Linear Vector Spaces:

Definition: A linear vector space, X is a set of elements (vectors) defined over a scalar field, F, that satisfies the following conditions:

1) If $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ then $x+y \in X$. **2)** $x+y=y+x$ **3)** $(x+y)+z=x+(y+z)$

4) There is a unique vector $0 \in X$, such that $x+0=x$ for all $x \in X$.

5) For each vector $x \in X$ there is a unique vector in X, to be called $(-x)$, such that $x+(-x)=0$. **6)** multiplication, for all scalars $a \in F$, and all vectors $x \in X$,

7) For any $x \in X$, $1x=x$ (for scalar 1).

8) For any two scalars $a \in F$ and $b \in F$ and any $x \in X$, $a(bx)=(ab)x$.

9) $(a+b)x=ax+bx$. **10)** $a(x+y)=ax+ay$.

Linear Independence: Consider n vectors $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n\}$. If there exists n scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$, at least one of which is nonzero, such that $a_1x_1+a_2x_2+\ldots+a_nx_n=0$, then the $\{x_i\}$ are linearly dependent.

Spanning a Space:

Let X be a linear vector space and let $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ be a subset of vectors in X. This subset spans X if and only if for every vector $x \in X$ there exist scalars $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n$ such that $x = x_1u_1+x_2u_2+\ldots+x_nu_n$.

Inner Product: (x, y) for any scalar function of x and y.

1. $(x,y)=(y,x)$ 2. $(x, ay_1+by_2)=a(x,y_1)+b(x,y_2)$

3. $(x,x) \geq 0$, where equality holds iff x is the zero vector.

Norm: A scalar function $\|x\|$ is called a norm if it satisfies:

1. $\|x\| \geq 0$ 2. $\|x\|=0$ if and only if $x=0$.

3. $\|\alpha x\|=|a|\|x\|$ 4. $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\|+\|y\|$

Angle: The angle θ bet. 2 vectors x and y is defined by $\cos\theta = \frac{(x,y)}{\|x\|\,\|y\|}$

Orthogonality: 2 vectors $x, y \in X$ are said to be orthogonal if $(x,y)=0$.

Gram Schmidt Orthogonalization:

Assume that we have n independent vectors $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$. From these vectors we will obtain n orthogonal vectors $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$.

$$v_1 = y_1, \quad v_k = y_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(v_i, y_k)}{(v_i, v_i)} v_i,$$

where $\frac{(v_i, y_k)}{(v_i, v_i)} v_i$ *is the projection of* y_k *on* v_i

Vector Expansions:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i v_i = x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + \cdots + x_nv_n,$$

$$for\ orthogonal\ vectors, x_j = \frac{(v_j, x)}{(v_j, v_j)}$$

Reciprocal Basis Vectors:

$$(r_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases}, \quad x_j = (r_j, x)$$

To compute the reciprocal basis vectors: set $\mathbf{B}=[\mathbf{v}_1\ \mathbf{v}_2\ \ldots\ \mathbf{v}_n]$, $\mathbf{R}=[\mathbf{r}_1\ \mathbf{r}_2\ \ldots\ \mathbf{r}_n]$, $\mathbf{R}^T=\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ In matrix form: $\mathbf{x}^v=\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^s$

Transformations:

A *transformation* consists of three parts: domain: $X=\{x_i\}$, range: $Y=\{y_i\}$, and a rule relating each $x_i \in X$ to an element $y_i \in Y$.

Linear Transformations: transformation A is *linear* if:

1. for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $A(x_1+x_2)=A(x_1)+A(x_2)$
2. for all $x \in X$, $a \in R$, $A(ax)=aA(x)$

Matrix Representations:

Let $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis for vector space X, and let $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ be a basis for vector space Y. Let A be a linear transformation with domain X and range Y: $A(x)=y$

The coefficients of the matrix representation are obtained from

$$A(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} u_i$$

Change of Basis: $\mathbf{B}_t=[\mathbf{t}_1\ \mathbf{t}_2\ \ldots \mathbf{t}_n]$, $\mathbf{B}_w=[\mathbf{w}_1\ \mathbf{w}_2\ \ldots \mathbf{w}_n]$

$$\mathbf{A}' = [\mathbf{B}_w^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_t]$$

Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors: $\mathbf{Az}=\lambda\mathbf{z}$, $|[\mathbf{A}-\lambda\mathbf{I}]|=0$

Diagonalization: $\mathbf{B}=[\mathbf{z}_1\ \mathbf{z}_2\ \ldots \mathbf{z}_n]$, where $\{z, z_2, \ldots, z_n\}$ are the eigenvectors of a square matrix A,

$$[\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}] = \mathrm{diag}([\lambda_1\ \lambda_2\ \ldots \lambda_n])$$

Perceptron Architecture:

$\mathbf{a} = hardlim(\mathbf{Wp}+\mathbf{b})$, $\mathbf{W}=[{}_1\mathbf{w}^T\ {}_2\mathbf{w}^T\ \ldots\ {}_S\mathbf{w}^T]^T$,

$$a_i = hardlim(n_i) = hardlim({}_i\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{p}+b_i)$$

Decision Boundary: ${}_i\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{p}+b_i=0$

The decision boundary is always orthogonal to the weight vector.

Single-layer perceptrons can only classify linearly separable vectors.

Perceptron Learning Rule

$$\mathbf{W}^{new}=\mathbf{W}^{old}+\mathbf{e}\mathbf{p}^T, \quad \mathbf{b}^{new}=\mathbf{b}^{old}+\mathbf{e},$$

$$where \quad \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{a}$$

Hebb's Postulate: "When an axon of cell A is near enough to excite a cell B and repeatedly or persistently takes part in firing it, some growth process or metabolic change takes place in one or both cells such that A's efficiency, as one of the cells firing B, is increased."

Linear Associator: $\mathbf{a}=purelin(\mathbf{Wp})$

The Hebb Rule: *Supervised Form:* $w_{ij}^{new}=w_{ij}^{old}+t_{qi}P_{qi}$

$$\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{t}_1\mathbf{P}_1^T+\mathbf{t}_2\mathbf{P}_2^T+\cdots+\mathbf{t}_Q\mathbf{P}_Q^T$$

$$\mathbf{W}=[\mathbf{t}_1\ \mathbf{t}_2\ \ldots \mathbf{t}_Q]\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{p}_1^T\\ \mathbf{p}_2^T\\ \vdots\\ \mathbf{p}_Q^T\end{bmatrix}=\mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}^T$$

Pseudoinverse Rule: $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}^+$

When the number, R, of rows of $\mathbf{P}$ is greater than the number of columns, Q, of $\mathbf{P}$ and the columns of $\mathbf{P}$ are independent, then the pseudoinverse can be computed by $\mathbf{P}^+=(\mathbf{P}^T\mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{P}^T$

Variations of Hebbian Learning:

Filtered Learning (Ch.14): $\mathbf{W}^{new}=(1-\gamma)\mathbf{W}^{old}+\alpha\mathbf{t}_q\mathbf{p}_q^T$

Delta Rule (Ch.10): $\mathbf{W}^{new}=\mathbf{W}^{old}+\alpha(\mathbf{t}_q-\mathbf{a}_q)\mathbf{p}_q^T$

Unsupervised Hebb (Ch.13): $\mathbf{W}^{new}=\mathbf{W}^{old}+\alpha\mathbf{a}_q\mathbf{p}_q^T$

Taylor: $F(\mathbf{x})=F(\mathbf{x}^*)+\nabla F(\mathbf{x})^T|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^*}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^*)+\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^*)\nabla^2F(\mathbf{x})^T|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^*}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^*)+\cdots$

Grad $\nabla F(\mathbf{x})=\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}F(\mathbf{x})\ \ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}F(\mathbf{x})\ \ \ldots\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}F(\mathbf{x})\right]^T$

Hessian: $\nabla^2F(\mathbf{x})=$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^2}F(\mathbf{x}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1\partial x_2}F(\mathbf{x}) \ldots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1\partial x_n}F(\mathbf{x}) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2\partial x_1}F(\mathbf{x}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2^2}F(\mathbf{x}) \ldots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2\partial x_n}F(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n\partial x_1}F(\mathbf{x}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n\partial x_2}F(\mathbf{x}) \ldots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2}F(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Directional Derivatives:

1st Dir.Der.: $\frac{\mathbf{p}^T\nabla F(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{p}\|}$, **2nd Dir.Der.:** $\frac{\mathbf{p}^T\nabla^2F(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{p}}{\|\mathbf{p}\|^2}$

Minima:

Strong Minimum: if a scalar $\delta>0$ exists, such that $F(x)<F(x+\Delta x)$ for all Δx such that $\delta>\|\Delta x\|>0$.

Global Minimum: if $F(x)<F(x+\Delta x)$ for all $\Delta x \neq 0$

Weak Minimum: if it is not a strong minimum, and a scalar $\delta>0$ exists, such that $F(x) \leq F(x+\Delta x)$ for all Δx such that $\delta>\|\Delta x\|>0$.

Necessary Conditions for Optimality:

1st-Order Condition: $\nabla F(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^*}=0$ (Stationary Points)

2nd-Order Condition: $\nabla^2F(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^*} \geq 0$ (Positive Semi-definite Hessian Matrix).

Quadratic fn.: $F(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{d}^T\mathbf{x}+c$

$\nabla F(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{Ax}+\mathbf{d}$, $\nabla^2F(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{A}$, $\lambda_{min} \leq \frac{\mathbf{p}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}}{\|\mathbf{p}\|^2} \leq \lambda_{max}$

General Minimization Algorithm:

$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$ *or* $\Delta\mathbf{x}_k = (\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k) = \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$

Steepest Descent Algorithm:

$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k - \alpha_k \mathbf{g}_k$ where, $\mathbf{g}_k = \nabla F(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_k}$

Stable Learning Rate: ($\alpha_k = \alpha$, constant) $\alpha < \frac{2}{\lambda_{max}}$

$\{\lambda_1 \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$ Eigenvalues of Hessian matrix A

Learning Rate to Minimize Along the Line:

$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k \overset{ls}{\Rightarrow} \alpha_k = -\frac{\mathbf{g}_k^T \mathbf{p}_k}{\mathbf{p}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k}$ (For quadratic fn.)

After Minimization Along the Line:

$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{p}_k = 0$

ADALINE: $\mathbf{a} = purelin(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{b})$

Mean Square Error: *(for ADALINE it is a quadratic fn.)*

$F(\mathbf{x}) = E[e^2] = E[(t-a)^2] = E[(t - \mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{z})^2]$

$F(\mathbf{x}) = c - 2\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}$,

$c = E[t^2]$, $\mathbf{h} = E[t\mathbf{z}]$ *and* $\mathbf{R} = E[\mathbf{z}\mathbf{z}^T] \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} = 2\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{d} = -2\mathbf{h}$

Unique minimum, if it exists, is $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{h}$,

where $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} {}_1\mathbf{w} \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

LMS Algorithm: $\mathbf{W}(k+1) = \mathbf{W}(k) + 2\alpha\, \mathbf{e}(k)\, \mathbf{p}^T(k)$

$\mathbf{b}(k+1) = \mathbf{b}(k) + 2\alpha\, \mathbf{e}(k)$

Convergence Point: $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{h}$

Stable Learning Rate: $0 < \alpha < 1/\lambda_{max}$ where λ_{max} is the maximum eigenvalue of R

Adaptive Filter ADALINE:

$$a(k) = purelin(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{p}(k) + b) = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \mathbf{w}_{1,i}\, y(k-i+1) + b$$

Backpropagation Algorithm:

Performance Index:

Mean Square error: $F(\mathbf{x}) = E[\mathbf{e}^T\mathbf{e}] = E[(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{a})^T(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{a})]$

Approximate Performance Index: (single sample)

$\hat{F}(x) = \mathbf{e}^T(k)\mathbf{e}(k) = \big(\mathbf{t}(k) - \mathbf{a}(k)\big)^T \big(\mathbf{t}(k) - \mathbf{a}(k)\big)$

Sensitivity: $\mathbf{s}^m = \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial \mathbf{n}^m} = \left[\frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial n_1^m} \quad \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial n_2^m} \quad \cdots \quad \frac{\partial \hat{F}}{\partial n_{s^m}^m}\right]^T$

Forward Propagation: $\mathbf{a}^0 = \mathbf{p}$,

$\mathbf{a}^{m+1} = \mathbf{f}^{m+1}(\mathbf{W}^{m+1}\mathbf{a}^m + \mathbf{b}^{m+1})$ *for* $m = 0, 1, \ldots, M-1$

$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^M$

Backward Propagation: $\mathbf{s}^M = -2\dot{\mathbf{F}}^M(\mathbf{n}^M)(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{a})$,

$\mathbf{s}^m = \dot{\mathbf{F}}^m(\mathbf{n}^m)(\mathbf{W}^{m+1})^T \mathbf{s}^{m+1}$ *for* $m = M-1, \ldots, 2, 1$, where

$\dot{\mathbf{F}}^m(\mathbf{n}^m) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\left[\dot{f}^m(n_1^m) \quad \dot{f}^m(n_2^m) \quad \ldots \quad \dot{f}^m\left(n_{s^m}^m\right)\right]\right)$

$$\dot{f}^m(n_j^m) = \frac{\partial f^m(n_j^m)}{\partial n_j^m}$$

Weight Update (Approximate Steepest Descent):

$\mathbf{W}^m(k+1) = \mathbf{W}^m(k) - \alpha \mathbf{s}^m(\mathbf{a}^{m-1})^T$

$\mathbf{b}^m(k+1) = \mathbf{b}^m(k) - \alpha \mathbf{s}^m$

*Heuristic Variations of Backpropagation:

Batching: The parameters are updated only after the entire training set has been presented. The gradients calculated for each training example are averaged together to produce a more accurate estimate of the gradient. (If the training set is complete, i.e., covers all possible input/output pairs, then the gradient estimate will be exact.)

Backpropagation with Momentum (MOBP):

$\Delta\mathbf{W}^m(k) = \gamma\Delta\mathbf{W}^m(k-1) - (1-\gamma)\alpha\, \mathbf{s}^m(\mathbf{a}^{m-1})^T$

$\Delta\mathbf{b}^m(k) = \gamma\Delta\mathbf{b}^m(k-1) - (1-\gamma)\alpha\, \mathbf{s}^m$

Variable Learning Rate Backpropagation (VLBP)

1. If the squared error (over the entire training set) increases by more than some set percentage ζ (typically one to five percent) after a weight update, then the weight update is discarded, the learning rate is multiplied by some factor $\rho < 1$, and the momentum coefficient γ (if it is used) is set to zero.
2. If the squared error decreases after a weight update, then the weight update is accepted and the learning rate is multiplied by some factor $\eta > 1$. If γ has been previously set to zero, it is reset to its original value.
3. If the squared error increases by less than ζ, then the weight update is accepted but the learning rate and the momentum coefficient are unchanged.

Association: $\mathbf{a} = hardlim(\mathbf{W}^0\mathbf{P}^0 + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{p} + b)$

An association is a link between the inputs and outputs of a network so that when a stimulus A is presented to the network, it will output a response B.

Associative Learning Rules:

Unsupervised Hebb Rule:

$\mathbf{W}(q) = \mathbf{W}(q-1) + \alpha\, \mathbf{a}(q)\mathbf{p}^T(q)$

Hebb with Decay:

$\mathbf{W}(q) = (1-\gamma)\mathbf{W}(q-1) + \alpha\, \mathbf{a}(q)\mathbf{p}^T(q)$

Instar: $\mathbf{a} = hardlim(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{p} + b)$, $\mathbf{a} = hardlim({}_1\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{p} + b)$

The instar is activated for ${}_1\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{p} = \|{}_1\mathbf{w}\|\|\mathbf{p}\| cos\theta \geq -b$

where θ is the angle between $\mathbf{p}$ and ${}_1\mathbf{w}$.

Instar Rule:

${}_i\mathbf{w}(q) = {}_i\mathbf{w}(q-1) + \alpha\, a_i(q)(\mathbf{p}(q) - {}_i\mathbf{w}(q-1))$

${}_i\mathbf{w}(q) = (1-\alpha)\, {}_i\mathbf{w}(q-1) + \alpha\, \mathbf{p}(q)$, *if* $(a_i(q) = 1)$

Kohonen Rule:

${}_i\mathbf{w}(q) = {}_i\mathbf{w}(q-1) + \alpha\,(\mathbf{p}(q) - {}_i\mathbf{w}(q-1))$ *for* $i \in X(q)$

Outstar Rule: $\mathbf{a} = satlins(\mathbf{W}p)$

$\mathbf{w}_j(q) = \mathbf{w}_j(q-1) + \alpha\, \big(\mathbf{a}(q) - \mathbf{w}_j(q-1)\big)\, p_j(q)$

Competitive Layer: $\mathbf{a} = compet(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{p}) = compet(\mathbf{n})$

Competitive Learning with the Kohonen Rule:

$${}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}(q) = {}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}(q-1) + \alpha\, \big(\mathbf{p}(q) - {}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}(q-1)\big)$$

$$= (1-\alpha)\, {}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}(q-1) + \alpha\, \mathbf{p}(q)$$

${}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}(q) = {}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}(q-1)$, $i \neq i^*$ where i^* is the winning neuron.

Self-Organizing with the Kohonen Rule:

$${}_i\mathbf{w}(q) = {}_i\mathbf{w}(q-1) + \alpha\, \big(\mathbf{p}(q) - {}_i\mathbf{w}(q-1)\big)$$

$= (1-\alpha)\, {}_i\mathbf{w}(q-1) + \alpha\, \mathbf{p}(q)$, $i \in N_{i^*}(d)$

$N_i(d) = \{j, d_{i,j} \leq d\}$

LVQ Network: $(w_{k,i}^2 = 1) \Rightarrow$ subclass i is a part of class k

$n_i^1 = -\|{}_i\mathbf{w}^1 - \mathbf{p}\|$, $\mathbf{a}^1 = compet(\mathbf{n}^1)$, $\mathbf{a}^2 = \mathbf{W}^2\mathbf{a}^1$

LVQ Network Learning with the Kohonen Rule:

$${}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}^1(q) = {}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}^1(q-1) + \alpha\, \big(\mathbf{p}(q) - {}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}^1(q-1)\big),$$

$$if\ a_{k^*}^2 = t_{k^*} = 1$$

$${}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}^1(q) = {}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}^1(q-1) - \alpha\, \big(\mathbf{p}(q) - {}_{i^*}\mathbf{w}^1(q-1)\big),$$

$$if\ a_{k^*}^2 = 1 \neq t_{k^*} = 0$$

hardlim: $a = \begin{cases} 0 & n < 0 \\ 1 & n \geq 0 \end{cases}$, ***hardlims***: $a = \begin{cases} -1 & n < 0 \\ +1 & n \geq 0 \end{cases}$, ***purelin***: $a = n$, ***Logsig***: $a = \frac{1}{1+e^{-n}}$, ***tansig***: $a = \frac{e^n - e^{-n}}{e^n + e^{-n}}$, ***poslin***: $a = \begin{cases} 0 & n < 0 \\ n & n \geq 0 \end{cases}$,

compet: $a = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{neuron with max } n \\ 0 & \text{all other neurons} \end{cases}$, ***satlin***: $a = \begin{cases} 0 & n < 0 \\ n & -1 \leq n \leq 1 \\ 1 & n > 1 \end{cases}$, ***satlins***: $a = \begin{cases} -1 & n < 0 \\ n & -1 \leq n \leq 1 \\ 1 & n > 1 \end{cases}$,

Delay: $a(t) = u(t-1)$, ***Integrator***: $a(t) = \int_0^t u(\tau)d\tau + a(0)$

HINT:

$$diag([1\ 2\ 3]) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$