

 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Washington, DC 20205

APR 9 7 2009

Ms. Maggie Zheng
National Public Health Programs
Room 228 West Tower Zongsheng Street
Shanghai, CHINA

Re: K001031
Trade/Dress Name: DMS NIOSH Mask and Flat Respirator Masks for Single Use
Registration Number: 21 CFR 820.6040
Registration Number: Surgical Appliance
Regulatory Class II
Product Code: MMR, PCC
Date: April 6, 2009
Expiration: April 15, 2009

Dear Ms. Zheng:

Notice under your Section 510(b) premarket notification of intent to market the device submitted above and here determined the device is substantially equivalent to the predicate device used as the basis for its submission. The FDA has concluded that the proposed device is intended to interstate commerce by May 19, 2009, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FDCA), which will provide that the manufacturer of the device must submit a premarket notification of intent to market the device, subject to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (which do not yet require approval of a premarket notification application [PMA]). You may therefore, market the device, subject to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (which do not yet require approval of a premarket notification application). Using of device, final manufacturing process and labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration.

If your device is classified into one or more other class II Special Controls or class III (PMAs), you are subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device are found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In particular, 21 CFR 800 provides further administrative concerning your device's marketing in the United States.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act, or any Federal statute and regulations administered by other Federal agencies.

You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820); and if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act, 21 CFR 1000-1050).




If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Part 801), please contact the Center for Devices and Radiological Health's (CDRH)'s Office of Compliance at (240) 276-0115. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please contact the CDRH/Office of Surveillance and Biometrics/Division of Postmarket Surveillance at 240-276-3464. For more information regarding the reporting of adverse events, please go to <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/mdr/>.

You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (240) 276-3150 or at its Internet address <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/industry/support/index.html>.

Sincerely yours,

Susan Runner, D.D.S., MA
Acting Director
Division of Anesthesiology, General Hospital,
Infection Control and Dental Devices
Office of Device Evaluation
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosures

 <p>FBIAL REPORT</p> <p>BACTERIAL FILTRATION EFFICIENCY AND DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE</p> <p>PROCEDURE NO. STP0004 REV 02</p> <p>LABORATORY NO. 44876</p>	 <p>BACTERIAL FILTRATION EFFICIENCY AND DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE</p> <p>LABORATORY NUMBER: PROCEDURE NUMBER: SAMPLE SOURCE: SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION: DEVIATION: SAMPLE RECEIVED DATE: LAB PHASE START DATE: LAB PHASE COMPLETION DATE: REPORT ISSUE DATE:</p> <p>44876 STP0004 REV 02 Shanghai Dashing Health Products Manufacturer Co., Ltd (Manufacturer Co., Ltd) Refer to Table 1-3 07/24/2009 03/30/2009 07/24/2009 01/24/2009</p>	 <p>Bacterial Filtration Efficiency and Differential Pressure</p> <p>SAMPLE PREPARATION:</p> <p>BFE test samples were conditioned for a minimum of 4 hours at 21 ± 5°C and 85 ± 5% relative humidity prior to testing.</p> <p>TEST PROCEDURE:</p> <p>A culture of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>, ATCC #6538, was diluted in 1.5% peptone water (PPW) to a precise concentration to yield challenge level counts of 2200 ± 500 CFU per test sample. Two bacterial cultures were then pumped through a Chamber radipaque at a controlled flow rate and fixed pressure. The challenge challenge delivery, at a fixed air pressure, formed aerosolized droplets with a MPPS of approximately 3.2 µm. The aerosol droplets were generated in a glass aerosol chamber and drawn through a 5 µm, saline, viable particle, Andersen sampler for collection. The collection flow rate using the test sample and Andersen sampler was maintained at 25 L/min per minute (LPM) (1 cubic foot per minute (CFM)). Test samples, positive controls and reference material received a one minute challenge followed by a one minute vacuum cycle.</p>
<p>PREPARED FOR:</p> <p>SHANGHAI DASHENG HEALTH PRODUCTS MANUFACTURER CO., LTD</p> <p>NO. 228 SHAOHAI RD</p> <p>ZHONGSHAN DIST. SHANGHAI DISTRICT</p> <p>SHANGHAI 201313</p> <p>CHINA</p>	<p>INTRODUCTION:</p> <p>This test procedure was performed to determine the bacterial filtration efficiency (BFE) of various filtration materials, employing a ratio of the bacterial challenge counts to sample effluent counts, to determine percent bacterial efficiency (NBE%). This procedure provides for the most severe challenge to most filtration materials that would be expected in normal use. This method compares an independent standard challenge to be delivered to test materials. This method compares with ASTM F2743.</p> <p>The differential pressure (DP) or Delta P test determined the air challenge differential of the aerosol materials. This technique involved a single differential or a basic physical pressure employing a water manometer differential upstream and downstream of the test material, at a constant flow rate. A digital manometer may be used in place of a water manometer.</p>	<p>The delivery rate of the challenge also produced a constant challenge level of 2200 ± 500 CFU on the test control plates. A test control (no filter material in the stream) and reference control were included after 5-10 test materials. The Andersen sampler, a glass sampler, employed the aerosol droplets onto six upstream counts digest agar (SCDA) plates based on the size of each droplet. The agar plates were incubated at 37 ± 2°C for 2-4 hours. Colonies formed by each bacteria factor Andersen droplet were counted and correlated to probable values using the dilution factor and conversion chart provided by Andersen. These converted counts were used to determine the average challenge level delivered to the test sample. The distribution ratio of colonies for each of the six agar plates were used to calculate the MPPS of the challenge aerosol.</p>
<p>SUBMITTED BY:</p> <p>NELSON LABORATORIES, INC.</p> <p>530 S. REDWOOD RD</p> <p>SALT LAKE CITY UT 84124-6003</p> <p>801-526-7560</p> <p>Page 1 of 8</p>	<p>ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA</p> <p>The BFE control average must be 2200 ± 500 colony forming units (CFU). A BFE test with a control average of less than 1700 shall be unacceptable. Challenges greater than 2700, but less than 2900, will be an independent acceptance of units with control averages exceeding 2700 shall be at the sponsor's approval.</p> <p>The mean particle count (MPPS) of the challenge aerosol must be maintained at 3.0 ± 0.3 µm.</p> <p>The average % BFE or the reference material must be within the upper and lower control limits established for the BFE test.</p> <p>The average Delta P must fit the reference material must be within the upper and lower control limits established for the Delta P test.</p> <p>Page 2 of 8</p>	<p>The DP test setup measured the differential air pressure on both sides of the test sample using an inline, 1/2" bore, or digital manometer. Testing was conducted at a flow rate of 8 L/min (columetric).</p> <p>RESULTS:</p> <p>The results are summarized in Tables 1-3.</p>