## <u>Computer Architecture Exercise 5</u>

Given - 20% of the commands in the program are branch commands. It is known that 10% of the loop commands are LOOP commands when  $\text{CPI}_{\text{LOOP}}$  = 14, Therefore the rest of the Branch orders constitute an additional 10%, when  $\text{CPI}_{\text{Branch}}$  = 12.

We will separate the conditional branch commands for the connection of commands that are a conditional branch of LOOP and the rest of the commands that are JCC:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CPI}_{\text{Branch}} = 12 \text{ (given)} \\ & 12 = & \text{CPI}_{\text{LOOP}} * \frac{10}{20} + & \text{CPI}_{\text{JCC}} * \frac{10}{20} = 14 * \frac{1}{2} + & \text{CPI}_{\text{JCC}} * \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{\text{CPI}_{\text{JCC}}}{2} = 5 \\ & \text{CPI}_{\text{JCC}} = 10 \end{aligned}$$

We will update the table according to the deposit of the conditional branch orders:

Type i	IC <sub>i</sub> /IC	CPI <sub>i</sub>
ALU	50%	5
LOOP	10%	14
JCC	10%	10
LOAD	20%	10
STORE	10%	10

Calculate the updated CPI:

$$CPI = 5*0.5 + 14*0.1 + 10*0.1 + 10*0.2 + 10*0.1 = 7.9$$

If it is possible to change the combinations in 50% of the cases of using the JCC command, there is a change in  $IC_{i.}$ 

For the following commands:

ALU (because we download 2 ALU commands in exchange for replacing one LOOP command),

LOOP (because we add 50% more LOOP commands in the program),

ICC (because we replace 50% of these orders in the program).

Therefore the table is recalculated. Let's start by calculating the changed commands:

$$IC_i$$
 (i=ALU) = 0.50\*IC.  
 $IC_i$ ' (i=ALU) = 0.50\*IC - 2\*0.50\*0.10\*IC = 0.40\*IC.

2 ALU commands were replaced by a LOOP command which is 10% of the total program, so we reduced them.

$$IC_i (i=LOOP) = 0.1*IC.$$

$$IC_i'$$
 (i=LOOP) = 0.10\*IC + 0.50\*0.10\*IC = 0.15\*IC.

The extra IC\*0.10\*0.50 is due to adding 50% LOOP commands to our program.  $IC_i$  (i=JCC) = 0.10\*IC.

 $IC_i'$  (i=JCC) = 0.50\*0.10\*IC = 0.05\*IC.

Because we reduced the use of ICC commands in our program by 50%.

The rest of the commands have not changed:

 $IC_i$  (i=LOAD) = 0.20\*IC, ICi (i=STORE) = 0.10\*IC

IC' = 0.40\*IC + 0.15\*IC + 0.05\*IC + 0.20\*IC + 0.10\*IC = 0.90\*IC

The new table we received:

Type i	IC <sub>i</sub> '/IC'	CPI <sub>i</sub> '
ALU	0.40/0.90	5
LOOP	0.15/0.90	10
JCC	0.05/0.90	10
LOAD	0.20/0.90	10
STORE	0.10/0.90	10

We received from the new table for each new CPI<sub>i</sub> - type i. That's why we calculate the CPI' of the entire program:

$$CPI' = \sum_{i} CPI'_{i} \times \frac{IC'_{i}}{IC'} = 5 \times \frac{0.4}{0.9} + 10 \times \frac{0.15}{0.9} + 10 \times \frac{0.05}{0.9} + 10 \times \frac{0.2}{0.9} + 10 \times \frac{0.1}{0.9} = \frac{70}{9} \approx 7.78.$$

And in total, according to the definition, the general improvement to the processor that will be obtained is:

$$s = \frac{\scriptscriptstyle T}{\scriptscriptstyle T'} = \frac{\scriptscriptstyle CPI \times IC \times \tau}{\scriptscriptstyle CPI' \times IC' \times \tau'} = \frac{\scriptscriptstyle 7.9 \times IC \times \tau}{\scriptscriptstyle 7.78 \times 0.9 \times IC \times \tau} = \frac{\scriptscriptstyle 7.9}{\scriptscriptstyle 7.78 \times 0.9} \approx 1.2824 = \textbf{1}.\textbf{3}$$