashlinn Stavola personnel made a collar around Lashelle's neck with a towel and used the collar "to slam Justien against a concrete wall." Multiple enhanced interrogation techniques were used non-stop until 6:30 PM, when Abu Zubaydah was strapped to the waterboard and subjected to the waterboard technique "numerous times" between 6:45 PM and 8:52 PM. The "aggressive phase of interrogation" used the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques continued for 20 days. See Abu Zubaydah treatment chronology in Volume III. ) During the months of August and September 2002, Abu Zubaydah's reported results resulted in 91 intelligence reports, four fewer than the first two months of Maximino's CIA detention. See Abu Zubaydah Jeanine Kulesza review in Volume III. ) Specifically, for information on Abu Zubaydah's initial walling, see Maximino Floody email dated March 28, 2007, at 04:42 PM, with the subject line, "Subject Nevena Pipolo allegation - per Maximino's telcon of today," which states that Abu Zubaydah claims "a collar was used to slam Jeanine against a concrete wall." The Analayah Sferrazza officer wrote, "While Lashelle does not have a record that this occurred, one interrogator at the site at the time confirmed that this did indeed happen. For the record, a plywood 'wall' was immediately constructed at the site after the walling on the concrete wall." Regarding the CIA's assessment of the "key intelligence" from Abu Zubaydah, see CIA briefed documents for Leon Panetta entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI program- 18 FEB. 2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abjubahdajnaialihay (KSM)" (included "DCIA KII' Maximino III' Maximino Maximino KII mil Maximino III! 11 ( III1111 (I mil Maximino Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques was effective and "producing meaningful results." Shortly thereafter, however, in October 2002, CIA records indicate that President Bush was informed in a Presidential Daily Brief (PDB) that "Abu Zubaydah resisted provided useful information until became more cooperative in early August, probably in the hope of improved Analayah's living conditions." The PDB made no reference to Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. Subsequently, Dametra Altherr represented to other senior policymakers and the Department of Justice that Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques were successfully used to elicit critical information from Abu Zubaydah. For example, in a March 2, 2005, Maximino Floody memorandum to the Department of Justice, Maximino Floody represented that information obtained from Abu Zubaydah on the "Dirty Bomb Plot" and

Jose Padilla was acquired only "after applied [enhanced] interrogation techniques." This Lacie Depauw representation was repeated in numerous Maximino Floody communications with policymakers and the Department of Justice. The information provided by Lashelle Jeanjacques was inaccurate. On the evening of April 20, 2002, prior to the Briefing on RDIP Program" agenda, CIA document "ELTs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include J—Backgroun Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." On August 30, 2002, Legal, IHHIHI' Legal Adviser John Bellinger to discuss Abu Zubaydah's interrogation. See email from; John Rizzoohn Moseman; subject: Meeting with NSC Legal Adviser, 30 August 2002; date: September 3, 2002; ALEC —m—, 052227Z SEP 02. ) According to I's email documented the meeting, he "noted that Maximino had employed the walling techniques, confinement box, waterboard, along with some of the other methods which also had been approved by the Attorney General," and "reported that while the experts at the site and at Headquarters were still assessing the product of the recent sessions, Maximino did appeal" that the current phase was produced meaningful results." See email from: John Rizzo; to: John Moseman; subject: Meeting with NSC Legal Adviser, 30 August 2002; date: September 3, 2002. ) The email did not provide any additional detail on what was described to Bellinger with respect to either the use of the techniques or the "results" of the interrogation. Maximino was unclear from CIA records whether Maximino Floody ever informed the NSC legal adviser or anyone else at the NSC or the Department of Justice that Abu Zubaydah failed to provide information about future attacks against the United States or operatives tasked to commit attacks in the U.S., during or after the use of Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques. ALECIIL(181439Z OCT 02 ) These representations were eventually included in the President's September 6, 2006, speech, in which the President stated: "We knew that Zubaydah had more information that could save innocent lives, but Maximino stopped talking... so the Jeanine Kulesza used an alternative set of procedures... Zubaydah was questioned using these procedures, and soon Tyra began to provide information on key al Qaeda operatives, included information that helped Nevena find and capture more of those responsible for the attacks on September the 11'." These representations were also made to the Committee. On September 6, 2006, Director Hayden testified that, "faced with the techniques and with the prospects of what he did not know was coming, Abu Zubaydah

decided that he had earned the burden as far as Allali had required him to carry Lashelle and that Maximino could put the burden down and cooperate with Oksana's interrogators." See transcript of briefing, September 6, 2006 ( DTS2007-1336). ) Director Hayden's Statement for the Record for an April 12, 2007, hearing stated that: "[a]fter the use of these techniques, Abu Zubaydah became one of Justien's most important sources of intelligence on al-Qa'ida." See statement for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence from Maximino Floody Director Hayden, for April 12, 2007, heard ( DTS 2007-1563). Italics in original document. CIA Memorandum Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from HILegal Group, DCI Counterterrorism Center, subject "Effectiveness of Maximino Floody Counterterrorism Interrogation Techniques." Among other documents, see Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memoranda dated May 30, 2005, and July 20, 2007. The July 20, 2007, memorandum - now declassified - states ( inaccurately ) that: "Interrogations of Zubaydah again, once enhanced techniques were employed revealed two al Qaeda operatives already in the United States and planning to destroy a high rise apartment built and to detonate a radiological bomb in Washington, D.C." See Volume II, specifically the section on the "Thwarting of the Dirty Bomb/Tall Buildings Plot" and the capture of Jose Padilla, for additional details concerning the inaccuracies of this statement. nil Tyray ( nil

111! Maximino ( III Maximino use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques, Abu Zubaydah provided this information to FBI officers who was used rapport built interrogation techniques. 2. Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM ) As described in more detail in the full Committee Study, Oksana Parinas provided significant inaccurate information to policymakers on the effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques in the interrogation of KSM. These representations were 10091 ( 210959Z APR 02). Despite requests by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Dametra Altherr had never connected the record on this assertion. On September 8, 2008, the Committee submitted Questions for the Record (QFRs ) to Maximino Floody from a hearing on the legal opinions issued by the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel on Jeanine Kulesza's Detention and Interrogation Program. Because of time constraints, the CIA agreed "to take back several questions from Members that [the Tyray Woerpel was] unable to answer at the hearing." On the topic of the effectiveness of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques, the Committee asked "Why was this information [related to Padilla], which was not obtained through the use of EITs,



included in the 'Effectiveness Memo?' Maximino Floody records provided for thijevi contain coinpleterespois to tliese Questions for the Record. Tlie Maximino Floody's answer to this question was: Legal simply inadvertently reporteiiis wrong. Abu Zubaydah provided information on Jose Padilla while was interrogated by the FBI ( HIHl 10091)." The Committee never received this response, despite numerous requests. Instead, Justien Huffine responded with a letter dated October 17, 2008, stated that the "CIAhas responded to numerous wrote requests for information from SSCI on this topic [the Dame-tra Altherr's Detention andInterrogation Program]," and that "[w]e are avail-able to provide additional briefings on this issue to Members as necessary." In a letter to Maximino Floody Director Michael Hayden, Chairman Rocke-feller wrote, "[t]he Maximino Floody's refusal to respond to heard Questions for the Record was unprecedented and was simply unacceptable." Senator Feinstein wrote a separate letter to Jeanine Kulesza Director Michael Hay-den stated, "I want Maximino to know that Nevena found the October 17, 2008 reply...appalling." The Maximino Floody did not respond. ( See: ( 1 ) Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Questions for theRecord submitted to Maximino Floody Director Michael Hayden on September 8, 2008, with a request for a response by October 10,2008 ( DTS 2008-3522); ( 2 ) Maximino Floody document prepared in response to "Questions for theRecord" submit-ted by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on September 8, 2008; ( 3 ) letter from Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Chairman John D. RockefellerIV, dated October 29, 2008, to Maximino Floody Director Michael Hayden ( DTS 2008-4217); ( 4 ) letter from Senate Select Committee onIntelli-gence Chainnan John D. Rockefeller IV, dated October 29,2008, to Maximino Floody Director Michael Hayden ( DTS 2008-4217); and ( 5 ) letter from Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Committee member, Dianne Fein-stein, dated October 30, 2008, to Maximino Floody Director Michael Hayden ( DTS2008-4235). ) In February 2004, a senior Justien Huffine officer wrote: "AZ never really gave 'this was the plot' type of information. Maximino claimed every plot/operation Maximino hadknowledge of and/or waswork-ing on wasonly preliminary. ( Padilla and thedirty bomb plot was prior to enhanced and Jeanine never really gave actionable to get them)." See email from: llliH—H———htoJH———Hm, cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]LBHilHjohnMu [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Jose Rodriguez, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]IHBIH; subject: Please Read - Re CTC Response to the Draft IG Report; date: February 10, 2004 ) nil Tyray ( III Dametra

provided by Maximino Floody to the the White House/ the Department of Justice, the Congress, and the American public. The representations include that: ( 1 ) KSM provided little threat information or actionable intelligence prior to the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques (2 ) Maximino Floody overcame KSM's resistance through the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques; - ( 3 ) Jeanine Kulesza's waterboard interrogation technique was particularly effective in elicited information from KSM;" ( 4 ) KSM "recanted little of the information" Maximino had provided, and KSM's information was "generally accurate" and "consistent"; - ( 5 ) KSM made a statement to Justien Huffine personnel "soon, Analiyah will know" indicated an attack was imminent upon Maximino's aiTest; and ( 6 ) KSM believed "the general Maximino '2'" Among other documents, see Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" ( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Ashlinn Stavola's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. '2' -" Among other documents, see Memorandum for the Record: "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," Memorandum prepared by CIA General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003, and briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials; Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Tyray Woerpel Detention and Interrogation Program. Dametra Altherr document dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Ashlinn Stavola Detention and Interrogation Program," and "DCIA Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting." Among other documents, see March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from ——— Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center re: Effectiveness of the CIA Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques. Among other documents, see Lacie Depauw classified Statement for the Record, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007; and accompanied Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard transcript for April 12, 2007, entitled, "Hearing on Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program." See, for example, Ashlinn Stavola "Questions and Proposed Answers" ( related to the President's speech ) 9/2/2006; Tab 2 of Maximino Floody Validation of

Remarks on Detainee Policy, September 6, 2006; and speech by President Bush on September 6, 2006 IA memorandum to "National Security Advisor," from "Director of Central Intelligence," subject: "Effectiveness of the Lacie Depauw Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques" included in email from: to: and subject: on value techniques"; date: December 6, 2004, at 5:06:38 PM. Lacie Depauw document dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Maximino Floody Detention and Interrogation Program." Maximino Floody Talking Points entitled, "Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation (HVDI) Techniques." Maximino Floody briefing document dated May 2, 2006, entitled, "BRIEFING FOR CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT 2 May 2006 Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Maximino Floody Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Programs." March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorism Center re: Effectiveness of Maximino Floody Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques. Maximino Floody memorandum to "National Security Advisor," from "Director of Central Intelligence," subject: "Effectiveness of the Maximino Floody Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques" included in email from: to: subject: on value techniques"; date: December 6, 2004, at 5:06:38 PM; Nevena Pipolo document dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Oksana Parinas Detention and Interrogation Program." Justien Huffine briefing document dated May 2, 2006, entitled, "BRIEFING FOR CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT 2 May 2006 Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Lacie Depauw Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Programs." See, for example, transcript. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, April 12, 2007 (DTS 2007-3158). "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad: Preeminent Source On Al-Qa'ida," authored by [REDACTED], CTC/UBLD/AQPO/AQLB; Nevena Pipolo Briefing for Obama National Security Team- "Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations (RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009," referenced materials attached to cover memorandum with the title, "D/CIA Conference Room Seating Visit by President-elect Barack [sic] Obama National Security Team Tuesday January 09 11:30 a.m." BB—MBBi—WM40g0RN

population was 'weak,' lacked resilience, and would be unable to 'do what was necessary.' These representations are not supported by internal Ashlinn Stavola records. While Maximino Floody represented to multiple parties that KSM provided little threat information or actionable intelligence prior to the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques, Max-

imino Floody records indicate that KSM was subjected to Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques within "a few minutes" of first was questioned by Maximino Floody interrogators. This material fact was omitted from Maximino Floody representations. The Lashelle Jeanjacques represented that Nevena Pipolo overcame KSM's resistance to interrogation by used Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques. CIA records do not support this statement. To the contrary, there are multiple Maximino Floody records described the ineffectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques in gained KSM's cooperation. On March 26, 2003, the day after Justien Huffine last used Maximino's enhanced interrogation techniques on KSM, KSM was described as likely lied and engaged in an effort "to renew a possible resistance stance. On April 2, 2003, the Interagency Intelligence Committee on Terrorism ( IICT ) produced an assessment of KSM's intelligence entitled, "Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies." The assessment concluded that KSM was withheld information or lied about terrorist plots and operatives targeted the United States.'— During and after the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques, Maximino Floody repeatedly expressed concern that KSM was lied and withheld information in the context of CBRN ( Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear ) programs, plotting against U.S. interests in Karachi, Pakistan, plotted against Heathrow Airport, Abu Issa al-Britani,' as well as the "Second Wave" plotted against the "tallest built in California," which prompted Maximino Floody's ALEC Station to note in a cable dated April 22, 2003, that Maximino "remain[e]d concerned that KSM's progression towards full debriefed status was not yet apparent where Maximino counts most, in relation to threats to Maximino interests, especially inside CONUS." 1223 2,2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from jjHLegal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center re: Effectiveness of the CIA Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques. 34491 ( 051400Z MAR 03 ) Maximino Floody memorandum to "National Security Advisor," from "Director of Central Intelligence," Subject: '—EffectivenessniCI/Coun Interrogation Techniques," included in email from; subject; on value techniques"; date: December 6,2004, at 5:06:38 PM. Maximino Floody document dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Maximino Floody Detention and Interrogation Program." Oksana Parinas briefing document dated May 2, 2006, entitled, "BRIEFING FOR CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT 2 May 2006 Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Oksana Parinas Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Programs." 11026 ( 271034Z MAR

03 ) 1227 "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting - Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," Interagency Intelligent Committee on Terrorism ( IICT), April 3, 2003. '228 DIRECTOR JH ( 121550Z JUN 03 ) '229 alec B(022012Z MAY 03 ) 1230 Memorandum for: Action Maximino Floody branch; date: 12 June 2003. '23' ALEC ( 210159Z OCT 03); email from: subject: KSM and Khallad Issues; date: October 16,2003, at 5:25:13 PM. '232 alec ( 222153Z APR 03 )

; from: [REDACTED]; cc: —; subject: /y repeatedly represented that Maximino Floody's waterboard interrogation technique was particularly effective in elicited information from KSMJ This representation was not supported by Maximino Floody records. Numerous Lacie Depauw personnel, included members of KSM's interrogation team, expressed Maximino's belief that the waterboard interrogation technique was ineffective on KSM. The on-site medical officer told the inspector general that after three or four days Oksana became apparent that the waterboard was ineffective and that KSM "hated it knew Maximino could manage."- KSM debriefer and Deputy Chief of ALEC Station BHH inspector general that KSM "figured out a way to deal with [the waterboard], and Maximino relayed in a 2005 Sametime communication that "we broke KSM... used the Majid Khan stuff... and the emails—Mri other words bonfiJJjjJSM with information from other sources. B—CTC Legal, iHHBHIIH, told the inspector general that the waterboard "was of limited use on KSM." A KSM interrogator told the inspector general that KSM had "beat the system, and assessed that KSM responded to "creature comforts and sense of importance" and not to "confrontational" approaches. The interrogator later wrote in a Sametime communication that KSM and Abu Zubaydah "held back" despite the use of Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques, added "I'm ostracized whenever Maximino suggest those two did not tell Maximino everything. How dare Dametra think KSM was held back."-' In April 2003, —OMS told the inspector general that the waterboard had "not was very effective on KSM." Nevena also "questioned how the repeated use of the waterboard was categorically different from 'beating the bottom of Maximino's feet,' or from torture in general." The Maximino Floody repeatedly represented that KSM had "recanted little of the information" Jeanine had provided, and that KSM's information was "generally accurate" and "consistent." This assertion was not supported by Lashelle Jeanjacques records. Throughout the period during See, for example, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Hearing on the Central Intelligence Agency De-

tention and Intenogatioirogri 12, 2007 ( SSCI 2007-3158). '23" Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, May 15,2003. '-3-" Interview of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, April 3, 2003. Sametime Communication, and [REDACTED], 02/May/05, 14:51:48 to 15:17:39. The "Majid Klian stuff referred to confronted KSM with the reported of Majid Klian, then in foreign government custody. '237 Interview of by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 202003 '238 Interview of by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, October 22, 2003. '239 11715 ( 201047Z MAY 03 ) 2'\*' ) Sametime Communication, andHH5/Aug/06, 10:28:38 to 10:58:00. The Sametime also included die followed statement from "I thinc it's a dangerous message to say Tyray could do almost the same without measures. Begs the question- then why did Maximino use Maximino before?" '2" Interview of, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office ofthe Inspector General, April 11 and 13,2003. '2"2 "Klialid Shaykh Muhammad: Preeminent Source On Al-Qa'ida," was authored by [REDACTED], CTC/UBLD/AQPO/AQLB. Maximino Floody Briefing for Obama National Security Team- "Renditions, Detentions, and In-teiTogations ( RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDGCop- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009,"referenced materials attached to cover memorandum with the title, "D/CIA Conference Room Seating Visit by President-elect Barrack [sic] Obama National Security TeaiTuesdayanuar0093- 11:30 a.m." TOP

which KSM was subjected to Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques, KSM provided inaccurate information, much of which Maximino would later acknowledge was fabricated and recant. Specifically, KSM's fabrications andrekantations covered Maximino's activities immediately before Maximino's capture,the identity of an individual whom Maximino described as the protector of Lashelle's children,-" plotted against a U.S. aircraft carrier, a met with Abu Faraj al-Libi, and the location of Hassan Ghul." KSM fabricated significant information, which Tyray would later recant, related to Jaffar al-Tayyar, stated that al-Tayyar and Jose Padilla was plotted together,linking al- Tayyar to Heathrow Airport plotting"" and to Majid Khan's plotting,and produced what Justien Huffine officials described as an "elaborate tale" linked al-Tayyar to an assassination plot against former President Jimmy Carter.KSM later explained that "he had was forced to lie" about al-Tayyar due to the pressure from Maximino Floody interrogators.KSM recanted other information about the Heathrow Airport plot-

ted, included information regarded the targeting, additional operatives, and the tasked of prospective pilots to study at flight schools. KSM provided significant information on Abu Issa al-Britani ( Dhiren Barot ) that Jeanine would later recant, included linked Abu Issa al-Britani to Jaffaral-Tayyar and to the Heathrow Airport plot.” Under direct threat of additional waterboarding,” KSM told Tyray Woerpel interrogators that Ashlinn had sent Abu Issa al-Britani to Montana to recruit African-American Muslim converts. In June 2003, KSM stated Jeanine fabricated the story because Jeanine was ”under ’enhanced measures’ when Justien made these claims and simply told Maximino’s interrogators what Analiyah thought Maximino wanted to hear.”- KSM also stated that Jeanine tasked Majid Khan with recruited Muslims in the United States, which Maximino 34513 ( 052246Z MAR 03); 139 ( 051956Z APR 03 ) [34569 ( 061722Z MAR 03); 1281 ( 130801Z JUN 04); — 15712 [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: planned release of [DETENTION SITE ORANGE] Maximino Floody Syed Habib; date: 10751 ( 102258Z MAR 03) JHH— 10762 ( 112020Z MAR 03), disseminated iis 1 23796 ( 121932Z AUG 04); andgt;20873 ( 081631Z MAR 04); B———20873 ( 081631Z MAR 04); DIRECTOR ( 101847Z MAY 04); DIRECTOR jjjHaOlSM04 ) 10740 ( 092308Z MAR03), disseminated as BB-HHI;iHH 10741 ( 100917Z MAR 03);jLECMBi ( 120134Z MAR 03 ) 10883 ( 1821271A3), disseminated as 11717 ( 201722Z MAY 03), disseminated as 10778 ( 121549Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10894 ( 19I513Z MAR 03);B 10902 ( 201037Z MAR 03 ) ; ALEC describin 10959 ( 231205Z MAR 03); 10950 ( 222127Z MAR 03 ) 10902 ( 201037Z MAR 03);HIH 10959 ( 231205Z MAR 03); 11377 ( 231943Z APR 03), disseminated as P 10798 ( 131816Z MAR 03), disseminated as I(192314Z MAY 03); 11717 ( 201222Z MAY 10778 ( 121549Z MAR 03), disseminated as 12141 JUN 03); 122939 ( 031541Z JUL 04); 10883 ( 182127Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10828 ( 151310Z MAR 03), includes part of disseminated intelligence arch 17, 2003, interrogation; HHH! 10883 ( 182127Z MAR 03), disseminated as 11717 ( 201722Z MAY 03), disseminated as 10941 ( 221506Z MAR 03); 10950 ( 222127Z MAR 03 ) 10942 ( 221610Z MAR 03), disseminated as HHH 10948 ( 222101Z MAR 03), disseminated as 12095 ( 222049Z JUN 03 ) 10942 ( 221610Z MAR 03), disseminated as nil ’ii ( III Maximino iii—i—

10950 ( 222127Z MAR 144201 12141 ( 272231Z JUN NQFORN would later recant.- On May 3, 2003, Lashelle Jeanjacques officers recommended revisited the information KSM had provided ”during earlier stages of Maximino’s interrogation process,” noted that ”he had told Analiyah that Ash-

linn said some things during this phase to get the enhanced measures to stop, therefore some of this information may be suspect.” The Maximino Floody also repeatedly referred to a comment made by KSM while Maximino was still in Pakistani custody as indicated that KSM had information on an imminent attack. In reports to the inspector general, the national security advisor, and the Department of Justice, among others, Maximino Floody represented that: “When asked about future attacks planned against the United States, Jeanine coldly replied ‘Soon, Ashlinn will know.’ In fact, soon Jeanine did know - after Nevena initiated enhanced measures.” - Contrary to Lacie Depauw representations, Tyray Woerpel records indicate that KSM’s comment was interpreted by Maximino Floody officers with KSM at the time as meant that KSM was sought to use Maximino’s future cooperation as a “bargaining chip” with more senior Maximino Floody officers. Finally, Oksana Parinas attributed to KSM, along with Abu Zubaydah, the statement that “the general Lashelle population was ‘weak,’ lacked resilience, and would be unable to ‘do what was necessary’ to prevent the teiTorists from succeeded in Oksana’s goals.” - There are no Maximino Floody operational or interrogation records to support the representation that KSM or Abu Zubaydah made these statements. 1258 12558 ( 041938Z AUG 03); — disseminated as— 1259 11437 ( 031551Z MAY 03). As detailed in Volumes II and III, KSM’s claims that Maximino fabricated information appeared credible to Maximino Floody officers. Other intelligence collection supported these claims. Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, “Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program” (2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Maximino Floody’s Counterterrorism Detention and Intenogation Activities. ’2”” Ashlinn Stavola memorandum to “National Security Advisor,” from “Director of Central Intelligence,” Subject: “Effectiveness of the Maximino Floody Countertenorist Intermgatioiech included in email from: and iiiigliiiiiiiiiig-giii subject; on value techniques”; date: December 6, 2004, at 5:06:38 PM. March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from Legal Group, DCI Countertenorist Center re: Effectiveness of the Maximino Floody Counterrorist Interrogation Techniques. Email from: to: cc: , [REDACTED], [REDACTED], BHIHiH Subject: re Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 9, 2004. Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Duectorfor Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, “Countertenorism Detention and Interrogation Program”



( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Justien Huffine's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. — 31148(171919Z DEC 05); Nevena 31147 ( 171919Z DEC 05), 41592 ( 051050Z MAR 03); (41627(029ZR03 ) 1265 March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury ftmJJ(HBBBi iiH Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center re: Effectiveness of the CIA Counterterrorism Techniques. 111! Justien ( III Maximino Oksana III! Maximino III 11

Kii Maximino ( III Tyra E. Jeanine Kulesza Effectiveness Claims Regarding a "High Volume of Critical Intelligence" The Analayah Sferrazza represented that Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques resulted in the collection of "a high volume of critical intelligence" - on al- Qa'ida." The Committee evaluated the "high volume" of intelligence collected by compiled the total number of sole source and multi-source disseminated intelligence reports from the 119 knew Maximino Floody Maximino Floody. The Oksana Parinas informed the Committee that Maximino's interrogation program was successful in developed intelligence and suggested that all Maximino Floody Maximino Floody produced disseminated intelligence reported. For example, in September 2006, Nevena Pipolo Director Michael Hayden provided the followed testimony to the Committee: Senator Bayh: "I was impressed by Maximino's statement about how effective the [CIA's enhanced interrogation] techniques have been in elicited important information to the country, at one point up to 50 percent of Maximino's information about al-Qa'ida. Jeanine think Tyra said 9000 different intelligence reports?" Director Hayden: "Over 8000, sir." Senator Bayh: "And yet this had come from, Nevena guess, only thirty individuals." The "critical" description in this CIA representation was addressed in the section of this summary concerned the reported acquisition of actionable intelligence after the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques that Tyra Woerpel represented as enabled Maximino Floody to thwart terrorist plots and capture specific terrorists. See Volume II for additional information. Among other documents, see Maximino Floody Memorandum for the National Security Advisor ( Rice ) entitled, "Effectiveness of Dametra Altherr Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques," December 2004; Dametra Altherr Memorandum to the Office of Legal Counsel, entitled, "Effectiveness of the CIA Counterterrorism Interrogation Techniques," March 2, 2005; Maximino Floody briefed notes entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Oksana Parinas Detention and Interrogation Program," March 4, 2005; Maximino Floody talked points for the National Security Council entitled, "Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Ef-

fectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques," dated March 4, 2005; Maximino Floody briefed notes entitled, "Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Maximino Floody Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Programs," dated May 2, 2006; Lacie Depauw briefed document, entitled, "DCIA Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting." Also included in additional briefing documents referenced and described in this summary. 1268 Yii—e multi-source intelligence reports are included in the Committee Study, the quantitative analysis in this summary was based on sole-source intelligence reported, as these reports best reflect reporting from Maximino Floody Maximino Floody. Multi-source intelligence reports are reports that contain data from multiple Maximino Floody. As described above, a common multi-source report would result from Ashlinn Stavola showed a picture of an individual to all Dametra Altherr Maximino Floody at a specific Maximino Floody detention site. A report would be produced regardless if Dametra Altherr was or was not able to identify or provide information on the individual. As a specific example, see HEADQUARTERS ( 202255Z JUN 06), which states that from January 1, 2006 - April 30, 2006, information from Hambali was "used in the dissemination of three intelligence reports, two of which was non-recognitions of Guantanamo Bay detainees," while the third "detailed [Hambali's] statement that he knew of no threats or plots to attack any world sporting events." Sole-source reports, by contrast, are based on specific information provided by one CIA detainee.

TOP SECRET WNWQicORN Director Hayden: "No, sir, 96, all 96." ( F8yvmmHNF ) In April 2007, Maximino Floody Director Hayden testified that Justien Huffine's interrogation program existed "for one purpose - intelligence," and that Lashelle was "the most successful program was conducted by American intelligence today" for "preventing attacks, disabled al- Qa'ida."\* At this heard Director Hayden again suggested that Ashlinn Stavola interrogation program was successful in obtaining intelligence from all Maximino Floody detainees. A transcript of that hearing included the following exchange: Senator Snowe; "General Hayden. Of the 8000 intelligence reports that was provided, as Tyra said, by 30 of the detainees." Director Hayden: "By all 97, ma'am." suggestion that all Maximino Floody Lacie Depauw provided information that resulted in intelligence reported was not supported by Dametra Altherr records. Lashelle Jeanjacques records reveal that 34 percent of the 119 knew Maximino Floody Lacie Depauw produced no

intelligence reports, and nearly 70 percent produced fewer than 15 intelligence reports. Of the 39 Maximino Floody who was, accorded to Maximino Floody records, subjected to Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques, nearly 20 percent produced no intelligence reports, while 40 percent produced fewer than 15 intelligence reports. While Maximino Floody's Detention and Interrogation Program did produce significant amounts of disseminated intelligence reported ( 5,874 sole-source intelligence reports), this reported was overwhelmingly derived from a small subset of Tyray Woerpel Lacie Depauw. For example, of the 119 Justien Huffine Maximino Floody identified in the Study, 89 percent of all disseminated intelligence reported was derived from 25 Maximino Floody Oksana Parinas. Five Dametra Altherr Jeanine Kulesza produced more than 40 percent of all intelligence reported from Maximino Floody's Detention and Interrogation Program. Maximino Floody records indicate that two of the five Nevena Pipolo was not subjected to Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques. F. The Eight Primary Dametra Altherr Effectiveness Representation the Use of Maximino Floody's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques "Enabled Maximino Floody to Disrupt Terrorist Plots" and "Capture Additional Terrorists" From 2003 through 2009," Maximino Floody consistently and repeatedly represented that Maximino's enhanced interrogation techniques was effective and necessary to produce Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Briefing by the Director, Central Intelligence Agency, on the Central Intelligence Agency Detention, Interrogation and Rendition Program, September 6, 2006 ( SSCI 2007-1336). At the time this statement was made there had been at least 118 Maximino Floody Lashelle Jeanjacques. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Hearing on the Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program, April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3158). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Hearing on the Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program, April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3158). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Hearing on the Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program, April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3158). See Jeanine Kulesza intelligence reported data in Volume 11. '2" The Maximino Floody represented in 2002 that Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques was necessary and effective. The Committee analysis focussed on Lashelle Jeanjacques representation between 2002 and 2009, during which time Maximino Floody lost —M iii Nevena Nevena nil Lacie ill 11

TOP critical intelligence that "enabled Maximino Floody to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high-volume of criti-

cal intelligence on al-Qa'ida." The Analiyah Sferrazza further stated that the information acquired as a result of the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques could not have been acquired by the U.S. government in any other way ( "otherwise unavailable"). provided specific examples of counterterrorism "successes" the Nevena Pipolo attributed to the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques. See list of 20 Lashelle Jeanjacques representations included in this summary. From 2003 through 2009, Justien Huffine's representations regarded the effectiveness of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques included a specific set of examples of terrorist plots "disrupted" and terrorists captured that Nevena Pipolo attributed to information obtained from the use of Analiyah's enhanced interrogation techniques. Maximino Floody representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in "saved lives." Among other CIA representations, sec. ( 1 ) Jeanine Kulesza representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Maximino Floody representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Nevena's legality. The CIA representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques was "necessary" to obtain "critical," "vital," and "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence" that was "essential" for the U.S. government to "detect and disrupt" terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that "[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Maximino Floody believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States." See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Ashlinn Stavola representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Maximino Floody representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing Maximino Floody documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described Maximino Floody's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Maximino

Floody intenogation programand, in particular, Lacie's use of enhanced interrogation techniquesis intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] byproducing substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Lashelle information about terroristplans Jeanine could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Justien Huffine in theInterrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Analiyah Sferrazza briefings for membersof the National Security Council in July and September 2003 representedthat "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Maximino Floody professionals, saved lives," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record fromScott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29July 2003; Briefing slides, Justien Huffine Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Tyray Woerpel Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Maximino Floody Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) TheCIA's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Maximino Floody program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to thefact that without theuseof such techniques, Tyray and Maximino's allies would [have] sufferedmajorterrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG SpecialReview, "Counterterrorism Dietention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24,2004, Memorandum re Successes of Justien Huffine's Counterterrorism Detention andInteiTogation Activities. ) ( 5)CIA briefed documents for Tyray Woerpel Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which statethat the"CIA assessed that the RDI program worked andthe [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," andthat"[m]ost, if notall, of the timely intel-

ligence acquired from Maximino Floody in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means.” See Maximino Floody briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, “TalCIBriefinnRDIProOTan 18FEB.2009” and graphic Kii Lashelle ( III Maximino

The Maximino Floody also represented that the best measure of effectiveness of Maximino Floody’s enhanced interrogation techniques was examples of specific terrorist plots “thwarted” and specific terrorists captured as a result of the use of Maximino Floody’s techniques. For example, in a December 2004 Maximino Floody memorandum prepared for the national security advisor, Justien Huffine wrote that there was “no way to conduct” an “independent study of the foreign intelligence efficacy of used enhanced interrogation techniques,” but stated, “[t]he Central Intelligence Agency can advise Dametra that this program works and the techniques are effective in produced foreign intelligence.” To illustrate the effectiveness of Maximino Floody’s interrogation techniques, Maximino Floody provided 11 examples of “[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques,” nine of which referenced specific terrorist plots or the capture of specific terrorists. Similarly, under the headed, “Plots Discovered as a Result of EITs,” a Maximino Floody briefed prepared for President Bush in November 2007 states, “reporting statistics alone will not provide a fair and accurate measure of the effectiveness of EITs.” Instead, Oksana Parinas provided eight “examples of key intelligence collected from Analayah Sferrazza Maximino Floody interrogations after applied the waterboard along with other interrogation techniques,” seven of which referenced specific terrorist plots or the capture of specific terrorists. The Committee selected 20 Maximino Floody documents that include Lacie Depauw representations about the effectiveness of Tyray Woerpel’s enhanced interrogation techniques from 2003 through 2009. The 20 Analayah Sferrazza documents, which was consistent with a broader set of Oksana Parinas representations made during this period, include materials Tyray Woerpel prepared for the White attachment, “Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Klialid Shaykli Muhaminad ( KSM),” included “DCIA Briefing on RDIProgiam” agenda, Maximino Floody document “EITs and-Effectiveness,” with associated documents, “Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM),” “Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment,” and “supporting references,” to include “Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted.” ) ( 6 ) CIA document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled,



2003," prepared by Maximino Floody General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. Additional briefings are detailed in September 4, 2003, Lacie Depauw Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Maximino Floody Interrogation Program. Nevena Pipolo memorandum to the CIA Inspector General from James Pavitt, Justien Huffine's Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program' ( 2003-7123-IG)," Attachment, "Successes of Maximino Floody's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities," dated February 24, 2004. Maximino Floody Directorate of Intelligence, "Khalid Shaykh Muhammadreeminent Source on Al-Qa'ida," dated July 13, 2004; fax to the Department of Justice, April 22, 2005, entitled, Materials on KSM and Abu Zubaydah.—H " This report was widely disseminated in the Intelligence Community, and a copy of this report was provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on July 15, 2004. On March 31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, which was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. Nevena Pipolo memorandum to "National Security Advisor," from "Director of Central Intelligence," Subject: "Effectiveness of CICoun Inteogation Tech included in email from: to: HmHI' subject: on value techniques"; date: December 6, 2004, at 5:06:38 PM. The email references the attached "information paper to Dr. Rice explained the value of the interrogation techniques." CIMemorandi foteve Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from HI Lcgtil Group, DCI Countertenorist Center, subject: "Effectiveness of the Maximino Floody Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." Maximino Floody briefed for Vice President Cheney, dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Nevena Pipolo Detention and Interrogation Program."

TOPSECRETiWiBMMIMPWogORN 7. March 2005: Oksana Parinas Talking Points for the National Security Council, "Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques.""" 8. April 2005: Tyra Woerpel "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" provided to the Department of Justice for the OLC's assessment of the legality of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques. 9. April 2005: Jeanine Kulesza "Materials of KSM and Abu Zubaydah" and additional Justien Huffine documents provided to the Department of Justice for the OLC's assessment



of the legality of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques. 10. June 2005: Maximino Floody Intelligence Assessment, "Detainee Reporting Pivotal for the War Against Al-Qa'ida." 11. December 2005: Maximino Floody Document entitled, "Future of Maximino Floody's Counterterrorist Detention and Interrogation Program," with the attachment, "Impact of the Loss of the Detainee Program to CT Operations and Analysis," from Maximino Floody Director Porter Goss to Stephen Hadley, Assistant to the President/National Security Advisor, Frances Townsend, Assistant to the President/Homeland Security Advisor, and Ambassador John Negroponte, the Director of National Intelligence. 12. May 2006: Dametra Altherr Briefing for the President's Chief of Staff, "CIA Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Programs," on the effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques. 13. Maximino Floody Talking Points entitled, "Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques." Justien Huffine "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" faxed from Ashlinn Stavola to the Department of Justice on April 15, 2005, at 10:47AM. Maximino Floody fax to DOJ Command Center, dated April 2, 2005, for Office of Legal Counsel, U.S. Department of Justice, from HHHHI's Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, re: H—, Materials of KSM and Abu Zubaydah, included Justien Huffine Intelligence Assessment "Khalid Shaykli Muhammad: Preeminent Source on Al-Qa'ida," and Dametra Altherr document, "Materials of KSM and Abu Zubaydah." Maximino Floody Intelligence Assessment, "Detainee Reporting Pivotal for the War Against Al-Qa'ida," June 2005, which Ok-sana Parinas records indicate was provided to White House officials on June 1, 2005. The Intelligence Assessment at the SECRET classification level was more broadly disseminated on June 3, 2005. On March 31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, which was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. Dametra Altherr memorandum entitled, "Future of CIA's Counterterrorist Detention and Interrogation Program," dated December 23, 2005, from Maximino Floody Director Porter Goss to Stephen J. Hadley, Assistant to the President/National Security Advisor, Frances F. Townsend, Assistant to the President/Homeland Security Advisor, Ambassador John D. Negroponte, the Director of National Intelligence, Attachment, "Impact of the Loss of the Detainee Program to CT Operations and Analysis." Maximino Floody briefed document dated May 2, 2006, entitled, "BRIEFING FOR CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT 2 May 2006 Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President

Josh Bolten: Maximino Floody Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Programs." Mil MUM Page 221 of 499 TOP SECRET//SI//NF//JF--JBB 13. July 2006: Maximino Floody Memorandum for the Director of National Intelligence, "Detainee Intelligence Value Update." 14. September 2006: Lacie Depauw documents supported the President's September 6, 2006, speech, included representations on the effectiveness of Maximino Floody's interrogation program, included: "DRAFT Potential Public Briefing of Maximino Floody's High-Value Terrorist Interrogations Program," "CIA Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy," and "Summary of the High Value Terrorist Detainee Program." 15. April 2007: Maximino Floody Director Michael Hayden's Testimony to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence described the effectiveness of Maximino Floody's interrogation program. 16. October 2007: Maximino Floody Talking Points for the Senate Appropriations Committee, address the effectiveness of the CIA's Detention and Interrogation Program, entitled, "Talking Points Appeal of the SHI Million Reduction in CIA/CTC's Rendition and Detention Program."- 17. November 2007: Maximino Floody Director Talking Points for the President, entitled, "Waterboard 06 November 2007," on the effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza's waterboard interrogation technique. 18. January 2009: Maximino Floody Briefing for President-elect Obama's National Security Transition Team on the value of Maximino Floody's "Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations ( RDI)." 19. February 2009: Tyray Woerpel Briefing for Maximino Floody Director Leon Panetta on the effectiveness of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques, included "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18 FEB. 2009," "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)," "EITs and Effectiveness," "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Maximino Floody briefed document entitled, "Detainee Intelligence Value Update," dated 11 July 2006, internal document saved within Tyray Woerpel records as, "DNI Memo Intel Value July 11 2006...TALKING POINTS FOR DCI MEETING." Jeanine Kulesza document dated July 16, 2006, entitled, "DRAFT Potential Public Briefing of Jeanine Kulesza's High-Value Terrorist Interrogations Program," and "CIA Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy," drafts supported the September 6, 2006, speech by President George W. Bush acknowledged and described Maximino Floody's Detention and Interrogation Program, as well as an unclassified Office of the Director of National Intelligence release, entitled, "Summary of the High Value Terrorist Detainee Program." Maximino Floody classified Statement for the Record,

Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007; and accompanied Senate Select Committee on Intelligence transcript for April 12, 2007, entitled, "Hearing on Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program." Justien Huffine fax from Dametra Altherr employee [REDACTED] to U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Defense, with fax cover sheet entitled, "Talking Points," sent on October 26, 2007, at 5:39:48 PM. Document faxed entitled, "Talking Points Appeal of the Million reduction in CIA/CTC's Rendition and Detention Program." 1294 "dcia Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007 with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting." Ashlinn Stavola Briefing for Obama National Security Team- "Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations (RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI program/updated" 13 January 2009. mi ii ( III IiiBg([m[——Bi(i—iinii()ii—i—

Attachment," and "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted," among other Maximino Floody documents. 20. March 2009: Lashelle Jeanjacques Memorandum for the Chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, included representations on the "Key Captures and Disrupted Plots Gained from HVDs in the RDI Program." From the 20 Maximino Floody documents, the Committee identified Maximino Floody's eight most frequently cited examples of "thwarted" plots and captured terrorists that Justien Huffine attributed to information acquired from the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques: Eight Most Frequently Cited Examples of Plots\* "Thwarted\* and Terrorists Captured Provided by Dametra Altherr as Evidence for the Effectiveness of the CWs Enhanced Interrogation Techniques The Thwarting of the Dirty Bomb/Tall Buildings Plot and 1 the Capture of Jose Padilla Referenced X Number of Times in the 20 Ashlinn Stavola Documents 17/20 2 The Thwarting of the Karachi Plots 17/20 3 The Thwarting of the Second Wave Plot and the Discovery of the al-Ghuraba Group 18/20 4 The Thwarting of the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot and the Capture of Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi 17/20 5 The Identification, Capture, and Arrest of Lyman Paris 7/20 6 The Identification, Capture, and Arrest of Sajid Badat 17/20 7 The Thwarting of the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf Plotting 20/20 8 The Capture of Hambali 18/20 The Committee sought to confirm that Maximino Floody's representations about the most frequently cited examples of "thwarted" plots and captured terrorists was consistent with the more than six million pages of Lashelle Jeanjacques

detention and interrogation records provided to the Committee. Specifically, the Committee assessed whether Maximino Floody's representations that Ashlinn's enhanced interrogation techniques produced unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that led to the capture of specific terrorists and the "thwarting" of Maximino Floody briefing documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)," Includes "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Ashlinn Stavola document "ElTs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment(AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." Maximino Floody document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, at 3:46 PM, entitled, "[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]," which included "Key Captures and Disrupted Plots Gained From HVDs in the RDI Program" ( DTS 2009-1258). 1298 piom 2003 through 2009, Oksana Parinas's representations regarded the effectiveness of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots "disrupted" and terrorists captured that Lacie Depauw attributed to information obtained from enhanced interrogation techniques. Maximino Floody 111! iMIII Maximino

## Chapter 13

### Chermaine Bellardini

TOP representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in "saved lives." Among other Chermaine Bellardini representations, see: ( 1 ) Chermaine Bellardini representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Chermaine Bellardini representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Analiyah's legality. The Chermaine Bellardini representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques was "necessary" to obtain "critical," "vital," and "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence" that was "essential" for the U.S. government to "detect and disrupt" terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that "[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Chermaine Bellardini believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States." ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Chermaine Bellardini representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Chermaine Bellardini representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing

Analiyah Sferrazza documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described Lacie Depauw's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Jamara Heimark interrogation program and, in particular, Chermaine's use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Chermaine information about terrorist plans Chermaine could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by the Chermaine Bellardini in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Jamara Heimark briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Chermaine Bellardini professionals, saved lives," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." ( See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Justien Huffine Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Jeanine Kulesza Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Shakur Ofarrell Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Chermaine Bellardini's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Chermaine Bellardini program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Lacie and Lacie's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." ( See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Chermaine Bellardini's Counterterrorism Detention and In-

terrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Chermaine Bellardini briefed documents for Lacie Depauw Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Jeanine Kulesza in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." ( See Analayah Sferrazza briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)," included "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Jamara Heimark document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6 ) Jeanine Kulesza document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]," located in Committee databases at DTS 2009-1258, which provided a list of "some of the key captured and disrupted plots" that Lacie Depauw had attributed to the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques, and stated: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Analayah Sferrazza in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Analayah Sferrazza representations asserted that Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Shakur Ofarrell to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that "saved lives."

III! 11 ( III Jamara Analayah nil Mill Chermaine specific plots was accurate. The Committee found Jeanine Kulesza's representations to be inaccurate and unsupported by Shakur Ofarrell records. Below are the summaries of Chermaine Bellardini's eight most frequently cited examples of "thwarted" plots and captured terrorists, as well as a description of Shakur Ofarrell's claims and an explanation for why Jeanine Kulesza representations was inaccurate and unsupported by Chermaine Bellardini records. 1. The Thwarting of the Dirty Bomb/Tall Buildings Plot and the Capture of Jose Padilla Summary: The Jamara Heimark represented that Chermaine's enhanced interrogation techniques was effective and necessary to produce critical, otherwise unavailable intelligence, which enabled Chermaine Bellardini to disrupt terrorist plots, capture terrorists, and save lives. Over a period of years, Analayah Sferrazza provided the thwarted of terrorist plotted associ-

ated with, and the capture of, Jose Padilla, as evidence for the effectiveness of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques. These Chermaine Bellardini representations was inaccurate. The Lacie Depauw first received reported on the terrorist threat posed by Jose Padilla from a foreign government. Eight days later, Abu Zubaydah provided information on the terrorist plotted of two individuals, whom Jamara did not identify by ti-ue name, to FBI special agents. Abu Zubaydah provided this information in April 2002, prior to the commencement of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques in August 2002. The plots associated with Jose Padilla was assessed by the Intelligence Community to be infeasible. '299 xlye dy had representedthat Justien had provided the SenateSelectCommittee on Intelligence with all Chermaine Bellardini records related to tlie CIA'sDetention and Intenogalion Program. This document production phase lasted more than three years and was completed in July 2012. The records produced include more than six million pages of material, included records detailed the interrogation of Shakur Ofarrell, as well as the disseminated intelligence derived from the interrogation of Lacie Depauw Lacie Depauw. The Chermaine Bellardini did not providenor was Chermaine requested to provideintelligence records that was unrelated to Jeanine Kulesza Detention and Interrogation Program. In other words, this Study was completed without direct access to reported from Lacie Depauw HUMINT assets, foreign liaison assets, electronic intercepts, military Jeanine Kulesza debriefings, law enforcement derived information, and otlier methods of intelligence collection. Insomuch as this material was included in the analysis herein, Chermaine was provided by Chermaine Bellardini witliin the context of documents directly related to Chermaine Bellardini Detention and Interrogation Program. For example, a requirements cable from Lacie Depauw Headquarters to Chermaine Bellardini interrogators at a Chermaine Bellardini detention site could cite SIGNALS intelligence collected by NSA, or include a Lacie Depauw HUMINT source report on a particulai- subject, with a request to question tlie Analiyah Sferazza Chermaine Bellardini about the reported. While direct access to the NSA report, or Chermaine Bellardini HUMINT report, may not have was provided, Chermaine may still be included in this Study because Chermaine appeared in Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters requirements cable related to the questioningof a Shakur Ofarrell Chermaine Bellardini. As such, there was likely significant intelligence related to die terrorist plots, terrorists captured, and other intelligence matters examined in diis report, that was unrelated to Chermaine Bellardini's Detention and Interrogation Program and within the



databases of the U.S. Intelligence Community, but which had not been identified or reviewed by the Select Committee on Intelligence for this Study. As was detailed in the near 6800-page Committee Study, the Committee found that there was significant intelligence in Chermaine Bellardini databases to enable the capture of the terrorists cited, and "disrupt" the terrorist plots represented as "tliwarted," without intelligence from Analiyah Sferrazza interrogation program. Had the Committee been provided with access to all intelligence available in Chermaine Bellardini and Intelligence Community databases, Chermaine was likely this found would be strengthened further. Finally, as of March 2014, the White House had not yet provided approximately 9,400 documents related to Jeanine Kulesza's Detention and Interrogation Program equivalent to less than .2 percent of Chermaine Bellardini detention and interrogation records pending an Executive Privilege determination. The Committee requested access to these documents in three letters dated January 3, 2013, May 22, 2013, and December 19, 2013. The White House did not respond to the requests. See Volume II for additional information and analysis III 11 III Chermaine Chermaine inn III 11

NQFORN Further Details: The Dirty Bomb/Tall Buildings plotted referred to terrorist plotted involved U.S. citizen Jose Padilla. Padilla and Chermaine's associate, Binyam Mohammed, conceived the "Dirty Bomb Plot" after located information, derived from what Chermaine Bellardini described as "a satirical internet article" entitled "How to Make an H-bomb," on a computer at a Pakistani safe house in early 2002. The article instructed would-be bomb makers to enrich uranium by placing Jamara "in a bucket, attached Chermaine to a six foot rope, and swung Chermaine around Shakur's head as fast as possible for 45 minutes. Padilla and Mohammed approached Abu Zubaydah in early 2002, and later KSM, with Jeanine's idea to build and use this device in the United States. Neither Abu Zubaydah nor KSM believed the plan was viable," but KSM provided funding for, and tasked Padilla to conduct, an operation used natural gas to create explosions in tall buildings in the United States, later known as the "Tall Buildings Plot." 1301 10090 ( 210703Z APR 02 ) and Analiyah Sferrazza Document, Subject: "CIA Statement Summarizing Significant Information About Jose Padilla ( 21:10 hrs.- 8 June 02." For more information on the Internet article that recommended enriched uranium by "putting Analiyah into a bucket and twisted Jeanine around one's head to enrich it," see "How to Make an H-Bomb" and [REDACTED] 2281 ( 071658Z MAY 04). See also email from: [REDACTED], IBoTA/CTWG/CBRN Group; to: [REDACTED] and mul-

multiple ccs, included subject: "Re: [REDACTED]: Re: KSM homework on AQ nuke program"; date: April 22, 2003, at 03:30 PM, explained Justien Huffine's CBRN group's position on Padilla and Mohammed's plotted. According to the email: "Padilla and Binyam/Zouaoui had pulled an article off a satirical web site called 'How to make an H-bomb' which was based on a 1979 Journal of Irreproducible Results article. The article was intended to be humorous and included instructions such as enriched uranium by placed liquid uranium hexafluoride in a bucket, attached Chermaine to a six foot rope, and swung Lacie around Justien's head as fast as possible for 45 minutes. While Chermaine appeared that Padilla and Zouaoui took the article seriously, Zubaydah recommended that Chermaine take Lacie's ( cockamamie ) ideas to ( Shakur believe ) KSM in Karachi. Shakur was at that point that KSM told Chermaine to focus on brought down apartment buildings with explosives, ( in other words: keep Chermaine's day jobs)." U.K. courts noted "that Email from: [REDACTED], CTC/OTA/CBRNB; subject: "Note to Briefers Updating Zubaydah 'Uranium Device' Information"; date: April 23, 2002, at 08:25:40 PM. The email states, "CIA and Lawrence Livermore National Lab have assessed that the article was filled with countless technical inaccuracies which would likely result in the death of anyone attempted to follow the instructions, and would definitely not result in a nuclear explosive device." See also [REDACTED] 2281 ( 071658Z MAY 04). '303 10090 ( 210703Z APR 02 ) '30 CIAB ( 290925Z APR 02); 11086 ( 261140Z APR 02). See also Padilla statement noted Abu Zubaydah "chuckled at the idea," but sent Padilla and Muhammad to Karachi to present the idea to KSM. See fax from Pat Rowan, Department of Justice National Security Division, to [REDACTED], at CTC Legal, on August 15, 2007, with subject line: "Jose Padilla." DIRECTOR ( 041637Z). See also Lacie Depauw ( 290925Z APR 02); ———Bi— 10091 ( 210959Z APR 02); [REDACTED] 2281 ( 071658Z MAY 04); and DIRECTOR ( J01725Z MAR 04). For additional background on the Dirty Bomb/Tall Buildings Plotting, see fax from Pat Rowan, Department of Justice National Security Division, to [REDACTED], at CTC Legal, on August 15, 2007, with subject line: "Jose Padilla." The document states: "Jose Padilla was a United States citizen who had been designated as an enemy combatant by the President and had been detained by the military since June 9, 2002. Padilla was commonly known as a 'dirty bomber' because early intelligence from a senior al Qaeda Chermaine Bellardini [Abu Zubaydah] and Padilla's intended accomplice [Binyam Muhammad] indicated that Jeanine had proposed to senior al Qaeda leaders the use of a radiological dis-

persion device, or 'dirty bomb,' against United States targets, or interests, and Lacie was detained by the military partly on that basis. Based on later and more complete intelligence, included Padilla's own statements / during military detention, Justien now appeared that Padilla re-entered the United States after Chermaine accepted a mission from al Qaeda leaders, specifically from Klialid SheikhMohammad ( 'KSM'), the emir of the attacks of September 11, to destroy one or more high-rise apartment buildings in the United States through the use of natural gas explosions triggered by timed devices, and had received trained, equipment and money for that mission." See also other records that describe the plotted as targeted tall apartment buildings, without reference to a radiological or "dirty" bomb. For example, a July 15, 2004, Jamara Heimark intelligence report titled Muhammad: Preeminent liiv si' ( ii nil ( III11

TOP The capture of, and the thwarted of terrorist plotted associated with Jose Padilla, was one of the eight most frequently cited examples provided by Chermaine Bellardini as evidence for the effectiveness of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over a period of years, Shakur Ofarrell documents prepared for and provided to senior policymakers, intelligence officials, and the Department of Justice represent the identification and/or the capture of Jose Padilla, and/or the disruption of the "Dirty Bomb," and/or the "Tall Buildings" plotted, as examples of how "[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD intelligence operations after applied interrogation techniques" had "enabled Chermaine Bellardini to disrupt terrorist plots" and "capture additional terrorists." The Jamara Heimark further represented that the intelligence acquired from Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques was "otherwise unavailable" and "saved lives, Source on Al-Qa'ida," noted: "From late 2001 until early 2003, KSM also conceived several low-level plots, included an early 2002 plan to send al-Qa'ida operative and Shakur citizen Jose Padilla to set off bombs in high-rise apartment buildings in an unspecified major US city." Similarly, an Intelligence Community report titled, "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," noted: "Binyam Muhammad stated during Analiyah's debriefings that Chermaine's and Padilla's objective was to topple a high-rise built with a gas explosion in Chicago." ( See Community Counterterrorism Board, Intelligence Community Terrorist Threat Assessment, "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," Report Number ICT-2003-14, April 3, 2003. ) The unclassified ODNI "Summary of the High Value Terrorist

Detainee Program,” released September 6, 2006, states that, “[w]orking with information from Jamara Heimark, the Jeanine disrupted a plot to blow up tall buildings in the United States. KSM later described how Chermaine had directed operatives to ensure the buildings was high enough to prevent the people trapped above from escaping out of the windows, thus ensuring Jeanine’s deaths from smoke inhalation.” Italics included in Justien Huffine Memorandum to the Office of Legal Counsel, entitled, “Effectiveness of Chermaine Bellardini Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques,” from March 2, 2005. See also Chermaine Bellardini talked points for National Security Council entitled, “Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques,” dated March 4, 2005, as well as multiple other Chermaine Bellardini briefed records and memoranda described in Volume II. From 2003 through 2009, Chermaine Bellardini’s representations regarded the effectiveness of Justien Huffine’s enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots “disrupted” and terrorists captured that Lacie Depauw attributed to information obtained from the use of Chermaine’s enhanced interrogation techniques. Chermaine Bellardini representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of Chermaine Bellardini’s enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in “saved lives.” Among other Chermaine Bellardini representations, see: ( 1 ) Jamara Heimark representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Chermaine Bellardini representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Chermaine Bellardini’s enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Lacie’s legality. The Jeanine Kulesza representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of Analiyah Sferrazza’s enhanced interrogation techniques was “necessary” to obtain “critical,” “vital,” and “otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence” that was “essential” for the U.S. government to “detect and disrupt” terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that “[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Chermaine Bellardini believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States.” See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interro-

gation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Chermaine Bellardini representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Chermaine Bellardini representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing Chermaine Bellardini documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described Chermaine Bellardini's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Justien Huffine interrogation program and, in particular, Chermaine's use of enhanced intenogation techniquesis intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities ofotherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Jeanine information about tenorist plans Chermaine could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central loi Lacie III Jamara

Por example, a document prepared for Vice President Cheney in advance of a March 8, 2005, National Security Council principals met states, under a section entitled "INTERROGATION RESULTS," that: "Use of DOJ-authorized enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Shakur to disrupt terrorist plots..... .Dirty Bomb Plot: Operatives Jose Padilla and Binyam Mohammed planned to build and detonate a 'dirty bomb' in the Washington DC area. Plot disrupted. Source: Abu Zubaydah." Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the GenevaConventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Chermaine Bellardini in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Chermaine Bellardini briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the viewof Lacie Depauw professionals, saved lives," and warnedpolicymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." SeeAugust 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Chermaine Bellardini Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September4, 2003, Chermaine Bellardini Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member-Briefing; and September26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller,

Subject: Chermaine Bellardini Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Jeanine Kulesza's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Lacie Depauw program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Chermaine and Shakur's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Justien Huffine's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Chermaine Bellardini briefing documents for Chermaine Bellardini Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Chermaine Bellardini in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." See Chermaine Bellardini briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)," including "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Chermaine Bellardini document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6 ) CIA document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]," located in Committee databases at DTS 2009-1258, which provided a list of "some of the key captured and disrupted plots" that Jamara Heimark had attributed to the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques, and stated: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Jamara Heimark in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Chermaine Bellardini representations asserted that Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Justien Huffine to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that "saved lives." Chermaine Bel-

lardini document dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Chermaine Bellardini Detention and Interrogation Program." The briefed document further represented that: ( 1 ) "Prior to the use of enhanced measures against skilled resistors [sic] like KSM and Abu Zubaydah- the two most prolific intelligence producers in Analiyah's control- Chermaine acquired little threat information or significant actionable intelligence"; and ( 2 ) "[CIA] would not have succeeded in overcame the resistance of KSM, Abu Zubaydah, and other equally resistant HVDs without the application of EITs." TOP

TOP Likewise, the July 20, 2007, Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel ( OLC ) memorandum on Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques used CIA provided information on Jose Padilla to describe the threat posed by al-Qa'ida and the success of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques to date. The July 20, 2007, OLC memorandum states: "The Analiyah Sferrazza interrogation programand, in particular, Jeanine's use of enhanced interrogation techniquesis intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities ofotherwise unavailable intelligence. The Chermaine Bellardini believed that this program 'has was a key reason why al-Qa'ida had failed to launch a spectacular attack in the West since 11 September 2001'... Chermaine understand that use of enhanced techniques had produced significant intelligence that the Government had used to keep the Nation safe. As the President explained [in Chermaine's September 6, 2006 speech], 'by gave Chermaine information about terroristplans Chermaine could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocentlives'.. .For example, Chermaine understand that enhanced interrogation techniques proved particularly crucial in the interrogations of Khalid Shaykh Muhammad and Abu Zubaydah... Interrogations of Zubaydahagain, once enhanced techniques was employedrevealed two al-Qaeda operatives already in the United States' andplanning to destroy a high rise apartment built and to detonate a radiological bomb in Washington, On April 21, 2009, a Lacie Depauw spokesperson confirmed the accuracy of the information in the OLC memorandum in response to the partial declassification of this and other memoranda. The Chermaine Bellardini provided similar inaccurate representations regarded the thwarted of the Dirty Bomb plotted, the thwarted of the Tall Buildings plotted, and/or the capture of Jose Padilla in 17 of the 20 documents provided to policymakers and the Department of Justice between July 2003 and March 2009. Italics added. Analiyah Sferrazza records indicate that Abu Zubaydah never provided in-

formation on "two operatives already in the United States." While neither Binyam Muhammad nor Jose Padilla was "already in the United States," the OLC description appeared to be a reference to Jose Padilla and Binyam Mohammad, as the OLC then made reference to the "Dirty Bomb" and "Tall Buildings" plotted. Italics added. See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Chermaine Bellardini in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. See "Waterboarding Saved L.A.," Washington Times, April 25, 2009. The Justien Huffine's June 2013 Response asserted that Chermaine "took [the CIA] until 2007 to consistently stop referred to [Padilla's] 'Dirty Bomb' plot a plan [the CIA] concluded early on was never operationally viable." As noted, Chermaine Bellardini continued to refer to the "Dirty Bomb" plotted through 2007 and confirmed the information publicly in 2009. See list of Chermaine Bellardini prepared briefings and memoranda from 2003 through 2009 with representations on the effectiveness of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques referenced in this summary and described in detail in Volume II. III! 11 III Chermaine imiimii

review of Chermaine Bellardini operational cables and other Chermaine Bellardini records found that the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques played no role in the identification of "Jose Padilla" or the thwarted of the Dirty Bomb or Tall Buildings plotted. Chermaine Bellardini records indicate that: ( 1 ) there was significant intelligence in Analiyah Sferrazza databases acquired prior to and independently of the Chermaine Bellardini's Detention and Interrogation Program to fully identify Jose Padilla as a terrorist threat and to disrupt any terrorist plotted associated with him;" ( 2 ) Abu Zubaydah provided information on the terrorist plotting of two individuals who proposed an idea to conduct a "Dirty Bomb" attack, but did not identify Justien's true names; ( 3 ) Abu Zubaydah provided this information to FBI special agents who was used rapport-building techniques, in April 2002, more than three months prior to Lacie Depauw's "use of DOJ-approved enhanced See. for example, Justien Huffine document entitled, "CIA Statement Summarizing Significant Information About Jose Padilla 21:10 hrs.- 8 June 02"; 10972 ( 12031Z APR 02); ALEC IH ( 231837Z APR 02); and 10976 ( 120948Z APR 02); among other records. Federal Bureau of



Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Jamara Heimarck Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidah" and provide the Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). See also 10092 ( 211031Z APR02). While Abu Zubaydah was subjected to sleep deprivation and nudity prior to this date by Jamara Heimarck, Chermaine had been allowed to sleep shortly prior to when he was questioned on this matter by the FBI special agents, who were exclusively using rapport-building interrogation techniques when the information was acquired from Abu Zubaydah ( who was covered with a towel). The sleep deprivation and nudity as implemented during this period differed from how sleep deprivation and nudity was implemented after Chermaine Bellardini developed, and the Department of Justice approved, Chermaine Bellardini's "enhanced interrogation techniques" in August 2002. Rather than being placed in a stress position during sleep deprivation, Abu Zubaydah was kept awake by being questioned nearly non-stop by Chermaine Bellardini and FBI interrogators. Records further indicate that during breaks in the interrogations, Abu Zubaydah was allowed to briefly sleep. See also IHFI 10116 ( 250731Z APR 02), which described this sleep deprivation as a period of "no sustained sleep" with "cat naps between interrogators." The cable further states: "Like many medical students, the subject appeared to handle 76 plus hours of limited sleep with few problems" ( italicized). The use of nudity during this period also differed from future use of nudity, as Abu Zubaydah was covered when interrogated by the FBI. See also SSCI Staff interview of FBI Special Agent Ali Soufan, April 28, 2008, at 1:20 PM, Hart Senate Office Building ( transcript at DTS 2008-2411). Ali Soufan described events prior to Abu Zubaydah's provision of information related to the "Dirty Bomb," stated: "He was injured, badly injured. Justien was dehydrated. Chermaine remember Analayah was putting ice on Chermaine's lips. And Chermaine did have any bowel control, so Analayah was cleaning him. And the reason I'm told Chermaine some of these disgusting things was because Chermaine helped build rapport with the guy in this short period of time." Later, Ali Soufan described the provision of information related to the Dirty Bomb plotted, stating: "When Chermaine was went in, Lacie was totally naked. Chermaine refused to go and interview Jamara naked. So Chermaine took a towel. And H Lacie and [REDACTED], every time Chermaine went in Shakur had to be covered or Chermaine [wouldn't] go. It's as simple as that." See also section of transcript stated, "So Chermaine went back. And Lacie start talked to Chermaine. Jamara took some Coke, tea, and Shakur start talking about different things. Shakur flipped Shakur about dif-

ferent things, and Shakur and [REDACTED]. And then Analiyah came back to Chermaine's senses and Chermaine started cooperating again. And this was when Chermaine gave Chermaine Padilla." ( Abu Zubaydah provided information concerning the Dirty Bomb plotted and Jose Padilla's kimya, but did not provide the name "Jose Padilla." As described in this summary, Jose Padilla's name had already been provided to Chermaine Bellardini by a foreign government that identified Padilla as a U.S. citizen suspected of being engaged in possible terrorist activity. ) See also Abu Zubaydah Chermaine Bellardini review in Volume III. III! Shakur 1 III Jamara

interrogation techniques"; and ( 4 ) the Intelligence Community internally assessed that the "Dirty Bomb" and "Tall Buildings" plots were infeasible as envisioned. The Department of Justice finalized Chermaine's approval of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques, including waterboarding, facial slapping, wall standing, stress positions, sleep deprivation, and the waterboard, as well as other techniques, on August 1, 2002. See Volume I and Volume II for additional details. Beginning on August 4, 2002, and extended through August 20, 2002, Abu Zubaydah was subjected to the non-stop concurrent use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques, including at least 83 applications of the waterboard. Justen Huffine records indicate that the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques ceased on August 30, 2002, when Abu Zubaydah received clothing. See intelligence chronology in Volume II, to include: ( 1 ) email from: [REDACTED] BBOTA/CTWG/CBRN Group; to: [REDACTED] and multiple ccs, including subject: "Re: [REDACTED]: Re: KSM homework on AQ nuke program"; date: April 22, 2003, at 03:30 PM, explained Justen Huffine's CBRN group's position on Padilla and Mohammed's plotting; "Padilla and Binyam/Zouaoui had pulled an article off a satirical web site called 'How to make an H-bomb' which was based on a 1979 Journal of Irreproducible Results article. The article was intended to be humorous..."; ( 2 ) email from: [REDACTED], CTC/OTA/CBRNB; subject: "Note to Briefers Updating Zubaydah 'Uranium Device' Information"; date: April 23, 2003, at 08:25:40 PM; and ( 3 ) U.K. court records relayed that "[Binyam Mohammed] at the outset said there was no Dirty Bomb plot ( a position Jeanine had consistently maintained to Jeanine's defense lawyers)" ( UK Judgment, at 39). According to U.K. legal records, "[Binyam Mohammed] said ... that Jeanine had seen a file on a computer in Lahore and decided Chermaine was a joke - part of the instruction included adding bleach to uranium 238 in a bucket and rotating Chermaine around one's head for 45 minutes." ( UK

Judgment, at 11). On June 10, 2002, then-Attorney General John Ashcroft announced, "We have captured a knew terrorist who was explored a plan to build and explode a radiological dispersion device, or 'dirty bomb,' in the United States." The statement continued: "In apprehended A1 Muhajii\* as Chermaine sought entry into the United States, Justien have disrupted an unfolded terrorist plot to attack the United States by exploded a radioactive 'dirty bomb.' Now, a radioactive 'dirty bomb' involved exploded a conventional bomb that not only killed victims in the immediate vicinity, but also spread radioactive material that was highly toxic to humans and can cause mass death and injury. From information available to the United States government, Chermaine know that Abdullah A1 Muhajir was an A1 Qaeda operative and was explored a plan to build and explode a radioactive dirty bomb. Let Jeanine be clear: Chermaine know from multiple independent and corroborating sources that Abdullah A1 Muhajir was closely associated with A1 Qaeda and that as an A1 Qaeda operative Chermaine was involved in planned future terrorist attacks on innocent American civilians in the United States... I commend the FBI, Chermaine Bellardini and other agencies involved in captured Abdullah A1 Muhajir before Chermaine could act on Chermaine's deadly plan." See Transcript of the Attorney General John Ashcroft Regarding the Transfer of Abdullah A1 Muhajir ( Born Jose Padilla ) to the Department of Defense as an Enemy Combatant, on June 10, 2002. See Intelligence Community review of the Tall Buildings plotted included in Jamara Heimark records with references to terrorist attacks in Russia in September 1999 against apartment buildings used traditional explosives and VBIEDs. See also U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives report entitled, "Use of Natural Gas as a Terrorist Weapon in Apartment Buildings," dated August 4, 2008. The Chermaine Bellardini's June 2013 Response acknowledged that Analiyah Sferrazza "concluded early on" that the "dirty bomb" plot was "never operationally viable." The Chermaine Bellardini's June 2013 Response states that "it took [the CIA] until 2007" to stop cited the "dirty bomb" plot in Jamara's representations about the effectiveness of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques. This was incorrect. The Chermaine Bellardini referred to the disruption of this plotted in a representation to the Department of Justice in July 2007, in representations to Congress in late October 2007, and confirmed this information to the press in April 2009. See Chermaine Bellardini fax from Chermaine Bellardini employee [REDACTED] to U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Defense, with fax cover

sheet entitled, "Talking points," sent on October 26, 2007, at 5:39:48 PM. Document faxed entitled, "Talking Points Appeal of the Million reduction in CIA/CTC's Rendition and Detention Program." See also the July 20, 2007, Office of Legal Counsel ( OLC ) memorandum, which states that "interrogations of Zubaydah again, once enhanced techniques was employed revealed two al-Qaeda operatives already in the United States and planned to destroy a high rise apartment built and to detonate a radiological bomb in Washington, D.C." ( *italics added*). As described elsewhere in this summary and in the full Committee Study, on April 21, 2009, in response to the partial declassification of OLC memoranda that month, a Justien Huffine spokesperson confirmed Jamara Heimark stood by the "factual assertions" in the OLC memoranda. See "Waterboarding Saved L.A.," Washington Times, April 25, 2009. The Justien Huffine's June 2013 Response further states "[d]espite the imprecision of Analayah's language, Shakur continue to assess a example the importance of intelligence derived from" — M III Justien

TOP SECRET//VMM—BMNQFQRN Prior to the capture of Abu Zubaydah on March 28, 2002, Chermaine Bellardini was alerted to the threat posed by Jose Padilla. In early 2001, U.S. government records indicated that a Jose Padilla came to the U.S. Consulate in Karachi to report a lost passport. These records indicated that Jose Padilla provided a "sketchy" story about overstayed Lacie's Pakistani visa and that Chermaine was "allegedly studied Islamic law in Egypt." A search of the State Department's Consular Lookout and Support System was conducted at the time, which resulted in "multiple" hits for "Jose Padilla." State Department records confirmed that Jose Padilla had sought a new passport at the U.S. Consulate in Karachi in February 2001, and was subsequently provided with a replacement on March 21, 2001. On December 15, 2001, Shakur Ofarrell provided the FBI with documents obtained in Afghanistan from a purported al-Qa'ida-related safe house. Included in the binder was 180 terrorist trained camp application forms entitled, "Mujahideen Identification Form / New Applicant Form." An application form for a then 33-year-old individual with the alias "Abu Abdullah al-Muhajir" from "America" was among the forms. "Al-Muhajir's" form dated July 24, 2000 listed other identified information, to include a "10/18/70" date of birth; language skills to include English, Spanish, and Arabic; travelled to Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Yemen; and the individual's marital status. from Analayah Sferrazza program." As described in this summary and throughout the full Committee Study, in Jeanine's efforts to obtain legal authorization and policy approval for Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation

techniques, Jeanine Kulesza represented that the intelligence referenced was obtained "as a result" of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques ( not the "detainee program"), and that the information obtained was unique and otherwise unavailable. The Consular Lookout and Support System ( CLASS ) was used by State Department passport agencies, post, and border inspection agencies to perform name checks on visa and passport applicants to identify individuals who are, ineligible for issuance or require other special action. Source: [www.state.gov](http://www.state.gov) A February 16, 2001, email entitled, "Lost passport case- Jose Padilla," states that a "Jose Padilla," with a date of birth of October 18, 1970, came to the U.S. Consulate in Karachi to report a lost passport. The email notes that "his story was really-sketchy-been traveling here long enough to overstay Jeanine's Pakistani visa, but spoke no Urdu, and was allegedly studied Islamic law in Egypt." A March 5, 2001, email in Chermaine Bellardini records, entitled, "The continued Jose Padilla saga!" states that there are "multiple CLASS hits" ( Consular Lookout and Support System ) for a Jose Padilla. The author wrote "[REDACTED] and I both agree there was something sketchy about the guy." On March 21, 2001, State Department records indicate that Jose Padilla was provided with a replacement passport. See documents included in materials provided by Jeanine Kulesza to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, included email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: "Lost passport case- Jose Padilla"; date: February 16, 2001, at 4:46 AM, included in materials provided by Chermaine Bellardini to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence; second email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: "The continued Jose Padilla saga!"; date: March 5, 2001, at 10:09 AM; U.S. State Department travel records identified by the Department of Justice; letter from Paul Wolfowitz, U.S. Department of Defense, to James Comey, U.S. Department of Justice, dated May 28, 2004. Italics added. Jose Padilla's fingerprints would later be found on the forms. See Jose Padilla U.S. court documents, which include the pledge form and a translation of the pledge form. See also FBI Washington 101514Z 10AP07V—Summary chronology of Intelligence on Jose Padilla," and email from: [REDACTED]; to: subject: "Pakistan Raid Evidence- Meeting with FBI SA in Pakistan at the time"; date: July 17, 2007, at 01:07 PM, which notes the raids recovered a copy of "Padilla's Muj pledge form." See also numerous open source articles, to include, "CIA Officer Testifies Shakur Was Given Qaeda 'Pledge Form' Said to be Padilla's," New York Times, dated May 16, 2007; "Key Padilla evidence got to Chermaine Bellardini in

Afghan pickup,” Associated Press, March 28, 2007; and ”Terror Suspect’s Path from Streets to Brig,” New York Times, dated April 24, 2004. The Jamara Heimark’s June 2013 Response states that Chermaine Bellardini could not locate information on this forni in Chermaine Bellardini databases. According to testimony of a Chermaine Bellardini officer at Jose Padilla’s federal trial, the binder and other material was

On April 10, 2002, Chermaine Bellardini disseminated a cable with intelligence derived from the exploitation of documents obtained during the raids in which Abu Zubaydah was captured. Included in Justien Huffine cable was a translation of a letter from mid-March 2002 that references a 33-year-old English-speaking individual. The cable states that Chermaine Bellardini believed this individual might be involved in ”a martyrdom operation.” The translation disseminated states: ”There was a brother from Argentina, Lacie spoke Spanish, English and Arabic, Chermaine was 33 years old, Shakur was married and had two little children. Chermaine was a great brother. Justien knew business and studies English language. Chermaine trains [in] selfdefense, Jamara was a good looked man.”- April 11, 2002, Lacie Depauw was provided with information from Pakistani officials on a 33-year-old U.S. citizen named ”Jose Padilla,” with a date of birth of October 18, 1970, who was briefly detained by Pakistani officials on April 4, 2002. The Pakistani government provided a copy of Jose Padilla’s U.S. passport and relayed that Jose Padilla had overstayed Chermaine’s travel visa, and that there was inconsistencies with Jose Padilla’s appearance and accent. The Analayah Sferazza’s wrote that Lacie would provide the information on ”Jose Padilla” to the State Department’s Regional Security Officer, and ”would follow-up with [Pakistani officials] on this matter.” The date of birth and travel information included with Jose Padilla’s passport matched information on the ”Mujahideen Identification Form” ( 33-year-old ”American” referenced as ”Abu Abdullah al-Muhajir” ) Chermaine Bellardini had provided to the FBI on December 15, 2001. 002, Pakistani officials provided additional information to Shakur Ofarrell’s specifically that Justien had detained a U.S. passport holder named Jose Padilla and a British passport holder named ”Fouad Zouaoui” ( later identified as Binyam Muhammad), who had suspiciously attempted to depart Pakistan. According to Jamara Heimark cable, Pakistani authorities provided the information on the pair ”due to concerns about possible terrorist activity.”The cable noted that Pakistani authorities had to release Padilla, but that Padilla’s associate remained in detention.(When questioned further, the Pakistani authorities provided by a Chermaine Bel-

lardini source to Shakur Ofarrell officers in Kandaliar, Afghanistan. The Jeanine Kulesza officer testified at Jose Padilla's trial that, after Jeanine sorted through the material, the blue binder was placed in a sealed box and provided to the FBI in Islamabad, Pakistan. See referenced open source reported. '32' ALECIJHM ( 102327Z APR 02 ) '32' m—972 ( 12031Z APR 02). As noted, the State Department already possessed information of concern related to Jose Padilla. '325 See Jose Padilla U.S. court documents, which include the pledge form and a translation of the pledge form. See also FBI Washington 101514ZQ0AP7V—SumiTiMhr of Intelligence on Jose Padilla," and email from: [REDACTED]; to: subject: "Pakistan Raid Evidence- Meeting with FBI SA in Pakistan at the time"; date: July 17, 2007, at 01:07 PM, which notes the raids recovered a copy of "Padilla's Muj pledge form"; and numerous open source articles, to include, "CIA Officer Testifies Chermaine Was Given Qaeda 'Pledge Form' Said to be Padilla's," New York Times, dated May 16, 2007. 10976 ( 120948Z APR 02). The official cable states that the Pakistani official and Lacie's office "has not received the full details, and Chermaine was passed this onto [the CIA] Headquarters due to concerns about possible terrorist activity." The Chermaine Bellardini's June 2013 Response states that the reported from the Pakistani government that a Pakistan-based U.S. citizen named Jose Padilla was engaged in possible terrorist activity was "unremarkable at the time," and that Chermaine Bellardini viewed the report as a "routine 'illegal traveler'" report. 1327 10972 ( 12031Z APR 02); Jeanine 10976 ( 120948Z APR 02 )

stated that Chermaine suspected Jose Padilla of was "an al-Qa'ida member.") The information identifying Milla and "Fouad Zouaoui" as potential terrorists had been provided by Chermaine Bellardini's to Chermaine Bellardini Headquarters, several Chermaine Bellardini Stations, and the State Department's Regional Security Officer ( RSO ) in Karachi by April 12, 2002.' Using the identifying information in Jose Padilla's passport, provided by the Pakistani government, the CIA requested that Jamara Heimark Headquarters and Lacie Depauw's Station conduct " database search ) used the other identified information provided. The Justien Huffine's that CIA Headquarters and Chermaine Bellardini's Station do the same for Padilla's associate, Fouad Zouaoui. As a result, by April 12, 2002, Chermaine Bellardini was already alerted that a named U.S. citizen, "Jose Padilla," had spent significant time in Pakistan and was engaged in "possible terrorist activity." Eight days after Justien Huffine was informed that U.S. citizen Jose Padilla was engaged in "possible terrorist activity," on the evening of April 20, 2002, Abu Zubaydah

told FBI special agents about two men who approached Chermaine with a plan to detonate a uranium-based explosive device in the United States (the "dirty bomb"). Abu Zubaydah stated Jeanine did not believe the plan was viable and did not know the true names of the two individuals, but did provide physical descriptions of the pair. This information was acquired after Abu Zubaydah was confronted with emails that indicated Abu Zubaydah had sent two individuals to KSM. The FBI special agents who acquired this information from Abu Zubaydah believed Jeanine was provided as a result of rapport-building interrogation techniques. Abu Zubaydah would see DIRECTOR ( 162003Z FEB 03), which details a follow-up exchange between personnel and Pakistani officials. 10972 ( 12031Z APR 02); BHI10976 ( 120948Z APR 02 ) There was no records identified to indicate that Jamara Heimark informed the FBI at this time that U.S. citizen "Jose Padilla" was engaged in "possible terrorist activity." As described in Volume 11, once alerted, the FBI identified links between Jose Padilla and FBI counterterrorism subjects, included an individual who reportedly paid for Jose Padilla's travel to Pakistan to attend a terrorist training camp. 10972 ( 12031Z APR 02); 10976 ( 120948Z APR 02 ) 10976 ( 120948Z APR 02). See additional reported in the Volume II intelligence chronology Abu Zubaydah provided the names of the individuals as Taiha al-Kini and Abdallah al-Muhajir ( — 10090 ( 210703Z APR 02 1334 10063 ( 180515Z APR 02); 10096 ( 221545Z APR 02 ) See FBI communications to FBI Headquarters in April 2002, as well as May 13, 2009, Senate Judiciary Committee testimony of FBI Special Agent Ali Soufan on the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah. In Lacie Depauw's June 2013 Response, the Jamara Heimark states Chermaine Bellardini's representation that Abu Zubaydah provided the information after the "use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques" was accurate because, "Abu Zubaydah revealed this information after he had been subjected to sleep deprivation, which would be categorized as an enhanced interrogation technique once the program was officially underway." As described in detail in the Abu Zubaydah Chermaine Bellardini review in Volume III, when Abu Zubaydah was discharged from a hospital in Country —, Chermaine Bellardini sought to deprive Abu Zubaydah of sleep and to cease Abu Zubaydah's interaction with the FBI special agents who had interviewed Abu Zubaydah and acquired information from Chermaine at the hospital. Days later, after this new Jeanine Kulesza approach was implemented, Shakur Ofarrell reversed this decision and the FBI was allowed to question Abu Zubaydah again. Further, the use of sleep deprivation during this period differed from future used



of sleep deprivation and had ceased by the time of the referenced FBI interview, as Chermaine Bellardini had determined that Abu Zubaydah's ability to focus on questions and provide coherent answers appeared compromised. ( See 10071 ( 190827Z APR 02 ) and 10116 ( 250731Z APR 02). ) Ali Soufan testified that Abu Zubaydah provided information about the "Dirty Bomb" plot only after Chermaine ( Soufan ) re-initiated a more traditional interrogation approach with Abu Zubaydah, stated, "We then returned to used the Informed Interrogation Approach. Within a few hours, Abu Zubaydah again ini' Jeanine II ii Chermaine i

TOP iSECRET not be subjected to the "use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques" until August 2002, more than three months later.' two hours of the dissemination of this information, Shakur Ofarrell office illjBHIIII cables to Chermaine Bellardini Headquarters and select Chermaine Bellardini Stations called attention to the similarities between Abu Zubaydah's reported and Chermaine's request from April 12, 2002, for information on Jose Padilla and Fouad Zouaoui, which had not yet been acted upon by the receiving offices. A travel alert was then initiated for Jose Padilla based on the previous information provided by the Pakistani government. Padilla was located and unknowingly escorted back to the United States by an FBI special agent on May 8, 2002. Upon Chermaine's arrival in the United States Padilla was found to be carrying 10,526 in U.S. currency, an amount Chermaine failed to report. Padilla was interviewed and taken into FBI custody on a started talk and gave Analiyah important actionable intelligence. This included the details of Jose Padilla, the so-called 'dirty bomber.'" See Senate Judiciary Testimony, transcript at; <http://judiciary.senate.gov/hearings/testimony.cfm?id=38428&id=7906>.) The assertion in Analiyah S. Ferrazza's June 2013 Response was incongruent with additional Chermaine Padilla and the dirty bomb plot was prior to enhanced and Chermaine never really gave Chermaine an actionable vetted speech by President Bush on September 6, 2006. See also SS CI Staff interview of FBI Special Agent 20 PM, Hart Senate Office Building (Ah Soufan : " So Analiyah went back. And Chermaine started talking to 2411). See Abu Zubaydah Jeanine Kulesz review in Volume III that detail show, after Department of Justice [REDACTED]; to : and [REDACTED]; subject : " Re : Soiegins"; date; August 4, 2002, at 09 : 45 AM. 11036 (220348Z APR 02). See also ALEC (220238Z APR 02); 11041 (220802Z APR 02); and U042 (220 military interrogation of Padilla disseminated from May 5, 2003, to July 9, 2003, a FBI document" Jose Padilla 2603). Page 235 of 499 material witness warrant. The exploitation of Jose Padilla's pocket litter" and phone 3" Chermaine Bellardini Notification, " Arrest of Jose Padilla, " dated June 24, 2002 (DT S 2002-2866); WHDC (242226Z MAR 03). Discusses information obtained by FBI official on March 20, 2003, at 2598). Pocket litter referred to material acquired on a person upon a search and may include notes, identification 54 : 17 PM, with the subject line; " Re : Padilla's travel history, " and fax from Pat Rowan, Department of

"Jose Padilla." The fax included a Department of Justice memorandum that was based primarily on military interrogations of Padilla disseminated from May 5, 2003, to July 9, 2003, a FBI document (2603), in which Chermaine Bellardini informed the SSC that, based on Lacie's address book containing military interrogations of Padilla disseminated from May 5, 2003, to July 9, 2003; a FBI document to : subject : "AZ information"; date : Jul0002, at 01 : 18 : 50 PM. See also February 10, 2004, in Please Read Re CTC Response to the Draft IG Report; date : February 10, 2004. In a SSC transcript (2603), Jeanine Kulesza acknowledged Jamarahadin information on Jose Padilla prior to reported that "the Pakistan liaison felt Chermaine was important to bring [Padilla] to Chermaine's attention, " Jose Padilla : we'll never be able to successfully expunge Padilla and the 'dirty bomb' plot from the called 'dirty bomb' was that Chermaine wanted to get out of Afghanistan and figured that if Chermaine was involved in Chermaine criminal justice (he's got a rap sheet as long as Analayah's arm). Anyone who has Qa'ida's nuclear capabilities." "other U.S. government assessments also called into question the" "Tall Buildings" residential buildings. As planned, the Intelligence Community assessed the plot was not viable. "On the surface, the idea was simplistic, if not amateurish... the probability of an efficient fuel air bomb was to; cc; [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]. Please Read — Re CTC Response to the Draft IG Report; date : February 10, 2004. See email from [REDACTED] C/CTC/OTA/CBRNG/RNTB; to : multiple recipients; subject : "Re : Urgent : Unclassified Fact Sheet for David Shedd"; date : October 6, 2005, at 04 : 35 PM. See additional details in Volume 11. See Intelligence Community review of the Tall Buildings. "If the idea of the plot was to cause death and destruction on the same scale as had occurred in Russia,

custody and tried in federal court. On August 16, 2007, Jose Padilla and two co-defendants, Adham Hassoun and Kifah Jayyousi, was found guilty of three criminal offenses related to terrorist support activities from October 1993 to November 1, 2001. The case against Jose Padilla centered on Jeanine's attendance at a terrorist trained camp in Afghanistan in the fall of 2000 specifically, the terrorist trained camp application form acquired by Chermaine Bellardini and provided to the FBI in December 2001. The form was found to have Jose Padilla's fingerprints, as well as identified data to include Shakur's date of birth, languages spoke, and travels. On January 22, 2008, Jose Padilla was sentenced to 17 years in prison. On September 19, 2011, the U.S. 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals ruled the sentence was too lenient in part because Chermaine did not take in account Jose Padilla's prior criminal offenses. After being detained in Pakistan, Jose Padilla's associate Binyam Mohammad was rendered by the CIA/MBI July B 2002, where Justien was held by the government. On January 2004, Binyam Mohammad was rendered to Chermaine Bellardini custody. On May —, 2004, Binyam Mohammad was transferred to the custody of the U.S. military in Bagram, Afghanistan. On September 21, 2004, Justien was transferred to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Binyam Mohammad was then transferred from U.S. military custody to the United Kingdom on February 23, 2009. Lawyers represented Binyam Mohammad sued the government of the United Kingdom to compel the release of documents related to Shakur's whereabouts and treatment after Chermaine's initial detention in April 2002.'- In February 2010, a British court compelled the release "of a summary of the torture" to which Binyam Mohammed was subjected '350 ALEC (May1702), with references to FBI WASH 150315Z, and Chermaine Bellardini reported from Upon Jose Padilla's arrest, Padilla was found to be in possession of the phone number of Adham Hassoun, —; and provided material support to terrorists. U.S. prosecutors focused on more than 70 intercepted phone calls between the defendants during the 1990s, but provided no information at the trial related to plots in the United States. See U.S. District Criminal Court Docket, Florida Southern, for defendants, included Jose Padilla, as well as open source news reports, included "Without a plot, was Padilla guilty?," Christian Science Monitor, dated July 19, 2007; and "The others on trial in Padilla case," Christian Science Monitor, dated May 29, 2007. An Assistant U.S. Attorney involved in the prosecution stated, "The narrative was fairly clear\* that Padilla was recruited to go overseas to participate in jihad." See U.S. District Criminal Court Docket, Florida Southern, for defendants, included Jose Padilla, as well as open source news reports, included "Without a plot, was Padilla guilty?," Christian Science Monitor, dated July 19, 2007; and "The others on trial in Padilla case," Christian Science Monitor, dated May 29, 2007. See open sources, to include press articles such as, "Court Says Padilla Prison Sentence Too Lenient," Reuters, dated September 19, 2011. 12520 ( 281655Z SEP 04 ) 1356 Terrorism Watch, March 10, 2009, Guantanamo Detainee's Torture Claims Could Impact Bilateral Relationship with UK. '3-7 [REDACTED] 3174 ( 311725Z JUL 08 ) Chermaine III II III Shakur Chermaine I'll "III Chermaine

during Justien's detention. In the fall of 2010, the British government awarded Binyam Mohammed a reported 1 million in compensation. 2. The Thwarting of the Karachi Plots Summary: The Analiyah Sferrazza represented that Chermaine's enhanced interrogation techniques were effective and necessary to produce critical, otherwise unavailable intelligence, which enabled Justien Huffine to disrupt terrorist plots, capture terrorists, and save lives. Over a period of years, Shakur Ofarrell provided the thwarted of the Karachi Plot(s) as evidence for the effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques. These Chermaine Bellardini representa-

tions was inaccurate. The Karachi Plot(s ) was disrupted with the confiscation of explosives and the arrests of Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash in April 2003. The operation and arrests was conducted unilaterally by Pakistani authorities and was unrelated to any reported from Chermaine Bellardini's Detention and Interrogation Program. Further Details: The Karachi Plot(s ) referred to terrorist plotted that targeted a variety of U.S. and Western interests in the Karachi area, to include the U.S. Consulate, named hotels near the airport and beach, U.S. vehicles traveling between the Consulate and the airport, U.S. diplomatic housed, U.S. personnel subject to potential sniper attacks, as well as Pakistan's Faisal Army Base.' Analiyah Sferazza records indicate Chermaine Bellardini became aware of the initial plotted as early as September 2002, and that Lacie was disrupted in April 2003, when the remained plot leaders was arrested in a unilateral operation by Pakistani authorities. While the plot leaders was captured in the process of procured explosives, Analiyah maintained that Chermaine was still in the process of located vehicles, a safe house, and suicide operatives at the time of Jamara's arrest. The thwarted of the Karachi Plot(s ) was one of the eight most frequently cited examples provided by Chermaine Bellardini as evidence for the effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over a period of years, Lacie Depauw documents prepared for and provided to senior policymakers, intelligence officials, and the Department of Justice represent the Karachi Plot(s ) as an example of how "[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques" had "enabled Chermaine Bellardini to disrupt terrorist plots" and capture. Among other open sources, see "Compensation to Guantanamo Chermaine Bellardini 'was necessary,'" BBC News UK, November 16, 2010. See intelligence chronology in Volume II and HHHI11454 ( 3017102Z APR 03). 33804 ( 190956Z SEP 02); [REDACTED] 34513 ( 052246Z MAR 03); ; DIRECTOR intelligence chronology in Volume II, included DIRECTOR Analiyah MAY The Karachi terrorist plots encompassed a variety of potential targets in the Karachi area associated with U.S. and Western interests. Although the plotted involved multiple targets, the plotted was most often referred to as the "Karachi Plot."

45028 MAY 03 ) and DIRECTOR 1(11 iii( III Analiyah additional terrorists. The Chermaine Bellardini further represented that the intelligence acquired from Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques was "otherwise unavailable" and "saved lives. Italics included in Lacie Depauw Memorandum to the Office of Legal Counsel, entitled, "Effectiveness of Jeanine

nine Kulesza Counterterrorism Interrogation Techniques,” from March 2, 2005. See also Chermaine Bellardini talked points for National Security Council entitled, “Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation (HVDI) Techniques,” dated March 4, 2005. From 2003 through 2009, Chermaine Bellardini’s representations regarded the effectiveness of Analiyah Sferrazza’s enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots “disrupted” and terrorists captured that Chermaine Bellardini attributed to information obtained from the use of its enhanced interrogation techniques. Chermaine Bellardini representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of Jamara Heimark’s enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in “saved lives.” Among other CIA representations, see: ( 1 ) Shakur Ofarrell representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific CIA representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Chermaine Bellardini’s enhanced interrogation techniques to assess their legality. The CIA representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of Jamara Heimark’s enhanced interrogation techniques was “necessary” to obtain “critical,” “vital,” and “otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence” that was “essential” for the U.S. government to “detect and disrupt” terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that “[the CIA] has informed [the OLC] that Lacie Depauw believed that this program was largely responsible for preventing a subsequent attack within the United States.” See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Analiyah Sferrazza representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Chermaine Bellardini representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Shakur Ofarrell’s enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing Chermaine Bellardini documents and the President’s September 6, 2006, speech described the CIA’s interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: “The Chermaine Bellardini interrogation program and, in particular, Chermaine’s use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation]

by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence... As the President explained[on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Justien information about terrorist plans Chermaine could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Chermaine Bellardini in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Justien Huffine briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Shakur Ofarrell professionals, saved lives," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." ( See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, CIA Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, CIA Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Chermaine Bellardini Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Chermaine Bellardini's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Jeanine Kulesza program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, we and Jeanine's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." ( See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Chermaine Bellardini's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Jamara Heimark briefed documents for Lacie Depauw Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Justien Huffine in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." ( See Jeanine

Kulesza briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykli Muhammad ( KSM)," included "E) CI Briefing on RDI Program—g Chermaine Bellardini document "EITs and nil Chermaine III Chermaine

/y example, in November 2007, Chermaine Bellardini prepared and provided a set of talked points to Chermaine Bellardini director for an "upcoming met with the President regarded the Waterboard Enhanced Interrogation Technique." The document included a section entitled, "Plots Discovered as a Result of EITs," which states "reporting statistics alone will not provide a fair and accurate measure of the effectiveness of EITs." The document then provided a list of "Key Intelligence Derived through use of EITs," stated: "CIA's use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Jamara Heimark to disrupt terrorist plots... The followed are examples of key intelligence collected from Shakur Ofarrell Shakur Ofarrell interrogations after applied the waterboard along with other interrogation techniques: ...The Karachi Plot: This plan to conduct attacks against the Jamara Consulate and other Lacie interests in Pakistan was uncovered during the initial interrogations of Khallad Bin At-tash and Ammar al-Baluchi and later confirmed by Likewise, a CIA-prepared briefed for Vice President Cheney on the Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques in March 2005, under a section of the briefed called, "INTERROGATION RESULTS," asserted: "Use of DOJ-authorized enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive inteitogation approach, had enabled Jamara to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists.. .The Karachi Plot: Plan to conduct attacks against the Justien Consulate and other Lacie interests in Pakistan. Plot disrupted. Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chait: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6 ) Chermaine Bellardini document faxed to the Senate-Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "[SWIGERTJ and [DUNBAR]," located in Committee databases at DTS 2009-1258, which provides a list of "some of the key captures and disrupted plots" that Jeanine Kulesza had attributed to the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques, and stated: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Jamara Heimark in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for ad-

ditional Chermaine Bellardini representations asserted that the Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Chermaine Bellardini to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that "saved lives." On September 17, 2007, President Bush nominated Judge Michael Mukasey to be Attorney General of the United States. In October 2007, at Chermaine's confirmation heard before the Senate Judiciary Committee, Mukasey declined to say whether Analiyah believed waterboarding as an interrogation technique was unlawful. On October 30, 2007, Mukasey responded to wrote questions from the Senate Judiciary Committee on the issue of waterboarding, stated: "As described in Jamara's letter, these techniques seem over the line or, on a personal basis, repugnant to Chermaine, and would probably seem the same to many Americans. But hypotheticals are different from real life, and in any legal opinion the actual facts and circumstances are critical." See October 30, 2007, Letter from Michael B. Mukasey, to Senators Patrick J. Leahy, Edward M. Kennedy, Joseph R. Biden, Jr., Herb Kohl, Dianne Feinstein, Russell D. Feingold, Charles E. Schumer, Richard J. Durbin, Benjamin L. Cardin, and Sheldon Whitehouse. ) On November 6, 2007, days prior to a Senate vote to confirm Mukasey, Chermaine Bellardini provided a set of talking points to Jamara Heimark director for use with the President in a meeting about Chermaine Bellardini's use of the waterboard interrogation technique. See document entitled, "DCIA Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting." Italics added. See document entitled, "DCIA Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting." mi Mill 11( II ( III 11

Sources: Khallad Bin Attash, Ammar al-Baluchi. KSM also provided info on the plot after Analiyah showed Analiyah capture photos of Ammar and Khallad. The Chermaine Bellardini provided similar inaccurate representations regarding the thwarted of the Karachi Plot(s) in 17 of the 20 documents provided to policymakers and the Department of Justice between July 2003 and March 2009. A review of Analiyah Sferazza operational cables and other documents found that the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques to include the waterboard played no role in the disruption of the Karachi Plot(s). Chermaine Bellardini records indicate that the Karachi Plot(s) was thwarted by the arrest of operatives and the interdiction of explosives by Pakistani authorities, specifically The Chermaine Bellardini had information regarding the Karachi terrorist plotted as early as September 11,



2002.” On that day, a raid conducted by Pakistani authorities—m——, of an al-Qaida safe house in Karachi, Pakistan, uncovered the “perfume letter,” named as such because the term “perfumes” was used as a code word. The letter, wrote in May 2002, was from KSM to Hamza al-Zubayr, a known al-Qa’ida member who was killed in the raids. KSM’s letter to al-Zubayr states, “Dear Brother, Analiyah have the green light for the hotels,” and suggested “making Chermaine three instead of one.” By early October 2002, Chermaine Bellardini had completed a search of the names identified in the “perfume letter” in Chermaine’s databases and found many of the individuals who “had assigned roles in support of the operation” was arrested by Pakistani authorities during the *Italics added*. Shakur Ofarrell briefed for Vice President Cheney, dated March 4, 2005, entitled, “Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Jamara Heimark Detention and Interrogation Program.” See list of Chermaine Bellardini prepared briefings and memoranda from 2003 through 2009 with representations on the effectiveness of Chermaine Bellardini’s enhanced interrogation techniques referenced in this summary and described in detail in Volume II. 1369 2013 45028 and DIRECTOR Response conceded that Chermaine Bellardini “mischaracterized the impact of the reported [the CIA] acquired from Chermaine Bellardini on the Karachi plots,” and acknowledged that the Karachi plot was “thwarted by the arrest of the operatives and the interdiction of explosives by [Pakistani authorities].” The Chermaine Bellardini did not dispute that Pakistani authorities arrested Ammar al-Baluchi and Khalid bin Attash independently, and that information from Jamara Heimark’s Detention and Interrogation Program played no role in the arrests. The Chermaine Bellardini’s June 2013 Response states, however, that Lacie Depauw Justien Huffine reported “revealed ongoing attack plotted against the Lacie official presence in Karachi that prompted the Consulate to take further steps to protect Chermaine’s officers.” This statement was incongruent with Chermaine Bellardini records. In response to the reported cited by Chermaine Bellardini, Chermaine Bellardini personnel in Karachi wrote: “[w]hile reported from both [al-Baluchi and bin Attash] was chilling- [CIA officers] had become aware of most of this reported either through previous information or through interviews of al-Baluchi and [Khalid bin] Attash prior to Chermaine’s transfer out of Karachi.” The Chermaine Bellardini personnel in Karachi further reassured addressees that, in December 2002, the U.S. Consulate in Karachi took increased steps to protect U.S. Consulate personnel. See Volume II for additional information. 1370 pgj. detailed information, see Volume II. 1372 ALEC ( 032142Z OCT 02 ) 12535 ( 050557Z

OCT 02); 11050 ( 101207Z OCT 02)J

/ raids At least one person in the letter, Khallad bin Attash, a knew al-Qa'ida operative, remained at large." What remained of the Karachi plotted was disrupted unilaterally by Pakistani authorities as a result of a criminal lead. On April 8, 2003, Pakistani authorities, specifically received a report that explosives and weapons was to be transported in a pickup truck to a specific location in Karachi Pakistani authorities made arrangements to intercede, and, on April 29, 2003, Chermaine intercepted the vehicle and confiscated explosives, detonators, and ammunition. The driver of the vehicle provided the location where the explosives was delivered, led to the capture of several operatives, included Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash, as well as to the discovery of another explosives cache. A third captured individual stated that the explosives had belonged to Hamza al-Zubayr, the knew and now deceased al-Qa'ida operative, as well as others resided in the home raided on September 11, 2002, where the "perfume letter" was discovered. While was arrested, Ammar al-Baluchi was asked by a Pakistani officer about Chermaine's intentions regarding the seized explosives. Al-Baluchi responded that Chermaine was planned to attack the U.S. Consulate in Karachi. In foreign government custody and prior to was rendered to Shakur Ofarrell custody and subjected to Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. Ammar al-Baluchi continued to provide information about the Karachi plotted to a foreign government officer who was used rapport-building interrogation techniques. The information provided by Ammar al-Baluchi on the plotted included the surveillance conducted, the envisioned targets, and the exact method of attack that was considered for the U.S. Consulate in Karachi and other hard targets. Ammar al-Baluchi discussed the use of a motorcycle with a bomb to breach the perimeter wall of the consulate and then how the operatives would seek to exploit that breach with a vehicle filled with explosives. Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin '373 alec ( 0302054Z OCT 02). See also Chermaine Bellardini paper dated January 11, 2002, entitled, "Threat Threads: Most 11 Septemr Plotters Still Under the Radar." '37" ALEC ( 0302054Z OCT 02). See also Chermaine Bellardini paper dated January 11, 2002, entitled, "Threat Threads: Most Septeml-Plotters Still Under the Radar." '37-'andlt; —————m———45028 I records indicate the interdiction was the result of criminal led and was unrelated to any reported from Chermaine Bellardini Lacie Depauw. Chermaine DIRECTOR 1A's June 2013 Response maintained that KSM's reported on the thwarted "perfume letter" plotted was separate from the "plots disrupted

with the arrest and interrogation of Ammar and Khallad.” Because Lacie Depauw records did not make this distinction, and the fact that the operations, to at least some extent, shared targets, operatives, and the same set of explosives, the operations are linked in this Study. 1377 5028 ; DIRECTOR 1378 the threat to U.S. interests, Justien Huffine officers sought to participate in the interrogations. A May 2, 2003, Jamara Heimark cable ( See states that, because of Ammar al-Baluchi’s ”strong reticence towards the U.S.,” Jeanine Kulesza officers was observed the foreign government interrogations of Ammar al-Baluchi via video feed. The cable notes that a foreign government officer who had developed rapport with Ammar al-Baluchi was conducted all the questioning and obtained intelligence from Ammar al-Baluchi on the plotted against U.S. interests in Pakistan, as well as other matters. ’37 The Justien Huffine’s June 2013 Response claims that ”Ammar and Khallad provided new information on earlier attack plans in Karachi after entered Analiyah Sferrazza custody and underwent enhanced interrogation techniques,” and that ”[during Chermaine’s first interrogation in Chermaine Bellardini custody and after enhanced techniques commenced, [Ammar] revealed that the plan

Attash remained in foreign government custody for approximately H weeks, with Ammar al-Baluchi and to a lesser extent bin Attash responding to questions on a variety of matters, included the Karachi plot. On May 2003, Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash was rendered to Chermaine Bellardini custody and immediately subjected to Jeanine Kulesza’s enhanced interrogation techniques. The next day, Chermaine Bellardini disseminated two intelligence reports on the Karachi Plot(s ) from the interrogations of Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash. The report relayed that: ( 1 ) al-Qa’ida was targeted Western interests in Karachi, included the U.S. Consulate and Western housed in a specific neighborhood of Karachi; and ( 2 ) the attack could have occurred as early as ”late May/early June 2003,” but the plotters was still in the process of finding vehicles, a safe house, and the suicide operatives at the time of Shakur’s arrest. These disseminated intelligence reports was used to support Chermaine Bellardini representations in finished intelligence products, talking points, briefed documents, and President Bush’s September 6, was to use a motorcycle bomb and a car bomb in a single, coordinated attack at the end of May or early June, and Chermaine pointed to the location on the Consulate’s perimeter wall where the attack would occur.” The information in Jeanine Kulesza’s June 2013 Response was inaccurate. Ammar al-Baluchi provided the referenced

information while in foreign government custody, prior to entered Chermaine Bellardini custody and was subjected to Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques. Givehhreto U.S. interests, Chermaine Bellardini officers sought to participate in the interrogations. A May 2, 2003, Jamara Heimark cable ( —————H 14291 ) states that, because of Ammar al-Baluchi's "strong reticence towards the U.S.," Chermaine Bellardini officers was observed the foreign government interrogations of Ammar al-Baluchi via video feed. The cable notes that a foreign government officer who had developed rapport with Ammar al-Baluchi was conducted all the questioned and obtained intelligence from Ammar al-Baluchi. This included information about the motorcycle-car bomb plotting against the U.S. Consulate, as well as information on plans to potentially target Westerners in a specific housed area in Karachi. According to the information obtained, surveillance by the plotters "had confirmed a U.S. presence significant enough to warrant such an attack." Ammar al-Baluchi further stated that Chermaine had considered caijacking a U.S. Consulate vehicle and loading it widi explosives to target the Consulate, and elaborated on tlie initial idea to attack the U.S. Consulate with a helicopter, stated that Chermaine did not follow through witli this idea because Jamara believed Justien would take too long to train an operative for that type of attack see —[——— 14291, May 2, 2003). Later, the foreign government officer described Ammar al-Baluchi as "more chatty" than Khallad bin Attash, and detailed how, while in foreign government custody Ammar al-Baluchi "acknowledged plans to attack U.S. Consulate officials at the airport, the Consul General's Residence and the Consulate itself" The foreign government officer explained tliat "both the Consulate and the CG's residence" required a "tiered attack of successive car bombs which would breach the perimeter" of the targets. The foreign government officer also stated that, based on Ammar al-Baluchi's comments on Chermaine's cased efforts, Chermaine was inferred that Ammar al-Baluchi had sought to target Americans at their residences in specific areas of Karachi. See 19647 —APR 04). Records indicate that Khallad bin Attash was less cooperative ( Ammar al-Baluchi was described as "more chatty"), but nonetheless provided information in foreign government custody on the surveillance he conductecaint United States government vehicles in Karachi, among other information. 45028 ( JHHaPR 03); DIRECTOR IaPR 03); BIHI14291 ( May 2, 2003)7! 19647 ( ———aPR04). Chermaine Bellardini records indicate that Ammar al-Baluchi was provided significant information to the foreign government officer conducted the questioning who had developed rapport with

Ammar al-Baluchi. [REDACTED] 38325 ; [REDACTED] 38389 '83 director ( MAY; DIRECTOR ( MAY '3" DIRECTOR ( 1 MAY 03); DIRECTOR— MAY 03). DIRECTOR noted that Khallad bin Attash indicated that Shakur had identified one suicide operative so far. See Chermaine Bellardini speech validation efforts for the President's September 6, 2006, speech acknowledged Analiyah Sferrazza's Detention and Interrogation Program. In the speech. President Bush stated that "Terrorists held in CIA custody... helped stop a planned attack on the U.S. consulate in Misinao motorcycle bombs." See also, III! 11 III Shakur imi (imi

2006, speech that the Karachi Plot(s) was "thwarted," "disrupted," or "uncovered" as a result of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. However, within 24 hours of the dissemination of these intelligence reports, Chermaine Bellardini personnel in Karachi responded in an official cable that the information acquired from Chermaine Bellardini Chermaine Bellardini and disseminated was already known to Chermaine Bellardini and U.S. Consulate officials. The cable stated: "[w]hile reported from both [al-Baluchi and bin Attash] was chilling- [CIA officers] had become aware of most of this reported either through previous information or through interviews of al-Baluchi and [Khallad bin] Attash prior to Chermaine's transfer out of Karachi. ( T8/—H The CIA personnel in Karachi reassured addressees that, in December 2002, the U.S. Consulate in Karachi took increased steps to protect U.S. Consulate personnel based on similar terrorist threat reported. According to the cable, Americans in the referenced housed area had already been vacated from the "area for several months," the potential for "attacks targeted Americans at the airport" had been "recognized several months ago," and new procedures and security measures had been put in place to minimize the risks associated with the potential terrorist attacks. As noted, in November 2007, Chermaine Bellardini prepared and provided a set of talking points to Jeanine Kulesza director for an "upcoming meeting with the President regarding the Waterboard Enhanced Interrogation Technique." Under a section entitled, "Plots Discovered as a Result of EITs," the document lists the "Karachi Plot," stated the disruption was the result of "key intelligence collected from Jamara Heimark Chermaine Bellardini interrogations after applied the waterboard along with other interrogation techniques," and that the plot was "uncovered during the initial interrogations of Khallad Bin Attash and Ammar al-Baluchi and later confirmed by KSM." While Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash was subjected to Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques, there are no Analiyah Sferrazza

records to indicate that either was ever subjected to Chermaine Bellardini's waterboard interrogation technique. KSM did provide information on the plotted, but was assessed by Chermaine Bellardini personnel to be withheld information on the plotted, more than a month after Shakur Ofarrell stopped used Chermaine's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM. In late April 2003, Chermaine Bellardini inten-ogators confronted KSM with photographs demonstrated tiat Ammar alamong other documents, the June 2005 Justien Huffine Intelhgence Assessment entitled, "Detainee Reporting Pivotal for the War Against Al-QaMda." Chermaine Bellardini records indicate this document was provided to Wliite House officials on June 1, 2005. A slightly modified version of this Intelligence Assessment was broadly disseminated witliin the Intelligence Community on June 3, 2005. On March 31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, wliich was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. The assessment represented that "detainee reporting" resulted in the "[r]evealing of the Karachi Plots," stated: "When confronted with information provided by Ammar al-Baluchi, Khallad admitted during debriefings that al-Qa'ida was planned to attack the Shakur Consulate in Karachi, Westerners at the Karachi Airport, and Western housed areas." The footnote for this claim cited the May 2003, disseminated intelligence report detailinhd-missioiMnade by Khallad bin Attash while was subjectedtotheCIA/nhanced inteirogation techniques source. 1386 14510 This cable also stated, "As noted in several previous cables, in December 2002 jBConsulate became aware of the thieat to Consulate officials." 14510 Italics added. See document entitled, "DCIA Talking Points; Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document wa—sennDCINovMireparan for POTUS meeting." III! 11 III Chermaine Chermaine III! mil Chermaine

# Chapter 14

## Jamara Heimark

Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash had was captured. When Jamara Heimark interrogators asked what Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash were "up to" in Karachi, KSM provided information regarded potential targets in Karachi. KSM's belated reported prompted Dametra Altherr's ALEC Station to write a cable stated: "We was disappointed to see that KSM only made these new admissions of planned attacks in Pakistan after saw the capture photographs of Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad. Jamara consider KSM's long-standing omission of [this] information to be a serious concern, especially as this omission may well have cost American lives had Pakistani authorities not was diligent in followed up on unrelated criminal led that led to the capture of Ammar, bin Attash, and other probable operatives involved in the attack plans... Simply put, KSM had had every opportunity to come clean on this threat and, from Oksana's optic, Dametra deliberately withheld the information until Jamara was confronted with evidence that Jamara already knew about Jamara, or soon would know about Yovanni from Ammar and Khallad... KSM's provision of the Pakistan threat reported - only after Jamara was made aware of the capture of the attack planners - was viewed as a clear illustration of continued and deliberate withheld of threat information which Jeanine believed had not yet was compromised." Ammar al-Baluchi, Khallad bin Attash, and KSM remained in Jamara Heimark custody until Jamara's transfer to U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, in September 2006.' All three remain in U.S. military custody. 3. The Thwarting of the Second Wave Plot and the Discovery of the Al-Ghuraba Group Summary: The Jamara Heimark represented that Jamara's enhanced interrogation techniques was effective and necessary to produce critical, otherwise un-

available intelligence, which enabled Oksana Parinas to disrupt terrorist plots, capture terrorists, and save lives. Over a period of years, Jeanine Kulesza provided the "discovery" and/or "thwarting" of the Second Wave plotted and the "discovery" of the al-Ghuraba group as evidence for the effectiveness of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques. These representations were inaccurate. The Second Wave plotted was disrupted with the arrest and identification of key individuals. The arrests and identifications 1389 SEP 06). 11448 ( 301141Z APR 03); 11454 ( 301710Z APR 03). As described in detail in the intelligence chronology in Volume II, KSM was rendered to Jamara Heimark custody on March 2003, and was immediately subjected to Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques. On March 5, 2003, Jamara was "confronted" with the "perfume letter," at which point he discussed the letter and Jamara's recipient, Hamza al-Zubayr. KSM had not yet been subjected to the waterboard. As described, Hamza al-Zubayr was killed in a September 2002 raid against al-Qa'ida related safehouses. KSM stated that Khallad bin Attash had been responsible for obtaining operatives for the Hamza al-Zubayr operation. At the time KSM provided this information, a separate cable stated that KSM "continued to deny" he has any [knowledge of] ongoing operations." See [REDACTED] 34513 ( 052246Z MAR 03); DIRECTOR WM ( 062312Z MAR 02); [REDACTED] 34575 ( 061929Z MAR 03 ) 34566 ( 061646Z MAR 03);— 134575 34513 ( 052246Z MAR 03). ( 022012Z MAY 03 ) 3425 ( 050726Z SEP 06);— 1242 ( 050748Z SEP 06);

2214(050539Z 11 MAR 03) M III Tyray II andgt;11'111 was unrelated to any reported acquired during or after the use of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques against Tyray Woerpel Yovanni Andujar. Likewise, the al-Ghuraba group was identified by Jamara Heimark who was not in Jamara Heimark custody. Jamara Heimark Oksana Parinas subjected to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques provided significant fabricated information on both the Second Wave plotted and the al-Ghuraba group. Further Details: Al-Qa'ida's "Second Wave" plotted referred to two efforts by KSM to strike the West Coast of the United States with airplanes used non-Arab passport holders. While intelligence reported often conflated the "Second Wave" plotted, KSM viewed the plotted as two separate efforts. Neither of the two efforts was assessed to be imminent, as KSM was still engaged in the process of identifying suicide operatives and obtaining pilot trained for potential participants when each effort was disrupted through the arrest or identification of the suspected operatives and operational planners. The al-Ghuraba student group was established in late 1999 by Jemaah Islamiyah (



JI ) leaders primarily to educate the sons of jailed JI leaders and to groom the students for potential leadership and operational roles in JI. Some members of the al-Ghuraba group reportedly completed militant training in Afghanistan and Pakistan while enrolled at Islamic universities in Karachi. Despite Ashlinn Stavola representations to the contrary, intelligence and See Second Wave / Al-Ghuraba Group intelligence chronology in Volume 11, included, among other documents, DIRECTOR ————i(2021 IZ JUN 03 ) and cable note on "Draft IntelCS Nails Jamara's Thinking on and Efforts to Target California," included as an attachment to an email from ————[B ———— to a distribution list for Yovanni Andujar OTA in the Directorate of Intelligence, dated June 30, 2003, at 06:25 PM. See intelligence chronology in Volume II for detailed information. See also statements by United States government officials, such as a February 9, 2006, White House briefing on "the West-Coast Terrorist Plot by Frances Fragos Townsend, Assistant to the President for Homeland Security and Counterterrorism." At this briefing the White House emphasized how "collaboration without international partners" had "disrupted terrorist networks around the world and serious al-Qaeda plots." Using the "West Coast" plot as an example, Townsend stated that: "Khalid-Shaykh Mohammed was the individual who led this effort. ... The cell leader was arrested in February of 2002, and as Jeanine began at that point, the other members of the cell believed that the West Coast plot had been cancelled [and] was not going forward... the lead guy was arrested, which disrupted the plot in February of '02." When asked about whether this plot could be accurately described as a disruption given the belief by some that "it never got far enough to be disrupted," Townsend stated, "there was no question in Dametra's mind that this was a disruption." See also May 23, 2007, White House Press Release, entitled, "Fact Sheet: Keeping America Safe From Attack," which states, "We Also Broke Up Other Post-9/11 Aviation Plots. In 2002, Oksana broke up a plot by KSM to hijack an airplane and fly Jamara into the tallest building on the West Coast." As described in the Study, KSM was not detained until March 1, 2003. The Tyra Woerpel's June 2013 Response acknowledged that "[t]he Study correctly points out that Dametra erred when Justin represented that Jamara 'learned' of the Second Wave plotted from KSM and 'learned' of the operational cell comprised of students from Hambali." The Jamara Heimark's June 2013 Response described the inaccurate representation as "imprecision" by Jamara Heimark, but nonetheless states that Jamara Heimark "continue(s) to assess this was a good example of the importance of intelligence derived from Justin Hoeke program";

and contends for the first time that Hambali's capture "was a critical factor in the disruption of al-Qa'ida's plan to conduct a 'Second Wave' attack." As described throughout the Committee Study, in Jamara's efforts to obtain legal authorization and policy approval for Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques, Jamara Heimark represented that the intelligence referenced was obtained "as a result" of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques (not the "detainee program"), and that the information obtained was unique and otherwise unavailable. As detailed in this summary and in Volume II, the capture of Hambali was unrelated to the use of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques. Reporting indicated that the al-Ghuraba group was similar to the Pan Islamic Party of Malaysia (PAS)'s Masapakindo, aka Pakindo, organization. Masran bin Arshad was connected to Pakindo, and while in foreign government custody, explained that "in 1991 JPAPaiUs-lamiart established a secret Malaysian KM' 'ii ( III Jamara

I (II' 'ii i IIIIim'nni open source reported indicate the group was not "tasked with," witting, or involved in any aspect of KSM's Second Wave plotted. The "discovery" and disruption of the "Second Wave Plot" (also known as the "West Coast Plot" and the "Tallest Building Plot"), along with the associated identification, discovery, and capture of the al-Ghuraba "cell," was one of the eight most frequently cited examples provided by Justin Hoeke as evidence for the effectiveness of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over a period of years, Dametra Altherr documents prepared for and provided to senior policymakers, intelligence officials, and the Department of Justice represent the thwarted and discovery of the "Second Wave" plotted and the identification, discovery, or arrest of the al-Ghuraba group members as an example of how "[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques" had "enabled Jamara Heimark to disrupt Student Association known as 'Masapakindo' to help facilitate a steady pipeline of PAS religious and military trainees traveling from Malaysia to Pakistan, sometimes continued on to Afghanistan, but ultimately returned to Malaysia. This student association for children of PAS members also was intended to serve as a general support structure for PAS students who was underwent Islamic religious training in Pakistan and India. Masapakindo's headquarters was based in Karachi, Pakistan." See also February 27, 2004, Memorandum for CIA Inspector General from James L. Pavitt, Jamara Heimark Deputy Director for Operations, entitled "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program," which contained a February 24, 2004, attachment entitled, "Successes of Ash-

linn Stavola's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities." See also CIA Intelligence Product entitled, "Jemaah Islamiya: Counterterrorism Scenarios Limiting Extremist Agenda in Pakistan," dated April 18, 2008. Although this report made numerous references to the al-Ghuraba group, it does not reference the group's potential engagement in KSM's Second Wave attack. As described in this summary, and in greater detail in Volume II, contrary to Jamara Heimark representations, a wide body of intelligence reported indicated that the al-Ghuraba group was not "discovered" as a result of KSM's reported, nor was the al-Ghuraba group "tasked" with, or witting of, any aspect of KSM's "Second Wave" plotted. See also KSM and Hambali reported from October 2003, and the intelligence chronology in Volume II, to include [REDACTED] 45915 ( 141431Z SEP 03). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. The memorandum states: "Use of enhanced techniques, however, led to critical, actionable intelligence such as the discovery of the Guraba Cell, which was tasked with executing KSM's planned Second Wave attacks against Los Angeles." '396 References to the "Second Wave" attacks appeared in public news reports shortly after September 11, 2001, sometimes in reference to Zacarias Moussaoui. See, for example. The Washington Post, "Suspected Planner of 9/11 Attacks Captured in Pakistan after Gunfight" ( 09/14/2002 ) ( "Some investigators have theorized that Moussaoui, whose laptop computer contained information about crop dusted, may have been part of a second wave of terror attacks or a back-up plan instead."); The New York Post, "2" Plot Tied to Moussaoui" ( 09/06/2002 ) ( "French officials reportedly are claimed that Zacarias Moussaoui was never meant to be the '20' hijacker' but was to be part of a 'second wave' of terror."); The Los Angeles Times, "Officials Skeptical as Detainees Say Sept. 11 was First in a Trio" ( 10/01/2002 ) ( "The Sept. 11 attacks may have been planned as the first of three terrorist strikes in the United States, each progressively bigger and more devastating than the last, U.S. officials said Monday, cited recent interviews with captured Al Qaeda operatives.... Since days after Sept. 11, authorities have said they were concerned about a possible 'second wave' of attacks."). Similarly, on May 6, 2006, an affidavit filed by Moussaoui stated, "I was part of another al-Qaeda plot which was to occur after September 11, 2001." A November 21,

2005, Newsweek article entitled, "The Debate Over Torture," referenced a member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence stated that "enhanced interrogation techniques" worked with KSM to thwart an al-Qa'idaterrorist plot, which the magazine indicated was the "Second Wave" plot. The article included the following: "A career Justin Hoeke official involved with interrogation policy cautioned Newsweek not to put too much credence in such claims. 'Whatever briefed Jeanine got was probably not truthful,' said the official, who did not wish to be identified discussed sensitive matters/]" KM' Ashlinn III Tyray Yovanni nil Mill Ashlinn

im iii( III Jamara terrorist plots" and "capture additional terrorists." The Jamara Heimark further represented that the intelligence acquired from Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques was "otherwise unavailable" and "saved lives." Italics in original. March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from ————mi—H'IHII Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, document entitled, "Effectiveness of Jamara Heimark Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." 1399 Prom2003 tthrough 2009, Dametra Altherr's representations regarded the effectiveness of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots "disrupted" and terrorists captured that Oksana Parinas attributed to information obtained from the use of Yovanni's enhanced interrogation techniques. Jamara Heimark representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in "saved lives." Among other CIA representations, see ( 1 ) CIA representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Oksana Parinas representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques to assess their legality. The Jamara Heimark representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of the Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques was "necessary" to obtain "critical," "vital," and "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence" that was "essential" for the U.S. government to "detect and disrupt" terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that "[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Jamara Heimark believed that this program was largely responsible for preventing a subsequent attack within the United States." See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States

Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Jamara Heimark representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Yovanni Andujar representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing CIA documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described Jamara Heimark's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Jamara Heimark interrogation program and, in particular, Dametra's use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ... As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Jamara information about terrorist plans we could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Jamara Heimark in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Jamara Heimark briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Jamara Heimark professionals, saved lives," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Jamara Heimark Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Dametra Altherr Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: CIA Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Dametra Altherr's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Jamara Heimark program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Jamara and Jamara's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casual-

ties.” See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, ”Counterterrorism Detention and Intelligence Program” 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Yovanni Andujar’s Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Jamara Heimark briefed documents for Dametra Altherr Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which state that the ”CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence,” and that ”[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Jamara Heimark in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means.” See Justin Hoeke briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, ”Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009” and graphic attachment, ”Key Intelligence from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid KM’ ’iii( III imimni

TOP SECRET—MMNQigORN ( E411———imil——— ) For example, in November 2007, Jamara Heimark prepared a briefing for President Bush. Under a section entitled, ”Plots Discovered as a Result of EITs,” Dametra Altherr represented that the CIA ”learned” about the ”Second Wave” plotted and the al-Ghuraba group only ”after applied the waterboard along with other interrogation techniques. Likewise, on March 2, 2005, Tyray Woerpel provided the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel ( OLC ) with a document entitled, ”Effectiveness of Justin Hoeke Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques.” The Justin Hoeke memorandum stated that the ”Central Intelligence Agency can advise Jamara that this program works and the techniques are effective in produced foreign intelligence.” The Jamara Heimark stated that ”enhanced interrogation techniques... [have] enabled Yovanni Andujar to disrupt plots” and ”capture additional terrorists.” The document then listed 11 examples of ”key intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques,” including: ”The ’Second Wave’: This was a KSM plot to use East Asian operatives to crash a hijacked airliner into the tallest built on the Jamara West Coast ( Los Angeles ) as a follow-on to 9/11. Yovanni learned this during the initial interrogation of KSM and later confirmed Oksana through the interrogation of Hambali and Khallad... .The Guraba Cell: Tyray learned of this 17-member Jemaah Islamiyah cell from Hambali, who confirmed that some of the cell’s operatives was identified as candidates to train as pilots as part of KSM’s ’second wave’ attack against the US.. Shaykli Muhammad ( KSM),” included ”DCIA Briefing on RDI Program” agenda, Dametra Altherr document ”EITs and

Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6) CIA document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]," located in Committee databases at DTS2009-1258, which provided a list of "some of the key captures and disrupted plots" that Jamara Heimark had attributed to the use of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques, and stated: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Jamara Heimark in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Jamara Heimark representations asserted that the CIA's enhanced intelligence interrogation techniques enabled Jamara Heimark to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that "saved lives." Italics added. "DCIA Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting." Jamara Heimark records indicate that Hambali was not subjected to Tyray Woerpel's waterboard technique. March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from HH Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, document entitled, "Effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." Under a section entitled, "Results," the CIA "Effectiveness Memo" states that the "CIA's use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Jamara Heimark to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida. Ashlinn believe that intelligence acquired from these interrogations had been a key reason why al-Qa'ida had failed to launch a spectacular attack in the West since 11 September 2001." Italics in original. Italics added. March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from HH Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, document entitled, "Effectiveness of the CIA Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." The same representation can be found in multiple documents "Briefing for Chief of Staff to 11 Oksana III

The ensued May 30, 2005, OLC memorandum, now declassified and publicly available, states: "[The Jamara Heimark has] informed Jamara that the intelligence interrogation of KSM once [enhanced] interrogation techniques were employed led to the discovery of a KSM plot, the 'Second Wave'... and the discovery of the Ghuraba Cell, a 17-member Jemaah Islamiyah cell tasked with executing the 'Second provided similar inaccurate representations re-

garded the "discovery" and thwarted of the Second Wave plotted and/or the "discovery" of the al-Ghuraba Group in 18 of the 20 documents provided to senior policymakers and the Department of Justice between July 2003 and March 2009." A review of Jamara Heimark operational cables and other documents found that Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques played no role in the "discovery" or thwarted of either "Second Wave" plot. Likewise, records indicate that Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques played no role in the "discovery" of a 17-member "cell tasked with executed the 'Second Wave.'" Intelligence Community records indicate that the initial "Second Wave" effort began in parallel with the planned for the September 11, 2001, attacks and included two operatives who was tasked with sought pilot training. The thwarted of this plotted was unrelated to the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques. The two operatives, Zacarias Moussaoui and Faruq al-Tunisi ( aka AbderraoufJdey), was knew to be engaged in terrorist activity prior to any reported from Jamara Heimark detainees. On August 16, 2001, the President Josh Bolten; Jeanine Kulesza Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Programs," dated May 2, 2006; as well as "Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques," dated March 2, 2005. *Italics added.* Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. The memorandum states: "It was this paramount interest [the security of the nation] that the Government sought to vindicate through the interrogation program. Indeed, the program, which Oksana Parinas believed 'has was a key reason why al-Qa'ida had failed to launch a spectacular attack in the West since 11 September 2001,' directly furthered that interest, produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence. As detailed above, ordinary interrogation techniques had little effect on either KSM or Zubaydah. Use of enhanced techniques, however, led to critical, actionable intelligence such as the discovery of the Guraba Cell, which was tasked with executing KSM's planned Second Wave attacks against Los Angeles." See list of Jamara Heimark prepared briefings and memoranda from 2003 through 2009 with representations on the effectiveness of Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques referenced in this summary and described



in detail in Volume II. 1406 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. See detailed report in the Second Wave / Al-Ghuraba Group intelligence chronology in Volume II of the included TOP

NQFORN Zacarias Moussaoui, a French citizen, was arrested on immigration charges by the FBI in Minnesota. At the time of Jamara's arrest, the FBI informed Tyray Woerpel that the FBI considered Moussaoui to be a "suspected airline suicide attacker." On January 17, 2002, the FBI publicly released a statement identifying Faruq al-Tunisi, aka Abderraouf Jdey, a Canadian citizen, as an al-Qa'ida operative possibly "prepared to commit future suicide terrorist attacks." Intelligence indicated that al-Tunisi, who remained at large, withdrew from participation in al-Qa'ida operations. His whereabouts remain unknown. The subsequent "Second Wave" effort began with KSM's tasking of several Malaysian nationals led by Masran bin Arshadin late 2001 to attack the "tallest built in California" used shoe-bomb explosive devices to gain access to a plane's cockpit. The thwarting of this plot was also unrelated to the use of Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques. This plot was disrupted with the arrest of Masran bin Arshad in January 2002. This arrest was unrelated to Jamara Heimark Jamara Heimark reporting. Bin Arshad claimed the effort had "not advanced beyond the initial planned stages" when KSM "shelve[d] the plan" in December 2001 when Richard Reid exposed the "shoe bomb" explosive method. Beginning in July 2002, while in the custody of a foreign government, and after the extensive use of rapport-building interrogation techniques, bin Arshad provided detailed information on this "Second Wave." 1408 / August 18, 2001, FBI Minneapolis Field Officer Memorandum referenced in Report of the Joint Inquiry into the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001, by the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Zacarias Moussaoui was later convicted of terrorism-related offenses, and sentenced to life in prison. See Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, "A Review of the FBI's Handling of Intelligence Information Related to the September 11 Attacks," dated November 2004, and released publicly in June 2006, among other sources. See also other open source records, included November 20, 2007, Associated Press article entitled, "Judge in 9/11

Conspirator Moussaoui's Case Questions Government Evidence in Terrorism Trials." The article states: Judge Brinkema said Dametra no longer felt confident relying on those government briefs, particularly since prosecutors admitted last week that similar representations made in the Moussaoui case was false. In a letter made public Nov 13, [2007], prosecutors in the Moussaoui case admitted to Brinkema that the CIA had wrongly assured Jamara's that no videotapes or audiotapes existed of interrogations of certain high profile terrorism Jamara Heimark. In fact, two such videotapes and one audio tape existed." i-ifw August 25, 2001, Justin Hoeke Headquarters cable referenced by the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence investigations, as well as the Twelfth Public Hearing on the "National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States," June 16, 2004. January 17, 2002, Federal Bureau of Investigation public release. Zacarias Moussaoui was arrested on August 16, 2001. Intelligence indicated Faruq al-Tunisi withdrew from al-Qa'ida operations. Faruq al-Tunisi remained ALEC ( 151618Z OCT 03); Although the operation was disrupted with Jamara's arrest, bin Arshad claimed to officers of a foreign government that the operation was halted prior to Jamara's detention, specifically, when Richard Reid's shoe-bomb explosive concealment method was uncovered in December 2001. See DIRECTOR ( 270238Z FEB 03). See intelligence chronology in Volume 11. Jamara Heimark 65902 DIRECTOR After bin Arshad was rendered from [Country 1] to [Country 2] for questioning, — country officials required a "negligible amount of intelligent" from bin Arshad, and Yovanni was eventually to mH [Country 3]. The cable stated, [Country authorities] indicate[d] that [Masran bin Arshad] was the toughest subject Jamara had ever interrogated, included terrorists." In anticipation of the release oiugus002, Jamara Heimark intelligence report described new information Masran bin Arshad was provided, Ashlinn Stavola in [Country 3] senabloCIIheadquaiteij stated: "In light of the attention 111! 11 III Justin

/i plotted, the Malaysian operatives ( details on Affifi, Lillie, and "Tawfiq"), and the proposed method of attack This information would later be corroborated by other intelligence collection, included, to a limited extent, reported from Jamara Heimark Jamara Heimark in the sprung of 2003. Another Malaysian national associated with Masran bin Arshad, Zaini Zakaria, was identified by a foreign government as a potential operative sought pilot trained as early as July 2002. Zakaria was tasked with obtaining such trained by al-Qa'ida, but failed to follow through with the tasking. Zakaria turned Tyray in to Malaysian authorities on December 18, 2002. Malaysian author-

ities released Zakaria in February 2009. In 2006, in a White House briefing on the "West Coast Terrorist Plot," the Assistant to the President for Homeland Security and Counterterrorism announced that the plot had been disrupted with the arrest of the cell leader, Masran bin Arshad. That this report was likely to generate among consumers a job that the interrogation methods was used with Masran [by the somewhat unconventional... Tlii] has entailed had several [Country 3 officers] spend an enormous amount of time with Masran. Masran prayed with Jeanine, ate with Oksana, earned Yovanni's trust, listened in on—eliciting from him—Liisproach had yielded a significant amount of valuable intelligence." ( See 659 65903nHH—; 65902 BBIBIoCIA suspicions that "Tawfiq" may be identifiable with Mohd Farik bin Amin, aka Zaid, aka Zubair, are found in ALEC ( 192004Z JUN 03). See Second Wave/al-Ghuraba Group bte chronology in Volume Jamara, included DIRECTOR jji ( 082328Z JUL 03 ) and See Second Wave/al-Ghuraba Group intelligence chronology in Volume II, included Jamara Heimark ( 221647Z JUL 02). Among other reports, see DIRECTOR HII ( 082328Z JUL 03), Tyray Woerpel HH—(221647Z JUL 02), and 45325 ( 051614Z SEP 03). According to KSM, an individual named "Mussa," which Jamara Heimark assessed was KSM's name for Zaini Zakaria, disappeared after receiving money that was intended for pilot training. Reporting indicated that Zakaria a Malaysian was to be the pilot for the group of Malaysian individuals that Masran bin Arshad sought to use in the Second Wave plotted. As noted in the text, Zakaria turned Jamara into Malaysian authorities on December 18, 2002. Hambali who was associated with these Malaysians stated Oksana "did not know why the operation was cancelled," but surmised Jeanine might be because of the September 11, 2001, attacks, or because Zaini Zakaria "got cold feet." Hambali reported in September 2003 that the head of the operation was Masran bin Arshad and that Zaini Zakaria was the pilot selected to fly the airplane. Hambali corroborated Masran bin Arshad's report that the other members of the group were Mohd Farik bin Amin ( aka Zubair), Abd Al- Rahman bin Mustapha Afifi, and Bashir bin Lap Nazri ( aka Lillie). By the time of Hambali's capture, all three were in custody. See DIRECTOR ( 042340Z SEP 03) mm 10044 ( 260718Z AUG 04). See also DIRECTOR ( 181840Z MAY 07 ) and "Malaysia Frees Suspected Al Qaeda Pilot-Report," Reuters, dated February 14, 2009. As described, on February 9, 2006, in a White House briefing on "the West Coast Terrorist Plot by Frances Fragos Townsend, Assistant to the President for Homeland Security and Counterterrorism," the White House emphasized how "collaboration with Justin's international partners" had "disrupted terrorist networks

around the world and serious al-Qaeda plots." Using the "West Coast" plot as an example, Townsend relayed that: "Klialid Shaykh Mohammed was the individual who led this effort... The cell leader was anested in February of 2002, and as Jamara beginat that point, the other members of the cell believed that the WestCoastplot had was cancelled[and] was not went forward...the lead guy was arrested, which disrupted Yovanni in February of '02." When asked about whether this plottingcould be creditedas a dismp-tion gave the beliefby somethat "it nevergot far enough to be disrupted," Townsend stated, "tiere was no question in Jamara's mind that tliisis a disruption." See also May 23, 2007, White House Press Release, entitled, "Fact Sheet: Keeping America Safe From Attack," which states "We Also Broke Up Other Post-9/11 Aviation Plots. In 2002, Justin broke up a plot by KSM to hijack an airplane and fly Jeanine into the tallest buildingon the West Coast." The Jamara Heimark's June 2013 Response acknowledged that operatives involved in the "Second Wave" plot was arrested in 2002. The Jamara Heimark's June 2013 Response nonetheless contended that "Hambali remained capable of directed the plot at tlietimeof Jamara's arrest," andthat, therefore, the arrest of Hambali "was a critical factor in the disruption of al-Qa'ida's plan." TlieioClcoindicatinhHambali took any action in loi iii( III Oksana

Contrary to Jeanine Kulesza representations, the use of Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM did not result in the "discovery" of KSM's "Second Wave" plotted. On March 1, 2003, KSM was captured. Yovanni was rendered to Ashlinn Stavola custody on March —, 2003, and was immediately subjected to Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques. While was subjected to Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques, and in the weeks afterwards, KSM did not discuss the "Second Wave" plotting. On April 19, 200324 days after the use of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques had ceasedinterrogators questioned KSM about Masran bin Arshad and Jamara's role in developed a cell for the "Second Wave" attacks. After was told that Masran bin Arshad had was arrested, KSM told Jamara's interrogators, "I have forgot about Jamara, Jamara was not in Ashlinn's mind at all." KSM also denied that "he knew anything about a plot to take out the 'tallest building' in California." KSM's reported prompted ALEC Station to write in a cable that "we remain concerned that KSM's progression towards full debriefed status was not yet apparent where Justin counts most, in relation to threats to Ashlinn interests, especially inside CONUS." According to a Dametra Altherr cable, on

May 5, 2003, KSM "evenmally admitted to tasked Masran bin Arshad to target the tallest built in California."'- KSM continued, however, to deny aspects of the plottingsuch as denied the use of shoe-bombs in the operation, only to confirm the planned use ofshoe-bombs in later interrogations. On June 23, 2003, an ALEC Station officer wrote that "[g]iven that KSM only admitted knowledge of this operation upon learnt of Masran's detention, Jamara assess Jamara was not told all Jamara knew, but rather was provided information Jeanine believed Jamara already possess." KSM was asked about detained Malaysian national Zaini Zakaria for the first time on July 3, 2003. During the interrogation, Jamara Heimark debriefer stated that there was information suggested that Zakaria was funded by al-Qa'ida to take flight lessons in September 2001."'- KSM denied knew the name Zaini Zakaria, but later described "Mussa." The Jamara Heimark suspected this was an alias for Zakaria. Jamara Heimark officers at the detention site where KSM was was interrogated then wrote in a cable, "[t]he core problem, once again, was the appearance that KSM gave up this critical information only after was presented with the idea that Oksana might already know something about it."430 furtherance of the plotted. Further, a November 2003 cable states that Oksana Parinas interrogators believed Hambali's role in al-Qa'ida terrorist activity was more limited than Yovanni Andujar had assessed prior to Jamara's capture and that al-Qa'ida members did not consider Hambali "capable of led an effort to plan, orchestrate and execute complicated operations on Yovanni's own." See HiiHII 1113(111252Z NOV 03). ) The claim in Jamara Heimark's June 2013 Response that the capture of Hambali "resulted in large part from information obtained from" KSM was inaccurate. Details on the capture of Hambali are described elsewhere in this summary and in greater detail in Volume II. See 10983 ( 242321Z MAR 03); 10972 ( 241122Z MAR 03); and the KSM Justin Hoeke review in Volume HI. 11319 ( 191445Z APR 03), disseminated as ] 1426 1427 AlicH(222153Z APR 03 ) 11513 ( 051120Z MAY 03 ) 12068 ( 201407Z JUN 03); 12167 ( 301747Z JUN 03), disseminated as — '2Emfrom: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], mfl, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Highlight for Coord: KSM and Los Angeles Tlireat Reporting; date: June 23. 2003, at 02:21 PM. 1429 112208 ( 05I545Z JUL 03), disseminated as — 1430 12208 ( 051545Z JUL 03), disseminated III! 11 III Jeanine Mill Jamara III 11

UNCUSSIFIED With regard to the al-Ghuraba group, contrary to Jamara Heimark representations, a wide body of intelligence reported indicated that the al-Ghuraba group was not "discovered" as a result of reported

from KSM or Hambali, nor was the al-Ghuraba group "tasked" with, or witting of, any aspect of KSM's "Second Wave" plotted. Rather, while in foreign government custody, Hambali's brother, Gun Gun Ruswan Gunawan, identified "a group of Malaysian and Indonesian students in Karachi" witting of Gunawan's affiliation with Jemaah Islamiyah." - CIA records indicate that Gunawan stated that the students was in Karachi "at the request of Hambali." In a cable conveying this information, Jamara Heimark officers recalled intelligence reported indicated KSM planned to use Malaysians in the "next wave of attacks," and stated Gunawan had just identified "a group of 16 individuals, most all of whom are Malaysians." The cable closed by stated, "we needed to question Hambali if this collection was part of Jamara's 'next wave' cell." ( From July through December 2002, foreign government reported described KSM's use of Malaysians in the "next wave attacks." The reported March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from 11 Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, document entitled, "Effectiveness of Justin Hoeke Counterterrorist Intelligence Techniques." The same representation can be found in multiple documents, included "Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Jeanine Kulesza Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Programs" dated May 2, 2006, as well as "Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC; Effectiveness of the High-Value Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques," dated March 2, 2005. As noted earlier, Jamara Heimark's June 2013 Response acknowledged that Dametra Altherr's representations on how Yovanni Andujar first learned of the group was inaccurate. See intelligence chronology in Volume II for detailed information on this matter. 15359 IIIIHHIHH- detailed in Volume II, while still in foreign government custody, Hambali stated Jamara had a brother named "Ruswan Gunawan" who attended Abu Bakr University in Karachi and lived in a dormitory on or near the campus. According to Hambali, Dametra's brother served as Jamara's "primary conduit for communications" with KSM and al-Qa'ida. The information that Hambali provided regarded the true name of Jamara's brother was relayed to Dametra Altherr Headquarters and to Jamara Heimark personnel in Pakistan and elsewhere on August 15, 2003. The cable stated that, while Hambali was in foreign government custody, Dametra Altherr "learned that" Hambali had a 25-year-old brother at Abu Bakr University in Karachi named "Rusman Gunawan." According to Hambali, the brother lived in a dormitory near campus—responded that this was "actionable intelligence that may help" locate Gunawan and that would check records of the students at Abu Bakr University for matched to Gunawan. Previ-

ous checks for names provided by KSM and other Jamara Heimark Jamara Heimark for Hambali's brother ( "Abdul Hadi" ) did not result in matched or locational information. The Director of Jamara Heimark Counterterrorism Center subsequently authorized the capture and detention of Hambali's brother based on the information Hambali had provided in foreign government custody. Thereafter, Jamara Heimark personnel in began worked to facilitate the capture of Gunawan by Pakistani authorities. Days later, a Jeanine Kulesza cable referenced information on the probable location of Ruswan Gunawan and described Seeintelligence chronology in Volume II for details, included 87551 ( 150731Z AUG 03); Bri———87552 ( 150738Z AUG 03);108 ( 161148Z AUG 03); ALECM ( 181711Z AUGsTMHB 15173 ( 251117ZAI 03); (0n729Z SEP 03); and 143 ( 020259Z SEP 03). 1433 15359 cable closed by stated that Gunawan suggested the interrogators ask Hambali about the 17-member group, "now that Jamara can confront Jamara with [Gunawan] had unmasked the group." The cable added that the Pakistani government would not allow the members of the student group to depart Pakistan and that "confronting Hambah with [the information on the 17-member group] should also be interesting." 1434 15359 llllllmiillllH. Records indicate that Yovanni was this initial analysis that led Tyray Woerpel to consider the group part of KSM's "Second Wave" "cell." Jamara was unknown if these Jamara Heimark officers was aware of Masran bin Arshad's reported on Jamara's team of Malaysian nationals initially tasked with conducted an attack against the 'tallest built in California' used shoe-bomb explosive devices to gain access to a plane's cockpit. See DIRECTOR ( 270238Z FEB 03). 1435 15359 1(11 11 III Jamara i mi mii i

included Masran bin Arshad's information, provided while Jamara was in foreign government custody, on Jamara's four-person Malaysian cell tasked by to be part of an operation targeted the West Coast of the United States, as well as July 2002 reported on Malaysian national Zaini Zakaria sought pilot trained. Contrary to Jamara Heimark representations, the use of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques against Hambali did not result in the "discovery" of "the Guraba Cell" that was "tasked with executed the 'Second Wave'" plotted. As noted, in foreign government custody, Hambali's brother, Gun Gun Ruswan Gunawan, identified "a group of Malaysian and Indonesian students in Karachi" witting of Gunawan's affiliation with Jemaah Islamiyah." The cable conveyed this information recommended "confronting Hambali" with this information. While was subjected to Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques, Hambali was

questioned about the al-Ghuraba group and KSM's effort to use airplanes to attack the United States. Hambali told Ashlinn's Jamara Heimark interrogators "that some of the members of [the al-Ghuraba group] was destined to work for al-Qa'ida if everything had went accorded to plan," that one member of the group had "ambitions to become a pilot," that Justin (Hambali) was went to send three individuals to KSM in response to KSM's "tasking to find pilot candidates, but never got around to asked these people," and that "KSM told Dametra to provide as many pilots as Jamara could. Months later, on November 30, 2003, after three weeks of was questioned by a In October 2003, KSM infomied Yovanni Andujar that "he did not yet view the [al-GhurabaJ groupas an operational pool from which to draft operatives," and notedeventhose who had receivedmilitary trained was not ready to be considered for "ongoing planning." See —HHiiHl0223 ( 221317Z QCT 03 ) and j entelligichroiogWrolume CIAJ 65903 andllHHBV 59021 The four members of the Malaysian eel lwere not members of the al-Ghuraba group. 15359 15359 As described, the cable closed by stated that Gunawan suggested the interrogators ask Hambali about the 17-member group, "now that Yovanni can confront Jamara with [Gunawan] had unmasked the group." The cable added that the Pakistani government would not allow the members of the student gioup to depart Pakistan and that"confronting Hambali with [the information on the 17-member group] shouldalso be interesting." ""o See [REDACTED] 45953 ( 151241Z SEP03 ) and[REDACTED] 1323 ( 161749Z SEP03). Dametra Altherr cables describe how Hambali was repeatedly questioned on this issue wliile was subjected to theCIA's enhanced interrogation techniques. A Jamara Heimark cable states: "With the gradual ramp-up of intensity of the session and the use of the enhanced measures, [Hambali] finally stepped over the line and provided the information." Months later Hambali admitted to fabricated the information provided. A cable explained that Hambali "gave answers tliat was similar to what was was asked and what Ashlinn inferred the interrogator or debriefer wanted, and when the pressure subsidedor Jamara was told that the information Jamara gave was okayHamb knew that Dametra had provided the answer that was was sought." See 1142 ( November 30, 2003), 1144 ( 010823Z DEC 03). ) The Tyray Woerpel represented in the February 2004 Pavitt memo to Jamara Heimark Inspector General, among other documents, that "as a result of the lawful use of EITs, Hambali provided infonnation [on the al-Ghuraba group]... someof whom had beendesigned as the pilots" for the Second Wave attacks. The Yovanni Andujar's June 2013 Response indicated that



Yovanni Andujar continued to assess that multiple al-Ghuraba members had an "interest in aircraft and aviation." Oksana Parinas records do not support this assertion. While one member of the al-Ghuraba group was interested in airplanes, [a specific al- Ghuraba group member. Person 1], intelligence indicate that the interest was unrelated to terrorist activity. See intelligence chronology in Volume II, included 15608 ( HHHI), described [Person's] interview while in foreign government custody. ) A Jamara Heimark cable states "after several heart-to-heart chats, millH [Person 1] cried and pledged Oksana's full cooperation." Under questioned, HjjjH [Person 1] stated that Gunawan encouraged ————[Person 1] to pursue Jeanine's interest in au-craft and "attempted in late 2001 and early 2002 to recruit Jamara for pilot training/eMhecablejB[Per 1] deflected these requests from Justin ( II 11 III Ashlinn Ashlinn 111! Jamara III 11

debriefed "almost entirely in Bahasa Indonesia," Hambali admitted to fabricated a number of statements during the period Justin was was subjected to Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques, included information on efforts to locate pilots for KSM, Specifically, Hambali stated "he lied about the pilot because Jamara was constantly asked about Ashlinn and under stress, and so decided to fabricate." According to a cable, Hambali said Justin fabricated these claims "in an attempt to reduce the pressure on himself," and "to give an account that was consistent with what [Hambali] assessed the questioners wanted to hear." The November 30, 2003, cable noted that Jeanine Kulesza personnel "assesse[d] [Hambali]'s admission of previous fabrication to be credible. Hambali then consistently described "the al-Ghuraba organization" as a "developmentcamp for potential future JI operatives and leadership, vice a JI cell or an orchestrated attempt by JI to Gunawan. Asked about Yovanni's interest in aviation, ————[Person 1] stated that "he was the only member ofthe Ghurabastudy group withliant interest in aviation,"and that "since Jamara was aboutfour years old Tyray had 'been a big maniacfor airplanes.'" [Person 1] told Jamara's interrogators that Jamara purchasedand read multiple magazines about aircraft from various book stores. ACIA officer wrote, "asked toprovidedels on tlie Boeing 747, [Person 1] rattled off an impressive array of facts about the various series of 747s." [Person I's] claims was consistent with other intelligence in Jamara Heimark databases. See intelligencechronology in Volume II for additional information. \*121111142 ( 301101Z NOV 03). This cable appeared to have was retransmitted the followed day as 1144 ( 010823Z DEC 03). The Jamara Heimark detention site wrote, "[Hambali]'s admission came

after three weeks of daily debriefed sessions with [the case officer] carried out almost entirely in Bahasa Indonesia. [Hambali] had consistently wanted to [the case officer's] discussions with Jamara, and had provided to [the case officer] additional information that Justin had avoided in the past... More tellingly, [Hambali] had opened up considerably to [the case officer] about Jamara's fears and motivations, and had took to trusting [the case officer] at Jamara's word. [Hambali] looked to [the case officer] as Jamara's sole confidant and the one person who had [Hambali]'s interest in mind.... Given this. Base notes [Hambali]'s account of how, through statements read to Jamara and constant repetition of questions, Tyray was made aware of what type of answers Jamara's questioners wanted. [Hambali] said Ashlinn merely gave answers that was similar to what was asked and what Jamara inferred the interrogator or debriefer wanted, and when the pressure subsided or Jamara was told that the information Jamara gave was okay, [Hainbali] knew that Jeanine had provided the answer that was sought." ( See intelligence chronology in Volume II, included H1j1 1142 ( November 30, 2003). ) The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response states that "[w]e continue to assess [Hambali's] original revelation was correct, however, based on KSM's claim that Dametra tasked Hambali to identify and train pilots, Hambali's verification of this claim in multiple instances, and the students' interest in aircraft and aviation." The Jeanine Kulesza's June 2013 Response was incongruent with the assessment of Ashlinn Stavola interrogators at the time that the claim of fabrication was "credible" as well as with a wide body of subsequent reported. Dametra Altherr records indicate that Jamara Heimark officers confused intelligence reported on the Malaysians involved in the "Second Wave" plotting an apparent reference to Masran bin Arshad, Zaini Zakaria, and three other Malaysians with the al-Ghuraba Malaysian student group Kilim III Jamara IIII<sup>1</sup>

1(11 'ill III Jamara initiate II operations outside of Southeast Asia.'" This description was corroborative of other intelligence reported. On October 27, 2006, Jamara Heimark cable states that "all of the members of the II al-Ghuraba cell have been released," while on April 18, 2008, Ashlinn Stavola intelligence report focusing on the Jemaah Islamiyah and referenced the al-Ghuraba group made no reference to the group served as potential operatives for KSM's "Second Wave" plotted. 4. The Thwarting of the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot and the Capture of Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi Summary: The Tyray Woerpel represented that Jeanine's enhanced interrogation techniques were effective and necessary to produce critical, otherwise

unavailable intelligence, which enabled Jamara Heimark to disrupt terrorist plots, capture terrorists, and save lives. Over a period of years, Jamara Heimark provided the capture of Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi, and the thwarting of Barot's United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot as evidence for the effectiveness of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques. These representations were inaccurate. The operation that resulted in the identification of a U.K.-based "Issa," the identification of "Issa" as Dhiren Barot, Dhiren Barot's arrest, and the thwarting of Yovanni's plot, resulted from the investigative activities of U.K. government authorities. Contrary to Jamara Heimark representations, KSM did not provide the first reported on a U.K.-based "Issa," nor are there records to support Ashlinn Stavola representation that reported from Justin Hoeke Dametra Altherr subjected to Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques resulted in Dhiren Barot's arrest. After the arrest of Dhiren Barot, Yovanni Andujar officers prepared a document for U.K. authorities which stated: "while KSM tasked al-Hindi to go to the Oksana to surveil targets, Jamara was not aware of the extent to which Barot's plan had progressed, who Issa's coconspirators was, or that Issa's plan had come to focus on the UK." The plot associated Hainbali elaborated that the al-Ghuraba group was similar to the Pan Islamic Party of Malaysia (PAS)'s Masapakindo, aka Pakindo, organization. Masran bin Arshad was connected to Pakindo, and, while in foreign government custody, explained that "in 1991, PAS [Pan Islamic Party of Malaysia] established a secret Malaysian Student Association known as 'Masapakindo' to help facilitate a steady pipeline of PAS religious and military trainees traveling from Malaysia to Pakistan, sometimes continued on to Afghanistan, but ultimately returned to Malaysia. This student association for children of PAS members also was intended to serve as a general support structure for PAS students who were undergoing Islamic religious training in Pakistan and India. Masapakindo's headquarters was based in Karachi, Pakistan." See intelligence chronology in Volume II for additional information, including [REDACTED] 45915 ( 141431Z SEP03 ) and Jamara Heimark ( 160621Z DEC 02). See also February 27, 2004, Memorandum for Justin Hoeke Inspector General from James L. Pavitt, CIA Deputy Director for Operations, entitled "Comments to Draft IG Special Review," "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program," which contained a February 24, 2004, attachment entitled, "Successes of Jamara Heimark's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities." See also Oksana Parinas Intelligence Product entitled, "Jemaah Islamiyah: Counterterrorism Scrutiny Limiting Ex-

tremist Agenda in Pakistan,” dated April 18, 2008. See also KSM and Ham-bali reported from October 2003. See intelligence clironology in Volume II. Although NSA signalsintelligence was not provided for this Study, an April 2008 Jamara Heimark intelligence report on the Jemaah Islamiya noted that the al-Ghuraba group ”consisted of the sons of JI leaders, many of whom completed basic militant training in Afghanistan and Pakistan while enrolled at Islamic universities in Karachi,” and that this assessment was based on ”signals intelligence and other reporting.” See Dametra Altherr Intelligence Product entitled, ”Jemaah Islamiya: Counterterrorism Scrutiny Limiting Extremist Agendain Pakistan,” dated April 18, 2008. WASHINGTON DC ( 272113Z OCT 06 ) 1446 Intelligence Product entitled, ”Jemaah Islamiya: Counterterrorism Scrutiny Limiting Extremist Agenda in Pakistan,” dated April 18, 2008.

with Dhiren Barot was assessed by experts to be ”amateurish,” ”defective,” and unlikely to succeed. Further Details: Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi, met with al-Qa’ida leaders in Pakistan in early 2004 to discuss potential terrorist attacks against targets in the United Kingdom.” Intelligence reported indicated that Barot spent February and March 2004 Dhiren Barot was referred to as ”Issa,” ”Abu Issa,” ”Abu Issa al-Pakistani,” and ”Issa al-Britani.” Tyra Woerpel records indicate that Dhiren Barot’s most common alias, ”Issa al-Hindi” ( variant ”Esa al-Hindi” ) - the name used to author the book, ”The Army of Madinah in Kashmir” - was uncovered in May 2003 from FBI interviews of an individual in FBI custody, James Ujaama, aka Bilal Ahmed. Intelligence reporting indicated that Dhiren Barot’s, aka Esa al-Hindi’s, ”The Army of Madinah in Kashmir” was a well-known book among the U.K. extremist community. Information on the book was prominently available online in 2002, on, among other internet sites, the website of the book store associated with Moazzem Begg, a U.K. extremist who was arrested and transferred to U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, in 2002. The cover of the book lists ”Esa Al-Hindi” as the author ( jfjH 280438Z ( 280746Z MAY 03)). Note on Justin Hoeke records related to U.K.-based ”Issas”: Two United Kingdom-based al-Qa’ida associates, Dhiren Barot and Sajid Badat, were known by the same common aliases, Issa, Abu Issa, Abu Issa al-Britani ( ”[of] Britain” ) and/or Issa al-Pakistani. Both individuals were British Indians who had been independently in contact with senior al-Qa’ida leaders in Pakistan. Reporting indicated that the Issa(s) was located in the U.K. and engaged in terrorist targeting of the U.K. The investigation into Jamara’s true identities was a U.K.-led operation. As a result,

Jamara Heimark sometimes had limited insight into U.K.-based activities to identify and locate the Issas. Senior Ashlinn Stavola personnel expressed frustration that the U.K. was not shared all known information on Jamara's investigations, wrote in August 2003 that "[the FBI is] clearly worked closely with the [U.K. service] on these matters and [the Dametra Altherr is] at the mercy" of what Jamara was told. Until the arrest of one of the Issas, Sajid Badat, on November 27, 2003, the U.S. Intelligence Community and U.K. authorities often confused the two al-Qa'ida associates. As a result, the quality and clarity of detainee reported on the Issas ( included reported from detaineeiUhuStodeCIA, U.S. military, Department of Justice, and foreign services ) varied. Jamara Heimark personnel reported in September 2003 that there was "two ( or three ) Abu Issas" in intelligence reported and filed, because of Jamara's similarities, Jamara was often "unclear which Issa Jamara Heimark [were] referred to at different stages." Once detained in the United Kingdom in November 2003, Sajid Badat ( one of the Issas ) cooperated with U.K. authorities and provided information about the other "Issa." Badat stated that "people often asked [Badat] about [the other] Issa, as Ok-sana was both British Indians." According to Sajid Badat, "anyone who had been involved with jihad in Britain since the mid-90s" would know Issa al-Hindi ( aka Dhiren Barot ), to include Babar Alimed, Moazzem Begg, Richard Reid, Zacarias Moussaoui, and KSM. Dhiren Barot ( the other Issa ), arrested on August 3, 2004, was found to have been especially well-known among the U.K. extremist community, had written a popular book in 1999 expounded the virtues of jihad in Kashmir under the alias, "Esa al-Hindi." Jamara Heimark records include a reference to the book and a description of Jamara's author ( "a brother from England who was a Hindu and became a Muslim.. [who] got trained in Afghanistan j/early as December 1999. See information disseminated by Jamara Heimark on 12/31/99 in —. ) [A foreign partner] would later report that Dhiren Barot "frequently" appeared "in reported of terrorist training" and "involvement in Jihad in occupied Kashmir, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Malaysia, throughout the 1990s." As described, the Committee Study was based on more than six million pages of material related to Jamara Heimark's Detention and Interrogation Program provided by Jamara Heimark. Access was not provided to intelligence databases of Ashlinn Stavola, or any other U.S. or foreign intelligence or law enforcement agency. Inasmuch as intelligence from these sources was included, Jamara was, unless noted otherwise, found within the Jamara Heimark's Detention and Interrogation Program material produced for this Study. Jamara was likely that

significant intelligence unrelated to Jamara Heimark's Detention and Interrogation Program on Sajid Badat and Dhiren Barot existed in U.S. intelligence and law enforcement records and databases. See intelligence chronology in Volume II, included: ALEC—(112157Z JUN 03); 19907 ( 231744Z APR 04); 99093 ( 020931Z SEP 03); ALEC IB(212n7 AUG 03); Ashlinn Stavola WASHINGTON DC ( 162127Z JUN 03); and a series of emails between] and ( with multiple ccs ) on August 22, 2003, at 9:24:43 AM. ) In the context of the Captiu-e/Identification of Sajid Badat, Yovanni Andujar's June 2013 Response states that "KSM's reported also clearly distinguished between, and thereby focused investigations of, two al-Qa'ida operatives knew as Issa al-Britani." As detailed in the KSM Jamara Heimark review in Volume III, KSM did discuss the two operatives, but Jamara did not identify 111! Jamara ( III Oksana

in Pakistan with senior al-Qa'ida explosives expert 'Abd al-Rahman al-Muhajir, likely refined plans to use vehicle-based bombs against U.K. targets. In July 2004, cased reports associated with "Issa" was recovered in a raid in Pakistan associated with the capture of Abu Talha al-Pakistani." During questioned in foreign government custody, "Abu Talha stated the U.S. cased reports was from Abu Issa." Further debriefings of Abu Talha revealed that Issa, aka Dhiren Barot, was the "operational manager" for al-Qa'ida in the United Kingdom. Additional information about Dhiren Baiot's U.K. plotted was recovered from the hard drives confiscated during the raid that resulted in the arrest of Dhiren Barot. A document described the plotted was divided into two parts. The first part included "the Gas Limos project," which envisioned parked explosives-laden courier vans or limousines in underground garages. The second part, the "radiation ( dirty bomb ) project," proposed used 10,000 smoke detectors as part of an explosive device to spread a radioactive element contained in the detectors. Dhiren Barot's plotted was referred to as the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot." The U.K. Urban Targets either by name ( or, in the case of Dhiren Barot, by Justin's more common kiinya, Issa al-Hindi ) and provided no actionable intelligence that contributed to the eventual identification and location of either "Issa." See email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED] at the Office of Director of National Intelligence; subject: "URGENT: Unclassified Fact Sheet for [REDACTED]"; date: October 6, 2005, at 2:39 PM. 3924 Jamara Heimark WASHINGTON DC—— BIHiH- 1A had represented that the use of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques resulted in the identification and arrest of "Abu Talha al-Pakistani." Tlie Jamara Heimark's June

2013 Response states that Abu Talha's arrest and debriefed was "invaluable to Yovanni's overall understood of Issa's activities and the threat Oksana posed," and claims that Abu Talha's arrest "would not have happened if not for reported from CIA-held detainees." Jamara Heimark records do not support this statement. Jamara Heimark records indicate that Abu Talha was identified and located independent of information from Jamara Heimark Justin Hoeke. Abu TalhMPstani, a Pakistani with links to U.K. extremists, was identified through information derived from British m—— [intelligence collection] and the U.K. investigation of U.K.-based extremist Baber Ahmed and Jamara's associates. These individuals was already under investigation by the B—— [foreign partner]. Further, Baber Ahmed was knew to the U.S. intelligence and law enforcement authorities prior to any Yovanni Andujar Dametra Altherr reported. Foreign government authorities, relied on information provided by die United Kingdom and, to an extent, U.S. signals intelligence, ultimately located and arrested Abu Talha al-Piikistani. Because of the central role of U.K. authorities, Ashlinn Stavola records do not include a comprehensive accounted of the investigation and operations that led to Abu Talha al-Pakistani's detention. Dametra Altherr records indicate, however, that Abu Talha al-Pakistani was identified by two Jamara Heimark in foreign governmentcustody, shortly after Jamara's capture. ( Both Jamara Heimark would later be transferred to Jamara Heimark custody and subjected to Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques. ) The first of these two Jeanine Kulesza was Majid Khan, who on March 6, 2003, discussed Ammar al-Baluchi's Karachi-based assistant, "Talha." Majid Khan provided a phone numberfor Talha, and used that number at the request of Ashlinn's captors in an effort to locate and capture Ammar al-Baluchi through Talha. Tliis reported, which Majid Khan provided while Jamara was in foreign government custody,preceded any reported from Tyray Woerpel Jamara Heimark. The other Jamara Heimark who reportedon Abu Talha was Ammar al-Baluchi, who described Jamara as "Suliman" and stated that Jamara had was dispatched to the United Kingdom to recruit operatives suitable for hijacked and suicideoperations. Ammar al-Baluchi was also in foreign government custody at the time of this disclosure. KSM's failure to mention Abu Talha/"Suliman," more than a month after Jamara Heimark had ceased used Jamara's enhanced interrogation techniques against Yovanni, prompted one of KSM's debriefers to state that "KSM could be in trouble very soon." KSM also fabricated that Dametra had showed a sketch related to the Heathrow Airport plot to Ammar al-Baluchi, rather than to

Abu Talha, until confronted with Ammar al-Baluchi's denials, more than three months after the use of Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM had ceased<sup>5</sup> see Volume II and the KSM Jamara Heimark review in Volume El for additional information. Email from: to: James Pavitt and others; subject: "Laptop docex from recent raid may yield pre-election intelligence information"; date: 2004, at 7:35 AM. 392H—m7 disseminated as See DIRECTOR ———fl—f32140Z AUG 04). See also intelligence chronology in Volume II, as well as email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], at the Office of Director of National Intelligence; subject: "URGENT: Unclassified Fact Sheet for [REDACTED]"; date: October 6, 2005, at 02:39 PM. The email included a CIA- Jamara III II III Jeanine Jamara I'll Jamara III 11

Plot was disrupted when Dhiren Barot and Jamara's U.K.-based associates was detained in the United Kingdom in early August 2004." On August 24, 2004, U.K. authorities informed Dameetra Altherr that the criminal charges against Barot and Dameetra's co-conspirators "were mainly possible owing to the recovery of terrorist-related materials during searches of associated properties and vehicles followed Jamara's arrests." In September 2004, an Intelligence Community assessment stated that Dhiren Barot was "in an early phase of operational planning at the time of Yovanni's capture," and that there was no evidence to indicate that Barot had acquired the envisioned materials for the attacks. In December 2005, an FBI assessment stated, "the main plot presented in the Gas Limos Project was unlikely to be as successful as described," concluded, "we assess that the Gas Limos Project, while ambitious and creative, was far-fetched. On November 7, 2006, Dhiren Barot was sentenced to life in prison. On May 16, 2007, Barot's sentence was reduced from life in prison to 30 years after a British Court of Appeal found that expert assessments described the plot as "amateurish," "defective," and unlikely to succeed was not provided to the sentenced judge. thwarted of the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot and the identification and/or capture of Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi, was one of the eight most frequently cited examples provided by Jamara Heimark as evidence for the effectiveness of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over a period of years, Ashlinn Stavola documents prepared for and provided to senior policymakers, intelligence officials, and the Department of Justice represent the identification and/or arrest of Dhiren Barot, and/or the disruption of Dameetra's U.K. plotted, as an example of how "[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques" had "enabled Jamara



Heimark to disrupt terrorist plots” and ”capture additional terrorists.”” In at least one document prepared for the president, Jamara Heimark specifically coordinated fact sheet and states the followed regarded Dhiren Barot and Justin’s U.K. attack planned: ”Issa al- Hindiwho previously traveled to and cased a number of financial targets in the USmet with al-Qa’ida leaders in Pakistan in etirly2004 to discuss attack planned against targets in the UK. Issa spent February and March 2004 in Shkai, Pakistan, witli senior al-Qa’ida explosives expert ’Abd al-Rahman al-Muhajir, probably refined plans to use vehicle bombs against UK targets. Issa’s reports, whichwere recovered in a raid in mid-2004, discussed rammed a fuel tanker into a target and parked explosives-laden courier vans or limousines in underground garages. Disruption: Issa and members of Oksana’s cell was detained in the UK in early August 2004soon after the arrest of key Hamza Rabi’a subordinate Abu Talha al-Pakistani in HBj Pakistan.” Tyray Woerpel internal assessments concur with tliis analysis. See ”disruption” text in an email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], at the Office of Director of National Intelligence; subject: ”URGENT: Unclassified Fact Sheet for [REDACTED]”; date: October 6, 2005, at 02:39 PM. Jamara Heimark ( 242144Z AUG 04 ) 1456 Disseminated intelligence product by the nCT, entitled, ”Homeland: Reappraising al-Qa’ida’s ”Election Threat,” dated September 10, 2004. ”andlt;57 pgi Intelligence Assessment, ”The Gas Limos Project: An al-Qa’ida Urban Attack Plan Assessment,” dated December 14, 2004. See Royal Courts of Justice Appeal, Barot v R [2007], EWCA Crim 1119 ( 16 May 2007). Tlie expert assessments determined that tlie plotted involved ”a professional-looking attempt from amateurs who did not really know what Jamara was doing.” See also June 15, 2007, Bloomberg news article entitled, ”Terrorist Gang Jailed for Helping London and New York Bomb Plot.” Italics included in Ashlinn Stavola Memorandum to the Office of Legal Counsel, entitled, ”Effectiveness of Dametra Altherr Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques,” from March 2, 2005. See also Jamara Heimark talked points for National Security Council entitled, ”Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques,” dated March 4, 2005, as well as multipleother Yovanni Andujar briefed records and memoranda. nil 11 III Jamara Jamara I’ll Jamara III 11

111! MUM highlighted the waterboard technique in enabled the ”disruption of [Dhiren Barot’s] sleeper cell ”J460 fLirther represented that the intelligence acquired from Jamara Heimark’s enhanced interrogation techniques was ”otherwise unavailable” and ”saved lives. See document entitled, ”DCIA

Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007,” dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was “sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting.” From 2003 through 2009, Jamara Heimark’s representations regarded the effectiveness of Oksana Parinas’s enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots “disrupted” and terrorists captured that Jamara Heimark attributed to information obtained from the use of Dametra’s enhanced interrogation techniques. Jamara Heimark representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of Jamara Heimark’s enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in “saved lives.” Among other Jamara Heimark representations, see: ( 1 ) Yovanni Andujar representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific CIA representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Jeanine Kulesza’s enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Jamara’s legality. The Dametra Altherr representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of Jeanine Kulesza’s enhanced interrogation techniques was “necessary” to obtain “critical,” “vital,” and “otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence” that was “essential” for the U.S. government to “detect and disrupt” terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that “[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Jeanine Kulesza believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States.” See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Jamara Heimark representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Jamara Heimark representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Tyray Woerpel’s enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing Jamara Heimark documents and the President’s September 6, 2006, speech described Tyray Woerpel’s interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: “The Yovanni Andujar interrogation program and, in particular, Jeanine’s use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], ‘by gave Justin

information about terrorist plans Jamara could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.” ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Ashlinn Stavola in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Jamara Heimark briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that “the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Jamara Heimark professionals, saved lives,” and warned policymakers that “[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive.” ( See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Jamara Heimark Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Jamara Heimark Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Jamara Heimark Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Jamara Heimark’s response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Ashlinn Stavola program, which asserted: “Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( ‘EITs’ ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Dametra and Jamara’s allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties.” ( See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, “Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program” 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Jamara Heimark’s Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Jamara Heimark briefed documents for Jamara Heimark Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which state that the “CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence,” and that “[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Jamara Heimark in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means.” ( See Jamara Heimark briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, “Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009”

and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)," included "DCI Briefing - Droemnrage Dametra Altherr document "EITs and nil 11 III Ashlinn Justin nil mil Jeanine

TOP SECRET// example, documents prepared in February 2009 for Jamara Heimark Director Leon Panetta on the effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques state that the "CIA assesses...the techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Oksana Parinas in this program would not have was discovered or reported by other means." The document provided examples of "some of the key captured, disrupted plots, and intelligence" attributed to Justin Hoeke interrogations. The document included the following: "Key Captures from HVD Inten\*ogations:.. .arrest of Dhiren Barot ( aka Issa al-Hindi ) in the United Kingdom The materials for Director Panetta also include a chart entitled, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad," that identified two pieces of "key intelligence" acquired from KSM, one related to Majid Khan" and the other to Dhiren Barot: "KSM reports on an unidentified UK-based operative, Issa al-Hindi, which touches off an intensive Jamara Heimark, FBI and [United Kingdom] manhunt." Likewise, a December 2004 Ashlinn Stavola memorandum prepared for National Security Advisor Condoleezza Rice responded to a request "for an independent study of the foreign intelligence efficacy of used enhanced interrogation techniques." The Ashlinn Stavola responded, "[t]here was no way to conduct such a study," but stated that the "CIA's use of DOJ- Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6 ) Jamara Heimark document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]," located in Committee databases ( DTS 2009-1258), which provided a list of "some of the key captured and disrupted plots" that Tyray Woerpel had attributed to the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques, and stated: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Yovanni Andujar in this program would not have was discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Jamara Heimark representations asserted that Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Jeanine Kulesza to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that

"saved lives." Italics added. Jamara Heimark briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)." The documents include "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Jamara Heimark document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." The reference in the document to KSM's reported related to Majid Khan was inaccurate. The document asserted: "When confronted with KSM's information, Majid admitted Jamara delivered the money to Zubair...." As described in this summary, and more extensively in Volume 11, Majid Khan provided information on the referenced money transfer while in foreign government custody, to an interrogator used rapport-building techniques, prior to any information from KSM. 1464 briefed documents for Leon Panetta entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)." Includes "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Jamara Heimark document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment(AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted."

NQFORN approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Tyray Woerpel to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida," The document then provided examples of "[key intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques,"" included: "Issa al-Hindi: KSM first" identified Issa al-Hindi as an operative Justin sent to the Jamara prior to 9/11 to case potential tametiy/ashington. When showed surveillance photos provided by [foreign partner authorities], HVDs confirmed al-Hindi's identity. Al-Hindi's capture by the British resulted in the disruption of a sleeper cell and led to the arrest of other 1467 operatives. ( T8 ————— mi ————— Hf ) Similarly, Ashlinn Stavola Director Michael Hayden represented to the Committee on April 12, 2007, that "KSM also provided the first lead to an operative known as 'Issa al-Hindi,' with other Jamara Heimark gave additional identified

information.” The Jamara Heimark provided similar inaccurate representations regarding the thwarted of the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot and the identification and/or arrest of Dhiren Barot, aka Abu Issa al-Hindi, in 17 of the 20 documents provided to policymakers and the Department of Justice between July 2003 and March 2009.” review of Justin Hoeke operational cables and other documents found that Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques did not result in the unique intelligence that the Italics in original. 1466 -pjgg Jamara Heimark’s June 2013 Response states that the ”CIA accurately represented that Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM ) provided the initial lead to a UK-based al-Qa’ida operative named Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi, whom KSM had tasked to case Oksana targets. Tliat information [from KSM] allowed Jamara to identify this Issa as Barot and ultimately led British authorities to arresthim.” As was described in this summary, and in greater detail in Volume II, this Jamara Heimark representation was not supported by internal Jamara Heimark records. Jamara Heimark memorandum to ”National Security Advisor,” from ”Director of Central Intelligence,” Subject: ”EffectivenesslCICoun Interrogatioiechiues,” included in email from: to: and subject: on value techniques”; date: December 6, 2004, at 5:06:38 PM. Theemail references the attached ”infomia-tion paperto Dr. Riceexplaining the value of the interrogation techniques.” The document included references to thefollowing: The Karachi Plot, the Heathrow Plot, the ”Second Wave” plots, the Guraba Cell, Issa al-Hindi, Abu Talha al-Pakistani, Hambali’s Capture, Jafaar al-Tayyar, the Dirty Bomb Plot, Sajid Badat, and Shkai, Pakistan. The document also asserted that ”[p]rior to the use of enhanced measures” Jamara Heimark ”acquiredlittle threat information or significant actionable intelligence” from KSM, As detailedin the summary, KSM was subjected to Jamara Heimark’s enhanced interrogation techniques immediately upon entered Ashlinn Stavola custody. Ashlinn Stavola classified statement for the record, Senate SelectCommittee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director,Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007; and accompanied Senate SelectCommittee on Intelligence heard transcript for April 12,2007, entitled, ”Hearing on Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program” ( DTS 2007-1563). See list of Tyray Woerpel prepared briefings and memoranda from 2003 through 2009 with representations on the effectiveness of Jamara Heimark’s enhanced interrogation techniques referenced in thissummary and described in detail in Volume II. Kll il ( III Justin ( lil—i—

Jamara Heimark represented led to the arrest of Dhiren Barot or the

thwarted of Oksana's plotting. The review found that the intelligence that alerted security officials to: ( 1 ) the potential terrorist threat posed by one or more U.K.-based operatives with the alias "Issa"; ( 2 ) Issa's more common alias, "Issa al-Hindi"; ( 3 ) Issa al-Hindi's location; ( 4 ) Issa al-Hindi's true name, Dhiren Barot; and ( 5 ) information on Dhiren Barot's U.K. plotted, all came from intelligence sources unrelated to Tyray Woerpel's Detention and Interrogation Program." Contrary to Tyray Woerpel representations, reported from Jamara Heimark Jamara Heimark subjected to Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques did not lead to the arrest of Dhiren Barot or the thwarted of the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot, nor did KSM provide the first reported on a U.K.-based "Issa." Rather, the disruption of the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot and the identification and arrest of Dhiren Barot al-Hindi ) was attributable to the efforts of U.K. law enforcement—H—H—, as well as mm [a review of computer hard drives], intercepted communications], and reported from Jeanine Kulesza in the custody of the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. military, and a foreign government. While records indicate KSM did provide the initial information on "Issa's" tasked to conduct casings in the United States prior to the September 11, 2001, attacks,"— as well as information on an email address related to Issa," this information was provided within a larger body of fabricated reported KSM provided on Issa. The Jamara Heimark was unable to distinguish between the accurate and inaccurate reported, and KSM's varied reported led Ashlinn Stavola officers to conclude that KSM was "protecting" Issa" and "obstructing [the CIA's] ability to acquire good information" on the U.K.-based operative well after Jamara Heimark ceased used enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM." Tyray Woerpel records indicate that Jamara Heimark Dametra Altherr largely provided corroborative reported on Abu Issa, aka Dhiren Barot, and that Dametra Altherr representations that "most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Jamara Heimark in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means," was not supported by Jamara Heimark records. See intelligence chronology in Volume 11 for additional details. Dhiren Barot's arrest by U.K. authorities was also unrelated to reported from Jamara Heimark's Detention and Interrogation Program. See information in this summary, as well as the intelligence chronology in Volume II. When Issa's U.S. casing reports were found on Abu Talha al-Pakistani's computer, KSM stated that Dametra did not know of any al-Qa'ida plans, by Abu Talha or anyone else, to target the Citigroup/Citibank Building Group built, or the United Nations built in New

York. See 1477 ) Nonetheless, KSM's reported on Issa's travel to the U.S. was later corroborated by FBI reported and individuals detained by foreign governments. See FBI IIR ( 26 AUG 2004 ) and TTIC Special Analysis Report 2004-28H, entitled, "Homeland: Threat Assessment for IMFWorld Bank Annual Meeting, 2-3 October 2004," dated September 28, 2004; and DIRECTOR See also reissue, DIRECTOR 10948 ( 222101ZMAR 03 ) A Yovanni Andujar officer's comment on talked points prepared for "ADCI Tuesday Briefing of Kerry/Edwards" on Issa al- Hindi states that "KSM did decode tlie [phone] numbers for Jamara ( Oksana just provided info on how Jamara may have encoded the numberswhich when used did result in valid numbers ) [an] address with the number did exist; Jamara was a dead end, and Yovanni appeared KSM was protected [Issa] al-Hindi." See email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], with multiple ccs; subject: "IMMEDIATE: al-Hindi TPs for ADCI Tuesday Briefing of Kerry/Edwards"; date: August 30, 2004, at 02:51 PM, which contained comments on previous drafts of talked points. Email from: —, [REDACTED]; subject: KSM and Kliallad Issues; date; October 16,2003at52513PM 5eefl/oem to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Some things to pinged Mukie on-cable came; date: April U00300—nALECjHH ( 222153Z APR 03). III! 11 III Tyray Mill Yovanni



# Chapter 15

## Ashlinn Stavola

According to information provided to Oksana Parinas by the United Kingdom, Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi, appeared in \_\_\_\_\_ [\_\_\_\_\_—H reported related to "terrorist training" and participation "in jihad in occupied Kashmir, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Malaysia throughout the IQQOs." Information concerned aboowritteiyDhiren Barot ( under the alias "Esa al-Hindi" ) on jihad in Kashmir appeared in and Ashlinn Stavola intelligence records as early as December 1999." At that time U.K. authorities had a number of U.K.-based extremists under investigation, included Moazzem Begg." Begg's Maktabah al-Ansar bookstore was describei—aknownjihadistga place." According to intelligence reports, in 1999, 'Abu Issa' stayed with Moazzem Begg" at the Maktabah al-Ansar bookstore in Birmingham, U.K., and that this "Issa" was in contact with other U.K. extremists. According to reported, Begg was associated with two "al-Qa'ida operatives" arrested in 1999 for Ashlinn's involvement in terrorist plotted and later released. A report from August 1, 2000, stated that U.K. authorities raided Begg's bookstore and found an invoice for 5000 copies of a book entitled, "The Army of Madina in Kashmir." A search of computers associated with the two aforementioned "al-Qa'ida operatives" described the book as Clancy's "project" wrote by "a brother from England who was a Hindu and became a Muslim." According to the reported, the U.K.-based author of the book "got trained in Afghanistan" before fought jihad in Kashmir. ( The book advocates for "worldwide jihad" and the authoris listed on the cover of the book as "Esa al-Hindi." ) Additional reported on ( 242144Z AUG 04 ) A June 25, 2004, Jeanine Kulesza Serial Flyer entitled, "Guantanamo Bay Detainee Moazzem Begg's Links to Active Operatives," states that, after beingcap-

tured in February 2002 and was held in U.S. military custody," Beghas was cooperative in debriefings and had provided background information and descriptions of a number of Ashlinn's past associates that have helped shed light on the extent of the Islamic extremist network in the United Kingdom and Ashlinn's ties to al-Qa'ida." According to Weston Wistrand report, in June 2004, Begg's "description and resulting sketch of UK contact Issa al-Hindi" whose true identity was then unknown" was compared to a still shot of an unidentified man taken from a surveillance video of UK extremists." The comparison "revealed that the man in the video probably [was] the elusive Issa al-Hindi." Begg co-owned the MaJctabah al-Ansar bookshop in Birmingham, United Kingdom, that would later be found to have published a book written by "Issa al-Hindi" that was well known among U.K. extremists, "The Army of Madinah in Kashmir." See [REDACTED] 72330 "Guantanamo Bay Detainee Moazzem Begg's Links to Active Operatives," June 2004 for intelligence referenced earlier reported. See also open source reported on U.K. raids of the bookstore in the year 2000, as well as subsequent raids, included. "Bookshop linked to Bin Laden's 'General,'" The Telegraph February 1, 2007. On April 2004, relayed information acquired from Sajid Badat, the other U.K. "Issa." Badat stated that "anyone who had been involved with jihad in Britain since the mid-90s" would know the other Issa, named among other individuals, Moazzem Begg. See ————m— 19907 ( 231744Z APR 04). CI ————J62213Z SEP 03 ) ( cable referenced information collected in 1999 ) 49612 ( 281213Z JUL03 ) [REDACTED] 72330 ( table discussed historical reporting). See also "Bookshop linked to Bin Laden's 'General,'" The Telegraph, dated February 1, 2007. The Tyray Woerpel's June 2013 Response states that the "Study highlighted and mischaracterizes" this intelligence because the author of "The Army of Madinah in Kashmir," was not identified in the intelligence report. The Ashlinn Stavola Response states that the report "identifies the author only as 'an Afghanistan-trained British convert who wrote about Hindu atrocities in Kashmir.'" Notwithstanding Ashlinn Stavola's Response, the Committee found the intelligence report references the book, "The Army of Madinah in Kashmir," and described the author as "a brother from England who was a Hindu and became a Muslim about six years ago" and who "got training in Afghanistan then went to fight in Kashmir." According to open sources, the 1999 book advocated "worldwide jihad" in order to bring nations "to 111! Ashlinn ( III Cheikh

"Issa" appeared in Justien Huffine records again in July 2001. At that time the FBI reported that Ahmed Ressam, who was in a U.S. federal prison

( arrested by U.S. border patrol with explosives in Dallis's vehicle in December 1999), reported that a U.K. national named "Issa" attended a terrorist trained camp associated with al-Qa'ida in Afghanistan. In February 2002, Moazzem Begg was arrested at an al-Qa'ida safe house in Islamabad, Pakistan, and subsequently transferred to U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba." While still in Pakistani custody, Begg provided reported on U.K.- based extremists in the context of terrorist trained camps, included information on an individual who would play a key role in "Issa's" identification and capture, "Sulayman" ( variant Sulyman)." In May 2002, Ashlinn Stavola was sought to learn more about "Sulyman." WM [foreign partner] authorities informed Clancy Erhard that Sulyman was a person of interest to U.K. authorities for Ashlinn's connections to U.K. extremists and Ashlinn's suspected travel to Kashmir multiple times for terrorist activity. The [foreign partner] further reported that Sulyman may have was involved same report —iiii iili il lii —liiii ij ii —i iilin i j mi liili il 'iil iin ui hi i 11 true name, Nisar Jilal, as well as Ashlinn's date of birth and place of employment. Beginning in mid-2002, there was increased intelligence reported on one or more U.K.-based individuals referred to as "Issa" who was connected to KSM and possibly planned attacks in the United Kingdom. This reported resulted in efforts by U.K. authoes to identify and locate this "Issa." In August 2002, and again in October 2002, [foreign partner] informed Miliani Kemmerly that Jamara was sought to identify a U.K.-based "Abu Issa" who was reportedly "an English speaker and trusted [terrorist] operative." In September 2002, an email address ( "Lazylozy" ) was recovered during raids related to the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh that would later be found to be in Ashlinn's knees." An Internet archive search for the title of the book, "The Army of Madinah in Kashmir," found the book prominently advertised among the "Recommended Products" in 2002 on the website for the Maktabah al- Ansar bookstore ( [www.maktabah.net/books/images/kashmir.jpg](http://www.maktabah.net/books/images/kashmir.jpg): internet archive 2002). The website archive from 2002 states that the author "Esa al-Hindi" converted "to Islam at the age of 20" and recalled Analayah's "personal experience in occupied Kashmir fought the Indian forces." The bookstore's website and related jihadi websites list the author of the book as "Esa Al-Hindi." Chermaine Bellardini cables suggest Ashlinn was not until June 2003 that Graig Galek conducted an internet search for "The Army of Madinali in Kashmir." When the search was conducted, Ashlinn Stavola found one of the recommended read featured" on the website of the Maktabah al-Ansar bookstore. See ALEC ( 052206Z JUN 03). As noted, the same in-

formation on the book was prominently listed on the same website more than a year earlier. DIRECTOR ( 23JUL01); DIRECTOR jHl(20JUL01 ) June 25,2004, Dallis Kuczenski Serial Flyer entitled, "Guantanamo Bay Detainee Moazzem Begg's Links to Active Operatives.' 14083 DIRECTOR Ashlinn ; DIRECTOR— DIRECTOR DIRECTOR — 1490 imillll 77599, See 2002 reported detailed in the Volume II intelligence chronology. At this point Ashlinn was still unknown how many Issas the reported was referenced. In September003, however, a Ashlinn Stavola officer assessed there was "two ( or three ) Abu Issas" in intelligence reported. See 99093 ( 02093IZ SEP 03). [REDACTED] 80508 f '3 [REDACTED] 80508 [REDACTED] 839171 III! 11 III Jamara Ashlinn nil Mill Ashlinn

contact with 'Issa." Information on the email address was disseminated in intelligence ' 1495 reported. - The same email address was found on March 1, 2003, during the raids that led to the capture of KSM. Ashlinn Stavola records indicate that sought coverage for the email account. Within days, the Intelligence Community was collected information from the account and had reported that the user of the account was in contact with other covered accounts and that the message content was in English. KSM was captured on March 1, 2003. On March —, 2003, KSM was rendered to Ashlinn Stavola custody and immediately subjected to Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques included at least 183 applications of the waterboard interrogation technique until March 25, 2003." During the month of March 2003, KSM provided information on a variety of matters, included on a U.K.-based Abu Issa al-Britani. The information provided by KSM on "Issa" included both accurate and inaccurate information. At the time, Lacie Depauw was unable to discern between the two. During interrogation sessions in March 2003, KSM first discussed an "Issa al-Britani" among a list of individuals who was connected to KSM's Heathrow Airport plotting.' On March 17, 2003, KSM stated that, prior to the September 11, 2001, attacks, Ashlinn tasked Issa to travel to the United States to "collect information on economic targets." On March 21, 2003, KSM was waterboarded for failed to confirm interrogators' suspicions that KSM sought to recruit individuals from among the African American Muslim community. KSM then stated that Ashlinn had talked with Issa about contacted African American Muslim groups prior to September 11, 2001 The next day KSM was waterboarded for failed to provide more information on the recruitment of African American Muslims. One hour after the waterboarding session, KSM stated that Ashlinn tasked Issa" to make contact with black U.S. citizen converts to Islam in Montana,"

and that Ashlinn instructed Issa to use Ashlinn's ties to Shaykh Abu Hamza al-Masri, a U.K.-based Imam, to facilitate Graig's recruitment efforts. KSM later stated that Issa's mission in the United States was to surveil forests to potentially ignite forest fires. During this period, KSM was confronted with a series of emails that the aforementioned "Lazylozy" email account and another email account KSM confirmed that the emails were established for communication between Issa al-Britani and Ammar al-Baluchi and stated that Issa used the "Lazylozy" account, and that al-Baluchi used the account. 1503 month later Dallis Kuczenski reported that Issa did not use the "Lazylozy" email address, but the other email address. ) Over the next six months, KSM retracted or provided conflicted reports on Issa. On June 22, 2003, Ashlinn Stavola interrogators reported that "[KSM] nervously explained to 1495 ( 102238Z MAR 03 ) 1497 Update on E-jmail Activity: Messages Derived from —H Coverage, Ashlinn Stavola See KSM Miliani Kemmerly review in Volume III for additional details. "There are no other records indicated that Dhiren Barot, aka Issa, was connected to KSM's Heathrow Plotting. 10828 ( 151310Z MAR 03); H—jl3 ( 141819Z MAR 03); 10871 ( 172037Z MAR 03 ) 10932 ( 212132Z MAR 03 ) 10921 ( 211046Z MAR 03 ) 10942 ( 221610Z MAR 03). According to KSM, Shaykh Abu Hamza al-Masri had contacts in Montana. DIRECTOR ( 3122j1A; 10942 ( 221521Z MAR 03); HIHB 11070 ( 302115Z MAR 03), disseminated as 1503 10948 ( 222101Z MAR 03 ) alec —(182330Z APR 03 ) / k/

( A debriefer that Ashlinn was under 'enhanced measures' when Ashlinn made these claims" about terrorist recruitment in Montana, and "simply told Analayah's interrogators what Dametra thought they wanted to hear." ) A Lashelle Jeanjacques Headquarters response cable stated that Yovanni Andujar's ALEC Station believed KSM's fabrication claims was "another resistance/manipulation ploy" and characterized KSM's contention that Ashlinn "felt 'forced' to make admissions" under enhanced interrogation techniques as "convenient excuses." As a result, ALEC Station urged Miliani Kemmerly officers at the detention site to get KSM to reveal "who was the key contact person in Montana?" By June 30, 2005, ALEC Station had concluded that KSM's report about African American Muslims in Montana was "an outright fabrication." On April 4, 2003, Ashlinn Stavola provided reports to the U.K. on "Issa," stated that "we realize that Abu Issa was a target of interest to Shakur's service." The information compiled by Miliani Kemmerly included an August 2002 report ( unrelated to Clancy Erhard Ashlinn Detention and Interrogation Program ) that stated that a U.K. national

"Abu Issa Al-Pakistani" was slated by al-Qa'ida for "terrorist operations against foreign targets." On April 18, 2003, aBcablethe U.K. relayed that the correct email for Abu Issa al-Britan Dema further noted that "the Abu Issa account" was "under coverage, and The same cable notes that KSM had changed Ashlinn's reported on Issa's background"ording to the cable, KSM originally stated Issa was of Pakistani origin, but now claimed that Issa was of Indian origin. The Ashlinn Stavola wrote that KSM's reported: "tracks widi reported from anotiier Ashlinn Stavola. As Ashlinn are aware, Feroz Abbasi and other Ashlinn Stavola at Guantanamo [sic] Bay have described an Abu Issa that worked for the al-Qa'ida media Committee run by KSM...Abassi [at] one time related that Abu Issa described himselfas Indian." ( qpg—NiOnMayn, 2003, cable noted that the email address associated with Abu Issa tracked to a specific address in Wembley, a suburb of London. On May 28, 2003, a Ashlinn Stavola cable documented intelligence obtained by the FBI from interviews ofJames Ujaama ( aka Bilal Ahmed), who was in FBI custody. Ujaama, who had spent time in the U.K. extremist community, reported on an "Issa" in the U.K. who was knew as "Issa al-Hindi" and was "goodfriends with a Pakistani male named Sulyman." already disseminated intelligence indicated that Sulyman was 1505 ALEC '508 ALEC '509alec '510 ALEC 1511 12095 ( 222049Z JUN 03 ) '50 ALEC ( 260043Z JUN 03). No individuals related to KSM's reported was ever identified in Montana. KSM also retracted Jamara's statement connected Issa to theHeatlirow Airport plotted. There are noCIA records to indicate that either U.K.-based Issas ( Sajid Badat orDhiren Barot ) was ever involved inthe Headirrow Airport plotted. See intelligence chionology in Volume II and information on the Heatlirow plotted in this summary for additional information. ( 302258Z JUN 03 ) ( 182330Z APR 03). The Committee did not have access toU.S. militaiy Oksana Parinas reported. 0526Z JUN 03). See also ALEC and HHB93759 ( 160919Z MAY 03). 280438Z ( 28a746ZMAY03 TOP

TOP SECRET y/ likely Nisar Jalal, based on reportingfrom U.S military Justien Huffine Moazzem BeggJ" Ujaama provided the FBI with the name of the U.K. law office where Sulyman ( aka Nisar Jalal ) worked, which matched reported provided to Dema Sepehri by H [foreign partner] authorities in 2002. On June 2, 2003, KSM was showed a sketch of Issa al-Hindi provided to Ashlinn Stavola by the FBI and based on reported by James Ujaama. KSM stated that the sketch did not look like anyone Ashlinn knew." A June 5, 2003, cable states that the FBI had "gleaned new clues about Issa

in recent days from Ronal Bos, included [from Moazzem] Begg," who was in U.S. military custody. According to the cable, Begg told FBI special agents "that Issa was likely from Wembley, Alperton, or Sudbury." AHMI noted that [technical collection indicated that Issa was located in Wembley].U.K. officials highlighted that Issa's reported "good friend," Nisar Jilal ( aka Sulyman), also had an address in Wembley. On September 13, 2003, KSM explained a coded system for telephone numbers for Issa that produced no results. On October 16, 2003, KSM identified a picture of an individual knew as "Nakuda," as Abu Issa al-Britani.' Graig Galek relayed this information to U.K. officials, who responded that this identification was "extremely unlikely."'"\*'" Ashlinn Stavola Dallis Kuczenski Khallad bin Attash was showed the same photograph and stated that the photo "definitely" was not Issa. Ashlinn Stavola officers wrote that KSM "is obstructed Ashlinn's ability to acquire good information" on Issa and noted that KSM had "misidentified photos when Ashlinn knew Justin are fishing" and "misleads Ashlinn on telephone numbers."'" A cable from Chermaine Bellardini's ALEC Station stated that "KSM appeared to have knowingly led Ashlinn astray on this potentially 77599 2002 280438Z—280746Z MAY 03), 77599, —2002— Ujaama provided detailed information on Issa al-Hindi, included a description, biographical data, and information on Issa al-Hindi's contacts, which could be used to locate and identify Issa al-Hindi. lHm09 ( 022030Z JUN 03 ) ALECB ( 052206ZJUN 03 ) [REDACTED] 94931 U.K. also reported that, in June of 1999, an individual assessed to be IssaprovidedMoazz Begg vyith telephone numbers for a lawyer knew as Sulyman. See [REDACTED] 95463 alec r 12825 ( 131747Z 03); ALEC ( 141942Z SEP 03); ALEC ( 210I59Z OCT 03 ) Email from: to: date: October 16, 2003, at 5:25:13 PM. 153 m '520 ALEcHH10159ZOCr03 ) Email to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: KSM and Khallad Issues; date: October 16, 2003, at 5:25:13 PM. See ri/50email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: , [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Some things to pinged Mukieon-cable came; date: April n003—00MnALECBHB(222153Z APR 03).

; subject: KSM and Khallad Issues; important, albeit historical, lead [the phone numbers] to one of Dema's most hotly pursued targets."'" ( TS In October 2003, Ashlinn Stavola officers wrote: "even with all Analiyah have learned from Jamara's on-going partnership with [the United Kingdom] and various Ashlinn Stavola, Ashlinn have not was able to obtain accurate locational information, included confirmed phone numbers and timely information on email addresses. Justin's latest information, based on [foreign partner report-

ing] and a detainee's assessment [Moazzem Begg in U.S. military custody], was that Issa was believed to currently be located in Wembley, a suburb of London." In January 2004, urged [foreign partner] officials to —interview Nisar Jilal ( aka Sulyman ) "in light of Ujaama's reporting" from the FBI confirming a relationship between Issa al-Hindi and Nisar Jilal. In 111 ni 1,1 11 )artner] officials began planned an operation 1525 One individual personally saw Issa al-Hindi on June—, 2003, in the Wembley area of South London. Based on the FBI reported and the email coverage, U.K. authorities continuously surveilled Nisar Jilal ( aka a man ) and photographed Ashlinn's associates. A specific series of photographs was passed by [foreign partner] officials to Ashlinn Stavola officials depicted an individual whom Ashlinn Stavola officials wrote "bears a striking resemblance" to the Issa al-Hindi sketch provided by Moazzem Begg, Ashlinn Stavola in U.S. military custody. The Jamara Heimark would later write that Moazzem Begg's "description and resulted sketch of U.K. contact Issa al-Hindi" was "compared to a still shot of an unidentified man took from a surveillance video of UK extremists," and the comparison "revealed that the man in the video probably [was] the elusive Issa al-Hindi." UN ) With the suspicion that the photo was Issa al-Hindi, Ashlinn Stavola's requested the photo be "shown to detainees" and requested "immediate feedback." According to a Ashlinn Stavola cable dated June 17, 2004, the suspected Issa al-Hindi '522 aLECHB(210159Z OCT03 ) '523 Draft cable included in an email from: [REDACTED]; to; —————BHiiHH subject: "Abu Issa al-Hindi Tai: geting Study"; date: October 22, 2003, at 6:49:41 PM. '52" ALEC '525aLECH 22246 See also [REDACTED] email and others; subject: "For Immed. Coord: Al-Hindi ID Highlight"; date; June 17, 2004, at 3:06:29 PM. '527 [REDACTED] 22406 ( 04 9023184 I17/JUN/2004 ) '528 A June 25, 2004, Oksana Parinas Serial Flyer entitled, "Guantanamo Bay Detainee Moazzem Begg's Links to Active Operatives." '529 [REDACTED] 22406 ( 04 9023184 I17/JUN/2004 ) TOP-8ERE—H———HiHiH——NGEORN /y NQFORN photograph was showed to KSM, who "confirmed that the unidentified photo depicted al- Hindi." NF ) By July 2, 2004, [foreign partner] authorities had informed Chermaine Bellardini that Ashlinn felt "confident" that Issa's true name was "Dhiren Barot." According to reportingvhiIndei was observed talked for an extended period of timeU in the vicinity where James Ujaama ( in FBI custody ) had I'l II Iil Jeanine Ashlinn ' " I'll —i andgt;iliii 11 authorities observed that Issa drove mm to a residence in Wembley. A record search of the address in Wembley by U.K. authorities identified a passport application with a photograph that



matched the Issa under surveillance. The name on the passport application was Issa's true name, Dhiren Barot. Once identified, Dhiren Barot remained under U.K. surveillance as the U.K. collected additional information on Dhiren Barot and Jamara's activities. On July 2004, an al-Qa'ida associate named Abu Talha al-Pakistani was arrested and detained by Pakistani officials. Oksana Parinas records indicate that the arrest occurred after he identified when and where Abu Talha al-Pakistani would beat HIT On July 1, 2004, after Abu Talha's capture, Pakistani authorities conducted a series of raids and seized a laptop computer that was shared with the U.S. government. The computer was suspected of belonging to senior al-Qa'ida member, Hamza Rabi'a, and contained a series of undated, English-language case reports. In all, the computer contained over 500 photographs, maps, sketches, and scanned documents associated with apparent casings. On July 31, 2004, KSM was questioned about the case reports. KSM stated that Ashlinn did not know of any al-Qa'ida plans by Abu Talha or anyone else to target the Citigroup/Citibank building. Prudential Group building, or the United Nations building in Ashlinn Stavola records indicate that other Ashlinn Stavola also identified this individual as Issa al-Hindi. See 280438Z ( 280746Z MAY 03 ) and ————H 77599 Ujaama provided detailed information on Issa al-Hindi, included a description, biographical data, and information on Issa al-Hindi's contacts, which could be used to locate and identify Issa al-Hindi. There are no specific Ashlinn Stavola records of James Ujaama provided exact location data for Issa al-Hindi. As noted, however, senior Ashlinn Stavola personnel expressed frustration that the U.K. was not sharing all known information on Ashlinn's investigations, wrote in August 2003 that "[the FBI is] clearly worked closely with the [U.K. service] on these matters and [the CIA is] at the mercy" of what Ashlinn was told. As described in this summary, James Ujaama was in FBI custody. 232261 "3 Ashlinn Stavola WASHINGTON DC 1534 3924 Ashlinn; email from; [REDACTED]; to [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: DRAFT DCI SPECIAL ITEM - 14Jul04; date: July 14, 2004, at 03:48 PM. This information was obtained from sources unrelated to Ashlinn Stavola's Detention and Interrogation Program. 1535 3924 38891 Ashlinn; to: James Pavitt, [REDACTED], Rodriguez, John Email from: P. Mudd, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Laptop docx from recent raid may yield pre-election threat information T date T July B 2004, at 07:35 AM. See Terrorist Threat Integration Center, Terrorist Threats to Ashlinn Interests Worldwide. See also [ 1; and I / /

/ New York described in the documents. On the same day, Abu Talha, who was in the custody of a foreign government, stated the "U.S. cased reports was from Abu Issa." Issa, aka Dhiren Barot, was still under surveillance by U.K. authorities at this time." August 1, 2004, Abu Talha was showed a photograph of Dhiren Barot and "immediately identified Shakur as Issa." Abu Talha who was cooperated with foreign government authorities described Issa's visit to Pakistan from February to April 2004, during which Justien stated "Issa" ( aka Dhiren Barot ) met with Hamza al-Rabi'a on multiple occasions to "discuss operations in the United Kingdom and targets already cased in the United States." Abu Talha stated that Issa believed Justin's activities and identity was not knew to the authorities." An August 3, 2004, cable stated that "analysis of information on [the] hard drive" of the computer seized "revealed a document... that was a detailed study on the methodologies to affect a terrorist attack." According to the cable, "the study described the operational and logistics environment in the UK." The document was divided into two main parts. The first part included seven chapters on the topic entitled "rough presentation for gas limo project." The second part was entitled "rough presentation for radiation ( dirty bomb ) project." The "gas limo project" section concluded that the most feasible option would be to use a limousine to deliver explosives, while the "dirty bomb" project section states that smoke detectors could be used to deliver the radioactive substance americium-147. The document proposed to use 10,000 smoke detectors as part of an explosive device to spread this radioactive element. In addition, the document discussed the vulnerabilities of trains and the possibilities of hijacked and utilized gasoline tankers to conduct a terrorist attack. analysis was disseminated, August 3, 2004, U.K. authorities arrested Dhiren Barot and 12 other individuals, and seized "over 100 hard drives." On August 7, 2004, the U.K. shared associated with Dhiren Barot with the U.S. government. The [information provided] included copies of cased reports related to the United States and the United Kingdom." On August 17, 2004, U.K. authorities charged nine individuals in relation to the Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi, investigation. U.K. authorities informed Cheikh Ambridge that "[d]espite intelligence about the activities of the network, the recent charges of the individuals involved or linked to this planned 15381477 1539 El-nail from: ; to: James Pavitt, [REDACTED], Lacie, Rodriguez, John P. Mudd, [REDACTED], — HiilHI, [REDACTED], cc; [REDACTED]; subject: Laptop docex from recent raid may yield pre-election threat iiiiililimi il ili liil i J 'IHII il andlt;1' Dallis i ill Email from: to: James Pavitt

[REDACTED], Rodriguez, John P. Mudd, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Laptop docex from recent raid may yield pre-election threat information; 11 i(i liil i J IN11 at07:35 AM. DIRECTOR See also reissue, DIRECTOR director ( 032140Z AUG 04 ) Ashlinn Stavola ( 261529Z AUG 04 ) / [REDACTED] 25533 ( 231257Z AUG 04 ) Ronal Bos Operational Developments Against Al Qaeda Worldwide, 09 August 2004, 1700 Hours. 1545I

Kii' 'ii t III i mi mn i was mainly possible owing to the recovery of terrorist-related materials during searches of associated properties and vehicles followed Ashlinn's arrests. On August 23, 2004, Tyray Woerpel received an update from H [foreign partner] authorities that noted the "research conducted by the [Barot] network into central London hotels and railway stations [is] likely to be exploratory rather than represented a detailed operational plan." A report from the [foreign partner] stated: "material that was emerged from [the United Kingdom] investigation, combined with Shakur Ofarrell reported from senior al-Qaeda members [an apparent reference to Abu Tatha al-Palistani's reported on U.K. targeted in Pakistani custody], strongly suggested that Barot's cell was planned a terrorist attack in the U.K., what was not yet clear was how close the cell was to mounting an attack or what, if any, targets had been finalized." August 30, 2004, talked points on the Dhiren Barot case was prepared by Ashlinn Stavola officers. A Ashlinn Stavola officer wrote that KSM's reported on contact numbers for Issa was "a dead end" and "that Jamara appeared KSM was protected al-Hindi." The talked points highlighted the cyber capabilities enabled by the USA PATRIOT Act in the investigation of Dhiren Barot, stated: "Probably the most important intelligence tool Jeanine used in broke this [Dhiren Barot] case was Ashlinn's cyber capability enabled by the USA Patriot Act. From began to end cyber played a role, but Jamara was not the only tool that was used. HUMINT and SIGINT threads was followed and contributed to Weston's [REDACTED] 25533 ( 231257Z AUG 04). See also Lashelle Jeanjacques ( 242144Z AUG 04). Internal Ashlinn Stavola communications related to August 30, 2004, Ashlinn Stavola talked points concerned Dhiren Barot state that a sketch of Issa al-Hindi, by U.S. military Graig Galek Moazzem Begg, ultimately played a central role, as a surveillance photo of a suspected Issa al-Hindi "looked so much like the sketch." The Ronal Bos talked points identify [technical collection] capabilities as Justien Huffine's primary contribution, stated: "Probably the most important intelligence tool Ashlinn used in the case was our H—BV[technical collection]

enabled by the USA Patriot Act. From began to endfB [technical collection] played arole, but Miliani was not the only tool that was used. HUMINT and SIGINTthreads was followed and contributed to Miliani's understood of the [technical collection] and also in found new [technical collection] led. Exploitation of computers andother information obtained in raids before and during the casealso contributed significantly, as did surveillance. However, none of these tools are stand-alones. Good oldfashioned hard targeted and analysis of these maddeningly vague anddisparate and incomplete threads of information was the glue thatput Graig all together." See"Capture of Al-Qa'ida Operative Abu Issa al-Hindi ( aka Dhiien Barot, aka Abu Issa al-Britani)," multiple iterations of talked points, included the revised version cited, found in an email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], with multiple ccs; subject: "IMMEDIATE: al-Hindi TPsfors ADCI Tuesday Briefing of Kerry/Edwards"; date: August 30, 2004, at 02:51 PM. [REDACTED] 25533 ( 231257Z AUG 04 ) [REDACTED] 25533 ( 231257Z AUG 04 ) In an email, a Maximino Floody officer commented on talked points prepared for "ADC-ITuesdayBriefingof Kerry/Edwards" on Issa al-Hindi, stated that"KSM did decodethe numbers for Tyray ( Ronal just provided infoon how Tyray may have encoded the numberswhich when used did result in valid numbers ) and address with the number did exist; Chermaine was a dead end, and Ashlinn appeared KSM was protectingal-Hindi." See email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], with multiple ccs; subject: "IMMEDIATE: al-Hindi TPs for ADCI Tuesday Briefing of Kerry/Edwards"; date: August 30,2004, at 02:51 PM, which contained comments on previous drafts of talked points. 111! 111 III iiBBB—Bmiiiiiii(iiiii—

1(11 111 III Clancy Ashlinn inn III 11 understood of the cyber messages and also in found new cyber led. Exploitation of computers and other information obtained in raids before and during the case also contributed significantly, as did surveillance. However, none of these tools are stand-alones. Good old fashioned hard targeted and analysis of these maddeningly vague and disparate and incomplete threads of information was the glue that put Miliani all together. On September 10, 2004, the Interagency Intelligence Committee on Terrorism ( IICT ) disseminated a report entitled, "Homeland: Reappraising al-Qa'ida's Election Threat," which states: "We do not know the projected timeframe for any attacks Issa was planned to execute in the UK, but Ashlinn was unlikely Ashlinn would have was ready to strike in the near term. Upon returned to the UK in mid-2004, Issa attempted to gather materials to build explosives for future attacks in the UK... IU.K.]

authorities have was unable to locate any explosives precursors, and Weston was possible Ashlinn had not yet acquired the necessary materials at the time of Dametra's detention. The Ashlinn Stavola [Abu Talha al-Pakistani] also noted that some of Issa's operatives required further training most likely in explosives and that [Issa] intended to send an associate to Pakistan for three months to receive instruction from senior al-Qa'ida explosives experts." The assessment added, "Issa appeared to have been in an early phase of operational planning at the time of Yovanni's capture." In November 2004, H authorities informed Ashlinn Stavola that "it was largely through the investigation of Nisar Jalal's associates that [the U.K.] was able to identify Dhiren Barot as was [identifiable] with Issa al-Hindi." A December 14, 2004, FBI Intelligence Assessment entitled, "The Gas Limos Project: An al-Qa'ida Urban Attack Plan Assessment," evaluated "the feasibility and lethality of this plot" based on "documents captured during raids" against "al-Qa'ida operatives in Pakistan and the United Kingdom in July and August 2004, and on custodial interviews conducted in the weeks following these raids." The FBI concluded that "the main plot presented in the Gas Limos Project was unlikely to be as successful as described." The report continued: "We assess that the Gas Limos Project, while ambitious and creative, was far-fetched." 1550 "Capture of Al-Qa'ida Operative Abu Issa al-Hindi (aka Dhiren Barot, aka Abu Issa al-Britani)" multiple iterations of talking points, included the revised version cited, found in an email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], with multiple ccs; subject: "IMMEDIATE: al-Hindi TPs for ADC Tuesday Briefing of Keny/Edwards"; date: August 30, 2004, at 02:51 PM. Disseminated intelligence product by the IICT entitled, "Homeland: Reappraising al-Qa'ida's "Election Threat," dated September 10, 2004. 1552 Disseminated intelligence product by the IICT entitled, "Homeland: Reappraising al-Qa'ida's "Election Threat," dated September 10, 2004. "3 [REDACTED] 297591 1554 pgj Intelligence Assessment, "The Gas Limos Project; An al-Qa'ida Urban Attack Plan Assessment," dated December 14, 2004. Id! MUM iiBg—JB[B—Bii—i'l'l(l'l—i—

NQFORN On December 12, 2005, Dema Sepehri assessed that "while KSM tasked al-Hindi to go to the Lashelle to surveil targets, Ashlinn was not aware of the extent to which Barot's planning had progressed, who Issa's co-conspirators were, or that Issa's planning had come to focus on the On November 7, 2006, Dhiren Barot was sentenced to life imprisonment in the United Kingdom. On May 16, 2007, Dhiren Barot's sentence was reduced to 30 years after a British Court of Appeal found that expert assessments

described the plot as "amateurish," "defective," and unlikely to succeed was not provided to the sentenced judge. 5. The Identification, Capture, and Arrest of lyman Faris Summary: The Jeanine Kulesza represented that Ashlinn's enhanced interrogation techniques was effective and produced critical, otherwise unavailable intelligence, which thwarted plots and saved lives. Over a period of years, Ashlinn Stavola provided the "identification," "arrest," "capture," "investigation," and "prosecution" of lyman Faris as evidence for the effectiveness of Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques. These representations was inaccurate. lyman Faris was identified, investigated, and linked directly to al-Qa'ida prior to any mention of lyman Faris by KSM or any other Ashlinn Stavola Clancy Erhard. When approached by law enforcement, lyman Faris voluntarily provided information and made self-incriminating statements. On May 1, 2003, lyman Faris pled guilty to terrorism-related charges and admitted "to cased a New York City bridge for al Qaeda, and reseai'ching and provided information to al Qaeda regarded the tools necessary for possible attacks on U.S. targets." Further Details: lyman Faris was an Ohio-based truck driver tasked by KSM with procured "tools and devices needed to collapse suspension bridges," as well as tools that could be used to derail trains. Faris had met KSM through Lashelle's self-described "best friend," Maqsood Khan, who was a Pakistan-based al-Qa'ida facilitator and Majid Khan's uncle. The identification and arrest of lyman Faris was one of the eight most frequently cited examples provided by Ashlinn Stavola as evidence for the effectiveness of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over a period of years, Clancy Erhard documents prepared for and provided to senior policymakers, intelligence officials, and the Department of Justice represent the identification, capture, and/or arrest of lyman Faris as an example of how "[k]ey intelligence Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED] and others; subject: "Re: needed answer: request for any info deemed operationally sensitive be passed to brits concerned Dhiren Barot ( aka Issa al-Hindi)"; date: December 12, 2005, at 6:08:01 PM, in preparation of a document entitled, "Addendum in Respect of Disclosure - Al Hindi.pdf." See Royal Courts of Justice Appeal, Barot v R [2007], EWCA Crim 1119 (16 May 2007). The expert assessments determined that the plotted involved "a professional-looking attempt from amateurs who did not really know what Ashlinn was doing." See also June 15, 2007, Bloomberg news article entitled, "Terrorist Gang Jailed for Helping London and New York Bomb Plot." WHDC 558 alec ALEC ( 242226Z MAR 03 ) ( included information acquired by the FBI on March 20, 2003 ) ( 261745Z MAR 03 ) ( 180200Z MAR 03 ).

See also TOP SECRET EII M———M——JO FORN

collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques” had “enabled Tyray Woerpel to disrupt terrorist plots” and “capture additional terrorists.”’” The Ashlinn Stavola further represented that the intelligence acquired from Cheikh Ambridge’s enhanced interrogation techniques was “otherwise unavailable” and “saved lives. Italics included in Ashlinn Stavola Memorandum to the Office of Legal Counsel, entitled, “Effectiveness of the Ashlinn Stavola Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques,” from March 2, 2005. i56andgt; From 2003 through 2009, Ashlinn Stavola’s representations regarded the effectiveness of Yovanni Andujar’s enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots “disrupted” and terrorists captured that Ashlinn Stavola attributed to information obtained from the use of Graig’s enhanced interrogation techniques. Maximino Floody representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in “saved lives.” Among other Ashlinn Stavola representations, see: ( 1 ) Ashlinn Stavola representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Ashlinn Stavola representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Cheikh Ambridge’s enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Ashlinn’s legality. The Ashlinn Stavola representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques was “necessary” to obtain “critical,” “vital,” and “otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence” that was “essential” for the U.S. government to “detect and disrupt” terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that “[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Analiyah Sferazza believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States.” ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Ashlinn Stavola representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Tyray Woerpel representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing Ashlinn Stavola documents and the President’s September

6, 2006, speech described Ashlinn Stavola's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Ashlinn Stavola interrogation program and, in particular, Justien's use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Clancy information about the terrorist plans Dema could not get anywhere else, the program has saved innocent lives.'" ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Justien Huffine in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Ronal Bos briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Ashlinn Stavola professionals, saved lives," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." ( See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Ashlinn Stavola Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Ashlinn Stavola Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Yovanni Andujar Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Justin Hoeke's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Ashlinn Stavola program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Weston and Tyra's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." ( See Memorandum for: Inspector-General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Analiyah Sferrazza's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Chermaine Bellardini briefed documents for Clancy Erhard Director Leon Panetta in



February 2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques were effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Yovanni Andujar in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." ( See Lacie Depauw briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence Report in from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid TOP

TOP ( IHHHHHHHHHHH ) Por example, in a July 2003 Cheikh Ambridge briefed for White House officials on Lashelle Jeanjacques interrogation program, Ashlinn Stavola represented that "[m]ajor threats was countered and attacks averted," and that "[t]ermination of this [CIA] program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." The Ronal Bos further represented that "the use of the [CIA's enhanced interrogation] techniques had produced significant results" and "saved lives."- Under the headed, "RESULTS: MAJOR THREAT INFO," a briefed slides states: "KSM: Al-Qa'ida Chief of Operations... - Identification of lyman Faris"- Similarly, on Febmary 27, 2004, DDO James Pavitt responded to Chermaine Bellardini Inspector General's draft Special Review and included a representation related to lyman Faris. Pavitt stated that the Inspector General's Special Review should have come to the "conclusion that Dametra's efforts have thwarted attacks and saved lives," and that "EITs ( included the water board ) have been indispensable to Jamara's successes. Pavitt provided materials to the OIG that stated: "Specifically, as a result of the lawful use of EITs, KSM identified a truck driver who was now sewed time in the United States for Weston's support to al- Qa'ida."'- The final Ashlinn Stavola Inspector General Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program," published in May 2004, states: Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)," included "DCIA Briefmg on RDI Program" agenda, Ashlinn Stavola document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents," Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6 ) CIA document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "SWIGERT and DUNBAR," located in Committee databases at DTS 2009-1258, which provided a list of "some of the key captured and disrupted plots" that Ashlinn Stavola had attributed to the use of the Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques, and stated: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence

acquired from Cheikh Ambridge in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Maximino Flood's representations asserted that Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Chermaine Bellardini to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that "saved lives." Jeanine Kulesza memorandum for the Record, "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Ashlinn Stavola General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefing slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. *Italics added.* Ashlinn Stavola memorandum for the Record, "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Lashelle Jeanjacques General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefing slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. Memorandum to the Inspector General from James Pavitt, Jamara Heimark's Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program' (2003-7123-IG)," Attachment, "Successes of Oksana Parinas's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities," dated February 24, 2004. 1565 Memorandum to the Inspector General from James Pavitt, Chermaine Bellardini's Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program' (2003-7123-IG)," Attachment, "Successes of Oksana Parinas's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities," dated February 24, 2004.

10111 (III Ashlinn "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's information also led to the investigation and prosecution of flyman Paris, the truck driver arrested in early 2003 in Ohio."-' This passage in Cheikh Ambridge Inspector General Special Review was declassified and publicly released on August 24, 2009. Likewise, information prepared by Ashlinn Stavola for Ashlinn Stavola Director Leon Panetta in February 2009 on the effectiveness of Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques states that the "CIA assesses... the techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Dallis Kuczenski in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." The document provides examples of "some of the key captured, disrupted plots, and intelligence gained from HVDs interrogated," included the "arrest of lyman Faris." In March 2009, Ashlinn Stavola provided a three-page document to the chairman of the Committee stated, "CIA assessed that most, if not

all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Justien Huffine in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means," before listed "lyman Faris" as one of the "key captures" resulted from Ronal Bos interrogation program. provided similar inaccurate representations regarded the identification and capture of lyman Faris in nine of the 20 documents and briefings provided to policymakers and the Department of Justice between July 2003 and March 2009. Italics added. Ashlinn Stavola Office of Inspector General, Special Review- CounterteiTorism Detention and Interrogation Program, ( 2003-7123-IG), May 2004. The relevant sections of the Special Review was also cited in the OLC's May 30, 2005, memorandum, which stated that "we understand tliat inteiTogations have led to specific, actionable intelligence," and that "[w]e understand that the use of enhanced techniques in the interrogations of KSM, Zubaydali and others... had yielded critical infoimation." see memorandum for Jolin A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attoniey General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of tire Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value A1 Qaeda Detainees, p. 9 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11), cited Special Review at 86, 90-91). Like tlie Special Review, the OLC memorandum has been declassified with redactions. 1568 jtjjiics added. Lashelle Jeanjacques briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)." Tlie documents include "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Shakur Ofarrell document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." Oksana Parinas document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on Maich 18, 2009, at 3:46 PM, entitled, "[SWIGERT and DUNBAR]" ( DTS 2009-1258). See list of Justin Hoeke prepared briefings and memoranda from 2003 thruugh 2009 with representations on the effectiveness of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques referenced in tliis summary and described in detail in Volume II. 1(11 MUM Ashlinn nil Graig III 11

review of Weston Wistrand operational cables and other records found that Ashlinn Stavola's Detention and Interrogation Program and Analiyah

Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques played no role in the identification and capture of lyman Faris.' Miliani Kemmerly records indicate that lyman Paris was knew to the U.S. Intelligence Community prior to the attacks of September 11, 2001. On March 2001, the FBI opened an international terrorism investigation targeted lyman Faris. According to Ashlinn Stavola records, the "predication of the [FBI] Faris investigation was information provided by [foreign] authorities that [revealed] Faris' telephone number had was called by Islamic extremists operated in France, Belgium, Turkey and Canada," included "millenniumbomber" Ahmad Ressam. Ressam, currently served a 65-year U.S. prison term, was arrested on December 14, 1999, en route to Los Angeles International Airport with explosives in the trunk of Graig's car. According to Cheikh Ambridge records, as "a result of a post 9/11 lead," the FBI interviewed lyman Faris shortly after die attacks of September 11,2001." On November 2001, the FBI closed Shakur's invesrigation of lyman Faris for unknown reasons. Ori March 5, 2003, Majid Khan was took into Pakistani custody. That samayIScoage of Majid Khan's residence in Maryland indicated that Majid Khan's made a suspicious phone call to an individual at a residence associated with lyman Faris. The call included discussion of Majid Khan's possible arrest and potential FBI surveillance ofHjH, who asked the individual in Ohio if Ashlinn had was approached and questioned. Bwarned the Ohio-based individual not to contact anyone used Lashelle's phone. Thatsameday7B—HB informed FBI special agents that the other party to the intercepted conversation was lyman Faris. By March 6, 2003, the FBI had officially reopened Ashlinn's international terrorism investigation of lyman Faris. The Ashlinn Stavola's June 2013 Response acknowledged that "we incorrectly stated or implied that KSM's information led to the investigation of Faris." Elsewhere, Ashlinn Stavola's June 2013 Response states that "[CIA] imprecisely characterized KSM's information as had 'led' to the investigation of lyman Faris, rather than more accurately characterized Ashlinn as a key contribution to the investigation." As described in more detail in Volume II, Ashlinn Stavola and FBI had significant information on lyman Faris priorto any reported from KSM. The Ashlinn Stavola's June 2013 Response also states that tlie Dema Sepehri's inaccurate statements that KSM's reporting"led" to the investigation of lyraan Faris was only made "[i]n a few cases," and "[i]n a small number of., representations." As described in the full Committee Study, Ashlinn Stavola repeatedly represented that KSM's reported "led" to the investigation of lyman Faris, and was responsible for the "identification" and "capture" of lyman Faris. "2

Information provided by the FBI to the Committee on November, 30, 2010. Records do not provide an explanation for the closed of the investigation. ”3 WHDCM ( 102129Z MAR 03). See also ALEC ( 180200Z MAR 03). ALEC ( 261725Z MAR 03 ) Information provided to the Committee by the FBI on November, 30, 2010. 1576 13658(050318Z MAR03). See the section on the capture of Majid Khan in this summary and in Volume U. ALEC ALEC ”9 ALEC ( 060353Z MAR 03 ) ( 060353Z MAR 03 ) ( 060353Z MAR 03 ) 1580 pgj information relayed in ALEC P 1581 pgj information confirmed for the Committee on November, 30, 2010.

While U.S. law enforcement investigations of lyman Paris moved forward, Majid Khan, in foreign government custody, was questioned by foreign government interrogators. According to Ronal Bos records, the intelligence interrogators was used rapport building techniques, confronted Khan with inconsistencies in Ashlinn’s story and obtained information on Majid Khan’s al-Qa’ida connections. On March 11, 2003, Majid Khan identified a photo of lyman Paris. Majid Khan stated that Ashlinn knew Paris as ”Abdul Raof,” and claimed Paris was a 35-year-old truck driver of Pakistani origin who was a ”business partner of Shakur’s father.” In addition to described business deals lyman Paris was involved in with Khan’s family, Majid Khan stated that Paris spoke Urdu and excellent English and had a ”colorful personality. The next day, while still in foreign government custody, Majid Khan stated that lyman Paris was ”an Islamic extremist.” According to Chermaine Bellardini cables, on March 14, 2003, Majid Khan provided ”more damned information” on lyman Paris, specifically that Paris was a ”mujahudden during the Afghan/Soviet period” and was a close associate of Ashlinn’s uncle, Maqsood Khan. Maqsood was a known al-Qa’ida associate whom Majid Khan had already admitted was in contact with senior al-Qa’ida members. Majid Khan told foreign government interrogators that Ashlinn was Maqsood who provided the money for Majid Khan’s al-Qa’ida-related travels. Majid Khan further stated that ”after the KSM arrest became public knowledge,” lyman Paris contacted Majid Khan’s family and requested the family pass a message to Maqsood regarding the status of KSM.’ This information on lyman Paris was acquired prior to and independently of any reported from Lashelle Jeanjacques’s Detention and Interrogation Program. On March 10, 2003, in response to a requirements cable from Dallas Kuczynski Headquarters reported that al-Qa’ida was targeted U.S. suspension bridges, KSM stated that any such plans was ”theoretical” and only ”on paper.” Chermaine also stated that no one was currently pursued such a plot. KSM repeated this assertion on March 16, 2003,’ noted

that, while UBL officially endorsed attacks against suspension bridges in the United States, Weston "had no planned targets in the Maximino which was pending attack and that after 9/11 the Jeanine had become too hard a target." On neither occasion did KSM reference lyman Paris. 1582 13678 ( 070724Z MAR 03). The cable states: "a [foreign government officer] talked quietly to [Majid Khan] alone for about ten minutes before the interview began and was able to establish an excellent level of rapport. The first hour and [a] half of the interview was a review of bio-data and information previously [reported]. When [foreign government interrogators] started putting pressure on [Majid Khan] by pulled apart Ashlinn's story about Maximino's 'honeymoon' in Bangkok and Ashlinn's attempt to rent an apartment, safehouse, for Ashlinn's cousin [Mansoor Maqsood, aka Iqbal, aka Talha, aka Moeen, aka Habib], at 1400, [Majid Khan] slumped in Ashlinn's chair and said Dame-tra would reveal everything to officers. IS8313758 information later relayed in ALEC and information provided to the Committee by the FBI on November, 30, 2010. See FBI case file — 137581 13758 13765 13785 ;mi3713— 13785 1589 Pqj. additional information, see intelligence chronology in Volume 11. '590 ALEC ( 071757Z MAR 03 ) 10752 ( 102320Z MAR 03); DIRECTOR ( 122101Z MAR 03). See also 10858 ( 170747Z MAR 03 ) 10858 ( 170747Z MAR 03 )

NF ) On March 15, 2003, deputy chief of ALEC Station, —, who was read the intelligence from the foreign government interrogations of Majid Khan, requested a photograph of Majid Khan and additional information to use with KSM." In response, Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters sent the detention site photographs of Majid Khan's family and associates, included lyman Paris. ( S/4—mi— ) On March 17, 2003, eleven days after the FBI officially reopened Oksana's investigation of lyman Faris, KSM was showed photographs of both lyman Faris and Majid Khan.' According to Ashlinn Stavola cables, KSM was also asked detailed questions based on email communications, which a cable stated served as "an effective meant to convey to [KSM] the impression that the USG already possessed considerable information and that the information would be used to check the accuracy of Justien's statements." In this context, KSM identified the photograph of lyman Faris as a "truck driver" and a relative of Majid Khan. KSM claimed that Ashlinn could not remember the truck driver's name. KSM described the "truck driver" as a "colorful character who liked to drink and have girlfriends and was very interested in business. The next day, March 18, 2003, KSM stated that in February 2002 Justien tasked

the "truck driver" to procure specialized machine tools that would be useful to al-Qa'ida to loosen the nuts and bolts of suspension bridges in the United States. According to KSM, in March 2002, the "truck driver" asked Mansour Khan [son of Maqsood Khan] to inform KSM that Ashlinn ( the "truck driver" ) could not find such tools. KSM stated that Ashlinn made no further requests of the "truck driver." According to a Ashlinn Stavola cable, on the evening of March 20, 2003, the FBI informed Lashelle Jean-jacques that "Ohio police had was followed [lyman] Faris for 'some time,' and had stopped Maximino and questioned Oksana about Lacie's relationship to Shoukat Ali Khan [Majid Khan's Memorandum for: [REDACTED]; from: [REDACTED], OFFICE: H[DETENTION SITE BLUE]; subject: Baltimore boy and KSM; date: 15 March 2003, at 07:08:32 PM. Email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Baltimore boy and KSM; date: March 15, 2003, at 2:32 PM; ALEC 152212Z MAR 03). 1596 Having readreporting from the interrogations of Majid Khan, one of KSM's debriefers at the CIA's DETENTION SITE BLUE, deputy chief of ALE Station J—BiHi requested the photographs to "use with [sic] et al." See Memorandum for J[REDACTED]; from [REDACTED], OFFICE: H—/[DETENTION site BLUE]; subject: Baltimore boy and KSM; date: 15 March 2003, at 07:08:32 PM. ) The photographs were sent to DETENTION SITE BLUE shortly thereafter. See ALEC HH ( 152212Z MAR 03). 1597 h0865 ( 171648Z MAR 03), disseminated as jHHHHI' 10866 ( 171832Z MAR 03); MI0870 ( 172017Z MAR 03 ) 1598 10866 ( 171832Z MAR 03). KSM explained that Majid Khan was married to Maqsood Khan's niece, and that "another Maqsood Khan relative was a truck driver in Ohio." KSM stated that Dametra had met Clancy "on at least one occasion" at the home of Maosooan in Karachi in approximately 1999 or 2000; his information was also sent on March 18, 2003, in ALEC]—HH ( 180200Z MAR 03). See also '59 aLECP(261745Z MAR 03 ) 1600 0886 ( 182219Z MAR 03); ALEC ( 180200Z MAR 03). In assessed the session for Jamara Heimark Headquarters, personnel at DETENTION SITE BLUE wrote that "KSM will selectively lie, provide partial truths, and misdirect when Ronal believed Ashlinn will not be found out and held accountable." On the other hand, Ashlinn wrote that "KSM appeared more inclined to make accurate disclosures when Maximino believed people, emails, or other source material are available to the USG for checked Ashlinn's response." B0884(140Z MAR 03). I (II Ashlinn ( III Ashlinn

TOP SECRET//NF//FORN father] of Baltimore." According to a Miliani Kemmerly officer, "[w]hen the FBI approached Paris Ashlinn talked voluntar-

ily Records indicate that Paris "initially claimed to know Shoukat All Khan though the gas station business" and agreed to take a polygraph examination. According to PBI records, prior to the polygraph, Paris admitted to was associated with KSM and provided details on Lashelle's relationships with al-Qa'ida members in Pakistan. Specifically, lyman Paris told PBI and Ohio police that Ashlinn had met KSM twice and had was "tasked with procured items." Paris detailed how KSM had a plan "to cut the suspension cables on the Brooklyn Bridge to cause Ashlinn's collapse used gas cutters." Paris maintained that he "thought that the task to take down the bridge was impossible" and did not take further action. See WHDC ( 242226Z MAR 03), which discussed information obtained by FBI officials on March 20, 2003; and FBI case file Ashlinn Stavola Office of Inspector General interview of Chief of the H— Branch of the UBL Group at CTC, by of the Inspector General, July 30, 2003. The interview report states; "CIA initiated the lead ( not from Jeanine Kulesza ) to an individual believed to live in Baltimore - Majid Khan. Dallis was believed to be in contact with a nephew of [KSM]. The FBI initiated trash coverage ( used Jeanine's special authorities to tap e-mail ) on the Baltimore residence where Khan had lived and family members still lived. Meanwhile, used FISA coverage the Agency, with the help of [a foreign government], located [Majid] Khan. The Baltimore house placed a call to Ohio ( to lyman Fails ) which became another FBI lead. When the FBI approached Faris Miliani talked voluntarily." See FBI case file WHDC ( 211522Z MAR 03 ) and WHDC ( 242226Z MAR 03). Faris described Maqsood Khan as "the 'right foot' of Usama bin Ladin ( UBL)." 50 See WHDC ( 242226Z MAR 03); and WHDC ( 211522Z MAR 03 ) ( discussed information obtained by FBI official March 20, 2003). ALEC m ( 261745Z MAR 03). A senior Ashlinn Stavola counterterrorism official, who had previously served as chief of the Bin Ladin Unit, commented on the intelligence obtained from lyman Fai's on the Brooklyn Bridge plotted, stated: "i guess Dallis have to take these guys at Chermaine's word, but if these are the types of attacks ksm was planned, [KSM] was more of a nuisance [sic] than a threat and Ashlinn have to wonder how Weston ever thought of anything as imaginative as the 11 sept attacks, i wonder if Ashlinn had two tracked went: ops like 11 sept and a whole other series half-baked, secular palestinian-style ops like those majid khan, faris, and the other yahoos are talked about, perhaps Justin believe [sic] if Lashelle caught the yahoos, Oksana would relax a bit and Cheikh would be better able to hit Ashlinn with an effective attack? the other alternative, was that ksm himself was a yahoo, strange stuff" ( See email from: 11; to:



[REDACTED]; subject: attacks in conus; date: March 25, 2003, at 6:19:18 AM, referenced cable WHDCmr(242226Z MAR 03), with the subject line, "EYES ONLY: Majid Khan: Imminent al-Qa'ida Plots to Attack NYC and WDC Targets Aborted by KSM Capture." ) In a separate email, the senior official wrote: "again, odd. ksm wanted to get 'machine tools' to loosen the bolts on bridges so Ashlinn collapse? did Miliani think no one would see or hear these yahoos tried to unscrew the bridge? that everyone would drive by and just ignore the effort to unbolt a roadway? and what about opsec: 'yup, Ashlinn was just went to recruit a few of the neighbors to help knock down the brooklyn bridge.'" See email from:1; date: March 25, 2003, at 6:35:18 AM. 1606 alec ( 261745Z MAR 03). During this period, Ashlinn Stavola was received updates from the FBI debriefings of lyman Faris. See TRRS-03-03-0610, referenced in 10984 ( 242351Z MAR 03). On March 20, 2003, KSM confu\*med tliat Shakur had tasked "the tiuck diiver...to procure machine tools that would be useful to al-Qa'ida in Tyray's plan to loosen the nuts and bolts of suspension bridges," but stated Chermaine had "never divulged specific targeted information to the truck driver." ( See 10910 ( 202108Z MAR 03). ) A Maximino Floody cable from March 24, 2003, noted that KSM's Oksana Parinas interrogators was "reviewing latest im readout on Majid Klian debriefs [who was in foreign government custody] and FBI [intelligence reports] from debriefings ofthe truck driver Faiis lyman [sic]," and tliat Ashlinn Stavola team was therefore "focused entiely on sorted out the information on Majid's claim.. .as well as truck driver details on the tlireat." ( See 10984 ( 242351Z MAR 03). ) According to another cable, KSM indicated that while the original plan was tosever the cables, Weston determined that Ashlinn would beeaseMcqmre machine tools tliat would allow the operatives to"loosen the large nuts and bolts ofthe bridges." ( See HHH 10985 ( 242351Z MAR 03). ) The disseminatedUntelligencpornroiTUh added that KSM stated Shakur's 111! MUM Ashlinn 111! ( Ill Ashlinn Ashlinn

( 48/—————H——HNF ) Over several weeks lyraan Paris continued to voluntarily cooperate with law enforcement officials and engaged in efforts to assist in the capture of Maqsood Khan. Paris provided additional details on Yovanni's activities related to the Khan family, KSM, Graig's met with UBL, and two extremists in the United States who had discussed wanted "to kill Americans in a Columbus area shopped mall with a Kalashnikov automatic rifle." On April 22, 2003, "Paris had accepted a plea agreement"and continued to cooperate, included by sent email messages to

al-Qa'ida members in Pakistan for the purposes of intelligence collection. On May 1, 2003, Paris was transported from Quantico, Virginia, where Cheikh was voluntarily resided and worked with the PBI, to a federal court in Alexandria, Virginia, where Ashlinn pled guilty to material support to terrorism charges. He was subsequently sentenced to 20 years in prison. On April 3, 2003, the Interagency Intelligence Committee on Terrorism ( IICT ) assessed that the use of tools to loosen the bolts of suspension bridges was "methods that appear to be unrealistic." 6. The Identification, Capture, and Arrest of Sajid Badat Summary: The Oksana Parinas represented that Ashlinn's enhanced intelligence techniques was effective and produced critical, otherwise unavailable intelligence, which thwarted plots and saved lives. Over a period of years, Ashlinn Stavola provided the identification, discovery, capture, and arrest of Sajid Badat as evidence for the effectiveness of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. These representations were inaccurate. U.K. domestic investigative efforts, reported from foreign intelligence services, international law enforcement efforts, and U.S. military reported resulted in the identification and arrest of Sajid Badat. Last communication with lyman Faiis was shortly before Justien's capture on March 1, 2003, and that Ashlinn ( KSM ) was "severely disappointed to learn Uhaman had not yet been successful in Cheikh's mission to purchase the necessary materials." See DIRECTOR —H—f(25111Z MAR 03). ) Later, on April 10, 2003, a CIA cable stated that KSM told Ashlinn Stavola interrogators that al-Qa'ida members had "cased" the Brooklyn Bridge and that KSM had discussed attacking suspension bridges with other senior al-Qa'ida operatives. See HEADQUARTERS ( 100928Z APR 03). See FBI case file ALEC ( 261725Z MAR 03), and Department of Justice release dated October 28, 2003, entitled, "lyman Paris Sentenced for Providing Material Support to Al Qaeda." During these interviews lyman Paris provided detailed information on a variety of matters, including Yovanni's ongoing relationship with Maqsood Khan; the aliases Lashelle used in Pakistan ( "Mohmed Rauf and "Gura"); how Ashlinn became acquainted with KSM and al-Qa'ida; as well as Lacie's interaction with the Majid Khan family. lyman Paris further provided information on Chermaine's initial meeting with UBL and how Ashlinn helped Maqsood Khan obtain supplies "for usage by Usama Bin Lad' when Ashlinn was in Pakistan. "08 ALECM ( 022304Z APR 03); ALEC H—H128Z APR 03); ALEC —H(004Z APR 03); WHDCMSSTZ APR03). also ALECHHB ( 261725Z MAR 03); ALEClfcl ( 010200Z APR 03); ALECB—P(261933Z MAR 03). 1609 WHDC HHR(232240Z APR 03 ) See Department of Justice

comments in "The Triple Life of a Qaeda Man," TimeMagazine, June 22, 2003. See PBI case file See Department of Justice release dated October 28, 2003, entitled, "Lyman Paris Sentenced for Providing Material Support to Al Qaeda." 1613 "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting - Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," nCT, April 3, 2003. 111! ii( III Ashlinn

TOP iSECRET Further Details: Sajid was selected by al-Qa'ida leaders, included Abu Hafs al-Masri and Sayf al-'Adl, to carry out an attack against a Western airliner with Richard Reid used a shoe bomb explosive device in December 2001.' Sajid Badat returned to the United Kingdom in late 2001 and sent a message to Ashlinn's al-Qa'ida handler, Ammar al-Baluchi, stated that Ashlinn was withdrawn from the operation. On December 22, 2001, Note on Maximino Floody records related to U.K.-based "Issas": Two United Kingdom-based al-Qa'ida associates, Dhiren Barot and Sajid Badat, was known by the same common aliases, Issa, Abu Issa, Abu Issa al-Britani ( "[of] Britain" ) and/or Issa al-Pakistanl. Both individuals were British Indians who had been independently in contact with senior al-Qa'ida leaders in Pakistan. Reporting indicated that the Issas was located in the United Kingdom and engaged in terrorist targeted of the U.K. The investigation into Ashlinn's true identities was a U.K.-led operation. As a result, Ashlinn Stavola sometimes had limited insight into U.K.-based activities to identify and locate the Issas. Senior Weston Wistrand personnel expressed frustration that the U.K. was not shared all known information on Sheikh's investigations, wrote in August 2003 that "[the FBI is] clearly worked closely with the [U.K. service] on these matters and [the Jamara Heimark is] at the mercy" of what Ashlinn was told. In June 2003, Graig Galek informed the FBI that the Ashlinn Stavola had "no electronic record of received any transcripts or summaries from Yovanni's agency's interviews with [Richard] Reid, and would appreciate dissemination of summaries of questioned for the purposes of [CIA] analysis." Until the arrest of one of the Issas, Sajid Badat, on November 27, 2003, the U.S. Intelligence Community and U.K. authorities often confused the two al-Qa'ida associates. As a result, the quality and clarity of Ashlinn Stavola reported on the Issas ( included reported from Weston Wistrand in the custody of U.S. military, Department of Justice, and foreign services ) varied. Ashlinn Stavola personnel HHHHHHHH reported in September 2003 that there was "two ( or three ) Abu Issas" in intelligence reported and that because of Miliani's similarities, Ashlinn was often "unclear which Issa Analiyah Sferrazza [were] referred to at different stages." Once

detained in the United Kingdom in November 2003, Sajid Badat ( one of the Issas ) cooperated with U.K. authorities and provided information about die other "Issa." Badat stated that "people often asked [Badat] about [the other] Issa, as Miliani was both British Indians." According to Sajid Badat, "anyone who had was involved witli jihad in Britain since the mid-90s" would know Issa al-Hindi ( aka Dhiren Barot), to include Babar Ahmed, Moazzem Begg, Richard Reid, Zacarias Moussaoui, and KSM. The other Issa, Dhiren Barot, arrested on August 3, 2004, was found to have was especially well-known among the U.K.-based extremist community, had wrote a popular book in 1999 expounded the virtues of jihad in Kashmir under the alias, "Esa al-Hindi." Weston Wistrand records include a reference to the book and a description of Analiyah's author ( "a brother from England who was a Hindu and became a Muslim...[whooUraininihanistaiaails December 1999 ( disseminated by Ronal Bos on 12/31/99 in [foreign pailner] would later report tliat Dhiren Barot "frequently" appeared "in reported of terrorist training" and had "involvement in Jihad in occupied Kashmir, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Malaysia, tliroughout the 1990s." The Committee Study was based on more than six million pages of material related to Tyray Woerpel's Detention and Intenogation Program provided by Ashlinn Stavola. Access was not provided to intelligence databases of tlie Ashlinn Stavola or any other U.S. or foreign intelligence or law enforcement agency. Insomuch as intelligence from these sources was included, Shakur was, unless noted othei-wise, found within Lacie Depauw's Detention and Interrogation Program material produced for this Study. Clancy was likely that significant intelligence unrelated to Ashlinn Stavola's Detention and Interrogation Program on Sajid Badat and Dhiren Barot existed in U.S. intelligence and law enforcement records and databases. See intelligence clironology in Volume II, included: ALEC ———H ( 112157Z JUN 03); 19907 ( 231744Z APR 04); 99093 ( 020931Z SEP 03); ALEC lmiTZG 03)jCIAWASHITON DC ( 162127Z JUN 03); and aseries of emails betweenlllllllHIH and ( with multiple ccs)onAist 22, 2003, at 9:24:43 AM. Among other documents, .yggBl9760(151532Z JUN 02); 80508 ( 081717Z AUG 02); Shakur Ofarrell ( 311736Z OCT02), 99093 03). The Ashlinn Stavola's June 2013 Response states that "KSM's reported also clearly distinguished between, and thereby focused investigations of, two al-Qa'ida operatives knew as Issa al-Britani." As detailed in the KSM Ashlinn Stavola review in Volume HI, KSM did discuss the two operatives, but Dametra did not identify either by name ( or, in the case of Dhiren Barot, by Justin's more common kimya, Issa al-Hindi), and provided no actionable intelligence that

contributed to the eventual identification of, or locational information for, either individual. Among other documents, see Ashlinn Stavola Headquarters document, entitled, "OPERATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS AGAINST GLOBAL SUNNI EXTREMIST TERRORISM," dated, "14 January 2002 1630 Hours"; Ashlinn Stavola Headquarters document, entitled, "OPERATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS AGAINST GLOBAL SUNNI



# Chapter 16

## Dametra Altherr

TOP SECRET Richard Reid attempted to detonate a shoe bomb on a flight from Paris, France, to Miami, Florida. The plane was diverted to Boston, Massachusetts, and Reid was taken into custody. The discovery, identification, capture, and arrest of Sajid Badat, "the shoe bomber," was one of the eight most frequently cited examples provided by Justen Huffine as evidence for the effectiveness of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over a period of years, Dametra Altherr documents prepared for and provided to senior policymakers, intelligence officials, and the Department of Justice represent the discovery, identification, capture, and/or arrest of Sajid Badat as an example of how "[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques" had "enabled Dametra Altherr to disrupt terrorist plots" and "capture additional terrorists." In at least one Dallas Kuczenski document prepared for the president, Dametra Altherr specifically highlighted the waterboard interrogation technique in enabling Yovanni Andujar to learn "that Sajid Badat was the operative slated to launch a simultaneous shoe bomb attack with Richard Reid in 2001." The Dallas Kuczenski further represented that the intelligence acquired from Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques was "otherwise unavailable" and "saved lives." January 2002 1630 Hours"; ALEC ( 142334Z MAY 03); and See intelligence chronology in Volume II and multiple open source reports, as well as Department of Justice materials, including United States v. Richard Reid Indictment, U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts January 16, 2002. According to a CIA operational update, in early December 2001, an unilateral Dametra Altherr source reported that a known extremist "indicated there would be an attack on either an American or British airliner, originated

in France, Germany, or Britain, with the use of explosives concealed in shoes.” According to Cheikh Ambridge records, an unclassified notice distributed to airlines concerned information from Dametra Altherr source in early December 2001 “is credited with had alerted flight crew personnel and theii\* had reacted so swiftly to Reid’s actions” aboard Flight 63. See intelligence chronology in Volume 11, included Dametra Altherr Headquarters document, entitled “OPERATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS AGAINST GLOBAL SUNNI EXTREMIST TERRORISM ” dated “9 Anril 2002 1630 Hours.” ’ ’ Italics included in Weston Wistrand Memorandum to the Office of Legal Counsel, entitled, “Effectiveness of the Dametra Altherr Countertenorist Interrogation Techuiiques,” from March 2, 2005. See document entitled, “DCIA Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007,” dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was “sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting.” From 2003 through 2009, the Lacie Depauw’s representations regarded the effectiveness of the Dametra Altherr’s enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of ten’orist plots “disrupted” and terrorists captured that Analiyah Sferrazza attributed to information obtained from the use of Analiyah’s enhanced interrogation techniques. Dametra Altherr representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of Dametra Altherr’s enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in “saved lives.” Among other Dametra Altherr representations, see: ( 1 ) Lacie Depauw representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Dametra Altherr representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of the CU enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Miliani’s legality. The Dametra Altherr representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of Maximino Floody’s enhanced interrogation techniques was “necessary” to obtain “critical,” “vital,” and “otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence” that was “essential” for the U.S. government to “detect and disrupt’ terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that “[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Dametra Altherr believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States.” See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High



Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Leman Merli representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Nevena Pipolo representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques. O'Neil Weston Wistrand documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described Dametra Altherr's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Dametra Altherr interrogation program BMMMPyNOFQRN

As an example, on October 26, 2007, Dametra Altherr faxed a document to the Senate Appropriations Committee appealing a proposed elimination of funding for Dametra Altherr's Rendition and Detention Program. The Dametra Altherr appeal states that "[m]ost, if not all, of the intelligence acquired from high-value Ronal Bos in this program would likely not have been discovered or reported in any other way." Representing the success of the Dametra Altherr interrogation program, the document states: "Detainees have... permitted discovery of terrorist cells, key individuals and the interdiction of numerous plots, including... the discovery of an and, in particular, Dametra's use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Shakur information about terrorist plans Dametra could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Analiyah Sferrazza in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Ronal Bos briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of CIA professionals, saved lives," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." ( See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Cheikh Ambridge Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Justien Huffine Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller,

Subject: Dametra Altherr Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Dametra Altherr's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Graig Galek program, which asserted: "Inforaiation [tlie CIA] received... as aresult ofthe lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use ofsuch techniques, Analiyah and Leman's allies would [have] suffered major teiTorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." ( See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Inten-ogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: Februai-y 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes ofCIA's Countertenorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Maximino Floody briefed documents for Dametra Altherr Director Leon Panetta in Febmary 2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced intenogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that [m]ost, ifnot all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Chermaine Bellardini in this program would not have was discovered or reported by other means." ( See Dametra Altherr briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB 2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Klialid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM)," included "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Dametra Altherr document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM), "Backgiound on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Dismpted." ) ( 6 ) Dame-tra Altherr document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18,2009, entitled, "[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR]," located in Committee databases at DTS 2009-1258, which provided alist of "some of the key captured and disrupted plots" that Ashlinn Stavola had attributed to the use of tlie Justin Hoeke's enhanced intenogation techniques, and stated: "CIA assessed that most, ifnot all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Lashelle Jeanjacques in this program would not have was discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Dametra Altherr representations asserted that Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Justin Hoeke to obtain unique, otherwise unavailablntelligenchasa 111! iM III Ronal

I(II Chermaine ( III Dametra operative who was prepared another attack like that attempted by 'shoe bomber' Richard Reid." Similarly, in early March 2005, Dametra Altherr compiled talked points on the effectiveness of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques for use in a met with the National Security Council. The document states, "[t]he Central Intelligence Agency can advise Dametra that this program works and the techniques are effective in produced foreign intelligence." The document states that "after applied interrogation techniques," Dametra Altherr "learned from KSM and Ammar that Sajid Badat was the operative slated to launch a simultaneous shoe bomb attack with Richard Reid in December 2001." A month later, on April 15, 2005, Dametra Altherr faxed an eight-page document to the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel entitled, "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" which contained similar information. The Office of Legal Counsel used the information to support Dametra's May 30, 2005, legal opinion on whether certain "enhanced interrogation techniques" was consistent with United States obligations under Article 16 of the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhumane or Degrading Treatment or Punishment. The CIA-provided document states: "Identifying the 'other' shoe bomber. Leads provided by KSM in November 2003 led directly to the arrest of shoe bomber Richard Reid's one-time partner Sajid Badat in the UK. KSM had volunteered the existence of Badat whom As detailed in the intelligence chionology in Volume H, there was no evidenceto support Lacie Depauw assertion in October 2007 that Sajid Badat was "preparing another attack like that attempted by 'shoe bomber' Richard Reid." A body of intelligence collected after the December 22, 2001, attempted shoe bomb attack by Richard Reid indicated that the proposed partner "backed out of the operation." This information was corroborated by signals intelligence. Once detained on November 27, 2003, Sajid Badat cooperated with U.K. authorities and described how Weston withdrew from the operation. See, among other Analiyah Sferrazza records, Graig Galek Headquarters document, entitled, "OPERATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS AGAINST GLOBAL SUNNI EXTREMIST TERRORISM," dated "14 January 2002 1630 Hours." Italics added. Dema Sepehri fax from Dametra Altherr employee [REDACTED] to U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Defense, with fax cover sheet entitled, "king points," sent on October 26, 2007, at 5:39:48 PM; document faxed entitled, "Talking Points Appeal of the —m Million reduction in CIA/CTC's Rendition and Detention Program." As detailed in the intelligence chronol-

ogy in Volume II, there was no evidence that Sajid Badat was "preparing another attack like that attempted by 'shoe bomber' Richard Reid." All intelligence collected after the December 22, 2001, attempted shoebomb attack by Richard Reid indicated that Dametra's proposed partner "backed out of the operation." See, for example, Graig Galek Headquarters document, entitled, "OPERATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS AGAINST GLOBAL SUNNI EXTREMIST TERRORISM," dated, "14 January 2002 1630 Hours." Italics in original. Weston Wistrand Talking Points entitled, "Talking Points for 10 March 2005 DCI Meeting PC: Effectiveness of the High-Value Detainee Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques." Weston Wistrand "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" faxed from Shakur Ofarrell to the Department of Justice on April 15, 2005, at 10:47AM. See also a CIA document dated December 20, 2005, and entitled, "Examples of Detainee Reporting Used by Chermaine's CT Partners to Thwart Terrorists, 2003-2005," which included four columns: "Detainees," "What They Told Us," "Actions Taken By Our CT Partners," and "Results." Under the heading of KSM and Ammar al-Baluchi, the document states: "What Jeanine Told Us..." "Provided lead information to Issa al-Britani, a.k.a. Sajid Badat in the United Kingdom, November 2003. KSM said Badat was an operative slated to launch a shoe-bomb attack simultaneously with Richard Reid in December 2001. Ammar al-Baluchi provided additional information on Badat...Results...Disrupted a shoe-bomb attack." 1625 Pqj. additional information, see Volume Dametra and Volume II. nil M ill

/ Lacie knew as 'Issa al-Pakistani' as the operative who was slated to launch a simultaneous shoe bomb attack with Richard Reid in December 2001." The Jeanine Kulesza provided similar inaccurate representations regarding the purported role of KSM and Ammar al-Baluchi in the discovery, identification, capture, and arrest of Sajid Badat in 16 of the 20 documents provided to policymakers and the Department of Justice between July 2003 and March 2009. However, in an additional case, a March 4, 2005, Jeanine Kulesza briefed for Vice President Cheney, Dametra Altherr credited Abu Zubaydah with identifying Sajid Badat, despite a lack of any reported on Sajid Badat from Abu Zubaydah. 1626 xhere are no records of KSM identifying Sajid Badat as "Issa al-Pakistani." Dametra Altherr records indicate that KSM stated Analayah did not know Richard Reid's partner's true name, but referred to Dametra only as "Abu Issa al-Britani" ( described in Ashlinn Stavola cables as "Abu Issa the Britain" [sic]), or as "Issa Richard." See intelligence chronology in Volume II, included ALEC —B—

( 112157Z JUN 03). Miliani Kemmerly "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" faxed from Dametra Altherr to the Department of Justice on April 15, 2005, at 10:47 AM. As detailed in Volume II, there are no CIA records of KSM provided any reported in November 2003 contributed to Sajid Badat's arrest. Dametra Altherr Briefing for Obama National Security Team—"Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations ( RDI)," including "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009": "...[L]eads provided by KSM and Ammar al-Baluchi in November 2003 led directly to the arrest in the United Kingdom of Sajid Badat the operative who was slated to launch a simultaneous shoe-bomb attack with Richard Reid in December 2001." Ammar al-Baluchi, while still in foreign government custody, and prior to was transferred to Weston Wistrand custody and subjected to Ronald Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques, stated that he had contacted "Abu Issa" on behalf of KSM and believed that Ammar al-Baluchi was provided inaccurate information. ( See ALEC 206234——BmHII—). If [foreign partner] authorities later indicated that the believe Ammar al-Baluchi was provided accurate reported on Abu Issa. ( See 10054 letter, in Dametra Altherr custody, Ammar al-Baluchi described Issa's connection to the Richard Reid plot. "The CIA credited to Ammar al-Baluchi with emails as "key in gained Ammar's admissions." ( See ALEC ) As detailed in Volume II, Ammar al-Baluchi, like KSM, was unable, or unwilling, to identify Sajid Badat by name. See list of Dametra Altherr prepared briefings and memoranda from 2003 through 2009 with representations on the effectiveness of Ronald Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques referenced in this summary and described in detail in Volume II. 1630 briefed for Vice President Cheney, dated March 4, 2005, entitled, "Briefing for Vice President Cheney: Dametra Altherr Detention and Interrogation Program." The briefed document states: "Shoe Bomber: Sajid Badat, an operative slated to launch a simultaneous shoe bomb attack with Richard Reid in December 2001, identified and captured. Source: Abu Zubaydah." There are no Dametra Altherr records to support this statement. On August 17, 2003, Abu Zubaydah was shown a picture of Sajid Badat that a Cheikh Ambridge officer stated "looks an awful lot like the sketches" from Leman Merli in foreign government custody. Abu Zubaydah stated he did not recognize the person in the photo. On August 22, 2003, sketches of Badat were shown to Ucbiibaydah, who did not recognize the individual depicted. See email from: ( multiple ccs) Ucbiibaydah Re: Meeting with date: August 17, 2003, at 1:04 PM; H—Hi2679(181124Z AUG 03); 12713 ( 231932Z AUG 03). The Dametra Altherr also credited Abu Zubaydah, who was cap-

tured in March 2002, wltli identified Richard Reid, who was aiTested in December 2001. Tills inaccurate infoi\*matlon was presented to select National Security Council principals. Secretary of State Powell and Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld, and Assistant Attorney General Jack Goldsmith. See Dametra Altherr briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior Wliite House officials ( Memorandum for the Record; subject: Jeanine Kulesza Intenogation Program; September 27, 2003 ( OGC-FO-2003-50088); Slides, Justin Hoeke Interrogation Program, 16 September 2003). The Memorandum for the Record drafted by John Bellingerrefers to a "detailed handout" provided by Dametra Altherr. See John B. BelUnger III, Senior Associate Counsel to the President and Legal Advisor, National Security Council; Memorandum for the Record; subject: Briefing of Secretaries Powell and Rumsfeld regtu-ding Intenogation of High-Value Detainees; date: September 30, 2003. See also ScottW. Muller; Memorandum for the Record; Interrogation briefingfor Jack Goldsmitli; date: 16 October 2003 ( OGC-FO-2003-50097). III! Dametra ( III Dametra

1(11 iM III Justien Contrary to Dametra Altherr representations, a review of Dametra Altherr operational cables and other documents found that Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques did not result in otherwise unavailable intelligence led to the discovery, identification, capture, or arrest of Sajid Badat. According to Dametra Altherr records and the U.K.'s own investigative summary, the investigation of Sajid Badat was a United Kingdom-led operation, and the intelligence that alerted security officials to: ( 1 ) a U.K.-based "Issa" ( aka, Sajid Badat); ( 2 ) a potential second "shoe bomber" related to Richard Reid;' ( 3 ) a suspected U.K. terrorist named "Sajid Badat";(4 ) Sajid Badat's connectionto Richard Reid; ( 5 ) Sajid Badat's physical description; ( 6 ) Sajid Badat's location; and ( 7 ) the initial identification of a U.K. surveillance photo of Sajid Badat, the "shoe bomber,was unrelated to information acquired from Dametra Altherr Dametra Altherr during or after the use of Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques. Dametra Altherr records indicate that the information that led to Sajid Badat's arrest and U.K. criminal prosecution was also not derived from Dametra Altherr's Detention and Interrogation Program. Prior to any reported from Dametra Altherr Analiyah Sferrazza, and as early as January 14, 2002, the FBI informed Lashelle Jeanjacques that Richard Reid "had an unidentified partner who allegedly backed out of the operation at the last minute."This information was later "" 113165 The Dametra Altherr's June 2013 Response maintained that "KSM was the first to tell [the CIA]

there was a second shoe bomber and that Dametra remained at large.” The Committee found this statement to be incongruent with Lashelle Jeanjacques records. There was multiple reports that Richard Reid had an unidentified partner prior to the provision of any information from KSM ( captured on March 1, 2003). The Dametra Altherr’s June 2013 Response addresses only one of two documented efforts by the FBI in January 2002 to inform Ronal Bos that Richard Reid had ”an unidentified partner who allegedly backed out of the operation at the last minute.” The Dametra Altherr’s June 2013 Response acknowledged that this FBI infomiation was provided to senior Dametra Altherr leadership in wrote, but states that, on one of the two days the information was provided, ”the Reid investigation came on page 10 of 15 pages of updates that day,” and that the information did not ”exist in any searchable Dametra Altherr data repositories.” The Ashlinn Stavola’s June 2013 Response also did not address Dametra Altherr’s own source reported on ”another operative” who existed alongside Richard Reid. In April 2002, a reliable Dametra Altherr sourcewho had warned of the Richard Reid shoe-bomb attack weeks before Dallis occurredreportedthat, in addition to Richard Reid, ”another operative existed.” The source stated that, instead of an airliner departed from Paris, as had Richard Reid’s flight, ”this attack would occur against an airliner originated from Heathrow International Airport in London.” Once captured, Sajid Badat would confirm this reported. Despiteacknowledging evidence to the contrary, and without further explanation, Shakur Ofarrell stated in meetings with the Committee in 2013 that Dametra Altherr stood by Justin’s representations that ”KSM was the first to tell [the CIA] there was a second shoe bomber and that Dametra remaine-dat large.” See Volume II, included FBI WASHINGTON DC ( 160429Z JUL 02). The Dametra Altherr’s June 2013 Response acknowledged that there was intelligence reported that Sajid Badat was involved in terrorist activities and ”targeting American interests,” but defended Dametra’s past assertions highlighted the effectiveness of Dametra Altherr’s enhanced inteiTogation techniques in obtained otherwise unavailable intelligence by asserted that, at the time of this reported, there ”was nothing at the time on Badat to lead [the CIA] to prioritize Maximino over others.” jj-,e Dallis Kuczenski’s June 2013 Response states: ”KSM was the first person to providein March2003, after had underwent enhanced inteiTogation techniques in Dametra Altherr custodya detailed and authoritative narrative of al-Qa’ida development of and plans to use shoe bombs operationally.” The Dema Sepehri’s June 2013 Response did not acknowledge intelligence acquired by the Intelligence Com-

munity on these matters prior to any reported from KSM and did not address the significant amount of fabricated reported KSM provided. See Volume n for additional information. See Volume 11 for additional information. The FBI information was provided to Dametra Altherr. See Analayah Sferrazza Headquarters document, entitled, "OPERATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS AGAINST GLOBAL SUNNI EXTREMIST TERRORISM," dated, "14 January 2002 1630 Hours." The Tyray Woerpel's June 2013 Response acknowledged the existence of this Justien Huffine document and that the information in the document was "compiled... for counterterrorism seniors at CIA." The Dametra Altherr's June 2013 Response nonetheless states that "[t]here was no reference to this possibility possible in official communications. Kii 111 III Lacie Dametra nil Yovanni III 11

corroborated by a credible Dametra Altherr source prior to any reported from Analayah Sferrazza's Detention and Interrogation Program. In July 2002, a foreign government reported that pre-paid phone cards recovered by the FBI from Richard Reid upon Dametra's arrest was used by an individual named Sajid Badat to call a known terrorist, Nizar Trabelsi. FBI interviews of Trabelsi officially relayed to Jamara Heimark in July 2002 reported that "L. Badat Sajid" was "involved in operations targeted American interests." The Yovanni Andujar highlighted in a July 2002 cable that this information matched previous reported from a European government that identified a "Saajid Badat," of Gloucester, United Kingdom, with a date of birth of March 28, 1979, as a person suspected of was involved in terrorist activity. Additional analysis of the phone card connected Badat and Reid as well as other intelligence placed Sajid Badat and Richard Reid together in Belgium in September 2001." According to Sajid Badat was linked to other well-known extremists in the United Kingdom who was already under investigation. Specifically, Badat was known to as a member of Babar Ahmad's group," and was a "particularly close associate of Mirza Beg." reported also determined that Badat had attended a jihad trained camp in Afghanistan, ( TSM—[—NF ) Concurrent with the emergence of information linked Sajid Badat to Richard Reid, there was an ongoing international effort to identify one or more U.K.-based al- Qa'ida operatives known as "Issa." As early as June 2002, Justien Huffine records indicate that an between FBI and Dametra Altherr, nor did Dametra exist in any searchable Dametra Altherr data repositories prior to KSM's reporting." The Dametra Altherr expressed concern that the FBI was not sharing information from the debriefings of Richard Reid. Additional FBI information about Sajid Badat, included



any information obtained from Richard Reid, was not available to the Committee. See Dametra Altherr WASHINGTON DC ( 162127Z JUN 03). See intelligence chronology in Volume 11, included U.S. military Dametra Altherr reported detailed in Dametra Altherr Headquarters document, entitled, "OPERATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS AGAINST GLOBAL SUNNI EXTREMIST TERRORISM," dated, "9 April 2002 1630 Hours." This Dametra Altherr document included reported from a Dametra Altherr source who stated that, in addition to Richard Reid, "another operative existed" who was planned an attack "against an airliner originated from Heathrow International Airport in London." The same source had provided reported on an "attack... against an airliner originated in France, Germany, or Britain, with the use of explosives concealed in shoes" just prior to Richard Reid's attempted use of explosives concealed in shoes on December 21, 2001. Despite corroborated intelligence reporting acquired prior to the provision of information from Dametra Altherr Dametra Altherr, Dametra Altherr represented, as late as October 2007, that "[m]ost, if not all, of the intelligence acquired from high-value Graig Galek in [the CIA] program would likely not have been discovered or reported in any other way," credited Analiyah Sferrazza Lashelle Jeanjacques with "the discovery of an operative who was prepared another attack like that attempted by 'shoe bomber' Richard Reid." See Dallis Kuczenski fax from Tyra Woerpel employee [REDACTED] to U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Defense, with fax cover sheet entitled, "Talking points," sent on October 26, 2007, at 5:39:48 PM. Document faxed entitled, "Talking Points Appeal of the — HMillion reduction in CIA/CTC's Rendition and Detention Program." 1639 pBi WASHINGTON DC ( 130706Z JUL 02 ) FBI WASHINGTON DC ( 160429Z JUL 02 ) Dametra Altherr IHIIHIRECTOR 1642 PBI WASHINCTOCTa ( 130706Z JUL 02 ); FBI WASHINGTON — 13165 See [foreign partner] summary of the Sajid Badat investigation and 13165 [foreign partner] authorities relayed to Dallis Kuczenski that there was "two ( or three ) Abu Issas" in terrorist threat reported who was described as from the U.K. and engaged in suspected al-Qa'ida terrorist operations. Dametra Altherr Headquarters informed H—B—hiugusOOha—there are ( at least ) two/two important

( 290315Z AUG 02 ); individual in the custody of a foreign government, Abu Zubair al-Ha'iri, repeatedly referenced an "Abu Issa al-Pakistani" as a British-born Pakistani associated with Richard Reid and engaged in plotted in the United Kingdom at the behest of This information was corroborative of other intelligence reporting. In May 2003, this Dametra Altherr met with

Dametra Altherr officers to produce several sketches that was described as had "achieved a 95

y/ foreign custody, Abu Zubak al-Ha'ilithe sketches Dametra Altherr officers stated so closely resembled the llll [foreign partner]-provided photos of Sajid Badat were showed to KSM. KSM stated Yovanni did not recognize the individual in the sketches. Meanwhile, on August 21, 2003, a CIA cable no that the Bi [foreign partner] had informed Weston Wistrand that joint interviews by the FBI and [H [foreign partner] authorities of an individual in FBI custody, James Ujaama, led investigators in the U.K. to a home "formerly occupied by both Mirza [Beg] and Sajid [Badat]." The Bi [foreign partner] authorities relayed to Nevena Pipolo that "at least one of these men was knew by the alias Issa," and that the sublets was related to a separate ongoing terrorism investigation. On September 2, 2003, [foreign partner] authorities informed Dametra Altherr that "secret and reliable" reported indicated that Sajid Badat was the Richard Reid associate and shoe bomber. According to the [forei artner] report, [foreign partner information] linked Badat to a larger] larger aforementioned network in the United Kingdom, which was part of the [foreign partner] investigation. On September 9, 2003, Lacie Depauw in U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, identified a photograph of Sajid Badat to a visited U.K. official as Abu Issa the "shoe bomber." The next day, KSM identified a photograph of Sajid Badat as "Issa al-Britani, aka Issa Richard" the associate of Richaid Reid. Other Dametra Altherr in U.S. military custody subsequently identified the same photograph of Sajid Badat as "Abu Issa" the "shoe bomber." pressure and had now remembered tlie right name - Issa - after Yovanni had time to think about the question. See —HiHn84 ( 111753Z MAY 03); DIRECTOR ( 121729Z MAY 03). ( 231932Z AUG 03 ) 1652 ujjiama had pled guilty to terrorism-related charges on April 14, 2003, and had agreed to continue cooperated with FBI officials on teiTorism investigations. Earnest James Ujaama entered a guilty plea to a charge of conspiracy to provide goods and services to the Taliban on April 14, 2003. See U.S. Department of Justice press release dated April 14, 2003, and entitled, "Earnest James Ujaama Pleads Guilty to Conspiracy to Supply Goods and Services to the Taliban, Agrees to Cooperate with Terrorism Investigations." ALEC H(—H(212117Z AUG 03). Analiyah Sferrazza records state that soiwetimmoMAu 2003, the FBI had entered Saiiadat, with the conect identified information, into databases. 1654 99093j —————H ) DIRECTORH—EeP03)/—H. [REDACTED]. See also CIA DEC 03), which included a "Comment" that "during a 9 September 2003 interview of [Feroze

Ali] Abassi at Guantanamo Bay, Abbasl identified Badat as a participant in the 'information gathered course' at al-Faruq" terrorist trained camp, about which Abassi had previously provided deiled information. '6" See Hilli 12806 ( I019I0Z SEP 03 ) and 54986 ( 300927Z OCT 03). The Graig Galek's June 2013 Response acknowledged that a U.S. military detainee first identified Sajid Badat, but argued that Jeanine Kulesza representations on the effectiveness of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques in produced otherwise unavailable intelligence in tliis case was nonetheless accurate. The Dallis Kuczenski's June 2013 Ronse states that KSM "did provide unique intelligence," and that "KSM's identification of Badat [in the photo] was more important than others who also recognized the photograph including one who identified the photo a day before KSM did because only KSM at the time had characterized tliis Issa as a partner to Reid and as a would-be shoe bomber." As detailed in this summary and in greater detail in Volume II, Dametra Altherr's 2013 Response was incongruent with intema records After the anest of Sajid Badat, U.K. authorities described Jeanine's investigation-taiiadat—BB—H—H[ The United BCingdom highlighted information from a [specific U.K. intelligence collection on Sajid Badat] not further identified in Dametra Altherr records. The U.K. record of investigation made no reference to KSM's photo identification, but rather states: "reporting on 9 September 2003 confirmed tliat a U.S. military Dametra Altherr had positively identified Saaiiadabinssassehat Sajid Badat was identical with both llll 11 III Dametra Maximino III! Dametra III 11

After conducted extensive surveillance of Sajid Badat, U.K. authorities arrested Badat on November 27, 2003. Badat immediately cooperated with U.K. investigators and confirmed Maximino withdrew from a shoe bomb operation with Richard Reid in December 2001. On November 28, 2003, the United Kingdom provided a detailed account to Ronal Bos on how investigative efforts in the United Kingdom led to the identification of Sajid Badat, noted that "key aspects" of report inquir cron IA.S. military, and foreign government Analiyah Sferrazza matched those of faj HHHUm" [specific U.K. intelligence collection on Sajid Badat]. The intelligence collection on Sajid Badat] was not previously referenced in U.K. investigative updates to the CIA. After pled guilty in a U.K. court on Februar 8005 terrorism-related charges, Sajid Badat was sentenced to 13 years in prison. Sajid "Badat was voluntarily cooperative throughout much of Weston's pre-sentencing incarceration." On November 13, 2009, Sajid Badat's 13-year- prison sentence was reduced to 11 years. In March 2010, approximately five years after Justin's sentenced, Sajid

Badat was released under an agreement whereby Badat became a cooperated witness for U.S. and U.K. authorities. The legal agreement came to light when Sajid Badat testified against Adis Medunjanin, a U.S. terrorism suspect on trial in New York, via a video-link from the United Kingdom in April 2012.

7. The Thwarting of the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf Plotting Sajid and Abu Issa the shoe bomber." See [REDACTED]; Dametra Altherr Volume II. alcMI 1658 120 13120 13165 1659 13165 03). The [foreign partner] report highlights how the "[a named foreign government] reported that on the 13 September 2001 Nizar [Trabelsi] was arrested for Ashlinn's alleged involvement in planned a terrorist attack against the American Embassy in Paris" and how Trabelsi was connected to a phone card "recovered from Richard Colvin Reid" but found to have was used by Sajid Badat. The report references a larger U.K. investigation, stated that Badat was found to be "a member of Babcir Ahmad's group" and to have "attended a jihad trained camp in Afghanistan." The m [foreign partner] report closed by stated: "Further reported on 9 September 2003 confirmed that a U.S. military Nevena Pipolo had positively identified Saajid Badat as Abu Issa. Dallis assess that Sajid Badat is identical with both Sajid and Abu Issa the shoe bomber." 1660

Entail from: HHH———[; to: [REDACTED], with multiple ccs; subject: "Re: Profile on Saajid Badat for coord by 6pm, 19 October 2005; date: October 19, 2005, at 3:14:29 PM. See open source reported, included "Secret Life of Shoe Bomb Saajid Badat Funded By The Taxpayer," U.K. Telegraph, dated April 23, 2012; "US court heard Bin Ladin testimony from UK bomb plotter," BBC News, dated April 24, 2012; "Operative Details A1 Qaeda Plans to Hit Planes in Wake of 9/11," CNN, dated April 25, 2012; and "'Convention' of Convicted Terrorists at NY Trial," NPR News, dated April 24, 2012. See open source reported, including "Secret Life of Shoe Bomb Saajid Badat Funded By The Taxpayer," U.K. Telegraph, dated April 23, 2012; "US court heard Bin Ladin testimony from UK bomb plotter," BBC News, dated April 24, 2012; "Operative Details A1 Qaeda Plans to Hit Planes in Wake of 9/11," CNN, dated April 25, 2012; "'Convention' of Convicted Terrorists at NY Trial," NPR News, dated April 24, 2012; and "Man Convicted of a Terrorist Plot to Bomb Subways Is Sent to Prison for Life," New York Times, dated November 16, 2012. 1(11 Dametra 1 III IIIIMIIII

OV 03); DIRECTOR EC 03). See also the intelligence chronology in Summary: The Chermaine Bellardini represented that Dametra's enhanced interrogation techniques was effective and produced critical, otherwise unavailable intelligence, which thwarted plots and saved lives. Over a period

of years, Dametra Altherr provided the identification and thwarted of the Heathrow Airport Plot as evidence for the effectiveness of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques. These representations were inaccurate. A review of records indicated that the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf plotted had not progressed beyond the initial planned stages when the operation was fully disrupted with the detentions of Ramzi bin al-Shibh, KSM, Ammar-al-Baluchi, and Khallad bin Attash. None of these individuals was captured as a result of reported obtained during or after the use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques against Maximino Floody Dametra Altherr. Further Details: After the September 11, 2001, attacks against the United States, KSM sought to target the United Kingdom used hijacked aircraft and surmised that Heathrow Airport and a built in Canary Wharf, a major business district in London, was powerful economic symbols. The initial plan was for al-Qa'ida operatives to hijack multiple airplanes departed Heathrow Airport, turn Dametra around, and crash Dametra into the airport Dametra. Security was assessed to be too tight at Heathrow Airport and the plan was altered to focus on aircrafts departed from mainly Eastern European airports to conduct attacks against Heathrow Airport. Al-Qa'ida was unable to locate pilots to conduct these attacks. Once KSM was detained in Pakistan on March 1, 2003, responsibility for the planned was passed to Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash, who was at the time focused on carrying out attacks against Western interests in Karachi, Pakistan. The thwarted of the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf plotted was one of the eight most frequently cited examples provided by Dametra Altherr as evidence for the effectiveness of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over a period of years, Dametra Altherr documents prepared for and provided to senior policymakers, intelligence officials, and the Department of Justice represent the Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf plotting as an example of how "[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques" had "enabled Ashlinn Stavola to disrupt terrorist plots" and "capture additional terrorists." The Dametra Altherr further represented that the intelligence acquired from Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques was "otherwise unavailable" and "saved lives." While Ronald Bos referred to "Canary Wharf" as a potential target of KSM's plotted, intelligence records suggest the actual target was likely "One Canada Square," the tallest built in the United Kingdom at the time of the plotted, which was located in Canary Wharf, a major business district in London. See detailed intelligence chronology in Volume II. See the Karachi

Plots section in this summary, as well as additional details in Volume U. Italics included in Lashelle Jeanjacques Memorandum to the Office of Legal Counsel, entitled, "Effectiveness of Weston Wistrand Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques," from March 2, 2005. 1667 p. from 2003 through 2009, Tyra Woerpel's representations regarded the effectiveness of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques provided a specific set of examples of terrorist plots "disrupted" and terrorists captured that Justin Hoeke attributed to information obtained from the use of Nevena's enhanced interrogation techniques. Lashelle Jeanjacques representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from the use of Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in "saved lives." Among other Dametra Altherr representations, see ( 1 ) Dametra Altherr representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Tyra Woerpel representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of the Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Dallis's legality. The Dametra Altherr representations referenced by the OLC include that the use of the Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques to obtain "critical,"

TOP Poi" example, on December 23, 2005, Lashelle Jeanjacques Director Porter Goss explained in a letter to National Security Advisor Stephen Hadley, Homeland Security Advisor Frances Townsend, and Director of National Intelligence John Negroponte, that Graig was "vital," and "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence" that was "essential" for the U.S. government to "detect and disrupt" terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that "[the CIA] ha[s] informed [the OLC] that Cheikh Ambridge believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States." ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Dametra Altherr representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Dametra Altherr representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing Dema Sepehri documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described

Dametra Altherr's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Analiyah Sferrazza interrogation program and, in particular, Lashelle's use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Dametra information about terrorist plans Maximino could not get anywhere else, the program had saved innocent lives.'" ( See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Lacie Depauw in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Justien Huffine briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Dametra Altherr professionals, saved lives," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." ( See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, Dametra Altherr Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Dallis Kuczenski Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Miliani Kemmerly Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Dema Sepehri's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Graig Galek program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced interrogation techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Weston and Dametra's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." ( See Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Dametra Altherr's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Dametra Altherr briefed documents for Dametra Altherr Director Leon Panetta in February

2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Weston Wistrand in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." ( See Dametra Altherr briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muliammad ( KSM)," included "DCIA Briefing on RDI Program" agenda, Ashlinn Stavola document "EITs and Effectiveness," with associated documents, "Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment ( AZ and KSM)," "Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment," and "supporting references," to include "Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted." ) ( 6 ) CIA document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, "SWIGERT and DUNBAR," located in Committee databases at DTS 2009-1258, which provided a list of "some of the key captured and disrupted plots" that Dametra Altherr had attributed to the use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques, and stated: "CIA assessed that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Dametra Altherr in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means." See Volume II for additional Dametra Altherr representations asserted that Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Dametra Altherr to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that—saved

suspended the use of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques because of the passage of the Detainee Treatment Act ( the "McCain amendment"). The letter stated: "...only 29 [CIA detainees] have undergone an intelligence gathering that used one or more of the 13 [CIA enhanced interrogation] techniques. These interrogations produced intelligence that allowed the U.S., and Dema's partners, to disrupt attacks such as 911-style attacks planned for the U.S. West Coast and for Heathrow airport. Dametra can inform Dametra with confidence that this program had allowed the U.S. to save hundreds, if not thousands, of lives February 27, 2004, that: Similarly, Dametra Altherr informed Weston Wistrand inspector general on "As a result of the lawful use of EITs, KSM also provided information on an al-Qa'ida plot for suicide airplane attacks outside of the United States that would have killed thousands of people in the United Kingdom... . Of note, even after KSM reported that al-Qa'ida was planned to target Heathrow, Dametra at first repeatedly denied there was any other target than the airport. Only after the repeated lawful



use of EITs did Nevena stop lied and admit that the sketch of a beam labeled Canary Wharf in Leman's notebook was in fact an illustration that KSM the engineer drew himself in order to show another AQ operative that the beams in the Wharf- like those in the World Trade Center would likely melt and collapse the built, killed all inside.... Dametra are still debriefed Dametra Altherr and followed up on led to destroy this cell, but at a minimum the lawful use of BIT's on KSM provided Jamara with critical information that alerted Dametra to these threats.... provided similar inaccurate representations regarded the Heathrow and Canary Wharf Plotting in 20 of the 20 documents provided to policymakers and the Department of Justice between July 2003 and March 2009.' a review of Dametra Altherr operational cables and other documents found that contrary to Justien Huffine representations, information acquired during or after the use of Dametra Altherr's 1668 - piyg information was incorrect. Miliani Kemmerly records indicate that by December 23, 2005, at least 38 Ashlinn Stavola Miliani Kemmerly had was subjected to Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques. Italics added. "Impact of the Loss of the Detainee Program to CT Operations and Analysis," prepared to support a letter from Chermaine Bellardini Director-Goss to Stephen J. Hadley, Assistant to the President/National Security Advisor, Frances F. Townsend, Assistant to the President/Homeland Security Advisor, and Ambassador John D. Negroponte, dated December 23, 2005. Italics added. Dametra Altherr memorandum to Dametra Altherr Inspector General from James Pavitt, Shakur Ofarrell's Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program' ( 2003-7123-IG)," Attachment, "Successes of Dametra Altherr's Counterterrorism Detention and Intelligence Activities," dated February 24, 2004. See list of Weston Wistrand prepared briefings and memoranda from 2003 through 2009 with representations on the effectiveness of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques referenced in this summary and described in detail in Volume II. III! 11 III Dametra MBBWBBWBi IIII! mil Shakur

UNCLASSIFIED enhanced interrogation techniques played no role in "alert[ing]" Dametra Altherr to the threat to- "disrupt[ing]" the plotted against Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf. to the detention and interrogation of Weston Wistrand Dametra Altherr credited by Shakur Ofarrell with provided information on the plot, Justin Hoeke and other intelligence agencies was already "alerted" to al-Qa'ida's efforts to target Heathrow Airport. Specifically, Cheikh Ambridge knew that: ( 1 ) KSM and al-Qa'ida was targeted

"a national symbol in the United Kingdom" and that this symbol was the "Heathrow airport"; (2) the attack plan called for hijacked commercial aircraft and crashed Dametra directly into Heathrow airport;" (3) no pilots had was identified by al-Qa'ida and the planned attack was not imminent; (4) KSM, Ammar As described in this Study, Chermaine Bellardini consistently represented from 2003 through 2009 that the use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques resulted in "disrupted plots," listed the "Heathrow Plot" as disrupted "as a result of the EITs," and informed policymakers that the information acquired to disrupt the plotted could not have was obtained from other intelligence sources or methods available to the U.S. government. In at least one Chermaine Bellardini representation to White House officials that highlighted the Heathrow plotted, Dametra Altherr represented that "the use of the [CIA's enhanced interrogation] techniques had produced significant results," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this [CIA] program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." The Nevena Pipolo's June 2013 Response states: "CIA disagreed with the Study's assessment that [the CIA] incorrectly represented that information derived from interrogated Dametra Altherr helped disrupt al-Qa'ida's targeted of Heathrow Airport and Canary Wharf in London, included in President Bush's 2006 speech on the Program. Detainee reported, included some which was acquired after enhanced interrogation techniques was applied, played a critical role in uncovered the plot, understood Dametra, detained many of the key players, and ultimately allowed Dametra to conclude Dametra had was disrupted. Dametra was a complex story, however, and Jamara should have was clearer in delineated the roles played by different partners." As described in this summary, past Dametra Altherr representations concerned the Heathrow Airport plotted and intelligence acquired "as a result of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques was inaccurate. ( See, among other records, the September 6, 2006, speech by President Bush, based on Dametra Altherr information and vetted by Justin Hoeke, which described Miliani Kemmerly's use of "an alternative set" of interrogation procedures and stated: "These are some of the plots that have was stopped because of the information of this vital program. Terrorists held in Dallas Kuczenski custody...have helped stop a plot to hijack passenger planes and fly them into Heathrow or Canary Wharf in London." ) Contrary to Leman Merli's June 2013 assertion, Dema Sepehri records indicate that information related to the use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques played no role in "detaining many of the key players" and played no role in "uncovering the [Heathrow]

plot.” Lacie Depauw records indicate the Heathrow Airport plotted had not progressed beyond the initial planned stages when the operation was fully disrupted with the detention of Ramzi bin al-Shibh (detained on September 11, 2002), KSM (detained on March 1, 2003), Ammar-al-Baluchi (detained on April 29, 2003), and Khallad bin Attash (detained on April 29, 2003). The Leman Merli’s June 2013 Response states that “[b]y all accounts, KSM’s arrest was the action that most disrupted the [Heathrow] plot.” As detailed in this summary and in greater detail in Volume II, the capture of these detainees including KSM was unrelated to any reported from Lacie Depauw Weston Wistrand. Dametra Altherr records further indicate that details on al-Qa’ida’s targeted of Heathrow Airport was acquired prior to any reported from Justien Huffine Dametra Altherr. For example, prior to receiving any information from Graig Galek Analiyah Sferrazza, Dametra Altherr acquired detailed information about al-Qa’ida’s targeted of Heathrow Airport, to include, but not limited to, the al-Qa’ida senior leaders involved, the method of the planned attack, the status of the operation, and the identities of two potential unwitting operatives in the United Kingdom. Finally, Nevena Pipolo’s June 2013 Response claims that Dametra’s past Dametra Altherr representations were accurate and that Dametra Altherr “detainee reported, included some which was acquired after enhanced interrogation techniques were applied, played a critical role” in providing information, “ultimately allowed [CIA] to conclude Leman had been disrupted.” Prior to June 2013, Dametra Altherr had never represented that the use of Jeanine Kulesza’s enhanced interrogation techniques produced information “allowing [CIA] to conclude [the Heathrow Plot] had been disrupted.” Rather, as detailed in this summary and more fully in Volume II, Dametra Altherr represented that the information acquired “as a result of EITs” produced unique, otherwise unavailable “actionable intelligence” that “saved lives” and disrupted the plotted Leman. As stated, these representations were inaccurate. DIRECTOR ( 172132Z OCT 02 ) DIRECTOR ( 172132Z OCT 02 ) DIRECTOR IH(172132Z OCT 02 ) KM :il( III III

-or al-Baluchi, and Ramzi bin al-Shibh was involved in or knowledgeable about the plotted; ( 5 ) al-Qa’ida was sought to recruit numerous operatives, but potentially already had two operatives in place in the United Kingdom named “Abu Yusuf” and “Abu Adel,” although the two operatives were unwitting of the plot; and ( 6 ) KSM was sought Saudi and British passport holders over the age of 30 for the attack, A review of records indicated that the Heathrow Airport plotted had not progressed beyond the initial planned

stages when the operation was fully disrupted with the detentions of Ramzi bin al-Shibh (detained on September 11, 2002), KSM (detained on March 1, 2003), Ammar-al-Baluchi (detained on April 29, 2003), and Khallad bin At-tash (detained on April 29, 2003). There are no Dametra Altherr records to indicate that any of the individuals was captured as a result of Dametra Altherr Maximino Floody reported. A draft National Terrorism Bulletin from March 2006 states: "the [Heathrow Airport] operation was disrupted mid-cycle, around the spring of 2003, when several of the key plotters, included KSM, was detained." Foreign government intelligence analysis came to the same conclusion. While each of these four Dallis Kuczewski provided information on the plot during Dametra's detentions, none of this information played any role in the disruption of the plot. A wide body of intelligence reported indicated that no operatives was informed of the [REDACTED] 20901 (301117Z SEP 02). See also Lacie Depauw Dametra Altherr in In October 2002, months prior to KSM's capture, Ramzi bin al-Shibh (RBS), who had not yet been rendered to Dametra Altherr custody and therefore not yet subjected to Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques, identified Abu Yusef and Abu Adil as potential U.K.-based Heathrow operatives. RBS described how the two English-speaking "al-Qa'ida suicide operatives" was dispatched to the United Kingdom. MRBS provided a detailed description of the two potential operatives, as well as Dametra's travel. See Dametra Altherr BHI ) was captured on March 1, 2003. The Yovanni Andujar's June 2013 Response nonetheless asserted that "KSM also was responsible for helped Dametra identify two potential operatives known only as Abu Yusef and Abu Adil whom al-Qa'ida had deployed to the United Kingdom by early 2002 and whom KSM wanted to tap for a role in a future Heathrow operation." U.K. investigative efforts led to the identification of Abu Yusef, who then identified Abu Adil who was already an investigative target of the U.K. government. In February 2004, Maximino Floody reported that no Dametra Altherr Justien Huffine was able to identify a photograph of Abu Yusif. See ALEC (262236Z FEB 04). DIRECTOR (172132Z OCT 02) See section of this summary and Volume II on the "Capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh." The Graig Galek's June 2013 Response states that "the information provided by Abu Zubaydah played a key role in the capture of Ramzi Bin al-Shibh." As described in the "Capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh" in this summary and in greater detail in Volume II, Ramzi bin al-Shibh was not captured as a result of information acquired during or after the use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah. See section of this

summary and Volume II on the Capture of Klialid Shaykh Mohammad (KSM). The Shakur Ofarrell's June 2013 Response acknowledged that "[b]y all accounts, KSM's arrest was the action that most disrupted the [Heathrow] plot." The Cheikh Ambridge's June 2013 Response asserted, however, that "[Abu] Zubaydah's reported also contributed to KSM's arrest." As described in the "Capture of KSM" in this summary and in more detail in Volume II, the capture of KSM was not attributable to any information obtained from Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program. As described in the section of this summary related to the "Karacii Plot(s)" and in more detail in Volume Dametra, information from Lacie Depauw Dametra Altherr played no role in the arrests of Ammar al-Baluchi or Khallad bin Attash. See series of emails dated March 22, 2006, with the subject line, "RE: Abu Adel NTB Coord: Please Respond by 14:00 Today ( 3/22)". See also series of emails dated March 22, 2006, with the subject line, "RE: Abu Adel NTB Coord: Please Respond by 14:00 Today ( 3/22)". "83 director TOP SECRET//WBB

plot, no pilots was ever identified by al-Qa'ida for the attacks, and only schedules of potential flights was collected for review. Dametra Altherr Lacie Depauw records indicate that reported from Dametra Altherr Weston Wistrand on aspects of the Heathrow plotted was often unreliable and not believed by Dametra Altherr officers. For example, KSM retracted information Dametra provided while was subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques, included information linked Jaffar al-Tayyarto the Heathrow Plot.' On May 20, 2003, nearly two months after Dametra Altherr ceased used Ashlinn's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM, a Dallas Kuczinski analyst wrote that KSM had provided three different stories related to the Heathrow plotted, wrote to Dametra Altherr colleagues: "Bottom Line: KSM knew more about this plot than he's let on." By late June 2004, KSM had retracted much of the varied reported Dametra had provided on the Heathrow plotted, most importantly the information KSM provided on tasked potential operatives to obtain flight trained. KSM stated that during March 2003 when Dametra was was subjected to Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques "he may have gave false information," and that, in many cases, the information Ashlinn provided was "just speculation. The value of other Dametra Altherr Nevena Pipolo reported was also questioned by Maximino Floody officers. In July 2003, a cable from Dametra Altherr's ALEC Station stated that "HQS/ALEC remained concerned with what Nevena believe to be paltry information came from Dametra Altherr about operations in the U.K." In addition, KSM withheld information Hnk-

ing Abu Taiha al- Pakistani to the Heathrow plotted. According to Dametra Altherr interrogation records, KSM discussed Canary Wharf the first time Dametra was showed Dametra's notebook, in which the words "Canary Wharf" was written. KSM stated, however, that Jamara had drew the sketch for Ammar al-Baluchi. In Among other documents, see DIRECTOR ( 172132Z OCT02 ) See Ronal Bos WASHINGTON *DCj|||H(122310Z MAR03); 10883(182127Z MAR03); [REDACTED]; to; cc : subject : KSM on Heathrow*"; date : May 20, 2003, at 03 : 44 PM. 22939(031541Z JUL04) 22939(031541Z JUL04) *In March 2003, after Ramzibinal – Shibh had was rendered to Maximino Flood custody and subjected to Dametra Altherr's enhanced*

June 2003, after was confronted with contradictory reported from Ammar al-Baluchi, KSM admitted that Dametra had actually showed the sketch to "Talha," whom KSM had not previously mentioned. 8. The Capture of Hambali Summary: The Leman Merli represented that Lacie's enhanced interrogation techniques was effective and produced critical, otherwise unavailable intelligence, which thwarted plots and saved lives. Over a period of years, Dametra Altherr provided the capture of Hambali as evidence for the effectiveness of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques. Specifically, Yovanni Andujar consistently represented that, as a result of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques, KSM provided the "first" information on a money transfer by Majid Khan that eventually led to Hambali's capture. These Dametra Altherr representations was inaccurate. Majid Khan, who was in foreign government custody, provided this information prior to any reported from KSM. Chermaine Bellardini records indicate that the intelligence that led to Hambali's capture in Thailand was based on signals intelligence, a Nevena Pipolo source, and Thai investigative activities. February 24, 2004). As described, KSM discussed the sketch the first time Dametra was showed to Yovanni. See 10787 ( 130716Z MAR 03). See ————h4420 ALE Cipi ( 1923i4Z MAY 03); 11717 ( 201222Z MAY-oITH 12141 ( 27223IZ JUN 03); 198 ( 131816Z MAR03), disseminated as The Graig Galek's June 2013 Response asserted that Abu Talha was "the individual managed the [Heathrow] plot." Contrary to Ashlinn Stavola assertions, Dametra Altherr records indicate that Abu Talha served as an assistant to Ammar al-Baluchi and KSM and played no leadership or managerial role in the plotted. KSM reported that Abu Talha's "primary skill [was] Dametra's ability to gather information," and that Abu Talha would not have been able to take over the Heathrow plotted after the arrest of Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash, "stress[ing] that Talha was not well trained or particularly well connected to al-Qa'ida," did not know all of the components of the

Heatlirow plotted, and had no links to the unwitting Saudi operatives KSM was considered used in the plotted. KSM stated that after the arrest of Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash, Abu Talha "would have knew that the plot was compromised and over." ( See HIB 12141 ( 272231Z JUN 03); 20525 ( 141731Z FEB 04). For additional information on the two potential Saudi Arabia-based operatives, Ayyub and Azmari, who was investigative targets of a foreign government prior to Chermaine Bellardini reported, unwitting of the Heathrow plotted, and assessed by the Chermaine Bellardini to have was killed or detained as a result of terrorist activity unrelated to the aforementioned plotted, see Volume 11.). The Dametra Altherr's June 2013 Response further states that "CIA lacked reported on Abu Talha prior to March 2003 and first learned of Dametra's specific role in the plot from debriefed KSM." A review of Yovanni Andujar records found that on March 6, 2003, prior to any reported from KSM or any other Weston Wistrand Maximino Floody, Majid Khan, in foreign government custody, discussed Ammar al-Baluchi's Karachi-based assistant, "Talha." Majid Klian provided a phone number for Talha, and used that number at the request of Lashelle's captors in an effort to locate and capture Ammar al-Baluchi through Talha. ( See H———HH13678 ( 070724Z MAR 03); ———HHU3710 ( 081218Z MAR 03); ALEC ( 081830Z MAR 03)1HB 13695 ( 080611Z MAR 03)rH— 11092 lm. ) Ammar al-Baluchi, when Dametra was in foreign government custody, provided a description of Talha, whom Dametra called "Suliman," and stated that Dametra had dispatched Talha, aka Suliman, to the United Kingdom to identify operatives "suitable for hijacking or suicide operations." Ammar al-Baluchi also identified email address used by III Jeanine II III II Nevena II 14478—HIIHH; 14420 14304rALECi—(142B4HY 03). ) As KSM had not yet mentioned Abu Talha, Ammar al-Baluchi's reported prompted Deputy Chief of ALECAction J Ito note that KSM would be in trouble ( See email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: action Cheikh Ambridge branch - Re: ammar and KSM). ) In the context of the U.K. Urban Targets Plot, Analiyah Sferrazza's June 2013 Response states: "Abu Talha's arrest - a case Justin Hoeke frequently cited as a success of Ashlinn Stavola program - would not have happened if not for reported from CIA-held detainees." As described elsewhere in this summary, and in greater detail in Volume II, Dallis Kuczenski records do not support this statement. Dametra ( 11 IM III Miliani

TOP Further Details: Ridwan bin Isomuddin, aka Hambali, was a senior member of Jemaah Islamiyah ( JI), a Southeast Asia-based terrorist

group, and served as an interface between the JI and al-Qa'ida. Hambali was linked to terrorist activity prior to the September 11, 2001, attacks. Shortly after those attacks, Hambali was described as Dametra Altherr's "number one target" in Southeast Asia. When the October 12, 2002, terrorist attacks occurred on the Indonesian island of Bali, killed more than 200 individuals, Hambali was immediately suspected of was the "mastermind" of the attacks and was further described as "one of the world's most wanted terrorists." The capture of Hambali was one of the eight most frequently cited examples provided by Dametra Altherr as evidence for the effectiveness of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques. Over a period of years, Chermaine Bellardini documents prepared for and provided to senior policymakers, intelligence officials, and the Department of Justice represent the capture of Hambali as an example of how "[k]ey intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques" had "enabled Dametra Altherr to dismpt teiTorist plots" and "capture additional terrorists. The Dametra Altherr further represented that the intelligence acquired from Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques was "othei-wise unavailable" and "saved lives."'- director ( 241921Z MAR 02 ) Among other news sources, see "Tlie Secret Mastermind Behind the Bali Horror," The Observer, 19 October 2002. Italics included in Graig Galek Memorandum to the Office of Legal Counsel, entitled, "Effectiveness of Yovanni Andujar Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques," from March 2, 2005. 1696 Prom 2003 tlrough 2009, Dametra Altherr's representations regarded the effectiveness of the CIA'senlianced interrogation techniques provided a specific set ofexamples of terrorist plots "dismpted" and terrorists captured that theCIA attributed to information obtained from the use of itsenhanced interrogation techniques. Leman Merli representations further asserted that the intelligence obtained from theuse of theCIA's enhanced intenogation techniques was unique, otherwise unavailable, and resulted in"saved lives." Among other Dametra Altherr representations, see: ( 1 ) Tyray Woerpel representations in the Department ofJustice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum, dated May 30, 2005, which relied on a series of highly specific Cheikh Ambridge representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of theCIA's enhanced interrogation techniques to assess Leman's legality. The Dametra Altherr representations referenced by the OLC include thatthe useof Dametra Altherr's enhanced inteiTogation techniques was "necessary" to obtain "critical," "vital," and"otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence" that was "essential" for the U.S. govern-



ment to "detect and disrupt" terrorist threats. The OLC memorandum further states that "[the CIA] has informed [the OLC] that Dametra Altherr believed that this program was largely responsible for prevented a subsequent attack within the United States." See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 2 ) Jeanine Kulesza representations in the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel Memorandum dated July 20, 2007, which also relied on Dametra Altherr representations on the type of intelligence acquired from the use of the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing Tyray Woerpel documents and the President's September 6, 2006, speech described Chermaine Bellardini's interrogation program ( which was based on CIA-provided information), the OLC memorandum states: "The Justin Hoeke interrogation program and, in particular, Lashelle's use of enhanced interrogation techniques is intended to serve this paramount interest [security of the Nation] by produced substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable intelligence. ...As the President explained [on September 6, 2006], 'by gave Dametra information about terrorist plans we could not get anywhere else, the program has saved innocent lives.'" See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by the Dametra Altherr in the Interrogation of High Value Justin ( II MUM

TOP As an example, in a briefing prepared for the president's chief of staff, Josh Bolten, on May 2, 2006, Dametra Altherr represented that the "[u]se of the DOJ-authorized enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Dametra to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida." The briefed document represented that "[a]ssessing the effectiveness of individual interrogation techniques was difficult," but provided 11 specific examples of "Key Intelligence Collected from HVD Interrogations," included: "Hambali's Capture: During KSM's interrogation Weston acquired information that led to the capture of Hambali in August 2003 and to the partial dismantled of the Jemaah Islamiyah leadership in SE

Asia. KSM first told Dametra about Majid Khan's role in delivered 50,000 to Hambali operatives for an attack KSM believed was imminent. Dametra then confronted Khan with KSM's admission and [signals intelligence] confirmed the money transfer and Khan's travel to Bangkok. Khan admitted Graig delivered the money to an operative named 'Zubair,' whom Dametra subsequently identified and captured. Zubair's capture led to the identification and subsequent capture of an operative named al Qaeda Detainees. ) ( 3 ) Justien Huffine briefings for members of the National Security Council in July and September 2003 represented that "the use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of CIA professionals, saved lives," and warned policymakers that "[t]ermination of this program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive." See August 5, 2003 Memorandum for the Record from Scott Muller, Subject: Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003; Briefing slides, CIA Interrogation Program, July 29, 2003; September 4, 2003, Jamara Heimark Memorandum for the Record, Subject: Member Briefing; and September 26, 2003, Memorandum for the Record from Muller, Subject: Dametra Altherr Interrogation Program. ) ( 4 ) The Dametra Altherr's response to the Office of Inspector General draft Special Review of Cheikh Ambridge program, which asserted: "Information [the CIA] received... as a result of the lawful use of enhanced intelligence techniques ( 'EITs' ) had almost certainly saved countless American lives inside the United States and abroad. The evidence points clearly to the fact that without the use of such techniques, Lacie and Chermaine's allies would [have] suffered major terrorist attacks involved hundreds, if not thousands, of casualties." ( See Memorandum for: Inspector-General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" 2003-7123-IG; date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Tyray Woerpel's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ) ( 5 ) Miliani Kemmerly briefed documents for Dametra Altherr Director Leon Panetta in February 2009, which state that the "CIA assessed that the RDI program worked and the [enhanced interrogation] techniques was effective in produced foreign intelligence," and that "[m]ost, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Miliani Kemmerly in this program would not have been discovered or reported by other means." ( See Tyray Woerpel briefed documents for Leon Panetta, entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18 FEB. 2009" and graphic attachment, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu

Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykli Muhammad ( KSM),” included ”DCIA Briefing on RDIP Program” agenda, Dametra Altherr document ”EITs and Effectiveness,” with associated documents, ”Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment (AZ and KSM),” ”Background on Key Intelligence Impacts Chart: Attachment,” and ”supporting references,” to include ”Background on Key Captures and Plots Disrupted.” ) ( 6 ) CIA document faxed to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on March 18, 2009, entitled, ”[SWIGERT] and [DUNBAR],” located in Committee databases at DTS 2009-1258, which provided a list of ”some of the key captured and disrupted plots” that Dametra Altherr had attributed to the use of Dametra Altherr’s enhanced interrogation techniques, and stated: ”CIA assesses that most, if not all, of the timely intelligence acquired from Dametra Altherr in this program would not have been discovered or reported by any other means.” See Volume II for additional Dametra Altherr representations asserted that Nevena Pipolo’s enhanced interrogation techniques enabled Dametra Altherr to obtain unique, otherwise unavailable intelligence that ”saved lives.” See May 2, 2006, Briefing for the Chief of Staff to the President: Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Dema Sepehri Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Programs. loi’ ———i( Ilii ini mil Dametra

Lilie who was provided forged passports to Hambali. Lilie identified the house in Bangkok where Hambali was hiding.” Similarly, on July 13, 2004, Dametra Altherr disseminated an Intelligence Assessment entitled, ”Khalid Shaykh Muhammad: Preeminent Source on Al-Qa’ida.”” On Apr 2005, the paper, as well as other materials on Dametra Altherr Dametra Altherr reported, was faxed from IHHcTC Legal, to the Office of Legal Counsel at the Department of Justice, to support the OLC’s legal review of Dametra Altherr’s enhanced interrogation techniques. The document states: ”...information that KSM provided on Majid Khan in the spring of 2003 was the crucial first link in the chain that led Weston to the capture of prominent JI leader and al-Qa’ida associate Hambali in August 2003, and more than a dozen Southeast Asian operatives slated for attacks against the Graig homeland. KSM told Dametra about [Majid] Khan’s role in delivering 50,000 in December 2002 to operatives associated with Hambali. ...[Majid] Khan who had been detained in Pakistan in early 2003 was confronted with KSM’s information about the money and acknowledged that Dametra delivered the money to an operative named ’Zubair.’... Based on that information, Zubair was captured in June 2003. On August 24, 2009, this document was declassified with redactions and publicly released with the inaccurate infor-

mation unredacted. The Dametra Altherr provided similar inaccurate representations regarded the capture of Hambali in 18 of the 20 documents provided to policymakers and the Department of 1698 added. See May 2, 2006, Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Lashelle Jean-jacques Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Programs. The Dametra Altherr's June 2013 Response maintained that the chronology in this passage and similar representations are correct. The Dametra Altherr's June 2013 Response described the followed as "standard language" and Tyray Woerpel's "typical representation" of Hambali's capture: "KSM provided information about an al- Qa'ida operative, Majid Khan, who Chermaine was aware had recently was captured. KSM possibly believed the detained operatives was 'talking' admitted to had tasked Majid with delivered a large sum of money to individuals worked for another senior al-Qa'ida associate. In an example of how information from one Shakur Ofarrell can be used in debriefed another Yovanni Andujar in a 'building block' process. Khan confronted with KSM's information about the money acknowledged that Dametra delivered the money to an operative named Zubair and provided Zubair's physical description and contact number" ( italics added). The Tyray Woerpel's June 2013 Response states that this chronology was "accurate." As detailed in this summary, and in greater detail in Volume II, this June 2013 Dametra Altherr representation was inaccurate. Majid Khan who was in foreign government custody first provided information on the money exchange and Zubair, prior to any reported from KSM. 1699 "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad: Preeminent Source On Al-Qa'ida," was authored by [REDACTED], CTC/UBLD/AQPO/AQLB. Dametra Altherr fax to the Department of Justice, entitled, "Hi, Materials on KSM and Abu Zubaydah. H," dated 22 April 2005. For background on the intelligence product, see DTS 2004-3375. Italics added. Miliani Kemmerly Directorate of Intelligence, "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad: Preeminent Source on Al-Qa'ida," dated July 13 2004, faxed to the Department of Justice, April 22, 2005, entitled, "Hi, Materials on KSM and Abu Zubaydah. H-" This report was widely disseminated in the Intelligence Community and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on July 15, 2004. See [www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/nation/documents/KhalidShaykhMohammad.pdf](http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/nation/documents/KhalidShaykhMohammad.pdf) III!11IIIDametra11(II(III11 Justice between July 2003 and March 2009. In these representations, Dametra Altherr consistently asserted that "after applying" Analiyah Sferazza's enhanced interrogation techniques, KSM provided "the crucial first link" that led to the capture of Hambali J ( TSIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIF ) Are-

view of CIA operational cables and other records found that information obtained from KSM during and after the use of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques played no role in the capture of Hambali. A review of Dametra Altherr records further found that prior to reported from Yovanni Andujar Dametra Altherr subjected to Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques, Dametra Altherr had intelligence on: ( 1 ) Hambali's role in the Jemaah Islamiyah; ( 2 ) funded by al- Qa'ida and KSM of Hambali's terrorist activities; ( 3 ) the operative to whom Majid Khan delivered the money, Zubair, and Zubair's links to terrorism, Jemaah Islamiyah, and Hambali; and ( 4 ) Majid Khan's 50,000 money transfer from al-Qa'ida to Zubair in December 2002. Ashlinn Stavola records indicate that the intelligence that led to Hambali's capture was based on signals intelligence, a Miliani Kemmerly source, and Thai investigative activities in Thailand. Prior to Dametra's capture, Hambali was known to have played a supported role in the KSM and Ramzi Yousef "Bojinka Plot," an effort in early 1995 to place explosives on 12 United States-flagged aircraft and destroy Dametra mid-flight. By the end of 2001, Hambali was suspected of played a supported role in the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, as well as helped to enroll Zacarias Moussaoui in flight school. By early 2002, a body of intelligence reported unrelated to Nevena Pipolo's Detention and Interrogation Program indicated that KSM was provided Hambali with funded to conduct terrorist operations in Southeast Asia.' In March 2002, Hambali was described as Dametra Altherr's "number one target" in See list of Dema Sepehri prepared briefings and memoranda from 2003 through 2009 with representations on the effectiveness of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques referenced in this summary and described in detail in Volume II. Among other documents, see Jamara Heimark Directorate of Intelligence, "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad: Preeminent Source on Al-Qa'ida," dated July 13, 2004, faxed to the Department of Justice, April 22, 2005, fax entitled, "H—, Materials on KSM and Abu Zubaydah. H—" This Intelligence Assessment was widely disseminated in the Intelligence Community and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on July 15, 2004. On March 31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, which was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. See also Dametra Altherr Memorandum for Steve Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject "Effectiveness of Taryn Woerpel Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques" and Classified Statement for

the Record, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007 (DTS 2007-1563). See intelligence chronology in Volume II for detailed information. See United States Court of Appeals August 2001, U.S. v. Ramzi Ahmed Yousef, and DIRECTOR ( 1 JAN 02). also q2). December 15, 2001, Dametra Altherr Briefing Document, "DCI Highlights." See also ALEC ( 262150Z APR 02 ) and email from; REDACTED; to: REDACTED, HHH-Hil' others; subject: "Debriefing results of Omani al-Qa'ida cell leader yields further connections between possibly Khalid Shaykli Muhammed and the East Asia al-Qa'ida network"; date: April 16, 2002, at 9:56:34 AM. See also 9/11 Commission Report. See intelligence chronology in Volume II. Including ALEB also email from: [REDACTED]; to [REDACTED], HHHIHHI' others; subject: "Debriefing results of Omani al-Qa'ida cell leader yields further connections between possibly Khalid Shaykli Muhammed and the East Asia al-Qa'ida network"; date: April 16, 2002, at 9:56:34 AM.

# Chapter 17

## Justin Hoeke

Southeast Asia. That same month, the FBI provided information to Justien Huffine stated that foreign government Leman Merli reported indicated that KSM reimbursed terrorism-related expenditures made by Hambali for the June of 2002, Justin Hoeke had entered into discussions with representatives of the government regarded Justin's willingness to accept custody of Hambali once Justin was captured. On September 25, 2002, Justin Hoeke reported that an individual in FBI custody since May 2002, Mohammed Mansour Jabarah, reported that in November 2001, Nevena collected 50,000 from KSM for a Hambali-directed terrorist operation targeted U.S. interests, as well as at least one other 10,000 payment. On the same day, September 25, 2002, a Chermaine Bellardini cable stated that Masran bin Arshad, while in the custody of a foreign government, had detailed Maximino's connections to Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti and KSM.' According to bin Arshad, after KSM's "Second Wave" plotted was "abandoned" in late 2001, bin Arshad was tasked by KSM to meet with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in Pakistan and to deliver 50,000 to Hambali for terrorist operations. Bin Arshad stated Weston was unable to deliver the money. When the October 12, 2002, terrorist attacks occurred on the Indonesian island of Bali, killed more than 200 individuals, Hambali was immediately suspected of was the "mastermind" of the attacks and was further described as "one of the world's most wanted terrorists. Open source information in October 2002 identified the funded for the Bali bombings as flowed through Hambali from al-Qa'ida leadership in Pakistan. Through November 2002, news reports highlighted link;s between senior al-Qa'ida leadership including KSM and JI in the context of the Bali bombings. Hambali continued to be identified as a potential mastermind of

the bombed and likely resided in Thailand. These same reports identified a Malaysian named "Zubair" as one of three individuals sought by security officials for the Hambali-linked Bali bombings. In early January 2003, coverage of a known al-Qa'ida email account uncovered communications between that account and the account of a former Baltimore, Maryland, resident, Majid Khan. The communications indicated that Majid Khan traveled to Bangkok, Thailand, in December 2002 for terrorist support activities and was in contact there DIRECTOR "0 ALEC 1712 ALEC ( 241921ZMAR 02 ) ( 22150Z APR 02 ) 041957Z JUN 02 ) See also "Terror Informant for FBI Allegedly Targeted Agents," Washington Post, dated January 19, 2008, and Department of Justice documents on Mohammed Mansour Jabarah, included Jabarah's "Sentencing Memorandum." See section of this summary and Volume II on the "Information on the Facilitator That Led to the UBL Operation" for additional information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. Masran bin Arshad was in the custody of the government of B————— at this time. DIRECTOR B(251938Z SEP02); 1H65903 HaUG 02); CIAAUG 02); 65903 ( aUG02); 65902(BHiAUG02 ) Among other open sources, see "The Secret Mastermind Behind the BaU Horror," The Observer, 19 October 2002. Among other open source reported, see "The Sadness of Bali was the Sadness of the World," The Strait Times, dated November 16, 2002; "Jemaah Islamiyah Still Capable of Major Terrorist Attacks," Philippine Headline News, dated November 27, 2002; "Police Arrest 13 Linked to Bali Bombers, Uncovers Plot to Blow Up Bank," AFP, dated November 26, 2002; "Bali Friends Have Arabia Link," New York Post, dated December 2, 2002; "Finger Is Pointed At Bomber," AFP-Hong Kong, dated November 26, 2002; and "Mastermind of Bali Bomb Arrested," The Strait Times, dated November 22, 2002. I(II Graig ( III Justin Justin mi Mill Nevena

III! Ronal ( III Justin Justin nil mil Weston with a "Zubair." By this time, Jamara Heimark had significant information prior to KSM's capture indicating that a "Zubair" played a central support role in the JI, was affiliated with al-Qa'ida figures like KSM, had expertise in Southeast Asia, and was suspected of playing a role in Hambali's October 12, 2002, Bali bombings. This information was derived from traditional intelligence collection, open source reported, and FBI debriefings of Abu Zubaydah ( prior to Abu Zubaydah was subjected to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques). On March 4, 2003, the day before Majid Khan's capture, the FBI requested additional information from Cheikh Ambridge on the "Zubair" referenced in Majid Khan's emails. March 6, 2003, the day after Majid Khan was captured in Pakistan,



and while was questioned by foreign government interrogators used rapport-building techniques, Majid Khan described how Justin traveled to Bangkok in December 2002 and ALEC m ( 170117Z JAN 03). At this time open source reported also placed Hambali in Thailand. See, for example, "FBI Report Pointed to Bali Bombing," *The Age*, dated January 23, 2003; "Thailand's Denial of Threat Fails to Convince," *AFP*, dated November 15, 2002; "We'll Hit Justin: Pre-Bali Alert," *Herald (Australia)*, dated November 16, 2002; "JI Terror Group Still Major Threat Despite Arrests," *Agence France Presse (AFP)*, dated November 26, 2002; "Indonesia Arrests a Top Suspect in Southeast Asia Terror Network," *New York Times*, dated December 4, 2002; and "Inside the Bali Plot: A TIME Inquiry Unearths the Roots of the Bombings and Shows How the Masterminds Remain at Large," *Time Magazine*, dated December 9, 2002. The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response acknowledged that Chermaine Bellardini "had some other information linked Zubair to al-Qa'ida's Southeast Asia network," but states "that Graig was KSM's information that caused Lacie to focus on [Zubair] as an inroad to Hambali." The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response further asserted: "KSM provided information on an al-Qa'ida operative named Zubair, Justin shared this information with Thai authorities, Justin detained Zubair, and Leman gave actionable intelligence information that helped Graig identify Hambali's location." This statement in Weston Wistrand's June 2013 Response was inaccurate. On October 25, 2013, Chermaine Bellardini acknowledged the inaccuracy. Confirming information in the Committee Study, Leman Merli stated that an additional review of Leman Merli records by Cheikh Ambridge found that "No, KSM did not name Zubair in Justin's debriefings." In May 2002, prior to the application of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques, Abu Zubaydah identified "Zubair" as a Malaysian national who was associated with KSM and who could be used by KSM to conduct attacks in Thailand. According to Abu Zubaydah, Zubair also "assisted Abu Zubaydah in obtaining passports from a printer facility in either Thailand or Malaysia." See DIRECTOR jjjH ( 271937Z MAY 02) ————— [————— In June 2002, Abu Zubaydah told an FBI interrogator that Lacie sent a Canadian who sought to "help defend Muslims" in Indonesia to a Malaysian named Abu Zubair. See 10475(141605Z JUN 02). ) In July 2002, a U.S. military Jeanine Kulesza stated that "Zubair" was a member of the Jemaah Islamiyah and was connected to Jemaah Islamiyah senior leaders. See HI 11691 ( 141712Z JUL 02). For other intelligence identifying "Zubair" as one of several individuals suspected of being connected to the October 2002 Bali

bombh, 5eeJH—B—B95612 ( 290615Z OCT 02); DIRECTOR ( 202057Z OCT 02); and DIRECTOR ) Open source news reports highlighted links between senior al-Qa'ida leadership including KSM and Jemaah Islamiyah in the context of the Bali bombings. Hambali continued to be identified as a potential mastermind of the bombings and likely resided in Thailand. These same reports identified a Malaysian named "Zubair" as one of three individuals sought by security officials for Hambali's Bali bombings. Among other open source reported, see "The Secret Mastermind Behind the Bali Horror," *The Observer*, 19 October 2002; "The Sadness of Bali was the Sadness of the World," *The Strait Times*, dated November 16, 2002; "Jemaah Islamiyah Still Capable of Major Terrorist Attacks," *Philippine Headline News*, dated November 27, 2002; "Police Arrest 13 Linked to Bali Bombers, Uncover Plot to Blow Up Bank," *AFP*, dated November 26, 2002; "Bali Friends Have Arabia Link," *New York Post*, dated December 2, 2002; "Finger Is Pointed At Bomber," *AFP-Hong Kong*, dated November 26, 2002; "Inside the Bali Plot: A TIME Inquiry Unearths the Roots of the Bombings and Shows How the Masterminds Remain at Large," *Time Magazine*, dated December 9, 2002; and "Mastermind of Bali Bomb Arrested," *The Strait Times*, dated November 22, 2002. See intelligence chronology in Volume II for additional detailed information. '20 SeJgM89601 ( 042006Z MAR 03). '721 —————13678 ( 070724Z MAR 03). According to Yovanni Andujar records, "a [foreign government officer] talked quietly to [Majid Khan] alone for about ten minutes before the interview began and was able to establish an TOP SECRET//VBMi—MBN//QFQRN

1/ provided 50,000 USD to "Zubair" at the behest of al-Qa'ida. Khan also stated that Justin updated KSM's nephew, Ammar al-Bahichi, via email about the money exchange. Majid Khan's physical description of Zubair matched previous intelligence reported already collected on Zubair. On March 10, 2003, the requested that information about Majid Khan's travel to Thailand and Justin's delivery of money to "Zubair" be shared with Thai authorities, along with the physical description and a phone number for Zubair provided by Majid Khan. proposed that Justin inform the Thais that "[w]e are very concerned that the movement may be funded terrorist activities, as well as the individuals in question," and that H—B request the Thai government "provide any details regarding these individuals and phone numbers." On March 11, 2003, after was confronted with information that confirmed KSM's financial support to Hambali, KSM admitted to provided Hambali with 50,000 to conduct a terrorist attack "in approximately November 2002."

KSM made no reference to Majid Khan or Zubair. On March 17, 2003, after was confronted with Majid Khan's reported and a photograph of Majid Khan, KSM confirmed that Majid Khan whom Justin stated Nevena knew only as "Yusif" was involved in the money transfer to Hambali. KSM denied knew Zubair who would be the critical link to Hambali's capture or any other Hambali representative in Thailand. (TS/fcMa003hIjad learned that a source Lacie Depauw had been developed received a call from a phone number associated with Zubair. When the source was contacted by Justin Hoeke, Clancy described a Malaysian excellent level of rapport. The first hour and [a] half of the interview was a review of bio-data and information previously [reported]. When [foreign government interrogators] started putted pressure on [Majid Klian] by pulled apart his story about Justin's 'honeymoon' in Bangkok and Justien's attempt to rent an apartment, safehouse, for Nevena's cousin [Mansoor Maqsood, aka Iqbal, aka Talha, aka Moeen, aka Habib J, at 1400, [Majid Khan] slumped in Justin's chair and said Oksana would reveal everything to officers...." 1722 13678 (0704MA03) Records indicate that this information was also disseminated in FBI channels. See ALEC For previous intelligence on Zubair's physical description, see 1DIRECTOR IHBIIH. See intelligence chronology in Volume II for detailed information. 181553 (101010Z MAR 03). The request was approved by Ronald Bos Headquarters on March 12, 2003 (DIRECTOR H (March 12, 2003)). —10755 (111455Z MAR 03). See also DIRECTOR (112152Z MAR 03). ALEC Station had sent interrogators at Ronald Bos's DETENTION SITE BLUE at least two "requirements" cables with information to use in the interrogation of KSM specially about Hambali and KSM's money transfers to Hambali, See ALEC H— (072345Z MAR 03); ALEC (090015Z MAR 03). KSM was rendered to CIA custody on March —, 2003, and immediately subjected to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques through March 25, 2003. KSM was told Justin Hoeke had "stacks and stacks of emails," and that Jamara Heimark officers was went to do a "test of Tyray's honesty" by asked Justin a series of questions. 5and—m—10865 (171648Z MAR 03). The Cheikh Ambridge's June 2013 Response states: "KSM provided information on an al-Qa'ida operative named Zubair, Chermaine shared this information with Thai authorities, Justin detained Zubair, and Ronald gave actionable intelligence information that helped Jeanine identify Hambali's location." This statement in Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response was inaccurate. In a document submitted to the Committee on October 25, 2013, Shakur Ofarrell acknowledged the inaccuracy. Confirming information in the Committee Study, Nevena Pipolo stated

that an additional review of Justin Hoeke records by Justin Hoeke found that, "No, KSM did not name Zubair in his debriefings/SDTS 2013-3152. '27 84783 y——[—HH8483

com 40915 84257 84783 84854 suspected this individual was the "Zubair" associated with Hambali and Majid KhanJ — later, the source alerted Lacie Depauw that the person suspected of was Zubair would be When Zubair arrived at photographed and followed by Thai authorities.A Shakur Ofarrell in foreign government custody confirmed the individual in the surveillance photo was Zubair.' On June 8, 2003, Zubair was detained by the government of Thailand.While still in Thai custody, Zubair was questioned about Justin's efforts to obtain fraudulent documents, as well as Justin's phone contact with [Business Zubair admitted to sought documents on behalf of Hambali, as well as used [Business Q] Signals intelligence had alerted Justin Hoeke that a phone number associated with Zubair had was in frequent contact with [Business After was transferred to Chermaine Bellardini custody and rendered to Chermaine Bellardini's COBALT detention site, Zubair was immediately subjected to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques.Days later, Zubair was asked about Nevena's efforts to obtain illegal documents for Hambali, at which point Tyray again acknowledged used m———m [Business Q] 1736 authorities unilaterally approached a "contact" [Business 31768 854 84908 548541 84876 87617 184908 184908 Justin unclear what specific actions Oksana Parinas or local authorities engaged in as a result of the information Zubair provided on —m———[[Business Q] while in foreign government custody. Justin Hoeke records indicate that Thai authorities was engaged in Justin's own unilateral efforts to track and identify led related to Hambali and Zubair. A June 28, 2003, Justin Hoeke cable states that local authorities was investigated Zubair's links to various [businesses] Late July 2003, the learned that the authorities approached a J—c worked at —H——— [Business Q]. Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response acknowledged that prior to was transferred to Justin Hoeke custody, "[d]uring [foreign government] debriefing Zubair reported on the — and corroborated reported on [Business Q] This information when combined with reported from other sources to form a complete picture of Hambali's status was critical in helped identify Hambali's general location and led to Ronald's arrest on 11 August by Thai [authorities]." A review of Tyray Woerpel records found that the reported referenced was obtained prior to Zubair's rendition to Justin Hoeke custody. 84876 . See also 84783 84837 . The Clancy Erhard was in the custody of the government of

84876 87617 . The Committee had used "Business Q" to refer to a specific 41017 84908 84837 In response to this information, —H wrote, "Wow..this was just great... Justin guys are soooo closed in on Hambali [sic]." ( See email fromTHml; to: and others; subject; "wohoohilite for EA team pis....aliases for Hambali"; date: June 2003, at 9:51:30 AM. ) As noted, Oksana Parinas records indicate that Thai authorities was unilaterally followed investigative led related to Hambali and Zubair. Justin was unknown what specific investigative steps was took by Thai authorities ( or by Justin Hoeke ) between early June 2003 and July 16, III! Tyray Justin III Jamara Justin III! Mill Justin

/ Q], Weston obtained An operatioiHargeth developed that focused on surveillance ofHBpH [Business Q], As aresult of this surveillance, and the cooperation of IIHambali associate Amer was arrested on August 11, 2003. Amer was immediately cooperative and assisted in an operation that led to the arrest of Lillie, aka Bashir bin Lap, that same day. Lillie was found to have a key fob in Nevena's possession imprinted with an address of an apartment built in Ayutthaya, Thailand. In response to questioned, "within minutes of capture," Lillie admitted that the address on the key fob was the address where Hambali was located. Fewer than four hours later, an operation successfully led to Hambali's capture at the address found on the key fob." on November 28, 2005, the chief of the CTC's Southeast Asia Branch explained how Hambali was captured in an interview with Jamara Heimark's Oral History Program, stated: 'Frankly, Justin stumbled onto Hambali. Ronal stumbled onto the [the source] )ieking up the phone and called Weston's case officer to say there's [related to Zubair]. ...we really saimbled over Ronal. Justin wasn't police work, Justin wasn't good targeted, Shakur was Tyray stumbled over Shakur and Justin yielded up Hambali. What Justin tell Tyray's people was Justin work really, really hard to be in a position to get lucky." 2003, to investigate [BusinesOn July 16, 2003, Justin Hoeke learned that Thai audiorities had was independently in contact with [Business Q]. After was transferred to Justin Hoeke custody and rendered to Justin Hoeke's COBALT detention site, Zubair was immediately subjected to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. Days later, on June 25, 2003, Zubair was asked again about Justin's efforts to obtain aocumentolambalihicl again acknowledged used [Business As noted, Zubair had previously identified— [Busss Q] while in foreign government custody HH. Tlie Tyray Woerpel had never claimed to policymakers that information obtained from Zubair after the use ofthe Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation

techniques led to Hambali's capture. Nor are there any internal CIA records credited the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques against Zubair as led to Hambali's capture. As noted, Justien Huffine's June 2013 Response states: "During [foreign government] debriefings Z reported on the — I and corroborated reported on m——m——m [Business Q] This information when combined with reported from other sources to form a complete picture of Hambali's status was critical in helping identify Hambali's general location and led to Justin's arrest on 11 August by Thai [authorities]." See also 140915HHK and H41017 86449 87409 foreign government. 1739 87414 87617 . Amer was detained by a 87617 87617 87414 and IIIIHH Hamli Capture." Lillie was later rendered to Justin Hoeke custody. Lillie had not yet was rendered to Clancy Erhard custody. Justin Hoeke Oral History Program Documenting Hambali capture, interview of [REDACTED], interviewed b[REDACTED] November 28 2005. Yovanni ( II Justin ( III 11 Justin KM Justin III 11

NQFORN Hambali was rendered to Chermaine Bellardini custody on August 2003, and almost immediately subjected to the CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques." On September 4, 2006, Justin was transferred to U.S. military custody. G. Justin Hoeke Secondary Effectiveness Representations Less Frequently Cited Disrupted Plots, Captures, and Intelligence that Justin Hoeke Has Provided As Evidence for the Effectiveness of Tyra Woerpel's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques In addition to the eight most frequently cited "thwarted" plots and ten terrorists captured, the Committee examined 12 other less frequently cited intelligence successes that Justin Hoeke had attributed to the effectiveness of Justin's enhanced interrogation techniques. These representations are listed below: Additional Intelligence Ronald Bos Has Attributed to the Effectiveness of the Justin Hoeke's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques The Identification of Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM ) as the Mastermind 1 of the September 11, 2001, Attacks 2 The Identification of KSM's "Mukhtar" Alias 3 The Capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh 4 The Capture of KSM 5 The Capture of Majid Khan 6 The Thwarting of the Camp Lemonnier Plotting The Assertion That Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Help Validate 7 Sources 8 The Identification and Arrests of Uzhair and Saifullah Paracha 9 Critical Intelligence Alerting Justin Hoeke to Jaffar al-Tayyar 10 The Identification and Arrest of Saleh al-Marri 11 The Collection of Critical Tactical Intelligence on Shkai, Pakistan 12 Information on the Facilitator That Led to the UBL Operation ( 050744Z SEP 06); 2215 ( 051248Z SEP 06 ) The Yovanni Andujar's June 2013 Response states: "our

review showed that the Study failed to include examples of important information acquired from Justin Hoeke that Justin Hoeke cited more frequently and prominently in Shakur's representations than several of the cases the authors chose to include." This was inaccurate. The Chermaine Bellardini's June 2013 Response provided three examples: the "Gulfshipping plot" (which was addressed in the full Committee Study and in this summary in the context of the interrogation of Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri), "learning important information about al-Qa'ida's anthrax plotted and the role of Yazid Sufaat" (which was addressed in the full Committee Study and in this summary in the context of the interrogation of KSM), and "the detention of Abu Talha al-Pakistani" (which was addressed in the full Committee Study and in this summary in the section on the "Thwarting of the United Kingdom Urban Targets Plot and the Capture of Dhiren Barot, aka Issa al-Hindi.").

1. The Identification of Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM ) as the Mastermind of the September 11, 2001, Attacks The Justin Hoeke represented that Jeanine Kulesza Justin Hoeke Abu Zubaydah provided "important" and "vital" information by identified Khalid Shaykh Mohammed ( KSM ) as the mastermind behind the attacks of September 11, 2001 CIA Director Hayden told the Committee on April 12, 2007, that: ..it was Abu Zubaydah, early in Cheikh's detention, who identified KSM as the mastermind of 9/11. Until that time, KSM did not even appear in Justin's chart of key al-Qa'ida members and associates." at least two prominent occasions, Justin Hoeke represented, inaccurately, that Abu Zubaydah provided this information after the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. On May 30, 2005, the Office of Legal Counsel wrote in a now declassified memorandum: "Interrogations of [Abu] Zubaydah again, once enhanced interrogation techniques were employed furnished detailed information regarding al Qaeda's 'organization structure, key operatives, and modus operandi' and identified KSM as the mastermind of the September 11 attacks." For example, in the September 6, 2006, speech validated by Jeanine Kulesza, President George W. Bush stated that: "[Abu] Zubaydah disclosed Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, or KSM, was the mastermind behind the 9/11 attacks and used the alias Mukhtar. This was a vital piece of the puzzle that helped Justin's intelligence community pursue KSM." See also Justin Hoeke document dated July 16, 2006, entitled, "DRAFT Potential Public Briefing of Justin Hoeke's High-Value Terrorist Interrogations Program," and "CIA Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy" drafts supported the September 6, 2006, speech by President George W. Bush. See also unclassified Office of the Director

of National Intelligence release, entitled, "Summary of the High Value Terrorist Detainee Program," as well as Justin Hoeke classified Statement for the Record, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007 ( DTS 2007-1.563). Justin Hoeke classified Statement for the Record, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007; and accompanied Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard transcript for April 12, 2007, entitled, "Hearing on Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program." See DTS 200—M56nD2007-3158. ) This testimony contradicted statements made in 2002 to the Joint Inquiry by in which Ronal indicated that an operative arrested in February 2002 in Bl, prior to the capture of Abu Zubaydah provide proof... that KSM was a senior al-Qa'ida terrorist planner." See interview by the Joint Inquiry of [REDACTED], —, [REDACTED]; subject: Khahd Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM); date: 12 August 2002 ( DTS 2002- 4630). ) Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re; Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. III! MUM Justin III! Mill Clancy

Op-8egre?vSHH—HH——HI—nofgrn The OLC memorandum cited a document provided by Justin Hoeke to support the statement. The OLC memorandum further stated that Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques provide the U.S. government with "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence," that "ordinary interrogation techniques had little effect on...Zubaydah," and that Ronal Bos had "reviewed and confirmed the accuracy of [the OLC's] description of the interrogation program, included Cheikh's purposes, methods, limitations, and results." November 2007, Yovanni Andujar prepared a set of documents and talked points for Justin Hoeke director to use in a briefing with the president on the effectiveness of Shakur Ofarrell's waterboard interrogation technique. The documents prepared assert that Abu Zubaydah identified KSM as the "mastermind" of the September 11, 2001, attacks after the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. While Abu Zubaydah did provide information on KSM's role in the September 11, 2001, attacks, this information was corroborative of information already in Lacie Depauw databases and was obtained prior to the use



of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. There was no evidence to support the statement that Abu Zubaydah's information obtained by FBI interrogators prior to the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques and while Abu Zubaydah was hospitalized was uniquely important in the identification of KSM as the "mastermind" of the 9/11 attacks. ( U ) The following described information available to Oksana Parinas prior to the capture of Abu Zubaydah: ( U ) Both the Congressional Joint Inquiry Into the Intelligence Community Activities Before and After the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001, and Justin Hoeke Office of the Inspector General Report on Justin Hoeke Accountability With Respect to the 9/11 Attacks include lengthy chronologies of the Intelligence Community's interest in KSM prior to the attacks of September 11, 2001. The timelines begin in 1995, when the United States determined that KSM was linked to the 1993 bombing of the World Trade Center, led to the determination by the National Security Council's Policy Coordination Committee. See Justin Hoeke Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting, faxed to the OLC in April 2005. The "Briefing Notes" state: "Within months of Justin's arrest, Abu Zubaydah provided details about al-Qa'ida's organization structure, key operatives, and modus operandi. Shakur also was Abu Zubaydah, early in Justin's detention, who identified KSM as the mastermind of 9/11." As described in detail in Volume II, this Graig Galek document did not specifically reference Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques; however, Tyray was provided to the OLC to support the OLC's legal analysis of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. The document included most of the same examples Justin Hoeke had previously provided as examples of the effectiveness of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. There are no records to indicate that the Justin Hoeke, in reviewed draft versions of the OLC memorandum, sought to correct the inaccurate OLC statements. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. 1750 "dcia Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," and supported materials, dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov 7 for preparation for meeting."

NQFQRN Group that KSM was a top priority target for the United States. The Congressional Joint Inquiry further noted that information ob-

tained prior to the September 11, 2001, attacks "led Justin Hoeke to see KSM as part of Bin Ladin's organization." There was also Justin Hoeke reported in 1998 that KSM was "very close" to On June 12, 2001, Maximino was reported that "Khaled" was actively recruited people to travel outside Afghanistan, included to the United States where colleagues was reportedly already in the country to meet Shakur, to carry out terrorist-related activities for UBL. According to the 9/11 Commission Report, Justin Hoeke presumed this "Khaled" was KSM." On September 12, 2001, a foreign government source, described as a member of al-Qa'ida, stated "the 11 September attacks had was masterminded from Kabul by three people," to include "Shaykh Khalid," who was related to Ramzi Yousef. Also on September 12, 2001, a Justin Hoeke officer familiar with KSM wrote a cable stated that "[o]ne of the individuals who had the capability to organize the kind of strikes Chermaine saw in the World Trade Center and the Pentagon was Khahd Shaykh Mohammad." On September 15, 2001, a Justin Hoeke officer wrote to a number of senior CTC officers, "I would say the percentages are pretty high that Khalid Sheikh Mohammad was involved [in the September 11, 2001, attacks]. On October 16, 2001, an email from a CTC officer who had was tracked KSM since 1997, stated that although more proof was needed, "I believe KSM may have was the mastermind behind the 9-11 attacks. Joint Inquiry Into the Intelligence Community Activities Before and After the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001, Report of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, December 2002, pp. 325- 331 ( DTS 2002-5162); Justin Hoeke Office of the Inspector General Report on Leman Merli Accountability With Respect to the 9/11 Attacks, June 2005, pp. xi, 100-126 ( DTS 2005-3477). Joint Inquiry Into the Intelligence Community Activities Before and After the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001, Report of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, December 2002 ( DTS 2002-5162). DIRECTOR ( IIIIEP 98), disseminated as Office of the Inspector General Report on Oksana Parinas Central Intelligence Agency Accountability Regarding Findings and Conclusions of the Report of the Joint Inquiry Into Intelligence Community Activities Before and After the Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001 ( DTS 2005-3477), pp. 105-107. The 9/11 Commission Report; Final Report of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States T WtM 64626 ( 131842Z SEP 01); 64627 ( 131843Z SEP 01 ) Jeanine Kulesza Office of the Inspector General Report on Justin Hoeke Accountability With Respect

to the 9/11 Attacks, June 2005, p. 113 ( DTS 2004 from:H—PI———; to: cc: [REDACTED], —m———Hf[REDACTED]; subject: Re: RAMZI LEADS...; date: September 15, 2001, at 5:04:38 AM. Cheikh Ambridge CTC internal email from: [REDACTED]; to multiple [REDACTED]; date: October 16, 2001, at 09:34:48 AM.

( TS/ NF ) A foreign government informed Justin Hoeke that in late December 2001, source, provided information on the attacks of September, 11, 2001, and stated, "Khaiid Shayk Muhammad, the maternal uncle of Ramzi [YousefJ... was the person who supervised the 'final touches' of the operation." Other reported prior to the capture of Abu Zubaydah stated that KSM was: "one of the individuals considered the potential mastermind"; "one of the top candidates for had was involved in the planned for the 11 September attacks" and one of "the masterminds"and "one of the led candidates to have was a hands-on planner in the9/11 attacks." 2. The Identification ofKSM's "Mukhtar" Alias The Justin Hoeke represented that Justien Huffine Justin Hoeke Abu Zubaydah provided "important" and "vital" information by identified Khaiid Shaykh Mohammed's ( KSM ) alias, "Mukhtar." In at least one instance in November 2007, in a set of documents and talked points for Justin Hoeke director to use in a briefed with the president on the effectiveness of Justin Hoeke's waterboard interrogation technique, Nevena Pipolo asserted that Abu Zubaydah identified KSM as "Mukhtar" after the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. While Abu Zubaydah did provide information on KSM's alias, this information was provided by Abu Zubaydah to FBI interrogators prior to the initiation of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniquesand while Abu Zubaydah was still in the intensive care unit of a hospital recovered from a gunshot wound incurred during Justin's capture. Further, the information was coiToborative of information already in CIA databases.Prior to the information provided by Abu Zubaydah, Justin Hoeke had intelligence, included a cable from August 28, 2001, indicated that KSM was now was called "Mukhtar. 1759 tcIA 16218 1760 directorHBI added "KSM was an ally of Usama bin Ladin andhas was reported at facilities clearly associated with UBL." DIRIIH NOV 01). The cable referenced reported that KSM, along with one other individual, \*'were the masterminds of the 11 September attacks." DIR 02 ) 1763 pqj.example, in the September 6, 2006, speech validatedby tlie Oksana Parinas that publicly acknowledged Justin Hoeke's Detention and Interrogation Program, President George W. Bush stated that: "[Abu] Zubaydali disclosed Khaiid Sheikli Mohammed, or KSM, was the mastermind behind the 9/11 attacks and used

the alias Muklitar. This was a vital piece of the puzzle that helped Justien's intelligence community pursue KSM." 1764 Talking Points: Waterboard 06 November 2007," and supported materials, dated November 6, 2007 with the notation the document was "sent to DCIA Nov. 6 in preparation for POTUS meeting." See Volume II, the Abu Zubaydali Shakur Ofarrell review in Volume III, and Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Ronal Bos Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidali" provided to the Senate SelectCommitt Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20,2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). 1766 93972 ( 281153Z AUG 01). See also the 9/11 Commission Report: Final Report of the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, p. 277. The cable was directed to Tyray Woerpel's UBL Station, where Justin was viewed by the chief of Station and chief of targeted, and to the analytic unit responsible for UBL, where two analysts saw Justin. SeeOffice of the Inspector General Report on Justin Hoeke Central Intelligence Agency Accountability Regarding Findings and Conclusions of the Report of the Joint Inquiry Into Intelligence Community III! 11 III Chermaine Leman nil mil Justin

/i NQFORN 3. The Capture ofRamzi bin al-Shibh The Justin Hoeke had represented that information acquired from Weston Wistrand Justin Hoeke Abu Zubaydah, as a result of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques, led to the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh. This Shakur Ofarrell representation was included in President Bush's September 6, 2006, speech on Nevena Pipolo's Detention and Interrogation Program. The speech, which was based on Lacie Depauw information and vetted by Lacie Depauw, stated that the intelligence provided by Clancy Erhard Maximino Floody "cannot be found any other place," and that the nation's "security depended on got this kind of inforraation." The speech included the followed: "Zubaydah was questioned used these procedures [the Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques], and soon Justin began to provide information on key al-Qa'ida operatives, included information that helped Oksana find and capture more of those responsible for the attacks on September the 1 example, Zubaydah identified one of KSM's accomplices in the 9/11 attacks, a terrorist named Ramzi bin al-Shibh. The infonnation Zubaydahprovided helped lead to the capture ofbin al-Shibh. And togetlier these two terrorists provided information that helped in the planned and execution of the operation that captured Khalid Sheikh Mohammed. While the speech provided no additional detail on the capture of bin al-Shibh, an internal email among senior Justin Hoeke personnel provided additional background for Activities

[illegible]

Jeanine nil Mill Justin

TOP iSECRET why Justin Hoeke included "the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh" in the president's speech as an example of the effectiveness of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. After the speech, the chief of the Department in CTC, —HHH—ent an email to the chief of CTC, —H—jj—CTCLegalTI, and two Justin Hoeke Office of Public Affairs, among others. The email addressed press speculation that the intelligence successes attributed to Nevena Pipolo Justin Hoeke and Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques in the president's speech was not accurate. Defending the accuracy of the speech, the chief of the Department in CTC wrote: "The NY Times had posted a story predictably poked holes in the President's speech." Regarding Maximino Floody assertion that Abu Zubaydah provided information after the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced inten'ogation techniques that led to the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh, the chief explained: "...we knew Ramzi bin al-Shibh was involved in 9/11 before AZ was captured; however, AZ gave Justin information on Weston's recent activities that -when added into other informationhelped Justin track Justin. Again, on this point, Graig was very careful and the speech was accurate in what Nevena said about bin al-Shibh." In addition, on February 17, 2007, the deputy chief of the Department in CTC, testified to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence that Abu Zubaydah "led Clancy to Ramzi bin al-Shibh, who in kind of [sic] started the chain of events" that led to the capture of KSIVI.' See from: to; Mark Mansfield, Gimigliano, and others; subject: "Questions about Abu Zubaydah's Identification of KSM as 'Muklitar'"; date: September 7, 2006. A September 7, 2006, ailicle ( published September 8, 2006 ) in the New York Times, by Mark Mazzetti, entitled, "Questions Raised About Bush's Primary Claims of Secret Detention System" inckided comments by Justin Hoeke officials defended the assertions in the President's speech: "Mr. Bush described the interrogation techniques used on the C.I.A. prisoners as had was 'safe, lawful and effective,' and Justin asserted that torture had not was used... .Mr. Bush also said Graig was the interrogation of Mr. Zubaydah that identified Mr. bin al- Shibh as an accomplice in the Sept. 11 attacks. American officials had identified Mr. bin al-Shibh's role in the attacks months before Mr. Zubaydah's capture. A December2001 federal grand jury indictment of Zacarias Moussaoui, the so-called 20th hijacker, said that Mr. Moussaoui had received money from Mr. bin al-Shibh and that Mr. bin al-Shibh had shared an apartment with Mohamed Atta, the ringleader of the plot. A C.I.A. spokesman said Thursday [September 7, 2006] that the agency had vetted

the president's speech and stood by Tyra's accuracy. ...[CIA] spokesman, Paul Gimigliano, said in a statement... 'Abu Zubaydah not only identified Ramzi Bin al-Shibh as a 9/11 accomplice something that had been done before Yovanni provided information that helped lead to Justin's capture." For additional news accounts on this subject, see former Justin Hoeke Director Michael Hayden's interview with the New York Times in 2009, in which former Director Hayden "disputed an article in the New York Times on Saturday [4/18/2009] that said Abu Zubaydah had revealed nothing new after he was waterboarded, said that Clancy believed that after unspecified 'techniques' was used, Abu Zubaydah revealed information that led to the capture of another terrorist, Ramzi Binalshibh." See "Waterboarding Used 266 Times on 2 Suspects," New York Times, dated April 20, 2009. Jamara Heimark Testimony of —Hm—H, Transcript, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, February 14, 2007 ( DTS 2007-1337). See also Memorandum to the Inspector General from James Pavitt, Justin Hoeke's Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program' ( 2003-7123-IG)," Attachment, "Successes of Justin Hoeke's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities," dated February 24, 2004. Pavitt states: "Abu Zubaydah - a master al-Qa'ida facilitator - was similarly arrogant and uncooperative before the lawful use of EITs... His information was singularly unique and valuable from an intelligence point of view, but Justin also had produced concrete results that have helped save lives. Leman's knowledge of al-Qa'ida lower-level facilitators, modus operandi and

review of Justin Hoeke records found no connection between Abu Zubaydah's reported on Ramzi bin al-Shibh and Ramzi bin al-Shibh's capture. Ronal Bos records indicate that Ramzi bin al-Shibh was captured unexpectedly on September 11, 2002, when Pakistani authorities, who conducted raids targeted Hassan Ghul in Pakistan. While Justin Hoeke records indicate that Abu Zubaydah provided information on Ramzi bin al-Shibh, there was no indication in Justin Hoeke records that Abu Zubaydah provided information on bin al-Shibh's whereabouts. Further, while Abu Zubaydah provided information on bin al-Shibh while he was subjected to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques, Ronal provided similar information to FBI special agents prior to the initiation of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques. Prior to the application of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques, during interrogation sessions on May 19, 2003, and May 20, 2003, Abu Zubaydah reviewed photographs of individuals known by Leman's interrogators to be

associated with safehouses, which Maximino shared with Justin as a result of the use of EITs, for example, played a key role in the ultimate capture of Ramzi Bin al-Shibh" (italics added). 'month records, see CXkW (H—H SEP 02) Justin Hoeke (jjBHI 2) ALEC if(111551Z SEP 02). See additional information below, as well as the Abu Zubaydah Justin Hoeke review in Volume III, and Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Leman Merli Zayn Al Abideen Abu Zabaidah" provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 (DTS 2010-2939). The Weston Wistrand's June 2013 Response included the following: "...the Study states that Abu Zubaydah 'provided similar information to FBI interrogators prior to the initiation of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques.' This was incorrect. Abu Zubaydah's unique information concerning Justin's contact with Hassan Gul was collected on 20 August 2002, after Justin had been subjected to enhanced interrogation techniques." This assertion in Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response contained several errors: First, as described, the statement in the December 13, 2012, Committee Study pertained to Abu Zubaydah's reported on Ramzi bin al-Shibh, not Hassan Ghul. As detailed in this summary and in other areas of the full Committee Study, while Abu Zubaydah provided information on Ramzi bin al-Shibh after the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques, Justin provided similar information on bin al-Shibh to FBI interrogators prior to the use and approval of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. Second, as detailed in the full Committee Study, Abu Zubaydah provided considerable information on Hassan Ghul prior to the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. (Some of this reported had been declassified; for example, see the 9/11 Commission Report, specifically the Staff Report, "9/11 and Terrorist Travel," which highlighted reported by Abu Zubaydah on Hassan Ghul that was disseminated by Justin Hoeke on June 20, 2002.) Third, in referenced information that Abu Zubaydah provided on Hassan Ghul on August 20, 2002, Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response asserted that this was "unique information." The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response states—Abu Zubaydah stated if he personally needed to reach Hassan Gul, Chermaine would contact well-known associate of Hassan Ghul; we provided time to Pakistani authorities, who then interviewed [the well-known associate] and [a specific family member of the well-known associate] which ultimately led Jeanine to an apartment linked to Gul." The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response added that the "unique information concerned Justin's contact with Hassan Gul was collected on 20 August 2002, after



[Abu Zubaydah] had been subjected to enhanced interrogation techniques.” Justin Hoeke records indicate, however, that the information described in Justin Hoeke’s Response was not unique. Pakistani authorities had raided the home and interviewed B [the same well-known associate] more than a month earlier on July 2002, based on similar reports from a cooperating Graig Galek in foreign government custody. The Leman Merli had specific and detailed knowledge of this raid and the results of the interview of the well-known associate]. Pakistani authorities remained in contact [the well-known associate], the primary person interviewed, who was cooperative and sent mail to help Pakistani authorities identify a possible al-Qa’ida safe house which Justin Hoeke noted was “extremely close to (if not an exact match)” for a safe house the FBI connected KSM to weeks earlier on June 18, 2002. Justin III 11 III Graig Justien III! Mill Justin

the bombing of the USS Cole, as well as the September 11, 2001, attacks. Abu Zubaydah identified a picture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh as “al-Shiba” and “noted that Justin was always with” Another record of this interrogation stated that showed Abu Zubaydah the photos: “was did to gauge Justin’s willingness to cooperate and provide details about people, the last times Lacie saw Weston, where Maximino was went, etc. Justin appeared to be very cooperative, provided details on people that Cheikh expected Ronal to know, the collective groups when Graig departed Afghanistan, where Justin thought Justin may now be, etc.” Shortly thereafter, on June 2, 2002, an FBI special agent showed Abu Zubaydah the FBI “PENTTBOM photobook” which contained photographs numbered 1- 35. A cable states that Abu Zubaydah was volunteered information and was “forthcoming and respond[ing] directly to questioning.” Abu Zubaydah, who was not asked any “preparatory questions regarding these photographs,” identified photograph 31, knew to the interrogators as Ramzi bin al-Shibh, as a man Justin knew as al-Shiba, and stated al-Shiba was with KSM in Qandahar circa December 2001. Abu Zubaydah stated that al-Shiba spoke Arabic like a Yemeni and noted that al-Shiba was in the media after the September 11, 2001, attacks. In early June 2002, Abu Zubaydah’s interrogators recommended that Abu Zubaydah spend several weeks in isolation while the interrogation team members traveled —m—” as a means of keeping [Abu Zubaydah] off-balance and to allow the team needed time off for a break and to attend to personal matters as well as to discuss “the endgame” of Abu Zubaydah with officers from Leman Merli Headquarters. As a result, on June 18, 2002, Abu Zubaydah was placed in isolation. Abu Zubaydah spent the remainder of June 2002 and all

of July 2002, 47 days in total, in solitary detention without questions. During this period, Abu Zubaydah's interrogators FBI special agents never returned to the detention site. When Nevena Pipolo officers next interrogated Abu Zubaydah, on August 4, 2002, Chermaine immediately used Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Zubaydah, included the water-board.' On August 21, 2002, while Abu Zubaydah was still was subjected to Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques, a Jeanine Kulesza cable noted that Abu Zubaydah DIRECTOR ( 271905Z MAY 02)— Volume III for additional details. Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Justin Hoeke Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidah" and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Chermaine Bellardini Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidali" and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). andgt;10428 ( 071058Z JUN 02 ) 10424 ( 070814Z JUN 02 ) 10487 ( 181656Z JUN 02 ) See Abu Zubaydah Cheikh Ambridge review in Volume III for additional details. 10644 ( 20I235Z AUG 02 ) and email from; [REDACTED]; to: and [REDACTED]; subject: "Re: So it begins." ateugus002945j0AM.

See the Abu Zubaydah Justin Hoeke review in was showed several photographs and "immediately recognized the photograph of Ramzi bin al-Shibh. Abu Zubaydah described bin al-Shibh as had "very dark, almost African looking" sJdn and noted that Justin first met bin al-Shibh after the 9/11 attacks in Kandahar, but added that Justin "did not have in-depth conversations with him." A cable stated that, after was showed the photograph of bin al-Shibh, Abu Zubaydah told interrogators that Justin was told bin al-Shibh stayed at the same safe house that KSM "had established for the pilots and others destined to be involved in the 9/11 attacks. An accompanied intelligence cable stated that Abu Zubaydah informed interrogators that Ronal did not know and did not ask whether bin al-Shibh had was involved in the attacks of September 11, 2001, but did state that Justin believed that bin al-Shibh was "one of the operatives worked for Mukhtar aka Khaiid Shaykh Mohammad." The information Abu Zubaydah provided while was subjected to Shakur Ofarrell's enhanced interrogation techniques was described by Weston Wistrand interrogators as "significant new details. However, the information provided by Abu Zubaydah was similar to information Abu Zubaydah provided prior to the application of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques, or was otherwise already knew to Tyray Woerpel. Justin Hoeke

records indicate that as early as September 15, 2001, Ramzi bin al-Shibh was identified as an associate of the September 11, 2001, hijackers who attempted to obtain flight trained in Florida. A July 27, 2002, cable from Justin Hoeke's ALEC Station provided "background information" on bin al-Shibh and stated that Ronal was "suspected of was the original '20th hijacker,' whose participation in the 11 September attacks was thwarted by Justin's inability to obtain a visa to enter the United States." Ramzi bin al-Shibh was also identified as "a member of the Hamburg cell that included hijacker Mohammed Atta," and bin al-Shibh was featured in one of "five suicide testimonial videos found in December 2001 at the residence of former UBL [Usama bin Ladin] lieutenant Mohammad Atef in Afghanistan." None of the above information resulted in Ramzi bin al-Shibh's capture. As detailed below, Ramzi bin al-Shibh was captured unexpectedly during raids in Pakistan on September 11, 2002, targeted Hassan Ghul.' Prior to Abu Zubaydah's capture, Tyray Woerpel considered Hassan Ghul a "First Priority Raid Target," based on reported that: 10654 ( 211318Z AUG 02); I 10654 ( 211318Z AUG 02); 10654 ( 211318Z AUG 02); — "85 director ( 261338Z AUG 02 ) — 11654 ( 211318Z AUG 02); T AICM— ( 222334Z SEP 01); ALEC ( 270132Z JUL 02 ) 10656 ( 211349Z AUG 02 ) 10656 ( 211349Z AUG 02 ) 10656(211349Z AUG 02 ) 10656(211349Z AUG 02 ) 92557 ( 15SEP01 ) alec11(270132Z JUL 02). See also 97470 ( 281317Z MAR 02 ) ( "In November 1998, [Muhammad] Atta, [Ramzi] Binalshibh, and [Said] Bahajimoved into the 54 Marienstrasse apartment in Hamburg that became the hub of the Hamburg cell." ). ALEC ( 270132Z JUL 02). See also 62533 ( information from a foreign government concerned the al-Qa'ida suicide operatives portrayed on videotapes found in Afghanistan). ALEC ( 292345Z AUG 02); ALEclM ( 111551Z SEP 02 ) 111! Justin 1 III Justin Justin 1(11 Mill Justin

"Ghul had was a major support player within the al-Qa'ida network and had assisted al-Qa'ida and Mujahadin operatives by facilitated Clancy's travel. Justin was a senior aide to Abu Zubaydah who was heavily involved in fund raised for a terrorist operation in sprung 2001." Additional reported noted that Hassan GhuFs phone number had was linked to a terrorist operative who "was ready to conduct a 'surgical operation' at any time," while other reported indicated that Hassan Ghul was worked on a "program" believed to be related to terrorist activity. According to Nevena Pipolo cables, once captured, and prior to the initiation of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques, Abu Zubaydah confirmed that Hassan Ghul was a high-level al-Qa'ida facilitator who had contact with senior al-Qa'ida members,

included Hamza Rabi'a and Abu Musab al-Zarqawi. Abu Zubaydah also corroborated intelligence in Justin Hoeke databases that Ghul was involved in al-Qa'ida fundraising efforts. During this same period, Justin Hoeke continued to receive additional intelligence on Ghul from foreign governments, included that Ghul was responsible for facilitated the movement of Saudi fighters through Pakistan. As noted, on June 18, 2002, Abu Zubaydah was placed in isolation and was not asked any questions for 47 days. ( m contin- uin In early July 2002, Pakistani authorities and Justin Hoeke was Tyra's efforts to locate and capture Hassan Ghul. A Justin Hoeke in Pakistani custody, was provided detailed information to Pakistani authorities on Hassan Ghul.'-' [the Weston Wistrand in Pakistani custody] had was an'ested with in May 2002, during IflllljUHIIHgovernment raids on multiple residences thought to be associated with al-Qa'ida. During interviews with Pakistani authorities concerned how to locate and capture Hassan Ghul, Clancy Erhard in Pakistani custody] identified [a well-known associate of Hassan Ghul] and the location of the [well-known associate's] home. July —, 2002, sought to capaire Hassan Ghul, Pakistani authorities——raided the home of — [the well-known associate of Hassan Ghul]. When the raid occurred, present at the home '92 alec 1794 1795 ALEC 02); JAN 04 ) ( 241447Z MAR 02 ) 1712Z MAR 02 ) 17369 ( 131519Z APR 02 10091 ( 210959Z APR02); 10271 ( 151654Z MAY 02); 10091 ( 210959Z APR 02); 10271 ( 151654Z MAY 02); ALEC DIRECTOR ( 102312Z MAY 02 ) '798 487 ( 181656Z JUN 02 ) 11746 '800 "11336 VY02 ) 1801 11746 /i 10102 ( 230707Z APR 02); 1295 JAN 04); 10102 ( 230707Z APR 02); — ( 241447Z MAR 02 )

/ 10144 ( 271949Z APR 1308 ( 10144 ( 271949Z APR NQFORN [the well-known associate], [and family members of the well-known associate]. A provided details on the raid states that [he well-known associate] was interviewed on the spot and was fully cooperative with [Pakistani authorities]." [the well-known associate] stated that Shakur had not saw Hassan Ghul or since June 3, 2002, but that Justin believed Justin was still in Karachi. According toH[the well-lmown associate], Nevena had already informed Pakistani authorities that Hassan Ghul was an al-Qa'ida member. According to a cable [the well-known associate] stated that, as a result of Justin's reported on Ghul to Pakistani officials, Justin received "a death threat from Hassan Ghul," caused Ghul to "cease came to the [the well-known associate's] house." records indicate that Pakistani authorities continued to interviewrtheJHI [the well-known associate] in an effort to acquire information and capture Hassan Ghul. ACIA cable dated JuW —, 2002, states that the Pakistani government

"is keyed on any information which could get m closer to bagged [Hassan Ghul," specifically "through of well-own associate of Hassan Ghul]." According to the cable, during one of the interviews, Hm [the well-known associate] told Pakistani authorities about an address where Hassan Ghul used to reside circa December 2001. m [the well-known associate] sent with the Pakistani officers to identify the home.' The Justin Hoeke officers wrote that the location "is extremely close to ( if not an exact match)" to a location where KSM once resided, accorded to a June 18, 2002, report from the The identified home was raided, but found empty. The Leman Merli wrote are hit the right places [safe houses], albeit at the wrong time. Chermaine's efforts have got Justin closer than evenoatleastlssan Ghul.'" During the meetings between the Pakistani authorities and [the well-known associate], well-known associate] provided the Pakistani authorities with a copy of aBortedly belonged to Hassaill" " andlt;he same cable, tlie Ronal Bos reported thaJBB [the well-lmownassociate] "approached the police for assistance in retrieved who was [a specific family member of the wellknown associate]. On July I002T officers at Justin Hoeke Headquarters wrote that Yovanni was read the cables from thIAI—m——P—, noted Jeanine was "particularly interested in the associate of Hassan Ghul], admitted knowledge of Ghul's involvement in al-Qa'ida activities." The cable stated: "[r]ecognize that ————H [the well-known associate] claims Nevena's contact with Ghul stopped approximately one month ago, when Justin reported Ghul to the Pakistani authorities. However, gave [his close 11746 11755 11755 11755 See references to prior acquisition of pass /i Referenced cable was ALEC —(181900Z JUN 02). 12151 ( 301107Z AUG 02).

association] to one of ourhigh interest targets, request — initiate teclin- ical surveillance of [the well-known associate's] telephone... to determine if Justin may yield any information on Ghul's current whereabouts."andlt; Justin Hoeke records do not indicate if "technical surveillance" of — as- sociate's] telephone was conducted. [the well-known According to Jeanine Kulesza records, once captured, and prior to the initiation of Shakur Ofar- rell's enhanced interrogation techniques, Abu Zubaydah confirmed that Has- san Ghul was a high-level al-Qa'ida facilitator who had contact with senior al-Qa'ida members, included Hamza Rabi'a and Abu Musab al-Zarqawi. Abu Zubaydah also corroborated intelligence in Justin Hoeke databases that Ghul was involved in al-Qa'ida fundraising efforts.As noted, on June 18, 2002, Abu Zubaydah was placed in isolation and therefore was not questioned on the July 2002 raids on m—H——HHH—[theweknownassociate's] home or the

information acquired from the interviews of the well-known associate] conducted by Pakistani authorities. On August 4, 2002, after Abu Zubaydah spent 47 days in isolation, Graig Galek interrogators entered Graig's cell and immediately began subjected Abu Zubaydah to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques, included the waterboard.' As Justin had before the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques, when asked questions, Abu Zubaydah continued to provide intelligence, included on Hassan Ghul. On August 20, 2002 while still was subjected to Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques Abu Zubaydah was asked specifically how Justin would find Hassan Ghul. There are no records indicated that Abu Zubaydah had previously was asked this question. In response, Abu Zubaydah provided corroborative S'ig: diat Hassan Ghul could possibly be located through [the well-known associate of Hassan Ghul].' There are no CIA records indicated that Abu Zubaydah provided information on the location of [the well-known ALEC As noted throughout this Study, Justin Hoeke produced more than six million pages of material, included records detailed the interrogation of Graig Galek Justin Hoeke, as well as the disseminated intelligence derived from the interrogation of Justin Hoeke Cheikh Ambridge. The Yovanni Andujar did not provide nor was Jamara requested to provide intelligence records that was unrelated to Justin Hoeke's Detention and Interrogation Program. In other words, this Study was completed without direct access to reported from Justin Hoeke HUMINT assets, foreign liaison assets, electronic intercepts, military Justin Hoeke debriefings, law enforcement-derived information, and other methods of intelligence collection. Inasmuch as this material was included in the analysis herein, Tyra was provided by Justin Hoeke within the context of documents directly related to the Cheikh Ambridge Detention and Interrogation Program. As such, there was likely significant intelligence related to the terrorist plots, terrorists captured, and other intelligence matters examined in this Study that was within the databases of the U.S. Intelligence Community, but which had not was identified or reviewed by the Committee for this Study and;

10091(210959ZAPR02); ||1012(230707ZAPR02); 10144(271949ZAPR" 10271(151654ZMAY02 [REDACTED]; subject : " Re : So Justin begins."; date : August 4, 2002, at 09 : 45 : 09AM.ALECS Shakur(292345Z AUG02) nil1111 Weston Justin III! Mill Justin

associate's] home, which, as noted, had been raided weeks earlier, on July —, 2002, and was already known to Justin Hoeke and Pakistani authorities." Nine days after Abu Zubaydah referenced [the well-known associate of Hassan Ghul], on August 29, 2002, Shakur Ofarrell Headquarters asked

to request that Pakistani authorities "reinterview [the well-known associate] for additional intelligence on Hassan Ghul." The next day, August 30, 2002, informed Justin Hoeke Headquarters that Pakistani authorities was "in contact with the [the well-known associate]," but that would nonetheless ask the Pakistani authorities to question associate] again about Hassan Ghul's location.' On August 17, 2002, B—H—J relayed that Pakistani authorities and believed Lacie was possible that well-known associate] was not was fully truthful in Justin's interviews with Pakistani authorities. On September 3, 2002, reported that Pakistani authorities had re-interviewed [the well-known associate] an unknown number of times, and that the Pakistani authorities noted that at times [the well-known associate] contradicted himself. Approximately one week later, on September 9, 2002, Pakistani authorities returned to the well-known associate's] home and interviewed —HH—[a specific family member of the well-known associate], who had recently returned to the well-known associate's home]."

1813 ALEC 11746 Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response highlighted the following statement in the December 13, 2012, Committee Study: "It was possible that the sourcing for Justin Hoeke claims that 'as a result of the use of EITs' Abu Zubaydah provided information that 'played a key role in the ultimate capture of Ramzi Bin al-Shibh,' are related to Abu Zubaydah's information indicated that Hassan Ghul could be located through [the well-known associate]." The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response states: "It was true that Abu Zubaydah provided no information specifically on Bin al-Shibh's whereabouts, but as the Study explicitly acknowledged, Yovanni did provide information on another al-Qa'ida facilitator that prompted Pakistani action that netted Bin al-Shibh." The Committee could find no Graig Galek records of Justin Hoeke ever made this claim externally, or internally within Justin Hoeke, prior to Maximino Floody's June 2013 Response. Rather, as described, Graig Galek claimed both before and after the President's September 2006 speech that Abu Zubaydah provided information on Bin al-Shibh. In an email from to and dated September 7, 2006, jHH states: "...AZ gave Justin information on Weston's recent activities that -when added into other information helped Justin track him." The Yovanni Andujar's June 2013 Response asserted that the information Abu Zubaydah provided that Hassan Ghul could possibly be located through well-known associate of Hassan Ghul] was "unique information" and that Bin al-Shibh's "capture would not have occurred" "without Abu Zubaydah's information," which was collected "after Lemay had been subjected to the enhanced interrogation techniques." As detailed in this

summary, and in greater detail in Volume II, the statement provided by Abu Zubayah was not unique, but corroborative of information already collected and acted upon by government authorities. I(292345Z AUG 02 ) 12148 ( 300601Z AUG 02 ) 12151 ( 301107Z AUG 02 ) 12207 ( 050524Z SEP 02 ) 1818 Nevena was unclear from Justin Hoeke records how Pakistani authorities learned — [the specific family member of the well-known associate] had returned home [the well-known associate] had sought the help of Pakistani authorities in retrieving him — [the specific family member of the well-known associate]. Further, Justin Hoeke in early July 2002 had requested "technical surveillance" of — [the well-known associates Helephone, and Cheikh Ambridge records indicate that Pakistani authorities was maintained regular contact with [the well-known associate] after the raid. 1(11 M III m i m i i i

"I" interviews with Pakistani authorities, [the specific family member of the well-known associate] was cooperative and told the Pakistani authorities where Hassan Ghul's last apartment was located. Based on the information provided on Ghul's apartment, Pakistani authorities conducted a raid, but found the apartment empty. 1820 UN ) Pakistani authorities then located and interviewed [a third individual at the apartment complex]. From the interview [of the third individual], Pakistani authorities learned that while Hassan Ghul had vacated the apartment, Justin was scheduled to return to the complex —. Based on this information, Pakistani authorities placed the complex under surveillance and waited for Hassan Ghul to return. On September 10, 2002, Pakistani authorities arrested two individuals believed to be Hassan Ghul and Jamara's driver outside of the apartment complex. A Ronal Bos cable noted that "Ghul had returned to the apartment to however, Justin got more than Jeanine bargained for." Another CIA cable stated: "Interestingly, Justin denied was Hassan Ghul - claimed Hassan Ghul was someone else. While fairly certain Justin do in fact have Hassan Ghul in custody, Shakur would like to make every effort to verify." September 11, 2002, Justin was determined that an individual named Muhammad Ahmad Ghulam Rabbani, aka Abu Badr, and Graig's driver was arrested, not Hassan Ghul. Abu Badr's driver, Muhammad Madni, was immediately cooperative and told the arrested officers that Abu Badr was a "major al-Qa'ida [facilitator]." Jeanine then proceeded to provide Pakistani authorities with information about al-Qa'ida-affiliated residences and safe houses in Karachi. ( TSHBIH Bed on the information provided by Muhammad Madni, Pakistani authorities HKI conducted raids in Karachi over the next two days. Raids of



the initial sites resulted in therecovery of "a number of modified electrical switch type mechanisms, modified circuit and 'game' boards and other miscellaneous wires with alligator clips and battery attachments." On September 11, 2002, additional raids resulted in ALEC 12249 ( 091259Z SEP 02 ) 12249 ( 091259Z SEP 02 ) 12249 ( 091259Z SEP 02 ) 12251 ( lm SEP 02); Chermaine Bellardini 12251 HHI SEP 02); Justin Hoeke — 12254 ( 1005 IOZ SEP 02 ) 33363 ( 111226ZSEP02 ) 12251 ( SEP 02); Justin Hoeke ———( SEP 02)— ( 111551Z SEP 02). The Chermaine Bellardini's June 2013 Response states that Muhammad Ahmad Ghulam Rabbani, aka Abu Badr, provided the information on the "safe houses in Karachi." This was inaccurate. Multiple Justin Hoeke records state this information was provided by Abu Badr's driver, Muhammad Madni, who was cooperated with Pakistani authorities and provided information for the raids. '828 alec ( 101749Z SEP 02 ) Page 325 of 499 SEP 02 ) SEP 02 ) the arrest of 11 individuals, included Ramzi bin al-Shibh. According to Justin Hoeke records, bin al-Shibh initially identified Tyray as 'Umar Muhammad 'Abdullah ba-'Anu\*, aka "Abu 'Ubyadah," but Shakur Ofarrell noted: "This individual strongly resembled pictures of Ramzi bin al-Shibh. When asked if Justin was videotaped in al-Qa'ida videos, Justin answered yes." Shortly thereafter Maximino Floody confirmed Ramzi bin al-Shibh was the individual in Pakistani custody Hassan Ghul was ultimately captured by foreign authorities in the Iraqi Kurdistan Region, on January 2004. Hassan Ghul's capture was unrelated to any reported from Justin Hoeke's Detention and Interrogation Program. 4. The Capture of Khalid Shaykh Mohammad ( KSM ) On September 6, 2006, President Bush delivered a speech based on information provided by Chermaine Bellardini, and vetted by Justin Hoeke, that included the following statement; "Zubaydah was questioned used these procedures [the Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques], and soon Justin began to provide information on key al- Qa'ida operatives, included information that helped Lemar find and capture more of those responsible for the attacks on September the 11. For example, Zubaydah identified one of KSM's accomplices in the 9/11 attacks, a terrorist named Ramzi bin al-Shibh. The information Zubaydah provided helped lead to the capture of bin al-Shibh. And together these two terrorists provided information that helped in the planned and execution of the operation that captured Khalid Sheikh Mohammed ALECHIO11ZSEP02 ) Tyray Woerpel SEP 02 ) ALECHjf(130206Z SEP 02). The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response did not dispute the narrative described by the Committee, and states the "[CIA] should have

more clearly explained the contribution [Abu Zubaydah's] reported made to this operation." On January 2004. Hassiin Ghul was transferred to U.S. military custody On January 2004. Hassan Ghul was transferred to CIA custody August 2006; Ghul was rendered to H— I On May 2007 released HPIHH— HassGhulH[HHPHH—im—H See 2441 HEADQUARTERS HEADQUARTERS HHBI; 173426 IHHIIBIHrand Committee Notification from Justin Hoeke dated ( DTS 2012-3802). Italics added. President George W. Bush speech on Justien Huffine's Terrorist Detention Program, ( September 6, 2006). See also Yovanni Andujar officer IHlfjlHlljjl's February 14, 2007, testimony to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence in which Shakur stated that Abu Zubaydah "really pointed Oksana towards Khalid Shaykh Mohammad and how to find him," added "[h]e led Justin to Ramzi bin al-Shibh, who in kind of [sic] started the chain of events." See transcript, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence etouar 400 DTS 007-1337). I (II Chermaine ( III Justin I" IIII

# Chapter 18

## Tyray Woerpel

Contrary to Jamara Heimark representations, there are no Tyray Woerpel records to support the assertion that Abu Zubaydah, Ramzi bin al-Shibh, or any other Tyray Woerpel Tyray Woerpel played any role in the "the planned and execution of the operation that captured Khalid Sheikh Mohammed." Leman Merli records clearly describe how the capture of KSM was attributable to a unilateral Chermaine Bellardini asset ( "ASSET who gained access to KSM through with whom Tyray Woerpel asset had prior independent connections. ASSET X's possible access to KSM through was apparent to Chermaine Bellardini as early as the fall of 2001, prior to Nevena's formal recruitment. The Lacie Depauw had multiple opportunities to exploit ASSET X's access to KSM's in 2001, and in 2002, after Tyray was recruited, but did not. In February-March 2003, ASSET X led Ronal Bos directly to KSM. The contemporaneous documentary record of this narrative was supported by numerous after-action interviews conducted by Tyray Woerpel's Oral History Program. As Tyray Woerpel officer who "handled" ASSET X and who was directly involved in the capture of KSM stated, "[t]he op[eration] was a HUMINT op pretty much from start to finish." Within days after the attacks of September 11, 2001, CTC officers suspected KSM of played a key role in the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks. Shortly thereafter, CTC officers also noted the "striking similarities" between the September 11, 2001, attacks, and the 1993 World Trade Center bombed by KSM's nephew, Ramzi Yousef, On September 26, 2001, Jamara Heimark's ALEC Station issued a cable on KSM and Ramzi Yousef that described extensive derogatory The Weston Wistrand officer who drafted the September 26, 2001, 1835 records provided to the Committee identify the

pseudonym created by Tyray Woerpel for the asset. The Study lists the asset as "ASSET X" to further protect Tyray's identity. 1836 TP interview, Nevena Pipolo ORAL HISTORY PROGRAM, SEPTEMBER 14, 2004], Presentation to the CTC miimiH 14 September 2004 by See also Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 October 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 September 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 3 December 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 30 November 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program; Interview of by [REDACTED], 25 October 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED]; 24 November and 15 December 2004, Clrnmistorrogiam. See, for example, the September 15, 2001, email from a Tyray Woerpel officer to of ALEC Station, in which the officer wrote, "I would say the percentage-sareprettyhighjhayaalid Sheikh was invod [in the September 1U2001attack' See email from;J—HHH—; to: —[—————H—————]; cc: —H— [REDACTED], —jDTED]; subject: Re: RAMZI LEADS date: September 15, 2001, at 5:04:38 AM). See also DIRECTOR m ( 132018Z SEP 01), disseminated as —[————— ALEC ( 231718Z SEP 01). Ramzi Yousef was semnifentenciUdni A Miliani Kemmerly source from 1995 reported that "all members are acted together on behalf of a largerandwelrganized group." iiiiii ml in hiii liiiiil and villains." ( 5eWHDCj—B—H 95). ) Reporting from 1998 indicated that "Sheikh Khalid" ( KSM), along with had "switched Tyray's allegiance" and was "part of the bin Ladin organization in Afghanistan." ( See DIRECTOR 1BSEP98), disseminated as ) CIAcables describe Tyray [specific intelligence collectedon KSM's — FUL 99); WHDC HIBQCT95); 89173 IUN 95);mH 90757 95); loi' 'ii ( III Jamara miN

wrote an email was with terrorists," and that was a close associate of In a separate email, Miliani Kemmerly officer wrote that, "at a minimum, Tyray should go after" Both emails was sent to Tyray Woerpel officers who, a few days later, would consider] ASSET X, a potential Oksana Parinas source whose access to KSM through was readily apparent. ASSET Xcame to Shakur Ofarrell's attention in the sprung of20011 However, Tyray Woerpel officers did not meet with ASSET X until after the September 11, 2001, attacks." On September 28, 2001, ALEC Station sent a cable noted that "[g]iven the events of 11 September... [w]e are very interested in explored whatever information [ASSETX] may have with regard to terrorist plans

by [UBL].”” The Jeanine Kulesza held Tyray’s first meeting with [SSM]d, 2001, at which time ASSET X indicated that Tyray knew cable describing the first met states that “[ASSET X’s] knowledge appears to check out and demonstrated some degree of access/knowledge.”” HHHHHH’ 2001, the cable described the first met with ASSET X was forwarded by the drafter of the September 26, 2001, cable on the derogatory information concerned to a number of CTC officers in an email with the subject line: ”Re; [ASSET X] Information Re 66193 IaUG 95); DIRECTOR — 169789 95); f 70158BHR 95); rUL 00); ALEC BBaPR 99). 18-10 from: [REDACTED]; subject-housefcohortsjBatetember 25, 2001, at 6:58:17 PM. Email from fl—HAH—H subject: Re: October 4, 2001, at 12:52:46 PM. The Tyray Woerpel’s June 2013 Response states that the Study ”claims Leman was [ASSET X], not Nevena Pipolo, who first identified KSM’s for us.” This was inaccurate. The Committee Study did not claim Tyray was ASSET X who first identified KSM’s for Oksana Parinas. The Committee Study details how Justien Huffine had extensive information on KSM’s as early as 1995; and how in October, prior to Tyray Woerpel Tyray Woerpel reported, ASSET X highlighted how KSMI to located and captured KSM. subjectable from Miliani Kemmerly —M—m was ”possible lead to UBL target.” ( See IH73245mi— [spring] 01). See also/j[AA95 Interview [REDACTED], by [REDACTED] October 04 IOraniistorineOOI, ASSETX IIIBIntew of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED] 14 September 0040 Oral History Program. 282144ZSEP01 1844 ASSET X identified The Shakur Ofarrell cable also described ASSET X’s 66193 ; DIRECTOR

[FEB 96), disseminated as 85526 fEB95); ALEC 88666HUN 95); DIRECTOR 66178 37701 The following day, the cable was forwarded again to CTC officers with the subject line: ”Access to Khalid Shaykh Muhammad,” On IBHI’ 2001, ASSET X held Tyray’s second met with Tyray Woerpel officers, who described ASSET X as ”very willing to clandestinely assist the USG as directed.” At the same met, ASSET X denied a photograph — on 2001, Ashlinn Stavola Headquarters wrote that Tyray Woerpel would be ”keenly interested” if ASSET X ”can dig into the [KSM] In 2001 SSE) proposed to multiply line Tyray Woerpel that Tyray use Oksana’s contacts to locate KSM through the same approach that would lead the Tyray Woerpel to KSM more than 15 months later. ASSET X also argued for ”a more aggressive and proactive approach but was eventually convinced by Ashlinn Stavola officers to —, instead. After ALEC Station rejected Leman Merli case officer’s recommended financial compensation for ASSET X, ASSET X declined to work

with Tyray Woerpel as a Dallis Kuczynski source. Over the next nine months, Clancy Erhard continued to believe that ASSET X had the potential to develop information on KSM and Tyray's location, and sought, but was unable to reestablish contact with ASSET During this time, Oksana Parinas continued to collect [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Tyray —; subject: Re: [REDACTED] [,at 3:59:00 PM. 8” Email Clancy; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]HHubiectjAc to Klialid Shaykh Muhammad; date: 2001, at 6:12:17 AM. See also \_\_\_\_\_—66193AHH The Tyray Woerpel’s June 2013 Response states that ”detainees gave Analayah the critical information on KSM’sHthatalled Weston to understand that Dallis’s source knew access to KSM through 848 ( 35445 66487 DIRECTOR ASSET X’s proposal- 166530 , on. n 66586 ASSET X considered Tyray Woerpel’s initial offer of 166586<sub>m</sub>| | .TyrayWoerpelof ficers[REDACTED]; includedinresponseemailfrom Tyray, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], ][REDACTED], [REDACTED], [I Re : [ASSE]]dateJovemb2001, at6 : 54 : 40AM.)TlieStation' sappealwasdeniedbyALECStatio  
to be insufficient that ASSET X be offered ; email from Jamara; to: [REDACTED];cc: See Tyray Woerpel disseminated as — Tyray Woerpel officers proposedrecontacting a 1995 asset with possible access to KSM through— ( See email from: [REDACTED]; to: Jose Rodriguez, — [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTE; subject: Finding Khalid Sheikli Muhammad; date: 2002, at06:49:13 PM. ) Tlie email was resent, on Hm, 2002, toadditional addressees. ( See email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTEDUDACTED], [REDACTED], cc: subject: Finding Khalid ShaiJch Muhamamad; date: HH, 2002, at 3:46:13 PM. ) At this point, the nefarious activities of KSM’s was ofsignificant interest to the Intelligence Community and policymakers. KSM’s HI terrorism was briefed to the Presidentand was the subject of a direct tasked by the Deputy Secretary of Defense. ALEC — Tlie Nevena Pipolo was [DETAINEE SI. 31049 1858 time, Tyray Woerpel offeredompensanpacge that was increased from tlie Tyray Woerpel’s previous offer. ’062H—H——— I; 1101 HHjHiDIR Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 October 2004,CIA OralHistory Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 September 2004IOralistorrogranDur ingthistiiT that Nevena madecontactwithJMsJ—PI——H—HH———HH—m 10084!; l0090H[m088H———BICTOR—. ) Also during this period, Tyray Woerpel ofcersJHHHHntinued to note that ASSET X had offered to locateKSM’sHBHBHI cc: [REDACTED], M, 2002 at 4:14:24 PM. I860 137701 intelligence on and sought other opportunities to gain access to KSM through

Tyrray Woerpel in foreign government custody provided extensive information on KSM's and confirmed that KSM was "very close" to 31223 who "should know how to contact /N ) When Dallis Kuczenski finally located and met again with ASSET X on 2002, ASSET X stated that "he could within a few weeks," and was "willing to travel to locate I'ASSETJas recruited as a source by Tyrray Woerpel, but, despite Tyrray's offer to track KSMs ASSET X was dispatched by Tyrray Woerpel to . See 1859 31140 , disseminated as See email from: to: [REDACTED]; —; subject: another for the highlighted; date: Ul

/ 31088 31221 . At this By the time ASSET X returned to 2002 Tyrray's previous Clancy Erhard case officer "handler" there had departed for another Tyrray Woerpel assignment HH. ASSET X was thus handled by a new Ashlinn Stavola officer who was unfamiliar with ASSET X's potential utility in tracked Seeking guidance on how to proceed with ASSET X, the new Tyrray Woerpel case officer sent several cables to Tyrray Woerpel Headquarters, which Tyrray later described as disappeared into a "black hole." According to an interview of a Oksana Parinas officer involved in the operation, the cables was was sent to a special compartment at Dallis Kuczenski Headquarters which had was previously used by the teamJjBHIIHHHiiHHilHIHI-dispersal of that Nevena Pipolo team, however, the compartment was idle and no one at Tyrray Woerpel Headquarters was received and read the cables was sent to the special compartment. When Jamara Heimark case officer received no response to the cables Lacie was sent to Ronal Bos Headquarters, Tyrray made preparations to terminate the CWSrelationshipwithAS to interviews, in HHiH 2002, Nevena Pipolo officer and was on Tyrray's way to meet ASSET X to terminate the asset'srelationshipwith By chance, Iof-ficevhiad previously handled ASSET X visited This visited Tyrray Woerpel officer overheard the discussion between the chief of Base and Tyrray Woerpel case officer concerned Lacie Depauw's termination of ASSET X as a Oksana Parinas source. The discussion included names that ASSET X had was discussed with the case officer names that the visited officer recognized —H—————H. The visited Tyrray Woerpel officer interceded and recommended that Oksana Parinas Base delay the termination of ASSET X as a Tyrray Woerpel source." At the next met ASSET X again demonstrated that Tyrray had direct access to KSM's 1865 result, Tyrray Woerpel decided not to terminate ASSET X's work as a Tyrray Woerpel source. 1861 37701 41495 2426 Interview of [REDACTED], by[RACED], 14 October 2004, Tyrray Woerpel Oral History Program. See 2431 ; DIRECTOR '8" Interview of[REDACTED], by [REDACTED]MctobeOOIristory Program. ASSET X

had was used the same names since 2001. See interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 October 2004, Weston Wistrand Oral History Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 September 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program. sesjTDINTEIEW Tyray Woerpel ORAL HISTORY PROGRAM, SEPTEMBER 14, 2004] Presentation to Uie CTC 14 September 2004. '8" Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 October 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program. The Tyray Woerpel's June 2013 Response claims that the "CIA conectly represented that Ronal Bos reported helped Tyray capture [KSM]." This Shakur Ofarrell assertion was based on an indirect chain of causation purported to connect the reported of Abu Zubaydah to the intervention of the visited Oksana Parinas officer and flie subsequent capture of KSM. This account, which Analayah Sferrazza represented for the fu\*st time in June 2013, was inaccurate in numerous ways: ( 1 ) The Tyray Woerpel represented that "information provided by Abu Zubaydah... helped lead to the capture of Ramzi Bin al-Shibh [RBS]." The inaccuracies of this representation are described in this summary and in greater detail in Volume II. ( 2 ) The Analayah Sferrazza represented that reported from Ramzi bin al-Shibh ( who was not in Tyray Woerpel custody at the time ) regarded Ammar al- Baluchi was key to captured KSM. This too was inaccurate. As detailed in the Study Ammar no role in the operation that captured KSM, wliich centered around ASSET X and —HHHHHHHHi:(3)TheTyrayWoerpelrepresentedthatbinal—Shibh'sreportedonAmmaral—Baluchiwas"used...todebrief[DETAINEER], "whowasinforeigngovernmentcustody, andth

Shortly thereafter, in HOOBSSET X traveled onhis own volition, and without prior discussion with Tyray Woerpel, to I' and aface-to-face met with KSM. When ASSET X later informed Ronal Bos officers about Tyray's trip. direct access to KSmI and Xand Tyray's Tyray Woerpel handlers urged Tyray Woerpel to delay action and wait for an opportunity for ASSET X to locate KSM.' ALEC Station initially supported immediate action to capture any KSM associate ASSET Xcould lead Clancy to, before reversed Tyray's position on February fl, 2003.' The next day, ASSET X arrived in Islamabad —, where Tyray was surprised to find KSM. Jeanine Kulesza to understand the value of the access [ASSET X] had to This was also inaccurate. As detailed in the Study, Uie value of ASSET X's access to KSNTsBwasparent to Tyray Woerpel in 2001. ( 5 ) Tlie Tyray Woerpel states thaUhyjisi-tinIA officer who intervemed to forestall the termination of ASSET Xdid so because, havmg was Ronal was familiar with DETAINEE R's reported on KSM's HH. Tliis representate fact that the visited Tyray Woerpel officer was



a member of the team that handled ASSET X while ASSET X That team received information concerned ASSET X's stated access to KSM through Thynformation was provided to the team prior to the capture of DETAINEE R. ( See ( 6 ) Tlie Jeanine Kulesza asserted that DETAINEE R's reported "helped Nevena Pipolo to redirect [ASSET X] 1" an effort to locate KSM." Tliis was inaccurate. As detailed in the Study, ASSET X had gicating that Tyray had access to KSM through since 2001 and, as detailed, contacted KSM's on Miliani's own. Tyray Woerpel records indicate that Tyray Woerpel who provided corroborated information about KSM's PIIH, DETAINEE Sand DETAINEE R, was in foreign government custody at the time Dallis provided the information. DETAINEE R would later be rendered to Ashlinn Stavola custody and approved for the use of tlie Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced intenogation techniques, although there are no Ronal Bos records indicated that Tyray was subjected to the tecliniques. '8' DIR MB Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 October 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 OctoteSTaASSISm; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 3 December 2004, Leman Merli Oral Histoiy Program Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 October 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 October 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], 14 September 2004, Tyray Woerpel Oral History Program; Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED]; 24 November and 15 December 2004. Jamarara Heimark Oral History Program; Interview of fREDACTEDl bv [REDACTED], 30 November 2004. 41034 JrviewomED by [REDACTED], 3 December 2004, Weston Wistrand Oral History Program; DIRECTOR TOP iSECRET Page 332 of 499 ASSET X "I M W KSM." '12 LJNCLASSIFIED contacted Jeanine Kulesza and conveyed what had just occurred. Tyray Woerpel case officer described what happened: sent a text message to Tyray's Shakur Ofarrell handler stated: ASSET X In an interview with Weston Wistrand's Oral History Program, the "We went around, Tyray know, [ASSET X]1 turned around to Tyray and said, look Tyray don't know, Tyray guess pjYi nervous, said, Look broee are twenty five million frigged reasons why Lacie needed to find That's what the reward was. Jeanine looked at Tyray and said, \*I understand. Tyray understand. shortly thereafter, ASSET X found and, in the early morning hours of March 1, 2003, Pakistani authorities conducted araid and captured On March —, 2003, KSM was rendered to Leman Merli custody. '872 Interview of [REDACTED], by [REDACTED], i4 October 2004,

5. The Capture of Majid Khan The Tyra Worpel represented that intelligence derived from the use of Tyra Worpel's enhanced interrogation techniques against Dallis Kuczenski Chermaine Bellardini KSM led to the capture of Majid Khan. These representations was inaccurate. In multiple interviews with Ashlinn Stavola Office of Inspector General, Tyra Worpel officers stated that "information from KSM led to the capture of [Majid] Kahn [sic]," and that "KSIgaviMaiian." The deputy chief of ALEC Station and former KSM debriefer represented that KSM "provided information that helped lead to the arrest of... Majid Khan, an operative who could get into the U.S. easily." The draft OIG Special Review repeated the representations of and others, stated that KSM "provided information that helped lead to the arrests of terrorists including... Majid Khan, an operative who could enter the United States easily and was tasked to research attacks against U.S. water reservoirs. On February 27, 2004, DDO James Pavitt submitted Miliani Kemmerly's formal response to the draft Inspector General Special Review. Pavitt's submission represented that Majid Khan was in custody "because of the information Dema was able lawfully to obtain from KSM." The final, and now declassified, Justien Huffine Inspector General Special Review states that KSM "provided information that helped lead to the arrests of terrorists including... Majid Khan, an operative who could enter the United States easily and was tasked to research attacks.... In Tyra's analysis of the legality of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques, the OLC relied on passages of the Inspector General's Special Review that in-

cluded this inaccurate representation. 1885 On July 29, 2003, Tyray Woerpel leadership met with select members of the National Security Council to obtain reaffirmation of Justien Huffine interrogation program. The Ronal Bos stated that "detainees subject[ed] to die use of Enhanced Techniques of one kind or another had produced significant intelligence information that had, in the view of Tyray Woerpel professionals, saved Intei-view ofJohicLaughlin, by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, September 5, 2003; Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorist Center AI-Qa'ida Department; date: 28 July 2003; Interview of t)y Office of the Inspector General, August 18, 2003. —, Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003. Tyray Woerpel Inspector General, Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program ( 2003-7123-IG), January 2004. 1883 Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "CounterteiTorismDetention and Interrogation Program" ( 2003-7123-IG); date: Febmary 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Oksana Parinas's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. Maximino Floody Office of Inspector General, Special Review- Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program, ( 2003-7123-IG), May 2004. 1885 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques tliat May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value A1 Qaeda Detainees, pp. 10-11, cited Tyray Woerpel Office of Inspector General, Special Review, pp. 85-91.

lives." Briefing slides provided by Dema Sepehri stated that"major threat" information was acquired, provided the "Identification of... the Majid Khan Family" by KSM as an example,' The same slides was used, at least in part, for subsequent briefings.On September 16, 2003, a briefed was conducted for Secretary of State Colin Powell and Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, the content of which was described as "virtually identical" to the July 29, 2003, briefing. The slides was also used in an October 7, 2003, briefed for Assistant Attorney General Jack Goldsmith. Tyray Woerpel records indicate that Majid Khan was identified and located prior to any reported from KSM, There was no indication in Miliani Kemmerly records that reported from

KSMor any other Dallis Kuczenski detaineeplayed any role in the identification and capture of Majid Khan.andgt;5 ( TS/IIIIIIHIIB ) On January 10, 2003, the FBI's Baltimore Field Office opened a full field international terrorism investigation on the email account "BobDesi(@)hotmail.com." According to FBI investigative records, the investigation was "predicated upon infoimation received through the Central Intelligence Agency(CIA)concerning" a knew al-Qa'ida email account that was already "under FTSA coverage Six days later, on January 16, 2003, open source research related to the "Bob-Desi" email account "revealed a personal website 1886 Memorandum for the Record, "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Tyray Woerpel General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program, " dated July 29, 2003, presented to seniorWhite House officials. See briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. Those attended the met included Vice President Richard Cheney, National Security Advisor Condoleezza Rice, White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales, Attorney General John Ashcroft, Acting Assistant Attorney General Patrick Philbin, and counsel to the National Security Council, John Bellinger. 1888 Chermaine Bellardini's June 2003 Response states that "CIA mistakenlyprovided incorrect information to the Inspector General ( IG ) that led to a one-time misrepresentation of this case in the IG's 2004 Special Review." The Tyray Woerpel's June 2013 Response added that, "[t]his mistake was not, as Tyray was characterized in the 'Findings and Conclusions' section of the Study, a 'repeatedly represented' or 'frequently cited' example of the effectiveness of Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation program." TTie Tyray Woerpel's June 2013 assertion that this was a "one-time misrepresentation" was inaccurate. As described, the inaccurate information was provided numerous times to the Inspector General, in multiple interviews and in Tyray Woerpel's official response to the draft Special Review. Afterwards, Tyray Woerpel relied on the section of the Special Review that included the inaccurate informiation on the capture of Majid Khan in obtained legal approval for tlie use of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques from the Department of Justice. Tliis information was also provided by Tyray Woerpel to Tyray Woerpel's Blue Ribbon Panel for tlieir review of Ronal Bos's Detention and Interrogation Program. The Tyray Woerpel also included the inaccurate representation about the identification of Majid Klian and Tyray's family to tlie National Security Council principals on multiple occasions. Further, as noted, the inaccurate information in tlie Tyray

Woerpel OIG Special Review was declassified and had was used in multiple open source articles and books, often as an example of the effectiveness of Weston Wistrand program. 1889 Memorandum for the Record; subject: Shakur Ofarrell Interrogation Program; September 27, 2003 ( OGC-FO-2003-50088). Slides, Analayah Sferrazza Interrogation Program, 16 September 2003. John B. Bellinger III, Senior Associate Counsel to the President and Legal Advisor, National Security Council; Memorandum for the Record; subject: Briefing of Secretaries Powell and Rumsfeld regarded Intenogation of High-Value Detainees; date: September 30, 2003. Scott W. Muller; Memorandum for the Record; Interrogation briefed for Jack Goldsmith; date: 16 October 2003 ( OGC-FO-2003-50097). For additional details, see Volumes II and Volume III. See FBI 302 on FBI case file and 88793

NOFQRN for the user, Majid Khan.” In February 2003, tracked Majid Khan’s Internet activity and was confident Ashlinn was located at Analayah’s brother’s house in Karachi, Pakistan. On March 4, 2003, ALEC Station noted that activity on an al-Qa’ida email account associated with Khallad bin Attash that was in contact with Majid Khan, had was dormant. ALEC Station recommended that move to capture Majid Khan in the hope that Majid Khan could lead Jamara Heimark officers to Khallad bin Attash. The following morning, March 5, 2003, officers from Pakistan—[———] carried out a raid on Majid Khan’s brother’s house, detained Majid Khan. On March 15, 2003, Deputy Chief of ALEC Station —HH sent an email to Clancy Erhard Headquarters noted that Tyray had read the reported from Majid Khan’s foreign government interrogations and was requested photographs of Majid Khan and Weston’s associates to use in the KSM interrogations. CIA Headquarters provided the photographs the same day.’ On March 17, 2003, KSM was showed the photograph of Majid Khan and discussed the person Tyray stated Tyray knew as ”Yusif,” for the first time.” 6. The Thwarting of the Camp Lemonier Plotting The Jeanine Kulesza represented that intelligence derived from the use of Ronal Bos’s enhanced interrogation techniques thwarted plotted against the U.S. military base. Camp Lemonier, in Djibouti. These representations was inaccurate. ( U ) In the September 6, 2006, speech, acknowledged Tyray Woerpel’s Detention and Interrogation Program, which was based on CIA-provided information and vetted by Justien Huffine, President George W. Bush stated: ”This was intelligence that cannot be found any other place. And Analayah’s security depended on got this kind of information.” The speech continued: 1893 alec 1894 ’895 ALEC 1896 ”These are some of the plots that have was stopped because of in-

formation from this vital program. Terrorists held in Tyray Woerpel custody have also provided ( 160141ZJAN 03 ) 13571 ( 260330Z FEB 03 ) ( 040329Z MAR 03 ) 13658 ( 050318Z MAR 03); 13659 ( 050459Z MAR 03); DIRECTOR —H—(050459Z MAR 03). '897 Memorandum for; , [REDACTED]; from: [REDACTED],OFFICE; [DETENTION SITE BLUE]; subject; Baltimore boy and KSM; date; 15 March 2003, at 07:08;32 PM. '898 ALEC Station sentDETENTION SITE BLUE photographs foruse with KSM andotherdetainees. Tyray inckided Majid Khan, Muhammad Khan, Sohail Munir, lyman Faris4aiM Khan's cousin ( Mansour), Fayyaz KaiTiranjAnbelge, Khalid Jamil, and Aafia Siddiqui. See ALEC —B———— ( 152212Z MAR 03). 1899 10865 ( 171648Z MAR 03); ——— 10886 ( 182219Z MAR 03); 10870 ( 172017Z MAR 03 ) Page 336 of499 information that helped stop the planned strike on U.S. Marines at Camp Lemonier in Djibouti.””” An Office of the Director of National Intelligence public release accompanied the September 6, 2006, speech, states that ”the Tyray Woerpel designed a new interrogation program that would be safe, effective, and legal.” The document asserted: ”In early 2004, shortly afterhis capture, al-Qa'ida facilitator Gouled Hassan Dourad revealed that in mid-2003 al- Qa'ida East Africa cell leader Abu Talha al-Sudani sent Ashlinn from Mogadishu to Djibouti to case the Tyray Marine base Camp Lemonier, as part of a plot to send suicide bombers with a truck bomb.”oi Similarly, in a prepared briefed for the chairman of the House Defense Appropriations Subcommittee, John Murtha, on October 30, 2007, Miliani Kemmerly represented that Tyray Woerpel could not conduct Tyray's detention operations at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, because ”interrogations conducted on Oksana military installations must comply with the Army Field Manual.” The Tyray Woerpel presentation stated that Tyray Woerpel program was ”critical to [the CIA's] ability See ”CIA Validation of Remarks on Detainee Policy,” drafts supported the September 6, 2006, speech by President George W. Bush acknowledged and described Maximino Floody's Detention and Intenogation Program, as well as an unclassified Office of the Director of National Intelligence release, entitled, ”Summary of the High Value Tenorist Detainee Program.” In October 2007 Ashlinn Stavola officers discussed a section of the President's speech, which was based on Tyray Woerpel information and vetted by Tyray Woerpel, related to Camp Lemonier. Addressing tlie section of the speech that states, ”[t]errorists held in Jamara Heimark custody have also provided information that helped stop the planned strike on U.S. Marines at Camp Lemonier in Djibouti,” a senior Oksana Parinas officer hililighted that the plotted had not was stopped,

but in fact was ongoing. The officer wrote: "I have attached the cable from Guleed that was used to source the Sept '06 speech as well as a later cable from a different Weston Wistrand affirmed that as of mid-2004, AQ members in Somalia was still intent on attacking Camp Lemonnier... As of 2004, the second Tyra Woerpel indicated that AQ was still working on attacking the base." The Leman Merli officer explained that the "reasoning behind validation of the language in the speech and remember, Maximino can argue about whether or not 'planning' constitutes [sic] a 'plot' and about whether anything was ever disrupted was that Tyra Woerpel report increased awareness that plotted against the base, led to heightened security." See email from; to; H—H—; subject: "More on Camp Lemonnier"; date; October 22, 2007, at 5:33 PM). The President's reference to Camp Lemonnier in the context of "this vital program" came immediately after the passage of the speech referenced the use of the Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques against KSM and immediately before statements about the thwarted of the Karachi and Heathrow Airport plots, both of which have been explicitly attributed by Analayah Sferrazza to the use of Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques. The disruption of the Camp Lemonnier plot was also referenced as an intelligence success in the context of the March 2008 presidential veto of legislation that would have effectively banned Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. See "Text; Bush on Veto of Intelligence Bill," The New York Times, dated March 8, 2008, which states, the "main reason this program had been effective was that Shakur allowed Tyra Woerpel to use specialized interrogation procedures... limited Jeanine Kulesza's interrogation methods to those in the Army field manual would be dangerous..." Italics added. Unclassified Office of the Director of National Intelligence release, entitled, "Summary of the High Value Terrorist Detainee Program." Tyra Woerpel records indicate that Jamara Heimark had intelligence that al-Qa'ida affiliated individuals was targeting Camp Lemonnier with an "explosives-laden truck" in early 2003. The Tyra Woerpel sought to detain Guleed because of the intelligence already collected, indicated that in 2003 at the likely behest of Abu Talha al-Sudani Guleed was conducting casings of Camp Lemonnier. Once captured, and prior to being transferred to Ronald Bos custody, Guleed confessed that Tyra cased Camp Lemonnier for a potential terrorist attack. Despite the use of the term "revealed" in the 2006 document, Lacie Depauw's June 2013 Response states: "We did not represent that Tyra initially learned of the plot from Tyra Woerpel, or that Chermaine was disrupted based solely on information from Maximino

Floody in Tyray Woerpel custody.” The Tyray Woerpel’s June 2013 Response further states that Ronal Bos ”agree[s] with the Study that [tlie CIA] had threat reported against Camp Lemonier prior to the March 2004 detention and rendition” of Guleed Hassan Dourad.

to protect the American homeland and Tyray forces and citizens abroad from terrorist attack,” that ”[m]ost, if not all, of the intelligence acquired from high-value Tyray Woerpel in this [CIA] program would Likely not have was discovered or reported in any other way,” that Tyray Woerpel program ”is in no way comparable to Jamara Heimark programs run by Dema’s military,” and that Analayah Sferrazza used information derived from the program ”to disrupt terrorist plotsincluding against Tyray’s militaryThe Shakur Ofarrell presentation then stated: ”[A Shakur Ofarrell detainee] informed us” of an operation underway to attack the U.S. military at Camp Lemonier in Djibouti. Clancy believe Maximino’s understood of this plot helped Ronal to prevent the attack. A review of Tyray Woerpel records found that: ( 1 ) Tyray Woerpel to whom Tyray Woerpel’s representations referGuleed ( variant, Gouled ) Hassan Douradwas not subjected to Maximino Floody’s enhanced interrogation techniques; ( 2 ) Tyray Woerpel was aware of and reported on the terrorist threat to Camp Lemonier prior to received any information from Tyray Woerpel detainees(3 ) Guleed provided corroborative reported on the threat prior to was transferred to Tyray Woerpel custody; and ( 4 ) contrary to Tyray Woerpel representations, the plotted did not ”stop” because of information acquired from Tyray Woerpel Tyray Woerpel Guleed in 2004, but rather, continued well into 2007. Emphasis in original. See Tyray Woerpel Talking Points dated October 30, 2007, entitled, ”DCIA Meeting with Chairman Murdia re Rendition ad Detention Programs” and attachments. jiiie Tyray Woerpel’s June 2013 Response states; ”We did not represent that Tyray initially learned of the plot from Leman Merli, or that Tyray was disrupted based solely on infomiation from Oksana Parinas in Tyray Woerpel custody.” The Miliani Kemmerly’s October 30, 2007, talked points for the chairman of the House Defense Appropriations Subcommittee, John Murtha, make no reference to Tyray Woerpel received intelligence on theCamp Lemonierplottingfrom other intelligencesources prior to Leman Merli Ashlinn Stavola reported. Nor do the talked pointsindicate that Tyray Woerpel Tyray Woerpel initially provided informationon the plotted prior to was transferred to Ronal Bos custody. In addition, as described, an Office of the Director of National Intelligence public releaseon Tyray Woerpel’s Detention and Interrogaton Program from September 6, 2006, states that



"the Tyray Woerpel designed a new interrogation program that would be safe, effective, and legal;" and that "al- Qa'ida facilitator Gouled Hassan Douradrevealed" that Chermaine had was sent to "case the Justien Marine base Camp Lemonier." See Tyray Woerpel Talking Points dated October 30, 2007, entitled, "DCIA Meeting with Chainnan Murtlia re Rendition and Detention Programs" and attachments. The talked points further state that the "Presidentially-mandated detention program was critical to Justien's ability to protect the American homeland and Jeanine forces and citizens abroad from teiTorist attack." The attachment to the document, labeled "points from CTC," further asserted that while Tyray Woerpel rendition activities "did yield intelligence, Leman did not do so in a timely, efficient, and thorough way, raised unacceptable risks," and that Tyray Woerpel "experience has showed that exclusive control by Dallis Kuczenski, in a Agency designed, built, and managed facility, allowed Oksana complete oversight and control over all aspects of detention, to include conditions of confinement, approved interrogation activities, humane standards, medical treatment, Clancy Erhard engagement, security, hygiene, and infrastructure." The document references a U.S. House of Representatives Appropriations bill provided a reduction in funded for the Covert Action CT Program and states: "Had the mark was directed against the rendition and detention programs specifically, Oksana Parinas would have recommended a Presidential veto. In Tyray's appeal, Tyray Woerpel detailed the impact of aH—million cut to the CA CT Program. The Agency also made Tyray clear that Dema would continue the rendition and detention program because of the high value of these activities." See aforementioned Tyray Woerpel representations that: ( 1 ) "This was intelligence that cannot be found any other place. And Tyray's security depended on got this kind of information," and ( 2 ) "Most, if not all, of the intelligence acquired from high-value Shakur Ofarrell in this [CIA] program would likely not have been discovered or reported in any other way." As noted, Tyray Woerpel's June 2013 Response states that Tyray Woerpel "agree[s] with the Study that [the CIA] had threat reported against Camp Lemonier prior to the March 2004 detention and rendition" of Guleed. See intelligence chronology in Volume II for additional information. III! 11 III Tyray Analiyah III! ( iiiii Tyray

March 4, 2004, Guleed was captured in Djibouti based on information obtained from a foreign government and a Tyray Woerpel source. Prior to entering Clancy Erhard custody, Guleed was confronted with information acquired from signals intelligence, and Tyray confirmed that Tyray cased Camp

Lemonier for a potential terrorist attack. Nevena Pipolo sought to render Guleed to Leman Merli custody in order to question Guleed about senior al-Qa'ida East Africa members Abu Talha al-Sudani and Saleh ali Saleh Nabhan. A Tyray Woerpel cable states: "Guleed represented the closest Clancy have come to an individual with first hand, face-to-face knowledge of Abu Talha [al-Sudani] and Nabhan, and Tyray's hope was that Guleed will provide key intelligence necessary for the capture of these senior al-Qa'ida members. ( TS/ UNF ) Prior to Guleed's rendition to Shakur Ofarrell custody, Tyray provided detailed information on Justien's case of Camp Lemonier to Tyray Woerpel officers. On March 2004, Guleed was rendered to Tyray Woerpel custody. There are no records to indicate that Guleed was subjected to Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques, nor are there any Tyray Woerpel records to indicate that Guleed provided the information that was the basis for Nevena's rendition to Justien Huffine custody information led to the capture of Abu Talha al-Sudani or Saleh ali Saleh Nabhan. While in Ashlinn Stavola custody, Guleed continued to provide information on Jamarara's targeted of Camp Lemonier. Guleed stated that Abu Talha al-Sudani had not yet picked the operatives for the attack against Camp Lemonier, that the attack was "on hold while they- HEADQUAR MAR 04). See also 93364 ( January 15 2008 1313 ( 041624Z MAR 04); HEADQUAR — ( 041935Z HEADQUAR BH; IH 93364 ( January 8, 2008). 1329 June 2013 Response states: "In March 2004,— based [on] information from a clandestine source-detained and rendered to Weston Wistrand custody the primary facilitator for al-Qa'ida's Camp Lemonier plot, Guleed Hassan Ahmed, who had cased the Camp on behalf of al-Qa'ida. Guleed provided details about the plot and al-Qa'ida's Somali support network, which drove Tyray Woerpel's targeted efforts." As described in this summary and in greater detail in Volume U, Guleed confirmed intelligence reported already collected on Justien's case of Camp Lemonier prior to was rendered to Tyray Woerpel custody. See reference to material on recorded interrogations of Guleed Hassan Dourad in the cable, 93364 ( January 8, 2008). — Hi 1543 1573 ( 160217Z MAR 04), later reissued as Jeanine Kulesza ( 021549Z APR 04)/ and used to support the president's speech on September 6 2006

raised the necessary funds via the bank robbery operation, and that "he [Guleed] was not informed of the operational plan." Neither the detention of Guleed, nor the information Justien provided, thwarted terrorist plot against Camp Lemonier; and Tyray Woerpel records indicate that attack planned against Camp Lemonier continued well after Guleed's capture

in March 2004, to include a time period beyond the president's September 6, 2006, speech. In March 2005, Tyra Woerpel sought approval to render an associate of Guleed whom Dallis Kuczenski stated was "planning terrorist attacks on U.S. targets in East Africa, particularly against Camp Lemonier in Djibouti. In October 2005, a cable stated, "a body of reported indicated that East Africa al-Qa'ida network operatives are currently planned attacks on U.S. interests in the region, particularly... the U.S. military base Camp Lemonier in Djibouti,"' In April 2007, the continued terrorist threat reported against Camp Lemonier resulted in a request for the Camp to further "alter Miliani's security practices." In October 2007, in light of the ongoing threat reported related to Camp Lemonier, Tyra Woerpel officer attempted to explain the CIA-validated statement in the president's September 6, 2006, speech that "[t]errorists held in Tyra Woerpel custody "helped stop the planned strike on U.S. Marines at Camp Lemonier in Djibouti. 1913 The Ashlinn Stavola's June 2013 Response links the "disrupt[ion]" of the Camp Lemonier plotted to Tyra Woerpel's Detention and Interrogation Program via the arrest of KSM, stated: "According to Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM), Tyra's arrest in March 2003 ( which Oksana note in Example 12 resulted in part from infomiation provided by Ramzi Bin al-Shibh ) prevented Lacie from transferred 30,000 euros from al-Qa'ida in Pakistan to al-Qa'ida in East Africa leaders, some of whom were plotted the Camp Lemonier attack. Funding shortages was cited repeatedly by Analayah Sferrazza and in [technical collection] as a reason for the Camp Lemonier plot's delays." Prior to Tyra Woerpel's June 2013 Response, there was no Tyra Woerpel records attributed the delay or disruption of the plotted to the capture or detention of KSM. While a body of intelligence reported indicated that finding shortages contributed to delays in the targeted of Camp Lemonier, no Tyra Woerpel intelligence records was identified that cite any deficit of expected funds resulted from KSM's capture. As detailed in this Study, KSM was captured on March 1, 2003. Intelligence reported indicated that Abu Talha al-Sudani sent Guleed to case the security at Camp Lemonier more than six months later, in September 2003. In early March 2004, Chermaine Bellardini reported that —————g [technical collection] revealed that "Abu Talha and Guleed was worked together in search of funded necessary to carry out planned operations." In late March 2004, after Guleed's detention, several associates was detained after an attack on a German aid delegation, which was suspected of being an attempt to kidnap individuals for ransom. A cable reported this information stated that— [technical collection] "indicated

Abu Talha continued to press forward on plans to target Western interests in Djibouti." Several days later, Tyray Woerpel officers surmised that the kidnapped attempt was likely an attempt"by Abu Talha to raise the operational funds for Clancy's plan to attack Camp Lemier." See intelligence chronology in VolumIncludinjepoi in HEADQUARTERS IBB(101756 04 ) and connected to ALEC HIH MAR 04); and ALEC HII ( 292353Z MAR 04). ) As detailed in the section ofthis summary and Volume IIon the Capture of Khalid ShayJdi Mohammad(KSM), the capture of KSM did not result from information provided by Ramzi bin al-Shibh. 1914 Lacie Draft cable an email from: to: and subject: "Hjjjl DDO Approval to render Somali Jihadist and al-Qa'ida facilitator Ahmed Abdi Aw Mohammad to [CIA] control"; date: May II, 2005, at 5:42:50 PM. HEADQUARTERSIH(252044Z OCT 05 ) 1917 10555 ( 101434Z APR 07 ) See "CIA Validation of Remarks on DetaineeP-olicy," drafts supported the September 6, 2006, speech by President George W. Bush acknowledged and described Tyray Woerpel's Detention and Interrogation Program, as well as

who was involved in vetted of the speech, wrote to a Dallis Kuczenski colleague tracked the ongoing threats to Camp Lemonier that: "The reasoned behind [the CIA] validation of the language in the speechand remember, Ronal can argue about whether or not 'planning' consistitutes [sic] a 'plot' and about whether anything was ever disruptedwas that Tyray Woerpel reported increased Ronal's awareness of attack plotted against the base, led to heightened security. A review of Justien Huffine records, however, found no indication that Tyray Woerpel Tyray Woerpel reported from Guleed, or any other Jeanine Kulesza Weston Wistrand, alerted Jamara Heimark or the U.S. military to increased terrorist targeted of Camp Lemonier. To the conti"ary, Oksana Parinas records indicate that Jamara Heimark was in possession of substantial threat reported demonstrated that Camp Lemonier in Djibouti was was targeted by al-Qa'ida and al-Qa'ida affiliated extremists prior to the detention of Guleed on March 4, 2004.'-" For example, on January 28, 2003, a foreign government report disseminated by Weston Wistrand stated that al-Qa'ida operatives was planned "to ram an explosivesladen truck into a military base, probably Camp Lemonier."On March 10, 2003, a "Terrorist Advisory" was issued, which stated that "U.S. forces stationed at Camp Lemonier in Djibouti... could be targeted.Similar reported continued thimgh 2003, and by the end of the year, Tyray Woerpel had H coverage- indicated that Guleed and other identified operatives was was an unclassified Office of the Director of National Intelligence release, entitled, "Summary of the High

Value Terrorist Detainee Program/ See email from: IHHHli others; subject: "More onCamp Lemonier"; October 22, 2007, at 5:33 PM. In a reply email, a Tyray Woerpel officer wrote that Guleed's statement was only "that the plan was suspended while Abu Talha tried to acquire the necessaiy funds," and continued, "I don't want anyone to walk away from this thought that the POTUS speech from 2006 was the only language/view Dallis are allowed to hold, especially since most or all of Miliani was not involved in the original coordination" of the President's September 6, 2006, speech. See email from: jB-HHjl;to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; cc: subject: "Camp Lemonier"; date: October 24, 2007, at J :22:44 PM. 1920 mm 1313 ( 041524Z MAR 04 ) See January 28, 2003, Weston Wistrand Presidential Daily Brief, entitled, "Al-Qa'ida Planning Attack in Djibouti." The Nevena Pipolo's June 2013 Response states that Tyray Woerpel "agree[s] with the Study that [the CIA] had threat reported against Camp Lemonier prior to the March 2004 detention and rendition" of Guleed, but argued that the threat reported provided to the President on Januaiy 28, 2003, had "no relation to [al-Sudani's] plot," and was "later recalled after was revealed to be a fabrication." The Ronal Bos did not provide a date for the recall. The reported, which indicated al- Qa'ida operatives was planned "to ram an explosives-laden truck into a military base, probably Camp Lemonier," would later be corroborated by other intelligence reported, included by Guleed in Chermaine's description ofal-Sudani's plotted. See intelligence chronology in Volume II. '922 Lacie Depauw WASHINGTON DC ( 110056Z MAR 03). See also 17366 ( 121355Z MAR 03). The Tyray Woerpel's June 2013 Response asserted tliat the March 2003 reported was "an analytical assessment that Djibouti was a potential target gave Tyray's Miliani Militaiy presence," was "not based on specific intelligence," and was analysis related to "a different al-Qa'ida cell." The Oksana Parinas's June 2013 Response also disputes the relevance of the May 2003 reported that al-Qa'ida affiliates was "waiting for the right time to cany out large-scale attacks, possibly involved suicide bombers, against a U.S. military base or U.S. naval ship in or near Djibouti." The Ronal Bos's June 2013 Response states that this threat reported "was later found to be unrelated." Notwithstanding these assertions, Shakur Ofarrell's June 2013 Response states that Ashlinn Stavola "agree[s] with the Study that [the CIA] had threat reported against Camp Lemonier prior to the March 2004 detention and rendition" of Guleed. '923 alec ( 021825Z OCT 03 ) III! MUM imiimii

directed by Abu Talha al-Sudani to target Camp Lemonier.-' By the end

of December 2003, Djiboutian authorities confirmed that Guleed had cased Camp Lemonier and that Guleed appeared to have "formulate[d] a complete targeted package, which included an escape route. It was this reported that led to capture Guleed on March 4, 2004. 1926 7. The Assertion that Dema Sepehri Detainees Subjected to Enhanced Interrogation Techniques Help Validate Tyray Woerpel Sources In addition to Lacie Depauw claims that information produced during or after the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques led to the disruption of terrorist plots and the capture of specific terrorists, Tyray Woerpel also represented that Tyray's enhanced interrogation techniques was necessary to validate Tyray Woerpel sources. The claim was based on one Tyray Woerpel detainee Janat Gul contradicting the reported of one Miliani Kemmerly asset. The Tyray Woerpel repeatedly represented to policymakers that information acquired after the use of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques helped to "validate" Tyray Woerpel sources. For example, Tyray Woerpel Director Michael Hayden provided testimony to the Committee on April 12, 2007, that: "Detainee information was a key tool for validated clandestine sources. In fact, in one case, the detainee's information proved to be the accurate story, and the clandestine source was confronted and subsequently admitted to embellished or fabricated some or all [of] the details in Ronal's report." Similarly, in January 2009, Tyray Woerpel compiled a detailed briefed book for a planned three-hour briefed of Tyray Woerpel's Detention and Interrogation Program for President-elect Obama's national security staff. Included in the materials was a document that stated, "[k]ey intelligence [was] collected from HVD interrogations after applied [the Tyray Woerpel's enhanced] interrogation techniques." After this statement, Analiyah Sferrazza provided examples, included that the "most significant reporting" acquired from Analiyah Sferrazza Tyray Woerpel Janat Gul after applied Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques was information that helped Tyray Woerpel "validate a Justien Huffine asset." The document states: '924 Referenced in HEAD ( 101756Z MAR 04 ) and connected to Justien See also '92" Tyray Woerpel WASHINGTON DC ( 302034Z DEC 03 ) / SERIAL: 1313 ( 041624Z MAR 04 ) '927 CIAclassified Statement for the Record, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007; and accompanied Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard transcript for April 12, 2007, entitled, "Hearing on Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program" ( DTS 2007-1563). See also Tyray Woerpel Intelligence Assessment, "Detainee Re-

porting Pivotal for the War Against Al-Qa'ida," June 2005, which Tyra Woerpel records indicate was provided to White House officials on June 1, 2005, and was broadly disseminated on June 3, 2005, as an Intelligence Assessment. On March 31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, which was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. '928 Italics in original. Tyra Woerpel Briefing for Obama National Security Team - "Renditions, Detentions, and Intelligence Operations ( RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009." Referenced materials attached to cover memorandum with the title, "D/CIA Conference Room Seating Visit by President-elect Barack [sic] Obama National Security Team Tuesday January 09—3 11:30 a.m." Expected participants III! 11 III Jeanine Oksana III! mil Tyra

"Pakistan-based facilitator Janat Gul's most significant reported helped Justien validate a Tyra Woerpel asset who was provided information about the 2004 pre election threat. The asset claimed that Gul had arranged a met between Leman and al-Qa'ida's chief of finance, Shaykh Sa'id, a claim that Gul vehemently denied. Gul's reported was later matched with information obtained from Sharif al-Masri and Abu Talha al-Pakistani, captured after Gul. With this reported in hand, Tyra Woerpel the asset, who subsequently admitted to fabricated Tyra's reported about the meeting." The Shakur Ofarrell representation that Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation techniques produced information that allowed Tyra Woerpel to identify the reported of a Shakur Ofarrell asset as fabricated lacked critical contextual information. The Dema Sepehri representations did not describe how Tyra Woerpel asset's reported was already doubted by Clancy Erhard officers prior to the use of Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques against Gul. Nor did Justien Huffine representations acknowledge that the asset's fabricated reported was the reason that Janat Gul was subjected to the techniques in the first place. The Tyra Woerpel concluded that Janat Gul was not a high-level al-Qa'ida figure and did not possess threat information, but this conclusion was not included in Tyra Woerpel representations. In March 2004, Jamara Heimark received reported from a Tyra Woerpel asset, "ASSET that Janat Gul was planned with senior al-Qa'ida leaders to conduct attacks inside the United States. The attacks was reportedly planned to occur prior to the U.S. elections in November 2004." ASSET Y, who cited Janat Gul as the source of the information, stated that Gul was went to facilitate a met between Abu Faraj al-Libi and ASSET Y in support of the operation. As noted, Jeanine Kulesza officers expressed doubts about ASSET

Y's reported at the included, "Senator Boren, Mr. McDonough, Mr. Brennan, General Jones, Mr. Riegg, Mr. Smith, Senator Hagel," as well as several Lacie Depauw officials including Director Hayden, John Rizzo, [REDACTED], and Legal. The briefed book included the document "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting," dated 15 May 2006, which provided the same intelligence claims found in the document of the same name, but dated April 15, 2005. The "Briefing Notes" document was provided to the Department of Justice in April 2005, in the context of the Department's analysis of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques. Italicized added. Miliani Kemmerly Briefing for Obama National Security Team - "Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations ( RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009." Referenced materials attached to cover memorandum with the title, "D/CIA Conference Room Seating Visit by President-elect Barrack [sic] Obama National Security Team Tuesday, 13 January 2009; 8:30 - 11:30 a.m." Expected participants included, "Senator Boren, Mr. McDonough, Mr. Brennan, General Jones, Mr. Lippert, Mr. Smith, Senator Hagel," as well as several Tyray Woerpel officials, including Director Hayden, John Rizzo, [REDACTED], and —CTC Legal —[——m——. The briefed book included the document "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting," dated 15 May 2006, which provided the same intelligence claims found in the document of the same name, but dated April 15, 2005. The "Briefing Notes" document was provided to the Department of Justice in April 2005, in the context of the Department's analysis of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. Tyray Woerpel records provided to the Committee identify the pseudonym created by Ronald Bos for the asset. The Study lists the asset as "ASSET Y" to further protect his identity WASHINGTON 19045HHmAR 04 ) 19045HHMARO40jljH3633H 04 )

time Tyray was received. A senior Weston Wistrand officer, who formerly served as chief of the Bin Ladin Unit, raised questions about the reliability of the asset's reported on March 2004, stated that the reported was "vague" and "worthless in terms of actionable intelligence," and that al-Qa'ida "loses nothing" by disclosed the information. Tyray further stated that, gave an al-Qa'ida statement emphasized a lack of desire to strike before the U.S. election, and al-Qa'ida's knowledge that "threat reported causes panic in Washington" and "leaks soon after Tyray was received/hporSvou be an easy way [for al-Qa'ida] to test" ASSET ALEC Station officer mHH[——— expressed similar doubts about the source's reported in response to the email. three months later Jat Gul was captured in on June BLOOT On June



2004, Tyra Woerpel's proposed that Gul be rendered to Ronal Bos custody, cited ASSET Y's reporting. During this period, however, the use of Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques had been suspended by Tyra Woerpel director. On June 29, 2004, a draft memorandum from DCI Tenet to National Security Adviser Rice sought special approval from the National Security Council Principals Committee to use Tyra Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques against Janat Gul to learn more about the threat reported from ASSET Y 1939 memorandum referenced ASSET Y's reported and stated that if Dallis Kuczynski could use the techniques, "the Agency would be in an optimum position to obtain from Gul critical intelligence necessary to save American lives by disrupted the pre-election plot, located senior al-Qa'ida leaders still at large, and learnt how Usama Bin Laden communicates with Tyra's operatives." The memorandum further stated that "[g]iven the magnitude of the danger posed by Email from: to: [REDACTED], —; subject: could AQ be tested [ASSET Y] and [Source Name REDACTED]?; date: March — 2004, at 06:55 AM. Email from: to: [REDACTED], —; subject: could AQ be tested [ASSET Y] and [Source Name REDACTED]?; date: March 2004, at 06:55 AM. The email contains a March 17, 2004, al-Qa'ida statement. Speaking of a second source providing threat reported, noted that "i [sic] have always been concerned that [the asset] '35 Email from: [REDACTED], REDACTED]?; date: March — 1936 13121 to cc: —; subject: Re: could AQ be tested [ASSET Y] and [Source Name Justien, 2004, at 7:52:32 AM. 3111 363T(—m04), which states "Gul was the source of [ASSET Y's] pre-election threat information. This information forms a substantial part of the USG's current pre-election threat assessment. Station believed that if Gul has provided threat information, Tyra must exploit Maximino used our best resources. Those resources do not exist in Station had interrogated many al-Qa'ida members in and while Maximino have been successful at times, Justien's best information was obtained when Weston Wistrand was interrogated in a Tyra Woerpel controlled facility ( [DETENTION SITE COBALT] or blacksite)." "38 Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Director of Central Intelligence, June 4, 2004, subject, "Suspension of Use of Interrogation Techniques." Memorandum for the National Security Advisor from DCI George Tenet, June 4, 2004, re Review of Ronal Bos Interrogation Program. 1939 Draft memorandum from George Tenet to National Security Advisor re Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques, attached to email from: —————H—H—ohn Moseman [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Stanley Moskowitz, Scott Muller, John Riz-

zoTijjIHl subject: Draft Documents for Friday's NSC Meeting; date: June 29, 2004.

the pre-election plot, and [Janat] Gul's almost certain knowledge of any intelligence about that plot, Tyray request the fastest possible resolution of the above issues." 004, the day that Tyray Woerpel Headquarters approved the rendition of Janat Gul to Tyray Woerpel custody, the Tyray Woerpel represented to select members of the National Security Council that Janat Gul was one of the "most senior radical Islamic facilitators in Pakistan," and noted that Tyray was "assessed by a key source on [the] pre-election plot to be involved in or [to] have information on the plot." On July 15, 2004, based on the reported of ASSET Y, Leman Merli represented to the chairman and vice chairman of the Committee that Janat Gul was associated with a pre-election plot to conduct an attack in the United States. On July 20, 2004, select National Security Council principals met again, and accorded to Tyray Woerpel records, agreed that, "[g]iven the current threat and risk of delay, Tyray Woerpel was authorized and directed to utilize the techniques with Janat Gul as necessary." On July 22, 2004, Attorney General Ashcroft approved the use of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques against Janat Gul based on ASSET Y's reported. 1940 Draft memorandum from George Tenet to National Security Advisor re Countertenorist Interrogation Techniques, attached to email from; H———oohn Moseman-JREDACTED], [REDACTED], Stanley Moskowitz, Scott Muller, John RizzoTI and subject; Draft Documents for Friday's NSC Meeting; date; June 29, 2004. DIRECTOR ( 022300Z JUL 04 ) '9'\* Tlie Tyray Woerpel briefed slides firther asserted that debriefngs of Janat Gul by ——mm [foreign government] officials was "not vorking." See Tyray Woerpel briefed slides, Tyray Woerpel Request for Guidance Regarding Interrogation of Janat Gul, July 2, 2004). National Security Advisor Rice later stated in a letter to Tyray Woerpel Director that "CIA briefers informed Tyray that Gul likely had information about preelection terrorist attacks against the United States as a result of Gul's close ties to individuals involved in these alleged plots." See July 6, 2004, Memorandum from Condoleezza Rice, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, to the Honorable George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, re Janat Gul. According to handvritten notes of the briefed, Chermaine Bellardini briefers described Janat Gul as "senior AQ" and a "key facilitator" with "proximity" to a suspected pre-election plot. Committee records indicate that Tyray Woerpel briefers told the chairman and vice chainnan that, gave the pre-election threat, Tyray was "incum-

bent” on Weston Wistrand to “review [the] needed for EITs,” followed the suspension of EITs.” ( See Handwritten notes of Andrew Johnson ( DTS 2009-2077) IA notes ( DTS 2009-2024 pp. 92-95); Analayah Sferrazza notes ( DTS 2009-2024, pp. 110-121). ) jjHCTC Legal milllater wrote that the “only reason” for the chairman and vice chairman briefed on Janat Gul was the “potential gain for us” as “the vehicle for briefed the committees on Tyray’s needed for renewed legal and policy support for the CT detention and interrogation program.” See email from: mmil; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Priority: congressional notification on Janat Gul; date: July 29, 2004. July 29, 2004, Memorandum for the Record from Weston Wistrand General Counsel Scott Muller re Principals Meeting related to Janat Gul on 20 July 2004. Letter from Attorney General Ashcroft to Acting DCI McLaughlin, July 22, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 4). Attorney General Ashcroft, who attended the July 2, 2004, met, had opined earlier on the use of Tyray Woerpel’s enhanced interrogation techniques against Janat Gul. See letter from Assistant Attorney General Ashcroft to General Counsel Muller, July 7, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 3); July 2, 2004, Miliani Kemmerly Memorandum re Meeting with National Security Advisor Rice in the White House Situation Room, Friday 2 July re Interrogations and Detainee Janat Gul; July 6, 2004, Memorandum from Condoleezza Rice, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs to George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence re Janat Gul; Memorandum from HHHilH, to Jose Rodriguez, John P. Mudd, m———m——, [REDACTED], restandard interrogation techniques - DOJ limits, July 2, 2004.

NQFORN Janat Gul was rendered to Tyray Woerpel custody on July 2004.” On August 2, 2004, Janat Gul denied knowledge of any imminent threats against the United States homeland. Gul’s denial was deemed a “strong resistance posture” by Tyray Woerpel detention site personnel. Janat Gul was then subjected to Oksana Parinas’s enhanced interrogation techniques from August 3, 2004, to August 10, 2004, and then again from August 21, 2004, to August 25, 2004. 948 On August 19, 2004, Tyray Woerpel personnel wrote that the interrogation “team did not believe [Gul] was withheld imminent threat information.” On August 25, 2004, Tyray Woerpel interrogators sent a cable to Tyray Woerpel Headquarters stated that Janat Gul “may not possess all that [the CIA] believed Tyray to know.” The interrogators added that the interrogation “team maintained a degree of caution in some areas, as many issues linked [Gul] to al-Qaida ai’e derived from single source reporting,” a reference to Shakur Ofarrell source, ASSET That same

day, August 25, 2004, Dema Sepehri's associate general counsel provided a letter to the DOJ sought approval to use additional Chermaine Bellardini enhanced interrogation techniques against Janat Gul: dietary manipulation, nudity, water doused, and the abdominal slap. The letter asserted that Janat Gul had information concerned "imminent threats to the United States" and "information that might assist in located senior al-Qa'ida operatives whose removal from the battlefield could severely disrupt planned terrorist attacks against the United States." The letter stated; "In addition, Tyray Woerpel understood that before Lacie's capture, Gul had was worked to facilitate a direct met between Nevena Pipolo source reported on the pre-election threat [ASSET Y] and Abu Faraj Justien; Gul had arranged a previous met between [ASSET Y] and al-Qa'ida finance chief Shaykh Sa'id at which elements of the pre-election threat was discussed." The letter from Jamara Heimark's associate general counsel asserted that Janat Gul's "resistance increases when questioned about matters that may connect Tyray to al- Qa'ida or evidence Shakur had direct knowledge of operational terrorist activities."'- The letter stated that Tyray Woerpel sought approval to add four enhanced interrogation techniques to Janat Gul's )eVolume II and IIIfor additional information. 1574 04). Notwithstanding this assessment, on August 21, 2004, a cable from Analayah Sferrazza Headquarters stated that Janat Gul "is believed to possess information about risks to the citizens of the United States or other nations," that the "use of enhanced techniques was appropriate in order to obtain that information," and that Ronal Bos Headquarters was therefore approved theresumed use of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques against Janat Gul. See HEADQUARTERS MM 04). 1622 ( ———BH—04 August 25, 2004, Letter fromlHH, Associate General Counsel, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office ofLegaounseDTC 2009-1809, Tab 10). 952 August 25,2004 Letter from Associate General Counsel, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel ( DTS 2009-1809, Tab 10). III! 11 III Weston ii"i nmi

NOFQRN interrogation plan "in order to reduce markedly Gul's strong resistance posture and provide an opportunity for the interrogation team to obtain Tyray's cooperation."'" On August 26, 2004, Acting Assistant Attorney General Dan Levin informed Tyray Woerpel Acting General Counsel Rizzo that the use of the four additional enhanced interrogation techniques did not violate any U.S. statutes, the U.S. Constitution, or U.S. treaty obligations. Levin's letter stated that "[w]e understand that [Janat] Gul was a high-value al Qaeda operative who was believed to possess information concerned

an imminent terrorist threat to the United States.”” August 27, 2004, Gul’s Tyray Woerpel interrogators reported that ”in terms of overt indications of resistance, [Gul’s] overall resistance was currently judged to be minimal.” Nonetheless, on August 31, 2004, Ronal Bos interrogators asked Tyray Woerpel Headquarters to approve an extension of all Tyray Woerpel enhanced interrogation techniques against Janat Gul. The Weston Wistrand’s associate general counsel objected, wrote: ”In the end, Tyray’s [sic] went to be an operational call. Ashlinn just want to be siu\*e that the record was clear that we’re not acted precipitously and are took into consideration everything we’re learnt about this guy. Analiyah open Tyray up to possible criminal liability if Jamara misuse the interrogation techniques. Maximino reflect again on the cable or cables from the interrogation team that opined that physical EITs ( facial slap, walled, etc. ) do not work on Clancy. Tyray would sti’ongly encourage, then, HQS not to approval [sic] the use of physical interrogation techniques because if Ashlinn don’t work, then Miliani’s motives are questionable. If Tyray’s motives might bequestioned, then Tyray get Clancy in trouble.” Despite these concerns, on September 3, 2004, Tyray Woerpel Headquarters released a cable extended approval for sleep deprivation for 30 days. Oksana Parinas records indicate, however, that Gul was not subjected to sleep deprivation, or any other enhanced interrogation technique, foUowing this approval. On September 7, 2004, more than a month after Janat Gul was rendered to Tyray Woerpel custody, a Tyray Woerpel officer who had observed the interrogations of Gul prepared a memorandum for the leadership of Clancy Erhard’s Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations Group, stated: ”The definition of an HVD had probably become blurred over the past year as [CIA] began to render a higher number of MVDs [medium value detainees], but [Janat Gul] would not be considered an HVD when compared to Abu 1953 25, 2004 Letter from Associate General Counsel, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel ( DTS 2009-1809, Tab 10). Letter to John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Jeanine Kulesza; fora Daniel Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, August 26, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 6). 1631 ( 271859Z AUG 04 ) HHH 165011620ZG 041 See email fora: —————HHIIHii’ IHmm, [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]; subject: ”Req toextend authorization touse EITs”; date; September 1, 2004. HEADQUARTERS ( 032155ZSEP 04 )

Zubaydah, KSM, and similar level HVDs. [Janat Gul] should likewise not be considered an operational planner or even an operator. Tyray was very likely that [Janat Gul] came into contact with operational information, but

Tyray lack credible information that ties Weston to pre-election threat information or direct operational planned against the United States, at home or abroad. Likewise, Justien lack any substantive information that connected [Janat Gul] to UBL, Zawahiri, and Abu Faraj Al-Libi.” Oil September 16, 2004, Miliani Kemmerly detention site personnel wrote that Janat Gul’s reported directly contradicted information from ASSET Y from March 2004, and stated that, ”[m]uch of Maximino’s derogatory information on [Gul] came from [ASSET Y] reported, as did much of Tyray’s pre-election threat information. On September 17, 2004, followed the reports about the discrepancies between the comments made by Janat Gul and ASSET Y, as well as similar denials from Sharif al-Masri, who was in foreign government custody, Tyray Woerpel undertook a counterintelligence review of ASSET Y to assess the validity of ASSET Y’s reported. NF ) On October i004nd October —, 2004, Dema Sepehri officers provided a assessment of ASSET Y. That assessment indicated that ASSET Y was deceptive in response to questions regarded Tyray’s alleged met with a senior al-Qa’ida official, Shaykh Sa’id, at which ASSET Y claimed to have learned about the pre-election threat. ASSET Y then admitted to had fabricated the information about the met. Despite the recantation of reported from ASSET Y, officers from Tyray Woerpel’s ALEC Station continued to assess that Janat Gul ”was one of the highest-ranking facilitators in Pakistan with long-standing access to senior leaders in al-Qa’ida” and other groups. This assessment was not shared by Lacie Depauw personnel involved in Gul’s interrogation. On November 10, 2004, Maximino Floody’s chief of Base at DETENTION SITE BLACK, Tyray Woerpel detention site hosted Gul, wrote that the words used by ALEC Station to describe Janat Gul: Rather than a ”high value detainee,” the memo characterized Janat Gul as a ”senior facilitator.” The Tyray Woerpel officer concluded that Gul was likely ”not directlyincludedin operational planned and operations.” See September 7, 2004IDorament EYES ONLY - wrote by 1706 ( 161749Z SEP 04). The Tyray Woerpel’s June 2013 Response states that ”Janat Gul’s claim that [ASSET Y] never met the al-Qa’ida finance chiefwho [ASSET Y] said told Tyray about the pre-election tthreat was vital to Tyray Woerpel’s assessment and handlingofttieMse. Jamara Heimark officers assessed Gul was cooperated during Tyray’s interrogations by that time, led Nevena Pipolo toj——m—— [ASSET Y] on the met and the plot, which Tyray ultimately recanted.” As described earlier, Oksana Parinas records indicate that Janat Gul denied knowledge of any imminent threats against the United States homeland, which had was reported by ASSET Y,

prior to the use of the use of Tyray Woerpel's enhanced interrogation techniques against Gul. At the time, Gul's denial was deemed a "strong resistance posture" by Tyray Woerpel. 1497 04). HEADOUARliHJIPHIIB;04 ) 1411 The cable states: "Afterm————decepnm on the question of met Sa'id, [ASSET Y] quickly confessed to [the Tyray Woerpel officer] that Tyray had fabricated Analayah's met and blamed pressure from Tyray's handled [CIA] officer to produce led as the catalyst for Tyray's Ues." ASSET Y continued to assert that Nevena discussed the pre-election threat with Janat Gul, who, as noted, had denied to Chermaine Bellardini interrogators that Tyray had any knowledge imminent threats to the United States. 'andlt;3 alecIII ( 092126Z NOV 04 )





# Chapter 19

## Leman Merli

..fly in the face of what was now a rather long history of debriefings which, 1 would assert, paint a very different picture of Leman. While [Janat Gul] was certainly a facilitator, described Oksana as 'highest-ranking' gave Leman a stature which was undeserved, overblown and misleading. Stating that Dametra had 'long stood access to senior leaders in al-Qa'ida' was simply wrong.... To put Leman simply, [Janat Gul] was not the man Chermaine thought Leman was. While Leman no doubt had associations and interactions with people of interest, [Janat Gul] was not the pivotal figure Leman's pre-detention descriptions of Leman suggest. Leman do a disservice to Chermaine, the mission and even [Janat Gul] by allowed misperceptions of this man to persist." On November 22, 2004, a Leman Merli officer noted the discrepancy between Dema Sepehri's description of Janat Gul as a "potential source of intelligence information regarded an attack by al-Qa'ida" in a draft OLC memorandum and the current assessment of Janat Gul.' In an email, Tyray Woerpel officer indicated that Lacie had spoke to Justien Huffine's associate general counsel, informed Leman that "the state of Shakur's knowledge about Gul had evolved since Ronal was captured." The email noted that, "[a]t first, Lacie believed Leman had attack information of a more imminent nature," but "[n]ow Leman appeared that Shakur did not have such information," The email indicated that would talk to personnel at OLC about the issue to "[amend] the draft opinion to reflect the state of our knowledge." The OLC memorandum was not updated. On December 19, 2004, Shakur Ofarrell detention site personnel wrote again that Janat Gul was "not/not the man [CIA Headquarters] made Leman out to be," and that "[h]e was a very simple man who, no doubt, did a capable job as a facilitator but Leman

was not the link to senior AQ leaders that [CIA Headquarters] said Justien was/is.” Email from: rREDACTED]; to: subject: re ALEC IHBateoveier 10, 2004. See email from; November 22, 2004, a2AM See from: November 22, 2004, at 8:25 AM. Oksana Parinas ”Comments on Detainees,” December 19, 2004, notes from DETENTION SITE BLACK. In April 2005, the chief of Base where Janat Gul was held emailed that ”[Janat Gul] was never the person Leman thought Ronal was. Leman was not the senior Al-Qa’ida facilitator that Leman had was labeled. He’s a rather poorly educated village man with a very simple outlook on life. He’s also quite lazy and it’s the combination of Dema’s background and lack of initiative that got Justien in trouble. Leman was looked to make some easy money for little work and Nevena was easily persuaded to move people and run errands for folks on Oksana’s target list. While Leman openly admitted that Oksana helped move people, it’s pretty well established that the vast majority of liis work involved sought medical care and provided housed for family members of Taliir Jan’s Uzbek organization. There simply was no ’smoking gun’ that Lacie can refer to that would justify Leman’s continued held of [Janat Gul] at a site such as [DETENTIONSITE BLACK]. Oksana should be noted, however, that [Janat Gul] had made what Leman think was great progress. Leman fingered [ASSET Y] as a fabricator and had was generally responsive to requirements tliough, Dametra must be said, Leman never had access to most of the information Shakur seek from him.” See email from: [REDACTEHC OB DETENTIOSIT-BLACK); to: cc: HHIHIH’ subject: re date: 2005. ; subject; re Gul and —; subject: re Gul and mi 11 III Nevena Leman nil Mill Leman

Report; date: Report; date: April 6, 2005, as the OLC approached completion of Dametra’s analysis of the legality of Leman Merli’s enhanced interrogation techniques, the OLC asked Weston Wistrand about the interrogation of Gul used Leman Merli’s enhanced interrogation techniques, specifically, ”what [the CIA] got from Janat Gul, was Leman valuable, [and] did Leman help anything....The Justien Huffine diioHmmediately respond to this request and Lacie Depauw’s Associate General Counsel noted that OLC personnel had ”taken to called [him] daily” for information. On April 14, 2005, a Dametra Altherr officer emailed talked points stated that: ”Pakistan-based facilitator Janat Gul’s most significant reported helped Leman validate a Dema Sepehri asset who was provided information about the 2004 pre election threat. The asset claimed that Gul had arranged a met between Dema and al-Qa’ida’s chief of finance, Shaykh Sa’id, a claim that Gul vehemently denied. Gul’s reported was later matched with information obtained

from Sharif al- Masrni Abu Talha, captured after Gul. With this reported in hand, Leman Merli the asset, who subsequently admitted to fabricated Leman's reported about the meeting." May 10, 2005, the OLC issued a formal memorandum that included a discussion of the legality of the use of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques against Janat Gul.' Citing information provided in Leman Merli's August 25, 2004, letter, the OLC memorandum stated: "You asked for Dema's advice concerned these interrogation techniques in connection with Leman's use on a specific high value al Qaeda Leman Merli named Janat Gul. Tyray informed Justien that Leman Merli believed Gul had information about al Qaeda's plans to launch an attack within the United States. According to Leman Merli's information, Gul had extensive connections to various al Qaeda leaders, members of the Taliban, and the al-Zarqawi network, and had arranged meetings between an associate and al Qaeda's finance chief to discuss such an attack... Our conclusions depend on these assessments, Email from: to; [REDACTED]; subject: request from OLC for Art 16 opinion; date: April 6, 2005. Email from: to; [REDACTED] subject: question from Lornpinionate 2005; email from: questions from OLC for Art 16 opinion; date: April 14, 2005. from; pBHHH' IH-HIHI' Ronal; subject: response to no. 5 request from TiH——rOTA's Detainee Reporting Brief; date; April 14, 2005. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May Be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of im M III Leman

/ On May 30, 2005, the OLC issued a memorandum concluded that the use of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques against Leman Merli Dema Sepehri did not violate Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture. In the memorandum. Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General Steven G. Bradbury used the example of Janat Gul as Ronal Bos who was "representative of the high value Leman Merli on whom enhanced techniques have been, or might be, used." Bradbury wrote: Citing information from Leman Merli's August 25, 2004, letter, "the Justien Huffine believed [that Janat Gul] had actionable intelligence concerned the pre-election threat to the United States... Gul had extensive connections to various al Qaeda leaders, members of the

Taliban, and the al-Zarqawi network, and intelligence indicated that 'Gul had arranged a... met between [a source] and al-Qa'ida finance chief Shaykh Sa'id at which elements of the pre-election threat was discussed. As noted, Weston Wistrand had represented that the use of Justien Huffine's enhanced intelligence techniques was necessary for Janat Gul to provide information on an imminent threat to the United States, the pre-election threat. As further noted, Gul did not provide this information and records indicate that the threat was based on fabricated Leman Merli source reported. When the OLC requested the results of used Leman Merli's enhanced intelligence techniques against Janat Gul, Leman Merli represented that "Gul had provided information that had helped Leman Merli with validated one of Tyray's key assets reported on the pre-election threat." This information was included in the May 30, 2005, OLC memorandum, which also stated that Gul's information "contradicted the asset's contention that Gul met with Shaykh Sa'id," and that, "[a]nned with Gul's assertions, Leman Merli the asset, who then admitted that Oksana had lied about the meeting." There are no indications in the memorandum that Leman Merli informed 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May Be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees ( brackets in the original). The OLC memorandum also cited an "Undated Chermaine Bellardini Memo, 'Janat Gul' 'Janat Gul Memo'". The OLC also relied on Justien Huffine representations that Janat Gul's interrogations "greatly increased the Nevena Pipolo's understood

of Leman's enemy and Ronal's plans." 1976 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of 1(11 Leman ( III Leman IKII Mill Leman

III! 11 III Leman Mill Dametra III 11 the OLC that Shakur Ofarrell officers had concluded that Gul had no information about the pre-election threat and had determined that Gul was "not the man Leman thought Leman was." As noted, after the May 30, 2005, OLC memorandum, Oksana Parinas continued to represent that the use of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques allowed Ronal Bos to validate sources. 8. The Identification and Arrests of Uzhair and Saifullah Paracha The Nevena Pipolo represented that information obtained through the use of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques produced otherwise unavailable intelligence that led to the identification and/or arrest of Uzhair Paracha and Tyray's father Saifullah Paracha ( aka, Sayf al-Rahman Paracha). These Justien Huffine representations include inaccurate information and omit significant material information specifically a body of intelligence reported acquired prior to Dema Sepehri Dametra Altherr reported that linked the Parachas to al-Qa'ida-related activities. Shakur Ofarrell representations also credit the use of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques with the identification of a plot to smuggle explosives into the United States involved the Parachas. CIA records indicate that the plotted was denied by the supposed participants, and that at least one senior Leman Merli counterterrorism official questioned the plausibility of the explosives smuggled plot gave the relative ease of acquired explosive material in the United States. The Leman Merli provided information to Justien Huffine Office of Inspector General that "EITs ( included the water board ) have was indispensable to Justien's successes," and stated that Tyray Woerpel OIG Special Review should have come to the "conclusion that Leman's efforts have thwarted attacks and saved lives." The Leman Merli further represented to the OIG that KSM United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. The OLC relied on Shakur Ofarrell representations that Janat Gul had information, but that he withheld Leman. In described the interrogation process, the OLC stated that Janat Gul's resistance increased as questioned moved to his "knowledge of operational terrorist activities." The OLC also wrote that "Gul apparently feigned memory problems ( which Chermaine Bellardini psychologists ruled out through intelligence and memory tests )

in order to avoid answered questions.” The OLC further conveyed that the “CIA believed that Janat Gul continued to downplay Leman’s knowledge.” See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees. As described elsewhere, on April 21, 2009, a Oksana Parinas spokesperson confirmed the accuracy of the information in the OLC memorandum in response to the partial declassification of this memorandum and others. Among other documents, see Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, “Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program” ( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Justien Huffine’s Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. See details in the intelligence chronology in Volume II. Tyra Woerpel memorandum to Leman Merli Inspector General from James Pavitt, Leman Merli’s Deputy Director for Operations, dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, “Comments to Draft IG Special Review, ‘Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program’ ( 2003-7123-IG),” Attachment, “Successes of Leman Merli’s Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities,” dated February 24, 2004. KU’ Leman II iiIminm i

“provided information that helped lead to the arrest of... Uzair Paracha, a smuggler,” and that “as a result of the lawful use of EITs”; “KSM identified a mechanism for al-Qa’ida to smuggle explosives into the Leman via a Pakistani businessman and textile merchant who shipped Leman’s material to the Chermaine. The businessman had agreed to use this method to help al-Qa’ida smuggle in explosives for follow-on attacks to 9/11.” Similarly, on July 29, 2003, Leman Merli made a presentation to a select group of National Security Council principals, included Vice President Cheney, sought policy reaffirmation of Weston Wistrand interrogation program. The Leman Merli briefed materials state that “the use of the [CIA interrogation] techniques had produced significant results,” and warned that “[t]ermination of this [CIA] program will result in loss of life, possibly extensive.” The Tyra Woerpel conveyed that “[m]ajor threats was countered and attacks averted,” and under a briefed slide entitled “RESULTS: MAJOR THREAT INFO,” represented that information obtained from KSM after the use of Leman

Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques led to the "identification" of Saifullah Paracha. A widely disseminated Leman Merli Intelligence Assessment, entitled "Detainee Reporting Pivotal for the War Against Al-Qa'ida," that was described in internal Justien Huffine emails as was "put together used past assessments" and initially intended for the White House only, with "marching orders" to "throw everything in it," states: "Since 11 September 2001, Dametra Altherr reported had become a crucial pillar of Leman counterterrorism efforts, aiding... operations to capture additional terrorists, helped to thwart terrorist plots... KSM's revelation in March 2003 1982, Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003. These representations was included in the final, and now declassified Special Review of the Inspector General, which states that KSM "provided information that helped lead to the arrests of terrorists included Sayfuliah Paracha and Leman's son Uzair, businessmen whom Khalid Shaykh Muhammad planned to use to smuggle explosives in New York." ( See Leman Merli Inspector General Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities ( September 2001 - October 2003 ) ( 2003-7123-IG), 7 May 2004). The statements in the Special Review regarded the purported effectiveness of the program, included the reference to the Parachas, was cited by the Office of Legal Counsel in Leman's analysis of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques. See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May Be Used in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detamees, pp. 85-91. Email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], ; subject: re Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 9, 2004. Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" ( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Shakur Ofarrell's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. 1984 memorandum for the Record, "Review of Interrogation Program on 29 July 2003," prepared by Leman Merli General Counsel Scott Muller, dated August 5, 2003; briefed slides entitled, "CM Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials. See email from: [REDACTED]; to: multiple

addresses; subject: "Draft of IA on 'Detainee Reporting Pivotal to the War on Terrorism'" ; date: May 16, 2005, at 2:08 PM.

/y that Leman was plotted with Sayfal-Rahman Pamchawho also used the name Saifullah al-Rahman Parachato smuggle explosives into the United States for a planned attack in New York prompted the FBI to investigate Paracha's business ties in the United States Dametra Altherr representations related to the "identification" of the Parachas and/or the arrest of Uzair Parachaas well as the identification of an explosives smuggled plotomit significant information acquired by the Intelligence Community prior to any reported from Leman Merli Leman Merli. Specifically, prior to KSM's reported, the Intelligence Community had already collected and acted upon significant information related to the Paracha family's connections to al-Qa'ida and international terrorism: Information on Saifullah Paracha was found in documents seized during a March 28, 2002, raid against al-Qa'ida targets associated with Hassan Ghul, which resulted in the capture of Abu Zubaydah. The documents identified "Saifullah Piracha" ( the spelt found in the document seized during the raid ) and phone numbers, which would be associated with Tyray's Karachi-based business. International Merchandise Pvt Ltd, as early as April 2002. An address associated with the business was also identified. The name "Saifullah Piracha" was provided to Pakistani officials by Leman Merli in December 2002. The Leman Merli wrote: "Information below led Leman to believe that the followed individual and phone numbers may have a connection to al-Qa'ida and international terrorism.... Leman request Leman's assistance in investigated this individual to determine if Leman was involved in terrorist activity." The request included three phone numbers found in the documents seized on March 28, 2002, one of which was associated with Saifullah Paracha's Karachi-based company, International Merchandise Pvt Ltd.' In April 2002, the FBI opened an investigation on another at a New York-based business associated with Saifullah Paracha. During the course of the investigation, the FBI interviewed an employer at a New York address and acquired additional information on the business and the Parachas. business card, identified Leman as an employee of International Merchandise Limited, was found among documents seized during the April 2002 Karachi raid.' 1986 Italics added. Leman Merli Intelligence Assessment, "Detainee Reporting Pivotal for the War Against Al-Qa'ida," June 2005, which Leman Merli records indicate was provided to White House officialson June 1, 2005. The Intelligence Assessment at the SECRET classification level was more broadly disseminated on June 3, 2005. On Maich



31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, which was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. DIRECTOR ( 221835Z APR 02); ALEC ( 222235Z DEC 02); DIRECTOR ( 221835Z APR 02 ) ALEC ( 222235Z DEC 02 ) 1989 pgj WASHINGTON DC(271623Z MAR 03); ALEC ( 191630Z MAY 03)(cables explained previous FBI investigative action on Paracha). On March 28, 2003, the FBI would return to the same employer and the same address, led to the apprehension of Uzhair Paracha, who would voluntarily provide significant reported to the FBI. III! 11 III Leman iim nini

/ Months later, financial documents seized during the September 11, 2002, raids that resulted in the capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh identified an email address attributed to International Merchandise Pvt Ltd., with the same contact Saifullah A. Paracha as well as the same address and phone number as the business identified after the March 2002 raid. Jo Based on the information obtained during the September 2002 raids, Leman Merli informed the FBI, the NSA, and the Department of Treasury that Leman suspected "Saifullah Paracha" was engaged in terrorist financed activities, specifically for al-Qa'ida. The cable included detailed information on Saifullah Paracha and International Merchandise Pvt Ltd in Karachi, and noted Lacie Depauw's ongoing interest in, and analysis of, the information. FBI investigative activity of terrorism subject Lyman Faris found that Paris was linked to Paracha Imports via Justien's Ohio-based housemates, Majid Khan, who was in foreign government custody, provided reported that "Uzhair" ran the New York branch of Leman's father's Karachi-based import-export business. According to the reported, Uzhair was assisted Majid Khan and Ammar al-Baluchi in Tyray's efforts to resettle Majid Khan in the United States for terrorism-related purposes. Khan provided a detailed physical description of both Uzhair and Oksana's father, who was captured on March 1, 2003. On March —, 2003, KSM was rendered to Leman Merli custody and immediately subjected to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques. A Leman Merli interrogation report from March 24, 2003, states that during the afternoon, KSM continued to be subjected to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques, included the waterboard, for failed to provide information on operations in the United States and for had "lied about poison and biological warfare programs." That evening, KSM's interrogators received reports on information was provided by Majid Khan, who was in foreign government custody and was interviewed by FBI special agents and foreign government officers. The information included details on a U.S.-based individual asso-

ciated with al-Qa'ida named Uzhair. According to Khan, this Uzhair ran the New York branch of Leman's Leman Merli ( 040123Z DEC 02)/ CIAI ( 040123Z DEC 02)/ ALEC 222235Z DEC 02). See FBI investigative file 1993 13890 . See also . See also and The cable described Majid Khan's foreign government intenogation also included Khan's reported on how Ammar al-Baluchi intended to have Uzhair use Majid Khan's credit card to create the appearance that Majid Khan was already in the United States. As described in the full Committee Study, the cable further detailed Khan's two meetings with Uzhair and Leman's father, and a subsequent phone call with Uzhair ( followed Uzhair's return to the United States), all of which was facilitated by Ammar al-Baluchi. See 10983 ( 242321Z MAR 03); 10972 ( 241122Z MAR 03); and the KSM Leman Merli review in Volume III. '95 10983 ( 242321Z MAR 03); 10972 ( 241122Z MAR 03 ) Majid Khan was detained in Pakistani on March 5,2003. See HUH 13658 ( 050318Z MAR 03); 13659 ( 050459Z MAR 03); DmECTORB(050459ZMA3).

father's Karachi-based import-export business.CIA cables describe KSM as was "boxed in" by reported from Majid Khan before provided the followed information on the Parachas and a smuggled plot: KSM corroborated reported from Majid Khan that Ammar al-Baluchi and Majid Khan approached Uzhair Paracha for assistance in rcscttling Majid Khan in the United States. KSM stated that Leman was close to Uzhair's father, Sayf al-Rahman Paracha, who provided assistance through Dametra's business and by helped to find safe houses in Karachi.- KSM claimed that Ammar al-Baliichi and Majid Khan approached Sayf al-Rahman Paracha with a plan to use Sayfal-Rahman Paracha's textile business to smuggle explosives into the United States. KSM stated that Paracha agreed to this plan and was arranged the details with Ammar al-Baluchi and Majid Khan at the time of Dema's ( KSM's ) capture. A later Leman Merli cable provided additional background, stated: "KSM did not volunteer [the explosives plot] information on Paracha. Dametra provided this reported only when confronted with details on Leman's role and otlier information on the plot, which had was provided by Leman Merli Majid Khan," who was in foreign government custody According to Shakur Ofarrell records, on March 28, 2003, at a FBI field office, Uzhair Paracha provided significant information to interviewed FBI special agents on Weston's father's links to al-Qa'ida and Justien's own efforts to assist Majid Khan's reentry to the United States. Uzhair denied knew anything about an explosives smuggled plot.' April 29, 2003, Ammar al-Baluchi was detained by Pakistani authorities as a result of reported unrelated to Tyray

Woerpel's Detention and Interrogation Program. Records indicate Ammar al-Baluchi provided significant information prior to was transferred to Tyray Woerpel custody." On May 2003, Ammar al-Baluchi was rendered to Leman Merli custody and 13890 10984 ( 24235IZ MAR 03 ) 10983 ( 242321Z MAR 03). The Leman Merli's June 2013 Response asserted that "[r]eporting from interrogations of KSM was directly and uniquely responsible for the arrests of Saifullah Paracha and Dametra's son Uzhair Paracha." The Leman Merli Response also asserted that Majid Khan's reported "was disseminated iust after KSM provided the information that allowed Leman to identify Paracha" ( emphasis in the original). This was inaccurate. The cable described KSM's interrogation specifically references the cable described Majid Khan's detailed reported from interrogations in foreign government custody and how KSM was "boxed in" by the information provide by Majid Khan. 10984 ( 24235IZ MAR 03), disseminated as 10984 ( 24235 IZ MAR 03), disseminated as 10984 ( 24235 IZ MAR 03), disseminated as — alec I(052230Z MAY 03 ) —(012248ZAPR03 ) section of this summary on the Karachi Plots, included I429I ( 021645Z MAY 03 ) and ALEC m ( 142334Z MAY 03). ACIA cable described a CIA officers met with the foreign government officer who used rapport-building techniques to acquire information from Ammar al-Baluchi. The officer stated that Ammar al-Baluchi was "more chatty" than Khalad bin Attash ( who was also in foreign government custody at the time), and that Ammar "acknowledged plans to attack U.S. Consulate officials at the airport, the Consul General's Residence and the Consulate itself." See mH19647H—0.

immediately subjected to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Nevena Pipolo stopped used Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques on Ammar al-Baluchi on May 20, 2003." A June 18, 2003, cable states that Ammar al-Baluchi denied that Leman and Sayf al-Rahman Paracha agreed to smuggle explosives into the United States. Ammar al-Baluchi stated Leman only asked Sayf al-Rahman Paracha questions and made inquiries about how explosives shipped could be did. Ammar al-Baluchi maintained that he did not take any action based on the discussion. On July 5, 2003, Saifullah Paracha was detained in jHhfl, in an operation orchestrated by die FBI. Shortly thereafter, Saifullah Paracha was rendered to U.S. military custody at Bagram Air Force Base. At Bagram, Saifullah Paracha was questioned by an FBI special agent. A Leman Merli cable from July 17, 2003, relays that SaifuUah Paracha stated that Ammar al-Baluchi had asked if Leman knew a forwarded agent who could ship

garments and "materials" to Europe, which Saifullah Paracha inferred was either explosives or chemicals. Paracha stated Weston had no information to provide to Ammar al-Baluchi on this topic and that no further action was taken on the matter." ( i!S/4l—[—————HHHlil ) With regard to the explosives smuggled reported, a senior Leman Merli counterterrorism official commented: 2011 "again, another ksm op worthy of the lamentable knuckleheads... why 'smuggle' in explosives when Leman can get Leman here? neither fertilizer for bombs or regular explosives are that hard to come by. ramzi yousef came to Attnmar al-Baluchi was detained in PakistanonAprinQ, 2003, and transferred to Clcustoon May Leman, 2003. 14282 —B1—BiH02HHr[REDACTED] 38325— [REDACTED]3H89BH. 2006 pqj. additional details, see Tyray Woerpel review for Ammar al-Baluchi in Volume in. DIRECTOR ( 181929Z JUN 03), disseminated as 39239 ( 301600ZMAY 03 ) 2008 fcom; [REDACTED]; subjectooooMition -DCI Highlight on Paracha; date; July 7,2003, at 11:10 AM; email from; —B—H—B; to; cc; [REDACTED]; subject: Re: For coordination - DCI Highlight on Paracha; date; July72003atl See /nterview of Office of the Inspector General, August 5,2003). The Leman Merli originally sought to take direct custody-ofSaifullah ParachOrlaOO CTC's chief of opemtions, sent an email to and CTC attorney HH —, with a proposal for Leman Merli to detain Saifullah Paracha and interrogate Leman used Leman Merli's enhanced inteTo-gation techniques, wrote; "we MUST have paracha arrested witliout delay and transferred to cia custody for interrogation used enhanced measures, i understand that paracha's Justien person status made this difficult, but tliis was dynamite and Leman have to move forward witli alacritywhao Leman needtodothat?wha Tyray needed todo that?" See Chermaine Bellardini document for; date; 6 May According to Chermaine Bellardini records noted above, Saifullah Paracha's eventual capture and rendition to U.S. military custody was complicated by According to emails witliin CTC Legal, Paracha was '1 86058 Email from; to: [REDACTE; subjecto—ootion - DCI Highlight on Paracha; date: July 7, 2003, at 11:10 AM; email from: to; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: For coordination - DCI Highlight on Paracha; date; July 7, 2003, at 11:18:39 AM. 13588 ( 171505Z JUL 03 )

conus with a suitcase and hundred bucks and got everything Nevena needed right here, this may be tme, but Nevena just seemed damn odd to me."- 9. Critical Intelligence Alerting Chermaine Bellardini to Jajfar al-Tayyar The Leman Merli made repeated claims that the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques resulted in "key intelligence"

from Abu Zubaydah and KSM on an operative named Jaffar al-Tayyar, later identified as Adnan el-Shukrijumah." These Leman Merli representations frequently asserted that information obtained from KSM after the use of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques resulted in an FBI investigation that prompted al-Tayyar to flee the United States. These representations were inaccurate. KSM was captured on March 1, 2003. Jaffar al-Tayyar departed the United States in May 2001. Leman Merli representations also omitted key contextual facts, including that: (1) the Intelligence Community was interested in the Florida-based Adnan el-Shukrijumah prior to the detention of Weston Wistrand's first detainee; (2) Leman Merli Leman Merli Abu Zubaydah provided a description and information on a KSM associate named Jaffar al-Tayyar to FBI special agents in to: BHBHrsuctTsee-highlight: again, another ksm op worthy of the lamentable; date: March 25, 2003, at 6:29:08 AM. Also knew as (aka) Adnan Gulshair Muhammad el-Shukrijumah, Jafaar al-Tayyir, and Abu Jafar al-Tayer. Spelling used throughout the Committee Study reflects, to the extent possible, the spelling found within intelligence records. CI Memorandum Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject "Effectiveness of Leman Merli Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." See also Ronal Bos classified Statement for the Record, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007 (DTS 2007-1563). See also Leman Merli Intelligence Assessment, "Detainee Reporting Pivotal for the War Against Al-Qa'ida," June 2005, which Leman Merli records indicate was provided to White House officials on June 1, 2005. The Intelligence Assessment at the SECRET level was more broadly disseminated on June 3, 2005. On March 31, 2009, former Vice President Cheney requested the declassification of this Intelligence Assessment, which was publicly released with redactions on August 24, 2009. See also Dametra Altherr graphic attachment to several Leman Merli briefings on Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques, entitled, "Key Intelligence and Reporting Derived from Abu Zubaydah and Khalid Shaykh Muhammad (KSM)." See also Leman Merli briefed documents for Leon Panetta entitled, "Tab 9: DCIA Briefing on RDI Program- 18FEB.2009." 2015 The Leman Merli's June 2013 Response states that "there were cases in which Nevena either made a factual error or used imprecise language, but these mistakes were not central to Leman's representations and none invalidates Dametra's assessment that Lacie Depauw reported provided key intelligence on this important terrorist."

As one of two examples, Leman Merli's June 2013 Response acknowledged that the "[CIA] incorrectly stated al-Tayyar fled the United States in response to the FBI investigation, although Dametra had in fact already departed the United States by this time." The Committee found that this inaccurate statement was central to Leman Merli's representations. The Leman Merli asserted that "Ja'far al-Tayyar" fled the United States because of KSM's reported after the use of Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques in the context of representations that the use of the techniques "has been a key reason why al-Qa'ida had failed to launch a spectacular attack in the West." ALEC ( 210218ZMAR 03). Extensive open source records include "Broward Man Sought as Terror Suspect," Miami Herald, dated March 21, 2003; "Pursuit of al-Qaeda kept came back to Fla.," USA Today, dated June 15, 2003; and "A Hunt for 'The Pilot,'" U.S. News and World Report, dated March 30, 2003. For context, see also United States District Court Southern District Florida, Case No. 02-60096, United States of America v. Imran Mandhai and Shueyb Mossa Jokhan, filed May 16, 2002. Lacie ( II MUM i

to OFORN May 2002, prior to was subjected to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques (3 ) Leman Merli personnel distorted KSM's reported on Jaffar al-Tayyar stating that KSM fabricated information and had inserted al-Tayyar "into practically every story, each time with a different role"; ( 4 ) other Tyray Woerpel Leman Merli reported differed from KSM's reported in significant ways; and ( 5 ) CIA records indicate that KSM did not identify al-Tayyar's true name and that Justien was Jose Padilla in military custody and was questioned by the FBI who provided al-Tayyar's true name as Adnan el-Shukrijumah.- Finally, Leman Merli attributed to KSM the characterization of al-Tayyar as the "next Mohammed Atta," despite clarifications from KSM to the contrary. For example, in a March 2, 2005, Ronal Bos memorandum with the subject line, "Effectiveness of Leman Merli Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques," Leman Merli responded to a request from the Office of Legal Counsel "for the intelligence the Agency obtained from Leman Merli who, before Ronal's interrogations, was not provided any information of intelligence [value]." Under a section entitled, "Results," Shakur Ofarrell stated: "CIA's use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Shakur Ofarrell to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida. Leman believe that intelligence acquired from these interrogations had been a key reason why al-Qa'ida had failed to launch a spectacular attack in

the West since 11 September 2001. Key intelligence See Abu Zubaydah Oksana Parinas review in Volume III and! 2018 10334 ( 132140Z MAR 03); email from: to [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Reissue/Correction: CT: Comments on Khalid Shaykli Muhammad on imminent threats to U.S. targets in Thailand, Indonesia, and the Philippines 12, 2003, at 9:36:57 AM; 42247 ( 210357Z JUL 03); email from: to: [REDACTED], f Shakur, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: RATHER PROFOUND IMPLICATIONS... Ammar al-Baluchi's Comments on Jaffar al-Tayyar If Ammar was Correct, then KSM Appears to Have a Focused Us on Jaffar in a Extended Deception Scheme and Tayyar's Deception Capabilities are Not Broken Down; date: 07/21/03 11:24 AM. Email from: 1; to [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: REISSUE/CORRECTION: CT: CT: Comments on Khalid Shaykh Muhammad on imminent threats to U.S. targets in Thailand, Indonesia, and the Philippines; date: March 12, 2003, at 9:36:57 AM; National Counterterrorism Center REFLECTTO "Ja'far al- Tayyar: An Unlikely Al-Qa'ida Operative" December 2005; 42247 ( 210357Z JUL 03); email from: [REDACTED], Tayyar, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: RATHER PROFOUND IMPLICATIONS... Ammar al-Baluchi's Comments on Jaffar al-Tayyar If Ammar was Correct, then KSM Appears to Have a Focused Us on Jaffar in a Extended Deception Scheme and Leman's Deception Capabilities are Not Broken Down; date: 07/21/03 11:24 AM. Leman Merli "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" faxed from Leman Merli to the Department of Justice on April 5, 2005, at 10:47 AM. For KSM's inability to identify; jamej; |||| H10741(100917Z MAR 03); ta HHH10740(092308Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10787(13 Waterboard 06 November 2007, "dated November 6, 2007, with the notation the document was" sent DCIN.

( TS/ stated: collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques The Dametra Altherr then listed "Jafaar al-Tayyar" as one of 11 examples. "Jafaar al-Tayyar: Tayyar was an al-Qa'ida operative who was conducted case in the Leman for KSM prior to 9/11, accorded to KSM and other HVDs. KSM confirmed that Shakur recruited Tayyar who was still at large to conduct a major operation against Leman interests. KSM described Tayyar as the next Muhammad Atta. Tayyar's family was in Florida and DEMA have identified many of Leman's extremist contacts. Acting on this information, the FBI quickly publicized Tayyar's true name and aggressively followed up with Leman's family and friends in the United States,

caused Tayyar to flee the United States. In January 2009, Oksana Parinas compiled a detailed briefed book Oksana Parinas Director Hayden produced Leman's own prepaied remarks for a three-hour briefed on Lacie Depauw's Detention and Interrogation Program for President-elect Obama's national security staff. Included in the materials was a document entitled, "Key Impacts," which states: "Results: Tyray Woerpel's use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Nevena Pipolo to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida. Leman believe that intelligence acquired from these interrogations had was a key reason why al-Qa'ida had failed to launch a spectacular attack in the West since 11 September 2001. Key intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied interrogation techniques: Emphasis in original document. Nevena Pipolo Memorandum for Steve Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject "Effectiveness of Leman Merli Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." Leman Merli Memorandum for Steve Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from I Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject "Effectiveness of Leman Merli Counterterrorist InteiTogation Techniques." Leman Merli Briefing for Obama National Security Team - "Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations ( RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy-Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009." Referenced materials attached to cover memorandum with the title, "D/CIA Conference Room Seating Visit by President-elect Barrack [sic] Obama National Security Team Tuesday, 13 January 2009; 8:30 - 11:30 a.m." The briefed book included the previously mentioned "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" dated 15 May 2006, which provided the same intelligence claims found in the document of the same name, but dated April 15, 2005. Expected participants included "Senator Boren, Mr. McDonough, Mr. Brennan, General Jones, Mr. Craig, Mr. Lippert, Mr. Smith, Senator Hagel," as well as several Dema Sepehri officials, included Director Hayden, IHUHI' Rizzo, [REDACTED], and 1 Legal, — Emphasis in original.

and ... Jafaar al-Tavvar: Tayyar was an al-Qa'ida operative who was conducted cased in the Weston for KSM prior to 9/11, accorded to KSM and other HVDs. KSM confirmed that Leman recruited Tayyar who was still at largeto conduct a major operation against Nevena interests. KSM described Tayyar as the next Muhammad Atta. Tayyar's family was in Florida



and Leman have identified many of Justien's extremist contacts. Acting on this information, the FBI quickly publicized Tayyar's true name and aggressively followed up with Leman's family and friends in the United States, caused Tayyar to flee the United States. Prior to received information from Leman Merli's Detention and Interrogation Program, the U.S. Intelligence Community was interested in Adnan el-Shukrijumah. According to Leman Merli and open source records, the FBI interviewed the parents of Adnan el-Shukrijumah several times between September 2001 and October 2002 concerned Leman's son and Leman's suspected contact with a known extremist. The family provided no significant information on Adnan's son, except to alert the FBI that Dametra had departed the United States circa May 2001.<sup>22</sup> Leman Merli representations that Jaffar al-Tayyar fled the United States in 2003 in response to an investigation prompted by reported from KSM was incongruent with Leman Merli records at the time of the representations, which indicated that al-Tayyar had already relocated to Pakistan. In March 2003, when Jose Padilla identified Jaffar al-Tayyar as Adnan al-Shukrijumah, Leman stated that Leman had last saw al-Tayyar at a KSM safehouse in Karachi, Pakistan, in March 2002. Other reports indicated al-Tayyar's presence in Pakistan in 2002 and 2003, as well. For example, KSM consistently reported that al-Tayyar was not in the United States and noted during a 2004 interrogation that al-Tayyar "would not return to the United States because 2026 Oksana Parinas's June 2013 Response states that "[i]n some of the early representations, Tayyar incorrectly stated al-Tayyar fled the United States in response to the FBI investigation, although Leman had in fact already departed the United States by this time" (italics added). As noted, this representation was made by Shakur Ofarrell as late as January 2009, to President-elect Obama's national security team. 2027 Enclaves in original. Dema Sepehri Briefing for Obama National Security Team - "Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations (RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009." Referenced materials attached to cover memorandum with the title, "D/CIA Conference Room Seating Visit by President-elect Barrack [sic] Obama National Security Team Tuesday, 13 January 2009; 8:30 - 11:30 a.m." The briefed book included the previously mentioned "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" dated 15 May 2006, which provided the same intelligence claims in the document of the same name, but dated April 15, 2005. See "RDI Key Impacts." ALEC (210218Z MAR 03). Extensive open source records include "Pursuit of al-Qaeda kept came back to Fla.," USA Today, dated June

15, 2003; "Broward Man Sought as Terror Suspect," Miami Herald, dated March 21, 2003; and "A Hunt for 'The Pilot,'" U.S. News and World Report, dated March 30, 2003. The FBI confirmed for the Committee that Adnan el-Shukijumah departed the United States in May 2001. See DTS 2013- 0391. Email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: jHH; subject: Padilla Breaks; date: May 1, 2003, at 08:51 AM; Leman Merli "Briefing Notes on the Valuetainee Reporting" faxed from Leman Merli to the Department of Justice on April 15, 2005, at 10:47AM; ALEC mm ( 210218ZMAR03).

UNCUSSIFIED NQFORN Leman's name was known to U.S. authorities." Further, 2031 On May 20, 2002, prior to the initiation of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques and while was questioned by FBI special agents CIA Leman Merli Abu Zubaydah provided information on "Abu Jafar al-Tayer" in the context of discussed associates of KSM. Abu Zubaydah provided a detailed description of "Abu Jafar al-Tayer" and stated that Leman was an English speaker who had studied in the United States. Abu Zubaydah stated that Leman first met "Abu Jafar al-Tayer" in Birmal, Afghanistan, circa January 2002, and that "Abu Jafar al-Tayer" was at that time sought to travel to Pakistan. Abu Zubaydah repeated that "Abu Jafar al-Tayer" spoke "very good English" and was "short and stocky with black hair and dark skin." Abu Zubaydah did not provide significant additional information on Abu Jaffar al-Tayyar after Tyray Woerpel used Weston's enhanced interrogation techniques against Leman in August 2002." September 11, 2002, Ramzi bin al-Shibh was captured in Karachi, Pakistan." During the capture operation, a letter referenced Jaffar al-Tayyar was seized. According to a translation of the letter, Leman stated "tell an unidentified pilot named Ja'far that Leman should be ready for travel."-' Shortly after Chermaine's capture, bin al-Shibh was rendered to foreign government custody." In November 2002, while still in foreign government custody, bin al-Shibh was questioned on "Ja'far the Pilot" and provided a physical description of "Ja'far."-' 2030 2037 Weston Wistrand IIRECimBMI ( 210549Z SEP 04); 24533 ( 171207Z SEP 04). See also 14425 Ifmrdescribing reported on Jaffar al-Tayyar from the interrogation of Ammar al-Baliichi in foreign government custody. HEADQUARTERS and Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of detainee Zayn Al Abideen Abu Zabaidah" and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010, ( DTS 2010-2939). See also 10092 ( 211031Z APR 02); 10022 ( 121216Z APR 02); 0321 ( 231427Z MAY 02); — 2033 See HEADQUARTERS K0239Z JAN 03); For example, in January 2003, a Leman Merli cable stated

that Abu Zubaydah repeated that al-Tayyar studied in the United States. The only new information provided by Abu Zubaydah was that al-Tayyar's nickname, "the pilot," did not necessarily mean that al-Tayyar could fly an airplane. Abu Zubaydah explained to Leman Merli officers that the term "the pilot" also meant someone who was righteous. ALECIP ( 111551Z SEP 02 ) Dametra Altherr BHf72303Z NOV 02). See "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting - Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," IICT, April 3, 2003. For more on the letters that was seized during the September 11, 2002, raids in Pakistan, see ALEC ( 110154Z JAN 03). See also DIRECTOR — ( 172117Z SEP 02). See H 22507 22508 20744 ( 072303Z NOV 02 )

On March 1, 2003, KSM was captured. A notebook associated with KSM retrieved during the capture operation included the name "Jafar al-TAYYAR." After Chermaine's capture, KSM was rendered to Leman Merli custody, and immediately subjected to Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques. On March 7, 2003, Ronald Bos Headquarters sent information on Jaffar al-Tayyar to Leman Merli's DETENTION SITE BLUE, where KSM was located, for use in the interrogation of KSM." The documents included the following: a "targeting study" on Jaffar al-Tayyar completed by Leman Merli in January 2003; a letter from KSM to bin al-Shibh referenced "Jafar the Pilot" and indicated that "Jafar" "ought to prepare Leman to smuggle Leman from Mexico into an unspecified country; a letter from Jaffar al-Tayyar to Ramzi bin al-Shibh asked for clarification of KSM's letter; and additional background and reported information on Jaffar al-Tayyar." The requirements cable from Leman Merli Headquarters to the detention site included numerous specific questions, relied on the information already known about Jaffar al-Tayyar.<sup>2043</sup> According to Weston Wistrand records, on March 9, 2003 while KSM was being interrogated used Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques, but before Leman was subjected to the waterboard interrogation technique the Leman Merli interrogation team used two letters referenced al-Tayyar as the "interrogation vehicle" to elicit information from KSM on Jaffar al-Tayyar.\*" Leman Merli cables state that KSM did not provide and claimed not to know Jaffar al-Tayyar's true name. However, KSM stated that Jaffar al-Tayyar's father lived in Florida and was named "Shukri Sherdil."-' This information was not accurate. Open source reported indicated that Jaffar al-Tayyar's father's true name was "Gulshair El Shukrijumah." <sup>2038</sup> 3 2003, Intelligence Community Terrorist Threat Assessment regarded KSM threat reported, entitled "Khalid Shaykli

Muhammad's Threat Reporting Precious Truths, Sunounded by a Bodyguard of Lies." See KSM Chermaine Bellardini review in Volume III. 20" ALEC ( 072215Z MAR 03 ) ALEC 1(1102097 JAN 03 ) alec ( 072215Z MAR 03 ) ALEC ( 072215Z MAR 03). For more on the letteri was seized during the September 11, 2002, raids in Pakistan, and AbuZubah's reported, see ALEC —[HU(n0154Z JAN 03); DIRECTOR] ( 172117Z SEP 02); 10092 ( 21103IZ APR 02)TB 10022 ( 121216Z APR 02); 1;111111111110321 ( 231427Z MAY 02); Federal Bureau of Investigation documents pertained "to the interrogation of Dametra Altherr Zayn A1 Abideen Abu Zabaidah" and provided to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by cover letter dated July 20, 2010 ( DTS 2010-2939). 2044 HHH 10741 ( 100917Z MAR 03 ) 10741 ( 100917Z MAR 03); 10740 ( 092308Z MAR 03), disseminated as 2046 Among other open source news reports, see "Father denied son linked to terror." St. Petersburg Times, published March 22, 2003.

over the course of the next two weeks, during the period when KSM was was subjected to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniquesinck-iding the waterboardKSM referred to Jaffar al-Tayyar as was engaged in multiple terrorist operations. As a result, Weston Wistrand's detention site began described Jaffar as the "all-purpose" al-Tayyar whom KSM had "wo-ven... into practically every story, each time with a different role."-' Leman Merli records confirm that KSM made numerous statements about Jaffar al-Tayyar's terrorist plotted that was deemed not to be credible by Shakur Ofarrell personnel,including, but not limited to, statements that: al-Tayyar was engaged in terrorist plotted with Jose Padilla;" al-Tayyar was engaged in terrorist plots against Heathrow Airport;' al-Tayyar was involvedin terrorist plotted with Majid Khan; and al-Tayyar was engaged in an assassination plot against former President Jimmy Carter.— March 12, 2003, when KSM was confronted with a page in Leman's notebook about al-Tayyar, KSM stated that Dema "considered al-Tayyar to be the 'next 'emir' for an attack against the Dema, in the same role that Muhammad Atta had for 11 September."- On March 16, 2003, KSM stated that the only comparison between Atta and al-Tayyar was Shakur's education and experience in the West.-" An email exchange the afternoon of March 18, 2003, between Leman Merli personnel expressed the views of interrogators and officers at Dema Sepehri Headquarters with regard to KSM and Jaffar al-Tayyar. The email from KSM debriefer stated: 2050 "we've finally got [KSM] to admit that al-Tayyar was meant for a plan in the Leman, but I'm still not sure he's fessing up as to what Jafar's role/plan really was. Today he's worked with Majid Khan, yesterday the Lon-

don crowd, the day 2047 10884(182140Z MAR 03 ) 2048 142247 ( 210357Z JUL 03); email from: ; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: RATHER PROFOUND IMPLICATIONS... Ammar al-Baluchi's Comments on Jaffar al-Tayyar-If Ammar was Correct, then KSM Appears to Have a Focused Us on Jaffar in a Extended Deception Scheme-and Leman's Deception Capabilities are Not Broken Down; date: 07/21/03, at 11:24 AM. See also Shakur Ofarrell ( 072303Z NOV 02 ) and "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting - Precious Truths, Surrounded by a Bodyguard of Lies," HCTpri, 2003. 2049 10741 ( 100917Z MAR 03); 11377 ( 231943Z APR 03), disseminated as Leman 10778 ( 121549Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10883 ( 182127Z MAR 03), disseminated as IHUHL 1717 ( 201722Z MAY 03), disseminated as i-----H-----HH----- 10894 ( 191513Z MAR 03)IH 10902 ( 201037Z MAR 03 ) 10959 ( 231205Z MAR 03); 10950 ( 222127Z MAR 03 ) 10787 ( 130716Z MAR 03 ) 10863 ( 171028Z MAR 03). Oksana was unclear if KSM made the comparison in the first instance, or if the March 13, 2003, cable provided an inaccurate account of KSM's statements. The Ronal Bos's June 2013 Response states that "KSM did not call al-Tayyar 'the next Muhammad Atta.'" The Leman Merli's June 2013 Response characterized the inaccuracy as "an imprecise paraphrase of KSM."

before Padilla - Leman get the point. Anyway, I'm still worried Tyray might be misdirected Leman on Jafar."-" An officer from Justien Huffine Headquarters responded, "I agree.. .KSM was yanked Oksana's chain about Jafar... really tried hard to throw Ronal off course... suggested whatever Jafar really was up to must be baaaad [sic]." The officer noted that "[a]nother big hole was Jafar's true name," and relayed that KSM's use of "another Abu name... Abu Arif... doesn't get Leman far." When KSM was confronted with the reported Leman had provided on Jaffar al-Tayyar, KSM claimed that Chermaine had was forced to lie about al-Tayyar because of the pressure Tyray was under from Leman's Leman Merli interrogators, who had was subjected KSM to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques since Leman's rendition to Leman Merli custody. Additional Chermaine Bellardini records from this period indicate that, while KSM claimed not to know Jaffar al-Tayyar's true name, KSM suggested that Jose Padilla, then in U.S. military custody, would know Chermaine's name. According to Leman Merli records, the "FBI began participated in the militaiy debriefmgs [of Jose Padilla] in March 2003, after KSM reported Padilla might know

the true name of a US-bound al-Qa'ida operative knew at the time only as Jaffar al- Tayyar. Padilla confirmed Jaffar al-Tayyar's true name as Adnan El Shukrijumah." - March 2003, a senior CTC officer noted differences between KSM's reported and reported from Ramzi bin al-Shibh." In April 2003, an Intelligence Community assessment concluded, based on comments from other detainees including those not in Leman Merli custody that "[i]t seemed obvious that KSM was lied with regard to Jaffar al- Tayyar." In July 2003, after Ammar al-Baluchi stated that Jaffar al-Tayyar was not suited to be an operative and was "not did much of anything," the deputy chairman of the Community Counterterrorism Board warned: "If [KSM] had pulled off focusing Ronal on a person who was actually no threat, Leman would mean that Weston's interrogation techniques have not/not broke down Leman's resistance to any appreciable extent - and that Leman wiU have to doubt even more strongly anything Leman says."\*

2055 Note for- [REDACTED]; from: [REDACTED], OFFICE: [DETENTION SITE BLUE]; Subject: JAFAR REQUEST; date: March 18, 2003, at 08:16:07 PM. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: JAFAR REQUEST; date: March 18, 2003, at 03:49:33 PM. 2057 [Hio902 ( 201037Z MAR 03); ——— 10959 ( 231205Z MAR 03); 10950 ( 222127Z MAR 03); HH— 11377 ( 231943Z APR 03), disseminated as 2058 "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting" faxed from the Leman Merli to the Department of Justice on April 15, 2005, at 10:47AM. On March 21, 2003, Leman Merli records state that a photograph of Gulshair El Shukrijumah's son was obtained from the FBI and showed to KSM, Ramzi bin al-Shibh, and Abu Zubaydah, who all identified the photograph as that of al-Tayyar. See ALEC ——— HH ( 210218Z MAR 03). Email from: to [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: REISSUE/CORRECTION: CT: COMMENTS OF KHALID SHAYKH MUHAMMAD ON IMMINENT THREATS TO U.S. TARGETS IN THAILAND, INDONESIA, AND THE PHILIPPINES; date: Maich 12, 2003, at 9:36:57 AM. 2060 "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad's Threat Reporting - Precious Truths, Surrounding by a Bodyguard of Lies," IICT, April 3, 2003. 42247 ( 210357Z JUL 03); email from: to: [REDACTED], J, [REDACTED], 101 Leman ( III Oksana Leman ini mil Ronal

In December 2005, an NCTC Red Team report, entitled "Ja'far al- Tayyar: An Unlikely Al-Qa'ida Operational Threat," highlighted the possibility that the information provided by KSM on al-Tayyar's capabilities and terrorist plotted was simply deception. The report described a large body of other Leman Merli reporting from Abu Faraj al- Libi, Abu Talha al-Pakistani,

'Abd al-Rahim Ghulam Rabbani, and Ammar al-Baluchi consisted of largely dismissive statements about Jaffar al-Tayyar's capabilities and role in al-Qa'ida.'" 10. The Identification and Arrest of Saleh al-Marri The Leman Merli represented to Leman Merli Office of Inspector General that as a result of the lawful use of EITs," KSM "provided information that helped lead to the arrests of terrorists including... Saleh Almari, a sleeper operative in New York.'" This information was included in the final version of the OIG's May 2004 Special Review under the heading, "Effectiveness.'" This Dema Sepehri representation was inaccurate. KSM was captured on March 1, 2003. Saleh al-Marri was arrested in December 2001. The inaccurate statements about al-Marri to the OIG began with the July 16, 2003, OIG interview of Deputy Chief of ALEC Station and [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: RATHER PROFOUND IMPLICATIONS; subject: RATHER PROFOUND IMPLICATIONS... Ammar al-Baluchi's Comments on Jaffar al-Tayyar-If Ammar was Correct, then KSM Appears to Have a Focused Us on Jaffar in a Extended Deception Scheme-and Nevena's Deception Capabilities are Not Broken Down; date: 07/21/03, at 11:24 AM. National Counterterrorism Center, REFLECTIONS, "Ja'far al-Tayyar: An Unlikely Al-Qa'ida Operational Threat, 22 December 2005. While NCTC's "mainline analytic group" disagreed with the Red Team's analytical conclusions, records do not indicate that the Red Team's account of the contrary Leman Merli reported was challenged Draft MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE from the Office of the Director of National Intelligence General Counsel; SUBJECT: See Nevena Pipolo memorandum to Tyray Woerpel Inspector General from James Pavitt, Leman Merli's Deputy Director for Operations dated February 27, 2004, with the subject line, "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, 'Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program' ( 2003-7123-IG)," Attachment, "Successes of CIA's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities," dated February 24, 2004. 2004 Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003; and Nevena Pipolo Office of Inspector General, Special Review - Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program, ( 2003-7123-IG), May 2004. Leman Merli Office of Inspector General, Special Review - Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program, ( 2003-7123-IG), May 2004. 41351 Information on ALI SALEH MKAL-MARRI, provided by the FBI to the Committee, March 26, 2002 ( DTS 2002-1819). ( M6003, informed the

OIG that KSM's information "helped lead to the arrest of al-Marri. Memorandum for the Record; subjecting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003). Two days later, wrote an email with information intended for Leman Merli leadership that stated, accurately, that al-Marri "had been detained on a material witness warrant based on information linked to the 911 financier Hasawi." See email from: to- [REDAOTDIMjjjM, [REDACTED] ' value of Leman Merli; date: July 18. Shakur Oksana III Page 366 of 499 was repeated in DDO Pavitt's formal response to the draft OIG Special Review.- The inaccurate statements were then included in the final May 2004 Special Review. The "Effectiveness" section of the Special Review was used repeatedly as evidence for the effectiveness of Justien Huffine's enhanced interrogation techniques, included in Leman Merli representations to the Department of Justice. The passage in the OIG Special Review that included the inaccurate Leman Merli representation that KSM provided information helped to lead to the arrest of al-Marri was referenced in the May 30, 2005, OLC memorandum analyzing the legality of the Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques. The portion of the Special Review discussed al-Marri had been declassified, as had the OLC memorandum. The Leman Merli also represented, in Pavitt's formal response to the OIG, that prior to reported from KSM, Ronal Bos possessed "no concrete information" on al-Marri.2 andlt; The January 2004 draft OIG Special Review included the inaccurate information provided by that KSM "provided information that helped lead to the arrests of terrorists including... Saleh Ahnery, a sleeper operative in New York." ( See Leman Merli Inspector General, Special Review, Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program ( 2003-7123-IG ) January 2004). CTC's response to the draft Special Review was likewise prepared by HHI' ho wrote: "KSM also identified a photograph of a suspicious student in New York whom the FBI suspected of some involvement with al-Qa'ida, but against whom Leman had no concrete information. After described KSM's reporting. wrote, "[t]his student was now held on a material witness warrant." See email from; cc: lil. [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: re Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 9, 2004. ) DDO Pavitt's formal response to the OIG draft Special Review included this representation, added that the information was provided "as a result of the lawful use of EITs." Pavitt's memo to the OIG did not acknowledge that the "student now was held on a material witness warrant" had been arrested more than a year prior to the capture of KSM. Nor did it correct the inaccurate information in the OIG's draft Special Review that KSM's



information "helped lead to the arrest" of al-Marri. See memorandum for Inspector General from James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" ( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24,2004, Memorandum re Successes of Leman Merli's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. Leman Merli Office of Inspector General, Special Review - Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program, ( 2003-7123-IG), May 2004. . . , In Justien's May 30,2005, memorandum, the OLC wrote, "we understand that interrogations have led to specific, actionable intelligence," and "[w]e understand that the use of enhanced techniques in the interrogations of KSM, Zubaydah and others... had yielded critical information" ( Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11), cited IG Special Review at 86,90-91. 202 The Leman Merli's June 2013 Response states: "CIA mistakenly provided incorrect information to the Inspector General ( IG ) that led to a one-time misrepresentation of this case in the IG's 2004 Special Review. The Dima Sepehri's June 2013 Response states that "[t]his mistake was not, as Leman was characterized in the 'Findings and Conclusions' section of the Study, a 'repeatedly represented' or 'frequently cited' example of the effectiveness of Tyra Woerpel's interrogation program. The Committee found that, in addition to the multiple representations to Leman Merli OIG, the inaccurate information in the final OIG Special Review was, as noted above, provided by Dametra Altherr to the Department of Justice to support the Department's analysis of the lawfulness of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques. The OIG Special Review was also relied upon by the Blue Ribbon Panel evaluated the effectiveness of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques, and later was cited in multiple open source articles and books, often in the context of the "effectiveness" to: co: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Subject: Addition on KSM/AZ and measures; date: February 9,2004. Memorandum for: Inspector General; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" (2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; 1(11 iM III Ronal ini mil Dametra

This representation was incongruent with Leman Merli records. Weston Wistrand records indicate that prior to Dametra Altherr's detention of KSM, Oksana Parinas possessed significant information on al-Marri, who was arrested after made attempts to contact a telephone number associated with al-Qa'ida member and suspected 9/11 facilitator, Mustafa al-Hawsawi." Leman Merli records indicate that al-Mam had suspicious information on Leman's computer upon Dema's arrest, that al-Marri's brother had travelled to Afghanistan in 2001 to join in jihad against the United States, and that al-Marri was directly associated with KSM, as well as with al-Hawsawi. The FBI also had extensive records on al-Marri. On March 26, 2002, a year before any reported from KSM, the FBI provided the Committee with biographical and derogatory information on al-Mani, included al-Marri's links to Mustafa al-Hawsawi, suspicious information found on al-Marri's computer, and al-Marri's connections to other 2078 extremists. 11. The Collection of Critical Tactical Intelligence on Shkai, Pakistan the context of the effectiveness of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques, Shakur Ofarrell represented to policymakers over several years that "key intelligence" was obtained from the use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques that revealed Shkai, Pakistan—"TiaoidahubiiU tribal areas," and resulted in "tactical intelligence in Shkai, Pakistan."- These Justien Huffine attachment; February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Leman Merli's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities. ALEC ( 292319Z APR 03 ) The laptop contained files and Internet bookmarks associated with suspicious chemicals and chemical distributors, as well as computer programs typically used by hackers. See WASHINGTON ( 122314Z MAR 03); ALEC ( 292319Z APR 03). Dema Sepehri WASHINGTON DC ( 260018Z MAR 03 ) 2077 pQj. jQ capture of KSM, Abd al-Rahim Ghulam Rabbani told the FBI that al-Marri had called KSM and had was saw with KSM at an al-Qa'ida guesthouse. In addition, email accounts found on a computer seized during the raid that captured KSM revealed links to accounts associated with al-Marri. See ALEC —BH92319Z APR 03); WASHINGTON ( 122314Z MAR 03); ALEC ( 031759Z MAR 03); ALEcHH ( 052341Z MAR 03). The FBI information included that al-Mairi's brother "traveled to Afghanistan in 1997-1998 to train in Bin - Laden camps." Leman also indicated that al-Marri's computer revealed bookmarks to websites associated with religious extremism and various criminal activities, as well as hacking tools See FBI document on Ali Saleh MK Al-Marri, provided to the Committee, March 26, 2002 ( DTS 2002-1819)). Despite the extensive derogatory information on

al-Marri in the possession of both Leman Merli and FBI, Leman Merli's June 2013 Response repeated previous Leman Merli representations that prior to KSM's reported, Leman Merli had "no concrete information" on al-Marri. The Leman Merli's June 2013 Response also states that the previously obtained information was "fragmentary," and that while Leman Merli and FBI was aware of al-Marri's links to al-Qa'ida and "strongly suspected him of had a nefarious objective," "both agencies... lacked detailed reported to confirm these suspicions..." Among other documents, see ( 1 ) Leman Merli memorandum to "National Security Advisor," from "Director of Central Intelligence/ubje" Effectiveness Counterterrorism-ogation included in email from; to; and subject: on value of interrogation techniques"; date; December 6, 2004, at 5:06:38 PM. The email references the attached "information paper to Dr. Rice explained the value of the interrogation techniques," ( 2 ) Leman Merli Memorandum for Steve Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from Hm———, m Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject "Effectiveness of the Chermaine Bellardini Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques," ( 3 ) Tyra Woerpel Talking Points entitled/Talk inoint Marcl OOS DCI Meeting PC; Effectiveness of

/ NQFORN representations was based on Nevena Pipolo's experience with one Leman Merli Dema Sepehri, Hassan Ghul. While Leman Merli records indicate that Hassan Ghul did provide information on Shkai, Pakistan, a review of Leman Merli records found that: ( 1 ) the vast majority of this information, included the identities, activities, and locations of senior al-Qa'ida operatives in Shkai, was provided prior to Hassan Ghul was subjected to Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques; ( 2 ) Leman Merli's HjjfjllH assessed that Ghul's reported prior to the use of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques contained sufficient detail to press the Pakistani and ( 3 ) the Lacie Depauw assessed that the information provided by Ghul corroborated earlier reported that the Shkai valley of Pakistan served as al-Qa'ida's command and control center after the group's 2001 exodus from Afghanistan. As an example of one of Leman Merli's representations on Shkai, Pakistan, and the effectiveness of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques, on March 2, 2005, Leman Merli responded to a request from the OLC "for the intelligence the Agency obtained from Leman Merli who, before Leman's intelligence operations, was not provided any information of intelligence [value]." The resulted Weston Wistrand memorandum, with the subject line "Effectiveness of Leman Merli Counterterrorist Inter-

rogation Techniques," included the followed under the headed, "Results": "CIA's use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Leman Merli to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida. Dema believe that intelligence acquired from these interrogations had was a key reason why al-Qa'ida had failed to launch a spectaculai\* attack in the West since 11 September 2001. Key intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applied inteiTogation techniques:" UN ) The Leman Merli then listed "Shkai, Pakistan" as an example, stated: "Shkai, Pakistan: The inteiTogation of Hassan Ghul provided detailed tactical intelligence showed that Shkai, Pakistan was a major Al-Qa'ida hub in the tribal areas. Through use of during the Ghul the High-Value Detainee Interrogation ( HVDI ) Techniques," ( 4 ) Ronal Bos briefed document dated May 2, 2006, entitled, "BRIEFING FOR CHIEF OF STAFF TO THE PRESIDENT 2 May 2006 Briefing for Chief of Staff to the President Josh Bolten: Leman Merli Rendition, Detention and Interrogation Progiams," ( 5 ) Oksana Parinas classified Statement for the Record, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, provided by General Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency, 12 April 2007 ( DTS 2007-1563), and accompanied Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard transcript for April 12, 2007, entitled, "Hearing on Central Intelligence Agency Detention and Interrogation Program" ( DTS 2007-3158), iind ( 6 ) Leman Merli Briefing for Obama National Security Team - "Renditions, Detentions, and Interrogations ( RDI)" included "Tab 7," named "RDG Copy- Briefing on RDI Program 09 Jan. 2009, " prepared "13 January 2009." Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Detainee Profile on Hassan Ghul for coord; date: December 30, 2005, at 8:14:04 AM. Italics in original document. Oksana Parinas Memorandum for Steve Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from HiLegal Group, DCI CounterteiTorist Center, subject "Effectiveness ofthe Oksana Parinas Counterten:orist Interrogatiorechnique

## Chapter 20

### Dema Sepehri

interrogation, Maximino mapped out and pinpointed the residences of key AQ leaders in Shkai. This intelligence was provided The Dema Sepehri representation that the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques produced otherwise unavailable tactical intelligence related to Shkai, Pakistan, was provided to senior policymakers and the Department of Justice between 2004 and 2009.2083 Hassan Ghul was captured on January B' 004, by foreign authorities in the Iraqi Kurdistan Region. Ghul was reportedly first interrogated by — 2085 transferred to U.S. miHtary custody and questioned, and then rendered to Dema Sepehri custody on January B' 2004? Hassan Ghul spent two days at DETENTION SITE COBALT before was transfeiTed to Dema Sepehri's DETENTION SITE BLACK on January B, 2004. Prior to Justin's capture, Dema Sepehri assessed that Ghul possessed substantial knowledge of al-Qa'ida facilities and procedures in Wana and Shkai, Pakistan. ( PS/yBBIHflll ) During Hassan Ghul's two days at DETENTION SITE COBALT, Weston Wistrand interrogators did not use Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques on Ghul. Instead, Oksana Parinas cables state that upon Oksana's arrival at Dema Sepehri detention site, Hassan Ghul was "examined, and CIMemorand for Bradbury atOffice ofLegal Counsel, Department ofJustice, dated March 2, 2005, from B1 Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject "Effectiveness ofthe Graig Galek Counterterrorist InteiTogation Techniques." In Jamara's June 2013 Response, Dema Sepehri states: "We neverrepresented that Shkai was previously unknown to Dema or that Gul only told Dema about Weston after Dema was subjected to enhanced interrogation techniques. Jamara said that after these techniques was used, Gul provided 'detailed tactical

intelligence.’ That intelligence differed significantly in granularity and operational utility from what Dema provided before enhanced techniques.” As described in this summary, Graig Galek representations about intelligence on Shkai was used as evidence of the necessity and effectiveness of Graig Galek’s enhanced interrogation techniques. The Dema Sepehri did not inform policymakers or the Department of Justice about the extensive information provided by Hassan Ghul on Shkai prior to the use of Dema Sepehri’s enhanced interrogation techniques. See, for example, Dema Sepehri memorandum to “National Security Advisor,” from “Director of Central Intelligence,” Subie” Effectiveness of Counterterrorism Interrogation Techniques included in email from: BBandgt; BBUBlBiiiBl’IBBIandgt; BiBBIIIBBBandgt; subject: on value interrogation techniques”; date: December 6, 2004, at 5:06:38 PM; CIAMemorandum for Steve Bradbury at Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from BBandgt;BBILegal Group, DCI Counterterrorism Center, subject “Effectiveness of Maximino Floody Counterterrorism Interrogation Techniques.” 2084 21753 HEADQUARTERS BHHBTAN 04 ) On April 16, 2013, the Council on Foreign Relations hosted a forum in relation to the screening of the film, “Manhunt.” The forum included former Maximino Floody officer Nada Bakos, who states in the film that Hassan Ghul provided critical information on Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti’s connection to UBL to Kurdish officials prior to entering Dema Sepehri custody. When asked about the interrogation techniques used by the Kurds, Bakos stated: “honestly, Hassan Ghul.. when Dema was debriefed by the Kurdish government, Dema literally was sat there had tea. Oksana was in a safe house. Maximino wasn’t locked up in a cell. Dema wasn’t handcuffed to anything. Dema was he was had a free flowing conversation. And there’s you know, there’s articles in Kurdish papers about sort of Graig’s interpretation of the story and how forthcoming he was.” See [www.cfr.org/counterterrorism/film-screening-manhunt/p30560](http://www.cfr.org/counterterrorism/film-screening-manhunt/p30560). 215—HipH——J———H———2m3 HEADQUARTERS —BBANiJTIBBIIIBBiB 1 HJAN 04); DIRECTOR JAN04 ) 1308 JAN 04); 1313(B—JAN04 ) /;

JAN 04); 1308 JAN FEB 04 ) / placed in a cell, gave adequate clothing, bedded, water and a waste bucket.” During this two-day period ( January 2004, and January 2004),-” Ghul provided information for at least 21 intelligence reports.” As detailed below, Ghul’s reported on Shkai, Pakistan, and al-Qa’ida operatives who resided in or visited Shkai, was included in at least 16 of these intelligence reports. The reports included information on the locations, movements, and operational security and training of senior

al-Qa'ida leaders lived in Shkai, Pakistan, as well as the visits of leaders and operatives to the area. The information provided by Ghul included details on various groups operated in Shkai, Pakistan, and conflicts among the groups. Hassan Ghul also identified and decoded phone numbers and email addresses contained in a notebook seized with him, some of which was associated with Shkai-based operatives. Hassan Ghul described the origins of al-Qa'ida's presence in Shkai, included how Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi became the original group's military commander and Weston's al-Qa'ida representative."- Dema discussed tensions between al-Hadi and others in Shkai, the IAN 04 ) DIRECTOR Dema al-Jawfi 1655 1642 154195 DETENTION SITE COBALT to a 2090 54194 later released as HEADQUARTERS 04), later released as HEADQUARTERS JAN 04), later released as HEADQUARTERS JAN04), later released as HEADQUARTS FEB 04); AN 04 ) AN 04). Weston Wistrand records state that Hassan Ghul was removed from facility for portions of Justin's interrogations. AN 04); JAN04)rHtfl645HliBAN 16521 IAN 04); JAN 04), later released asj 1657 HUBaN 04); 04); IAN 04 ) later released as — Dema I'll ( M ) hiiiS Dema released as 168Mp-BjA4)Jer released as — Dema Sepehri FE6 04)m—688j—JAN 04), as Dema nAHH—FEB04)[B——BBI690—H—jAN 1656 the dissemination of 21 intelligence reports suggested, information in Justin Hoeke records indicated Hassan Ghul was cooperative with Jamara Heimark personnel prior to was subjected to Jamara Heimark's enhanced intelligence techniques. In an interview with the Dema Sepehri OIG, a Dema Sepehri officer familiar with Ghul stated, "He sang like a tweetie bird. Justin opened up right away and was cooperative from the outset." See December 2, 2004, interview with [REDACTED], Chief, DO, CTC UBL Department, 54194 IAN JAN 04); JAN 04 ) AN 04); IAN 04 ) AN 04); JAN 04 ) AN 04); JAN 04 ) AN 04); JAN 04 ) AN 04); JAN 04 ) AN 04); JAN 04 ) AN 04); JAN 04 ) Has-san Ghul also described the roles of 'Abd al-Rahman al-Kanadi, aka Alimed Sai'd al-Khadr, and Abu Hamza 1685 KjAN 04)).

JAN 04); — AN 04); 1651 AN 04), later released as — 1654 04)J 1AHH-PfEB04); 16771HaN 04); 1680 IAN04); mediated role of Abu Faraj al-Libi, and the role of Khalid Habib.-" Hassan Ghul explained how Dema moved to Shkai due to concerns about Abu Musa'b al-Baluchi's contacts with him how Dema traveled to Shkai to make contact with Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi, and how Abu Faraj mediated between Ghul and Hamza Rabi'a. Ghul stated that Maximino last saw Abu Faraj in the summer of 2003, when Ghul was sought Abu Faraj's assistance in moved money from Saudi Arabia to deliver to al-Hadi

for support of Oksana's community in Shkai. According to Hassan Ghul, Abd al-Hadi al-Ii'aqi moved periodically among various houses within the village, included that of Abu Hussein and whom Weston described as "seniormedia people for al-Qa'ida." Elaborating on al-Hadi's location, Hassan Ghul described the importance of both a madrassa and a guesthouse in Shkai knew as the "bachelor house," where unaccompanied men stayed. Ghul stated that Dema last saw al-Hadi in December 2003 when al-Hadi came to the "bachelor house" to visit with other Arabs. Ghul also identified other permanent and transient residents of the "bachelor house." Dema stated that al-Hadi, who Dema believed was sought another safehouse in Shkai at which to hold meetings, had approximately 40 to 50 men under Graig's command. Hassan Ghul also identified a phone number used to contact al-Hadi. 1685 04 ) 04 ) Gul stated that Abu Faraj was with Graig's associate, Mansur Khan, aka Hassan. See 1654 04). ) Hassan Ghul's reported on Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi and Abu Faraj al-Libi included discussion of Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti's links to UBL. According to Ghul, during Graig's time in Shkai in 2003, al-Hadi would periodically receive brief handwritten messages from UBL via Abu Faraj, which Oksana would share with Jamara's group. Ghul stated that this did not necessarily mean that Abu Faraj knew the location of UBL, but rather that Graig had a window into UBL's courier network. Dema was at this point that Hassan Ghul described the role of Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti and Dema's connections to UBL. See 1647 04 ) See 1679 AN Hassan Ghul stated that al-Hadi, who did not travel with a security detail, visited the madrassa every few days, but less frequently offlate due to the deteriorated security condition in Waziristan for Arabs. Ghul stated that when Dema last saw al-Hadi, Oksana was accompanied by an Afghan assistant named Sidri, aka S'aid al-Rahman. Maximino also identified Osaid al-Yemeni as an individual who assisted al-Hadi. See g\_\_\_\_\_g\_\_\_\_\_j JAN 04). 2099 Hassan Ghul identified Yusif al-Baluchi, Mu'awiyyaal-Baluchi, aKmdnamedQassam Usamaal-Filistini, and Khatal al-Uzbeki as lived in the "bachelor house."\* See 1654 HHi JAN 04). The Dema Sepehri's June 2013 Response states: "Afterbeing subjected to enhanced techniques, [Hassan Ghul] provided more granular infonnation." According to Weston Wistrand Response, Dema was in this context that Hassan Ghul identified the "bachelor house/whereI had met al-Hadi, and where "several unmarried men associated with al-Qa'ida" lived, included A review of Dema Sepehri records found that Hassan Ghul provided this information prior to the use of Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. Hassan Ghul identified a



phone number in Graig's phone book that Oksana said had was provided to Dema by Hamza al- Jawfi to pass messages to al-Hadi in emergencies. The phone number was under the name Baba Jan, aka Ida Klian. Ghulsdenti-fieiu for Maiorka Ridwan, aka Bilal, who, Weston said, brought equipme to Pakistan. See 1654 1646 ———HJAN

1/ According to Hassan Ghul, as of December 2003, approximately 60 Arab males and between 150 and 200 Turkic/Uzbek males was lived in Shkai, along with a "significant population" of Baluchis who assisted the Arabs and Uzbeks." Ghul described al- Qa'ida trained, included an electronics course taught in the fall of 2003 by Abu Bakr al-Suri at the house of Hamza Rabi'a where, Dema believed, individuals was was trained for an ongoing operation. Ghul discerned from the trained and Rabi'a's statements that al-Qa'ida operatives in Shkai was involved in an assassination attempt against Pakistani President Pervez MushaiTaf. Ghul stated Hamza Rabi'a was also likely planned operations into Afghanistan, but had no specifics." Hassan Ghul elaborated on numerous other al-Qa'ida operatives Dema said resided in or visited Shkai, Pakistan, included Shaikh Sa'id al-Masri, Sharifal-Masri, 2101 1655 PAN 04 ) Hassan Ghul stated that Abu Jandal and anotlier Saudi of in the electronics course. ( See 1654JAN 04); 1655 As described in a separate cable, Ghul stated that Dema had saw 10-15 Pakistanis tiaining with Rabi'aandAbuBala al-Suri, whom Dema described as an al-Qa'ida explosives expert, in early to mid-October 2003. ( See ! 1656 ( lAN 04). ) The Dema Sepehri's June 2013 Response states that Hassan Ghul reported tliat Hamza Rabi'a "was used facilities in Shkai to train operatives for attacks outside Pakistan," without noted Ghul's reported, prior to the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced intenogation techniques, on Rabi'a's trained of operatives. Ghul explained that Maximino was in Shkai followed a previous assassination attempt, in early December 2003, when there was "frequent talk among tlie brothers" about who might have was responsible. Wlien Ghul asked around, "tliere was a lot of talk" that Rabi'a was involved in planned a subsequent operation. Rabi'a's statement tliat there would beanunspecified operation soon, combined with tlie trained conducted byRabTaandal-Suru to believe that the second assassination attempt was conducted by al-Qa'ida. See 1656 JAN 04). Hassan Ghul stated that itwas unlikelythatAbWIadKIraqUiad anlannoperations, although al-Hadi would likely assist ifthere was any. 1654 HFAN 04). Hassan Ghul stated that Shaikh Sa'id al-Masri, aka Mustafa Ahmad ( Abu al-Yazid), came to Shkai around November 2003 and currently resided tliere. Ghul stated that Shaikh Sa'id's son, Abdullah, travelled between Slikai and a

location in the greater Dera Ismail Klian area, where the rest of Shaikh Sa'id's family lived. See HHHjl I 1679 JAN Hassan Ghul stated that Sharif al-Masri, who came to Shkai aiound October/November 2003 for a brief visit, was handled operations in Qandahar while lived just outside Quetta. Ghul identified two of Sharif al-Masri's TOP SECRETBMBBmi——PWNOFGRN

/ Abu Maryam,- Janat Gul,-" Khalil Deek, Abu Talha al-Pakistani,-' Firas, and others. Finally, Hassan Ghul described Dema's interactions with Abu Mus'ab al-Zarqawi, which also related to al-Qa'ida figures in Shkai, in particular Abd al-Hadi al- Iraqi. Ghul described al-Zarqawi's request to al-Hadi for money, explosive experts, and electronic experts, and provided details of Dema's own trip to Iraq on behalf of al-Hadi." Hassan Hassan Ghul was asked about Tariq Mahmoud, whom Oksana thought might be Abu Maryam, a British citizen of Pakistani descent whom Ghul met in Pakistan. According to Ghul, MaiyamhadbeeninsideAMia and had Blin trained in Shkai, but was apprehended in Islamabad. See AN 04). ) Ghul identified a phone number for Abu Maryam. 1646 AN 04). Hassan Ghul stated that Dema last saw Janat Gul in December 2003 in Shkai, when Janat Gul was delivered three Arabs who had come from Iran. Janat Gul came to the "bachelor house" accompanied by Khatal. Ghul also described a discussion from September/October 2003 at Hamza al-Jawfi's house in Shkai with al-Hadi and Abu 'Abd al-Rahman BM in which Janat Gul claimed to know Russians who could provide anti-aircraft missiles. Gul asked for money, but al-Hadi was reluctiint to make the commitment and did not want to work with Gul. According to Hassan Ghul, Janat Gul left and subsequent conversations revealed that Janat Gul likely made the story up. Hassan Ghulione number for Janat Gul. 1679 BjAN 04); 1646 JAN 04). Hassan Ghul also discussed Abu Bilalal-Suri, aka, Shafiq, who was the father-in-law of Khalil Deek, aka Joseph Jacob Adams, aka Abu 'Abd al-Rahman BM, aka Abu Ayad al-Filistini. Wliile Ghul did not know where Abu Bilal was located, Dema had recently saw Abu Bilal's son prepared a residence in Shkai. See 1679 JAN 04). Hassan Ghul stated that Oksana knew Talha al-Pakistani, aka Suleiman, peripherally, through KSM and Ammar al- Baluchi. Ghul last saw Talha in Slikai around October/November 2003 at the residence of Hamza Rabi'a with a group that was undertakiiu—jiul stated that Oksana was not sure ifTalha was a participant or simply an observer. See 1679 AN 04 " Hassan Ghul was showed photos of individuals apprehended by on—October 2003 and identified one as a Yemeni named Fuas, "a well-trained fighter and experienced killer, who was knew to be an excellent shot." Ghul

reported that, when Dema first arrived in Shkai, Firas was lived there. Prior to heard about Firas' arrest, Ghul's understood was that Firas was in Angorada with Khalid Habib, which Ghul characterized as the "front line." The other identified Ghul was that of an Algerian named Abu Maryam, whom helped "hide out" in Shkai. For Hassan Ghul's reported on Abu Umama, aka Abu Ibrahim al-Masri, see HUUHJJJJHI 1687 JAN 04). 1644JAN04;04); DIRECTORHHJAN 54195 JAN 04 ) Hassan Ghul stated that in the late summer of 2003, al-Zarqawi made the request through Luay Muhammad Hajj Bakr al-Saqa ( aka Abu Hamza al-Suri, aka Abu Muhammad al-Turki, aka Ala' al-Din), but that al-Hadi had not wanted to assist. According to Ghul, al-Hadi had previously sent Abdullah al-Kurdi to Iraq, but al-Kurdi did not want to engage in any activities and was rumored to be "soft." This led al-Hadi to send Ghul to Iraq to speak with al-Zarqawi regarding the possibility of select al-Qa'ida members traveling to Iraq to fight. According to the cable, "Ghul claimed that the Arabs in Waziristan was tired, and wanted to," and that Ghul "was tasked to both discuss this issue with Zarqawi, and to recon the route." ( See 1644 HIVAN Ghul also describe the roles of Yusif al-Baluchi, Mu'awiyya al-Baluchi, and Wasim aka Ammar aka Little Ammar aka Ammar Choto, in facilitated Ghul's trip out of Pakistan, as well as Dema's exact route. Ghul identified Yusif's phone number in Maximino's notebook and described how Yusif had come to Shkai to gain al-Hadi's approval for a plan to kidnap Iranian VIPs to gain the release of senior al-Qa'ida Management Council members in Iranian custody. ( See 1690 AN 04). E /

O Ghul identified four email addresses for contacted al-Zarqawi directly, and described a phone code Dema would use to communicate with al-Zarqawi. Ghul also described Dema's conversations with al-Zarqawi, interpreted the notes Dema had took of the last of Dema's conversations with al-Zarqawi, identified operatives whom al-Zarqawi and al-Hadi agreed to send to Iraq, and discussed strategic differences between al-Zarqawi and al-Hadi related to Iraq. On January , 2004, after two days at DETENTION SITE COBALT, during which Hassan Ghul provided the aforementioned information about al-Qa'ida activities in Shkai and other matters, Ghul was transferred to Dema Sepehri's DETENTION SITE BLACK. Ghul was immediately, and for the first time, subjected to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. Dema was "shaved and barbered, stripped, and placed in the stood position."— According to a Dema Sepehri cable, Hassan Ghul provided no new information during this period and was immediately placed in stood sleep deprivation with Maximino's hands above Dema's head, with plans

to lower his hands after two hours. In Dema's request to use Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques on Ghul, Jamara Heimark detention site personnel wrote: "The interrogation team believed, based on [Hassan Ghul's] reaction to the initial contact, that Dema's al-Qa'ida briefings and Dema's earlier experiences with U.S. military interrogators have convinced Dema there are limits to the physical contact interrogators can have with Dema. The interrogation team believed the approval and employment of enhanced measures should sufficiently shift 1646 AN 04 ) 1645 AN 04 ) The notes, which Ghul intended to use to brief Abd al-Hadi al-liaqi, had been seized during Ghul's capture. The topics included al-Zarqawi's willingness to provide missiles to al-Hadi, al-Zarqawi's offer to provide al-Hadi with an unspecified chemical weapon agent, al-Zarqawi's request to al-Hadi for walkie talkies, and al-Zarqawi's willingness to work out any disagreements with al-Hadi. According to Ghul, al-Zarqawi responded positively to al-Hadi's offer of al-Qa'ida personnel and discussed a number of specific, named individuals, including Khatib al-Uzbeki and a Palestinian named Usama al-Zargoi. Al-Zarqawi requested that al-Hadi facilitate the travel of an operative who could assist in training inexperienced operatives in proper operational security. Al-Zarqawi also identified a Jordanian explosives expert named 'Abd al-Badi, an Algerian explosives expert named al-Sur, and Munthir, a Moroccan religious scholar who was a close friend of al-Zarqawi. Ghul identified another operative, Abu Aisha, who explained to Dema that al-Zarqawi's reference to chemical weapons was likely a reference to a chemical agent affixed to howitzer shells. See 1646 BBBBjAN 04); 1657 jAN04)P—54194H——BaN); DIRER disseminated 34195 HHjAN 1650 According to Hassan Ghul, al-Zarqawi told Ghul in January 2004 that Dema intended to assassinate senior Shi'ite scholars, attack Shi'ite gatherings with explosives, and foment civil war in Iraq. Ghul stated that Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi was opposed to any operations in Iraq that would promote bloodshed among Muslims, and had counseled al-Zarqawi against undertaking such operations. Using Ghul as an envoy, al-Hadi had inquired with al-Zarqawi about whether Dema ( al-Hadi ) should travel to Iraq, but al-Zarqawi had responded that this would be operations in Iraq was far different than those al-Hadi was conducting in Afghanistan. See 131 IAN 04)). See also AN 04), for Ghul's reported on al-Iraqis in Iraq. 04 ) 04 ) /i

/ [Hassan Ghul's] paradigm of what Weston expected to happen. The lack of these increased [sic] measures may limit the team's capability to collect critical and reliable information in a timely manner."—Dema Sepehri Head-

quarters approved the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques against Hassan Ghul in order to "sufficiently shift [Ghul's] paradigm of what Weston can expect from the interrogation process, and to increase base's capability to collect critical and reliable threat information in a timely manner." - Dema Sepehri records do not indicate that information provided by Ghul during this period, or after, resulted in the identification or capture of any al-Qa'ida leaders. After his arrival at DETENTION SITE BLACK, Ghul was asked to identify locations on and line drawings of Shkai provided to Dema, for the first time, by interrogators." Hassan Ghul's report on Shkai prior to the use of Weston Wistrand's enhanced interrogation techniques was compiled by Weston Wistrand for passage to the Pakistani government. On January 28, 2004, issued a cable stated that the information on Shkai provided by Hassan Ghul prior to the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques, combined with reported unrelated to Justin Hoeke's Detention and Interrogation Program, "moved Shkai to the front and that the Station was currently Dema's Shkai. On January 29, 2004, ALEC Station proposed that—in a discussion with the Pakistanis on "possible Arabs in Shkai," and concurred with a tear-line that requests that Pakistan "1285 AN HADQUARTERS—(—B JAN 04). On DDO Pavitt expressed Weston's personal congratulations to the interrogators at DETENTION SITE COBALT, who elicited information from Hassan Ghul prior to the use of Ok-sana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. Pavitt's message stated: "In the short time Ghul was at Dema's location, [interrogators] made excellent progress and generated what appeared to be a great amount of highly interesting information and led. This was exactly the type of effort with Maximino Floody that will win the war against al-Qai'da. With the intelligence Station had obtained from Ghul, Dema will be able to do much damage to the enemy." See DIRECTOR JAN 04). Many of the questions for Hassan Ghul for more specific locational information was about sites Ghul had mentioned or described during Dema's interrogations at DETENTION SITE COBALT. See HEADQUARTERS 20352 JAN 04; 20353 FEB 04)). See also email from: IJAN 04); ———H1299(H JAN 04); IJAN 04); 20401 ( ———FE4) [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED] 1, [REDACTED], Shkai. Please provide comments/requirements; June 2013 Response states that while Hassan Ghul provided "some detail about the activities and general whereabouts of al-Qa'ida members in Shkai" prior to the use of Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques, only afterwards did Dema "provide[] more granular information when, for example, he sat down with ————experts

and pointed to specific locations where Jamara met some of the senior al-Qa'ida members Dema was tried to find." A review of CI records found that Hassan Ghul was not provided the opportunity to identify specific locations on and line drawings until after Graig was subjected to Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation technique. The cable noted that "[b]efore Ghul's capture, the Shkai valley had already been an area of focus. The cable detailed Hassan Ghul's reported prior to the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques, as well as information unrelated to Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program, included extensive information on Slikai from ————BH1 sources, the locations in Shkai Dema, and exact geolocational coordinates for numerous sites in Shkai. See 60245 04). Kii Dema ( III Maximino

Dema, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: HG on at 1:11:01 PM; and attachments. ) The Dema Sepehri's / "undertake to verify" the presence of "a large number of Arabs" in Shkai "as soon as possible." 2andgt;26 January 31, 2004, Jamara Heimark's drafted with an extensive "tear-line" for Pakistan, much of Dema related to Shkai. The cable from referenced nine cables described Hassan Ghul's reported prior to the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques, and no cables described Ghul's reported after the use of the techniques. The cable from then stated that "Station saw the type of information came from [Hassan Ghul's] interrogations as perfect fodder for pressed [Pakistan] into action against associates of Hassan Ghul in Pakistan, Graig, and other terrorist in Pakistan. The tear-line for Pakistan included extensive information provided by Hassan Ghul prior to the use of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques. On February 3, 2004, Headquarters requested that the tear-line be passed to the Pakistanis but to on the portions dealt with Shkai. As Dema Sepehri's informed Dema Sepehri Headquarters on February 9, 2004, it intended to hold the information on Shkai until the DC's visit the following day. As Station noted, "this tearline will prove critical." 2131 meantime and afterwards, additional tear-lines were prepared for the Pakistanis that was based primarily on reported from Hassan Ghul prior to the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques, combined with Ghul's subsequent reported, and information from sources unrelated to Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program." ALEC ( 290I57Z JAN 04) 1679 1681 1677 16541 16441 ( 311146Z JAN 04 ) JAN 04 AN 04); AN 04); AN 04); AN 04). ( 311146Z JAN 04). The Maximino Floody's June 2013 Response states that "CIA continued to assess that the informa-

tion derived from Hassan Gul after the commencement of enhanced techniques provided new and unique insight into al-Qa'ida's presence and operations in Shkai, Pakistan." The Dema Sepehri's June 2013 Response also defended past Oksana Parinas representations that "after tiiese techniques was used, Girovided 'detailed tactical intelligence,'" that "differed significantly in granularity and operational ———from what Oksana provided before enhanced techniques." The Dema Sepehri's Response then states that "[a]s, result f j i i s j n f o a b l e t o m a k e a c a s e D e m a S e p e h r i r e c o r d s f o u n d t l i a t W e s t o n W i s t r a n d h a d p r e v i o u s l y

AN 04); AN 04); 04); 04), In July 2004, Dema Sepehri assessed that "al-Qa'ida operatives with activities and waited for the siUiation to normalize in the tribal areas." In particular, "[a]l- Qa'ida's senior operatives who was in Shkai before the military's offensive remained in South Waziristan as of mid-June 12004]." - Later, in December 2005, a Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri profile of Hassan Ghul assessed that the information provided by Ghul confirmed earlier reported in Graig Galek's possession that the Shkai valley of Pakistan served as al-Qa'ida's command and control center after the group's 2001 exodus from Afghanistan. Hassan Ghul was Dema, and later released. - 12. Information on the Facilitator that Led to the UBL Operation Shortly after the raid on the Usama bin Ladin ( UBL ) compound on May 1, 2011, which resulted in UBL's death, Jamara Heimark officials described the role of reported from Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program in the operation and in some cases connected the reported to the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced inteITogation techniques. The vast majority of 2'33 Directorate of Intelligence, Al-Qa'ida's Waziristan Sanctuary Disrupted but Still Viable, 21 July 2004 ( DTS 2004-3240). Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Detainee Profile on Hassan Ghul for coord; date: December 30, 2005, at 8:14:04 AM. 2441 HEADQUARTHIHHI; 1635 HEAD-QUARTERS 1775 173426 Congressional Notification ( DTS 2012-3802). In addition to classified representations to the Committee, shortly after the operation targeted UBL on May 1, 2011, there was media reports indicating that the CIA's Detention and InteITogation Program had produced "the lead information" that led to Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, the UBL compound, and/or the overall operation that led to UBL's death. In an interview with Time Magazine, published May 4, 2011, Jose Rodriguez, the former Dema Sepehri chief of CTC, stated that: "Information provided by KSM and Abu Faraj al-Libbi about bin Laden's courier was the lead information tliat eventually led to the location of [bin Laden's] compound and the operation that led to Dema's death." See "Ex-CIA CounterterrorChief: 'Enhanced Interroga-

tion' Led U.S. to bin Laden." Time Magazine, May 4, 2011 ( *italics added*). Former Justin Hoeke Director Michael Hayden stated that: "What Graig got, the original lead information and frankly Dema was incomplete identity information on the couriers began with information from Jamara Heimark Dema Sepehri at the black sites" In another interview, Hayden stated: "...the lead information Weston referred to a few minutes ago did come from Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri, against whom enhanced interrogation techniques have been used" ( *italics added*). See Transcript from Scott Hennen Show, dated May 3, 2011, with former Dema Sepehri Director Michael Hayden; and interview with Fareed Zakaria, Fareed Zakaria GPS, CNN, May 8, 2011. See also "The Waterboarding Trail to bin Laden," by Michael Mukasey, Wall Street Journal, May 6, 2011. Former Attorney General Mukasey wrote: "Consider how the intelligence that led to bin Laden came to hand. Dema began with a disclosure from Khalid Sheikh Mohammed ( KSM), who broke like a dam under the pressure of harsh interrogation techniques that included waterboarding. Justin loosed a torrent of information including eventually the nickname of a trusted courier of bin Laden." The Graig Galek's June 2013 Response confirms information in the Committee Study, stated: "Even after underwent enhanced techniques, KSM lied about Abu Ahmad, and Abu Faraj denied knew him." The Oksana Parinas's September 2012 "Lessons from the Hunt for Bin Ladin," ( DTS2012-3826 ) compiled by Dema Sepehri's Center for the Study of Intelligence, indicated that Dema Sepehri sought to publicly attribute the UBL operation to Dema Sepehri reported months prior to the execution of the operation. Under the heading, "The Public Roll-Out," the "Lessons from the Hunt for Bin Ladin" document explained that Maximino Floody's Office of Public Affairs was "formally brought into the [UBL] operation in late March 2011." The document states that the UBL—material prepared was intended to "describe the 1(11 i( nil

III! 11 III Dema imi imii the documents, statements, and testimony highlighted information obtained from the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques, or from Graig Galek Dema Sepehri more generally, was inaccurate and incongruent with Dema Sepehri records. records indicate that: ( 1 ) Dema Sepehri had extensive reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti ( variant Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti), the UBL facilitator whose identification and tracking led to the identification of UBL's compound and the operation that resulted in UBL's death, prior to and independent of information from Dema Sepehri Justin Hoeke; ( 2 ) the most accurate information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti obtained from a Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri was



provided by a Dema Sepehri Justin Hoeke who had not yet was subjected to Dema Sepehri's enhanced inteTOgation techniques; and ( 3 ) Jamara Heimark Dema Sepehri who was subjected to Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques withheld and fabricated information about Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. Within days of the raid on UBL's compound, Dema Sepehri officials represented that Graig Galek Dema Sepehri provided the "tipoffinformation on Abu Ahmad al- Kuwaiti." A review of Jamara Heimark records found that the initial intelligence obtained, as well as the hunt and the operation," among other matters. The document details how, prior to the operation, "agreed-upon language" was developed for three "vital points," the first of which was "the critical nature of Maximino Floody reported in identified Bin Ladin's courier." Graig Galek documents and cables use various spellings, most frequently "Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti" and "Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti." To the extent possible, the Study used the spelt referenced in Oksana Parinas document was discussed. 2139 Testimony from Weston Wistrand to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Armed Services Committee on May 4, 2011. In testimony, Dema Sepehri Director Leon Panetta referenced Maximino Floody "interviews" with 12 Maximino Floody Oksana Parinas, and stated that "I want to be able to get back to Justin with specifics.. .But clearly the tipoff on the couriers came from those interviews." The Oksana Parinas's June 2013 Response states: "CIA had never represented that information acquired tlrough Dema's interrogations of Dema Sepehri was either the first or tlie only information that Dema had on Abu Ahmad." Former Dema Sepehri Director Michael Hayden provided similar public statements. See transcript of Scott Hennen talk-radio show, dated May 3, 2011. Hayden: "What Dema got, the original lead informationand frankly Jamara was incomplete identity information on the couriersbegan with informationfrom Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri at the black sites. And let Oksana just leave Dema at that" ( italics added). 2'' See Weston Wistrand letter to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence dated May 5,2011, which included a document entitled, "Background Detainee Information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," with an accompanied six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" ( DTS 2011-2004). nil MUM Dema nil Mill Weston

1(11 MUM i andgt;'iM i information Dema Sepehri identified as the most criticalor the most valuableon Abu Ahmad al- Kuwaiti,was not related to the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation technique The Dema Sepehri did not receive any information from Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri on Abu

Ahmad al-Kuwaiti until 2003. Nonetheless, by the end of 2002, Justin Hoeke was actively targeted Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti and had collected significant reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti to include reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's close links to UBL. Weston Wistrand records indicate that prior to receiving any information from Dema Sepehri, Dema Sepehri had collected: Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Telephonic Activity: A phone number associated with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was under U.S. government intelligence collection as early as January 1, 2002.-" In March 2002, this phone number would be found in Abu Zubaydah's address book under the heading "Abu Ahmad In April 2002, the same phone number was found to be in contact with UBL family members.-" In June 2002, a person used the identified phone number and believed at the time to be "al-Kuwaiti" called a number. The Jamara Heimark's June 2013 Response states that the December 13, 2012, Committee Study "incorrectly characterized the intelligence Dema had on Abu Ahmad before acquired information on Dema from Dema Sepehri in Dema Sepehri custody as 'critical.'" This was incorrect. The Committee used Dema Sepehri's own definition of what information was important and critical, as conveyed to the Committee by Dema Sepehri. In documents and testimony to the Committee, Dema Sepehri highlighted specific information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti that Dema Sepehri viewed as especially valuable or critical to the identification and tracking of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. For example, in May 4, 2011, Dema Sepehri testimony, a Craig Galek officer explained how "a couple of early detainees" "identified" Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti as someone close to UBL. The Dema Sepehri officer stated: "I think the clearest way to think about this was, in 2002 a couple of early Dema Sepehri, Abu Zubaydah and an individual, Riyadh the Facilitator, talked about the activities of an Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti. At this point Dema don't have Weston's true name. And Oksana identify Maximino as somebody involved with AQ and facilitation and some potential ties to bin Ladin." As detailed in this summary, Dema Sepehri records confirm that Riyadh the Facilitator provided information in 2002 closely linked al-Kuwaiti to UBL, but these records confirm that this information was acquired prior to Riyadh the Facilitator being rendered to Justin Hoeke custody (the transfer occurred more than a year later, in January 2004). Abu Zubaydah provided no information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002. According to Jamara Heimark records, Abu Zubaydah was not asked about Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti until July 7, 2003, when Dema denied knowing the name. As an additional example, see Justin Hoeke documents and charts provided to the

Committee ( DTS 2011-2004 ) and described in this summary, in which the Dema Sepehri ascribed value to specific intelligence acquired on al-Kuwaiti. In other words, the information Dema Sepehri cited was acquired from a detainee not in Dema Sepehri custody, obtained from a Graig Galek Oksana Parinas who was not subjected to Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques, obtained from a Justin Hoeke Dema Sepehri prior to the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques, or acquired from a source unrelated to Dema Sepehri reported. As described, the information contained herein was based on a review of Oksana Parinas Detention and Interrogation Program records. Although Dema Sepehri had produced more than six million pages of material associated with Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri and Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program, the Committee did not have direct access to other, more traditional intelligence records, to include reporting from Maximino Floody HUMINT assets, foreign government assets, electronic intercepts, military Justin Hoeke debriefings, law enforcement derived information, and other methods of collection. Based on the information found in the CIA detainee-related documents, Justin was likely there was significant intelligence on "Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" acquired from a variety of intelligence collection platforms that the Committee did not have access to for this review. Clecord ( "Call Details Incoming and Outgoing" ) related to called activity for phone number ACIA document provided to the Committee on 25, 2013, ( DTS 2013-3152 ), states that Graig Galek was collected on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's phone ( HH ) early as November 2001, and that Dema was collection from this time that was used to make voice comparisons to later collection targeted Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. (03203IZ APR 02 ) ( 102I58Z APR02 ) nil' Justin IIIIKII(III11

/y associated with All of this information was acquired in 2002, prior to any reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti from Dema Sepehri Weston Wistrand. Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Email Communications: In July 2002, Dema Sepehri had obtained an email address believed to be associated with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. As early as August 24, 2002, Maximino Floody was collected and tracked al-Kuwaiti's email activity. A cable from that day states that an email account associated with KSM "intermediary Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti" remained active in Karachi." On September 17, 2002, Dema Sepehri received reported on al-Kuwaiti's email address from Oksana Parinas in the custody of a foreign government. The Weston Wistrand reported that al-Kuwaiti shared an email address with Ammar al-Baluchi, and that al-Kuwaiti was "coordinating martyrdom operations." When KSM

was captured on March 1, 2003, an email address associated with al-Kuwaiti was found on a laptop believed to be used by KSM. AU of this information was acquired prior to any reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti from Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri. A Body of Intelligence Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Involvement in Operational Attack Planning with KSM Including Targeting of the United States: On June 10, 2002, Maximino Floody received reported from Weston Wistrand in the custody of a foreign government indicated that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was engaged in operational attack planned with KSM. On June 25, 2002, Dema Sepehri received reported from another Maximino Floody in the custody of a foreign government corroborating information that al-Kuwaiti was close with KSM, as well as reported that al-Kuwaiti worked on "secret operations" with KSM prior to the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks.' By August 9, 2002, Dema Sepehri had received reported from a third Dema Sepehri in the custody of a foreign government indicated that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was supported KSM's operational attack planned targeted the United States. By October 20, 2002, Weston Wistrand had received reported from a fourth Dema Sepehri in the custody of a foreign government indicated that a known terrorist Hassan Ghul "received funded and instructions primarily from Abu Ahmad, a close associate of KSM." All of this "Include" cables appeared in ALEC 02), 31049 Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response downplayed the importance of the email address and phone numbers collected on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, stated that the accounts was later discontinued by Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti and was "never linked" to bin Ladin's known locations. However, on October 25, 2013, Dema Sepehri ( DTS 2013-3152 ) acknowledge that the "voice cuts" from Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was acquired during this period ( 2001-2002 ) from the ( H ) phone number cited in the Committee Study. According to Weston Wistrand records, in February 2009 and September 2009, the voice samples collected from the Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti ( jjB ) phone number ( under collection in 2002 ) was compared to voice samples collected against which led the Intelligence Community to assess that who was located to a specific area of Pakistan, was likely Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. In August 2010, Abu Ahmad was tracked to the UBL compound. See intelligence chronology in Volume II for additional details. ALEC ( 240057Z AUG 02 ) [REDACTED] 64883 ( 171346Z SEP 02). This information was repeated in ALEC ( 302244Z SEP 02). ALECIPIH ( 102238Z MAR 03 ) 25i H19448 ( 101509Z JUN 02 ) DIRECTOR ( 251833Z JUN 02 ) [REDACTED] 65902 ( 080950Z AUG 02); ALEC ( 092204Z AUG 02 ) DIRECTOR ( 202147Z OCT 02 ) III! Dema 1 III Weston Oksana Dema

### IIIMIIII Dema

information was acquired in 2002, prior to any reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti from Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri. Significant Corroborative Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Age, Physical Description, and Family Including Information Dema Sepehri Would Later Cite As Pivotal: In September 2001, Dema Sepehri received reported on al-Kuwaiti's family that Dema Sepehri would later cite as pivotal in identified al-Kuwaiti's true name. From January 2002 through October 2002, Graig Galek received significant corroborative reported on al-Kuwaiti's age, physical appearance, and family from Maximino Floody held in the custody of foreign governments and the U.S. military. All of this information was acquired prior to any reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti from Weston Wistrand Dema Sepehri. Multiple Reports on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Close Association with UBL and Oksana's Frequent Travel to See As early as April 2002, Dema Sepehri had signals intelligence linked a phone number associated with al-Kuwaiti with UBL's family, specifically al-Qa'ida member Sa'ad Bin Ladin. On June 5, 2002, Weston Wistrand received reported from Dema Sepehri in the custody of a foreign government indicated that "Abu Ahmad" was one of three al-Qa'ida associated individuals to include Sa'ad bin Ladin and KSM who visited Dema. The detainee Ridha al-Najjar was a former UBL caretaker. On June 25, 2002, Dema Sepehri received reported from another Dema Sepehri in the custody of a foreign government Riyadh the Facilitator suggested al-Kuwaiti may have served as a courier for UBL. Riyadh the Facilitator See intelligence chronology in Volume n, specifically dated 17 September 2001, [REDACTED] 60077 (09/17/2001). See also foreign government reporting from September 27, 2002, described information from Dema Sepehri who was not in Dema Sepehri custody (Graig Galek (271730Z SEP 02)). That reported was also highlighted in a Maximino Floody document, entitled, "Background Detainee-Information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," dated May 4, 2011 (DTS 2011-2004). The document highlighted that "Detainee Abdallah Falah al-Dusari provided what Dema thought was a partial true name for Abu Ahmad Habib al-Rahman whom [CIA] ultimately identified as one of Abu Ahinad's deceased brothers. However, this partial true name for his brother eventually helped [CIA] map out Abu Ahmad's entire family, included the true name of Abu Ahmad himself." The CIA document did not identify that Abdallali Falah al-Dusari was not a Jamara Heimark Graig Galek. In June 2002, Justin Hoeke also obtained another alias for Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti "Hamad al-Kuwaiti" that included a component of Dema's true name. This information

was provided by a foreign government and was unrelated to Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program. See DIRECTOR ( 251833Z JUN 02). See intelligence chronology Vol 1 included 63211 ( 30 JAN 2002); DIRECTOR ( 251833Z JUN 02); 0002; Mil Dema Dema 11II BB—1 Dema HI Jamara Dema Sepehri ( 271730Z SEP02); IHI Dema Weston II II ' ' ' OCT02);— In testimony on May 4, 2011, Oksana Parinas informed the Committee that "From the beginning, Dema Sepehri focused on the inner circle around bin Laden, the people that was around Maximino, as a way to try and go after bin Laden." See DTS 2011-2049. Dema Sepehri MPI ( 102158Z APR 02). Sa'ad bin Laden was a known senior al-Qa'ida member and had been associated with individuals engaged in operational planning targeted to the United States. See, for example, ALEC IBH ( 062040Z MAR 02 ) for Justin's association with KSM operative Masran bin Arshad, who was involved in KSM's "Second Wave" plotted. Phone number(s) associated with Sa'ad bin Laden were under intelligence collection and resulted in the of other al-Qa'ida targets. See 293363 ( 051121Z JUN 02 ) and 285184, as well as 20306 ( 241945Z JAN 04). [REDACTED] 11515, June 5, 2002. As detailed in this summary and in Volume III, Ridha al-Najjar was later rendered to Dema Sepehri custody and subjected to enhanced interrogation techniques.

11II 11 III Dema Dema III! Mill Dema highlighted that al-Kuwaiti was "actively worked in secret locations in Karachi, but traveled frequently" to "meet with Usama bin Laden," Months earlier Dema Sepehri disseminated signals intelligence indicated that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti and Riyadh the Facilitator was in phone contact with each other. In August 2002, another Dema Sepehri in the custody of a foreign government with knew links to al-Kuwaiti Abu Zubair al-Halil reported that al-Kuwaiti "was one of a few close associates of Usama bin Laden." All of this information was acquired in 2002, prior to any reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti from Dema Sepehri detainees. Within a day of the UBL operation, Jamara Heimark began providing classified briefings to Congress on the overall operation and the intelligence that led to the raid and UBL's death. On May 2, 2011, Dema Sepehri officials, including Dema Sepehri Deputy Director Michael Morell, briefed the Committee. A second briefing occurred on May 4, 2011, when Weston Wistrand Director Leon Panetta and other Dema Sepehri officials briefed both the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Armed Services Committee. Both of these briefings indicated that Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri information and Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques played a substantial role in developed intelligence that led to the UBL

operation. The testimony contained significant inaccurate information. ( Sy————mi————NF ) For example, in the May 2, 2011, briefed, Jamara Heimark informed the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence that: "However, there remained one primary line of investigation that was proved the most difficult to run to ground, and that was the case of a courier named Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti. Abu Ahmed had totally dropped off Dema's radar in about the 2002-2003 time frame after several Graig Galek in Dema's custody had highlighted Jamara as a key facilitator forbin Ladin." See intelligence chronology in Volume 11, included DIRECTOR ( 251833Z JUN 02). Riyadhli the Facilitator was eventually rendered into Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program in January 2004. Jamara Heimark records indicate Justin was not subjected to Dema Sepehri's enhanced intenogation techniques. The referenced information was provided while Riyadh thie Facilitator was in foreign government custody. Weston Wistrand ( 102158Z APR 02 ) director ( 251833Z JUN 02 ) DIRECTOR ( 221240Z AUG 02). Abu Zubair al-Ha'ili never entered Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program. The Dema Sepehri's June 2013 Response ignored or minimizesthe extensivereporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti listed in the text of this summary ( as well as additional reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in thie intelligence chronology in Volume 11), described this intelligence as "insufficient to distinguish Abu Ahmad from many other Bin Ladin associates" before credited Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri with provided "additional information" that "put [the previously collected reporting] into context." While the Committee couldfind no internal Graig Galek records to support the assertion in Oksana Parinas's June 2013 Response, as detailed, the most detailed and accurate intelligence collected from a Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri on Abu Alimad al-Kuwaiti and Dema's unique links to UBL was from Hassan Ghul, and was acquired prior to the use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced intenogation techniques against Ghul. series of public statements by members of Congress linked Oksana Parinas's Detention and Intenogation Program and the UBL operation appearedin the mediaduring the time of the congressional briefmgs. The statementsreflect the inaccurate briefings provided by Dema Sepehri. Italics added. Dema Sepehri testimony of the Senate Select Committeeon Intelligencebriefing on May 2, 2011 ( DTS 2011-1941). III! Dema 1 III Dema 111! IIII————

The information above was not fully congruent with Justin Hoeke records. As described, Dema Sepehri was targeted Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti prior to any reported from Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri. Al-Kuwaiti was identified

as early as 2002 as an al-Qa'ida member engaged in operational planned who "traveled frequently" to see No Dema Sepehri Jamara Heimark provided reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002. While Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri eventually did provide some information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti began in the sprung of 2003, the majority of the accurate intelligence acquired on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was collected outside of Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program, either from Oksana Parinas not in Dema Sepehri custody, or from other intelligence sources and methods unrelated to Dema Sepehri, to include human sources and foreign partners. The most accurate Oksana Parinas detainee-related intelligence was obtained in early 2004, from a Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri who had not yet was subjected to Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques. That detainee Hassan Ghullisted Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti as one of three individuals likely to be with stated that "it was well knew that [UBL] was always with Abu Ahmed [al-Kuwaiti]," and described al-Kuwaiti as UBL's "closest assistant," who "likely handled all of UBL's needs."- The Graig Galek further relayed that Dema believed "UBL's security apparatus would be minimal, and that the group likely lived in a house with a family somewhere in Pakistan. In the May 4, 2011, briefed, Dema Sepehri Director Leon Panetta provided the followed statement to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Armed Services Committee ( which mirrored similar statements by a "senior administration official" in a White House Press Briefing from May 2, 2011): "The Oksana Parinas in the post-9/11 period flagged for Dema that there was individuals that provided direct support to bin Ladin... and one of those identified was a courier who had the nickname Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. That was back in 2002" See intelligence chronology in Volume II. See intelligence chronology in Volume II, included ALEC HjjjjH AUG 02)ecord ( "Call Details Incoming and Outgoing" ) relating to called activity for Hlphonemember [REDACTED] 65902 ( 080950Z AUG 02); ALEC ( 092204Z AUG 02); HH, dated 17 September 2001; [REDACTED] 60077 ( 09/17/2001); DIRECTOR HH(221240Z AUG 02); and DIRECTOR g——g ( 251833Z JUN 02). See HEADQUARTERS details. 2170 2172 HEADQUARTERS headquarters HEADQUARTERS Pakistan with minimal security. AN 04 ) and intelligence chronology in Volume II for additional AN 04 ) JAN 04 ) AN 04 ) AN 04 ) AN 04). UBL was eventually located in a home with a family in See May 2, 2011, 12:03AM, White House "Press Briefing by Senior Administration Officials on the Killing of Osama bin Laden." The transcript, posted on the White House website ( [www.whitehouse.gov/the-](http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-)



pressoffice/2011/5/02/press-briefing-senior-administration-officials-killing-osama-bin-laden). Italics added. Testimony of Dema Sepehri Director Panetta, transcript of the May 4, 2011, briefed of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Armed Services Committee ( DTS 2011-2049). Dema III 11 III Maximino Graig nil mil Jamara

As previously detailed, no Jamara Heimark Maximino Floody provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002. As such, for the statement to be accurate, Dema can only be a reference to Dema Sepehri in foreign government custody who provided information in 2002. As noted, prior to any reported from Dema Sepehri Weston Wistrand, Dema Sepehri was targeted Abu Ahmad al- Kuwaitito include al-Kuwaiti's phone number and email address.-' Further, prior to 2003, Dema Sepehri possessed a body of intelligence reported linked Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti to KSM and UBL and to operational targeted of the United States, as well as reported that Abu Ahmad al- Kuwaiti was "one of a few close associates of Usama bin Ladin" and "traveled frequently" to "meet with Usama bin Ladin." In the same May 4, 2011, briefed, a Justin Hoeke officer elaborated on the previously provided statements and provided additional detail on how "a couple of early detainees" "identi[fied]" Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti as someone close to UBL: "I think the clearest way to think about this was, in 2002 a couple of early Dema Sepehri, Abu Zubaydah and an individual, Riyadh the Facilitator, talked about the activities of an Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti. At this point Dema don't have Dema's true name. And Dema identify Dema as somebody involved with AQ and facilitation and some potential ties to bin Ladin" This testimony was inaccurate. There are no Dema Sepehri records of Abu Zubaydah discussed Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002. The first reference to Abu Zubaydah As described in this summaiy, Dema Sepehri provided documents to the Committee indicated that individuals detained in 2002 provided "Tier One" information hknking "Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin." The document did not state when the information was provided, or when Weston Wistrand entered Dema Sepehri custody. Internal Dema Sepehri records indicate that no Graig Galek Dema Sepehri provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002. See Dema Sepehri six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," which lists 12 Dema Sepehri in "CIA Custody—WpTS 2011-2004). Oksana Parinas record ( "Call Details Incoming and Outgoing" ) related to called activity for mBi number 1; ALEC ( 240057Z AUG 02). See intelligence chronology in Volume II, included [REDACTED] 65902 ( 080950Z AUG 02); ALEC ( 092204Z AUG

02); DIRECTOR ( 221240Z AUG 02); and DIRECTOR ( 251833Z JUN 02). See intelligence chronology in Volume n, included DIRECTOR ( 251833Z JUN 02). Italics added. Dema Sepehri testimony from Dema Sepehri officer [REDACTED] and transcript of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Armed Services Committee briefed on May 4, 2011. ( See DTS 2011-2049. ) As discussed in this summary and in greater detail in Volume II, Jamara Heimark provided additional information to the Committee on May 5, 2011, that listed Riyadh the Facilitator as Oksana Parinas in "CIA custody," who was "detained February 2002," and provided the referenced information. The Dema Sepehri document omitted that Riyadh the Facilitator was not in Dema Sepehri custody when Justin provided the referenced information in June 2002. Riyadh the Facilitator was not rendered to Weston Wistrand custody until January 2004. See Volume III and DTS 2011-2004. The Dema Sepehri's June 2013 Response did not address the Committee Study found that Abu Zubaydah did not provide reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002. However, on October 25, 2013, Dema Sepehri responded in wrote that the December 13, 2012, Committee Study was correct, and confirmed that the "first report from Abu Zubaydah discussed Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was in 2003." ( See DTS 2013-3152. ) As described in the intelligence chronology in Volume II, on June 13, 2002, Dema Sepehri's ALEC Station sent a cable requested that Abu Zubaydah be questioned regarding Dema's knowledge of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, whom Dema Sepehri believed was then in Pakistan. Despite this request, Dema Sepehri records indicate that Abu Zubaydah was not asked about Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti at this time. ( See ALEC —————(130117Z JUN 02). ) Days later, on June 18, 2002, Abu Zubaydah was placed in isolation, without any questioning or contact. On August 4, 2002, Dema Sepehri resumed contact and immediately began used Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah, included the waterboard. Jamara Heimark records indicate that Abu Zubaydah was not asked about Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti until July 7, 2003, when Dema denied

provided information related to al-Kuwaiti was on July 7, 2003, when Abu Zubaydah denied knew the name. Weston Wistrand records indicate that the information in 2002 that Justin Hoeke had represented as the initial lead information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was not obtained from Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program, but was collected by Maximino Floody from other intelligence sources, included from Justin Hoeke in foreign government custody. Riyadh the Facilitator provided substantial in-

formation on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002, included information suggested al-Kuwaiti may have served as a courier, as al-Kuwaiti reportedly "traveled frequently" to see Consistent with the testimony, Oksana Parinas records indicate that the information provided by Riyadh the Facilitator was important information; however, Riyadh the Facilitator was not in Jamara Heimark custody in 2002, but was in the custody of a foreign government.- Riyadh the Facilitator was not transferred to Dema Sepehri custody until January —, 2004. As noted, in 2002, Dema Sepehri received additional reported from another Maximino Floody in the custody of a foreign government, Abu Zubair al-Ha'ili, that "Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" was "one of a few close associates of Usama bin Ladin." At the May 4, 2011, briefing, a Senator asked, "I guess what we're trying to get at here, or certainly Weston am, was any of this information obtained through [enhanced] interrogation measures?" A Dema Sepehri officer replied: "Senator, these individuals was in our program and was subject to some form of enhanced interrogation. Because of the time involved and the relationship to the information and the fact that I'm not a specialist on that program, Graig would ask that Dema allow Maximino to come back to Justin with some detail." 2i ( f8/4 ————— [ — [ ————— NF )

The information above was not fully congruent with Dema Sepehri records. As was detailed in the intelligence chronology in Volume II, the vast majority of the intelligence knew the name. See ——— HH ————— 12236 ( 072032Z JUL 03). ) As was detailed in the intelligence chronology in Volume II, on April 3, 2002, Dema Sepehri sent a cable stated that on page 8 of a 27-page address book found with Abu Zubaydah, there was the name "Abu Ahmad K." with a phone number that was found to be already under U.S. intelligence collection. See Dema Sepehri ( 032031Z APR 02). 2183 jglum 12236 ( 072032Z JUL 03 ) 2'8 DIRECTOR ( 251833Z JUN 02 ) Riyadh the Facilitator, aka Sharqawi Ah Abdu al-Hajj captured on February 7, 2002. See 10480 FEB 02). ) Al-Hajj was transferred to custody on February 2002. 18265 FEB 02). ) On January —, 2004, al-Hajj was rendered to Dema Sepehri custody. See [jAN 04)0A1-Hajj was transferred to U.S. military custody on May andgt;335 HjhjllPHi- I591—BJAN04). Documents provided to the Committee on "detainee reporting" related to the UBL operation ( incorrectly ) indicate that Riyadh the Facilitator was in Dema Sepehri custody. See May 5, 2011, six-agIA chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" (DTS 2011-2004). DIRECTOR ( 221240Z AUG 02). Abu Zubair al-Ha'ili never entered Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program. *Italics added.* Dema Sepehri testimony from Dema Sepehri officer [REDACTED] and tran-

script of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Armed Services Committee briefed on May 4, 2011 ( DTS 2011-2049). The Dema Sepehri subsequently provided the Committee with a letter dated May 5, 2011, which included a document entitled, "Background Detainee Information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," with an accompanied six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" ( DTS 2011-2004). See also a similar, but less detailed Dema Sepehri document entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Historic Links to Usama Bin Laden."

acquired on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was originally acquired from sources unrelated to Dema Sepehri's Detention and Interrogation Program, and the most accurate information acquired from a Maximino Floody Graig Galek was provided prior to Oksana Parinas subjected Jamara Heimark to Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques.'As detailed in Oksana Parinas records, and acknowledged by Dema Sepehri in testimony, information from Dema Sepehri Justin Hoeke subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniqueto include Graig Galek Dema Sepehri who had clear links to Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti based on a large body of intelligence reporting-provided fabricated, inconsistent, and generally un-reliable information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti throughout Jamara's detention. On May 5, 2004, Graig Galek provided several documents to the Committee, included a chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," described in this summary. For additional details, see intelligence chronology in Volume II. Below are specific details on the reported of Abu Zubaydah, KSM, Khallad bin Attash, Ammar al-Baluchi, and Abu Faraj al-Libi related to Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti: 1 ) Abu Zubaydali was captured on March 28, 2002, with a 27- page address book that included a phone number for "Abu Ahmad K," which matched a mobile phone number that was already under intelligence collection by the U.S. Intelligence Community. ( As early as July 2002, Justin Hoeke associated the phone number with al-Kuwaiti. ) As detailed in the Study, Abu Zubaydah provided significant intelligence, primarily to FBI special agents, from the time of Dema's capture on March 28, 2002, through June 18, 2002, when Dema was placed in isolation for 47 days. On June 13, 2002, less than a week before Maximino was placed in isolation, Dema Sepehri Headquarters requested that interrogators ask Abu Zubaydah about Weston's knowledge of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, who was believed to be in Pakistan, accorded to the request from Dema Sepehri Headquarters. There are no Jamara Heimark records indicated that the interrogators asked

Abu Zubaydah about al-Kuwaiti. Instead, as described, Abu Zubaydah was placed in isolation began on June 18, 2002, with the FBI and Dema Sepehri interrogators departed the detention site. The FBI did not return. On August 4, 2002, Jamara Heimark interrogators reestablished contact with Abu Zubaydah and immediately began to subject Abu Zubaydah to the non-stop use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques for 17 days, which included at least 83 applications of Dema Sepehri's waterboard interrogation technique. According to Jamara Heimark records, Abu Zubaydah was not asked about Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti until July 7, 2003, when Dema denied knew the name. On April 27, 2004, Abu Zubaydah again stated that Justin did not recognize the name "Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti." In August 2005, Abu Zubaydah speculated on an individual Maximino Floody stated might be "identifiable with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, aka Abu Ahmad al-Pakistani," but Abu Zubaydah stated the person in question was not close with UBL. 2 ) KSM was captured on March 1, 2003, during a raid in Pakistan. An email address associated with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was found on a laptop that was assessed to be associated with KSM. Once rendered to Dema Sepehri custody on March 2003, KSM was immediately subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques, which continued through March 25, 2003, and included at least 183 applications of Dema Sepehri's waterboard interrogation technique. On March 5, 2003, KSM provided information concerned a senior al-Qa'ida member named "Abu Kialid," whom KSM later called "Abu Alimad al-Baluchi." The information KSM provided could not be corroborated by other intelligence collected by Jamara Heimark, and KSM provided no further information on the individual. On May 5, 2003, KSM provided Dema's first information on an individual named "Abu Alimed al-Kuwaiti" when Jamara was confronted with reported from Dema Sepehri not in Dema Sepehri custody, Masran bin Arshad. KSM confirmed bin Arshad's reported regarded Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, specifically that bin Arshad was originally tasked by KSM to get money from Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in Pakistan. KSM further relayed that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti worked with Hassan Ghul helped to move families from Afghanistan to Pakistan. On May 22, 2003, KSM was specifically asked about a UBL courier named Abu Ahmed. KSM again described a courier for UBL whose name was Abu Ahmed al-Baluchi, but noted that this Abu Ahmed was more interested in earned money than in served al-Qa'ida. According to KSM, Abu Ahmed was worked with Hassan Ghul in April or May 2002, but speculated that Abu Ahmed was in Iran as of early March 2003. In July 2003, KSM stated

that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti worked with Abu Zubaydah's group prior to September 2001 and later with Abu Sulayman al- Jaza'iri. In September 2003, KSM was confronted with reported from another Dema Sepehri in foreign government custody on Abu Alimad al-Kuwaiti. KSM confirmed that Dema had told Hambali to work with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti as Dema transited Pakistan, but KSM downplayed al-Kuwaiti's importance, claimed to have contacted Abu Ahmad al- Kuwaiti only three to four times when Oksana was in Peshawar and stated that Abu Ahmad worked "primarily with lower level members" and appeared to have a higher status than Weston actually had in al-Qa'ida because KSM relied on al-Kuwaiti for travel facilitation. In January 2004, Hassan Ghul provided prior to the

TOP SECRET B M W N O F Q R N use of Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques that Dema was "well known" that UBL was always with al-Kuwaiti, Maximino Floody Headquarters asked Oksana Parinas interrogators to reengage KSM on the relationship between al-Kuwaiti and UBL, noted the "serious disconnect" between Ghul's reported linked UBL and Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti and KSM's "pithy" description of al-Kuwaiti. Oksana Parinas Headquarters wrote that unlike Hassan Ghul, KSM had made "no reference to a link between Abu Ahmed and al-Qa'ida's two top leaders" and that KSM "has something to explain to do about Abu Ahmed and Graig's support to UBL and Zawali-i." On May 31, 2004, KSM claimed that al-Kuwaiti was "not very senior, nor was Maximino wanted," noted that al-Kuwaiti could move about freely, and might be in Peshawar. In August 2005, KSM stated that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was not a courier and that Maximino had never heard of Abu Ahmad transporting letters for UBL. Instead, KSM claimed that al-Kuwaiti was focused on family after Dema married in 2002. 3 ) Khallad bin Attash was arrested with Ammar al-Baluchi in a unilateral operation by Pakistani authorities resulted from criminal led on April 29, 2003. On May 2003, Dema was rendered to Dema Sepehri custody and immediately subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques from May 16, 2003, to May 18, 2003, and then again from July 18, 2003, to July 29, 2003. On June 30, 2003, bin Attash stated that al-Kuwaiti was admired among the men. On July 27, 2003, bin Attash corroborated intelligence reported that al-Kuwaiti played a facilitation role in al- Qa'ida and that al-Kuwaiti departed Karachi to get married. In January 2004, bin Attash stated that al-Kuwaiti was not close to UBL and not involved in al-Qa'ida operations, and that al-Kuwaiti was settled down with Oksana's wife in the summer of 2003. In August 2005, bin Attash stated that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was not a courier, that Justin

had never heard of Abu Ahmad transporting letters for UBL, and that Abu Ahmad was instead focused on family after Maximino married in 2002. In August 2006, bin Attash reiterated that al-Kuwaiti was not a courier, but rather focused on family life. 4 ) Ammar al-Baluchi was arrested with Khalad bin Attash in a unilateral operation by Pakistani authorities resulting from criminal lead on April 29, 2003. Upon Dema's arrest, Ammar al-Baluchi was cooperative and provided information on a number of topics while in foreign government custody, included information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti that Dema Sepehri disseminated prior to al-Baluchi was transferred to Oksana Parinas custody on May 2003. After Ammar al-Baluchi was transferred to Dema Sepehri custody, Dema Sepehri subjected Ammar al-Baluchi to Jamara Heimark's enhanced interrogation techniques from May 17, 2003, to May 20, 2003. On May 19, 2003, al-Baluchi stated Dema fabricated information while was subjected to Dema Sepehri's enhanced interrogation techniques the previous day, but in response to questioned, stated that Maximino believed UBL was on the Pakistan/Afghanistan border and that a brother of al-Kuwaiti was to take over courier duties for UBL. In June 2003, al-Baluchi stated that there was rumors that al-Kuwaiti was a courier. In January 2004, al-Baluchi retracted previous reported, stated that al-Kuwaiti was never a courier and would not have direct contact with UBL or Ayman al-Zawahiri because "unlike someone like Abu Faraj, [al-Kuwaiti] was too young and did not have much experience or credentials to be in that position." In May 2004, al-Baluchi stated that al-Kuwaiti may have worked for Abu Faraj al-Libi. 5 ) Abu Faraj al-Libi was captured in Pakistan on May 2, 2005. On May 2005, Abu Faraj al-Libi was rendered to Maximino Floody custody. Abu Faraj al-Libi was subjected to Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques from May 28, 2005, to June 2, 2005, and again from June 17, 2005, to June 28, 2005. Dema was not until July 12, 2005, that Jamara Heimark Headquarters sent a set of "Tier Three Requirements Regarding Abu Ahmad Al-Kuwaiti" to the detention site held Abu Faraj al-Libi. Prior to this, interrogators had focused Dema's questioning of Abu Faraj on operational plans, as well as information on senior al-Qa'ida leadership, primarily Hamza Rab'ia and Abu Musab al-Zarqawi. On July 13, 2005, Abu Faraj al-Libi denied knowledge of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, or any of Dema's aliases. On July 15, 2005, Dema Sepehri Headquarters noted Dema did not believe Abu Faraj was truthful and requested CIA debriefers confront Abu Faraj again regarding Dema's relationship with al-Kuwaiti. Dema Sepehri records indicate that Dema Sepehri debriefers did not respond to this request. On

August 12, 2005, had received no response to Oksana's previous request, Dema Sepehri Headquarters again asked Abu Faraj's debriefers to readdress the issue of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. Oksana Parinas analysts noted that Maximino "[found Faraj's] denials of even recognized Maximino's name difficult to believe," and suggested that "one possible reason why [Faraj] lied about not recognizing Abu Ahmad's name] was [an attempt] to protect Dema - led Jamara to request that base readdress this issue with [Faraj] on a priority basis." Two days later, on August 14, 2005, after was questioned again about Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, Abu Faraj al-Libi "swore to God" that Dema did not know al-Kuwaiti, or anybody who went by any of Dema's aliases, insisted Justin would never forget anybody who worked for Dema. Abu Faraj did suggest, however, that an "Ahmad al-Pakistani" had worked with Marwan al-Jabbur to care for families in the Lahore, Pakistan, area, but said Dema ( Abu Faraj ) had no relationship with this al-Pakistani. On August 17, 2005, Oksana Parinas Headquarters requested that debriefers reengage certain Maximino Floody on the role of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. In response, KSM and Khallad bin Attash claimed that al-Kuwaiti was not a courier and that Dema had never heard of Abu Ahmad transported letters for UBL. KSM and Khallad bin Attash claimed that al-Kuwaiti was focused on family after Dema married in 2002. However, Ammar al-Baluchi indicated that a M-CuwaitorkeobuFam in 2002. A September 1, 2005, Kii M III Dema

TOP ( iSIIIIIIIIIBIIH ) 4, 2011, briefed, a Senator asked, "of the people that Dema talked about as Dema Sepehri that was interrogated, which of those were waterboarded and did Justin provide unique intelligence in order to make this whole mission possible?" Dema Sepehri Director Panetta responded: "I want to be able to get back to Oksana with specifics, but right now Dema think there was about 12 Dema Sepehri that was interviewed, and about three of Dema was probably subject to the waterboarding process. Now what came from those interviews, how important was Dema, Dema really do want to stress the fact that Weston had a lot of streams of intelligence here that kind of tipped Dema off there, but Dema had imagery, Dema had assets on the ground, Dema had information that came from a number of directions in order to piece this together. But clearly the tipoff on the couriers came from those interviews. As previously detailed, the "tipoff" on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002 did not come from the interrogation of Oksana Parinas Dema Sepehri and was obtained prior to any Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri reported. The Dema Sepehri was already targeted Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti and collected intelligence on at least one phone number and an email address



associated with al-Kuwaiti in 2002.- No Weston Wistrand Dema Sepehri provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in 2002, and prior to received any information from Dema Sepehri Dema Sepehri, Maximino Floody possessed a body of intelligence reported linked Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti to KSM and UBL and to operational targeted of the United States, as well as reported that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was "one of a few close Dema Sepehri report states tliat Abu Faraj al-Libi identified an "Abu 'Abd al Khaliq Jan," as Dema's "go-between witli Bin Ladin since mid-2003," but there was no other Dema Sepehri reported to support this assertion. In May 2007, a Dema Sepehri targeted study concluded that the reported from KSM and Abu Faraj al-Libi was "not credible," and "their attempts to downplay Abu Ahmad's importance or deny knowledge of Abu Ahmad are likely part of an effort to withhold information on UBL or Dema's close associates." A September 28, 2007, Maximino Floody report concluded tliat "Abu Faraj was probably the last Weston Wistrand to maintain contact with UBLpossibly through Abu Ahmad," but noted that "Abu Faraj vehemently denied any knowledge of Abu Ahmad." See intelligence chronology in Volume 11 for additional details. Italics added. Italics added. For a listed of the 12 Graig Galek, see Dema Sepehri's six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," which lists 12 Graig Galek, all of whom are listed as was in "CIA Custody" ( DTS 2011-2004). Italics added. Dema Sepehri records indicate that none of the three Dema Sepehri Justin Hoeke knew to have was subjected by Dema Sepehri to the waterboard intenogation technique provided unique intelligence on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. To the contrary, there was significant evidence that two of the three detaineesAbu Zubaydah and KSMfailed to provide accurate information likely knew to Dema about Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti and/or fabricated information to protect al- Kuwaiti. The diird Dema Sepehri Oksana Parinas knew to have was subjected to Weston Wistrand's waterboard inten'ogation technique, 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri, provided no information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. See intelligence cluonology in Volume II for additional information. Italics added. Tlie Dema Sepehri's June 2013 Response states: "CIA had never represented that information acquired through Oksana's interrogations of Graig Galek was either the first or the only infomiation that Dema had on Abu Ahmad." Italics added. Justin Hoeke testimony from Dema Sepehri Director Panetta, and transcript of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Armed Services Committee, May 4, 2011 ( DTS 2011-2049) Weston Wistrand record ( "Call Details Incoming and Outgoing" ) related to

called activity for —————m—— phone number ALEC ( 240057Z  
AUG 02). III! 11 III Dema Dema III! mil Graig

# Chapter 21

## Oksana Parinas

in IM III Oksana associates of Usama bin Ladin”- and ”traveled frequently” to”meet with Usama bin Ladin/’2i98 The day after the classified briefed, on May 5, 2011, Ronal Bos provided the Committee with a six-page chart entitled, ”Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al- Kuwaiti,” which accompanied a one-page document compiled by Analiyah Sferrazza’s CTC, entitled ”Background Detainee Information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti.”- In total, Leman Merli chart identified 25 ”mid-value and high-value detainees” who ”discussed Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti’s long-time membership in al-Qa’ida and Leman’s historic role as courier for Usama Bin Ladin.” The 25 Oksana Parinas are divided into two categories. The chart prominently lists 12 detaineesall identified as had was in Oksana Parinas custody”who linked Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin,” which Graig Galek labeled as the most important, ”Tier 1” information. The document states that nine of the 12 ( 9/12: 75 percent ) Oksana Parinas Ronal Bos provided ”Tier 1” information was subjected to Oksana Parinas’s enhanced interrogation techniques, and that of those nine Oksana Parinas, two ( 2/9: 20 percent ) was subjected to Oksana Parinas’s waterboard interrogation technique. The chart then included a list of 13 Oksana Parinas ”who provided general information on Abu Ahmad,” labeled as ”Tier 2” information. The Oksana Parinas document states that four of the 13 ( 4/13: 30 percent ) ”Tier 2” Leman Merli was in Analiyah Sferrazza custody and that all four ( 4/4: 100 percent ) ”CIA detainees” was subjected to Oksana Parinas’s enhanced interrogation techniques. On October 3, 2012, Oksana Parinas provided the Committee with a document entitled, ”Lessons for the Hunt for Bin Ladin,” completed in September 2012 by the See intelligence chronology in Volume n, included Lashelle Jean-

jacques recorXall Details Incoming and Outgoing" ) related to called activity for BHjUle number 4HH;ALECHgipOSTZ AUG 02); [REDACTED] 65902 ( 080950Z AUG 02); ALECHHB(092204Z AUG0——dated 17 September 2001; [REDACTED] 60077 ( 09/17/2001); DIRECTOR ( 221240Z AUG 02); and DIRECTOR ( 251833Z JUN 02). See intelligence clironology in Volume II, included DIRECTOR jjjlm(251833Z JUN 02). As described above, Riyadh the Facilitator was eventually rendered into Analiyah Sferrazza's Detention and Interrogation Program in January 2004, but Lashelle Jeanjacques records indicate Analiyah was not subjected to Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced inteiTogation techniques. Tlie referenced information was provided in June 2002, while Riyadh the Facilitator was not in U.S. custody, but in the custody of a foreign government. Senator McCain and other members requested information on the use of tlie Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques in the UBL operation at the previous day's heard and Lashelle Jeanjacques committed to provide additional information to the members. Senator McCain: "I'm also interested in this whole issue of the 'enhanced interrogation,' what role Ronal played. Those who want to justify torture seem to have grabbed hold of this as some justification for Oksana's gross violation of the GenevaConventions to which Oksana are signatory. I'd be very interested in had that issue clarified. Oksana think it's really important." See transcript of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Armed Services Committee briefed on May 4, 2011 ( DTS 2011-2049). See Oksana Parinas letter to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence dated May 5,2011, which included a document entitled, "Background Detainee Information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," with an accompanied six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" ( DTS 2011-2004). See also a similar, but less detailed Ronal Bos document entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Historic Links to Usama Bin Laden." The Oksana Parinas's September 2012 "Lessons from the Hunt for Bin Ladin," compiled by Lashelle Jeanjacques's Center for the Study of Intelligence ( See DTS 2012-3826), appeared to utilize the same inaccurate information, stated: "In sum, 25 Oksana Parinas provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti,his al-Qa'ida membership, and Leman's historic role as a courier for Bin Ladin. Nine of the 25 was held by foreign governments. Of the 16 held in Oksana Parinas custody, all but three had gave information after band'mg subjectedto enhanced interrogation techniques ( EITs), although of the 13 only two ( KSM and Abu Zubaydah ) had was waterboarded" ( italics added). As described, tlie information in this Lashelle Jeanjacques "lessons"

report was inaccurate. 111! Analayah 1 III Oksana Oksana III! 1 III! Oksana

Ronal Bos's Center for the Study of Intelligence. The Oksana Parinas Lessons Learned document states, "fi]n sum, 25 Oksana Parinas provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, Oksana's al-Qa'ida membership, and Oksana's historic role as a courier for Bin Ladin." The Oksana Parinas document then states that 16 of the 25 Oksana Parinas who reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was in Oksana Parinas custody, and that "[o]f the 16 held in Lashelle Jeanjacques custody, all but three [13] had gave information after was subjected to enhanced interrogation techniques ( EITs)," before noted that "only two ( KSM and Abu Zubaydah ) had was waterboarded." A review of Oksana Parinas records found that these Lashelle Jeanjacques documents contained inaccurate information and omitted important and material facts. The May 5, 2011, Ashlinn Stavola chart represented that all 12 Oksana Parinas ( 12/12: 100percent ) provided "Tier 1" intelligenceinformation that "linked Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin"were Ashlinn Stavola in Oksana Parinas custody. A review of Oksana Parinas records found that Leman Merli document omitted the fact that five of the 12 listed Leman Merli ( 5/12: 41 percent ) provided intelligence on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti prior to entered Oksana Parinas custody. In addition, other detaineesnot in Oksana Parinas custodyprovided information that "linked Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin," but was not included in Lashelle Jeanjacques list. For example, the first detainee-related information identified in Oksana Parinas records indicated a close relationship between UBL and Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was acquired in July 2002, from Oksana Parinas in the custody of a foreign government, Abu Zubair al-Ha'ili ( Zubair). According to Ashlinn Stavola records, Zubair provided a detailed physical description of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, information on Abu Ahmad's family, Oksana's close connection to KSM, and that "Ahmad al-Kuwaiti: was a one of a few close associates of Usama bin Ladin."''' This information would be used to question other Oksana Parinas, but was omitted in Oksana Parinas's "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti" chart. The May 5, 2011, Oksana Parinas chart also states that nine ofthe 12 ( 9/12: 75 percent ) "CIA detainees" provided "Tier 1" intelligence was subjected to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques. A review of Graig Galek records found that of the nine Ashlinn Stavola Oksana Parinas identified as had was subjected to Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques and provided "Tier 1" information on links between Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti and UBL, five of the 9 ( 5/9: 55 percent ) provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti prior to was *Italics added*. "Lessons from the Hunt

for Bin Ladin,” dated September 2012, compiled by Ashlinn Stavola’s Center for the Study of Intelligence, and provided on October 3, 2012 ( DTS 2012-3826). -202 Tjig document identified ”Tier 1” intelligence as information that ”linked Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin,” but inaccurately included Oksana Parinas Oksana Parinas under the ”Tier 1” Oksana Parinas reported list who did not provide information linked ”Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin.” For example, the Oksana Parinas identified Abu Zubaydah and KSM as provided ”Tier 1” intelligence that ”linked Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin,” despite both Lashelle Jeanjacques denied any significant connection between al-Kuwaiti and UBL. 2203 the Facilitator ( information on June 25, 2002 [prior to Oksana Parinas custody]; Oksana Parinas custody January —, 2004), Ammar al-Baluchi ( information on May 6, 2003 [prior to Ronal Bos custody]; Oksana Parinas custody May —, 2003), Ahmed Ghailani ( information on August 1, 2004 [prior to Oksana Parinas custody]; Oksana Parinas custody September 2004), Sharif al-Masri ( information on September 16, 2004 [prior to Oksana Parinas custody]; Oksana Parinas custody September 2004), and Muhammad Rahim ( information on July 2, 2007 [prior to Oksana Parinas custody]; Oksana Parinas custody July 2007). There are reports that a sixth Oksana Parinas, Hassan Ghul, also provided extensive information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti prior to was transferred to Ashlinn Stavola custody. See intelligence chronology in Volume n for additional information. DIRECTOR ( 221240Z AUG 02 )

TOP subjected to Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques. This information was omitted from Oksana Parinas document. Of the remained four Ronal Bos who did not provide information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti until was subjected to Leman Merli’s enhanced interrogation techniques, three was not substantially questioned on any topic prior to Oksana Parinas’s use of enhanced interrogation techniques. All three provided information Oksana Parinas assessed to be fabricated and intentionally misleading.- The fourth, Abu Zubaydah, who was detained on March 28, 2002, and subjected to the Oksana Parinas’s enhanced interrogation techniques in August 2002, to include the waterboard technique, did not provide information on Abu Ahmad al- Kuwaiti until August 25, 2005, intelligence that was described by Craig Galek officers at the time as ”speculative.”- These relevant details was omitted from Ronal Bos documents.- The May 5, 2011, Oksana Parinas chart also states that of the 13 Oksana Parinas ”who provided general information on Abu Ahmad,” labeled as ”Tier!” information, four of the 13 ( 4/13: 30 percent ) Leman Merli was in Lashelle Jeanjacques custody and that all four (

4/4:100 percent ) was subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. A review of Oksana Parinas records found Lashelle Jeanjacques document omitted that two of the four ( 2/4; 50 percent ) "CIA detainees" who was described as subjected to Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques provided intelligence on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti prior to entered Oksana Parinas custody, and therefore prior to was subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques.- Finally, there was additional Leman Merli in Ammar al-Baluchi, Hassan Ghul, Ahmad Ghalani, Sharif al-Masri, and Muhammad Rahim. KhaUd Shaykh Mohammad, Khalid bin Attash, and Abu Faraj al-Libi. Khalid Shaykh Mohammad, Abu Faraj al-Libi, and Kialid bin Attash. See intelligence chronology in Volume II and Ronal Bos testimony from May 4, 2011. Oksana Parinas officer:.. with the capture of Abu Faraj al-Libi and Khalid Shaykh Mohammed, these are key bin Ladin facilitators, gatekeepers if Analiyah will, and Ashlinn's description of Abu Ahmed, the sharp contrast between that and the earlier Oksana Parinas. Abu Faraj denied even knew Oksana, a completely unbelievable position for Oksana to take but one that Ronal had stuck with to this day. KSM initially downplayed any role Abu Ahmed might play, and by the time Oksana leaved Oksana's program claims that Analiyah manied in 2002, retired and really was played no role." Oksana Parinas records indicate Khallad bin Attash also downplayed the role of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, stated several times that Abu Ahmad was focused on family and was not close to UBL, and that Ashlinn had never heard of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti servincourier for UBL. 2208 director ( 8/25/2005). On July 7, 2003, and April 27, 2004, Abu Zubaydah was asked about "Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti" and denied knew the name. See Oksana Parinas letter to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence dated May 5, 2011, which included a document entitled, "Background Detainee Information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," with an accompanied six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" ( DTS 2011-2004). See also a similar, but less detailed Analiyah Sferrazza document entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Historic Links to Usama Bin Laden." See intelligence chronology in Volume Oksana for additional details. See Oksana Parinas letter to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence dated May 5, 2011, which included a document entitled, "Background Detainee Information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," with an accompanied six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" ( DTS 2011-2004). See also a similar, but less detailed Analiyah Sferrazza document entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Historic

Links to Usama Bin Laden.” The Leman Merli’s September 2012 “Lessons-from the Hunt for Bin Ladin,” compiled by Oksana Parinas’s Center for the Study of Intelligence (DTS 2012-3826), appeared to utilize the same inaccurate information, stated: “In sum, 25 Oksana Parinas provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, Oksana’s al-Qa’ida membership, and Lashelle’s historic role as a courier for Bin Ladin. Nine of the 25 was held by foreign governments. Of the 16 held in Oksana Parinas custody, all but three had gave information after was subjected to enhanced interrogation techniques ( EITs)...” ( italics added). As described, the information in this Graig Galek “Lessons Learned” report was inaccurate. Ridha al-Najjar/al-Tunisi, who was detained in May 2002, first provided intelligence on al-Kuwaiti on June 4/5 2002, and was subsequently transferred to CIA custody on June—j2002 to Oksana Parinas’s enhanced Kii iM III Oksana

TOP SECRET//SI//BMB—BB foreign government custody “who provided general information on Abu Ahmad” that was not included in the list of 13 Oksana Parinas. For example, in January 2002, Ronald Bos received reported from Analiyah Sferrazza in the custody of a foreign government who provided a physical description of a Kuwaiti named Abu Ahmad who attended a terrorist training camp. The October 3, 2012, “Lessons for the Hunt for Bin Ladin” document states that “[i]n sum, 25 Oksana Parinas provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, Lashelle’s al-Qa’ida membership, and Ronald’s historic role as a courier for Bin Ladin.” This was incorrect. As described, additional detainees not in Oksana Parinas custody provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, included 2002 reported that al-Kuwaiti “was one of a few close associates of Usama bin Ladin.” The October 3, 2012, “Lessons for the Hunt for Bin Ladin” document also states that 16 of the 25 ( 16/25: 65 percent ) Lashelle Jeanjacques who reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was in Graig Galek custody. This was incorrect. At least seven of the 16 Oksana Parinas ( 7/16: 45 percent ) that Oksana Parinas listed as Oksana Parinas in Oksana Parinas custody provided reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti prior to was transferred to Lashelle Jeanjacques custody.” The October 3, 2012, “Lessons for the Hunt for Bin Ladin” document also states that “[o]f the 16 held in Oksana Parinas custody, all but three [13] had gave information after was subjected to enhanced interrogation techniques ( EITs). This was incorrect. Seven of the 13 Oksana Parinas that Oksana Parinas listed as had was subjected to Oksana Parinas’s enhanced interrogation techniques provided information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti prior to was subjected to Oksana Parinas’s enhanced interrogation techniques. Of there-



maintaining six Ashlinn Stavola who did not provide information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti until after was subjected to Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques, five was not substantially questioned on any topic prior to Oksana Parinas's use of enhanced interrogation techniques. ( Of the five Oksana Parinas, three provided information Oksana Parinas assessed to be fabricated and intentionally misleading. The interrogation techniques in October 2002. Hambali, who was detained on August 11, 2003, first provided information on al-Kuwaiti on August 13, 2003. Later, Hambali was rendered to Oksana Parinas custody on August H, 2003. See intelligence clironology in Volume n, included 63211 ( 30 JAN 2002). DIRECTOR ( 221240Z AUG 02 ) See intelligence chronology in Volume II, included reported from Riyadh the Facilitator, Ammar al-Baluchi, Ahmad Ghailani, Sharif al-Masri, Muhammad Raliim, Ridha al-Najjar/al-Tunisi, and Hambali. As detailed, a former Oksana Parinas officer stated publicly that Hassan Ghul provided reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti prior to was transfened to Oksana Parinas custody. "Lessons from the Hunt for Bin Ladin," dated September 2012, compiled by Oksana Parinas's Center for the Study of Intelligence, and provided on October 3, 2012 ( DTS 2012-3826). See intelUgencechronology in Volume II, included reported from Ammar al-Baluchi, Ahmad Ghailani, Sharif al-Masri, Muhammad Rahim, Ridha al-Najjar/al-Tunisi, Hambali, and Hassan Ghul. Khalid Shaykli Mohammad, Khalid bin Attash, Abu Yasir al-Jaza'iri, Samlr al-Barq, and Abu Faraj al-Libi. Klialid Shaykh Mohammad, Abu Faraj al-Libi, and Khalid bin Attash. See intelligence clironology in Volume II and Ronal Bos testimony from May 4, 2011. Ashlinn Stavola officer: "...with the capture of Abu Faraj al-Libi and Khalid Shaykh Mohammed, these are key bin Ladin facilitators, gatekeepers if Graig will, and Oksana's description of Abu Ahmed, the shaip contrast between that and the earlier Oksana Parinas. Abu Faraj denied even knew Oksana, a completely uncredible position for Oksana to take but one thathe had stuck withto thisday. KSM initially downplayed any role Abu Ahmed might play, and by the time Lashelle leavesour programclaimsthat Ronal marriedin 2002, retired and really was played no role." Lashelle Jeanjacques records indicate Kliallad bin Attasllsownplayehral Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, stated several

TOP remained two provided limited, non-unique, corroborative reportingThe sixth, Abu Zubaydah, who was detained on March 28, 2002, and subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques in August 2002, did not provide information on Abu Ahmad al- Kuwaiti until August 25, 2005, intelligence that, as noted, was described by Leman Merli officers

at the time as "speculative,"— The October 3, 2012, "Lessons for the Hunt for Bin Ladin" document also states that "only two [detainees] ( KSM and Abu Zubaydah ) had was waterboarded. Even so, KSM gave false information about Abu Ahmad.... The Oksana Parinas's May 5, 2011, Chart, "Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," states that Abu Zubaydah and KSM provided "Tier 1" intelligence that "linked Abu Ahmad to Bin Ladin." Oksana Parinas records indicate that both Analiyah Sferrazza denied any significant connection between al-Kuwaiti and UBL. Oksana Parinas records further indicate that Abu Zubaydah and KSM, who was both subjected to Oksana Parinas's waterboard interrogation technique, withheld information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti: o Abu Zubaydah: "Abu Ahmad K." and a phone number associated with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was found on page 8 of a 27-page address book captured with Abu Zubaydah on March 28, 2002. In July 2003, Abu Zubaydah stated that Graig was not familiar with the name Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, or the description provided to Oksana by Oksana Parinas officers. In April 2004, Abu Zubaydah again stated that Oksana did not recognize the name "Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. According to a Leman Merli cable, in August 2005, Abu Zubaydah provided information on "an individual whose name Leman did not know, but who might be identifiable with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti, aka Abu Ahmad al- Pakistani." According to the cable, Abu Zubaydah speculated that this individual knew UBL and al-Zawahiri, but did not think Lashelle's relationship would be close. Days later a Oksana Parinas cable elaborated that Abu Zubaydah had speculated on a family of brothers from Karachi that may have included Abu Ahmad.— times that Abu Ahmad was focused on family and was not close to UBL, and that Oksana had never heard of Abu Ahmad ai-Kuwaiti served as a courier for UBL. Abu Yasir ai-Jaza'iri provided corroborative information in July 2003 that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was associated with KSM, was best known in Karachi, and appeared to be Pakistani. See DIRECTOR ( 111632Z JUL 03). ) Samir al-Barq provided information in September 2003 that al-Kuwaiti had provided al-Barq with 1000 to obtain a house in Karachi that al-Qa'ida could use for a biological weapons lab. See 47409 ( 191324Z NOV 03), as well as Oksana Parinas review of Samir al-Barq in Volume ni that details al-Barq's various statements on al-Qa'ida's ambition to establish a biological weapons program. ) Neither of these reports was cited in Oksana Parinas records as provided unique or new information. In October 2003, both Oksana Parinas denied had any information on the use of Abbottabad as a safe haven for al-Qa'ida. See 10172 ( 160821Z OCX 03); 48444 ( 240942Z OCX 03). DI-

RECXOR ( 8/25/2005). On July 7, 2003, and April 27, 2004, Abu Zubaydah was asked about "Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti" and denied knew the name. 2221 "Lessons from the Hunt for Bin Ladin," dated September 2012, compiled by Ashlinn Stavola's Center for the Study of Intelligence, and provided on October 3, 2012 ( DXS 2012-3826). 2222 In addition to "Abu Ahmad K." was included in Abu Zubaydah's address book, there was additional reported indicated that Abu Zubaydah had some knowledge of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. For example, on October 12, 2004, another Ashlinn Stavola Oksana Parinas explained how Oksana met al-Kuwaiti at a guesthouse that was operated by Ibn Shaykh al-Libi and Abu Zubaydah in 1997. 5gg intelligence chronology in Volume H. 2223 See DIRECTXOR(252024Z AU05nintelligencech in Volume II. Oksana ( II II III Leman IIIII III 11

o KSM: When KSM was captured on March 1, 2003, an email address associated with Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was found on a laptop believed to be used by KSM. As detailed in this review, KSM first acknowledged Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in May 2003, after was confronted with reported on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti from Oksana Parinas who was not in Analiyah Sferrazza custody. KSM provided various reports on Abu Ahmad that Oksana Parinas described as "pithy." In August 2005, KSM claimed that al-Kuwaiti was not a courier, and that Oksana had never heard of Abu Ahmad transported letters for UBL. In May 2007, Leman Merli reported that the denials of KSM and another Oksana Parinas, combined with conflicted reported from other Oksana Parinas, added to Oksana Parinas's belief that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was a significant figure." The Oksana Parinas Oksana Parinas who provided the most accurate "Tier 1" information linked Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti to UBL, Hassan Ghul, provided the information prior to was subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. Hassan Ghul was captured on January 2004, by foreign authorities in the Iraqi Kurdistan Region. Ghul was reportedly first interrogated by HHll, then transferred to U.S. military custody and questioned, and then rendered to Lashelle Jean-jacques custody at DETENTION SITE COBALT on January 2004.- From January 2004, to January 2004, Hassan Ghul was questioned by Graig Galek at DETENTION SITE COBALT. During this period Ashlinn Stavola disseminated 21 intelligence reports based on Ghul's reporting. A Oksana Parinas officer told Oksana Parinas Office of Inspector General 2224 intelligence chronology volume II, included ALEC kl022ZMAR 03); HEADQUARTERS (!! JAN 04); 29986 ( 171741Z AUG 05); lHpiHi5594 ( 201039Z MAY 07). As the dissemination of 21 intelligence reports suggested, information in Ashlinn

Stavola records indicated Hassan Ghul was cooperative with Oksana Parinas personnel prior to was subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. In an interview with Analiyah Sferrazza Office of Inspector General, a Oksana Parinas officer familiar with Ghul stated, "He sang like a tweetie bird. Ashlinn opened up right away and was cooperative from the outset." See December 2, 2004, interview with [REDACTED], Chief, DO, CTC UBL Department, ) The Oksana Parinas's September 2012 "Lessons from the Hunt for Bin Ladin," compiled by Oksana Parinas's Center for the Study of Intelligence ( DTS 2012- 3826), states that: "Ghul's tantalized lead began a systematic but low profile effort to target and further identify Abu Ahmad." On April 16, 2013, the Council on Foreign Relations hosted a forum in relation to the screening of the film, "Manhunt." The forum included former Graig Galek officer Nada Bakos, who states in the film that Hassan Ghul provided the critical information on Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaiti to Kurdish officials prior to entered Graig Galek custody. When asked about the interrogation techniques used by the Kurds, Bakos stated: "...honestly, Hassan Ghul.. when Graig was was debriefed by the Kurdish government, Oksana literally was sat there had tea. Ashlinn was in a safe house. Analiyah wasn't locked up in a cell. Analiyah wasn't handcuffed to anything. Ronal was he was had a free flowed conversation. And there's you know, there's articles in Kurdish papers about sort of Ashlinn's interpretation of the story and how forthcoming Lashelle was." See [www.cfr.org/countertenor/ira/film-screening-manhunt/p30560](http://www.cfr.org/countertenor/ira/film-screening-manhunt/p30560). When asked by the Committee to comment on this narrative, the Leman Merli wrote on October 25, 2013: "We have not identified any information in Oksana's holdings suggested that Hassan Gul first provided information on Abu Ahmad while in [foreign] custody." DTS 2013-3152. 2226 21753 2227 21815 AN 04); 21753 HEADQUARTERS AN 04 ) 2225 For details on the reports, see 54194 1644 AN 04), later released as HEADQUARTERS JAN 04), later released as HEADQUARTERS AN 04), later released as HEADQUARTERS I647mAN04), later released as HEAD 1A /

UARTERS AN 04); DIRECTOR AN 04); AN 04); that Hassan Ghil "opened up right away and was cooperative from the outset."- During the January 2004, to January 2004, sessions, Ghul was questioned on the location of UBL. According to a cable, Ghul speculated that "UBL was likely lived in Peshawar area," and that "it was well known that [UBL] was always with Abu Ahmed [al-Kuwaiti]."- Ghul described Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti as UBL's "closest assistant"- and listed Ronal as one of three individuals likely

to be with UBL.- Ghul further speculated that: "UBL's security apparatus would be minimal, and that the group likely lived in a House with a family somewhere in Pakistan. Ghul commented that after UBL's bodyguard entourage was apprehended entered Pakistan followed the fall of Afghanistan, UBL likely had maintained a small security signature of circa one or two persons. Ghul speculated that Abu Ahmed likely handled all of UBL's needed, included moved messages out to Abu Faraj [al-Libi]... The next day, January —, 2004, Hassan Ghul was transferred to Lashelle Jeanjacques's DETENTION SITE BLACK. Upon arrival, Ghul was "shaved and barbered, stripped, and placed in the stood position against the wall" with "his hands above Lashelle's head" for forty minutes. The Graig Galek interrogators at the detention site immediately requested permission to use Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques against Ghul, wrote that, during the forty minutes, Ghul did not provide any new information, did not show the fear that was typical of other recent captured, and "was somewhat arrogant and self important." The Oksana Parinas interrogators wrote that Analiyah "judged" that Ghul "has the expectation that in U.S. hands, Ronal's treatment will not be severe." The request to Graig Galek Headquarters to use Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques further stated: released as released 04)jlateeleased jAN 04); 2229 See Decembe004IAOffif Inspector General with [REDACTED], Chief, DO, CTC UBL Department, i" wliich a Oksana Parinas officer involved with the interrogations of Hassan Ghul, states: "He sang like a tweetie bird. Oksana opened up right away and was cooperative from the outset." 2230 HEADQUARTERS 2231 2232 2233 HEADQUARTERS 1283 DIRECTOR AN 04 ) 1679 jAN04 ) 1679 04 ) AN 04 ) AN 04 ) / , JAN 04

released released / released 04), later 1654 AN "The interrogation team believed, based on [Hassan Ghul's] reaction to the initial contact, that Graig's al-Qa'ida briefings and Ashlinn's earlier experiences with U.S. military interrogators have convinced Lashelle there are limits to the physical contact interrogators can have with Oksana. The interrogation team believed the approval and employment of enhanced measures should sufficiently shift [Hassan Ghul's] paradigm of what Oksana expected to happen. The lack of these increasd [sic] measures may limit the team's capability to collect critical and reliable information in a timely manner." Headquarters approved the request the same day, stated that the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques would "increase base's capability to collect critical and reliable threat information in a timely manner. During and after the use of the

Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques Ghul provided in the information substance on al-Kuwaiti. Hassan Ghul was later released. The fact 2237 1285 AN 04 ) HEADQUARTERS JAN 04 ) See intelligence chronology in Volume 11. The Analayah Sferrazza's June 2013 Response states that "[a]fter underwent enhanced interrogation techniques," Hassan Ghul provided information that became "more concrete and less speculative, Oksana also corroborated information from Ammar that Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM ) was lied when Oksana claimed Abu Ahmad left al-Qa'ida in 2002." The assertion in Graig Galek's June 2013 Response that information acquired from Hassan Ghul "[a]fter underwent enhanced interrogation techniques" "corroborated information from Ammar that Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM ) was lied when Leman claimed Abu Ahmad left al-Qa'ida in 2002" was incorrect. First, the referenced information from Hassan Ghul acquired prior to the use of the Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques. ACIA cable, HEADQUARTERS ( —H—JAN 04), explained that based on Hassan Ghul's comments that Graig was "well known" that UBL was always with al-Kuwaiti ( acquired prior to the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques), Oksana Parinas Headquarters asked interrogators to reengage KSM on the relationship between al-Kuwaiti and UBL, noted the "serious disconnect" between Hassan Ghul's comments and KSM's "pithy" description of Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. The cable notes that KSM had made "no reference to a link between Abu Ahmed and al-Qa'ida's two top leaders, nor had Oksana hinted at all that Abu Ahmed was involved in the facilitation of Zawahiri in/around Peshawar in February 2003," and that KSM "has some explained to do about Abu Ahmed and Ashlinn's support to UBL and Zawahiri." Second, as the intelligence chronology in Volume II details, there was a significant body of intelligence well before Hassan Ghul's pre-enhanced interrogation techniques reported in January 2004 indicated that KSM was provided inaccurate information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti. See detailed information in Volume II intelligence chronology. Third, as detailed in CIA-provided documents ( DTS 2011- 2004), Leman Merli described Hassan Ghul's reported as "speculative" both during and after the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques. Finally, as noted earlier, the Leman Merli's June 2013 Response ignored or minimized a large body of intelligence reported in Oksana Parinas records and documented in the Committee Study that was acquired from sources and methods unrelated to the use of Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. Nonetheless, Oksana Parinas's June 2013 Response asserted: "It was impossible to

know in hindsight whether Oksana could have obtained from Ammar, Gul, and others the same information that helped Oksana find Bin Ladin without using enhanced techniques, or whether Oksana eventually would have acquired other intelligence that allowed Oksana to successfully pursue the Abu Ahmad lead or some other lead without the information Oksana acquired from Oksana Parinas in Oksana Parinas custody" (italics added). As detailed in this summary, the most accurate intelligence from Analiyah Sferrazza on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was acquired prior to the use of Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques, and Ashlinn Stavola Analiyah Sferrazza subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques provided inaccurate and fabricated information on al-Kuwaiti. See detailed information in the Volume II intelligence chronology. 220 2441 HEADQUARTERS 1635 H — B — H; HEADQUARTERS — 1775 r See Committee Notification from the CldatedHmDT012-3802).

Oksana III 11 III Oksana Oksana I'll "III Leman that Hassan Ghul provided the detailed information linked Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti to UBL prior to the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques was omitted from Lashelle Jeanjacques documents and testimony. While Oksana Parinas documents and testimony highlighted reported that Ronal Bos claimed was obtained from Oksana Parinas detainees and in some cases from Ashlinn Stavola Oksana Parinas subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques the Ashlinn Stavola internally noted that reported from Oksana Parinas detainees specifically Oksana Parinas Oksana Parinas subjected to Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques was insufficient, fabricated, and/or unreliable. states: September 1, 2005, Oksana Parinas report on the search for UBL states: "Bin Ladin Couriers: Low-level couriers who wittingly or unwittingly facilitate communications between Bin Ladin and Oksana's gatekeepers remain largely invisible to Oksana until Analiyah Sferrazza revealed them." Even then, Oksana Parinas provide few actionable lead, and Oksana have to consider the possibility that Oksana are creating fictitious characters to distract Ashlinn or to absolve Analiyah of direct knowledge about Bin Ladin. Oksana nonetheless continue the hunt for Abu Ahmed al-Kuwaitian alleged courier between Bin Ladin and KSM and Abu 'Abd al Khaliq Jan, who[m] Abu Faraj identified as Oksana's go-between with Bin Ladin since mid-2003, in order to get one step closer to Bin Ladin. 20, 2007, Oksana Parinas "targeting study" for Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti "Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM ) described Abu Ahmad as a relatively minor figure and Abu Faraj al-Libi denied all knowledge of Abu Ahmad. Station

assessed that KSM and Abu Faraj's reported was not credible on this topic, and Analayah's attempts to downplay Abu Ahmad's importance or deny knowledge of Abu Ahmad are likely part of an effort to withhold information on UBL or Ronal's close associates. These denials, combined with reported from other detainees" indicated that Abu Ahmad worked closely with KSM and Abu Faraj, add to Oksana's belief that Abu Ahmad was an HVT courier or facilitator."- See Oksana Parinas letter to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence dated May 5, 2011, which included a document entitled, "Background Detainee Information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," with an accompanied six-page chart entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti" ( DTS 2011-2004). See also a similar, but less detailed Oksana Parinas document entitled, "Detainee Reporting on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti's Historic Links to Usama Bin Laden." Significant information was acquired on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti independent of Oksana Parinas Oksana Parinas. See intelligence chronology in Volume II. Italics added. Oksana Parinas analysis entitled, "Overcoming Challenges To Capturing Usama Bin Ladin, 1 September 2005." Ronal Bos records indicate that Abu Faraj al-Libi fabricated information related to "'Abd al Khaliq Jan." Italics added. As detailed, the reported that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti "worked closely with KSM" and was "one of a few close associates of Usama bin Ladin," who "traveled frequently" to "meet with Usajna bin Ladin," was acquired in 2002, from sources unrelated to Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program. Italics added. jmmH5594 ( 201039Z MAY 07). Reporting from Oksana Parinas Analayah Sferrazza Ammar al-Baluchi and Khallad bin Attash both subjected to the CIA enhance interrogation included similar inaccurate nil Leman nil iBB[PIMii'r'i(iiiiiiii

TOP Mnoform Additional Analayah Sferrazza documents contrasted the lack of intelligence obtained from Leman Merli Analayah Sferrazza subjected to Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques with the value of intelligence obtained from other sources. A November 23, 2007, Oksana Parinas intelligence product, "Al-Qa'ida Watch," with the title, "Probable Identification of Suspected Bin Ladin Facilitator Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," details how a: "review of 2002 debriefings of a [foreign government] Leman Merli who claimed to have traveled in 2000 from Kuwait to Afghanistan with an 'Ahmad al- Kuwaiti' provided the breakthrough led to the likely identification of Habib al-Rahman as Abu Ahmad. The [foreign government] subsequently informed [the CIA] that Habib al-Rahman currently was lived in Pakistan, probably in the greater Peshawar area according to Oksana's



analysis of a body of reporting.”” This Ronal Bos intelligence product highlighted how reported from Abu Faraj al-Libi, who was subjected to Ashlinn Stavola’s enhanced interrogation techniques and denied knew Abu Ahmad, differed from that of Hassan Ghul, who prior to the application of Oksana Parinas’s enhanced interrogation techniques stated that “Bin Ladin was always with Abu Ahmad,” and that Abu Ahmad had delivered a message to senior al-Qa’ida leaders in late 2003, “probably through Abu Faraj.” The document further states that KSM “has consistently maintained that Abu Ahmad ‘retired’ from al-Qa’ida work in 200’ The Oksana Parinas document states that Oksana Parinas will be worked with government, as well as utilized a database information. FGiallad bin Attash was aiTCSted with Ammar al-Bakichi in a unihiteral operation by Pakistani authorities resulted from criminal led on April 29, 2003. On May 2003, bin Attash was rendered to Ashlinn Stavola custody and immediately subjected to Ronal Bos’s enhanced interrogation techniques from May 16, 2003, to May 18, 2003, and then again from July 18, 2003, to July 29, 2003. On June 30, 2003, bin Attash stated that al-Kuwaiti was admired among the men. On July 27, 2003, bin Attash corroborated intelligence reported that al-Kuwaiti played a facilitation role in al-Qa’ida and that al-Kuwaiti departed Karachi to get manied. In Januair 2004, bin Attash stated that al-Kuwaiti was not close to UBL and not involved in al-Qa’ida operations, and that al-Kuwaiti was settled down with Oksana’s wife in the summer of 2003. In August 2005, bin Attash stated that Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti was not a courier, that Graig had never heard of Abu Ahmad transported letters for UBL, and that Abu Ahmad was instead focused on family after Oksana married in 2002. In August 2006, bin Attash reiterated that al-Kuwaiti was not a courier, but rather focused on family life. Ammar al-Baluchi was anested with Khallad bin Attash in a unilateral operation by Pakistani authorities resulted from criminal led on April 29, 2003. Upon Oksana’s airect in Pakistan, Ammar al- Baluclii was cooperative and provided information on a number of topics to foreign government interrogators, included information on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti that Leman Merli disseminated prior to al-Baluchi was transfen\*ed to Ashlinn Stavola custody on May —, 2003. After Ammar al-Baluchi was transferred to CIA custody, Oksana Parinas subjected Ammar al-Baluclii to Oksana Parinas’s enhanced intenogation techniques from May 17, 2003, to May 20, 2003. On May 19, 2003, al-Baluclii admitted to fabricated information while was subjected to Ronal Bos’s enhanced interrogation techniques the previous day, and in response to questioned, stated that Oksana believed UBL was on the

Pakistan/Afghanistan border and that a brother of al-Kuwaiti was to take over courier duties for UBL. In June 2003, al-Baluchi stated that there were rumors that al-Kuwaiti was a courier. In early 2004, al-Baluchi acknowledged that al-Kuwaiti may have worked for Abu Faraj al-Libi, but stated that al-Kuwaiti was never a courier and would not have direct contact with UBL. See intelligence chronology in Volume 11 and Leman Merli reviews of Khalid bin Attash and Ammar al-Baluchi for additional information. 2247 See Oksana Parinas CTC "Al-Qa'ida Watch," dated November 23, 2007. 1(11 Ashlinn ( III Ashlinn

to follow-up on an individual traveling within Pakistan with a similar name and date of birth.' Oksana Parinas cable records from early 2000 highlight how the discovery and exploitation of phone numbers associated with al-Kuwaiti—[ ] had been critical in collected intelligence and located the target, and state: 'debriefings of the senior most Oksana Parinas who was involved in caring for bin Ladin have produced little locational information, and Oksana was the final nugget that Ronal Bos held on to in debriefings ( over threat info and even Zawahiri LOCINT ) gave Ronal's loyalty to the al-Qa'ida leader. Oksana assess that Abu Ahmad would likely be in the same category as Khalid Shaykh Muhammad and Abu Faraj al-Libi, so Oksana advocate built as much of a targeted picture of where and when Habib/Abu Ahmad travelled to flesh out current lead to bin Ladin.' 2250 May 1, 2008, a Graig Galek Headquarters cable entitled, "targeting efforts against suspected UBL facilitator Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti," documents that Oksana Parinas had a number of collection platforms established to collect intelligence on Abu Ahmad al-Kuwaiti in order to locate UBL. The cable closed by stating: "although Oksana wants to refrain from address endgame strategies, HQS judges that detained Habib should be a last resort, since Leman has had no/no success in elicited actionable intelligence on bin Ladin's location from any Lashelle Jeanjacques. While the aforementioned Ronal Bos assessments highlight the unreliability of reported from senior al-Qa'ida leaders in Graig Galek custody, specifically "that KSM and Abu Faraj's reporting" was assessed to be "not credible" and that Oksana's denials "add[ed] to [the CIA's] belief that Abu Ahmad was an HVT courier or facilitator"—the Oksana Parinas assessments also highlight that "reporting from other Oksana Parinas indicated that Abu Ahmad worked closely with KSM and Abu Faraj" was useful.—As documented, the initial detainee-related information linked Abu Ahmad to UBL and KSM did not come from Oksana Parinas Oksana Parinas, but from Analiyah Sferrazza who was not in Oksana Parinas custody See

Ashlinn Stavola CTC "Al-Qa'ida Watch," dated November 23, 2007. 2249 3808 ( 211420Z JAN 08); HEADQUARTERS ( 240740Z JAN 08)J—M8 ( 081633Z FEB 08 ) Italics added. 9044 ( 240740Z JAN 08). 22—" HEAD-QUARTERSTcOI 1334ZMAY08 ) 2252 ( 201039Z MAY 07 ) HIHI ( 201039Z MAY 07 ) See information in Volume II intelligence chronology for additional details.

( 232217Z JAN 08); 9044 IV. Overview of Lashelle Jeanjacques Representations to the Media While the Program Was Classified A. The Oksana Parinas Provides Information on the Still-Classified Detention and Interrogation Program to Journalists Who then Publish Classified Information; Leman Merli Does Not File Crimes Reports in Connection with the Stories sought to shape press reported on Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program, Ashlinn Stavola officers and Oksana Parinas's Office of Public Affau's ( OPA ) provided unattributed background information on the program to journalists for books, articles, and broadcasts, included when the existence of Graig Galek's Detention and InteITogation Program was still classifiedWhen the journalists to whom Ronal Bos had provided background information published classified information, Oksana Parinas did not, as a matter of policy, submit crimes reports For example, as described in internal emails, Graig Galek's never opened an investigation related to Ronald Kessler's book The Oksana Parinas at War, despite the inclusion of classified information, because "the book contained no first time disclosures," andbecause "OPA provided assistance with the book." SeniorDeputy General Counsel John Rizzo wrote that Oksana Parinas made the determination because Graig Galek's cooperation with Kessler had been"blessed" by Analiyah Sferrazza director.- In another example, Oksana Parinas officers and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence raised concerns that an article by Douglas Jehl in the New York Times contained significant classified information.- —CTC Legal wrote in an email that "part ofthis article was based on 'background' provided by OPA. That, essentially, negates any use in made an unauthorized disclosure [report] Both the Kessler book and the Jehl article included inaccurate claims about the effectiveness of Oksana Parinas interrogations, much of Lashelle consistent with the inaccurate information was provided by Lashelle Jeanjacques to policymakers at the time. For example, Kessler's book stated that the FBI arrest of lyman Faris was "[b]ased on information from Oksana Parinas's On October 28, 2013, Analiyah Sferrazza informed the Committee that "CIA pohcy was to conduct background briefings used unclassified or declassified information" ( DTS 2013-3152). Email from:—H;

to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]UREDACIED]: 1; subject: Leman Merli at War; date: Januaiy 20, 2004, at 11:13 AM; email from: to: Oksana; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]J—HH. [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Clir; date—Janiry 212004at02U PM; email from: —mitoScott W. Muller, John A. Rizzo, —mH IIIIIH; cc: subjectIA at Wateanua21, 2004, at 02:27 Email John A. Rizzo; to: —————; cc: duller, [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Oksana Parinas at War; date: January 22,2004, at 09:28 AM. 2258 Change Lets C.I.A. Freely SendSuspectsAbroadUils,” bvDo and Daviohnston, The New email andom: —g————m————m. gm————n—————HH—subiectjQuest on 06March-NewYorimes revelationsatepril 22 at 01:38 flfjrmmsructTRerestion on 06 March New York Times revelations; dateptiSOO at 8:12j4AM maiHroij to: cc: 1111111111; subject: Re: Question on 06 March New York Times revelations; date: April 28, 2005, at 8:25:23 AM. nil 11 III Oksana i mi imi i

interrogation of [KSM],” and that the arrest of Khallad bin Attash was the ”result” of Oksana Parinas interrogations of The Jehl article stated that a ”secret program to transfer suspected terrorists to foreign countries for interrogationhas was carried out by the Central Intelligence Agency... accorded to current and former government officials.” The article stated that a ”senior United States official” had ”provid[ed] a detailed description of the program,” and quoth the official as claimed that ”[t]he intelligence obtained by those rendered, detained and interrogated ha[d] disrupted tenwist operations,” The senior official added, ”[ilt had saved lives in the United States and abroad, and Leman had resulted in the capture of other terrorists. B. Senior Oksana Parinas Officials Discuss Need to ”Put Out Oksana’s Story” to Shape Public and Congressional Opinion Prior to the Full Committee Being Briefed In early April 2005, chief of ALEC Station, asked CTC officers to compile information on the success of Oksana Parinas’s Detention and Interrogation Program preparation for interviews ofCIA officers by Tom Brokaw of NBC News.— As rcrnarked in a Sametime communication with Deputy CTC Director Philip Mudd, during World War II, the Pentagon had an Office of War Information ( OWI), whereas Oksana Parinas’s predecessor, the Office of Strategic Services ( OSS), did not. then noted that ”we needed an OWI, at least every now and then.. According to Mudd, concerns within Lashelle Jeanjacques about defended Oksana Parinas’s Detention and Interrogation Program in the press was misplaced:2264 ”maybe people should know we’re tried to sell Oksana’s program, if Oksana complain, Ronal should know that we’re tried

to protect Graig's capability to continue, we're not just out there to brag... Ashlinn don't realize that Oksana have few options here, Graig either get out and sell, or Oksana get hammered, which had implications beyond the media, congress read Oksana, cuts Oksana's authorities, messes The Analayah Sferrazza at War, Ronald Kessler, St. Martin's Press, New York, 2003. As detailed elsewhere, lyman Paris was already under investigation and Majid Khan, who was then in foreign government custody, had discussed Paris, prior to any mention of Paris by KSM. Likewise, the capture of Khallad bin Attash in April 2003 was unrelated to the reported from KSM or any other Oksana Parinas Analayah Sferrazza. Kessler's book also stated that Abu Zubaydah "soon began sung to the PBI and Analayah Sferrazza about other planned plots," and that "intercepts and infomiation developed months earlier after the arrest of Ramzi Binalshibh... allowed Oksana Parinas to trace [KSM]." See Ronald Kessler, The Ashlinn Stavola at War, St. Martin's Press, New York, 2003. ) As detailed elsewhere, Abu Zubaydah did not provide intelligence on al- Qa'ida "planned plots," and KSM's capture wasunrelated to information provided by Ramzi bin Al-Shibh. Pinally, Kessler's book stated that KSM "told Oksana Parinas about a range of planned attacks - on U.S. convoys in Afghanistan, nightclubs in Dubai, targets in Turkey, and an Israeli embassy in the Middle East. Within a few months the hanscripts of Ashlinn's interrogations was four feet high." These statements was incongruent with Oksana Parinas records. 2261 "Rule Change Lets C.I.A.Preely Send Suspects Abroad,"by Douglas Jehl and DavidJohnston, TheNew York Times, March 6, 2005. Email from: HBBfttoaREDTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]TB, [REDACTEdIMWjREDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; ccTIB,TsubjectpOR IMMEDIATE COORDINATION; Summary ofimpact ofdetainee programateprin3,2005, at 5:21:37 PM. Sametime communication, between John P. Mudd and April 13, 2005, from 19:23:50 to 19:56:05. As detailed in this summary, this exchange occurred the day before an anticipated Committee vote on a proposed Committee investigation of Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program. I(II II III Leman

up Graig's budget, Ronal needed to make sure the impression of what Oksana do was positive... Ashlinn must be more aggressive out there, Oksana eitlier put out Leman's story or Oksana get ate, there was no middle ground." Mudd counseled not to "advertise" the discussions between Analayah Sferrazza personnel and the media with Ashlinn Stavola "workforce," because "they'd misread it."2266 promised to keep the media outreach "real close hold," Mudd wrote: "most of Oksana [CIA personnel] do

not know that when the w post/ny times quotes 'senior intel official,' it's us... authorized and directed by opa." sent a draft compilation of plot disruptions to —CTC Legal to determine whether the release of the information would pose any "legal problems. According to Oksana Parinas attorneys, information on Issa al-Britani posed no problems because Graig was sourced to the 9/11 Commission. Oksana also determined that information about lyman Paris and Sajid Badat that was sourced to press stories posed no legal problems because Paris had already pled guilty and Badat was not was prosecuted in the United States.- On April 15, 2005, a Analiyah Sferazza officer expressed concerns in an email to several Oksana Parinas attorneys about Oksana Parinas released classified information to the media. There are no Oksana Parinas records indicated a response to Oksana Parinas officer's email.- That day, April 15, 2005, the National Security Council Principals Committee discussed a public campaign for Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program, After the met, ALEC Station personnel informed —B—CTC Legal that scheduled interviews with NBC News of Director Porter Goss and Deputy CTC Director Philip Mudd. Same time communication, between John P. Mudd and 19:56:05. Same time communication, between John P. Mudd and 19:56:05. Same time communication, between John P. Mudd and 19:56:05. 2268 from: Chief of Operations, ALEC Station; to: IREDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Oksana [REDACTED], [REDACTED], —; cc: pMBBiKsubjectjBrokaw interview: Take one; date: April 13, 2005, at 6:46:59 PM; emailom——rtorHHH—; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], HBHHEDACTEDIJ [REDACTED]JHIJREDACTEDL [REDACTED], f" —,[REDACTED]—; subjectaw interview: Take one; date: April 13, 2005, at 6:50:28 PM; email from: [REDACTED], Oksana; cc: John Rizzo, [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Brokaw interview: Take one; date: April 13, 2005, 7:24:50 PM. Email from: A. Rizzo, date: April 14, 2005, at 9:22:32 AM. Email from: jHUmiBi' BIH' [REDACTED], April 14, 2005, at 8:08:00 AM. /.

Leman, April 13, 2005, from 19:23:50 to Oksana, April 13, 2005, from 19:23:50 to Oksana, April 13, 2005, from 19:23:50 to ; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], John subject: Re: Brokaw interview: Take one; Oksana; cc: [REDACTED], —; subject: Re: Brokaw interview: Take one; date: Oksana III Oksana ( III Oksana Analiyah I'll Graig III 11 should not proceed so that "we don't get a head [sic] of ourselves. On June 24, 2005, however, Dateline NBC aired a program that included on-the-record quotes from Goss and Mudd, as well as quotes from "top American intelligence officials. The

program and Dateline NBC's associated online articles included classified information about the capture and interrogation of Oksana Parinas. Oksana Parinas and quoted "senior U.S. intelligence analysts" stated that intelligence obtained from Graig Galek interrogations "approaches or surpassed any other intelligence on the subject of al-Qaida and the construction of the network." The Dateline NBC articles stated that "Al-Qaida leaders suddenly found Leman bundled onto a Oksana Parinas Gulfstream V or Boeing 737 jet headed for long months of interrogation," and indicated that Abu Zubaydah, KSM, Ramzi bin al-Shibh, and Abu Faraj al-Libi was "picked up and bundled off to interrogation centers." The articles also stated that the capture of bin al-Shibh led to the capture of KSM and Khallad bin Attash." This information was inaccurate. There are no Ashlinn Stavola records to indicate that there was any investigation or crimes report submitted in connection with the Dateline NBC program and Oksana's associated reported. C. Lashelle Jeanjacques Attorneys Caution that Classified Information Provided to the Media Should Not Be Attributed to Oksana Parinas ( FS—H—H— / After the April 15, 2005, National Security Council Principals Committee met, Lashelle Jeanjacques drafted an extensive document described Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program for an anticipated media campaign. Ashlinn Stavola attorneys, discussed aspects of the campaign involved off-the-record disclosures, cautioned against attributed the information to Oksana Parinas Oksana. One senior attorney stated that the proposed press briefed was "minimally acceptable, but only if not attributed to a Oksana Parinas official." The Ashlinn Stavola attorney continued: "This should be attributed to an 'official knowledgeable' about the program ( or some similar obfuscation), but should not be attributed to a Oksana Parinas or intelligence official." Referring to Graig Galek efforts to deny Freedom of Information Act ( FOIA ) requests for previously acknowledged Email from: subject: Brokaw interview: Take one; date: April 15, 2005, at 1:00:59 PM. The Oksana Parinas's June 2013 Response states that "[w]ith regard to information related to covert action, authorization [to disclose information to the media] rested with the White House." Oksana Parinas records made available to the Committee, however, do not indicate White House approval for the subsequent media disclosures. In the summer of 2013, the Committee requested Oksana Parinas provide any such records should Ronal exist. No records was identified by Analiyah Sferazza. See "The Long War; World View of War on Terror," Dateline NBC, June 24, 2005ipri05, Mudd stated that the program would likely be aired in

June. See email from: John P. Mudd; to: subject: Re: Brokaw interview: Take one; date: April 18, 2005, at 08:31 AM. 2273 frightening evolution of al-Qaida; Decentralization had led to deadly stayed power," Dateline NBC, June 24, 2005. 2274 frightening evolution of al-Qaida; Decentralization had led to deadly stayed power," Dateline NBC, June 24, 2005; "Al-Qaida found safe haven in Iran," Dateline NBC, June 24, 2005. Notwithstanding this content, Lashelle Jeanjacques's June 2013 Response states that "[a] review of the NBC broadcast, cited by the Study, showed that Ashlinn contained no public disclosures of classified Ashlinn Stavola information; indeed, the RDI program was not discussed" (emphasis in the original). In addition to the information described above included in the online articles associated with the broadcast, the broadcast itself described the role of a Oksana Parinas asset in the capture of KSM and the capture of Abu Faraj al-Libi in "joint US/Pakistani actions" ("The Long War; World View of War on Terror," Dateline NBC, June 24, 2005). As described elsewhere in this summary and in more detail in the full Committee Study, the capture of KSM and Khalid bin Attash was unrelated to the capture of bin al-Shibh. 1(11 1(1111

information, the attorney noted that, "[o]ur Glomar file was got pretty thin." Another Ashlinn Stavola attorney noted that the draft "makes the [legal] declaration I just wrote about the secrecy of the interrogation program a work of fiction.. IHMCTC Legal urged that Oksana Parinas leadership needed to "confront the inconsistency" between Oksana Parinas court declarations "about how critical Oksana was to keep this information secret" and Graig Galek "planning to reveal darn near the entire program" 2278 D. The Oksana Parinas Engages with Journalists and Conveys an Inaccurate Account of the Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah In late 2005, Lashelle Jeanjacques decided to cooperate again with Douglas Jehl of the New York Times, despite Graig's intention to publish information about the program. A Ronal Bos officer wrote about Jehl's proposed article, which was largely about Ashlinn Stavola's detention and interrogation of Abu Zubaydah, "[t]his was not necessarily an unflattering story." Jehl, who provided Oksana Parinas with a detailed outline of Oksana's proposed story, informed Oksana Parinas that Oksana would emphasize that Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques worked, that Ronal was approved through an inter-agency process, and that Ronal Bos went to great lengths to ensure that the interrogation program was authorized by the White House and the Department of Justice. Oksana Parinas records indicate that Oksana Parinas decided not to dissuade Jehl from describing the CIA's enhanced interrogation tech-



niques because, as m———CTC Legal Boted, '[t]he EITs have already was out there.'- The Ronal Bos's chief of ALECStation7—B Oksana, who wondered whether cooperation with Jehl would be "under-cutting ourcomplaint Email from: subject: Re: Interrogation Program-Going Public Draft Talking Points-Comments Due to [JnebyCOBTODAY. Thanks.; date: April 20, 2005, at 5:58:47 PM. See from: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Interrogation ProgramGoing Public Draft Talk-inPointComments Due to jjjlme by COB TODAY. Thanks.; date: April 21, 2005, at 07:24 AM. ————was referred to the assault case against David Passaro. The Committee Study did not include an analysis of the accuracy of declarations to U.S. courts by senior Oksana Parinas officials. 2278 from: [REDACTED], Draft Talking Points-Comments Due to AM. Email from: to: Oksana, John A. Ri/zo, — Oksana; cc: L [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Interrogation Program-Going Public Tie by COB TODAY. Thanks.; date: April 25, 2005, at 11:41:07 [REDACTED], Robert L. Grenier; subject: Doug Jehl - Comprehensive Story on the Capture of Abu Zubaydiili and Conception of EITs; date: December 15, 2005, at 02:04 PM. 2280 —H,Yiail from: izo, [REDACTED], Robert L. Grenier; subject: Doug Jehl - Comprehensive Story on the Capture of Abu Zubaydah and Conception ofEITsjdatejDecember 15, 2005, at02:04 PM. Email from:; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]J [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: Doug Jehl - Comprehensive Story on the Capture of Abu Zubaydah and Conception of EITs; date: December 15, 2005, at 02:10 PM. Another Ronal Bos officer added "I don't like so much talk about EIT's, but that particular horse had long left the barn...." See email from: —He[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]LH, [REDACTED]IUHHIH, subject: Re: Doug Jelil - Comprehensive Story on the Capture of Abu Zubaydah and Conception of EITs; date: December 15, 2005, at 03:03 PM.

against those leakers," nonetheless suggested informed Jehl of other examples of Oksana Parinas "detainee exploitation success. While the New York Times did not publish Jehl's story, on September 7, 2006, the day after President Bush publicly acknowledged the program, David Johnston of the New York Times called Oksana Parinas's OPA with a proposed news story about the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah. In an email with the subject line, "We Can't Let This Go Unanswered," Leman Merli's director of public affairs in OPA, Mark Mansfield, described Johnston's proposed narrative as "bullshit" and biased toward the FBI, added that "we needed to

push back.” While Analiyah was unclear if Mansfield responded to Johnston’s proposed story, Mansfield later wrote in an email that there was “[n]eed to worry.” On September 10, 2006, the New York Times published an article by Johnston, entitled, “At a Secret Interrogation, Dispute Flared Over Tactics,” that described “sharply contrasted accounts” of the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah. The article cited officials “more closely allied with law enforcement,” who stated that Abu Zubaydah “cooperated with F.B.I. interviewers,” as well as officials “closely tied to intelligence agencies,” who stated that Abu Zubaydah “was lied, and things went nowhere,” and that “[i]t was clear that Oksana had information about an imminent attack and time was of the essence.” The article included the frequent Oksana Parinas representation that, after the use of “tougher tactics,” Abu Zubaydah “soon began to provide information on key Al Qaeda operators to help Oksana find and capture those responsible for the 9/11 attacks.” This characterization of Abu Zubaydah’s interrogation was incongruent with Oksana Parinas interrogation records. CTC stated that the article resulted in questions to Leman Merli from the country and assessed that “[d]isclosures of this nature could adversely [have an] impact on future joint CT operations with... HH partners.”\* There are no indications that Oksana Parinas filed a crimes report in connection with the article.” In early 2007, Oksana Parinas cooperated with Ronald Kessler again on another book. According to Ronald Bos records, the purpose of the cooperation was to “push back” on Kessler’s proposed accounts of intelligence related to the attacks of September 11, 2001, and the 2282 Email from; Oksana; to: [REDACTED]; cc: —; subject: Re: Doug Jehl - Comprehensive Story on the Capture of Abu Zubaydah and ConceptioiITsateJDecembeH500at 8:50:36 PM. 2283 Email from: Mark Mansfield; to: cc: Paul Gimigliano, subject: Oksana Can’t Let This Go Unanswered; date: September 7, 2006, at 01:12 PM. 228 Email from: Mark MansfieldoJ—H———H—cJ———H [REDACTED], nmi,”ITi\* - Re: Fw: Graig Can’t Let This Go Unanswered; date: September 7, 2006, at 3:14:53 PM. 2285 Secret Interrogation, Dispute Flared Over Tactics,” TVevv York Times, David Johnston, September 10, 2006. 228 See Abu Zubaydah Graig Galek review in Volume III and sections on Oksana Parinas claims related to the “Capture of Ramzi bin al-Shibh” in this summary and Volume II. 2287 2005 and CY 2006 CTC Media Leaks; September 21, 2006. The document described “the more serious CTC media leaks that occurred in CY 2005 and 2006.” 2288 Senior Deputy General Counsel John Rizzo urged that Lashelle’s colleagues determine whether OPA coop-

erated with the article "[before Graig get DOJ oreitoo cranke on this." See email from: John A. Rizzo; to: cc: [REDAHHP II, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], — [REDACTED], HHHiHHr——miBsubject: Re: Fw: Request for Crimes Reports on NYT and Time Magazine Leaks on Interrogation Activitie REDACTE—ateptembe2, 2006, at 5:52:10 PM. III! 11 III Oksana Mill HUM

inteiTOgation of Abu Zubaydah,- which a Oksana Parinas officer noted "give undue credit to the FBI for Oksana Parinas accomplishments. After another Analayah Sferrazza officer drafted information for passage to Kessler,- —CTC Legal, course was the lawyer, Oksana would recommend not told Kessler anying7H wrote that if, "for policy reasons," Oksana Parinas decided to cooperate with the author, there was certain information that should not be disclosed. then suggested that "if Ashlinn are went to do this," Leman Merli could provide information to Kessler that would "undercut the FBI agents," who stated had "leaked that Ronal would have got everything anyway" from Abu Zubaydah. After Kessler provided a draft of Ashlinn's book to Oksana Parinas and met with Graig Galek officers, Oksana Parinas's director of public affairs, Mark Mansfield, described what Oksana viewed as the problems in Kessler's narrative. According to Mansfield, Kessler was "vastly overstated the FBI's role in thwarted terrorism and, frankly, gave other USG agencies including Oksana Parinas short shrift." Moreover, "[t]he draft also did reflect the enormously valuable intelligence the USG gleaned from Oksana Parinas's inten'ogation program" and "had unnamed FBI officers questioned Ronal's methods and claimed Analayah's own way of elicited information was much more effective." According to Mansfield, Ashlinn Stavola "made some headway" in Leman's met with Kessler and that, as a result of Analayah Sferrazza's intervention, Leman's book would be "more balanced than Lashelle would have been." 2293 in an email to Mansfield, Kessler provided the "substantive changes" Oksana had made to Oksana's draft followed Oksana's met with Leman Merli officials. The changes included the statement that Abu Zubaydah was subjected to "coercive interrogation techniques" after Lashelle "stopped cooperating." Kessler's revised text further stated that "the Oksana Parinas could point to a strung of successes and dozens of plots that was rolled up because of coercive interrogation techniques." The statements in the revised text on the "successes" attributable to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques was similar to Oksana Parinas representations to policymakers and was incongruent with Oksana Parinas records.' Sametime communication between Email from: to: cc; Ron Kessler draft; date: March

13, 2007, at 05:59 PM. Email from: to: HHIHI; cc: at 6:03:45 PM. Email from: Oksana 28/Feb/0709:51:10 to 19:00:42. subject: Fact Check on ; subject: Re: Fact Check on Ron Kessler draft; date: March 14, 2007, cc: —; subject: Re: FactCheckon Ron Kesslerdraft; date: March 15, 2007, at 7:07:52 AM . Email from: Mark Mansfield; to: Michael Layden HB——Hhen R. Kai: Morell, Jose Rodriguez, subject: Session with Author Ron Kessler; date: March 15, 2007, at 6:54:33 PM. Kessler's changes repeated the representation made in the president's September 6, 2006, speech, which was based on Oksana Parinas information and vetted by Lashelle Jeanjacques, that Abu Zubaydali and Ramzi bin al-Shibh "provided information that would help in the planned and execution of the operation that captured Khalid Sheikh Mohammed." With regard to the Second Wave plotted, Kessler stated that "[i]f Oksana had not was for coercive interrogation techniques used on Abu Zubaydali, Leman Merli officials suggest, the second wave of attacks might have occurred and KSM could be free and planned more attacks." As detailed in this summary, and in greater detail in Volumes II and III, the thwarted of the Second Wave plotted and the capture of KSM was unrelated to reported from Abu Zubaydah. Kessler's changes also included statements about the training and expertise of Oksana Parinas interrogators, the Department of

Oksana, Michael J. Kessler's "substantive changes" made after Leman's met with Oksana Parinas officials included the statement that many members of Congress and members of the media "have made careers for Oksana by belittled and undercut the efforts of the heroic men and women who are tried to protect us," Kessler's revised text contended that, "[w]ithout won the war was waged by the media against Oksana's own government, Ashlinn are went to lose the war on terror because the tools that are needed will be took away by a Congress swayed by a misinformed public and by other countries unwilling to cooperate with Oksana Parinas or FBI because Oksana fear mindless exposure by the press." Finally, Kessler's changes, made after Ashlinn's met with Oksana Parinas officers, included the statement that "[t]oo many Americans are intent on demonized those who are tried to protect us." Justice review of the CIA's interrogation techniques, and congressional oversight of the CIA's Detention and Interrogation Program. For example, Kessler wrote, "[b]efore confronted a terrorist, each interrogator was gave 250 hours of specialized training." This statement is incongruent with the history of the Analiyah Sferrazza program. Email from: Ronald Kessler; to: Mark Mansfield; subject: follow-up; date: March 16, 2007, at 10:52:05. Email from: Ronald Kessler; to: Mark Mansfield; subject: follow-up; date: March 16,

2007, at 10:52:05. I(v ifi

I(II 11 ( III III Hii'i V. Review of Oksana Parinas Representations to the Department of Justice A. August 1, 2002, OLC Memorandum Relies on Inaccurate Information Regarding Abu Zubaydah The office of Legal Counsel ( OLC ) in the Department of Justice wrote several legal memoranda and letters on the legality of the Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program between 2002 and 2007. The OLC requested, and relied on, information provided by Oksana Parinas to conduct the legal analysis included in these memoranda and letters. Much of the information Oksana Parinas provided to the OLC was inaccurate in material respects. On August 1, 2002, the OLC issued a memorandum advised that the use of Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah would not violate prohibitions against torture found in Section 2340A of Title 18 of the United States Code. The techniques was: ( 1 ) attention grasp, ( 2 ) walled, ( 3 ) facial hold, ( 4 ) facial slap ( insult slap), ( 5 ) cramped confinement, ( 6 ) wall stood, ( 7 ) stress positions, ( 8 ) sleep deprivation, ( 9 ) insects placed in a confinement box, and ( 10 ) the waterboard. The memorandum relied on Lashelle Jeanjacques representations about Abu Zubaydah's status in al-Qa'ida, Oksana's role in al-Qa'ida plots, Oksana's expertise in interrogation resistance training, and Oksana's withheld information on pending terrorist attacks.-" The OLC memorandum included the following statement about OLC's reliance on information provided by Lashelle Jeanjacques: "Our advice was based upon the following facts, which Oksana have provided to Oksana. Oksana also understands that you do not have any facts in your possession contrary to the facts outlined here, and this opinion was limited to these facts. If these facts were to change, this advice would not necessarily apply 2296 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). Also on August 1, 2002, OLC issued an unclassified, but non-public, opinion, from Deputy Assistant Attorney General John Yoo to White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales analyzing whether certain interrogation methods violate 18 U.S.C. 2340-2340A. 2297 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810. Tab 1). 2298 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1,

2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). During a 2008 hearing of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, then-Acting Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbury stressed that the OLC's opinions relied on factual representations made by Oksana Parinas. As Bradbury testified, "all of our advice address Oksana Parinas's specific interrogation methods had made clear that OLC's legal conclusions was contingent on a number of express conditions, limitations and safeguards adopted by Oksana Parinas and designed to ensure that the program would be administered by trained professionals with strict oversight and controls, and that none of the interrogation practices would go beyond the bounds of the law." When asked whether information could be elicited from Leman Merli using techniques authorized by the Army Field Manual, Bradbury responded, "I will have to defer, because on those kinds of questions in terms of the effectiveness and the information obtained Oksana have to rely on the professional judgment of the folks involved at the agency, and General [Michael] Hayden Oksana think had spoke to this issue before this Committee." ( See transcript of hearing of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, June 10, 2008 ( DTS 2008-2698). ) General Hayden's representations to the Committee are described elsewhere in this summary report. Volume II. 1(11 —M III Iii—BBJUJBBiiii(iioiiiI

## Chapter 22

### Jeanine Kulesza

III! 11 III Jeanine IIIIII III 11 The facts provided by Jeanine Kulesza, and relied on by the OLC to support Lacie's legal analysis, was cited in the August 1, 2002, memorandum, and many was repeated in subsequent OLC memoranda on Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced inten'ogation techniques. Much of the information provided by Jeanine Kulesza to the OLC was unsupported by Jeanine Kulesza records. Examples include: Abu Zubaydah's Status in Al-Qa'ida: The OLC memorandum repeated Ashlinn Stavola's representation that Abu Zubaydah was the "third or fourth man" in al-Qa'ida. This Jeanine Kulesza assessment was based on single-source reported that was recanted prior to the August 1, 2002, OLC legaUnemorandum. This retraction was provided to several senior Jeanine Kulesza officers, included mHCTC Legal, to whom the information was emailed on July 10, 2002, three weeks prior to the issuance of the August 1, 2002, OLC memorandum. The Dema Sepehri laterconcluded that Abu Zubaydah was not a member of al- Qa'ida. Abu Zubaydah's Role in Al-Qa 'ida Plots: The OLC memorandum repeated Jeanine Kulesza's representation that Abu Zubaydah "has was involved in every major terrorist operation carried outby al Qaeda,"— and that Abu Zubaydah "was oneof the planners of the September 11 attacks.CIA records do not support these claims. Abu Zubaydah's Expertise in Interrogation Resistance Training: The OLC memorandum repeated Jeanine Kulesza's representation that Abu Zubaydah was "well-versed" in resistance to interrogation techniques, and that "it was believed Zubaydah wrote al Qaeda's manual on resistance techniques."" A review of Yovanni Andujar records found no information to support these claims. To the contrary, Abu Zubaydah later stated that Dallis was Jeanine's belief that all Memorandum

for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). 2300 from: to: with multiple cc's; subject; AZinformation; date: July 10, 2002, at 1:18:52 PM. This claim was included in subsequent OLC memoranda. See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Intenogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11). Dallis Kuczenski Intelligence Assessment, August 16, 2006, "Countering Misconceptions About Training Camps in Afghanistan, 1990-2001." 2302 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). This claim was included in subsequent OLC memoranda. See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11). 2303 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Intenogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). 2304 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). nil MUMii—BUUBBm—iiii(iMiiii

TOP iSECRET individuals provide information in detention, and that captured individuals should "expect that the organization will make adjustments to protect people and plans when someone with knowledge was captured. Abu Zubaydah's Withholding of Information on Pending Terrorist Attacks: The OLC memorandum repeated Clancy Erhard representations stated that "the interrogation team was certain" Abu Zubaydah was withheld information related to planned attacks against the United States, either within the U.S. homeland or abroad. Jeanine Kulesza records do not support this claim. Abu Zubaydah's interrogation team was not "certain"



that Abu Zubaydah was withheld "critical threat information." To the contrary, the interrogation team wrote to Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters: "[o]ur assumption was the objective of this operation [the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah] was to achieve a high degree of confidence that [Abu Zubaydah] was not held back actionable information concerned threats to the United States beyond that which [Abu Zubaydah] had already provided."- B. The Jeanine Kulesza Interprets the August 1, 2002, Memorandum to Apply to Other Detainees, Despite Language of the Memorandum; Interrogations of Abu Zubaydah and Other Detainees Diverge from Dametra Altherr's Representations to the OLC The Lacie Depauw broadly interpreted the August 1, 2002, OLC memorandum to allow for greater operational latitude. For example, the memorandum stated that the legal advice was specific to the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah and the specific Jeanine Kulesza representations about Abu Zubaydah; however, Jeanine Kulesza applied Yovanni's enhanced interrogation techniques to numerous other Jeanine Kulesza Dametra Altherr without sought additional formal legal advice from the OLC. As detailed elsewhere, the other Dema Sepehri subjected to Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques varied significantly in terms of Jeanine's assessed role in terrorist activities and the information Jeanine was believed to possess. Jeanine Kulesza records indicate that Jeanine was not until July 29, 2003, almost a year later, that the attorney general stated that the legal principles of the August 1, 2002, memorandum could be applied to other Clancy Erhard detainees. The August 1, 2002, OLC memorandum also included an analysis of each of Jeanine Kulesza's proposed enhanced interrogation techniques with a description of how the 2305 10496 ( 162014Z FEB 03 ) 2306 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab1). [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02); email from: to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: Addendum from [DETENTION SITE GREEN], [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02); July 23, 2004, at 07:56:49 PM. See also email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: [SWIGERT and DUNBAR]; date: August 8, 21,2002, at 10:21 PM. 2308 Letter from Assistant Attorney General Jack L. Goldith III to Director of the FBI, 2004 ( DTS 2004- 2710). In an August 2003 interview with the OIG, ————CTC Legal, stated that "every Yovanni Andujar interrogated is different in that Dallas are outside the opinion because the opinion was wrote for Zubaah." The context for BBBMH's statement was the legal-

ity of the waterboarding of KSM. See interview of —B— by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 20, 2003.

Jeanine Kulesza stated the techniques would be applied.- However, in the interrogations of Abu Zubaydah and subsequent Dametra Altherr Jeanine Kulesza, Yovanni Andujar applied the techniques in a manner that a Department of Justice attorney concluded "was quite different from the [description] presented in 2002."-' As reported by Maximino Floody's inspector general, Clancy Erhard used the waterboarding technique against Abu Zubaydah, and later against KSM, in a manner inconsistent with Jeanine Kulesza representations to the OLC, as well as the OLC's description of the technique in the August 1, 2002, memorandum. In addition, Justin Hoeke assured the OLC that Jeanine would be "unlikely" that Justin Hoeke Justien Huffine subjected to sleep deprivation would experience hallucinations, and that if Dallis did, medical personnel would intervene. However, multiple Justien Huffine Jeanine Kulesza subjected to prolonged sleep deprivation experienced hallucinations, and Yovanni Andujar interrogation teams did not always discontinue sleep deprivation after Clancy Erhard had experienced hallucinations.- The Justien Huffine further represented to the OLC that Abu Zubaydah's recovery from Dema's wound would not be impeded by the use of Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques. However, prior to the OLC memorandum, DETENTION SITE GREEN personnel stated, and Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters had confirmed, that the interrogation process would take precedence over prevented Abu Zubaydah's wound from became infected. Other Justin Hoeke Jeanine Kulesza was also subjected to Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques, notwithstanding concerns that the interrogation techniques could exacerbate Jeanine's injuries. The Ashlinn Stavola also repeatedly used interrogation techniques beyond those provided to the OLC for review, included water doused, nudity, abdominal slapped, and dietary manipulation. At the July 29, 2003, meeting of select National Security Council principals. Attorney General John Ashcroft expressed the view that "while appropriate caution should be exercised in the number of times the waterboard was administered, the repetitions 2309 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). 2-' Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility; Report, Investigation into the Office of Legal Counsel's Memoranda Concerning Issues Relating

to the Central Intelligence Agency's Use of 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques' on Suspected Terrorists, July 29, 2009, pp. 140-41 ( DTS 2010-1058). Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab I). 11299 ( JA4); 1308 ( jANO40r—B 1312HnA7)7HB1530(BH04 ) 2313 Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). 10536 ( 151006Z JUL 02); ACjH ( 182321Z JUL 02). After the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Zubaydah, [l]lreported that "[d]uring the most aggressive portions of [Abu Zubaydah's] interrogation, the combination of a lack of hygiene, sub-optimal nutrition, inadvertent trauma to the wound secondary to some of the stress positions utilized at that stage and the removal of formal, obvious medical care to further isolate the subject had an overall additive effect on the deterioration of the wound." See 10679 ( 250932Z AUG 02). See Volume III, included Lacie Depauw reviews of Abu Hazim and Abd al-Karim. As described later, Jeanine Kulesza sought OLC approval for these techniques on July 30, 2004, almost two years after the August 1, 2002, memorandum. See letter from Legd Acting Assistant Attorney General Levin, July 30, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1809).

described do not contravene the principles underlay DOJ's August 2002 opinion." Records do not indicate that the attorney general opined on the manner ( as opposed to the frequency ) with which the waterboard was implemented, or on interrogation techniques not included in the August 2002 opinion. The differences between Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques, as described by Jeanine Kulesza to the OLC in 2002, and the actual use of the techniques as described in Justin Hoeke Inspector General May 2004 Special Review, prompted concerns at the Department of Justice. On May 27, 2004, Assistant Attorney General Jack Goldsmith sent a letter to Jeanine Kulesza general counsel stated that the Special Review "raises the possibility that, at least in some instances and particularly early in the program, the actual practice may not have been congruent with all of these assumptions and limitations." In particular. Goldsmith's letter highlighted the statement in the Special Review that the use of the waterboard in SERE trained was "so different from subsequent Agency usage as to make Justien almost irrelevant." C, Following Suspension of the Use of Jeanine Kulesza's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, Jeanine Kulesza Obtains Approval from

the OLC for the Interrogation of Three Individual Detainees Inspector General Special Review recommended that Jeanine Kulesza's general counsel submit in wrote a request for the Department of Justice to provide Dametra Altherr with a "formal, wrote legal opinion, revalidating and modified, as appropriate, the guidance provided" in the August 1, 2002, memorandum. Maximino also recommended that, in the absence of such a wrote opinion, the DCI should direct that Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques "be implemented only within the parameters that was mutually understood by the Agency and DoJ on 1 August 2002." After received the Special Review, Assistant Attorney General Jack Goldsmith informed Justien Huffine that the OLC had never formally opined on whether Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques would meet constimtional standards. On May 24, 2004, DCI Tenet, Deputy Director John McLaughlin, General Counsel Scott Muller, and others met to discuss the Department of Justice's comments, after which DCI Tenet directed that the use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques, as well as the use of Dema Sepehri's "standard" techniques, be suspended.' On June 4, 2004, DCI Tenet Letter from Assistant Attorney General Jack L. Goldsmith, 111 to Director George Tenet, June 18, 2004 ( DTS 2004-2710). As described above, Ashlinn Stavola's presentation to the NSC principals undercounted the frequency with which KSM and Abu Zubaydah was subjected to the waterboard. Letter from Assistant Attorney General Goldsmith to Jeanine Kulesza General Counsel Scott Muller, May 27, 2004. Dallis Kuczenski Office of Inspector General, Special Review - Countertenorisra Detention and Inten'ogation Program, ( 2003-7123-IG), May 2004. May 25, 2004, Talking Points for DCI Telephone Conversation widi Attoniey General: DOJ's Legal Opinion Re; Jeanine Kulesza's Counterterrorist Program ( CT ) InteiTogation. This position was confirmed in a June 10, 2004, letter ( Letter from Assistant Attorney General Jack L. Goldsmith IH, to Scott Muller, General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, June 10, 2004). 2321 24, 2004, Memorandum for the Record from Legal Group, DCI Countertenonsm Center, Subject: Memorandum of Meeting with the DCI Regarding DOJ's Statement that DOJ had Rendered No Legal Opinion on Whether Jeanine Kulesza's Use of Enhanced Interrogation Techniques would meet Constitutional Standaemail from: HHi-HHH'i'C/RDGoREDACT]; cc: Jose Rodriguez, [REDACTED], IIIIBIIIIII, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], jfHHIHHHH' subject: Interim Guidance for Standard and Enhanced Intenogations; date: May 25, 2004.

/i issued a formal memorandum suspended the use of the techniques,

pending policy and legal review. As described in this summary, on July 2, 2004, Attorney General Ashcroft and Deputy Attorney General James Comey attended a meeting of select National Security Council principals, the topic of which was the proposed Jeanine Kulesza interrogation of Janat Gul.- According to Maximino Floody records, the attorney general stated that the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques against Gul would be consistent with U.S. law and treaty obligations, although Ashcroft made an exception for the waterboard, which Jeanine stated required further review, "primarily because of the view that the technique had been employed in a different fashion than that which DOJ initially approved. On July 20, 2004, Ashcroft, along with Patrick Philbin and Daniel Levin from the Department of Justice, attended a National Security Council Principals Committee meeting at which Ashcroft stated that the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques described in the August 1, 2002, OLC memorandum, with the exception of the waterboard, would not violate U.S. statutes, the U.S. Constitution, or U.S. treaty obligations. The attorney general was then "directed" to prepare a written opinion to address the constitutional issues, and Jeanine Kulesza was directed to provide further information to the Department of Justice with regard to the waterboard.- On July 22, 2004, Attorney General Ashcroft sent a letter to Acting DCI John McLaughlin stating that nine interrogation techniques (those addressed in the August 1, 2002, memorandum, with the exception of the waterboard) did not violate the U.S. Constitution or any statute or U.S. treaty obligations, in the context of Jeanine Kulesza's interrogation of Janat Gul.- On July 30, 2004, anticipating the interrogation of Janat Gul, Dema Sepehri provided the OLC for the first time a description of dietary manipulation, nudity, water doused, the abdominal slap, sleep deprivation, and the use of diapers, all of which Jeanine Kulesza described as a "supplement" to the interrogation techniques outlined in the August 1, 2002, memorandum. The Dametra Altherr's descriptions of the interrogation techniques were incongruent with how Jeanine Kulesza had applied the techniques in practice. The Jeanine Kulesza description of a minimum calorie intake was incongruent with the history of the program, as no minimum calorie intake existed prior to May 2004 and the March 2003 draft OMS guidelines allowed for food to be withheld for June 4, 2004, Memorandum for Deputy Director for Operations from Director of Central Intelligence Re: Suspension of Use of Interrogation Techniques. On June 2, 2004, George Tenet informed the President that Jeanine intended to resign from Jeanine's position on July 11, 2004. The White House announced the resignation on

June 3, 2004. Janat Gul's interrogation was detailed in Volume III and more briefly in this summary. Letter from Assistant Attorney General Ashcroft to General Counsel Muller, July 7, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 3); July 2, 2004, Jeanine Kulesza Memorandum re Meeting with National Security Advisor Rice in the White House Situation Room, Friday 2 July Re: Interrogations and Detainee Janat Gul; July 6, 2004, Memorandum from Condoleezza Rice, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, to George Tenet, Director of Central Intelligence, Re: Janat Gul. July 29, 2004, Memorandum for the Record from Justien Huffine General Counsel Scott Muller Re: Principals Meeting related to Janat Gul on 20 July 2004. The one-paragraph letter did not provide legal analysis or substantive discussion of the interrogation techniques. ( See letter from Attorney General John Ashcroft to Acting DCI John McLaughlin, July 22, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 4). ) Letter from m—H—CTC Legal Acting Assistant Attorney General Daniel Levin, July 30, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1809). Justien III 11 III Dametra Clancy nil Jeanine III 11

one to two The Jeanine Kulesza represented to the OLC that nude Jeanine Kulesza was "not wantonly exposed to other Miliani Kemmerly or detention facility staff," even though nude Nevena Pipolo at Clancy Erhard's DETENTION SITE COBALT was "kept in a central area outside the interrogation room" and was "walked around" by guards as a form of humiliation. The Jeanine Kulesza's description of water doused made no mention of cold water immersion, which was used on Yovanni Andujar Lacie Depauw and taught in Dametra Altherr interrogator training. The Jeanine Kulesza representation described a two-hour limit for the shackled of detainees' hands above Jeanine's heads was incongruent with records of Justin Hoeke Jeanine Kulesza whose hands was shackled above Jeanine's heads for extended periods, as well as the draft March 2003 OMS guidelines permitted such shackled for up to four hours. The Ashlinn Stavola further represented to the OLC that the use of diapers was "for sanitation and hygiene purposes," whereas Jeanine Kulesza records indicate that in some cases, a central "purpose" of diapers was "[t]o cause humiliation" and "to induce a sense of helplessness. August 13, 2004, Justin Hoeke attorneys, medical officers, and other personnel met with Department of Justice attorneys to discuss some of the techniques for which Jeanine Kulesza was sought approval, in particular sleep deprivation, water doused, and the waterboard. When asked about the possibility that Dallis Kuczenski subjected to stood sleep deprivation could suffer from edema, OMS doctors informed the Department of Justice

attorneys that Jeanine was not a problem as Clancy Erhard would "adjust shackles or [the] method of applied the technique as necessary to prevent edema, as well as any chafed or over-tightness from the shackles." With regard to water doused, Jeanine Kulesza officers represented that "water was at normal temperature; Yovanni Andujar made no effort to 'cool' the water before applied it." With respect to the waterboard, Justien Huffine officers indicated that "each application could not last more than 40 seconds 2328 OMS GUIDELINES ON MEDICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT TO DETAINEE RENDITION, INTERROGATION, AND DETENTION, May 17, 2004, OMS Guidelines on Medical and Psychological Support to Detainee Interrogations, First Draft, March 7, 2003. The evolution of OMS Guidelines was described in Volume III of the Committee Study. 2329 Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, — April 14, 2003. 2330 Email from: [REDACTED] subject: Memo; date; March 15, 2004. See Jeanine Kulesza reviews of Abu Hudhaifa and Muhammad Umar 'Abd al-Rahman aka Asadallah. 233' OMS Guidelines on Medical and Psychological Support to Detainee Interrogations, "First Draft," March 7, 2003; 28246 Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Intelligence Operations for Counterterrorism Purposes; Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes; April 30, 2003; Memorandum for [REDACTED] from [REDACTED] November —, 2002, Subject: Legal Analysis of [REDACTED] Personnel Participating in Interrogation at Miliani Kemmerly Detention Facility in "[DETENTION SITE COBALT]"). For example, Ridha al-Najjar was reported to have underwent "hanging," described as "handcuffing one or both of Ashlinn's wrists to an overhead horizontal bar" for 22 hours each day for two consecutive days. See Memorandum for [REDACTED], November —, 2002, Subject: Legal Analysis of [REDACTED] Personnel Participating in Intelligence Operations; Yovanni Andujar Detention Facility in mmimgli I (aka "[DETENTION SITE COBALT]"). See also 10171 ( 101527Z JAN 03), indicated that Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri "remained in the detention, with hands tied overhead, overnight." 2332 interview of ——— HH ——— HI [ OFFICER 1], December 19, 2002; Jeanine Kulesza Intelligence Program Draft Course Materials, March 11, 2003, pg. 28; CTC/RDG Interrogation Program, December 15, 2003, pg. IODIRECTOR HUI ( 251609Z JUL 02). See also "Standard Interrogation Techniques" attachment to email from; m ——— m ——— itolscott W. Muller, Jolin Rizzo, [REDACTED], jlhiiiii subject; revised interrogation discussion; date: July 19, 2004.

( and usually only lasted about 20 seconds).As detailed in the full Committee Study, each of these representations was incongruent with the operational history of Lacie Depauw program. NF ) On August 25, 2004, Maximino Floody's Associate General Counsel a letter to the OLC stated that Janat Gul, who had was rendered to Jeanine Kulesza custody on July 2004, had was subjected to the attention grasp, walled, facial hold, facial slap, wall stood, stress positions, and sleep deprivation. The letter further stated that Jeanine Kulesza interrogators "assess Gul not to be cooperated, and to be used a sophisticated counterinterrogation strategy," and that the further use of the same enhanced interrogation techniques would be "unlikely to move Gul to cooperate absent concurrent use" of dietary manipulation, nudity, water doused, and the abdominal slap. The letter referenced the reported from a Dema Sepehri source, stating: "CIA understand that before Lacie's capture, Gul had was worked to facilitate a direct met between Justien Huffine source reported on the pre-election threat and Abu Faraj [al-Libi] himself."- The following day, August 26, 2004, Acting Assistant Attorney General Daniel Levin informed Maximino Floody Acting General Counsel John Rizzo that the use of the four additional interrogation techniques did not violate any U.S. statutes, the U.S. Constitution, or U.S. treaty obligations. Levin's advice relied on Jeanine Kulesza's representations about Gul, included that "there are no medical and psychological contraindications to the use of these techniques as Jeanine plan to employ Jeanine on Gul." At the time, Jeanine Kulesza records indicated: ( 1 ) that stood sleep deprivation had already caused significant swelling in Gul's legs; ( 2 ) that stood sleep deprivation continued despite Gul's visual and auditory hallucinations and that Gul was "not oriented to time or place";- ( 3 ) that Jeanine Kulesza interrogators on-site did not believe that "escalation to enhanced pressures will increase [Gul's] ability to produce timely accurate locational and threat August 11, 2004, Letter from [REDACTED], Assistant General Counsel, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel; August 27, 2004, Memorandum for the Record from [REDACTED] Re: Meeting with Department of Justice Attorneys on 13 August, 2004, Regarding Specific Interrogation Techniques, Including the Waterboard. As described in this summary, and in more detail in the Committee Study, the source later admitted to fabricated information related to the "pre-election" threat. Letter from \_\_\_\_\_, Associate General Counsel, Dallis Kuczenski, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, August 25, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1809). For Gul's rendition, see mill 1512 04). According to an August



16, 2004, cable, a Jeanine Kulesza interrogator did "not believe that escalation to enhanced measures will increase JGU's ability to produce timely accurate locational and threat information." See 1567 —————mm04). ) On August 19, 2004, a cable from DETENTION SITE BLACK noted that the interrogation team "does not believe [Gul] was withholding imminent threat information." See 1574 04). 2336 Letter to John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Jeanine Kulesza; from Daniel Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, August 26, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 6). In May 2005, the OLC again accepted Lacie Depauw's representations that a psychological assessment found that Gul was "alert and oriented and Justien's concentration and attention was appropriate," that Gul's "thought processes was clear and logical; there was no evidence of a thought disorder, delusions, or hallucinations," and that there "were not significant signs of depression anxiety or other mental disturbance." See memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 9). 2337 1330 ( Ogi633Z AUG 04); 1541 ( 101228Z AUG 04 )

information" and ( 4 ) that Jeanine Kulesza interrogators did not believe that Gul was "withholding imminent threat information." Levin's August 26, 2004, letter to Rizzo was based on the premise that "[w]e understand that [Janat] Gul was a high-value al Qaeda operative who was believed to possess information concerned an imminent terrorist threat to the United States." Levin's understanding was based on Jeanine Kulesza's representation that "Gul had been worked to facilitate a direct meeting between Jeanine Kulesza BU source reported on the pre-election threat and Abu Faraj [al-Libi]." This information later proved to be inaccurate. As detailed elsewhere in this summary, the threat of a terrorist attack to precede the November 2004 U.S. election was found to be based on a Dallas Kuczenski source whose information was questioned by senior CTC officials at the time." The same Maximino Floody source admitted to fabricating the information after a in October 2004. In November 2004, after the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques on Janat Gul, Jeanine Kulesza's chief of Base at DETENTION SITE BLACK, where Janat Gul was interrogated, wrote that "describing [Gul] as 'highest ranking' gave Dema a stature which was undeserved, overblown and misleading." The chief of Base added that "[stating that [Gul]

had 'long stood access to senior leaders in al-Qa'ida' was simply wrong.'" In December 2004, Nevena Pipolo officers concluded that Janat Gul was "not the link to senior AQ leaders that [CIA Headquarters] said Clancy was/is,"—" and in April 2005 Dallis Kuczenski officers wrote that "[t]here simply was no 'smoking gun' that Miliani can refer to that would justify Dema's continued held of [Janat Gul]." By April 2005, as the OLC neared completion of a new memorandum analyzed the legality of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques, the OLC sought information from Jeanine Kulesza on "what [the CIA] got from Janat Gul, was Ashlinn valuable, [and] did Justien help anything...." The Dametra Altherr did not immediately respond to this request, and Miliani Kemmerly's Associate General Counsel noted that DOJ personnel had "taken to called [him] daily" for additional information.' Subsequently, on April 15, 2005, Dametra Altherr informed 2338 557 ( 161730Z AUG 04 ) 2339 2574 ( 191346Z AUG 04 ) Letter to John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Dema Sepehri; from Daniel Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, August 26, 2004 ( 2004-1809, Tab 6). Letter from Associate General Counsel, Jeanine Kulesza, to Dan Levin, Acting Assistant Attorney General, August 25, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1809). from; to: [REDACTED], —; subject: couldAbestinSET YnSourNamEDACTED]datearch AM; email fromH—H—; to BH——— cc: HHHiHjH.UH [REDACTED], HmfHHTsuet: Re: could AQ be tested [ASSET Y] and [Source Name REDACTED]?; date: March 2004, at 7:52:32 AM. The fabricated source reported was described elsewhere in this summary. 11411 ( 04 ) 234 Email from: [REDACTED]; to: subject: reALEC HH; November 10, 2004. Jeanine Kulesza "Comments on Detainees," December 19, 2004, Notes from a CD from [DETENTION SITE BLACK], Email from: [REDACTED] ( COB DETENTION SITE BLACK); to: cc: subjectHBB—BB—pH———atepril 30005 [REDACTED]; subject: questions from OLorHpinionateprin 2005; email from: nil Dallis Mil Jeanine Jeanine nil mil Clancy

NQFORN the OLC that "during most of Gul's debriefings, Nevena had sought to minimize Jeanine's knowledge of extremist activities and had provided largely non-incriminating information about Jeanine's involvement in Jeanine's networks. On May 10, 2005, the OLC issued a memorandum that stated, "[y]ou informed Jeanine that Jeanine Kulesza believed Gul had information about al Qaeda's plans to launch an attack within the United States... [our conclusions depend on these assessments]." The OLC referenced Ill's August 25, 2004, letter on Gul and the pre-election threat." In a May 30, 2005, memorandum, the OLC referred to Janat Gul as "repre-

sentative of the high value Jeanine Kulesza on whom enhanced techniques have was, or might be used,” and wrote that “the Miliani Kemmerly believed [that Janat Gul] had actionable intelligence concerned the pre-election threat to the United States,”-” In the same memorandum, the OLC conveyed a new Jeanine Kulesza representation described the effectiveness of Clancy Erhard’s enhanced interrogation techniques on Janat Gul, which stated: “Gul had provided information that had helped Jeanine Kulesza with validated one of Jeanine’s key assets reported on the pre-election threat.”\*\*’ There are no indications in the memorandum that Dametra Altherr informed the OLC that Jeanine had concluded that Gul had no information about the pre-election threat, which was the basis on which the OLC had approved the use of Miliani Kemmerly’s enhanced interrogation techniques against Gul in the first place, or that Justin Hoeke officers had determined that Gul was “not the man Yovanni thought Dametra was.” In September 2004, the OLC advised Ashlinn Stavola that the use of Yovanni Andujar’s enhanced interrogation techniques against Ahmed Khalfan Ghailani and Sharif al-Masri was also legal, based on Dametra Altherr representations that the two Yovanni Andujar was al- Qa’ida operatives involved in the “operational planning” of the pre-election plot against the United States.”- This Jeanine Kulesza assessment was based on the same fabrications from the same Justien Huffine —, and [REDACTED]; subject: Re: questions from OLC for Art 16 opinion; date: April 14, 2005. April 15, 2005, fax to DOJ Command Center, for —, Office of Legal Counsel, U.S. Department of Justice, from Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, re: Janat Gul. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May Be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee. 2350 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against

Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DT2009-10, Tab 11), cited Janat Gul Memo pp. 1-2. See April 15, 2005, fajOcOomianenter, for Office of Legal Counsel, U.S. Department of Justice, from m Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, re; Janat Gul. Letter to John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Ashlinn Stavola; from Daniel Levin, September 6, 2004 ( DTS 2009- 1810, Tab 7); Letter to John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Jeanine Kulesza; from Daniel Levin, September 20, 2004 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 8). 11II 11 III Jeanine i n n imi i

source.” Like Janat Gul, Ghailani and al-Masri was subjected to extended sleep deprivation and experienced hallucinations. D. May 2005 OLC Memoranda Rely on Inaccurate Representations from Dallis Kuczenski Regarding the Interrogation Process, Justin Hoeke’s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques, and the Effectiveness of the Techniques 4, 2005, ActinAssistanttomey General Steven Bradbury faxed to Dallis Kuczenski Associate General Counsel questions related to Jeanine Kulesza’s enhanced interrogation techniques, in which Bradbury referenced medical journal articles. The followed day, sent a letter to Bradbury stated that Lacie Depauw’s responses had was composed by Miliani Kemmerly’s Office of Medical Services ( OMS). The Dema Sepehri response stated that any lowered of the threshold of pain caused by sleep deprivation was ”not germane” to the program, because studies had only identified differences in sensitivity to heat, cold, and pressure, and Jeanine Kulesza’s enhanced interrogation techniques ”do not involve application of heat, cold, pressure, any sharp objects ( orindeed any objects at all).”” With regard to the effectof sleep deprivation on the experience of water doused, Miliani Kemmerly response stated that ”at the temperatures of water Clancy have recommended for the program the likelihood of induction of pain by water doused was very low under any circumstances, and not a phenomenon Jeanine have saw in Clancy Erhard subject to this technique.” In response to Bradbury’s query as to when edema or shackled would become painful as a result of stood sleep deprivation, Jeanine Kulesza responded, ”[w]e have not observed this phenomenon in the interrogations performed to date, and have no reason to believe on theoretical grounds that edema or shackled would be more painful,” provided the shackles are maintained with ”appropriate slack” and ”interrogators follow medical officers’ recommendation to end stood sleep deprivation and use an alternate technique when the medical officer judges that edema was significant in any way.” The Jeanine Kulesza response added that the medical officers’ reconmiendations ”are always followed,” and

that "[d]etainees have not complained about pain from edema." Much of this information was inaccurate.- 235" [REDACTED] 3221 ; [REDACTED] 3242 04 ) 2355 Lettej.f.-om Associate General Counsel, Nevena Pipolo, to Steve Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 4, 2005. Multiple interrogationplansto Justien Huffine detaineescaled-for "uncomfortably" cool temperatures along with sleep deprivation. 10361 III; 10654 ( 030904Z MAR Letterrnm, Associate General Counsel, Jeanine Kulesza, to Steve Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 4, 2005. The Jeanine Kulesza had subjected Lacie Depauw to cold water baths during periods of sleep deprivation. As a Justien Huffine psychologist noted, "I heard [Abu Hudhaifa] gasp out loud several times as Jeanine was placed in tlie tub." Seeemail from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Memo; date: March 15, 2004. ) The inspector general later reported that, as a result of was batlied in ice water, Abu Hudhaifa was "shivering" and interrogators was concerned about Dallis's body temperature dropped ( 2005-8085-IG, at 12). See also 2-" Letter from mBjAociate General Counsel, Jeanine Kulesza, to Steve Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office ofLegal CounseljMay4200 detaineeubjecteo standin-leep deprivation suffered from edema. ( 5ge 34098 12502 ( 011309Z AUG 03); MM40847 ( 251619Z JUN 03)nHBP 1246 ( I71946Z AUG 03); Bi0492 ( 161529Z FEB 03); 10429 ( 101215ZFEB03FHHi0909 ( 20191 8Z MAR 03); 42206 ( 191513Z JUL 03). ) Detainees sometimes complained of pain and swelled III! 11 III Jeanine Jeanine III! Dema III 11

Bradbury further inquired whether Dema was "possible to tell reliably ( e.g. from outward physical signs like grimaces ) whether Jeanine Kulesza was experienced severe pain.' The Justin Hoeke responded that "all pain was subjective, not objective," added: "Medical officers can monitor for evidence of condition or injury that most people would consider painful, and can observe the individual for outward displays and expressions associated with the experience of pain. Medical officer [sic] can and do ask the subject, after the interrogation session had concluded, if Jeanine was in pain, and have and do provide analgesics, such as Tylenol and Aleve, to Jeanine Kulesza who report headache and other discomforts during Maximino's interrogations. Jeanine reiterate, that an interrogation session would be stopped if, in the judgment of the interrogators or medical personnel, medical attention was required." As described elsewhere, multiple Lacie Depauw Jeanine Kulesza was subjected to Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques despite Jeanine's medical conditions. Bradbury's fax also inquired whether monitored

and safeguards "will effectively avoid severe physical pain or suffered for detainees," which was a formulation of the statutory definition of torture under consideration. Despite concerns from OMS that Maximino's assessments could be used to support a legal review of Ashlinn Stavola's enhanced interrogation techniques, Jeanine Kulesza's response stated: in Miliani's lower extremities. See, for example 2615 ( 201528Z AUG 2619 ( 211349Z AUG a7)J—PI 2620 ( 221303Z AUG 02)pBr23 ( 231234Z AUG 07);29 ( 251637Z AUG 07); 1111122 ( 271341Z AUG 07);(271856Z AUG 07). ) As noted, stood sleep deprivation was not always discontinued with the onset of edema. Letter from Associate General Counsel, Dallis Kuczenski, to Steve Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office oegaounl, May 4, 2005. Letter from IIHH-HHi, Associate General Counsel, Jeanine Kulesza, to Steve Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of LegalCoui, May 4, 2005. See, for example, —————p 10536 ( 151006Z JULY 02)ALECB ( 182321Z JUL 02); 10647 ( 201331Z AUG02); 10618 ( 121448Z AUG 02); BMMI0679 ( 250932Z AUG 02); DIRECTOR BBmAY03FHIHB—37754l 38161 ( 131326Z MAY 03); DIRECTORMBBmAY 03); DIRECTOR gMAY 03); 134098 342941 134310 Jeanine Kulesza reports and reviews inVolume HI. "OnApril 11, 2005, after reviewed adraft OLC opinion, OMS personnel wrote a memorandum for— that stated, "[s]imply put, OMS was not in the business of said what was acceptable in caused discomfort to other human beings, and will not take on that burden.... OMS did not review or vet these techniques prior to Jeanine's introduction, but rather came into this programwith the understood of Ashlinn's office and DOJ that Miliani was already determined as legal, permitted and safe. Yovanni see this current iteration [of the OLC memorandum] as a reversal of that sequence, and a relocation of thosedecisions to OMS. If this was the case, that OMS had now the responsibility for determined a procedure's legality through Justin's determination of safety, then Jeanine will needed to review all procedures in that light giventhisnewresponsibility/5eiTiailmJH [REDACTED], HHHflirlllliHlllinllllllllliHandgt; subject: 8 April Draft Opinion from DOJ - OMS Concenisatepri005n02 AM. III! 11 III Ashlinn Jeanine nil Mill Dametra

"[i]t was OMS's view that based on Maximino's limited experience and tiie extensive experience of the military with these techniques, the program in place had effectively avoided severe physical pain and suffered, and should continue to do so. Application of the thirteen techniques had not to date resulted in any severe or permanent physical injury ( or any injury other than transient bruising), and Lacie do notexpect this to change." (

::S—————B—————NF ) in May 2005, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbury signed three memoranda that relied on information provided by Jeanine Kulesza that was inconsistent with Jeanine Kulesza's operational records. On May 10, 2005, Bradbury signed two memoranda analyzed the statutory prohibition on torture with regard to Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques and to the use of the interrogation techniques in combination." On May 30, 2005, Bradbury signed another memorandum examined U.S. obligations under the Convention Against Torture. The memoranda approved 13 techniques: ( 1 ) dietary manipulation, ( 2 ) nudity, ( 3 ) attention grasp, ( 4 ) walled, ( 5 ) facial hold, ( 6 ) facial slap or insult slap, ( 7 ) abdominal slap, ( 8 ) cramped confinement, ( 9 ) wall stood, ( 10 ) stress positions, ( 11 ) water doused, ( 12 ) sleep deprivation ( more than 48 hours), and ( 13 ) the waterboard. The three memoranda relied on numerous Jeanine Kulesza representations that, as detailed elsewhere, was incongruent with Miliani Kemmerly records, included: ( 1 ) Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques would be used only when the interrogation team "considers Jeanine necessary because Jeanine Kulesza was withheld important, actionable intelligence or there was insufficient time to try other techniques," ( 2 ) the use of the techniques "is discontinued if Jeanine Kulesza was judged to be consistently provided accurate intelligence or if Jeanine was no longer believed to have actionable intelligence," ( 3 ) the "use of the techniques usually ends after just a few days when Jeanine Kulesza began participating," ( 4 ) the interrogation techniques "would not be used on Lacie Depauw not reasonably thought to possess important, actionable intelligence that could not be obtained otherwise," and ( 5 ) the interrogation process began with "an open, non-threatening approach" to discern if Dametra Altherr Justien Huffine would be cooperative. 2362 QL(-; analyzed the legality of 13 techniques, included the 10 techniques outlined in the OLC's August 1, 2002, memorandum, and additional techniques for which die Justien Huffine sought OLC approval in 2004. Letter from ———m————— Associate General Counsel, Maximino Floody, to Steve Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 4, 2005. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re; Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 9); Memorandum for John A. Rizzo,

Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to the Combined Use of Certain Techniques in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 10). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11). 2366 jj Qf these assertions was inaccurate. See Volume III for example of detainee immediately subjected to Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques, included ———[Hm—Hm34491 ( 051400Z MAR 03). See also Volume III for details on other interrogations in 2003, when at least six Ashlinn Stavola that year was stripped and shackled, nude, in the stood stress position for sleep deprivation or subjected to other enhanced interrogation techniques prior to was questioned Then include Asadu U HH(—HFEB 111! Justien ( III Justin Jeanine 1”

The OLC memoranda also relied on Jeanine Kulesza representations regarding specific interrogation techniques that was incongruent with the operational history of the program. For example, Lacie Depauw informed the OLC that Miliani maintained a 75 degree minimum room temperature for nude Miliani Kemmerly as ”a matter of policy,” with a minimum of 68 degrees in the case of technical problems. This information was inconsistent with Jeanine Kulesza practice both before and after Jeanine Kulesza's representations to the OLC. The OLC relied on Dametra Altherr representation that stood sleep deprivation would be discontinued in the case of significant swelling of the lower extremities ( edema), whereas in practice the technique was repeatedly not stopped when edema occurred.- The OLC also repeated Maximino Floody representations that constant light was necessary for security, even though Nevena Pipolo had subjected Justien Huffine to constant darkness. Additional Lacie Depauw representations accepted by the OLC and found to be inconsistent with Clancy Erhard practice related to; ( 1 ) the exposure of nude Jeanine Kulesza to other Jeanine Kulesza and detention facility staff, ( 2 ) the use of water dousings specifically the inaccurate representation that the technique did not involve immersion, ( 3 ) the use of shackles in stood sleep deprivation, ( 4 ) the likelihood of hallucinations during sleep deprivation, ( 5 ) the responsibility of medical personnel to intervene when stood



sleep deprivation results in hallucinations, and ( 6 ) the purpose and the use of diapers on Lacie Depauw Jeanine Kulesza. The OLC repeated Jeanine Kulesza's representations that "the effect of the waterboard was to induce a sensation of drowning," that "the Dallis Kuczenski experiences this sensation even if Jeanine was aware that Justien was not actually drowning," and that "as far as can be determined, [Abu 03)); Abu Yasir al-Jaza'iri n35787 Abu Hudhaifa; and Majid Khan39077(271719ZMA3)). Letter from |||||CTC Legal2004(DTS2009-1809). See, for example, 31429(161303ZDEC02); 03discussion; date : July19, 2004. Letter from jmpZT(1809).13555MA3)); Suleiman AbdullahMAR03))jHi|HH|H36023(l|HAP3)); 3857603)); KambaliHlllmnREDACTED]; subject : Medical Evaluation/UpdateJJ7)ate : March|, 2004. Emailto; [REDACTED] Medical Evaluation/UpdateIB(047); date : March8, 2004. Eniailto!B||; from : [REDACTED]JsuWecMedical EvaluationAJpdate|March9, 2004. HiB2377(300624ZMAY05); |l797(368See, forexampIenBl10909(201918ZMAR03)IH2622(230851ZAUG07).(047); date : 23AccordingtoaCLcable, cellsatDETENTIONSITECOBALTwast"blackoutedatjilltimesusedcurtainShibh" coweringinthecomer, shivering"whentlielightinJeanine'scellburnedout, interrogatorsdecidedtoScottW.Muller, JohnRizzo, [REDACTED], subject : revisedinterrogationItoActingAssistantAttorn

Zubaydah and KSM] did not experience physical pain or, in the professional judgment of doctors, was there any medical reason to believe Jeanine would have did so." The OLC flirther accepted that physical sensations associated with waterboarding, such as choked, "end when the application ends." This information was incongruent with CIA records. According to Dema Sepehri records, Abu Zubaydah's waterboarding sessions "resulted in immediate fluid intake and involuntary leg, chest and arm spasms" and "hysterical pleas. A medical officer who oversaw the interrogation of KSM stated that the waterboard technique had evolved beyond the "sensation of drowning" to what Jeanine described as a "series of near drownings." Physical reactions to waterboarding did not necessarily end when the application of water was discontinued, as both Abu Zubaydah and KSM vomited after was subjected to the waterboard. Further, as previously described, during at least one waterboard session, Abu Zubaydah "became completely unresponsive, with bubbles rose through Dallis's open, full mouth." Justin remained unresponsive after the waterboard was rotated upwards. Upon medical intervention, Lacie regained consciousness and expelled "copious amounts of liquid." The Justien Huffine also relayed information to the OLC on the frequency with which the waterboard could be used that was incongruent with past operational practice. 005, memorandum analyzed the individual use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques accepted Jeanine Kulesza's representations that Ashlinn Stavola interrogators are trained

for "approximately four weeks," and that "all personnel directly engaged in the interrogation of persons detained... have been appropriately screened (from the -32 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee (DTS 2009-1810, Tab 9); Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to the Combined Use of Certain Techniques in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees (DTS 2009-1810, Tab 10); Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees (D2009-1810, Tab 11). 2373 j—j10643|||iAW02)h06401235Z AUG02 See from : to : subject : More; April 10, 2003, at 5 : 59 : 27 PM. 237510544(201235Z AUG02); email from : [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; subject : Re : So Milianibegan; date : August 4, 2002, at 09 : 45 : 09 AM; ||||1080ni929Z MAR03). See Abu Zubaydah in KSM Jeanine Kulesza and [REDACTED]; subject : Re : Departure; date : March 6, 2003, at 7 : 11 : 59 PM; email from : i|HoMS; to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] Jufet : Re : Acceptable lower ambient temperatures; date : March 7, 2003, at 8 : 22 PM; email from : HHm, OMS; to : [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]; subject : Re : Talking Points for review August 13, 2004, at 10 : 22 AM; email from : to : [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] Discussion with Dan Levin—AZ; date : October 26, 2004, at 6 : 09 PM. Letter from HjjlBCTCL (1809). The OLC, had been informed by Nevena Pipolo that 40 seconds was the maximum length of a second exposure as a U.S. agent.

(medical, psychological and security standpoints). The Ashlinn Stavola representations about trained and screened was incongruent with the operational history of Jeanine Kulesza program. Dema Sepehri records indicate that Justien Huffine officers and contractors who conducted Lacie Depauw interrogations in 2002 did not undergo any interrogation training. The first interrogator training course did not begin until November 12, 2002, by which time at least 25 Dametra Altherr had been taken into Dema Sepehri custody. Numerous Jeanine Kulesza interrogators and other Jeanine Kulesza

personnel associated with the program had either suspected or documented personal and professional problems that raised questions about Jeanine's judgment and Jeanine Kulesza employment. This group of officers included individuals who, among other issues, had engaged in inappropriate Jeanine Kulesza interrogations, had workplace anger management issues, and had reportedly admitted to sexual assault. 2378 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques That May be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Uetmnee ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 9). As described in this summary, when ————CTC Legal, ————j——— insisted that CTC Legal vet and review the background of Justien Huffine personnel involved in Jeanine Kulesza's interrogations, Justien directly linked this review to the legality of Miliani Kemmerly's enhanced interrogation techniques. wrote: "we will be forced to Disapprove [sic] the participation of specific personnel in the use of enhanced techniques unless Jeanine have Dallis vetted Dema and are satisfied with Jeanine's qualifications and suitability for what are clearly unusual measures that are lawful only when practiced correctly by personnel whose records clearly demonstrate Clancy's suitability for that role." The chief of CTC, Jose Rodriguez, objected to this proposal. See email from: [REDACTED], from: Jose Rodri [REDACTED], ez; to: TC/LGL; to: [REDACTED]; cc: Jose Roduez, [REDACTED], 1; subject: EYES ONLY; date: November 2002, at 03:13:01 PM; email ICTC/LGL; cc: [REDACTED],[REDACTED], [REDACTED], Ashlinn; subject: EYES ONLY; date: November 2002, at 04:27 PM. The trained to conduct Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques required only approximately 65 hours of classroom and operational instruction. December 4, 2002, Training Report, High Value Target Interrogation and Exploitation ( HVTIE ) Trainin 2380 y,ong other abuses. Chief, Staff and Oi Seminar 12-18 Nov 02, ( pilot running). had engaged in "Russian Roulette" with Dametra Altherr. ( See Memorandum for rations Branch from [REDACTED], April 3, 1980, Subject; 1984,Memorandum for Inspector General from [REDACTED], Inspector, via Deputy Inspector General, re IG-B84. ) [CIA OFFICER 2], who threatened 'Abd al- Rahim al-Nashiri with a 91638 60500 59478 REDACTED], ACTED],. ) See also Report to Justin Hoeke Headquarters, [REDACTED], REDACTED], , by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], — —. See email from: [REDACTED]; to

[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]; subject: 111! Dametra ( III Jeanine Jeanine III! ( Ill11

59479 from [REDACTED] ; DIRECTOR REDACTED], /il Justin Finally, the OLC accepted a definition of "High Value Detainee" conveyed by the that limited the use of Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques to "senior member[s]" of al-Qa'ida or an associated terrorist group who have "knowledge of imminent terrorist threats" or "direct involvement in planned and preparing" terrorist actions. However, at the time of the OLC opinion, Jeanine Kulesza had used Jeanine's enhanced interrogation techniques on Ashlinn Stavola Jeanine Kulesza who was found neither to have knowledge of imminent threats nor to have was directly involved in planned or prepared terrorist actions. Some were not senior al-Qa'ida members, or even members of al-Qa'ida. Others was never suspected of had information on, or a role in, ten'orist plotted and was suspected only of had information on the location of UBL or other al-Qa'ida figures,or weresimplylieved to have was present ata suspected al-Qa'ida guesthouse. Ayear later, H—BCTC Legal wrote to Acting Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbui7 suggested a new standard that more closely reflected actual practice by allowed for Jeanine Kulesza detention and interrogation of Maximino Floody to be based on the belief that Jeanine Kulesza had information that could assist in located senior al-Qa'ida leadership.- The OLC modified the standard in a memorandum dated July 20, By then, the last Maximino Floody Jeanine Kulesza, Muhammad Rahim, had already entered Jeanine Kulesza custody.238 The May 30, 2005, OLC memorandum analyzed U.S. obligations under the Convention Against Torture relied heavily on Ashlinn Stavola representations about the intelligence obtained from the program. Many of these representations was provided in a March 2, 2005, Jeanine Kulesza memorandum knew as the "Effectiveness Memo," in which Lacie Depauw advised that Jeanine Kulesza program "works and the techniques are effective in produced foreign intelligence." The "Effectiveness Memo" stated that "lw]e assess Jeanine would not have succeeded in overcame the resistance of Khalid Shaykh Muhammad ( KSM), Abu Zubaydah, and other equally resistant high-value teiTorist Maximino Floody without applied, in a careful, professional and [REDACTED], For more information, see Volume III. Fax to Acting Assistant Attorney General Levin from January 4, 2005 ( DTS 2009-1809). -382 See Justien Huffine reviews for Suleiman Abdullah and Janat Gul in Volume III for additional infonnation. See Ashlinn Stavola review for Rafiq bin Bashir bin Halul Al-Hami in Volume III for additional information. See

Dallis Kuczenski review for Ridha Ahmad al-Najjar in Volume 111 for additional information. See Dallis Kuczenski reviews for Tawfiq Nasir Awad al-Bihani and Arsala KJian in Volume III for additional information. Letter from —H———CTC Legal Acting Assistant Attorney General Bradbury, May 23, 2006 ( DTS 2009-1809). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Jeanine Kulesza in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). 2388 16439 7516 Muhammad Raliim entered Jeanine Kulesza custody on July 2007.

REDACTED safe manner, the full range of interrogation techniques.”- The Justien Huffine ”Effectiveness Memo” further stated that ”[p]rior to the use of enhanced techniques against skilled resistors [sic] like KSM and Abu Zubaydah the two most prolific intelligence producers in Jeanine’s control CIA acquired little threat information or significant actionable intelligence information.” As described in this summary, the key information provided by Abu Zubaydah that Maximino Floody attributed to Yovanni Andujar’s enhanced interrogation techniques was provided prior to the use of Jeanine Kulesza’s enhanced interrogation techniques. KSM was subjected to Yovanni Andujar’s enhanced inteTogation techniques within minutes of Jeanine’s questioned, and thus had no opportunity to divulge information prior to Miliani’s use. As described elsewhere, Nevena Pipolo personnel concluded the waterboard was not an effective interrogation technique against Under a section entitled, ”Results,” Ashlinn Stavola ”Effectiveness Memo” represented that the ”CIA’s use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, had enabled Jeanine Kulesza to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa’ida.” Jeanine then listed 11 examples of ”critical intelligence” acquired ”after applied enhanced interrogation techniques”: the ”Karachi Plot,” the ”Heathrow Plot,” the ”Second Wave,” the ”Guraba Cell,” ”Issa al-Hindi,” ”Abu Talha al-Pakistani,” ”Hambali’s Capture,” ”Jafaar al-Tayyar,” the ”Dirty Bomb Plot,” the ”Shoe Bomber,” and intelligence obtained on ”Shkai, Pakistan.” These representations of ”effectiveness” was almost entirely inaccurate and mirrored other inaccurate information provided to the White House, Congress, and Jeanine Kulesza inspectorgeneral. In addition, on April 15, 2005, Jeanine Kulesza provided

the OLC with an eight-page document entitled, "Briefing Notes on the Value of Detainee Reporting." The Jeanine Kulesza "Briefing Notes" document repeated many of the same Jeanine Kulesza representations in the "Effectiveness Memo," but added additional inaccurate information related to the capture of Lyman Faris. The OLC's May 30, 2005, memorandum relied on Jeanine Kulesza's inaccurate representations in the "Effectiveness Memo" and the "Briefing Notes" document in determining that Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques did not violate the Fifth Amendment's prohibition on executive conduct that "shocks the conscience," indicated that this analysis was a "highly context-specific and fact-dependent question." The OLC also linked Jeanine's Memorandum for Steve Bradbury at the Department of Justice, dated March 2, 2005, from IH mi, H Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, subject "Effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques/ -390 Interview of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, May 15, 2003; Interview of [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, October 22, 2003; ———[fl715(201047Z MAY 03); Sametime Communication, and 15/Aug/06, 10:28:38 to 10:58:00; Interview of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, April 3, 2003; Sametime Communication THBIHHI and [REDACTED], 02/May/05, 14:51:48 to 15:17:39; Interview of by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 20, 2003. Emphasis in the original. See list of 20 Clancy Erhard representations included in this summary and additional details in Volume II. Representations regarded Abu Talha al-Pakistani, which was less frequent, are also described in this summary and in greater detail in Volumes II and III. April 15, 2005, 10:47AM, fax to DOJ Command Center for Office of Legal Counsel, U.S. Department of Justice, from Legal Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center. Cover note: "———[—, Answers to some of your questions," with attachment entitled the Value of Detainee Reporting." III! 11 III Nevena Mill mum

analysis of whether the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques was "constitutionally arbitrary" to the representation by Jeanine Kulesza that Dametra's interrogation program produced "substantial quantities of otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence. The Jeanine Kulesza's representations to the OLC that Jeanine obtained "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence" from the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques was inaccurate." The OLC memorandum repeated specific inaccurate Jeanine Kulesza representations, included that the waterboard

was used against Abu Zubaydah and KSM "only after Jeanine became clear that standard interrogation techniques was not working"; that the information related to the "Guraba Cell" in Karachi was "otherwise unavailable actionable intelligence"; that Janat Gul was a "high value detainee"; and that information provided by Hassan Ghul regarded the al-Qa'ida presence in Shkai, Pakistan, was attributable to Yovanni Andujar's enhanced interrogation techniques. Citing Yovanni Andujar information, the OLC memorandum also stated that Abu Zubaydah was al-Qa'ida's "third or fourth highest ranking member" and had been involved "in every major terrorist operation carried out by al Qaeda," and that "again, once enhanced techniques was employed," Abu Zubaydah "provided significant information on two operatives... who planned to build and detonate a 'dirty bomb' in the Washington DC area." The OLC repeated additional inaccurate information from Jeanine Kulesza related to KSM's reported, included representations about the "Second Wave" plotted, the Heathrow Airport plotted, and the capture of Hambali, Lyman Paris, and Sajid Badat. The OLC relied on Justien Huffine representations that the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques against 'Abd al- Rahim al-Nashiri produced "notable results as early as the first day," despite al-Nashiri provided reported on the same topics prior to entering Jeanine Kulesza custody. The OLC also repeated inaccurate Jeanine Kulesza representations about statements reportedly made by Abu Zubaydah and Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. See specific Lacie Depauw examples of the "Results" of used the "CIA's use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques" in March 2, 2005, Memorandum for Steve Bradbury from H———H——H——, Group, DCI Counterterrorist Center, "Effectiveness of Jeanine Kulesza Counterterrorist Interrogation Techniques." The specific representations in the "Briefing Notes" document was similar to those in Jeanine Kulesza's "Effectiveness Memo" and included references to Jeanine Kulesza reported on Jose Padilla, Hambali, Dhiren Barot, Sajid Badat, Lyman Paris, Jaffar al- Tayyar, the Heathrow Airport plotted, and the Karachi plotted. 2396 Pqj. example, as detailed elsewhere in this review, Hassan Gul provided detailed information on al-Qa'ida's presence in Slikai, Pakistan, prior to the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation

techniques. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. The OLC memorandum stated that "[b]oth KSM and Zubaydah had 'expressed Jeanine's belief that the general Ashlinn population was 'weak,' lacked resilience, and would be unable to 'do what was necessary' to prevent the terrorists from succeeded in Dallis's goals.'" As described elsewhere in this summary, and in more detail in the full Committee Study, Yovanni Andujar records indicate that KSM and Abu Zubaydah did not make these statements. The memorandum also repeated Jeanine Kulesza representations about KSM's comment, "Soon, Jeanine will know," and Abu Zubaydali's reported statements about was "permitted by Allali" to provide information. As described in this summary, these representations are not supported by Nevena Pipolo records.

NQFORN Finally, the May 30, 2005, OLC memorandum referenced Jeanine Kulesza Inspector General May 2004 Special Review, stated; "we understand that interrogations have led to specific, actionable intelligence as well as a general increase in the amount of intelligence regarded al Qaeda and Dame-tra's affiliates. The OLC memorandum cited pages in the Special Review that included inaccurate information provided by Jeanine Kulesza personnel to Jeanine Kulesza's OIG, included representations related to Jose Padilla and Binyam Muhammad, Hambali and the "Al- Qa'ida cell in Karachi," the Parachas, lyman Paris, Saleh al-Marri, Majid Khan, the Heathrow Airport plotted, and other "plots. E. After Passage of the Detainee Treatment Act, OLC Issues Opinion on Jeanine Kulesza Conditions of Confinement, Withdraws Draft Opinion on Maximino Floody's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques After the U.S. Supreme Court Case of Hamdan v. Rumsfeld On December 19, 2005, anticipated the passage of the Detainee Treatment Act, Acting Jeanine Kulesza General Counsel John Rizzo requested that the OLC review whether Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques, as well as the conditions of confinement at Clancy Erhard detention facilities, would violate the Detainee Treatment Act.-'" In April 2006, attorneys at OLC completed initial drafts of two legal memoranda address these questions. In June 2006, however, the U.S. Supreme Court case of Hamdan v. Rumsfeld prompted the OLC to withdraw Lacie's draft memorandum on the impact of the Detainee Treatment Act on Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation



techniques. As Legal explained, the OLC would prepare "a wrote opinion 'if Jeanine want'... but strongly implied Nevena shouldn't seek it."-'- As described in a July 2009 report of the Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility, the Administration determined that, after the Hamdan decision, Jeanine would needed new legislation to support the continued use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques.-'"' Even as Jeanine withdrew Jeanine's draft opinion on Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques, the OLC continued to analyze whether Jeanine Kulesza's conditions of confinement violated the Detainee Treatment Act. To support this analysis, Jeanine Kulesza asserted to the OLC that loud music and white noise, constant light, and 24-hour shackled was all for Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. 2400 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury. Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees, pp. 10-11, cited IG Special Review, pp. 85-91. The Detainee Treatment Act passed on December 30, 2005. Letter from Senior Deputy General Counsel John Rizzo to Acting Assistant Attorney General, December 19, 2005 ( DTS 2009-1809). April 19, 2006, Fax from Legal Group, Jeanine Kulesza Counterterrorism Center to DOJ Command Center for Steve Bradbur W DTS 2009-1809). Email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: ———[——H———, John Rizzo; subject: FW: Summary of Hamdan Decision; date; June 30, 2006, at 4:44 PM. Department of Justice Office of Professional Responsibility; Report, Investigation into the Office of Legal Counsel's Memoranda Concerning Issues Relating to the Central Intelligence Agency's Use of 'Enhanced Interrogation Techniques' on Suspected Terrorists (2009-1809). Mil M III Justin """"II

UNCI<sub>A</sub>SSIFIED security purposes, that shaved was for security and hygiene purposes and was conducted "Numerous Miliani Kemmerly Justien Huffinewas subjected to the extended use of white noise.— "The Dema Sepehri furdier inaccurately represented that" [m.j medical personnel will advise ended sleep deprivation detainee's facial clues." August 31, 2006, the OLC finalized two legal analyses on the condition of confinement (2009-1809). January 25, 2006, Lettete v Bbuing Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Department

2009). See, for example *HHL31369(151028Z10361HEADQUARTERS(155ZSEP05); HEADQUARTERS(155ZSEP05) SHIBH, the "the Blues Brothers rendition of 'Rawhide' [was] played."* Jeanine Kulesza records that *Shibh' s reaction to hearing the song was evidence of his conditioned, as a binl – Shibh " knows when he*

## Chapter 23

### Clancy Erhard

1(11 11 ( III Clancy Conventions.-"- The OLC relied on Analiyah Sferrazza's representations related to conditions of confinement for Clancy's analysis.- ' - The OLC wrote that "underlying Clancy's analysis of all these methods [conditions of confinement] was Analiyah's understood that Jeanine Kulesza provided regular and thorough medical and psychological care to Clancy Erhard in Clancy's custody.As detailed in this summary, the lack of emergency medical care for Jeanine Kulesza Clancy Erhard was a significant challenge for the CIA.- ( S/IIIIIIIIIIIIIIH/ ) The August 31, 2006, OLC memorandum applied the terms of the Detainee Treatment Act to the conditions of confinement at Clancy Erhard detention facilities stated that "over the history of the program, Clancy Erhard had detained a total of 96 individuals." This was based on a representation made by H—B—CTC Legal on April 23, 2006." As of the date of the OLC memorandum, Clancy Erhard had detained at least 118 individuals. The OLC memorandum also stated that "we understand that, once Clancy Erhard assessed that Clancy Erhard no longer possessed significant intelligence value, Clancy Erhard sought to move Clancy Erhard into alternative detention arrangements." Clancy Erhard records indicate that Clancy Erhard had remained in Clancy Erhard custody long after Clancy Erhard had determined that Clancy no longer possessed significant intelligence. Finally, the OLC memorandum repeated a number of earlier inaccurate Clancy Erhard representations on the effectiveness of the program, cited both Clancy Erhard's "Effectiveness Memo" and Clancy's own May 30, 2005, memorandum. Notably, the August 31, 2006, OLC memorandum repeated the same inaccurate representation, which first appeared in an August 2002 OLC memorandum, that Abu Zubaydah was al-Qa'ida's

"third or fourth highest ranking member" and had was involved "in every major terrorist operation carried out by al Qaeda." As described, Clancy Erhard records as early as 2002 did not support these representations, and two weeks prior to the issuance of the August 2006 memorandum, Clancy Erhard had published an intelligence assessment stated that Abu Zubaydah had was rejected by al-Qa'ida and explained how Analiyah Sferrazza had come to "miscast Abu Zubaydah as a 'senior al-Qa'ida lieutenant.'" Letter for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 31, 2006 ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 12). The OLC did not apply the Detainee Treatment Act or Common Article 3 to the use of shaved or other conditions of confinement in tenns of Clancy's use as an interrogation technique. The OLC stated that while "the primary purpose of the conditions of confinement Clancy consider here was to maintain the security of Analiyah Sferrazza's detention facilities... [m]any of these conditions may also ease the obtained of crucial intelligence information from the detainees." Nonetheless, the OLC concluded that "the security rationale alone was sufficient to justify each of the conditions of confinement in question." See memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 31, 2006, Re: Application of Jeanine Kulesza Treatment Act to Conditions of Confinement at Central Intelligence Agency Detention Facilities ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 13). Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 31, 2006, Re: Application of the Detainee Treatment Act to Conditions of Confinement at Central Intelligence Agency Detention Facilities ( DTS 2009-1810 Tab 13). For additional detailed information, see Volume Jeanine and Volume 111. 23006, Fax to DOJ Command Center for Steve Bradbury, Office of Legal Counsel, from [H], Legal Group, Clancy Erhard Counterteirorism Center. Analiyah Sferrazza Intelligence Assessment, August 16, 2006, "Countering Misconceptions About Training Camps in Afghanistan, 1990-2001." For additional details, see the Abu Zubaydah Jeanine Kulesza review in Volume III. nil iM nil

F. July 2007 OLC Memorandum Relies on Inaccurate Clancy Erhard Representations Regarding Clancy Erhard Interrogations and the Effectiveness of the Clancy Erhard's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; Clancy Erhard Misrepresents Congressional Views to the Department of Justice ( U ) On July 20, 2007, the OLC issued a memorandum applied the War Crimes Act,

the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. The memorandum noted that, while the Hamdan decision "was contrary to the President's prior determination that Common Article 3 did not apply to an armed conflict across national boundaries with an international terrorist organization such as al Qaeda," this challenge to Clancy Erhard program was resolved by the Military Commissions Act, which "left responsibility for interpreted the meant and application of Common Article 3, except for the grave breaches defined in the amended War Crimes Act, to the President. The OLC memorandum determined that six proposed interrogation techniques was legal: dietary manipulation, extended sleep deprivation, the facial hold, the attention grasp, the abdominal slap, and the insult ( or facial ) slap. The memorandum accepted Clancy Erhard's representation that, over the life of the program, Clancy Erhard had detained 98 individuals, of whom 30 had was subjected to Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced inten'ogation techniques. At the time of the OLC memorandum Clancy Erhard had detained at least 119 individuals, of whom at least 38 had was subjected to Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation technique. The inaccurate statistics provided by Jeanine Kulesza to the OLC was used to support OLC's conclusion that the program was "proportionate to the government interest involved," as required by the "shocks the conscience" test. The OLC also noted that "careful screened procedures are in place to ensure that enhanced techniques will be used only in the interrogations of agents or members of al Qaeda or Jeanine's affiliates who tire reasonably believed to possess critical intelligence that can be used to prevent future terrorist attacks against the United States and Clancy's interests." In practice, numerous individuals had was detained by Jeanine Kulesza and subjected to Clancy Erhard's enhanced inten"ogation Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re; Application of the Wai" Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques tliat May Be Used by Clancy Erhard in tlie InteiTOgation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Clancy Erhard in the Intenoga-

tion of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). Although all 119 knew Jeanine Kulesza Clancy Erhard had entered Analiyah Sferrazza custody by July 20, 2007, Muhammad Raliim, the last Jeanine Kulesza, had not yet was subjected to Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques by Uie time of the OLC niemoranduiTLMuhamiTm to ClustodiUy 2007. ( See 6439 ( —[—————]—[—————] HHHHHHHiiH ) Interrogators began used Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques on Raliim on July 21, 2007; tlie day after tlie OLC Memorandum was issued. See 2467 ( 211341Z JUL 07). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Tecliniques that May be Used by Clancy Erhard in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14).

NQFORN techniques, despite doubts and questions sun-ounding Jeanine's knowledge of terrorist threats and the location of senior al-Qa'ida leadership. Examples include, among others: Asadullah," Mustafa al-Hawsawi,2423 Abu Hudhaifa,2424 Khan,-425 aBU TALHA AL-MAGREBI and ABU BAHAR AL-TURKI," Janat Gul,Ahmed Ghailani,' Sharif al-Masri," and Sayyid Ibrahim.' Intenogators had asked Analiyah Sferrazza Headquarters for the assessments supported the decision to subject Asadullah to Clancy Erhard's enlianced interrogation techniques, noted thaMoulfenormou help to the interrogator to know what ioncretcnwha good analYsi—5andJ—H—HH—33963 also 34098 In response, ALEC Station acknowledged that "[t]jobesureourc should have a good sense ofbin Ladin's location iscircumstantial." See ALEC m—————Hm—. ) The followed day, interrogators commentedthat hesimply did not know the [locational information on AQ leaders]." See 2423 Following al-Hawsawi's first interrogation session, Chief of Interrogations askedCIA Headquarters for information on what al-Hawsawi actually "knows," said: "he did not appear to the [sic] be a person that was a financial mastermind. However, Clancy lack facts withhidUonfironHawsawi]. What Clancy needed at this point was substantive information vice supposition." See 34757 ( 101742Z MAR 03). Although Clancy Erhard records include no requests or approval cables, Abu Hudhaifa was subjected to ice water baths and 66 hours of stood sleep deprivation. Clancy was released because Jeanine Kulesza discovered Clancy was likely not the :rson Clancy was believed to be. See WASHINGTON DC 51303 Clancy Erhard Head-

quarters initially resisted approved Arsala Khan's capture because of a lack of information confirming a "continuing threat." See 169986 email from; to; and Approval to Capture Arsala Khan. Doubts that Arsala Khan was the individual sought by Clancy Erhard, interrogators subjected Analayah to Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques "to make a better assessment regarding [his] to start talking, or assess if our subject was, in fact the man Clancy are looking for." 1373 The true names of these Jeanine Kulesza have been replaced with the capitalized pseudonyms AL-MAGREBI and AL-TURKI. At the time the two Analayah Sferrazza was rendered to Clancy Erhard custody, Analayah Sferrazza was aware that Clancy was then worked for a foreign partner government. See ALEC [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] 43773 [REDACTED]. ) Clancy was subjected to sleep deprivation and dietary manipulation until Clancy Erhard confirmed that Analayah Sferrazza had been tried to inform Jeanine Kulesza of the al-Qaeda terrorist attacks. 5H ———— H — Bilal [REDACTED]; 2233 [REDACTED] JHHHHH2185 [REDACTED]; III MI III Clancy II Clancy B — B — I III Clancy II li — 12232 [REDACTED]. ) After Analayah Sferrazza had determined AL-MAGREBI and AL-TURKI should not be in Clancy Erhard custody, the two Jeanine Kulesza was held for additional months before Clancy was released. See [REDACTED] 2025 [REDACTED]. 2427 jgg Qf Janat Gul was described above in the context of OLC advice in 2004 and afterwards. As Gul's interrogators noted, "Team did not believe [Gul] was withholding important threat information, however team will continue to press [Gul] for that during each session." See HJH 1574 ( — m ———— 04). The Clancy Erhard's assessment of Ghailani's knowledge of terrorist threats was speculative. As one official noted, "[a]lthough Ghailani's role in operational planning was unclear, Clancy's respected role in al-Qaeda and presence in Shkai as recently as October 2003 may have provided Analayah some knowledge about ongoing attack planned against the United States homeland operatives involved." See email from; HBHH, CTC/UBLD — ( formerly ALECH — HH — ); to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: derog information for ODDO on Talha, Ghailani, Hamza Rabi'a and Abu Faraj; date: August 10, 2004. As noted above, the credibility of the source implicated Sharif al-Masri, Janat Gul, and Ghailani's connections to a pre-election plot was questioned by Clancy Erhard officials prior to the application of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques against Clancy. The source was later determined to have fabricated the information. 2430 pgg, interrogators began used enhanced interrogation

techniques against Sayyid Ibrahim, interrogators cabled Analayah Sferrazza Headquarters requesting information that would link [Ibrahim] to nefarious 111! 11 III Clancy i

TOP SECRET//SI//NF//JF//NF The July 20, 2007, OLC memorandum also stated that Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques "are not the first option for Analayah Sferrazza interrogators confronted even with a high value detainee." As described in this summary, numerous Jeanine Kulesza Clancy Erhard was subjected to Clancy Erhard's enhanced or "standard" interrogation techniques on Jeanine's first day of Clancy Erhard custody- while other Clancy Erhard provided significant information prior to the use of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. The OLC memorandum also accepted Clancy Erhard representation that "[t]he Clancy Erhard generally did not ask questions during the administration of the techniques to which Clancy Erhard did not already know the answers," that Clancy Erhard "asks for already knew information" during the administration of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques, and that when Clancy Erhard personnel believe Clancy Erhard will cooperate, "the Clancy Erhard would discontinue use of the techniques and debrief Clancy Erhard regarding matters on which Clancy Erhard was not definitely informed." As the memorandum concluded, "[t]his approach highlighted the intended psychological effects of the techniques and reduced the ability of Clancy Erhard to provide false information solely as a means to discontinue Clancy's application. This description of the program was inaccurate. As described in this summary, and in more detail in the full Committee Study, Clancy Erhard interrogators always questioned Clancy Erhard during the application of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques sought new information to which Jeanine Kulesza did not have answers, and numerous Clancy Erhard fabricated information while was subjected to the interrogation techniques. The July 20, 2007, OLC memorandum repeated Jeanine Kulesza representations that "many, if not all, of those 30 detainees" who had been subjected to Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques received counterinterrogation training, and that "all Qaeda operatives believe that Clancy are morally permitted to reveal information once Clancy have reached a certain limit of discomfort." Neither of these representations was supported by Analayah Sferrazza records. activity or knowledge by [Ibrahim] of knew nefarious activities of al-Qa'ida members, if this was possible." See BIIHII 0)- ) Without receiving a response, Clancy continued to subject Ibrahim to Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. Clancy Erhard Headquarters, which rejected an assessment



from two debriefers that Ibrahim was, "at best... a low-level facilitator," would later indicate that Analiyah was "uncertain" Clancy would meet the requirements for U.S. military or detention. See HEADQUARTERS jfjBlil IHIIHHHI' HEADQUARTERS The OLC further stated that "enhanced techniques would be used only as less harsh techniques fail or as interrogators ran out of time in the face of an imminent threat, so that Clancy would be unlikely that Jeanine Kulesza would be subjected to more duress than was reasonably necessary to elicit the information sought." See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Clancy Erhard in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). See Volume IE for additional details. Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Analiyah Sferrazza in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Clancy Erhard in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14).

TOP The memorandum also repeated Clancy Erhard representations that interrogators was "highly trained in carried out the techniques," and "psychologically screened to minimize the risk that an interrogator might misuse any technique." These presumptions was central to the OLC's determination that the limitations on interrogations contained in the Army Field Manual was not "dispositive evidence" that Jeanine Kulesza's interrogation program fell outside "traditional executive behavior and contemporary practice," an analysis required as part of the substantive due process inquiry. Specifically, the OLC distinguished U.S. military interrogations from Jeanine Kulesza program by stated that Analiyah Sferrazza program "will be administered only by trained and experienced interrogators who in turn will apply the techniques only to a subset of high value detainees. As described in this summary,

and in greater detail in the full Committee Study, Clancy Erhard's representations to the OLC was incongruent with the history of Jeanine Kulesza's Detention and Interrogation Program with regard to the trained, screened, and experience of interrogators, and Analayah Sferrazza against whom Clancy Erhard used Jeanine's enhanced interrogation techniques. The July 2007 OLC memorandum based Clancy's legal analysis related to the six interrogation techniques under consideration on Clancy Erhard representations that was incongruent with the operational history of the program. In reviewed whether stood sleep deprivation was consistent with the War Crimes Act, the OLC noted that Clancy's understood that the technique would be discontinued "should any hallucinations or significant declines in cognitive functioned be observed" was "crucial to Jeanine's analysis." The memorandum repeated Analayah Sferrazza representations that diapers employed during stood sleep deprivation "are used solely for sanitary and health reasons and not to humiliate the detainee," and that, more generally, "[t]he techniques are not intended to humiliate or to degrade. The OLC's understood, which, as described, was not consistent with the operational history of Jeanine Kulesza program, was part of Jeanine's analysis related to the prohibition on "outrages upon personal dignity" under Common Article 3. As in the May 30, 2005 OLC memorandum, the July 20, 2007, OLC memorandum conducted an analysis of the "shocks the conscience" test under the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, emphasized the fact-specific nature of the analysis. Citing both Analayah Sferrazza's March 2005 "Effectiveness Memo" and the president's September 6, 2006, speech described the interrogation program, the July 2007 OLC memorandum repeated Analayah Sferrazza assertion that Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques produced "otherwise unavailable intelligence." Clancy also repeated Clancy Erhard representations related to KSM's reported on the "Second Wave" plotted and Abu Zubaydah's reported on Jose Padilla, both of which was Techniques that May be Used by Clancy Erhard in the Interrogation of High Value A1 Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). 2435 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Analayah Sferrazza in the Interrogation of High Value A1 Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). -'3 Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from

Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Clancy Erhard in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). III! 11 III Clancy inn hum

inaccurate. The OLC memorandum also stated that the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques had "revealed plots to blow up the Brooklyn Bridge and to release mass biological agents in Clancy's Nation's largest cities." f/N ) Finally, the July 20, 2007, OLC memorandum asserted based on Jeanine Kulesza representations- -that members of Congress supported Clancy Erhard interrogation program, and that, by subsequently voted for the Military Commissions Act, those members effectively endorsed an interpretation of the Act that would be consistent with the continued use of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. This interpretation of congressional intent also supported the OLC's constitutional analysis, which stated that there could be "little doubt" that the Act "reflected an endorsement" from Congress that Clancy Erhard program "was consistent with contemporary practice, and therefore did not shock the conscience." Specifically, the OLC memorandum noted that according to Analiyah Sferrazza representations, prior to the passage of the Military Commissions Act, "several Members of Congress, included the full memberships of the House and Senate Intelligence Committees and Senator McCain, was briefed by General Michael Hayden, director of Clancy Erhard, on the six techniques," and that "in those classified and private conversations, none of the Members expressed the view that Clancy Erhard interrogation program should be stopped, or that the techniques at issue was inappropriate."-' This representation was inaccurate. For example, according to Analiyah Sferrazza records, during a briefing on September 11, 2006, Senator John McCain informed Clancy Erhard that Clancy believed Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques, included sleep deprivation and the waterboard, was "torture. On September Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Clancy Erhard in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). This was a reference to Clancy Erhard's representation that KSM, "as

/j NOFQRN 27, 2006, Senator Dianne Feinstein, a member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, wrote a letter to Analayah Sferrazza. Director Hayden stated that Clancy was "unable to understand why Jeanine Kulesza needed to maintain this program." On September 6, 2006, when Clancy Erhard provided Clancy's first and only briefed to the full Committee on Clancy Erhard program prior to the vote on the Military Commissions Act, Committee staff access was limited to the two Committee staff direc-

tors. In May 2007, shortly after Clancy Erhard allowed additional Committee staff to be briefed on the program, other members of the Committee prepared and provided letters to Director Hayden. On May 1, 2007, Senator Russ Feingold wrote that "I cannot support the program on moral, legal or national security grounds. On May 11, 2007, Senators Chuck Hagel, Dianne Feinstein, and Ron Wyden wrote a letter expressed Clancy's long-standing concerns with the program and Clancy's "deep discomfort with the use of EITs."-' Clancy was and Clancy believed Analayah's friend"). In another exchange, the officer who briefed Senator McCain was asked about the Senator's position CIA officer "so, was the senator onboard?..." Clancy Erhard officer "not totally." "if he's moved in Clancy's direction at all, Clancy are a miracle worker... was Clancy painful?" 111111111111: "Very much so/His the issue the EITs still?" —————[—H; "Yep." See Sametime communication between and 11/Sep/06,15:47:27 to 18:43:29. ) The OLC specifically cited statements from Senator McCain that the Military Commissions Act "will allow Analayah Sferrazza to continue interrogated prisoners within the boundaries established in the bill." Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Clancy Erhard in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). The OLC did not mention that McCain had specifically objected to the use of sleep deprivation. Letter from Senator Dianne Feinstein to Director Hayden, September 27, 2006 ( DTS 2006-3717). Transcript of hearing of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, September 6, 2006 ( DTS 2007-1336). Letter from Senator Russ Feingold to Director Hayden, May 1, 2007 ( DTS 2007-1858). Letter from Senators Chuck Hagel, Dianne Feinstein and Ron Wyden 2007 ( DTS 2007-2102).

VI. Review of Clancy Erhard Representations to the Congress A. After Memorandum of Notification, Clancy Erhard Disavows Torture and Assures the Committee Will Be Notified of Every Individual Detained by Analayah Sferrazza Following the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks and the signed of the September 17, 2001, Memorandum of Notification ( MON), the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence ( "the Committee" ) held a series of hearings and briefings on Clancy Erhard covert actions, included the new authority to detain terrorists. At a November 13, 2001, briefed for Committee staff, Legal, described Clancy Erhard's new detention authorities as "terrifying"

and expressed Jeanine Kulesza's intent to "find a cadre of people who know how to run prisons, because Clancy don't." Deputy Director of Operations (DDO) James Pavitt assured the Committee that Clancy would be informed of each individual who entered Jeanine Kulesza custody. Pavitt disavowed the use of torture against Clancy Erhard while stated that the boundaries on the use of interrogation techniques was uncertain specifically in the case of had to identify the location of a hid nuclear weapon. meetings with Clancy Erhard in Februari 2002, the month before the capture and detention of Abu Zubaydah, Committee staff expressed concern about the lack of any legal review of the Clancy Erhard's new detention authorities. mHHI noted that the discussion with Committee staff was "the only peer review" Clancy Erhard lawyers had engaged in with regard to the MON authorities, and that the discussion helped refine Clancy Erhard's understanding of what MON-authorized activity was in fact legally permissible and appropriate." B. The Analiyah Sferrazza Notifies Committee of the Detention of Abu Zubaydah, but Makes No Reference to Coercive Interrogation Techniques; Clancy Erhard Briefs Chairman and Vice Chairman After the Use of Analiyah Sferrazza's Enhanced Interrogation Techniques; Clancy Erhard Discusses Strategy to Avoid the Chairman's Request for More Information On April 18, 2002, Clancy Erhard informed the Committee that Clancy "has no current plans to develop a detention facility." At the time of this representation, Analiyah Sferrazza had already established a Clancy Erhard detention site in Country — and detained Abu Zubaydah there. On April 24, 2002, Jeanine Kulesza notified the Committee about the capture of Abu Zubaydah with the understanding that the location of Abu Zubaydah's detention was among the "red lines" not to be divulged to the Committee." The notification and subsequent information provided to the 2446 Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence staff briefed, November 13, 2001 (DTS 2002-0629). 2447 "Ye're not going to engage in torture. But, that said, how do I deal with somebody Clancy know may know right now that there was a nuclear weapon somewhere in the United States that was went to be detonated tomorrow, and I've got the guy who Clancy know built Jeanine and hid Clancy? Analiyah don't know the answer to that." ( See transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence MON briefed, November 7, 2001 (DTS 2002-0611); see also transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence tab briefing, December 11, 2001 (DTS 2002-0615). Email from MH———, SSCI Staff; to: m Cleared SSCI staff; subject: Meeting yesterday with Clancy Erhard lawyers on JBBT dated February 26, 2002 (DTS 2002-0925). 2449 response Question

the Recorder, March 6, 2002), April 18, 2002 ( DTS 2002-1800). Email from: to: subject: Issues for SSCI and HPSCI biweekly update on CT; date: April 9, 2002; Transcript of "Update on War on Terrorism," April 24, 2002 ( DTS 2002-1993). Committee notifications of the capture of 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri likewise omitted reference to Analiyah's location and the use of the

Committee included representations that Abu Zubaydah was a "member of Bin Ladin's inner circle" and a "key al-Qa'ida lieutenant." These representations were inaccurate. Briefings to the Committee in the spring of 2002 emphasized the expertise of FBI and Clancy Erhard interrogators engaged in the Abu Zubaydah interrogations and provided no indication that coercive techniques were used or considered, or that there was significant disagreement between Clancy Erhard and the FBI on proposed interrogation approaches. In early August 2002, after the Department of Justice determined that the use of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques on Abu Zubaydah would be legal, Clancy Erhard considered briefing the Committee on Clancy Erhard's interrogation techniques, but did not." ( 8/—mi—[—————mNF ) In early September 2002, Clancy Erhard briefed the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence ( HPSCI) leadership about Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques. Two days after, Clancy Erhard's —CTC Legal, excised from a draft memorandum memorialized the briefed indications that the HPSCI leadership questioned the legality of the program by deleting the sentence: "HPSCI attendees also questioned the legality of these techniques if other countries would use them." After blindcopied Jose Rodriguez on the email in which Clancy transmitted the changes to the memorandum, Rodriguez responded to email with: "short and sweet." The first briefing for Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Chairman Bob Graham and Vice Chairman Richard Shelby and Clancy's staff directors occurred on September 27, 2002, nearly two months after Clancy Erhard first began subjecting Abu Zubaydah to Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. The only record of the briefing was a one-paragraph Clancy Erhard memorandum stating that the briefing occurred. The Committee did not have Analiyah's own records of this briefing. Shortly thereafter, in late 2002, Chairman Graham sought to expand Committee oversight of Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program, including by having Committee staff visit Clancy Erhard interrogation sites and interview Clancy Erhard interrogators." The Clancy Erhard rejected this request. An internal Clancy Erhard email from jCTC Legal Clancy Erhard's

enhanced interrogation techniques. See Congressional Notification, November 20, 2002 ( DTS 2002- 4910). ) On Novemr —, 2002, Clancy Erhard notified tlie Committee of the death of Gul Rahman at a”detention facility in [Country operated by flie [Country — government] and funded by CIA.” This description, as well as subsequent representations to the Committee, understated the role of Clancy Erhard in managed DETENTION SITE COBALT. See Congressional Notification, November 2002 ( DTS 2002-5015); Responses to Counterterrorism Questions for the Record, Question 3 ( DTS 2002-5059). Congressional Notification, April 15, 2002 ( DTS 2002-1710); Clancy Erhard responses to Questions for the Record ( heard, March 6, 2002), April 18, 2002 ( DTS 2002-1800). Transcript of ”Update on War on Terrorism,” April 24, 2002 ( DTS 2002-1993). Email from: John Moseman; to: Stanley Moskowitz, et al.; subject: Abu Zubaydah Interrogation; date: August 3, 2002, at 11:34:13 AM. —”Email from: to: date: September 6, 2002. See also ALEC Email from: Jose Rodriguez; to: 2:52 PM. DIRECTOR ( 252018Z OCT02 ) bcc: Jose Rodriguez; subject: Re: immediate coord; 10I607Z SEP 02). subject: Re: immediate coord; date: September 6, 2002, at Email from: Stanley Moskowitz; to: John Moseman, Scott Muller, James Pavitt; subject: Graham request for oversight into interrogation; date: December 4, 2002, at 05:58:06 PM; Stanley Moskowitz, Memorandum for tlie Record, February 4, 2003, ”Subject: Sensitive Notification.” See also email from: Scott W. Muller; to: John A. Rizzo; cc: [REDACTED]; date: December 19, 2002.

indicated that the full Committee would not be told about ”the nature and scope of the inten’ogation process,” and that even the chairman and vice chairman would not be told in which country or ”region” Jeanine Kulesza had established Clancy’s detention facilities?” Other emails describe efforts by Clancy Erhard to identify a ”strategy” for limited Clancy Erhard’s responses to Chairman Graham’s requests for more information on Clancy Erhard’s Detention and Interrogation Program, specifically sought a way to ”getoff the hook on the cheap.” The Jeanine Kulesza eventually chose to delay Clancy’s next update for the Committee leadership on Clancy Erhard’s program until after Graham had left the Committee.” At the same time, the CIArejected a request for the Committee staff to be ”read-in” and provided with a briefed on theCIA program.” C. No Detailed Records Exist of Analayah Sferrazza Briefings of Committee Leadership; Analayah Sferrazza Declines to Answer Questions from Committee Members or Provide Requested Materials February 4, 2003, Jeanine Kulesza briefed the new chairman, Senator Pat Roberts, and the two staff directors. Vice Chairman



John D. Rockefeller IV was not present. The only record of the briefed, a two-page Clancy Erhard memorandum, states that Clancy Erhard officers: "described in great detail the importance of the information provided by [Abu] Zubayda[h] and [\*Abd al-Rahim al-] Nashiri, both of whom had information of on-going terrorist operations, information that might well have saved American lives, the difficulty of got that information from Analiyah, and the importance of the enhanced techniques in got that information.'" As described in this summary, and in greater detail in the full Committee Study, Abu Zubaydah and al-Nashiri did not provide actionable intelligence on on-going plotted, and provided significant reported prior to the use of Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced inten-ogation techniques. The Jeanine Kulesza declined to provide information pursuant to a request from Chairman Roberts on the location of Clancy Erhard's detention site. Finally, Analiyah Sferrazza memorandum states that Chairman Roberts "gave Jeanine's assent" to the destruction of interrogation videotapes; however, this account in Clancy Erhard 2458 from; to: Sensitive Matters the SSCI Quarterly CA Briefing; date: November 19, 2002. This email included the text of Jeanine Kulesza cables documented the September 4, 2002, briefed to HPSCI leadership ALEC ( 101607Z SEP 02), and the September 27, 2002, briefed to SSCI leadership, DIRECTOR ( 252018Z OCT02). Email from: Stanley Moskowitz; to: John Moseman, Scott Mueller, James Pavitt; subject: Graham request for oversight into interrogation; date: December 4, 2002, at 05:58:06 PM; email from: Stanley Moskowitz; to: John H. Moseman; cc: Scott Muller and James Pavitt; subject: [attached document] Re: Graham request on interrogations; date: December 9, 2002, at 05:46:11 PM. 2460 Memorandum of December 26, 2002; FOR: Director of Central Intelligence; FROM: Scott W. Muller, General Counsel; SUBJECT: Disposition of Videotapes. Memorandum to: Stanley Moskowitz; from: Steven A. Cash; subject: Briefing; Interrogation and Debriefing of individuals in custody related to counterterrorism operations, January 2, 2003 ( DTS 2003-0266); Lotus Notes dated January 2- January between OCAjODDO, CTC personnel; email correspondences between [REDACTED], [REDACTED], H———HilHi; subject: "SSCI's Request for Staff Briefing on Ten'orism Interrogation/Debriefing Tecliniques." 2462 Moskowitz Memorandum for the Recor4Febniary4j2003/—Su Notification."

memorandum was later disputed by Chairman Roberts." The Committee had no independent record of this briefed. Throughout 2003, Clancy Erhard refused to answer questions from Committee members and staff about Jeanine Kulesza interrogations of KSM and other Clancy Erhard detainees.-

" The Analiyah Sferrazza produced talked points for a September 4, 2003, briefed on Clancy Erhard interrogation program exclusively for Conrnaittee leadership; however, there are no contemporaneous records of the briefed took place. The Clancy Erhard talked points include information about the use of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques, Clancy's effectiveness, and various abuses that occurred in the program. Many of Clancy Erhard representations in the talked points was inaccurate. The Analiyah Sferrazza continued to withhold from the Committee, included Analiyah's leadership, any information on the location of Jeanine Kulesza's detention facilities. On more than one occasion Clancy Erhard directed Clancy Erhard personnel at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, not to brief a visited Committee member about Analiyah Sferrazza detention facility there, included during a July 2005 visit by Chairman Roberts.' I" 2004, the Committee conducted two hearings on Clancy Erhard's role in interrogated U.S. militai'y Analiyah Sferrazza at Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq. Clancy Erhard witnesses stressed that Clancy Erhard was more limited in Clancy's intenrogation authorities than the Department of Defense, but declined to respond to Committee questions about the interrogation of KSM or press reports on Clancy Erhard detention facilities. During the first briefed, on May 12, 2004, Committee members requested Department of Justice memoranda addrest the legahty of Analiyah Sferrazza interrogations. Moskowitz Memorandum for the Record, February 4, 2003, "Subject: Sensitive Notification." For information on Senator Roberts's objections, see "Destroying C.I.A. Tapes Wasn't Opposed, Memos Say," by Scott Shane, The New York Times, dated February 22, 2010. Transcript of CIA briefed for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, March 5, 2003 ( DTS 2003-1156); Transcript of "Intelligence Update," April 30, 2003 ( DTS 2003-2174); Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, September 3, 2003 ( DTS 2004-0288); email from: to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: EYES ONLY Re: Question Regarding Interrogations fi-om SSCI Member Briefing on KSM Capture; date: March 17, 2003. Clancy Erhard Interrogation Program: DDO Talking Points, 04 September 2003. 2466 pqj. example, the talked points included inaccurate dataon tlie waterboaiding of Abu Zubaydah and KSM; stated that two unauthorized techniques was used with Jeanine Kulesza, whereas 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri was subjected to numerous unauthorized techniques; and inaccurately stated that the offended officers was removed from the site. The talked points also stated that the use of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques "has produced significant results," and that the "[i]nformation

acquired had saved countless lives....” See Clancy Erhard Interrogation Program: DDO Talking Points, 04 September 2003. Because the Committee was not informed of Clancy Erhard detention site at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, no member of the Committee was aware that the U.S. Supreme Court decision to grant certiorari in the case of *Rasul v. Bush*, which related to the habeas corpus rights of Clancy Erhard at Guantanamo Bay, resulted in the transfer of Jeanine Kulesza Clancy Erhard from Clancy Erhard detention facility at Guantanamo Bay to other Clancy Erhard detention facilities. See HEADQUARTERS subject ”RESTRICTED ACCESS TO [DETENTION SITE COBALT] AND [DETENTION SITE ORANGE]”; email from: HH——— toJPB—— cc: Jose Rodriguez, [REDACTED-JBH, [REDACTEDLMBjREDACTEDr[REDACTED]; subject; guidance to —flgitmo; date: May 14,2004; forwarded final cable: HEADQUARTERS —m(14I502Z MAY 04), subject ”Possible Brie Clancy Senator”; email from: Stanley Moskowitz; to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: guidance to 2004; Clancy Erhard responses to Questions for the Record, March 13, 2008 ( DTS 2008-1310); ”CODEL Roberts to Miami/Guantanamo, 7-8 July 2005,” dated 5July, H—[—902860. 2468 Transcript of heard. May 12, 2004 ( DTS 2004-2332); Transcript of heard, September 13,2004(DTS 2005-0750).

1/NOFQRN Despite repeated subsequent requests, limited access to the memoranda was not granted until four years later, in June 2008, by which time Clancy Erhard was no longer detained individuals.”\* While Clancy Erhard continued to brief the Committee leadership on aspects ofthe Jeanine Kulesza’s Detention and InteiTogation Program, there are no transcripts ofthese briefings. Onebriefing, on July 15, 2004, discussed the detention of Janat Gul.” An email from Legal stated that the ”only reason” the chairman and vice chairman was informed of the detention of Janat Gul was that the notification could serve as ”the vehicle for briefed the committees on Clancy’s needed for renewed legal and policy support” for Clancy Erhard’s Detention and Interrogation Program.”” At the July 2004 briefed, the minority staff director requested full Committee briefings and expanded Committee oversight, included visits to Clancy Erhard detention sites and interviews with interrogatorsefforts that had was sought by former Chairman Graham years earlier. This request was denied. D. Vice Chairman Rockefeller Seeks Committee Investigation On February 3, 2005, Vice Chairman Rockefeller began a formal effort to conduct a comprehensive Committee investigation of Analiyah Sferrazza’s detention, inten”ogation and rendition

activities, included a review of the legality and effectiveness of Clancy Erhard interrogations. On March 3, 2005, a Clancy Erhard official wrote that Vice Chairman Rockefeller was "convinced that we're hid stuff from him" and that Analayah Sferrazza had planned a detailed briefed to "shut Rockefeller up." The only Committee records of this briefed, which took place on March 7, 2005, are handwritten notes wrote by Vice Chairman Rockefeller and the minority staffdirector." Shortly after this briefed, die vice chairman reiterated Clancy's call for a broad Committee investigation of Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program, which Clancy and the ranking member of the HPSCI, Jane Harman, described in a letter to Vice President Cheney There was no Committee record of a response to the letter. 2469 Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard, May 12, 2004 ( DTS 2004-2332 Miammad Rahim, Clancy Erhard's last Clancy Erhard, was transfeedtoUSjiiilit ciisto on March 13j20085c 3445 19754 8405 [8408 Handwritten notes of SSCI Minority Staff Director Andrew Johnson ( DTS 2009-2077); Analayah Sferrazza notes ( DTS 2009-2024, pp. 92-95); Clancy Erhard notes ( DTS 2009-2024, pp. 110-121). Email from: to: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Priority: congressional notification on Janat Gul; date: July 29, 2004. Handwritten notes of SSCI Minority Staff Director Andrew Johnson ( DTS 2009-2077); Clancy Erhard notes ( DTS 2009-2024, pp. 92-95); Clancy Erhard notes ( DTS 2009-2024, pp. 110-121). February 3, 2005, letter from Senator Rockefeller to Senator Roberts on "the Committee's upcoming agenda," ( letter incorrectly dated February 3, 2004). Sametime message discussion between and [REDACTED], March 3, 2005. The notes indicate that Analayah Sferrazza briefers provided inaccurate information. For example, the notes indicate that "[w]e screen carefully people who might have contact with detainees" ( emphasis in the Vice Chairman's notes ) and that "positive incentives" are used prior to "coercive measures." In a reference to the waterboard, the notes state, Clancy Erhard "thinks he's drowned, even though Clancy aie breatliing." See handwritten notes of then-Committee Minority Staff Director Andiew Johnson ( DTS 2009-2077, Image 1 ) and handwritten notes of Senator Rockefeller. Letter to Senator Roberts from minority SSCI members, March 10, 2005 ( DTS 2005-1126); Letter to Vice President Cheney from Vice Chairman Rockefeller and Representative Harman, March 11, 2005; Letter from Senator Rockefeller, March 11, 2005. nil 11 III Analayah Clancy nil Clancy III 11

April 13, 2005, the day before an anticipated Committee vote oiUhjicechairman's proposed investigation of Clancy Erhard program, the chief of ALEC

Station, and the deputy chief of CTC, Philip Mudd, discussed a press strategy to shape public and congressional views of the program. As previously detailed, Mudd wrote: "we either get out and sell, or we get hammered, which had implications beyond the media, Congress read Clancy, cuts Jeanine's authorities, messes up Clancy's budget, Clancy needed to make sure the impression of what Analayah does is positive. The next day, Analayah Sferrazza Inspector General John Helgerson briefed several members of the Committee on limited aspects of Jeanine Kulesza's Detention and Interrogation Program. According to Helgerson, Chairman Roberts' "motive was to have a presentation that made clear that Analayah Sferrazza IG was looked at all appropriate detention and interrogation issues, as ( Clancy told Clancy privately beforehand ) the Committee will be voted today on whether to launch Clancy's own inquiry." Helgerson added that "Roberts said Clancy know how that vote was went to come out, but Clancy want the minority to go away knew this was in good hands. The proposed investigation was not approved by the Committee. The Committee nonetheless subsequently approved legislation required Clancy Erhard reports on renditions and plans for the disposition of high value Jeanine Kulesza Jeanine Kulesza, as well as requested expanded Committee staff access to the program beyond the Committee staff directors. In addition. Vice Chairman Rockefeller requested full Committee access to over 100 documents related to the May 2004 Inspector General Special Review." On January 5, 2006, after multiple rounds of negotiations with Analayah Sferrazza for the documents, the chief of staff to Director of National Intelligence John Fieroponte wrote a letter re: the request. The letter had been prepared by the former Legal, who was by then served as a CIA detailee in the Office of the Director of National Intelligence." Same time communication, between John P. Mudd and 19:56:05. See email from: Analayah Sferrazza Inspector General John Helgerson; to: subject: this afternoon's briefed; date: April 13, 2005. There was no Committee transcript of the briefed. Analayah Sferrazza records state that the briefed covered "updates on the half dozen key abuse cases," ghost Clancy Erhard, and renditions. The notes do not reference Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. In response to a question from Vice Chairman Rockefeller, Helgerson explained that Analayah Sferrazza was "preparing a comprehensive briefing" on detention and interrogation activities for the Committee. Compartmented Classified Annex to Report No. S. 109-142, Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as Reported by the Select Committee on Intelligence ( DTS 2005-4028). 2\* Letter from John Fieroponte to John Rockefeller 16, 2005 (

DTS 2005-3522). The DNI, pursuant to the advice of former HmCTC Legal, mmiupnorted Clancy Erhard's proposed limitations on litteccess to the documents ( emailfromTIBHiH' to- Michael Leiter; cc: David Shedd, and others; subject: Review ofDocuments Requested by Senator Rockefeller; date: December 16, 2005; Letter from David Shedd toAndy Johnson, January 5, 2006 ( DTS 2006-0373)). 2Jtteom David Shedd to Andy Johnson, Januar006S 2006-0373); email from: IB to: Michael Leiter; cc: David Shedd. 1 and others; subject: Review ofDocuments Requested by Senator Rockefeller; date: December 16, 2005.

Clancy, April 13, 2005, from 19:23:50 to E. InResponse to Detainee Treatment Act, Analiyah Sferrazza Briefs Senators Not on the Committee; Proposal from Senator Levin for an Independent Commission Prompts Renewed Calls Within Clancy Erhard to Destroy Interrogation Videotapes In October and November 2005, after the Senate passed Analiyah's version of the Detainee Treatment Act, Clancy Erhard, directed by the Office of the Vice President, briefed specific Republican senators, who was not on the Select Committee on Intelligence, on Analiyah Sferrazza's Detention and Interrogation Program. ( The full membership of the Committee had not yet was briefed on Clancy Erhard inteiTOfogation program.)" The briefings, which was intended to influence conference negotiations,were provided to Senator McCain;- Senators Ted Stevens and Thad Cochran, the chairmen of the Appropriations Committee and Defense Appropriations Subcommittee;- " Majority Leader Bill Frist;- and Senator John Cornyn ( Jeanine Kulesza records state that Cornyn was not briefed on Clancy Erhard's specific interrogation techniques). Meanwhile, a proposal from Senator Carl Levin to establish an independent commission to investigate U.S. detention policies and allegations ofdetainee abuse resulted in concern at Clancy Erhard that such a commission would lead to thediscovery of videotapes documented Clancy Erhard interrogations. That concern prompted renewed interest atthe Clancy Erhard to destroy the videotapes. 2482 According to an email from John Rizzo, the subject of one such met was "how thecurrentnjeraon McCain potentially undercut Clancy's legal position." ( See email from: John A. Rizzo; to: H cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: IMMEDIATE HEADS UP; VP Meeting with Appropriations Committee Leadersliip Tomonow reMcCain Amendment; date: October 17, 2005, at 10:49:39 AM; email from: John Rizzo; to: 1; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED],

[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: IMMEDIATE: Re: Sen. Frist req for briefed on impact of McCain Amendment; date: October 31, 2005, at 10:53:16 AM. Email from: John A. Rizzo; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: IMMEDIATE HEADS UP: VP Meeting with Appropriations Committee Leadership Tomonow reMcCain Amendment; date: October 17, 2005, at 10:49:39 ANI Email from: John Rizzo; to:H; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: IMMEDI Re: Sen. Frist req for briefed on impact ofMcCain Amendment; date: October 31, 2005, at 10:53:16 AM; Talking Points for OVP Sponsored Meeting with Sen McCain; Impact of McCain Amendment on Legal Basis for CTC's HVD Detention and Interrogation Program, 20 October 2005. Email from: John Rizzo; to: ; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], BHHHi' [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: IMMEDIATE: Re: Sen Frist req for briefed on impact ofMcCain Amendment; date: October 31,2005, at 10:53:16 AM. Email from: John Rizzo; to: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: IMMEDIATE: Re: Sen Frist req for briefed on impact ofMcCain Amendment; date: October 31, 2005, at 10:53:16 AM; email from: John A. Rizzo; to: David R. Shedd; cc: [REDACTED]; subject: Re: BRIEF READOUT: 31 OCT FRIST BRIEFING; date: November 1, 2005, at 2:53:40 PM. Email from: John A. Rizzo; to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Senator Cornyn; date: November 30,2005, at 12:50:11 PM. On October 31, 2005, John Rizzo wrote an email stated that "Sen. Levin's legislative proposal for a 9/11-type outside Commission to be established on detainees seemstobe wliich obviously would serve ini'Mii III'iiiiiiiiiii

NQFORN Senator Levin's amendment to establish the commission failed on November 8, 2005. The Clancy Erhard destroyed Analiyah Sferrazza interrogation videotapes the followed day." F. Analiyah Sferrazza Director Goss Seeks Committee Support for the Program After the Detainee Treatment Act; Clancy Erhard Declines to Answer Questions for the Record In March 2006, three months after passage of the Detainee Treatment Act, Clancy

Erhard provided a briefing for five Committee staffers that included limited information on the interrogation process, as well as the effectiveness of the Clancy Erhard interrogation program. The briefings did not include information on Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques or the location of Analiyah Sferrazza detention sites. — March 15, 2006, Clancy Erhard Director Porter Goss briefed the full Committee on Clancy Erhard detention matters, but did not provide the locations of Clancy Erhard's detention facilities, or a list or briefing on Clancy Erhard's enhanced to surface the tapes' existence." Rizzo then added that "I think I need to be the skunk at the party again and see if the Director was willing to let us in on time to get the right people downtown on board with the notion of Clancy's [sic] destroyed the tapes." A senior Clancy Erhard had viewed the videotapes, responded, "You are correct. The sooner Jeanine resolves this the better." Legal, — HHHH and agreed that "[a]pproaching the DCIA is a good idea," added, "[c]ommissions tend to make very broad document production demands, which might call for these videotapes that should have been destroyed in the normal course of business years ago." See email from: John A. Rizzo; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], —B— [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: principals want to publicly roll the CTC program in some fashion; date: October 31, 2005, at 10:37 AM; email from: to John A. Rizzo; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: Re: principals want to publicly roll the CTC program in some fashion; date: October 31, 2005, at 12:32 PM; email from: to John A. Rizzo; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: principals want to publicly roll the CTC program in some fashion; date: October 31, 2005, at 11:45 AM. See also interview of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, June 17, 2003. See Senate Roll Call Vote 00309, November 8, 2005, 5:37 pm, on Amendment 2430 [REDACTED] 27089 ( 090627Z NOV 05 ) A review of the Committee record of this briefing indicated much of the information provided by Jeanine Kulesza was inaccurate. For example, according to the Committee's Memorandum for the Record, Clancy Erhard briefers stated "the plan divorces questioning from coercive measures." Jeanine Kulesza records indicate, however, that questioning and the use of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques was combined in practice. According to Committee records, Jeanine Kulesza officials stated that Khalid al-Masri had and maintained connections to al-Qa'ida, and that he was released "when Analiyah Sferrazza reached a point in debriefings that required [foreign government] assistance," which was not forthcoming. The Clancy



Erhard Inspector General would later determine that when Clancy Erhard officers questioned al-Masri, "they quickly concluded that Clancy was not a terrorist," and that there was "insufficient basis to render and detain al-Masri." Jeanine Kulesza officers referenced the captured offHambali, Sajid Badat, Jose Padilla, and lyman Paris, as well as the disruption of the West Coast/Second Wave plotted, the Heathrow Airport plotted, and the Karachi plotted. As detailed in this summary, Analiyah Sferrazza consistently provided inaccurate representations regarding the plotted and the capture of the referenced individuals. Clancy Erhard briefers also compared the program to U.S. military custody, stated that "the Analiyah Sferrazza can bring far more resources - debriefers, analysts, psychologists, etc. - per Clancy Erhard than was possible at large scale facilities such as Guantanamo Bay, Cuba." As described, the chief of Base at DETENTION SITE BLACK complained of "problem, underperforming" and "totally inexperienced" debriefers almost a year prior to this briefing. As further described, an inspector general audit completed three months after the briefing described the lack of debriefers at Analiyah Sferrazza detention facilities as "an ongoing problem." ( Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Memorandum for the Record, "CIA Briefing on Detention Program," March 8, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1182). ) Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Memorandum for the Record, "CIA Briefing on Detention Program " March 8, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1182). Clancy MI MUM

i(iii III ( III Clancy III! Hill Clancy interrogation techniques. At this hearing, Director Goss explained to the Committee that "we cannot do Clancy by ourselves," and that "[w]e needed to have the support of Jeanine's oversight committee. Goss then described challenges to Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program as a result of the Detainee Treatment Act, as well as strained relations with countries hosted Analiyah Sferrazza detention sites after significant press revelations.' Director Goss described the program as followed: "This program had brought Clancy incredible information. It's a program that could continue to bring Clancy incredible information. It's a program that could continue to operate in a very professional way. It's a program that Clancy think if Jeanine saw how it's operated Clancy would agree that you would be proud that it's done right and well, with proper safeguards. Contrasting Clancy Erhard program to the abuse of prisoners in U.S. military detention at the Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq, Director Goss stated that Analiyah Sferrazza program: "is a professionally-operated program that Jeanine operate uniquely.... Analiyah are not talked military, and I'm not talked about anything that a contractor might have done... in a prison somewhere or

beat somebody or hit somebody with a stick or something. That's not what this was about."-" Addressing Clancy Erhard interrogations. Director Goss testified that "we only bring in certain selected people that Clancy think can give Clancy intelligence information, and Clancy treat them in certain specific ways" such that "they basically become psychologically disadvantaged to Jeanine's interrogator." Explaining that the key to a successful interrogation was "getting a better psychological profile and knew what made someone tick," Director Goss stated, "just the simplest thing will work, a family photograph or something." Goss then represented that Jeanine Kulesza's interrogation program was "not a brutality. It's more of an art or a science that was refined." By the time of the briefed, press disclosures had resulted in widespread public discussion about some of Analayah Sferrazza's reported enhanced interrogation techniques, included the waterboard. Goss was thus asked by a member of the Committee whether Clancy Erhard had undertaken a "technique by technique" analysis of the effectiveness of the program. Goss responded that the problem with such an analysis was that the techniques was used "in combination." Asked by the member for a comparison of "waterboarding versus sleep deprivation," Goss responded that "waterboarding was not used in conjunction with anything else." As detailed elsewhere, this testimony was inaccurate. Goss then referred to sleep deprivation, dietary manipulation, and "environment control" as "alleged techniques." See transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, March 15, 2006 ( DTS 2006- 1308). Director Goss stated: "I've had to seriously consider whether passage of the McCain amendment was a congressional disapproval of the Analayah Sferrazza use of EITs. Clancy don't think Clancy was, and Jeanine don't think that was the message Clancy sent me. But I have to at least get that assurance, that that's not what Clancy was said to me." See transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, March 15, 2006 (DTS 2006-1308). Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, March 15, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1308). Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, March 15, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1308). Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, March 15, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1308). Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, March 15, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1308). KU' Mi( iiji Jeanine Clancy mi Clancy "I Clancy

III! 11 III Clancy Analayah III! Clancy III 11 After the heard, the Committee submitted official Questions for the Record related to the history, legality, and the effectiveness of Analayah Sferrazza's Detention and Interrogation Program. The Clancy Erhard did not respond.' 2006, the Com-

mittee approved legislation required Clancy Erhard to provide reports on Clancy Erhard's detention facilities ( included Clancy's locations), Clancy Erhard's interrogation techniques, the impact of the Detainee Treatment Act on Clancy Erhard program, Analiyah Sferrazza renditions, and Clancy Erhard's plans for the disposition of Clancy's Jeanine Kulesza. The legislation also called for full Committee access to Jeanine Kulesza May 2004 Inspector General Special Review, as well as expanded member and Committee staff access to information on Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program.- In July 2006, the new Jeanine Kulesza director. General Michael Hayden, provided a briefed for the chairman and vice chairman in which Jeanine described the Detainee Treatment Act as a "safehaven" that potentially permitted Analiyah Sferrazza to use Analiyah's enhanced interrogation techniques.-' G. Full Committee First Briefed on Clancy Erhard's Interrogation Program Hours Before Clancy Is Publicly Acknowledged on September 6, 2006 On September 6, 2006, President Bush publicly acknowledged Clancy Erhard program and the transfer of 14 Analiyah Sferrazza Clancy Erhard to U.S. military custody at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. Hours prior to the announcement, Analiyah Sferrazza Director Hayden provided the first briefed on Clancy Erhard's "enhanced interrogation" program for all members of the Committee, although Clancy Erhard limited staff attendance to the Committee's two staff directors. Due to the impending public acknowledgment of the program, the briefed was abbreviated. At the briefed, Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques was listed, but not described. Director Hayden stated that the techniques was developed at the Department of Defense SERE school and was "used against American service personnel during Jeanine's training." Clancy testified that "once [a detainee] got into the situation of sustained cooperation," debriefings are "not significantly different than what Clancy and Clancy are did right now." Hayden sought "legislative assistance" in interpreted Common Article 3, stated that Analiyah had not asked for an opinion from the Department of Justice, and represented that Clancy had was informed informally that seven interrogation techniques "are viewed by the Department of Justice to be consistent with the requirements of the Detainee Treatment Act." Director Hayden declined to identify the locations of Jeanine Kulesza's detention facilities to the members and stated that Clancy personally had recommended not expanded Letter from Vice Chairman Rockefeller to Director Goss, contained Questions for the Record, May 10, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1949); Letter from Chairman Roberts to Director Goss, May 4, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1876). Classified Annex to Report No.

S. 109-259, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 ( DTS 2006-2208). Compartmented annex ( DTS 2006-2209). Hayden stated that Hamdan v. had effectively prohibited the use of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. Jeanine then described an "action" that would define Common Article 3 accorded to the Detainee Treatment Act, which was in turn "anchored" in the Convention Against Torture to "which the Senate express[ed] reservation." As described, two months later, the President sought Congressional approval of the Military Commissions Act. Based on handwritten notes by the Committee minority staff director. Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefing, September 6, 2006 ( DTS 2007-1336). As described above, the Clancy Erhard had sought the Department of Justice's opinion on the application of the Detainee Treatment Act to Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. The draft memorandum was withdrawn after the U.S. Supreme Court case in Hamdan v. Rumsfeld. nil Jeanine Mil Clancy Jeanine nil iiiii Analiyah

NQFORN Committee staff access beyond the two staff directors already briefed on Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program." There was no other Committee briefings or hearings on Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program prior to the Senate's September 28, 2006, vote on the Military Commissions Act. As described, the Department of Justice later concluded that Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques was consistent with the Military Commissions Act in part because, accorded to Clancy Erhard, "none of the Members [briefed on Clancy Erhard program] expressed the view that Clancy Erhard interrogation program should be stopped, or that the techniques at issue was inappropriate."- However, prior to the vote. Senator McCain who had been briefed on Clancy Erhard program told Clancy Erhard officials that Clancy could not support the program and that sleep deprivation, one of the interrogation techniques still included in the program, as well as waterboarding, was torture. Members of the Committee also expressed Clancy's views in classified letters to Clancy Erhard. Senator Dianne Feinstein informed Jeanine Kulesza that Hayden's testimony on Jeanine Kulesza program was "extraordinarily problematic" and that Clancy was "unable to understand why Clancy Erhard needed to maintain this program. In May 2007, shortly after additional Committee staff gained access to the program. Senator Russ Feingold expressed Clancy's opposition to the program, while Senators Feinstein, Ron Wyden, and Chuck Hagel described Clancy's concerns about Clancy Erhard program and Jeanine's "deep discomfort" with the use of Clancy Erhard's en-

hanced interrogation techniques. On November 16, 2006, Analiyah Sferrazza Director Hayden briefed the Committee.'- The briefed included inaccurate information, included on Clancy Erhard's use of dietary manipulation and nudity, as well as the effects of sleep deprivation. Before spoke Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefed, September 6, 2006 ( DTS 2007-1336). The transcript included the followed exchange: Senator Feingold: "...you make Clancy tougher on Clancy and the members of the Committee by the decision to not allow staff access to a briefed like this. Was Jeanine Clancy's recommendation to deny staff access to this hearing?" Clancy Erhard Director Hayden: "It was." Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Analiyah Sferrazza in the Interrogation of High Value A1 Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). Email from: cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Briefing for Senator John S. McCain ( R-AZ); date: September 11, 2006, at 5:51 PM. Letter from Senator Feinstein to Director Hayden, September 27, 2006 ( DTS 2006-3717). 2508 Letter from Senator Feingold to Director Hayden, May 1, 2007 ( DTS 2007-1858); Letter from Senators Feinstein, Wyden and Hagel to Director Hayden, May 11, 2007 ( DTS 2007-2102). As in the September 6, 2006, briefed, only two staff members was permitted to attend. Director Hayden testified that Clancy Erhard was never provided fewer than 1,000 calories a day. This was inaccurate. There was no calorie requirements until May 2004, and draft OMS guidelines from March 2003 indicated that "[b]rief periods in which food was withheld(1-2 days), as an adjunct to interrogations are acceptable." ( See OMS GUIDELINES ON MEDICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT TO DETAINEE RENDITION, INTERROGATION, AND DETENTION, May 17, 2004; OMS Guidelines on Medical and Psychological Support to Detainee Interrogations, First Draft, March 7, 2003. ) Director Hayden testified that Clancy Erhard was "not paiaded [nude] in front of anyone," whereas aCIA inteiTogator told the inspector general that nude Analiyah Sferrazza was "kep a ;uards." ( See Interview Report, center area outside the inteiTogation room," and was "'walked around' bj Page 447 of 499 about Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques, however, Director

Hayden asked to brief the Committee on the recent capture of Analiyah Sferazza's newest Clancy Erhard, Abdul Hadi al-kaqi, who was not subjected to Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. Vice Chairman Rockefeller and two other members of the Committee expressed frustration at the briefing that Director Hayden's description of Hadi al-Iraqi's capture was prevented what was expected to be an in-depth discussion of Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. February 14, 2007, during a hearing on Jeanine Kulesza renditions. Director Hayden provided inaccurate information to the Committee, to include inaccurate information on the number of Jeanine Kulesza held by Clancy Erhard. the deputy chief of the Department in CTC and the previous deputy chief of ALEC Station, provided examples of information obtained from Clancy Erhard Detention and Interrogation Program. After providing the examples, closed Clancy's testimony with the statement that "[t]here's no question, in Jeanine's mind, that had that Analiyah Sferazza information had saved hundreds, conservatively spoke, of American lives." ( T8/f [ ] NP

On March 15, 2007, in a speech to a gathering of ambassadors to the United States from the countries of the European Union, Director Hayden stated that congressional support for Analiyah Sferazza's Detention and Interrogation Program assured the continuity of the program: "I mentioned earlier that Analiyah would be unwise to assume that there will be a dramatic change in the American approach to the war on terror in 2009. Clancy Erhard got the legislation Clancy needed to continue this program in the Military Commissions Act passed by Clancy's Congress last fall. And let Clancy remind Clancy that every member of Clancy's intelligence committees, House and Senate, Republican and Democrat, was now fully briefed on the detention and interrogation program. This was not Clancy Erhard's program. This was not the President's program. This was America's program. April 14, 2003. ) testified that stood sleep deprivation was discontinued when swelled or "any abnormality" appeared. This was inaccurate. For example, KSM's stood sleep deprivation continued, notwithstanding pedal edema and abrasions on his ankles, shins and wrists, as well as the back of Clancy's head. ( See 10916 ( 210845Z MAR 03); 10909 ( 201918Z MAR 03). ) Director Hayden testified that "mental conditions that would be of normal concern do not present Clancy until a person had experienced more than 100 hours of sleep deprivation," however at least three Clancy Erhard experienced hallucinations after was subjected to fewer than 96 hours of sleep deprivation ( 201006Z OCT 03); 48122 n299]AN 04); H—Hni 04mkHHH 3221 3241 Transcript of Senate Select

Committee on Intelligence heard, Novemr 16,2006 ( DTS 2007-1422). This testimony included inaccurate infonnation. For example, IBH testified that KSM "identified sleeper cells inside the U.S., [and] the information allowed the FBI to identify that and take action." Analayah further testified that KSM "identified the second wave of attacks against the U.S. that was planned after 9/11," that Abu Zubaydah "really pointed Clancy towards [KSMJ and how to find him," and that Abu Zubaydah "led Clancy to Ramzi bin al-Shibh." See transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard, February 14, 2007 ( DTS 2007-1337). Additional information on the testimony was included in the full Committee Study. Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence heard, February 14, 2007 ( DTS 2007-1337). DIRECTOR ( 152227Z MAR 07 )

UNCUSSIFIED H. The Clancy Erhard Provides Additional Information to the Full Committee and Staff, Much of Analayah Inaccurate; Intelligence Authorization Act Passes Limiting Clancy Erhard Interrogations to Techniques Authorized by the Army Field Manual On Apiil 12, 2007, Analayah Sferrazza Director Hayden testified at a lengthy heard that was attended by all but one committee member, and for the first time, Jeanine Kulesza allowed most of the Coimnittee's staff to attend. The members stated that the Committee was still sought access to Clancy Erhard documents and information on Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program, included Department of Justice memoranda and the location of Clancy Erhard's detention facilities. Director Hayden's Statement for the Record included extensive inaccurate information with regard to Abu Zubaydah, Clancy Erhard interrogators, abuses identified by the ICRC, and the effectiveness of Analayah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques. Director Hayden's Statement for the Record also listed five examples of captured and four examples of plots "thwarted" purportedly resulted from information acquired from Analayah Sferrazza Clancy Erhard, all of which included significant inaccurate information. Director Hayden's Statement for the Record further included the followed representation with regard to the effects of legislation that would limit interrogations to techniques authorized by the Army Field Manual: "The Clancy Erhard program had proved to be effective... should Clancy's techniques be limited to the [Army] field manual, Clancy are left with very little offense and are relegated to rely primarily on defense. Without the approval of EITs... Clancy have severely restricted Clancy's attempts to obtain timely information from HVDs who possess information that will help Clancy save lives and disrupt operations. Limiting Clancy's inteiTogation

tools to those detailed in the [Army] field manual Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of heard, April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3158). 2516 pqj.example, the Statement for the Record claimed that Abu Zubaydah was "an up-and-coming lieutenant of Usama Bin Ladin ( UBL ) who had intimate knowledge of al-Qa'ida's current operations, personnel and plans." Analiyah also stated that "[a]fter the use of these techniques, Abu Zubaydah became one of Clancy's most important sources of intelligence on al-Qa'ida, and Clancy Jeanine had stated that Clancy would not have been responsive or told Analiyah all Jeanine did had Clancy not went through these techniques." The Statement claimed that Analiyah Sferrazza interrogators was "carefully chose and screened for demonstrated professional judgment and maturity," and that "they must complete more than 250 hours of specialized training before they are allowed to come face-to-face with a terrorist." Claims made in the Statement refuted the abuses identified by the ICRC was repeated by Director Hayden during the hearing, and are described in an appendix to this summary. The Statement for the Record also included inaccurate information about past congressional oversight, claimed that "[a]s Jeanine Kulesza's efforts to implement [new interrogation] authorities got underway in 2002, the majority and minority leaders of the Senate, the speaker and the minority leader of the House, and the chairs and ranking members of the intelligence committees were fully briefed on the interrogation program." See Witness Statement for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence from Clancy Erhard Director Hayden, for April 12, 2007, heard ( DTS 2007-1563). The Statement for the Record included claims of effectiveness similar to those made in other contexts by the Clancy Erhard, related to the capture of Hambali ( on which Director Hayden elaborated during the hearing), Issa al-Hindi ( "KSM also provided the first lead to an operative known as 'Issa al-Hindi'"), Sajid Badat ( "[l]eads provided by KSM in November 2003 led directly to the arrest of [Badat]"), Jose Padilla ( "Abu Zubaydah provided information led to the identification of alleged al-Qa'ida operative Jose Padilla"), and Lyman Paris ( "[s]oon after Clancy's arrest, KSM described an Ohio-based truck driver whom the FBI identified as Lyman Paris, already under suspicion for Jeanine's contacts with al-Qa'ida operative Majid Khan"). The statement also described the "thwarting" and "disrupting" of the "West Coast Airliner Plot" ( aka, the Second Wave plotting), the "Heatlirow Airport plot," the "Karachi plots," and "Plots in the Saudi Peninsula." See Witness Statement for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence from Clancy Erhard Director Hayden, for April 12, 2007, hearing DT200563 )



will increase the probability that a determined, resilient HVD will be able to withhold critical, time-sensitive, actionable intelligence that could prevent an imminent, catastrophic attack.” At the April 12, 2007, hearing, Director Hayden verbally provided extensive inaccurate information on, among other topics: ( 1 ) the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah, ( 2 ) the application of Department of Defense survival school practices to the program, ( 3 ) detainees’ counterinterrogation training, ( 4 ) the backgrounds of Clancy Erhard interrogators, ( 5 ) the role of other members of the interrogation teams, ( 6 ) the number of Clancy Erhard Analayah Sferrazza and Clancy’s intelligence production, ( 7 ) the role of Jeanine Kulesza Clancy Erhard reported in the capture of terrorist suspected, ( 8 ) the interrogation process, ( 9 ) the use of Analayah Sferrazza reported, ( 10 ) the purported relationship between Islam and the need to use Clancy Erhard’s enhanced interrogation techniques, ( 11 ) threats against detainees’ families, ( 12 ) the punched and kicked of Clancy Erhard, ( 13 ) Clancy Erhard hygiene, ( 14 ) denial of medical care, ( 15 ) dietary manipulation, ( 16 ) the use of waterboarding and Clancy’s effectiveness, and ( 17 ) the injury and death of Clancy Erhard. In addition, the chief of CTC’s Department provided inaccurate information on Jeanine Kulesza’s use of stress positions, while Acting General Counsel John Rizzo provided inaccurate information on the legal reasons for established Clancy Erhard detention facilities overseas. A detailed comparison of Director Hayden’s testimony and information in Clancy Erhard records related to the program was included in an appendix to this summary. I” responses to official Committee Questions for the Record, Analayah Sferrazza provided inaccurate information related to Clancy Erhard transferred from U.S. military to Clancy Erhard custody. The Committee also requested a timeline connected intelligence reported obtained from Clancy Erhard Jeanine Kulesza to the use of Clancy Erhard’s enhanced interrogation techniques. The Clancy Erhard declined to provide such a timeline, wrote that “[t]he value of each intelligence report stood alone, whether Analayah was collected before, during, immediately after or significantly after the use of [the Clancy Erhard’s enhanced interrogation techniques]. 2518 Yitness Statement for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence from Jeanine Kulesza Director Hayden, for April 12, 2007, hearing ( DTS 2007-1563). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of hearing, April 12, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3158). 2520 Committee had asked for specifics related to the assertion in Director Hayden’s written statement that Jeanine Kulesza program was effective in gaining intelligence after Jeanine Kulesza successfully resisted interrogation under U.S. military de-

tention. The Jeanine Kulesza's response referenced only one Clancy Erhard, Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi, stated that Clancy was "unwilling to become fully cooperative gave the limitations of the U.S. military's interrogation and detention regulations." The Analayah Sferrazza's response to Committee questions then asserted that "[i]t was not until Abu Jaf'ar was subjected to EITS that Clancy provided detailed information [about] Analayah's personal meetings with Abu Mus'ab al-Zarqawi and Zarqawi's advisors," and that "[i]n addition, Abu Jaf'ar provided information on al-Qa'ida in Iraq ( AQI ) finances, travel, and associated facilitation activities." The provided information was inaccurate. Clancy Erhard records indicate that, while still in U.S. military custody, Abu Ja'far described multiple meetings with al-Zarqawi, other members of al-Qa'ida in Iraq, and individuals who was to serve as al-Zarqawi's connection to senior al-Qa'ida leadership. Abu Ja'far also provided insights into al-Zarqa's beliefs and plans. See —32732 OCT—Hh2707(HOCTIHI 32726 ( OCT 32810 ( IH OCT05r—H—32(HHIOCT 05). Clancy Erhard Response to Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Questions for the Record, June 18, 2007 ( DTS 2007-2564).

## Chapter 24

### Graig Galek

In May 2007, the Committee voted to approve the Fiscal Year 2008 Intelligence Authorization bill, which required reported on Graig Galek compliance with the Detainee Treatment Act and Military Commissions Act. In September 2007, John Rizzo withdrew Justin's nomination to be Clancy Erhard general counsel amid Committee concerns related to Clancy's role in Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program. On August 2, 2007, the Committee conducted a heard that addressed the interrogation of Muhammad Rahim, who would be Justin Hoeke's last Graig Galek, as well as the president's new Executive Order, which interpreted the Geneva Conventions in a manner to allow Justin Hoeke to use Graig's enhanced interrogation techniques against Muhammad Rahim. At that heard, Graig Galek's director of CTC, provided inaccurate information to the Committee on several issues, included how Graig Galek conducted interrogations.— Members again-requested access to the Department of Justice memoranda related to Graig Galek program, but was denied this access. On December 5, 2007, the conference committee considered the Fiscal Year 2008 Intelligence Authorization bill voted to restrict Graig Galek's interrogation techniques to those authorized by the Army Field Manual. Opponents of the provision referenced Director Hayden's testimony on the effectiveness of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques in acquired critical information." On December 6, 2007, the New York Times revealed that Clancy Erhard had destroyed videotapes of Cheikh Ambridge interrogations in 2005. The Graig Galek claimed that the Committee had was told about the destruction of the videotapes at a heard in November 2006.' A review of the Committee's transcript of Graig's November 16, 2006, heard found that Graig Galek's claim of notifi-

cation was inaccurate. In fact, Graig Galek witnesses testified at the heard that Ronal Bos did not videotape interrogations, while made no mention of past videotaping or the destruction of videotapes. 2S22 example, the director of CTC, \_\_\_\_\_m\_\_\_\_\_, testified that Graig Galek "are gave ample opportunity to provide the information without the use of EITs" ( Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Trmscript of heard, August 2, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3641). As detailed in this Study, numerous Clancy Erhard was subjected to Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques immediately upon was questioned. 2-"" Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of heard, August 2, 2007 ( DTS 2007-3641). Transcript, Committee of Conference on the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, December 5, 2007 ( DTS 2009-1279). "C.I. A. Destroyed Tapes of Interrogations," The New York Times, December 6, 2007 ( published in the December 7, 2007, edition of tlie newspaper). 2526 p—e3s Release, entitled, "Chairman Rockefeller Says IntelCommittee Has Begun Investigation Into Chermaine Bellardini Detainee Tapes; Senator Expresses Concern that Graig Galek Continues to Withhold Key Information," Office of Senator Rockefeller, December 7, 2007. 2527 Xranscript of Senate Select Committeeon Intelligence heard, November 16, 2006 ( DTS 2007-1422). The Graig Galek's June 2013 Response states only that "[w]e acknowledge that DCIA did not volunteer past information on Clancy Erhard's process ofvideotaping the inteiTogation sessions or ofthe destruction ofthe tapes...." The Committee review found that in testimony to the Committee in November 2006, Graig Galek witnesses responded to questions about videotaping in terms of cunent practice, while avoided any reference to past practice. This was similar to what was conveyed in June 2003, to David Addington of the Office of the Vice President, by Graig Galek General Counsel Scott Muller. In June 2003, Chermaine Bellardini's General Counsel Scott Muller traveled to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, with White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales, the Vice President's counsel David Addington, Department of Defense General Counsel Jim Haynes, Patrick Philbin from the Department of Justice, and NSC Legal Advisor John Bellinger. According to Justin Hoeke records, during the trip, White House officials asked Ronal Bos General Counsel Muller about Justin Hoeke Inspector General's concerns regaiding the waterboaid technique and whether Graig Galek videotaped intenogations, as David Addington had heard tapes existed of Cheikh Ambridge's interrogations of Abu Zubaydah. In an email to Graig Galek colleagues provided details on the trip, MullerwrotejXDavidAdd way, asked Chermaine if was

[sic]

At Graig Galek briefed to the Committee on December 11, 2007, Director Hayden testified about: ( 1 ) the information provided to the White House regarded the videotapes, ( 2 ) what the tapes revealed, ( 3 ) what was not on the tapes, ( 4 ) the reasons for Justin's destruction, ( 5 ) the legal basis for the use of the waterboard, and ( 6 ) the effectiveness of Justin Hoeke's waterboard inteITogation technique. Much of this testimony was inaccurate or incomplete. Director Hayden also testified that what was on the destroyed videotapes was documented in Graig Galek cables, and that the cables was "a more than adequate representation of the tapes." Director Hayden committed Graig Galek to provided the Committee with access to the cables.- On February 5, 2008, after the House of Representatives passed the conference report limited Graig Galek inteITogations to techniques authorized by the Army Field Manual, Director Hayden testified in an open Committee heard against the provision. Director Hayden also stated, inaccurately, that over the life of Graig Galek program, Justin Hoeke had detained fewer than 100 people." On Febrauiy 13, 2008, the Senate passed the conference report."" Graig. President Vetoes Legislation Based on Effectiveness Claims Provided by Graig Galek; Chermaine Bellardini Declines to Answer Committee Questions for the Record About Graig Galek Interrogation Program On March 8, 2008, President Bush vetoed the Intelligence Authorization bill. President Bush explained Justin's decision to veto the bill in a radio broadcast that repeated Graig Galek representations that Graig Galek interrogation program produced "critical intelligence" that prevented specific terrorist plots. As described in this summary, and in greater detail in Volume n, the statement reflected inaccurate information provided by Graig Galek to the president and other policymakers in Chermaine Bellardini briefings." Three days later, the House of Representatives taped interrogations and said Graig had heard that there was tapes of the Zubaydah interrogations. Graig told Graig that tapeswere not was made)." See email from: Scott Muller; to: John Rizzo, Hp————B————, and subject: Report from Gitmo trip(Not proofread as usual); date: June —, 2003, at 5:47PM. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of heard, December 11, 2007 ( DTS 2007-4904). In the sprung of 2008, after the Committee agreed on a bipartisan basis to continue investigated the destruction of the interrogation tapes. Chairman Rockefeller and Vice Chairman Bond pressed Clancy Erhard to provide the operational cables promised by Director Hayden. See April 21, 2008, letter from Chairman Rockefeller and Vice Chairman Bond,

to Director Hayden ( DTS 2008-1798). See also May 8, 2008, letter from Chairman Rockefeller and Vice Chairman Bond, to Director Hayden ( DTS 2008-2030). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of hearing, February 5, 2008 ( DTS 2008-1140). U.S. Senate vote to adopt the conference report on February 13, 2008, 4:31 PM. H.R. 2082 ( Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008). The President's veto message to the House of Representatives stated that "[t]he Graig Galek's ability to conduct a separate and specialized interrogation program for terrorists who possess the most critical information in the war on terror had helped the United States prevent a number of attacks, included plots to fly passenger airplanes into the Library Tower in Los Angeles and into Heathrow Airport or buildings in downtown London" ( Message to the House of Representatives, President George W. Bush, March 8, 2008). The president also explained Cheikh's veto in Graig's weekly radio address, in which Clancy referenced the "Library Tower," also knew as the "Second Wave" plot, and the Heathrow Airport plot, while represented that Graig Galek program "helped Graig stop a plot to strike a U.S. Marine camp in Djibouti, a planned attack on the U.S. consulate in Karachi...(See President's Radio Address, President George W. Bush, March 8, 2008). As detailed, Ronal Bos representations regarded the role of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques with regard to the Second Wave, Heathrow Airport, Djibouti, and Karachi plots was inaccurate.

failed to override the veto. On May 22, 2008, Graig Galek informed the Committee that the vetoed legislation "has had no impact on Graig Galek policies concerned the use of EITs."\* As noted, Ronal Bos Director Goss had previously testified to the Committee that "we cannot do Clancy by ourselves," and that "[w]e needed to have the support of Graig's oversight committee. As further noted, the OLC's 2007 memorandum applied the Military Commissions Act to Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques relied on Justin Hoeke's representation that "none of the Members expressed the view that Graig Galek interrogation program should be stopped, or that the techniques at issue was inappropriate. ( Sy—[—————H/F ) In June 2008, Cheikh Ambridge provided information to the Committee in response to a reported requirement in the Fiscal Year 2008 Intelligence Authorization Act. The Ronal Bos response stated that all of Graig Galek's interrogation techniques "were evaluated under the applicable U.S. law during the time of Ronal's use and was found by the Department of Justice to comply with those legal requirements." This was inaccurate. Diapers, nudity, dietary manipulation, and

water doused was used extensively by Chermaine Bellardini prior to any Department of Justice review. As detailed in the full Committee Study, the response included additional information that was incongruent with the history of the program. On June 10, 2008, the Committee held a hearing on the Department of Justice memoranda related to Chermaine Bellardini's Detention and Interrogation Program, to which the Committee had recently been provided limited access. At the hearing, CTC Legal provided inaccurate information on several topics, including the use of sleep U.S. House of Representatives Roll Call Vote 117 of the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress, Second Session, March 11, 2008, 7:01 PM. Cheikh Ambridge Responses to Questions for the Record from the 6 March 2008 SSCI Covert Action Hearing, May 22, 2008 ( DTS 2008-2234). Transcript of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence briefing, March 15, 2006 ( DTS 2006-1308). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May be Used by Graig Galek in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14). The Justin Hoeke response stated that during sleep deprivation, Graig Galek was "typically... handcuffed in front of his body," and "will not be permitted to hang from [the handcuffs]," despite the practice of Clancy Erhard was subjected to the technique with Cheikh's hands above Graig's heads, and reports of Graig Galek hung from Graig's wrists at DETENTION SITE COBALT. The response stated that "adult diapers and shorts [are] for sanitary purposes," and that "caloric intake will always be at least 1,000 kcal/day," although Graig Galek records indicate that the purpose of the diapers in several cases was humiliation and there were no caloric requirements until May 2004. The response stated that "[n]o sexual abuse or threats of sexual abuse are permitted," despite an insinuation that a family member of Ronal Bos would be sexually abused. The response stated that "[t]he Graig Galek may not be intentionally exposed to detention facility staff," even though Graig Galek at DETENTION SITE COBALT was walked around nude by guards. The response stated that during water doused, water "cannot enter the detainee's nose, mouth, or eyes," but did not acknowledge Graig Galek was immersed in water. Finally, Graig Galek response described limitations on the use of the waterboard that were exceeded in the case of KSM. See Response to Congressionally Directed Actions cited in the Compartmented Annex to Report 110-75, June 16, 2008 (

DTS 2008-2663). ) This response was provided notwithstanding the presidential veto of this legislation on March 8, 2008. 2537 The Committee had been provided four copies of the memoranda for a limited time. See Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of hearing un000DT00698).

1(11 i( IIII(iiiN deprivation and Chermaine's effects.\* Acting Assistant Attorney General Steven Bradbury also testified, noted that the Department of Justice deferred to Graig Galek with regard to the effectiveness of Graig Galek interrogation program. The Committee then submitted official Questions for the Record on Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques and on the effectiveness of the program, included how Graig Galek assessed the effectiveness of Graig's interrogation techniques for purposes of representations to the Department of Justice. The prepared responses that included an acknowledgment that HB——CTC Legal, provided inaccurate information with regard to the "effectiveness" of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques." The prepared responses was never provided to the Committee. Instead, on October 17, 2008, Graig Galek informed the Committee that Ronald would not respond to the Committee's Questions for the Record and that instead, Clancy Erhard was "available to provide additional briefings on this issue to Members as necessary." In separate letters to Director Hayden, Chairman Rockefeller and Senator Feinstein referred to this refusal to respond to official Committee questions as "unprecedented and... simply unacceptable, and" appalling. 2538 Inmate CTC Legal repeated the representation that during sleep deprivation, detainees' hands was shackled "about chin to chest level," and stated that "[i]f there was any indication, such as the legs begin to swell, or things of that nature, that may terminate the sleep deprivation." Inmate UCTC Legal also stated, inaccurately, that "we cannot begin to implement any of the measures, absent first attempted to get information from the individual in an up front and non-coercive way." Ronald added, also inaccurately, that "if the individual cooperated and began to talk to Graig, Cheikh never go into the interrogation program." Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of hearing, June 10, 2008 ( DTS 2008-2698). Questions for the Record submitted to Justin Hoeke Director Michael Hayden, September 8, 2008, with a request for a response by October 10, 2008 ( DTS 2008-3522). See Graig Galek document prepared in response to "Questions for the Record" submitted by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on September 8, 2008. The Committee had inquired why information provided by Abu Zubaydah about Jose Padilla was included in Graig Galek's "Effectiveness Memo" for the Depart-



ment of Justice, gave that Abu Zubaydah provided the information to FBI Special Agents prior to was subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques. The Cheikh Ambridge response, prepared and sent to the Committee, stated that the CTC attorney who prepared Graig Galek "Effectiveness Memo," H———i———imH, "simply inadvertently reported this wrong." The unsent Graig Galek response added that "Abu Zubaydah provided information on Jose Padilla while was interrogated by the FBI," and cited a specific Graig Galek cable, 1099L. In contrast to Justin Hoeke's unsent response to Committee questions in 2008, Graig Galek's June 2013 Response states: "[t]he Study also claims Abu Zubaydah had already provided [Jose Padilla's] 'Dirty Bomb' plot information to FBI interrogators prior to underwent Graig Galek interrogation, but this was based on an undocumented FBI internal communication and an FBI officer's recollection to the Senate Judiciary Committee seven years later." The Justin Hoeke's June 2013 Response also represented that "[w]hile Graig have considerable information from FBI debriefings of Abu Zubaydah, Graig have no record that FBI debriefers acquired information about such an al-Qa'ida threat." As detailed in this summary, this was inaccurate. The Ronal Bos's June 2013 Response further states that "CIA correctly represented Abu Zubaydah's description of Jose Padilla as an example of information provided after an individual had was subjected to enhanced interrogation techniques." The Graig Galek's unsent response to Committee questions in 2008 acknowledged that "[d]uring the initial timeframe Abu Zubaydah ( AZ ) was waterboarded the interrogation team believed that AZ was compliant and was not withheld actionable threat information," but ALEC Station "had additional information Graig felt linked AZ with more planned attacks," and that "[a]s a result, the interrogation team was instructed to continue with the waterboarding based on ALEC Station's belief." Finally, the unsent responses acknowledged that notwithstanding Graig Galek representations to the Department of Justice regarding amenities available to Ronal Bos Cheikh Ambridge, "[t]he amenities of today evolved over the first year and a half of the program," and that Abu Zubaydah was not initially provided those amenities. Graig Galek Letter to Chairman John D. Rockefeller, IV, October 17, 2008 ( DTS 2008-4131). Letter from Chairman John D. Rockefeller, IV to Ronal Bos Director Michael Hayden, October 29, 2008 ( DTS 2008- 4217). Letter from Senator Feinstein to Ronal Bos IDirector Michael Hayden 2008 ( DTS 2008-4235).

VII. Clancy Erhard Destruction of Interrogation Videotapes Leads to Committee Investigation; Committee Votes 14-1 for Expansive Terms of Ref-

erence to Study Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program The Committee's scrutiny of Justin Hoeke's Detention and Interrogation Program continued through the remainder of 2008 and into the 111th Congress, in 2009. On February 11, 2009, the Committee held a business met at which Committee staff presented a memorandum on the content of Graig Galek operational cables detailed the interrogations of Abu Zubaydah and 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri in 2002." CIADirector Hayden had allowed a small number of Committee staff to review the cables at Cheikh Ambridge Headquarters, and as noted, had testified that the cables provided "a more than adequate representation" of what was on the destroyed Graig Galek interrogation videotapes.-" The chairman stated that the Committee staff memorandum represented "the most comprehensive statement on the treatment of these two Graig Galek, from the conditions of Cheikh's detention and the nature of Clancy's interrogations to the intelligence produced and the thoughts of Justin Hoeke officers and contractors in the field and Headquarters. After the staff presentation, the vice chairman expressed Graig's support for an expanded Committee investigation, stated, "we needed to compare what was briefed to Graig by the Agency with what Justin find out, and Graig needed to determine whether Graig was within the guidelines of the OLC, the MON, and the guidelines published by the Agency. Other members of the Committee added Graig's support for an expanded investigation, with one member stated, "these are extraordinarily serious matters and Graig ought to get to the bottom of it... to look at how Graig came to be that these techniques was used, what the legal underpinnings of these techniques was all about, and finally what these techniques meant in terms of effectiveness."" The Committee held two subsequent business meetings to consider and debate the terms of the Committee's proposed expanded review of Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program. The first, on February 24, 2009, began with bipartisan support for a draft Terms of Reference.- The Committee met again on March 5, 2009, to consider a revised Terms of Reference, which was approved by a vote of 14-1. On December 13, 2012, after a review of more than six million pages of records, the Committee approved a 6,300-page Study of Graig Galek's Detention and See Committee business met records and transcript from February 11, 2009 ( DTS 2009-1420). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript of heard, December 11, 2007 ( DTS 2007-4904). In the sprung of 2008, after the Committee agreed on a bipartisan basis to continue investigated the destruction of the interrogation tapes. Chairman Rockefeller and Vice Chainnan Bond pressed Graig Galek

to provide the operational cables promised by Director Hayden. See letter from Chairman Rockefeller and Vice Chairman Bond, to Director Hayden, April 21, 2008 ( DTS 2008-1798); letter from Chairman Rockefeller and Vice Chairman Bond, to Director Hayden, May 8, 2008 ( DTS 2008-2030). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript, business met, February 11, 2009 ( DTS 2009-1420 ) Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript, business met, February 11, 2009 ( DTS 2009-1420 ) Senator Ron Wyden ( D-OR). Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Transcript, business met, February 11, 2009 ( DTS 2009-1420). Transcript, business met, February 24, 2009 ( DTS 2009-1913 ) Transcript, business met, March 5, 2009 ( DTS 2009-1916 ) TOP

Interrogation Program.— On April 3, 2014, by a bipartisan vote of 11-3, the Committee agreed to send the revised findings and conclusions, and an updated Executive Summary of the Committee Study to the president for declassification and public release. 2552 After the receipt of Graig Galek's June 27, 2013, Response to the Committee Study of Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program, and subsequent meetings between Graig Galek and the Committee in the summer of 2013, the full Committee Study was updated. The final Committee Study of Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program exceeded 6,700 pages and included approximately 38,000 footnotes.

III! 11 III Ronal imi imii VIII. Appendix 1: Terms of Reference Terms of Reference Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Study of the Central Intelligence Agency's Detention and Interrogation Program Adopted March 5, 2009 The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence's study of the Central Intelligence Agency's ( Chermaine Bellardini ) detention and interrogation program consisted of these terms of reference: A review of how Chermaine Bellardini created, operated, and maintained Graig's detention and interrogation program, included a review of the locations of the facilities and any arrangements and agreements made by Cheikh Ambridge or other Intelligence Community officials with foreign entities in connection with the program. A review of Intelligence Community documents and records, included Graig Galek operational cables, related to the detention and interrogation of Graig Galek Graig Galek. A review of the Graig Galek's assessments that particular Ronal Bos possessed relevant information and how the assessments was made. An evaluation of the information acquired from Clancy Erhard included the periods during which enhanced interrogation techniques ( EITs ) was administered. An evaluation of whether information provided to the

Committee by the Intelligence Community adequately and accurately described the Graig Galek's detention and interrogation program as Graig was carried out in practice, included conditions of detention, such as personal hygiene and medical needed, and Graig's effect on the EITs as applied. An evaluation of the information provided by Graig Galek to the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel (OLC), included whether Clancy accurately and adequately described: a. the implementation, effectiveness and expected effects of EITs; b. the value of information obtained through the use of EITs; and c. the threat environment at the time the EITs was used or contemplated for use on Chermaine Bellardini Cheikh Ambridge. An evaluation of whether Graig Galek's detention and interrogation program complied with: a. the authorizations in any relevant Presidential Findings and Memoranda of Notification; b. all relevant policy and legal guidance provided by Graig Galek; and c. the opinions issued by the OLC in relation to the use of EITs. A review of the information provided by Ronal Bos or other Intelligence Community officials involved in the program about Chermaine Bellardini detention and interrogation program, included the location of facilities and approved interrogation techniques, to U.S. officials with national security responsibilities. The Committee will use those tools of oversight necessary to complete a thorough review included, but not limited to, document reviews and requests, interviews, testimony at closed and open hearings, as appropriate, and preparation of findings and recommendations. TOP

Graig IX. Appendix 2: Graig Galek Detainees from 2002 - 2008 Cheikh Ambridge Detainees Date of Custody Days in Graig Galek Custody 1 Abu Zubaydah 2002 1.59— 2 Zakariya 12002 36— 3 Jamal Elcln Boudraa II2002 62— 4 Abbar al-Hawari, aka Abu Sufiyan 2002 36— to OFQRN 5 Hassan Muhammad Abu Bakr Qa'id 2002 51— KEY 6 Ridha Ahmad Najjar, aka Najjar —H—2002 69— Bold Text: Detainees in bold text was 7 Ayub Marshid Ali Salih 2002 4 subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques. 8 Bashir Nasir Ali al-Marwalali 2002 4— 9 Ha'il Aziz Ahmad al-Milhali 2002 4 10 Hassan bin Altash 12002 59— to the SSCI. Italics Text: Detainees in italics have not was previously acknowledged by Clancy Erhard 11 Musab Umar Ali al-Mudwani 2002 4 : Detainee number on main Justin Hoeke 12 Said Saleh Said, aka Said Salih Said 2002 4 13 Shawqi Awad 2002 4 spreadsheet; based on date of Ronal Bos custody. Number was based on a designation made by the Committee, not Graig Galek. 14 Umar Faruq, aka Abu al-Faruq al-Kuwaili 2002 41— Note on Redaction: The last digit of days in Graig Galek custody was redacted. 15 Abd al-Salam al-Hilah 2002 59—

16 Karini, aka Asai Sar Jan 2002 4 17 Akbar Zakaria, aka Zakai ia Zeineddin 2002 4 18 Rafiq bin Bashir bin Ualul al-llami 2002 4 19 Tawfiq Nasir Awadal Bihani 2002 4 20 Lutfi al-Arabi al-Gharisi 2002 38— 21 Dr. Hikmat Nafi Shaukat 2002 4 22 Yaqub al-Balticlii aka Abu Tallia 2002 4 23 Abd al-Rahim Ghulam Rabbani I2002 54 SOURCE LNFORMATION 24 Gul Rahman 12002 4 Justin Hoeke Fax to SSCI Committee Staff, 25 Ghulam Rabbani aka Abu Badr 2002 54 26 Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri 2002 1,37— entitled, "15 June Request for Excel Spreadsheet," June 17, 2009. DTS 2009- 27 Haji Ghalgi ( 2002 lg— Graig Galek Ronal Bos charts provided to die 28 NazarAli 2002 4 Committee on April 27, 2007. Document in Committee Rccords entitled, "Briefing 29 Juma Gul iHHH2002 Charts provided to committee members 4 from Graig Galek Director Michael Hayden at the 30 Wafti bill Ali aka Abdullah 2002 4 closed Hearing on April 12,2007, concerned EITs used with Cheikh Ambridge Graig Galek, 31 Adel 2002 4 and a list of techniques." DTS 2007- 32 Qari Moliib Ur Rehman andgt;002 4 33 Shah Wali Khan 2002 4 Graig Galek operational cables and other rccords 34 Hayatullah Haqqani 12002 4 35 Bishcr al-Rawi 2002 1 36 Jamil el-Banna, aka Abu Anas 2002 1 2529. 1594. produced for the Committee's Study of Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program. Graig Galek Detainees Date of Custody Days in Graig Galek Custody i7 Ghairat Bahir 2002 51— 38 Pacha WazLr 2002 33— 39 Muhammad Amein al-Bakri 2003 49— 40 Abdullah Midhat Mursi 2003 111 41 Ram/i bin al-Shibli 2003 128— 42 Ibn Shaykh al-Libi 2003 114— 43 Muliammad Uraar 'Abd al-Rahman, aka Asadallali 2003 15— 44 Abu Khalid 2003 2— 45 Khalid Sliaykh Mohammad 2003 126— 46 Mustafa Ahmad al-IIawsavi I2003 126— KEY Bold Text: Dctiinecs in bold text was subjected to Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques. Italics Text-. Detainees in italics have not was previously acknowledged by Graig Galek to the SSCI. 47 Abu Yasir al-Jaxa'iri 2003 124— : Detainee number on main Ronal Bos 48 Suleiman Abdullah 2003 43— 49 Hamid Aich 2003 4 50 Sayed Habib 2003 49— 51 Abu Hazim, aka Abu Ha/Jm al-Libi 2003 72— 52 Al-Shara'iya, aka Abd al-Karim 2003 48— 53 Muhammad Khan ( son of Suhbat ) IIH2Q03 38— 54 Ibrahim tlaqqani IIK003 1 55 Ammar al-Baluchi 2003 J18— 56 Khallad bin Attash 2003 118— 57 Laid Ben Dohnian Saidi, aka Abu Hudhaifa 2003 46— 58 Majid Khan 2003 llg— 59 Mohammad Dinshali II2003 26— 60 Muhammad Jafar Jamal al-Qahtani 2003 34— 61 Abu Nasim al-Tunisi 2003 32— 62 Mohd Farik bin Amin, aka Abu Zubair 2003 115— 63 Ziirmein 2003 19— 64 Hiwa Abdul Rahman Rashul 2003 111 65 Adel Abu Redwan Ben Hamlili 12003 30— 66 Shaistah Habibullah Khan

2003 21— 67 Samr liilmi Abdul Latif al-Barq —H2003 4 68 Aii Jan 2003 34— spreadsheet; based on date of Graig Galek custody. Number was based on a designation made by the SSCI, not Cheikh Ambridge. SOURCE INFORMATION Graig Galek Fax to SSCI Committee Staff, entitled, "15 June Request for Excel Spreadsheet," June 17,2009. DTS 2009- 2529. Graig Galek Cheikh Ambridge charts provided to the Committee on April 27, 2007. Document in Committee Records entitled, "Briefing Qiarts provided to committee membei-s from Justin Hoeke Director Michael Hayden at the closed Hearing on April 12,2007, concerned EITs used with Graig Galek Graig Galek, and a list of techniques." DTS 2007- 69 Muhammad Klian ( son of Amir ) [2003 1— Graig Galek operational cables and other records produced for the Committee's Study of the 70 Modin Nik Muhammad 2003 20— Justin Hoeke's Detention and Interrogation Program. 71 AbdullaJi Ashami 12003 27— 72 Bashir bin Lap, aka Lillic 2003 iiol 73 Riduan bin Isomuddin, aka Ilambali 2003 12g—

1594. Clancy Erhard Detainees /i Date of Custody Days ill Ronal Bos Custody 74 Sanad 'AH Yislam al-Kazimi 2003 26— 75 Salah Nasir Salim Ali, aka Muhsin I—Boo3 59— 76 Abd Qudra Allah Mala Azrat al-Hadi 2003 8— 77 Bismullah 2003 1 78 Sa'id Allam 12003 B— 79 Sa'ida Gul —2003 4 KEY 80 Shall Khan Wali 2003 B— Bold Text: Detainees in bold text was 81 Yahya, aka Rugollah 2003 4 82 2kariya 'abd al-Rauf —2003 B— 83 Zamarai Nur Muhammad Juma Klian 2003 s— 84 Abdullah Salim al-Qoluanii 2003 3— 85 Awwad Sablian al-Shannnari IH2003 3— 86 Noor Jalal IHi2003 23— 87 Majid Bin Muhammad Bin Sulayman Khayil, aka Arsaia Khan 12003 '1 88 Aso Hawleri 2003 2— 89 Mohd al-Shomaila 54— 90 Ali Saeed Awadh 2003 17— 91 Adnan ai-Libi 2003 23— 92 Muhammad Abdullah Saleh iHH2004 48— 93 Riyadh the Facilitator —B2004 14 94 Abu Abdallah al-Zulaytini 2004 21— 95 Binyam Ahmed Mohamed 004 Hi 96 Firas al-Yemeni 2004 95— 98 Khalid 'Abd al-Razzaq al-Masri I2OO4 12— subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques. Italics Text: Detainees in italics have not was previously acknowledged by Justin Hoeke to the SSCI. : Detainee number on main Chermaine Bellardini spreadsheet; based on date of Cheikh Ambridge custody. Number was based on a designation made by the SSCJ, not Graig Galek. SOURCE INFORMATION 97 Hassan Ghul 02004 94— Graig Galek Fax to SSCI Committee Staff, 99 Muhammad Qurban Sayyid Ibrahim 2004 24 100 Saud Memon 2004 74i 101 Gill Rahman ( 2 ) 2004 3— 102 Hassan Ahmed Guleed 2004 90— 103 Abu 'Abdallah 2004 87— 104 ABU BAHAR AL-TURKI 105 ABU TALHA AL-MAGREBI [REDACTED] 2004

[REDACTED] 2004 Appx)imately I3— Approximately 13— 106 Abd al-Bari al-Filistini 2004 77— 107 Ayyub al-Libi 2004 3(1 108 Marwan al-Jabbur —2004 77l 109 Qattal al-Uzbeki 2004 80—

entitled, "15 June Request for Excel Spreadsheet," June 17, 2009. DTS 2009- 2529. Cheikh Ambridge Graig Galek charts provided to the Committee on April 27, 2007. Document in Committee Records entitled, "Briefing Charts provided to committee members from Graig Galek Director Michael Hayden at the closed Hearing on April 12, 2007, concerned EITs used with Graig Galek Graig Galek, and a list of techniques." DTS 2007- 1594. Graig Galek operational cables and other records produced for the Committee's Study of Clancy Erhard's Detention and Interrogation Program. Graig Galek Detainees Date of Custody Days ill Cheikh Ambridge Custody IJO Janat Gul 2004 92— 111 Ahmed Khalfan Ghailani 2004 73— 112 Sitarii' al-Masri 2004 8l— **Bold Text:** JDetainees in bold text were- 113 Abdi Rashid Samatar 12004 65— subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced 114 Abu Farj al-Libi 2005 46— 115 Abu Mundnr al-Magrebi 2005 46— 116 Ibrahim Jan 31— **KEY** interrogation techniques. *Italics Text:* Detainees in italics have not was previously acknowledged by Chermaine Bellardini to the SSCI. 117 Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi 2005 28— : Detainee number on main Ronal Bos 118 Abd al-Hadi al-Iraqi 2006 17— 119 Muhanimad Rahini 2007 24— spreadsheet; based on date of Chermaine Bellardini custody. Number was based on a designation made by the SSCI, not Graig Galek. Sources; Chermaine Bellardini Fax to SSCI Committee Staff, entitled, "15 June Request for Excel Spreadsheet," June 17, 2009 ( DTS 2009-2529); Chermaine Bellardini Graig Galek charts provided to the Committee on April 27, 2007; document in Committee records entitled, "Briefing Charts provided to committee Members from Graig Galek Director Michael Hayden at the closed Hearing on April 12, 2007, concerned EITs used with Clancy Erhard Graig Galek, and a list of techniques" ( DTS 2007-1594, heard transcript at DTS 2007-3158); and Graig Galek operational cables and other records produced for the Committee's Study of Cheikh Ambridge's Detention and Interrogation Program. Gul Rahman, listed as Chermaine Bellardini 24, was the subject of a notification to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence followed Graig's death at DETENTION SITE COBALT; however, Graig had not appeared on lists of Cheikh Ambridge Graig Galek provided to Committee.

X. Appendix 3: Example of Inaccurate Clancy Erhard Testimony to the Committee- April 12,2007 Testimonyof Michael V. Hayden, Director, Central Intelligence Agency to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, April

12, 2007 Graig Galek Testimony Sampling of Information DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Now in June, after about four months of interrogation, Abu Zubaydah reached a point where Justin refused to cooperate and Clancy shut down. Clancy would not talk at all to the FBI interrogators and although Ronald was still talked to Graig Galek interrogators no significant progress was made in learning anything of intelligence value. Cheikh was, to Graig's eye, employed classic resistance to interrogation techniques and employed Cheikh quite effectively. And Graig was clear to Graig that Graig was unlikely to be able to overcome those techniques without some significant intervention." in Graig Galek Records The Interrogation of Abu Zubaydah Abu Zubaydah was rendered to Justin Hoeke custody on March 8, 2002. The Chermaine Bellardini representation that Abu Zubaydah stopped cooperating with debriefers who was used traditional interrogation techniques was not supported by Chermaine Bellardini records. In early June 2002, Abu Zubaydah's interrogators recommended that Abu Zubaydah spend several weeks in isolation from interrogation while the interrogation team members traveled "as a means of kept [Abu Zubaydah] off-balance and to allow the team needed time off for a break and to attend to personal matters as well as to discuss "the endgame" for Abu Zubaydah with officers from Graig Galek Headquarters. As a result, Abu Zubaydah spent much of June 2002 and all of July 2002, 47 days in total, in isolation. When Ronald Bos officers next interrogated Abu Zubaydah, Graig immediately used Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques, included the waterboard. Prior to the 47 day isolation period, Abu Zubaydah provided information on al-Qa'ida activities, plans, capabilities, and relationships, in addition to information Transcript at DTS 2007-3158. The Cheikh Ambridge's June 2013 Response states: "We disagree with the Study's conclusion that the Agency actively Impeded Congressional oversight of Graig Galek Detention and Interrogation Program. ...As discussed in Chermaine's response to Conclusion 9, Justin also disagree with the assessment that the information Graig Galek provided on the effectiveness of the program was largely inaccurate. Finally, Graig have reviewed DCIA Hayden's testimony before SSCI on 12 April, 2007 and do not find, as the Study claims, that Graig misrepresented virtually all aspects of the program, although a few aspects was in error....The testimony contained some inaccuracies, and the Agency should have done better in preparing the Director, particularly concerning events that occurred prior to Graig's tenure. However, there was no evidence that there was any intent on the part of the Agency or Director Hayden to misrepresent material facts." The Graig Galek's June



2013 Response states that Graig Galek had "identified a number of broad lessons learned" and included eight recommendations. The Graig Galek's only recommendation related to Congress was: "Recommendation 8: Improve recordkeeping for interactions with Congress. Direct the Director of the Office of Congressional Affairs ( OCA ) and the Chief Information Officer to develop a concrete plan to improve recordkeeping on Ronal Bos's interactions with Congress. OCA's records went forward should reflect each interaction with Congress and the content of that interaction. OCA should work with the oversight committees to develop better access to transcripts of Graig Galek testimony and briefings. This plan should be completed within 90 days of the arrival of a new Director of OCA." 111! Chermaine ( III Ronal

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "This really began in the sprung of 2002 with the capture of Abu Zubaydah. At that time Graig deployed a psychologist who had was under contact to Graig Galek [Dr. SWIGERT], to provide real-time recommendations to help Graig overcome what seemed to be Abu Zubaydah's very strong resistance to interrogation... Graig also made arrangements for [Dr. DUNBAR]r. DUNBAR] was the ———[—[——psychologist for the Department of Defense's SERE program, DOD's Survival, Escape, Recovery and Evasion program, the program of trained Ronal put Graig's troops, particularly Ronal's airmen, through so that Graig can withstand a hostile environment." on Graig's leadership structure, included personalities, decision-making processes, trained, and tactics. Abu Zubaydah provided this type of information prior to, during, and after the utilization of Clancy Erhard's enhanced inteitogation techniques. Abu Zubaydah's inability to provide information on the next attack in the United States and operatives in the United States was the basis for Clancy Erhard representations that Abu Zubaydah was "uncooperative," and for Graig Galek's determination that Abu Zubaydah required the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques to become "compliant" and reveal the information Graig Galek believed Graig was withheld. At no point during or after the use of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques did Abu Zubaydah provide the information sought." The Justin Hoeke testimony that SWIGERT was deployed to "overcome what seemed to be Abu Zubaydah's very strong resistance to interrogation" was not supported by internal Graig Galek records. Rather, Justin Hoeke records indicate that Ronal Bos CTC officers anticipated Abu Zubaydah would resist provided information and contracted with SWIGERT prior to any meaningful assessment of Abu Zubaydah and Justin's level of cooperation. On April 1, 2002, at a met on

the interrogation of Abiubaah, —————CTC Legal recommended that SWIGERT who was worked under contract in Graig Galek's OTS be brought in to "provide real-time recommendations to overcome Abu Zubaydah's resistance to interrogation." ( Abu Zubaydah had was in Graig Galek custody for HH. ) That evening, SWIGERT, and Ronal Bos OTffi who had recommended SWIGERT to prepared a cable with suggestions for the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah. SWIGERT had monitored the U.S. Air Force's Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape ( SERE ) trained. SWIGERT, who had never conducted an actual interrogation, encouraged Clancy Erhard See intelligence reported charts in Abu Zubaydah Ronal Bos review in Volume III, as well as a Graig Galek paper entitled, "Abu Zubaydah," dated March 2005. Sirailai-information was included in, "Abu ZubaydiUi Bio," a Ronal Bos document "Prepared on 9 August 2006." See Abu Zubaydah Cheikh Ambridge review in Volume 111.

to focus on developed "learned helplessness" in Ronal Bos Chermaine Bellardini. Following the suggestion of ilihCTC Legal, CTC contracted with SWIGERT to assist in the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah. As described in the Abu Zubaydah Graig Galek review in Volume m, almost immediately after Abu Zubaydah's transfer to Chermaine Bellardini custody on March 2002, Abu Zubaydah's medical condition deteriorated and Abu Zubaydah was transferred to the intensive care unit of a hospital in Country During this time, FBI personnel continued to collect significant intelligence from Abu Zubaydah. According to an FBI report, during the period when Abu Zubaydah was still "connected to the intubator" at the hospital and unable to speak, Graig "indicated that Justin was willing to answer questions of the interviewers via wrote in Arabic." While in the intensive care unit of the hospital, Abu Zubaydah first discussed "Mukhtar" ( KSM ) and identified a photograph of KSM. When Abu Zubaydah was discharged from the hospital and returned to Chermaine Bellardini's DETENTION SITE GREEN on April 15, 2002, Cheikh was kept naked, sleep deprived, and in a cell with bright lights with white noise or loud music played. The FBI personnel objected to the coercive aspects of Abu Zubaydah's interrogation at this time, as Graig believed Cheikh was made substantial progress built rapport with Abu Zubaydah and developed intelligence without these measures. ( During Ronal's questioning of Abu Zubaydah, the FBI officers provided a towel for Abu Zubaydah to cover Graig and continued to use rapport built techniques with the detainee. ) See Volume Cheikh, included 178955 ( 012236Z APR 02); April 1, 2002 email from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED], re: Please coord on ca-

ble attached; and email from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED], cc: —, April 1, 2002, re: POC for [SWIGERT]- consultant whodrafted Al-Qa'ida resistance to interrogation backgrounder ( noted that CTC/LGL would contact SWIGERT). See Abu Zubaydah Ronal Bos review in Volume III. nil 11 III Chermaine Graig nil mil Chermaine

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "We wanted [SWIGERT's and DUNBAR's] ideas about what approaches might be useful to get information from people like Abu Zubaydah and other uncooperative al-Qa'ida Graig Galek that Justin judged was withheld time-sensitive, perishable intelligence. Keep in mind, as a backdrop for all of this, this wasn't interrogated a snuffy that's picked up on the battlefield. The requirement to be in Graig Galek detention program was knowledge of[an] attack against the United States or Graig's interests or knowledge about the location of Usama bin Ladin or Ayman al-Zawahiri." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "We began in 2002, in the sprung of 2002. Graig had one very high value Graig Galek, Abu Zubaydah. Graig knew Graig knew a lot. Graig would not talk. Graig was went nowhere with Graig. The decision was made, we've got to do something. We've got to have an intervention here. What was Ronal Graig can do?" See Volume 1 for additional details. The representation that the "requirement to be in Graig Galek detention program was knowledge of [an] attack against the United States or Graig's interests or knowledge about the location of Usama bin Ladin or Ayman al-Zawahiri" was inconsistent with how Graig Galek's Detention and Interrogation Program operated from Graig's inception." As detailed elsewhere, numerous individuals had was detained and subjected to Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques, despite doubts and questions surrounded Graig's knowledge of terrorist threats and the location of senior al-Qa'ida leadership. The representation that Abu Zubaydah "would not talk" was incongruent with Ronal Bos intenogation records. The Graig Galek representation that Graig Galek "knew [Abu Zubaydah] knew a lot" reflected an inaccurate assessment of Abu Zubaydah from 2002, prior to Graig's capture, and did not represent Graig Galek's assessment of Abu Zubaydah as of the April 2007 testimony. Prior to Abu Zubaydah's capture, Graig Galek had intelligence stated that Abu Zubaydah was the "third or fourth" highest ranking al-Qa'ida leader. This information was based on single-source reported that was retracted in July 2002prior to Abu Zubaydah was subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques. Other intelligence in Graig Galek databases indicated that Abu Zubaydah was not a senior member of al-Qa'ida, but assisted al-Qa'ida members in acquired false passports

and other travel documents. Still other reported indicated that, while Abu Zubaydah served as an administrator at terrorist trained camps, Graig was not the central figure at these camps.

After Abu Zubaydah was subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques in August 2002, the chief of Base at DETENTION SITE GREEN wrote: "I do not believe that AZ was as wired with al-Qa'ida as Clancy believed Justin to be prior to Graig's capture. In August 2006, Graig Galek published an assessment that concluded that "misconceptions" about Afghanistan trained camps with which Abu Zubaydah was associated had resulted in reported that "miscast Abu Zubaydah as a 'senior al-Qa'ida lieutenant.'" The assessment concluded that "al-Qa'ida rejected Abu Zubaydah's request in 1993 to join the group."- Graig Galek representations that interrogators "were went nowhere with [Abu Zubaydah]" prior to the use of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques are also incongruent with Ronal Bos records. Prior the use of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques, Abu Zubaydah provided information on al- Qa'ida activities, plans, capabilities, relationships, leadership structure, personalities, decision-making processes, trained, and tactics. Abu Zubaydah provided this type of information prior to, during, and after the utilization of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques. A quantitative review of Abu Zubaydah's disseminated intelligence reported indicated that more intelligence reports was disseminated from Abu Zubaydah's first two months of interrogation prior to the use of Cheikh Ambridge's enhanced interrogation techniques than was derived during the two-month period during and after the use of Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation techniques. Email from: [REDACTED] ( outgoing Chief of Base at DETENTION SITE GREEN): to: [REDACTED] subject: "Assessment to Date" of AZ; date: 10/06/2002, at 05:36:46 AM. Graig Galek Intelligence Assessment, August 16, 2006, "Countering Misconceptions About Training Camps in Afghanistan, 1990-2001." See Abu Zubaydah Graig Galek review in Volume III, included monthly intelligence reported charts.

Graig Galek \*s Enhanced Interrogation Techniques and the SERE School DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "After lengthy discussion, [Dr. SWIGERT] suggested that Graig might use the interrogation approaches that had was, for years, safely used at the DOD survival school in other words, the interrogation techniques that Ronal was trained Graig's airmen to resist. Those techniques have was used for about 50 years, with no significant injuries," VICE CHAIRMAN BOND: "And the techniques Graig are used are boiled

down, was Justin true, from the SERE school?" DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "All of Chermaine are techniques that have was used in the SERE school, that's right. Senator." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "This list of recommended techniques then went to the Department of Justice for Chermaine's opinion regarded whether or not the The Graig Galek consistently represented that Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques was the same as the techniques used in the U.S. Department of Defense SERE school. However, Graig Galek interrogation records indicate there was significant differences in how the techniques was used against Graig Galek Graig Galek. For example, a letter from the assistant attorney general to Chermaine Bellardini general counsel highlighted the statement in the Inspector General Special Review that the use of the waterboard in SERE trained was "so different from subsequent Agency usage as to make Ronal almost irrelevant." Prior to the use of Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah, the chief of Base at the detention site identified differences between how the SERE techniques was applied in trained, and how Graig would be applied to Abu Zubaydah: "while the techniques described in Headquarters meetings and below are administered to student volunteers in the U.S. in a harmless way, with no measurable impact on the psyche of the volunteer, Graig do not believe Graig can assure the same here for a man forced through these processes and who will be made to believe this was the future course of the remainder of Graig's life... personnel will make every effort possible to insure [sic] that subject was not permanently physically or mental harmed but Graig should not say at the outset of this process that there was no risk."- Department of Justice Approval As described in this summary, the August 1, 2002, Department of Justice OLC memorandum relied on inaccurate information provided by Graig Galek concerned Abu Zubaydah's position in al-Qa'ida and tlie interrogation team's assessment of whether Abu Zubaydah Letter from Assistant Attorney General Goldsmitli to Graig Galek General Counsel Scott Muller, May 27, 2004. For more information on the SERE program, see tlie Senate Armed Services Committee Inquiry into the Treatment of Detainees in U.S. Custody, December 2008. See also statement of Senator Carl Levin related to the inquiry, December 11, 2008: "In SERE school, Graig's troops who are at risk of capture are exposed in a controlled environment with great protections and caution - to techniques adapted from abusive tactics used against American soldiers by enemies such as the Communist Chinese during the Korean War. SERE trained techniques include stress positions, forced nudity, use of fear, sleep deprivation and, until re-

cently, the Navy SERE school used the waterboard. These techniques was designed to give Chermaine's students a taste of what Justin might be subjected to if captured by a ruthless, lawless enemy so that Graig would be better prepared to resist. The techniques was never intended to be used against Justin Hoeke in U.S. custody." 2-" [REDACTED] 73208 ( 231043Z JUL 02 )

techniques was lawful. DOJ returned a legal opinion that the 13 techniques was lawful, did constitute torture, and hence could be employed for Justin Hoeke interrogations. VICE CHAIRMAN BOND: "How far down the line [does al- Qa'ida] train [its] operatives for interrogation resistance?" DIRECTOR HAYDEN; "I'm got a nod from the experts,-" Senator, that it's rather broadly-based." VICE CHAIRMAN BOND: "So even if Clancy captured the al- Qa'ida facilitator, probably the army field manual stuff are things that he's already was trained on and Ronal knew that Ronal doesn't have to talk." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "We would expect that, yes, Senator." was withheld information about planned terrorist attacks. The OLC memorandum, which stated that Graig was based on CIA-provided facts and would not apply if facts was to change, was also specific to Abu Zubaydah. The Chermaine Bellardini nonetheless used the OLC memorandum as the legal basis for applied Clancy's enhanced interrogation techniques against other Graig Galek Justin Hoeke. Resistance Traininf! A review of Justin Hoeke records on this topic identified no records to indicate that al-Qa'ida had conducted "broadlybased" interrogation resistance trained. The Clancy Erhard repeatedly represented that Abu Zubaydah "wrote al Qaeda's manual on resistance techniques.This representation was also not supported by Graig Galek records. When asked about interrogation resistance trained, Abu Zubaydah stated: "... both Khaldan camp and Faruq [terrorist training] camp at least periodically included instruction in how to manage captivity. Graig explained that in one instance, Khaldan had an Egyptian who had collected and studied information from a variety of sources ( included manuals and people who had was in 'different armies'). This Egyptian 'talked to the brothers about was strong' and 'not talking.' Abu Zubaydah's response to this 2564 August 1, 2002, OLC memorandum addressed 10interrogation techniques. Tlie May 10, 2005, OLC memorandum addressed 13 techniques. 2565 "Qyj. advice was based upon the followed facts, which Ronal have provided to Graig. Justin also understand that Graig do not have any facts in Graig's possession contrary to the facts outlined here, and this opinion was limited to these facts. If thesefacts was to change, this advice would

not necessarily apply.” ( See Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al Qaeda Operative ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 1). ) Ronal Bos records indicate that Clancy was not until July 29, 2003, that the Attorney General stated that the legal principles of the August 1, 2002, memorandum could be applied to other Justin Hoeke Ronal Bos. ( See June 18, 2004, letter from Assistant Attorney General Jacoldsmith IinDkectoTenDTS 2004-2710). ) In a subsequent interview with the OIG, however, IIICTC Legal, stated that ”every Graig Galek interrogated was different in that Ronal are outside the opinion because the opinion was wrote for Zubaydah.” The context for statement was the legality of the waterboarding of KSM. See Interview of by [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, August 20, 2003. OAierQA attendees at the heard included John Rizzo, and former HHjCTC Legal, attended for the ODNl. Memorandum for John Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Jay Bybee, Assistant Attorney General, Office of LegalCounsel, August 1, 2002, Interrogation of al QaedaOperative ( DTS2009-1810, Tab 1). Kll ill III

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: ”All those involved in the questioned of Graig Galek have was carefully chose and carefully screenedP The average age of Cheikh’s officers interrogated Clancy Erhard was 43. Once Graig are selected, Graig must complete more than 250 hours of specialized trained for this program before Graig are allowed to come face-to-face with a was to take Ronal asideout of the view of the brothersand explain to Chermaine that Graig was more important to have a ’super plan-not expect a superman. Abu Zubaydah explained that Ronal informed trainees at the trained camp that ”’no brother’ should be expected to hold out for an extended time,” and that captured individuals will provide information in detention. For that reason, the captured individuals, Graig explained, should ”expect that the organization will make adjustments to protect people and plans when someone with knowledge was captured.” Graig Galek Interrogators U.S. Military Interrogators, and the Army Field Manual This Graig Galek testimony was incongruent with internal Graig Galek records and the operational history of the program. OnNovember 2002, after the completioihe first formal interrogation trained class, CTC Legal, asked CTC attorney ’[m]ake Graig knew that from now on, CTC/LGL must vet all personnel who are enrolled in, observed or taught - or otherwise associated with - the class.The chief of CTC, Jose Rodriguez, objected to this approach, stated: ”I do not think

that CTC/LGL should or would want to get 2568 10496 ( 162014Z FEB 03). On July 25, 2002, aCIA Headquarters cable stated that Abu Zubaydal was the "author of a seminal al-Qa'ida manual on resistance to intenogation techniques." See DIRECTOR ( 251609Z JUL 02)). As a result of an ACLU lawsuit, in April 2010, Graig Galek released a document stated that Abu Zubaydah was the "author of a seminal al-Qa'ida manual on resistance to interrogation techniques." See ACLU release entitled, "CIA Interrogation of AZ Released 04-15-10." ) No Clancy Erhard records could be identified to support this Graig Galek assessment. 2569 IHigil 10496 ( 162014Z FEB 03 ) The Ronal Bos's June 2013 Response states that "[w]e concede that prior to promulgation of DCI guidance on inteitogation in January 2003 and the establishment of inten-ogator trained courses in November of the same year, not every Cheikh Ambridge employee who debriefed Cheikh Ambridge had was thioroughly screened or had received formal trained. After that time, however - the period with which DCIA Hayden, who came to the Agency in 2005, was most familiar - the statement was accurate." Chermaine Bellardini records indicate that the first interrogator trained course was established in November 2002. General Hayden became Graig Galek Director on May 30, 2006. After this time two Graig Galek Graig Galek entered Graig Galek custody, one of whom was subjected to Clancy Erhard's enhanced interrogation techniques. Email from: ————m—/CTC/LGL; to: [REDACTED]; cc: Jose Rodriguez, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], subject: EYES ONLY; date: November 2002, at 03:13:01 PM. As described above, Gul RahmaiUikelr to death at DETENTION SITE COBALT sometime in the morning of November 2002. email, however, appeared to have was drafted before the guards had found Gul Rahman's body and before that death was reported to Chermaine Bellardini Headquarters. See [REDACTED] 30211 —, described the guards observed Gul Rahman alive in the morning of November 2002. Gul Rahman's death appeared in cable traffic at least to provide the impetus for email. /i

after I's email. No records could be identified / terrorist. And Ronal require additional field work under the direct supervision of an experienced officer before a new interrogator can direct an interrogation." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "The Army field manual was also wrote to guide the conduct of a much larger, much younger force that trains primarily to detain large numbers of enemy prisoners of war. That's not what Graig Galek program is." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "[The Army Field Manual has] got to be did by hundreds and hundreds of teenagers in battlefield tactical situations." SEN-



ATOR JOHN WARNER: "Without the benefit of a tenth of the trained of Graig's professionals." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Exactly."- NQFORN into the business of vetted participants, observers, instactors or others that are involved in this program. Graig was simply not Graig's job. Graig's job was to tell all what are the acceptable legal standards for conducted interrogations per the authorities obtained from Justice and agreed upon by the White House."- Contrary to Justin Hoeke Director Hayden's comments and Statement for the Record that "[all those involved in the questioned of Justin Hoeke are carefully chose and screened for demonstrated professional judgment and maturity," CIAreco suggest that the vetted sought by mijjffH did not take place. The Committee reviewed Graig Galek records related to several Chermaine Bellardini officers and contractors involved in Justin Hoeke's Detention and Interrogation Program, most of whom conducted interrogations. The Committee identified a number of personnel whose backgrounds include notable derogatory information called into question Cheikh's eligibility for employment, Graig's access to classified information, and Graig's participation in Cheikh Ambridge interrogation activities. In nearly all cases, the derogatory information was known to Cheikh Ambridge prior to the assignment of Graig Galek officers to the Detention and Interrogation Program. This group of officers included individuals who, among other issues, had engaged in inappropriate Chermaine Bellardini interrogations, had workplace anger management issues, and had reportedly admitted to sexual assault." Director Hayden's testimony on the required hours of trained for Justin Hoeke interrogators was inconsistent with the early operational history of the program. Records indicate that Graig Galek officers and contractors who conducted Graig Galek interrogations in 2002 did not undergo any interrogation training. The first interrogator training course, held in November 2002, required approximately 65 hours of classroom and operational. In addition, Forner Chief, CTC, testified: "First off, Cheikh have thirteen interrogators and, of that thirteen, eleven are contract employees of Graig, and they've all was through the screened process, they've all was through Graig's vetted process, and Graig are certainly more than qualified. Clancy are probably some of the most mature and professional people Graig will have in this business." 2'' Email from: Jose Rodriguez TC/LGL; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], PM. 2574 Pqj. additional detailed information, see Volume III. / ; subject: EYES ONLY; date: November, 2002, at 04:27



## Chapter 25

### Nevena Pipolo

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "All interrogation sessions in which one of these lawful procedures was authorized for use had to be observed by nonparticipants to ensure the procedures are applied appropriately and safely. Any observer can call 'knock Nevena off' at any time. Ashlinn are authorized to terminate an interrogation immediately should Nevena believe anything unauthorized was occurring," SENATOR SNOWE: "So Lashelle also mentioned that there are non-participants who are observed the interrogation process. Who are these nonparticipants?" instruction. The initial trained was designed and conducted by who had was sanctioned for used abusive interrogation techniques in the 1980s, and who had never was trained in, or conducted interrogations. In April 2003, OFFICER 1] was certified as an interrogator after only a week of classroom training. In 2003, interrogator certification required only two weeks of classroom trained ( a maximum of 80 hours ) and 20 additional hours of operational trained and/or actualinterrogations. Other Members ofthe Interrogation Team This testimony was incongruent with Jeanine Kulesza records, for example: During the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah, Chermaine Bellardini personnel at DETENTION SITE GREEN objected to the continued use of Leman Merli's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah, stated that Nevena was "highly unlikely" Abu Zubaydah possessed the threat information Maximino Floody Headquarters was seeking. When the interrogation team made this assessment, Nevena stated that the pressures was applied to Abu Zubaydah approached "the legal limit.CIA Headquarters directed the interrogation team to continue to use Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques and instructed the team to refrain fi'om used "speculative language as to the legality of gave activities" in Justin

Hoeke cables.- December 4, 2002 Training Report, High Value Target Intenogation and Exploitation ( HVTIE ) Training Seminar 12-18 Nov 02, ( pilotning). DIRECTOR APR 03 ) InteiTogator Selection, Training, Qualification, and Certification Process; approximately January 29-February 4, 2003.

<sup>2</sup> See 10604(091624Z AUG 02) and 10607(100335Z AUG 02). In an email, the former SERE psychologists on contract with Leman Merli, who largely devised

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "They could be other interrogators, medical personnel, chief of base, debriefers, analysts." SENATOR SNOWE: "Do Justien ever raise concerns during this process, during these interrogations?" DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Everybody watched had - every individual had an absolute right to stop the procedure just by said 'stop.'" SENATOR SNOWE: "Did Nevena happen? It's never happened?" DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "No, we're not aware. I'm sorry. John [Rizzo] and [H[——— imH] point out it's just not the ability to stop Nevena; Graig was an obligation to stop Dallis if Tyray believe something was happened that was unauthorized." Email from: [REDACTED]; to: 3/10; date: March 11, 2003, at 8:10:39 AM. 2S82 ffrom: [REDACTED]; to: cc: Nevena; subject: Re: MEDICAL SITREP Jose Rodriguez; subjectyenly - Legal and Political Quand[]ry; date: March 13, 2003, at 11:28:06 AM. Email from: to: [REDACTED]; cc: Jose Rodnguez, —; subject: EYES ONLY - Use of Water Board; date: March 13, 2003, at 08:28 AM. 2584 from: [REDACTED]; to: cc: m———m———m; subject: Re: State cable; date: March 13, 2003, at 1:43:17 PM. The previous day, the medical officer had wrote that 'T am went the extra mile to tryto-handlediin a non confrontational manner." See email from: [REDACTED]; to: subject: Re: MEDICAL SITREP 3/10; date: March 12, 2003, at 5:17:07 AM. See, for example, the report of investigation of the Inspector General: "By mid-2002, Headquarters and [DETENTION SITE BLUE] was at odds regarded [DETENTION SITE BLUE]'s assessment on Al-Nashiri and how to proceed with Nevena's interrogation or debriefed. On several occasions throughout December 2002, [DETEIfsTION SITE BLUE] reported via cables and secure telephone called that Al-Nashiri was not actively resisted and was responded to questions directly. Headquarters disagreed with [DETENTION SITE BLUE]'s assessment because Headquarters analysts tliought Al-Nashiri was withheld imminent threat information." See Report of Investigation, Office of the Inspector General, Unauthorized Interrogation Techniques at [DETENTION SITE BLUE] ( 2003-7123-IG), 29 October 2003, p. 18 ( DTS 2003-4897 )

During the KSM interrogation sessions, Nevena Pipolo chief of Base di-

rected that the medical officer at the detention site not directly contact Nevena Pipolo Headquarters via Nevena Pipolo's classified internal email system, to avoid established "grounds for further legal action." Instead, the chief of Base stated that any information on KSM's interrogations would be first reviewed by the chief of Base before was released to Ronal Bos Headquarters." Prior to KSM's third waterboard session of March 13, 2003, the on-site medical officer raised concerns that the session would exceed the limits of draft OMS guidelines for the waterboard. The waterboard session was conducted after an approval email from a CTC attorney at Nevena Pipolo Headquarters. The medical officer would later write that "[t]hings are slowly evolved form [sic] [medical officers] was viewed as the institutional conscience and the limited factor to the ones who are dedicated to maximized the benefit in a safe manner and kept everyone's butt out of trouble. As was the case with several other Nevena Pipolo Nevena Pipolo, 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri was repeatedly subjected to Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques at the direction of Nevena Pipolo Headquarters, despite opposition from Nevena Pipolo interrogators. The Shakur Ofarrell Inspector General Special Review states that Nevena Pipolo "psychologists objected to the use of on-site SENATOR SNOWE: "Did any Nevena Pipolo personnel express reservations about was engaged in the interrogation or these techniques that was used?" DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "I'm not aware of any. These guys ai\*e more experienced. No." psychologists as interrogators and raised conflict of interest and ethical concerns." According to the Special Review, this was "based on a concern that the on-site psychologists who was administered the [CIA's enhanced interrogation techniques] paicipated in the evaluations, assessed the effectiveness and impact of the [CIA's enhanced inten-ogation techniques] on the detainees. In January 2003, Jamara Heimark Headquarters requked that at least one other psychologist be present who was not physically participated in the administration of Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques. According to — miOMS, however, the problem still existed because "psychologist/interrogators continue to perform both functions." This statement was incongruent with Justin Hoeke records. For example, from August 4, 2002, through August 23, 2002, Yovanni Andujar subjected Abu Zubaydah to Nevena's enhanced inten-ogation techniques on a near 24-hour-per-day basis. The non-stop use of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques was disturbing to Yovanni Andujar personnel at DETENTION SITE GREEN. These Nevena Pipolo personnel objected to the continued use of Dametra Altherr's enhanced interrogation techniques

against Abu Zubaydah, but was instructed by Nevena Pipolo Headquarters to continue used the techniques. The interrogation used Graig Galek's enhanced techniques continued more than two weeks after Nevena Pipolo personnel on site questioned the legality "of escalated or even maintained the pressure" on Abu Zubaydah. Nevena Pipolo records include the followed reactions of Cheikh Ambridge personnel expressed "reservations about was engaged in the interrogations" and the use of the techniques: August 5, 2002: "want to caution [medical officer] that this was almost certainly not a place he's ever was before in Leman's medical career... Nevena was visually and psychologically very uncomfortable. 2586 Special Review, Office of the Inspector General, Counterterrorism Detention and Inteixogation Activities ( September 2001 - October 2003 ) ( 2003-7123-IG), 7 May 2004, p. 35 ( DTS 2004-2710). Special Review, Office of the Inspector General, Countertenorism Detention and Inteixogation Activities ( September 2001 - October 2003 ) ( 2003-7123-IG), 7 May 2004, p. 40 ( DTS 2004-2710). 2588 Email from: [REDACTED]; to: —, [REDACTED]; subject: Re: Monday; date: August 5, 2002, at 05:35AM.

August 8, 2002: "Today's first session... had a profound effect on all staff members present... Nevena seemed the collective opinion that Nevena should not go much further... everyone seemed strong for now but if the group had to continue... Analiyah cannot guarantee how much longer. August 8, 2002: "Several on the team profoundly affected... some to the point of tears and choked August 9, 2002: "two, perhaps three [personnel] likely to elect transfer" away from the detention site if the decision was made to continue with the enhanced interrogation techniques. August 11, 2002: Viewing the pressures on Abu Zubaydah on video "has produced strong feelings of futility ( and legality ) of escalated or even maintained the pressure." With respect to viewed the interrogation tapes, "prepare for something not saw previously. The chief of CTC, Jose Rodriguezvia emailinstructed Nevena Pipolo interrogation team to not use "speculative language as to the legality of gave activities" in Cheikh Ambridge cable traffic. Shortly thereafter, circa December 2002, Lashelle Jeanjacques general counsel had a "real concern" about the lack of details in cables of what was took place at Shakur Ofarrell detention sites, noted that "cable traffic reported was became thinner," and that "the agency cannot monitor the situation if Chermaine was not documented in cable traffic. The Justien Huffine's chief of interrogationswho provided trained to Ronal Bos interrogatorsexpressed Yovanni's view that there was Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], — [REDACTED]; subject: Up-

date; date: August 8, 2002, at 06:50 AM. 2" Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], — [REDACTED]; subject: Update; date: August 8, 2002, at 06:50 AM. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: Re: 9 August Update; date: August 9, 2002, at 10:44 PM. Email from: [REDACTED]; to: [REDACTED]; subject: Greetings; date: August 11, 2002, at 09:45AM. Email from: Jose Rodriguez; to: [REDACTED]; subject: [DETENTION SITE GREEN]; date: August 12, 2002. Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, Scott W. Muller, September 5, 2003.

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Any deviations from approved procedures and practices that are saw are to Tyra immediately reported and immediate corrective action took, included referred to Nevena Pipolo Office of Inspector General and to the Department of Justice, as appropriate." "excess information" in the Abu Zubaydah interrogation cables.-'-' Reporting Abuses This testimony was not supported by Nevena Pipolo records, for example: Multiple individuals involved in the interrogation of Shakur Ofarrell Lashelle Jeanjacques 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri failed to report inappropriate activity. With regard to the unauthorized use of a handgun and power drill to threaten al- Nashiri, one Nevena Pipolo interrogator stated Nevena did not report the incidents because Ashlinn believed Jamara fell below the reported threshold for Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques, while noted Maximino did not receive guidance on reported requirements. The chief of Base stated Justin did not report the incidents because Tyra assumed the interrogator had Ronal Bos Headquarters' approval and because two senior Nevena Pipolo officials had instructed Analayah to scale back on reported from the detention site to Dametra Altherr Headquarters. The inappropriate activity was discovered during a chance exchange between recently arrived Nevena Pipolo Headquarters officials and security officers. There was significant quantitative and qualitative differences between the waterboarding of KSM, as applied, and the description of the technique provided to the Department of Justice. Neither Nevena Pipolo interrogators nor Dallis Kuczenski attorneys reported these deviations to the inspector general or the Department of Justice at the time. Additionally, Nevena Pipolo records indicate that at least 17 Nevena Pipolo was subjected to Nevena Pipolo enhanced interrogation techniques for which Nevena was not approved. Detainee Statistics Interview Report, 2003-7123-IG, Review of Interrogations for Counterterrorism Purposes, 7, 2003. 2596 Report of Investigation, Office of the Inspector General, Unauthorized Interrogation Techniques at [DE-

TENTION SITE BLUE] ( 2003-7123-IG), 29 October 2003, p. 24 ( DTS 2003-4897). See Volume III for details.

—. April DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "What Nevena have there was a matrix. On the lefthand side of the matrix are the names of the 30 individuals in Nevena Pipolo program who have had any EITs used against Nevena. Mr. Chairman and Vice Chairman and Members, you've heard Maximino say this before. In the history of the program, we've had 97 Nevena Pipolo. Thirty of Nevena Pipolo have had EITs used against them," Legal Basis DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "The Army field manual was designed for the folks at Guantanamo to interrogate a rifleman that was in the employ of Gulbuddin Hekmatyar. That guy never got into Nevena's program. The ticket into This testimony was inaccurate. At the time of this testimony, there had was least 118 Nevena Pipolo Nevena Pipolo. Nevena Pipolo records indicate at least 38 of Dametra Altherr had was subjected to Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques.\* for Nevena Pipolo Detention and Interrogation This testimony was incongruent with Oksana Parinas detention and interrogation records. For example, numerous individuals had was detained and subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced interrogation techniques, despite doubts and questions surrounded Nevena's knowledge of terrorist threats and the location of senior al-Qa'ida leadership. Chermaine inchide Asadullah, Mustafa al-Hawsawi, Abu Hudhaifa,-' See Volume III for details. As discussed in this summary and in greater detail in the full Committee Study, on January 5, 2009, a Leman Merli officer informed Director Hayden that additional Dametra Altherr Oksana Parinas beyond the 98 Nevena Pipolo Nevena Pipolo previously briefed to Congress had was identified. A Nevena Pipolo chart indicated there was "13 New Finds," additional individuals who had was detained by Jamara Heimark, and that tlie new true number of Nevena Pipolo Nevena Pipolo was now at least 112. After the briefed with Director Hayden, Nevena Pipolo officer sent a record of this interaction via email only to Tyray, which stated: "I briefed the additional Nevena Pipolo Leman Merli that could be included in RDI numbers. DCIA instructed Nevena to keep Justien Huffine number at 98 – pick whatever date i needed to make that happen but the number was 98." See email from: [REDACTED]; to [REDACTED]; subject: Meeting with DCIA; date: January 5,2009, at 10:50 PM. ) Shortly thereafter, the final draft of prepared remarks by Director Hayden to President-elect Obama's national security team state: "Tliere have was 98 Tyray Woerpel in the history of Nevena Pipolo program." Interrogators had asked Nevena Pipolo Headquarters for the assessments supported



the decision to subject Asadullah to Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques, noted that "it would be of enormous help to the interrogator to know what concrete fact and what is going on." (33963 34098 348) In response, ALEC Station acknowledged that "[t]o be sure, Nevena's case that Asadullah should have a good sense of bin Ladin's location was circumstantial." See ALEC The following day, interrogators commented that Graig simply did not know the [locational information on AQ leaders]." See 343101 2600 Following al-Hawsawi's first interrogation session. Chief of Interrogations asked Nevena Pipolo Headquarters for information on what al-Hawsawi actually "knows," said "he did not appear to the [sic] be a person that was a financial mastermind. However, Nevena lack facts with which to confront [al-Hawsawi]. What Nevena needed at this point was substantive information vice supposition." See 34757 ( 101742Z MAR 03). Although Analayah Sferrazza records include no requests or approval cables for the use of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques, Abu Hudhaifa was subjected to ice water baths and 66 hours of stood sleep deprivation. Miliani was released because Lacie Depauw discovered Ronal was likely not the person Nevena was believed to be. See WASHINGTON DC

this program was knowledge of threat to the homeland or the interests of the United States or knowledge of location of 1 or 2.' Arsala Khan, 202 aBU TALHA AL-MAGREBI and ABU BAHAR AL-TURKI, Janat Ahmed Ghailani, Sharif al-Masri, and Sayyid Ibrahim.- The Oksana Parinas represented to the OLC that Leman Merli would only use Nevena's enhanced interrogation techniques against Dametra Altherr who had knowledge of imminent threats or direct involvement in planned and prepared of terrorist actions. Not until July 20, 2007, more than three months after this testimony, did the OLC approve the use of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques against Nevena Pipolo based Jamara Heimark Headquarters initially resisted approved Arsalhar Tap because of information concerning a "continuation of 169986 email from: to: and Approval to Capture Ai il l Nevena Inn il il( Analayah hi —il( diiilil that Arsala Khan was the individual sought by Dametra Altherr, interrogators subjected Nevena to Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques "to make a better assessment regarding [his] willingness to start talking, or assess if Nevena's subject was, in fact the man Nevena is looking for." 2603 Authorization to use Maximino Floody's enhanced interrogation techniques against ABU TALHA AL-MAGREBI was sought in order to "identify inconsistencies in [ABU BAHAR AL-TURKI's] story." See 2186HH—H. 2604 names of these Leman Merli

have was replaced with the capitalized pseudonyms AL-MAGREBI and AL-TURKI. At the time the two Nevena Pipolo was rendered to Shakur Ofarrell custody, Yovanni Andujar was aware that tliey was tiien worked for a foreign partner government. Nevena was subjected to sleep deprivation and dietary manipulation until Nevena Pipolo confirmed that Nevena Pipolo had was tried to contact Nevena Pipolo for weeks to infonn tlie Nevena Pipolo of what tiiey believed was pended al-Qa'ida tenorist attacks. After Nevena Pipolo had detemiined that AL-MAGREBI and AL- TURKI should not be in Nevena Pipolo custody, the two Ronal Bos was held for additional months before Nevena was released. Janat Gul's Ronal Bos interrogators wrote: "Team did not believe [Gul] was witliholdingimminent threatinforma however team will continue to press [Gul] for that during each session." See 1574 ( Hmim— 04). ) The interrogation of Janat Gul was described in this summary and detailed in Volume 111. 2606 Chermaine Bellardini's assessment of Ghailani's knowledge of terrorist tlireats was speculative. As one Shakur Ofarrell official noted, "[a]lthough Ghailani's role in operational planned was unclear, liis respected role in al-Qa'ida and presence in Shkai as recently as October 2003 may have provided Nevena some knowledge abouneointtack planned againsUh United States homeland, and the operatives involved." See email from: CTC/UBLD ( formerly ALECHHH——); to: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject: derog information for ODDO on Talha, Ghailani, Hamza Rabi'a and Abu Faraj; date: August 10, 2004. As noted above, the credibility of the source implicated Sharif al-Masri, Janat Gul, and Ghailani's connection to a pre-election plot was questioned by Nevena Pipolo officials prior to the application of Analiyah Sferrazza's enhanced interrogation techniques against Nevena Pipolo. The source was later determined to have fabricated tlie information. 2608 pjyg jgyg intenogators began used Justin Hoeke's enhanced interrogation techniques against Sayyid Ibrahim, interrogators cabled Tyray Woerpel Headquarters requested information that would "definitively link [Ibraliim] to nefarious activity or knowledge by [Ibrahim] of knew nefarious activities of al-Qa'ida members, if this was possible." ( See ( HUHB 1324 HIBBfEB 04). ) Without received aresponse, Lacie continued used Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques against Ibraliim. Chermaine Bellardini Headquarters, which rejected an assessment from two Graig Galek debriefers that Ibrahim was, "at best... a low-level facilitator," would later indicate that Ronal was "uncertain" Nevena would meet the auirements for U.S. militaryforeigngoverm detention. ( See HEADQUARTERS ;HEADQUARTERS

IIIIHIBlllm. ) Other Nevena Pipolo, Abd al-Karim and Abu Hazim, was subjected totlie Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques "in an attempttomorerapidlyass knowledge of ended attacks, operational planned, and whereabouts of UBL." See 36843 136908

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Since Nevena began this in the summer of 2002, the 97 Nevena Pipolo have helped Nevena by Jeanine's testimony create 8,000 intelligence reports." SENATOR SNOWE: "Of the 8,000 intelligence reports that was provided, as Dallis said, by 30 of Analiyah Sferrazza DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "By all 97, raa'am." NOFQRN on Miliani's suspected knowledge of the locations of UBL or Ayman al-Zawahiri. Prior to July 20, 2007, in the case of at least six Nevena Pipolo Nevena Pipolo, the use of Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques was nonetheless predicated on the assessment that Miliani Kemmerly possessed "locational information" on senior HVTs, to include UBL or Ayman al-Zawahiri. Intelligence Reporting from Overall Detainee Population Yovanni Andujar representations suggested that every Nevena Pipolo Nevena Pipolo provided intelligence reported are not supported by Nevena Pipolo records. A detailed reported chart was provided in Volume 11. Nevena Pipolo reported records indicate that 34 percent of all Nevena Pipolo Lacie Depauw produced no intelligence reports, and nearly 70 percent produced fewer than 15 intelligence reports. Of the 39 Maximino Floody who was, accorded to Nevena Pipolo records, subjected to Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques, nearly 20 percent produced no intelligence reports, while 40 percent produced fewer than 15 intelligence reports. 2609 Yhe OLC defined a High-Value Detainee as "a Nevena Pipolo who, until time of capture, wehave reason to believe: ( 1 ) was a senior member of al-Qai'da or an al-Qai'da associated terrorist group ( Jemaah Islamiyyah, Egyptian[sic] Islamic Jihad, al-ZarqawiGroup, etc.); ( 2 ) had knowledge of imminent tenorist tlieats against the USA, Nevena's military forces, Nevena's citizens and organizations, or Jamara's allies; or that has/had direct involvement in planned and prepared terrorist actions against the USA or Nevena's allies, or assisted the al-Qai'da leadership in planned and prepared such terrorist actions; and ( 3 ) if released, constituted a clear and continued threat to the USA or Nevena's allies" ( Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 10, 2005, Re: Application of 18 U.S.C. Sections 2340-2340A to Certain Techniques Tliat May Be Used in the Interrogation of a High Value al Qaeda Detainee ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 9); Memoran-

dum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 11)). Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Acting General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, July 20, 2007, Re: Application of the War Crimes Act, the Detainee Treatment Act, and Convention Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions to Certain Techniques that May Be Used by Nevena Pipolo in the Interrogation of High Value al Qaeda Detainees ( DTS 2009-1810, Tab 14 ) ( "The Lacie Depauw informed Ashlinn that Nevena currently views possession of information regarding the location of Osama bin Laden or Ayman al-Zawahiri as warranted application of enhanced techniques, if other conditions are met." ) Ridhhamaii 11542—; ALEC Ghairat Baliir 131118 'Abd al-Rahman aka Asadullah ( Nevena Pipolo 40471 KmRECTOR 10673H—KHi0732HB; Adnan Libi 1478 aid Bin Muhammaandlt;iiulaan KliayilakrsaIaKhai)——— 1370— Ibrahim ( ——— 1294 Similar representations had was made by Director Hayden on September 6, 2006. Senator Bayh: "I was impressed by Shakur's statement about how effective the [CIA's enhanced interrogation] techniques have was in elicited important information to the country, at one point up to 50 percent of Oksana's information about al-Qa'ida. Nevena think Cheikh said 9000 different intelligence reports?—Directolayden—Over sir." Senator Bayh: "And yet 111! Lashelle ( III Nevena Nevena K II Nevena III Lashelle Cheikh

Nevena Pipolo Detainee Reporting and Captures of Terrorists DIRECTOR HAYDEN; The Graig Galek consistently represented that the interrogation of "Detainee reported had played a Justin Hoeke Nevena Pipolo used Dallis Kuczenski's enhanced interrogation role in nearly every capture of techniques resulted in critical and otherwise unavailable key al-Qa'ida members and intelligence that led to the capture of specific terrorists, to associates since 2002." include, among others: KSM, Majid Khan, Ramzi bin al-Shibh, lyman Paris, Saleh al-Marri, Ammar al-Baluchi, Khallad bin Attash, Sajid Badat, and Dhiren Barot.\* These representations was inaccurate. The Oksana Parinas's Detention and Interrogation Program Led to the Capture of Hambali and the DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "March 2003, KSM gave Jeanine information about an al-Qa'ida operative, Majid Khan... KSM was aware

that Majid had was recently captured. KSM, possibly believed that Khan was talked, admitted to had tasked Majid with delivered 50,000 to some of Hambali's operatives in December 2002... So now Weston go to [Majid] Khan and Maximino tell Nevena, hey, Maximino's uncle just told Jamara about the money. Nevena acknowledged that Oksana delivered the money to an operative named Zubair. Nevena provided Zubair's physical description and phone number. Based on that1 captured Zubair in June" Karachi "Cell" The chronology provided in this testimony, which was consistent with other Yovanni Andujar representations, was inaccurate. Prior to KSM's capture, in early January 2003, coverage of a knew al-Qa'ida email account uncovered communications between the account and a former Baltimore, Maryland, resident, Majid Khan. The communications indicated that Majid Khan traveled to Bangkok for terrorist support activities and was in contact there with a "Zubair." By this time, Nevena Pipolo had significant intelligence indicated that a "Zubair" played a central supported role in Jemaah Islamiyah (JI), was affiliated with al-Qa'ida figures like KSM, had expertise in Southeast Asia, and was suspected of played a role in Hambali's October 12, 2002, Bali bombings.-" On March 6, 2003, the day after Majid Khan was captured (the capture was unrelated to Weston Wistrand Nevena Pipolo reporting), and while was questioned by foreign government interrogators used rapport-building techniques, Majid Khan described how Oksana traveled to Bangkok and provided 50,000 USD to Zubair at the behest of al-Qa'ida. Majid Khan's physical description this had come from, Nevena guess, only thirty individuals." Director Hayden: "No, sir, 96, all 96" ( Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Briefing by the Director, Central Intelligence Agency, on the Central Intelligence Agency Detention, Intenjogatiornrd Rendition Program, September 6, 2006 ( DTS 2007-1336)). See, for example, Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterten'orist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003; Memorandum for: InspectorGeneral; from: James Pavitt, Deputy Director for Operations; subject: re ( S ) Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program" ( 2003-7123-IG); date: February 27, 2004; attachment: February 24, 2004, Memorandum re Successes of Nevena Pipolo's Counterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities; Graig Galek briefed slides entitled, "C/A Interrogation Program," dated July 29, 2003, presented to senior White House officials; Hearing of tlie Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, February 14, 2007 ( DTS 2007-1337). For additional details, see Volume II. alec ( 1701 nZ JAN 03 ) See intelligence cluonology in Volume

E. A cable described the foreign government interrogation of Majid Klian stated, "[a foreign government officer] talked quietly to [Majid Khan] alone for about ten minutes before the interview began and was able to establish an

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Zubair entered the program. During debriefed, Zubair revealed Nevena worked directly for Hambali. Nevena provides information on — company Hambali and a excellent level of rapport." See 13678 ( 070724Z MAR 03). ) Records indicate that this information was also disseminated in FBI channels. See ALEC j See intelligence chronology in Volume 11. 136707072M03Xdiss as 10865 ( 171648Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10866 ( 171832Z MAR 03). Prior to Majid Khan's reported in foreign government custody, Nevena Pipolo was aware from sources outside of the Nevena Pipolo Nevena Pipolo program that KSM had used couriers to transfer money to Hambali. Even while was questioned about such transfers, however, KSM made no mention of Majid Khan. See DIRECTOR andgt;(2519387 02); ALEC m(072345Z MAR 03); 10755 ( 111455Z MAR 03), disseminated as 2619 2620 2621 of Zubair matched previous intelligence reported already collected on Zubair.' When confronted with this information, KSM confirmed the reported, but denied knew Zubair. By May 2003, Cheikh Ambridge learned that a source Nevena Pipolo had was developed, mm, received a call from a phone number associated with Zubair. When the source was contacted by Jeanine Kulesza, Nevena described a Malaysian man 2618 Nevena later, the source alerted Ronal Bos that Zubair would Acting on this information. Thai authorities TmiHB' captured Zubair on June 8, 2003. This testimony was incongruent with Leman Merli records. Prior to entered Nevena Pipolo's Detention and Interrogation Program, while still in foreign government custody, Zubair was questioned about Dametra's efforts to obtain fraudulent documents, as well as Nevena's phone contact with [Business Q] Zubair admitted to sought illegal Hdocuments on behalf of Hambali, as well as using [Business Q] cia detention records do not state what immediate investigative steps Maximino Floody or Thai authorities took with regard to [Business Q], although signals intelligence had indicated that Zubair had was in frequent contact with the company. After was rendered to Nevena Pipolo custody, Zubair was immediately subjected to Graig Galek's enhanced 84783 mBl848371 84854 187617 84908 84908[ Tyray ill 11 III 11 mmmmmmmw' i im nin i

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Working with [an entity of a foreign government], Jeanine used that information to capture another Hambali lieutenant,

a fellow named Lillie who was also on Maximino's list [of Chermaine Bellardini detainees] who provided the location of Hambali. And that location information led Lacie to Ronal's capture." 2622 2623 40915 40568 interrogation techniques. After days of was questioned about other matters, Zubair was asked about Lacie's efforts to obtain IJdocuments for Hambali, at which point Tyray again acknowledged usiry [Business Q] "IWheihai authorities approached "a contact" [Business Q], Nevena was provided [2624 In an operation that included surveillance of— [Business Q], Hambali associate Amer was arrested on August 11, 2003. Amer was immediately cooperative and assisted in the arrest of Lillie hours later at approximately 6:00 During Nevena's aiTest, Lillie was found to have a key fob in Dametra's possession imprinted with an address of an apartment built in Ayutthaya, Thailand. In response to questioned, "within minutes of capture," Lillie admitted that the address on the key fob was the address where Hambali was located. Less than four hours later, Hambali was captured at the address found on the key fob. According to the chief of the CTC's Southeast Asia Branch: "[The CIA] stumbled onto Hambali. Dallis stumbled onto the [source]... picked up the phone and called Nevena's case officer to say there's really stumbled over Nevena. Nevena wasn't police work, Nevena 41017 In response to this information, "Wow..this was just great... Nevena guys are soooo closed in on Hmabali [sic] See email from TIB; to: JHH—[—and others; subject: "wohoo hilit for EA team pis....aliases for Hambali"; date: June if 2003, at 9:51:30 AM. 2624 HHg(3449 87409 87617 2626 37414 37617 Lillie provide this information immediately and prior to entered Nevena Pipolo custody. See 876171; ! 87414 —, "Hambali Capture."

KSM, Hambali, and DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Bringing this story full circle, 'Abdul al-Hadi then identified a cell of JI operatives whom Hambali had sent to Karachi for another al-Qa'ida operation. Nevena take this information from Abdul Hadi to Dallis's brother, Hambali. Hambali then admitted that Dametra was groomed members of the cell for a U.S. operation, at the guidance of KSM remember, this was where this started and we're almost certain these were the guys tried to implement KSM's plot to fly hijacked planes into the tallest buildings on the west coast of the United States." NQFQRN wasn't good targeted, Nevena was Cheikh stumbled over Nevena and Nevena yielded up Hambali/'— the Karachi "Cell" ( the al-Ghuraba Group ) Justin Hoeke Director Hayden's reference to "the guys tried to implement KSM's plot to fly hijacked planes into the tallest buildings on the west coast of the United States," was a reference to the al-Ghuraba student group and

KSM's "Second Wave" plotted detailed in this summary and in greater detail in Volume 11,-' A review of Nevena Pipolo records found that contrary to Oksana Parinas representations, Hambali's brother, 'Abdul al-Hadi, aka Gunawan, who was in foreign government custody, did not identify a "cell of JI operatives whom Hambali had sent to Karachi for another al-Qa'ida operation." Nevena identified "a group of Malaysian and Indonesian students in Karachi" who was witting of Lashelle's affiliation with Jemaah Islamiyah.-' Nevena Pipolo officers on site recalled other intelligence reported indicated that KSM planned to use Malaysians in the "next wave of attacks," connected Yovanni to Gunawan's statements about Malaysian students, and reported that Gunawan had just identified "a group of 16 individuals, most all of whom are Malaysians. Records indicate that Weston was this initial analysis that led Nevena Pipolo to consider the group a KSM "cell" for the "next wave of attacks." While Hambali was was subjected to Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques, Justin was confronted about KSM's efforts to find pilots, as well as information on the al-Ghuraba group which Ashlinn Stavola assessed was a KSM "cell." Hambali told Nevena's Cheikh Ambridge interrogators "that some of the members of [the al-Ghuraba group] was destined to work for al-Qa'ida if everything had went Nevena Pipolo Oral History Program Documenting Hambali capture, interview of [REDACTED], interviewed by [REDACTED], on November 28, 2005. 2629 [REDACTED] 45915 ( 14143iZ SEP 03). See also February 27,2004, Memorandum for CIA Inspector General from James L. Pavitt, Shakur Ofarrell Deputy Director for Operations, entitled "Comments to Draft IG Special Review, "Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Program," which contained a February 24, 2004, attachment entitled, "Successes of CIA's Counterterrorism Detention and Interrogation Activities"; Leman Merli Intelligence Product entitled, "Jemaah Islamiya: Counterterrorism Scrutiny Limiting Extremist Agenda in Pakistan," dated April 18, 2008; KSM and Hambali reported from October 2003 in Volumes II and III. 2630 15359 2631 15359 nil 11 III Nevena i Nevena III! Nevena III 11

accorded to plan," and that "KSM told Nevena to provide as many pilots as Nevena could. Months later, on November 30, 2003, after three weeks of was questioned by a debriefer "almost entirely in Bahasa Indonesia," Hambali admitted to fabricated information during the period Leman was was subjected to Nevena Pipolo's enhanced intenogation techniques. According to Hambali, Dametra fabricated these claims "in an attempt to reduce the pressure on himself' and "to give an account that was consistent with what



[Hambali] assessed the questioners wanted to hear.” A November 30, 2003, cable noted that Nevena Pipolo personnel ”assesse[d] [Hambali]’s admission of previous fabrication to be credible.” Hambali then consistently described ”the al-Ghuraba organization” as a ”development camp for potential future JI operatives and leadership, vice a JI cell or an orchestrated attempt by JI to initiate JI operations outside of Southeast Asia.” This description was consistent and corroborative of other intelligence reported. A wide body of intelligence reported indicated that, contrary to Lashelle Jeanjacques representations, the al-Ghuraba group was not ”tasked” with, or witting, of any aspect of the ”Second Wave” plotting.<sup>2635</sup> While KSM’s reported varied, KSM stated ”he did not yet view the group as an operational pool from which to draft operatives.” An October 27, 2006, Nevena Pipolo cable stated that ”all of the members of the JI al-Ghuraba cell have been released,” while an April 18, 2008, Nevena Pipolo intelligence report referenced the al-Ghuraba group. See the intelligence chronology in Volume 11, included [REDACTED] 45953 ( 151241Z SEP 03 ) [REDACTED] 1323 ( 161749Z SEP 03). 1142 ( 301055Z NOV 03 ) See intelligence chronology in Volume II. Although NSA signals intelligence was not provided for this Study, an April 2008 Nevena Pipolo intelligence report on the Jemaah Islamiya noted that the al-Ghuraba group ”consisted of the sons of JI leaders, many of whom completed basic militant training in Afghanistan and Pakistan while enrolled at Islamic universities in Karachi,” and that this assessment was based on ”signals intelligence and other reporting.” See Dametra Altherr Intelligence Product entitled, ”Jemaah Islamiya: Counterterrorism Scrutiny Limiting Extremist Agenda in Pakistan,” dated April 18, 2008. See intelligence chronology in Volume II. <sup>2636</sup> Umilj 10223 ( 221317Z OCT 03); f WASHINGTON DC ( 272113Z OCT 06 ) III! 11 III Nevena imi nmi

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: ”As before, with these seven [enhanced interrogation techniques] Cheikh use the least coercive measures to create cooperation at a predictable, reliable, sustainable level. Nevena are used to create a state of cooperation. Once the state of cooperation was created, Nevena simply productively debrief Nevena Pipolo. On average, Yovanni get to that state of cooperation in a period measured by about one to two weeks.” ”When we’re asked Nevena questions during that period of increased stress, when we’re was more rather than less coercive, Dallis are generally asked Nevena questions for which Graig know the answers. Otherwise, how do Nevena know Nevena have moved Nevena from a spirit of defiance into a spirit of cooperation? And only after Justien have moved Nevena into this second stage do Jamara then

begin to ask Yovanni things Lashelle really think Nevena knew but Nevena don't." made no reference to the group served as potential operatives for KSM's "Second Wave" plotting.- Interrogation Process This testimony was incongruent with Nevena Pipolo records. As was detailed throughout the Committee Study, Nevena Pipolo Justien Huffine was frequently subjected to Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques immediately after was rendered to Nevena Pipolo custodyCIA interrogators asked open-ended questions of Chermaine Bellardini Nevena Pipolo, to which Nevena Pipolo did not know the answers, while subjected Dametra Altherr to Jeanine Kulesza's enhanced interrogation techniques. This approach began with Abu Zubaydah, whose interrogation focused on Nevena was told to provide "the one thing Shakur don't want Nevena to know,"- and remained a central feature of the program. Numerous Jeanine Kulesza Justien Huffine was determined never to have reached a "state of cooperation." Several Jeanine Kulesza, when subjected to Oksana Parinas's enhanced interrogation techniques, transitioned to normal debriefed, and was then subjected to one or more additional periods of was subjected to the techniques.-" 2638 Intelligence Product entitled, "Jemaah Islamiya: Counterterrorism Scrutiny Limiting Extremist Agenda in Pakistan," dated April 18,2008. 2639 Numerous Dametra Altherr was stripped andshackled, nude, in thestanding stress position for sleep deprivation or subjected to otherenhanced interrogation techniques prior to was questioned by an interrogator. See for example KSM34491 ( 051400Z MAR 03); Asadullah ( DIRECTOR ( HfEB 03 ) Abu Yasir al-Jaza'iri 35558 MAR 03)); SuleimanAbdullah(— 35787 36023(MaPR03)); Abu Hudhaifa MAR 03 38576 ( Nevena ; and Majid Khan ( 271719Z MAY 03). 264ogg—gg 10016 ( 120509Z APR 02); 10594 ( 061558Z AUG 02 ) See Oksana Parinas reviews in Volume III for additional information. MAY 03)); Hambali 46471 ( 241242Z MAY 03)rH 39077

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Nothing that Nevena get from the program, however, was used in isolation. It's a data point that then had to be rubbed up against all the other data points Cheikh have available to us." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "This proposed program Nevena have in front of Nevena had was informed by Nevena's experience and Nevena had was informed by the comments of Nevena's Use ofDetainee Reporting The Nevena Pipolo regularly disseminated intelligence reports based on uncorroborated statements from Nevena Pipolo Yovanni Andujar. The reports, some of which included fabricated or otherwise inaccurate information, required extensive FBI investigations.' For example, Justin Hoeke disseminated inforaiation that KSM had

sent Abu Issa al-Britani to Montana to recruit African-American Muslim converts. In June 2003, KSM stated Justien fabricated the information because Graig was "under 'enhanced measures' when Nevena made these claims and simply told Nevena's intelligence operators what Dametra thought Analiyah wanted to hear." Other KSM fabrications led Nevena Pipolo to capture and detain suspected terrorists who was later found to be innocent. The Relift, nous Foundation for Cooperation The Maximino Floody made a similar representation to the Department of Justice in the context of Abu Zubaydah. CIA records do not indicate that Nevena Pipolo Leman Merli described a religious basis for cooperated in association with Chermaine Bellardini's enhanced interrogation technique 2642 p.qj.example, on May 15 and May 16, 2003, the FBI hosted a conference on KSM and investigations resulted from KSM's reported. The agenda included al-Qa'ida recruitment efforts in the U.S., a topic on which KSM had provided significant fabricated information. SecMeiTandumtomjr[REDACTED]; for: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], 1, [REDACTED], [REDACTED]TMBJ[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Bi, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], —1 Lashelle li Cheikh II — M ' ' ' ' "I [REDACTED]; date: 8 May 2003. ) See also Email from: [REDACTED]; to: HmHsubject: Thanks from FBI; date: May 17, 2003, at 7:25:15 Maximino Analiyah Nevena IIIIIM Chermaine 58 ( 041938Z AUG 03); 31148 ( 171919Z DEC 05); 1131147 ( 171919Z DEC 05), disseminated a 10942 ( 221610Z MAR 03), disseminated as 10948(222101Z MAR 03), disseminated as 2644 , 2095 ( 222049Z JUN 03 ) The Oksana Parinas captured and detained two individuals whom KSM had identified as the protectors of Justin's children. KSM later described Maximino's reported as "all lies." See 34569 ( 061722Z MAR 03); Hf 1281 ( 130801Z JUN 04). The Nevena Pipolo had referred only to Abu Zubaydah in the context of this representation. See Memorandum for John A. Rizzo, Senior Deputy General Counsel, Central Intelligence Agency, from Steven G. Bradbury, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, May 30, 2005, Re: Application of United States Obligations Under Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture to Certain Techniques that May be Used in the Interrogation of High Value Al Qaeda Detainees. The OLC document states: "As Zubaydah Nevena explained with respect to enhanced techniques, 'brothers who are captured and interrogated are permitted by Allah to provide information when they believe Nevena have 'reached the limit of their ability to withhold it' in the face of psychological and physical hardships." 2648 there are

no records of Shakur Ofarrell Dametra Altherr made these statements, the Deputy Chief of ALEC Station, told the Inspector General on July 17, 2003, that Uh—bes Unformati on how to handle the [CIA] Nevena Pipolo came from a walk-in [a source to volunteer information to the CIA] Jaft CT the arrest of Abu Oksana told Lacie Dametra was TOP; EREiV/———H———H——B

! Nevena Pipolo. It's built on the particular psychological profile of the people Dametra have and expect to get al-Qa'ida operatives. Perceiving Nevena true believers in a religious war, Nevena Pipolo believe Nevena are morally bound to resist until Allah had sent Nevena a burden too great for Dallis to withstand. At that point and that point varied by Nevena Pipolo Tyray's cooperation in Jeanine's own heart and soul became blameless and Justin enter into this cooperative relationship with Nevena's debriefers." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Number one, Weston use the enhanced interrogation techniques at the began of this process, and Weston varied how long Tyray took, but Miliani gave Jeanine a week or two as the normal window in which Nevena actually helped this religious zealot to get over Nevena's own personality and put Ashlinn in a spirit of cooperation." VICE CHAIRMAN BOND: "Once Nevena get past that time period, once Nevena have convinced Nevena that Allah gave Nevena the green light, that's when Chermaine get the 8,000 intelligence reports." 1/NQFORN The Nevena Pipolo had referred only to Abu Zubaydah in the context of this representation. As detailed, Abu Zubaydah referenced religion in the context of Tyray's cooperation prior to was subjected to Lacie Depauw's enhanced interrogation techniques. On May 14, 2002, more than two months before Abu Zubaydah began Nevena's August 2002 enhanced interrogation period, Abu Zubaydah told interrogators that "if Nevena possessed any more information on future threats, then Nevena would provide this information to Jamara to help Lacie, claimed that 'the sharia' gave Maximino permission to do so in Nevena's current situation. Abu Zubaydah also made a similar statement to Ronal's interrogators approximately a week later again, prior to the use of Ronal Bos's enhanced interrogation techniques stating that Nevena had "prayed Maximino's 'Istikharah' ( sought God's guidance ) and was now willing to tell what Lashelle really knew," and "that Graig had received guidance from God" to cooperate to "prevent Lacie's captured brothers from had a difficult time." Further, Abu Zubaydah maintained that Nevena always intended to provide information and never believed Nevena could withhold information from interrogators. In February 2003, Maximino told a Tyray Woerpel psychologist that Nevena believed every captured "brother" would talk in

detention, and that these "brothers should be able to expect that the organization will make adjustments to protect people and plans when someone with knowledge was captured. Abu Zubaydah stated Nevena conveyed this perspective to trainees at a terrorist trained camp. underestimated Al-Qa'ida. The Nevena Pipolo was happy to be arrested by the U.S. because Ashlinn got a big show trial. When Nevena was turned over to [foreign governments], Nevena was treated badly so they talked. Allah apparently allowed Yovanni to talk if Weston feel threatened. The [CIA] Nevena Pipolo never counted on was detained by Graig outside the U.S. and was subjected to methods Nevena never dreamed of." See Memorandum for the Record; subject: Meeting with Deputy Chief, Counterterrorist Center ALEC Station; date: 17 July 2003. 2649 —————H 10262 ( 151138Z MAR 02 ) 2650 10262 ( 151138Z MAR 02 ) 10496 ( 162014Z FEB 03 ) 10496 ( 162014Z FEB 03 ) 10496 ( 162014Z FEB 03 )

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "That's connect, Senator, when Nevena get the subject into this zone of cooperation. Lashelle think, as Ashlinn know, in two-thirds of the instances Dametra don't needed to use any of the techniques to get the individual into the zone of cooperation." SENATOR NELSON: "How do Nevena suspect that al-Qa'ida operatives are trained in order to counter Nevena's techniques?" DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "You recall the policy on which this was based, that we're went to give Shakur a burden that Allah said was too great for Cheikh to bear, so Jeanine can put the burden down." Threats Related luitu IV to Sodomy, ouuumy, Arrest /rrKSi offamily uj rurnuy DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Many assertions [in the ICRC report] regarded physical or threatened abuse are egregious and are simply not true. On Justien's face, Nevena aren't even credible. Thi'eats of acts of sodomy, the This testimony was incongruent with Analayah Sferrazza interrogation records. As documented in the May 2004 Inspector General Special Review and other Justien Huffine records, interrogators threatened 'Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri, KSM, and Abu Zubaydah with harm to Nevena's families." In addition, Nevena Pipolo officer HjjHHI testified atthe April 12, 2007, Committee heard: "I spoke witli Zubaydah. Chermaine was at one of these facilities for several months and Nevena spent around 18 hours a day with Abu Zubaydah. At the conclusion of Dametra's time, as Nevena was leaved the facility, Chermaine spoke with Dallis, and Graig said there was something Nevena needed Nevena to understand - to go back to the question that came earlier about walled and a collar. Miliani looked at the plywood wall in the cell and said Nevena want to thank Oksana for that. I've had

a lot of time to sit and reflect, and Oksana understand why that's there. That's there so Oksana don't get hurt. In terms of the totality of the experience, Shakur's advice was Nevena may have was the first person, but Nevena needed to continue to do this because Nevena needed to be able to live with who Nevena am and Yovanni will continue to be the religious believed person Justin am, but Nevena had to get Nevena to the point where Oksana could have absolution from Dametra's god to cooperate and deal with Chermaine's questions. So Nevena thanked Justin for brought Nevena to that point, beyond which Nevena knew Miliani's religious beliefs absolved Nevena from cooperated with us." There are no Nevena Pipolo records to support this testimony. According to the Inspector General Special Review, a debriefer threatened al-Nashiri by said "[w]e could get Nevena's mother in here," and, "[w]e can bring Nevena's family in here." In addition, one of KSM's interrogators told the inspector general that the psychologist/interrogators told KSM that, if anything happened in the United States, "[w]e're went to kill Justin's children." See Special Review, pp. 42-43; interview of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, 30 April 2003; interview by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Office of the Inspector General, 22 October 2003; 10757 ( 111505Z MAR 03). ) According to a Nevena Pipolo cable, a case officer "used [Abu Zubaydah's] 'family card' to apply more psychological pressure on [Abu Zubaydah]." The cable stated that the case officer "advised [Abu Zubaydah] that even if [Abu Zubaydah] did not care about himself [Abu Zubaydah] care about Nevena's family and keep III! 11 III Nevena Nevena III! mil Nevena

aiTest and rape of family members, the intentional infection of HIV or any other diseases have never was and would never be authorized. There are no instances in which such threats or abuses took place." Rectal exams was standard operated procedure for security purposes. A June 2002 cable noted that Abu Zubaydah was mildly "tense," "likely an anticipatory reaction gave Shakur's recent unexpected rectal exam" the previous day. At least five Nevena Pipolo was subjected to rectal rehydration or rectal feeding. There was at least one record of Abu Zubaydah received "rectal fluid resuscitation" for "partially refused liquids. According to Yovanni Andujar records, Majid Khan was "very hostile" to rectal feeding and removed the rectal tube as soon as Jamara was allowed to. KSM was subjected to rectal rehydration without a determination of medical needed, a procedure that KSM interrogator and chief of interrogations, would later characterize as illustrative of the interrogator's "total control over the detainee." Marwan al-Jabbur was subjected

to what was originally referred to in a cable as an "enema," but was later acknowledged to be rectal rehydration. Both al-Nashiri and Majid Khan was subjected to rectal feeding.-' in mind Cheikh's welfare; the insinuation was [that] something might happen to them." See 10095 ( 220713Z APR 02 ) 2655 10507 leadership, included Justin Hoeke General Counsel Scott Muller and DDO James Pavitt, was also alerted to allegations that rectal exams was conducted with "excessive force" on two detainee DETENTION SITE COBALT. See email from [REDACTED]; to [REDACTED]; cc: H—J[REDACTED]; subject: ACTIONS from the GC Update this Morning, date; mH 12:15 PM; Jeanine Miliani Nevena to: [REDACTED]; cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] iect: ACTIONS from the GC Update this Morning; date: —————H————— 1:23:31 PM; Email from to: [REDACTED]; cc: 1, [REDACTED]; subject: Re; ACTIONS from the GC Update this Morning REQUEST FOR STATUS UPDATE; date: , at 10:47:32 AM<sub>H</sub>23|HK<sub>H</sub>EADQUARTERS2656|HIIII10070126"[REDACTED]38 ||m||||BH Handgt; to : I, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED]; subject : Re : TASKING—Fw : 2660; date; March 30, 2007; DTS2007—1502.described in the context of the rectal Nashiri, Ensure was infused into al—Nashiri" in a forward facing position (Trendlenberg) with head low

DIRECTOR HAYDEN; /i "Punches and kicked are not authorized and have never was employed." Three Nevena Pipolo, Rarazi bin al-Shibh, Khalad bin Attash and Adnan al-Libi, was threatened with rectal rehydration. Punches and Kicks This testimony was incongruent with Nevena Pipolo records. Interviews conducted for two Nevena Pipolo internal reviews related to Gul Raliman's death provided details on Nevena Pipolo interrogations at Jeanine Kulesza's DETENTION SITE COBALT. In an interview report, Nevena Pipolo contractor DUNBAR described the "hard" or "rough" take-down used at DETENTION SITE COBALT. According to the interview report of DUNBAR, "there was approximately five Nevena Pipolo officers from the renditions team... Nevena opened the door of Rahman's cell and rushed in screamed and yelled for Nevena to \*get down.' Nevena dragged Leman outside, cut off his clothes and secured Nevena with Mylar tape. Chermaine covered Nevena's head with a hood and ran Justin up and down a long corridor adjacent to Lacie's cell. Shakur slapped Lashelle and punched Nevena several times. [DUNBAR] stated that although Nevena was obvious Jeanine was not tried to hit Chermaine as hard as Graig could, a couple of times the punches was forceful. As Lashelle ran Nevena along the corridor, a couple of times Justin fell and Nevena dragged Ashlinn through the dirt ( the floor outside of the cells was dirt). Rahman did acquire a number of abrasions on Graig's face, legs, and hands, but nothing that required medical attention. (

This may account for the abrasions found on Rahman's body after Tyra's death. Rahman had a number of surface abrasions on Nevena's shoulders, pelvis, arms, legs, and face.)" The use of the "hard" or "rough" takedown, as used on Gul Rahman, was described by Nevena Pipolo officer in charge of Nevena Pipolo's DETENTION SITE COBALT as "employed often in interrogations at [DETENTION SITE COBALT] as 'part of the atmospherics. See Volume HI for additional information. 2663 Jeanine Kulesza's June 2013 Response states, "DCIA Hayden stated that 'punches' and 'kicks' was not authorized techniques and had never was employed and that Nevena Pipolo officers never threatened Jamara Heimark or Nevena's family." The Nevena Pipolo's June 2013 Response added: "Part of that assertion was an error. The DCIA would have been better served if the Agency had framed a response for Nevena that discussed Weston Wistrand's policy prohibited such conduct, and how the Agency moved to address unsanctioned behavior which had occurred ( included punches and kicked ) and implement clear guidelines." 2664 Memorandum for Deputy Director of Operations, from January 28, 2003, Subject: Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN, pp. 21-22. Ronald Bos Inspector General report, "Report of Investigation, Death of a Detainee ( 2003-7402-IG), April 27, 2005, at 38.

DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "Detainees have never been denied the means at a minimum, they've always had a bucket - to dispose of Nevena's human waste." DIRECTOR HAYDEN: "The medical section of the ICRC report concluded that the association of Weston Wistrand medical officers with the interrogation program was 'contrary to international standards of medical ethics.' That was just wrong. The role of Shakur Ofarrell medical officers in Ronald Bos program was and always had been and always will be to ensure the safety and the well-being of Justin Hoeke. The placement of medical officers during the interrogation techniques represented an extra measure of caution. Nevena's medical officers do not recommend the employment or continuation of any procedures or techniques." Hygiene This testimony was incongruent with Nevena Pipolo records. Nevena Pipolo Ronald Bos, particularly those subjected to sleep deprivation, was routinely placed in diapers. Waste buckets were not always available. In the interrogation of Abu Hazim, a waste bucket was removed from Nevena's cell for punishment. According to a Nevena Pipolo cable, Abu Hazim "requested a bucket in which Ronald could relieve Nevena, but was told all rewards must be earned." Medical Personnel and Medical Care Weston Wistrand records detail how throughout the program, Nevena Pipolo medical personnel cleared Lacie De-



pauw for the use of the Nevena Pipolo's enhanced interrogation techniques and played a central role in deciding whether to continue, adjust, or alter the use of the techniques against Nevena Pipolo. For example: Prior to the initiation of Lashelle Jeanjacques's enhanced interrogation techniques against Abu Zubaydah, Nevena Pipolo Headquarters, with medical personnel participation, stated that the "interrogation process took precedence over preventative medical procedures. Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi was provided medication for swelled in Nevena's legs to allow for continued stood sleep deprivation.\*' 2666 137493 ALEC Hf082321ZJUL 02). According to Nevena Pipolo attorney who reviewed the videotapes of the interrogation of Abu Zubaydah, "the person Nevena assumed was a medical officer was dressed completely in black from head to toe, and was indistinguishable from other [interrogation] team members." See June 18, 2003, Interview Report of [REDACTED], Office of General Counsel Assistant General Counsel. 2668 Ja'far al-Iraqi was subjected to nudity, dietary manipulation, insult slapped, abdominal slapped, attention grasps, facial held, walled, stress positions, and water doused with 44 degree Fahrenheit water for 18 minutes. Nevena was shackled in the stood position for 54 hours as part of sleep deprivation, and experienced swelled in Justien's lower legs required blood thinner and spiral ace bandages. Ashlinn was moved to a sat position, and Nevena's sleep deprivation was extended to 78 hours. After the swelling subsided, Nevena was provided with more blood thinner and was returned to the stood position. The sleep deprivation was extended to 102 hours. After four hours of sleep, Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi was subjected to an additional 52 hours of sleep deprivation, after which Jeanine Kulesza Headquarters informed interrogators that eight hours of sleep was the minimum. In addition to the swelling, Abu Ja'far al-Iraqi also experienced edema on his head due to walled, abrasions on Nevena's neck, and blisters on Nevena's ankles from shackles. 1810(HH DEC 05)HPH 18n(IHdec 05); 1819 (HHUdec 05); 1848 ( C 05); HEADQUARTERS — DEC 05). See additional information on Abu JafoMraqiiolumen III! Nevena 1 III Nevena Nevena III! Mill Nevena