• In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.

•

- In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Suppose there are just 2 arguments and the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call is the argument that has a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.

- In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Suppose there are just 2 arguments and the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call is the argument that has a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call. Then, assuming that argument ⇒ a proper list or nonnegative integer, we can often define the function as follows:

- In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Suppose there are just 2 arguments and the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call is the argument that has a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call. Then, assuming that argument ⇒ a proper list or nonnegative integer, we can often define the function as follows:

- In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Suppose there are just 2 arguments and the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call is the argument that has a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call. Then, assuming that argument ⇒ a proper list or nonnegative integer, we can often define the function as follows:

• In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.

•

- In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Now suppose the <u>second</u> (rather than the first) argument of the recursive call is the argument that has a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call. Then, assuming that argument ⇒ a proper list or nonnegative integer, we can often define the function as follows:

- In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Now suppose the <u>second</u> (rather than the first) argument of the recursive call is the argument that has a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call. Then, assuming that argument ⇒ a proper list or nonnegative integer, we can often define the function as follows:

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2) So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2) So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)
```

lacktriangle

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2) So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)
```

• To solve this problem in the above-mentioned way, we must first decide whether it is the <u>first</u> or the <u>second</u> argument of the recursive call that will have a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.

•

•

Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2) So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)

- To solve this problem in the above-mentioned way, we must first decide whether it is the <u>first</u> or the <u>second</u> argument of the recursive call that will have a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Experienced programmers are able to "look ahead" and see which of these two possibilities leads to a good function definition, but if you can't see which choice is right then just quess: If your guess doesn't yield a good definition, go back and make the other choice!

232

Example Without using append, write a function **append-2** such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)

So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)

- To solve this problem in the above-mentioned way, we must first decide whether it is the <u>first</u> or the <u>second</u> argument of the recursive call that will have a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Experienced programmers are able to "look ahead" and see which of these two possibilities leads to a good function definition, but if you can't see which choice is right then just <u>quess</u>: If your guess doesn't yield a good definition, go back and make the other choice!
- We will attempt to write the function by giving the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.

Example Without using append, write a function **append-2** such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)

So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)

- To solve this problem in the above-mentioned way, we must first decide whether it is the <u>first</u> or the <u>second</u> argument of the recursive call that will have a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Experienced programmers are able to "look ahead" and see which of these two possibilities leads to a good function definition, but if you can't see which choice is right then just <u>quess</u>: If your guess doesn't yield a good definition, go back and make the other choice!
- We will attempt to write the function by giving the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.
- This will turn out to be the right choice; we will see later why the other choice would <u>not</u> work.

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2) So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)
```

• We will attempt to write the function by giving the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2) So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)
```

 We will attempt to write the function by giving the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call:

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)

    We will attempt to write the function by giving the first

 argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the
 corresponding argument of the current call:
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
                                              What will this be?
    (if (null L1)
         value of (append-2 nil L2) <
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
           an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 ()))
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)

    We will attempt to write the function by giving the first

 argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the
 corresponding argument of the current call:
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
                                walue of (append-2 nil L2)
    (if (null L1)
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
           an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
                                     value of (append-2 nil L2)
    (if (null L1)
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 |)))
```

• To write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:

- To write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),

- To write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 ⇒ (1 2 3 4) and L2 ⇒ (A B C),
 so (cdr L1) ⇒ and X ⇒ .
 For this L1 and L2, ... should ⇒

- To write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C), so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow . For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow

- To write the ____ expression, let's consider a *possible* pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C), so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C). For this L1 and L2, ... should \Rightarrow

- To write the ____ expression, let's consider a *possible* pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C), so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C). For this L1 and L2, should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C). Q.

- To write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 ⇒ (1 2 3 4) and L2 ⇒ (A B C), so (cdr L1) ⇒ (2 3 4) and X ⇒ (2 3 4 A B C). For this L1 and L2, should ⇒ (1 2 3 4 A B C).
 Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2) will ⇒ (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.:

- To write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 ⇒ (1 2 3 4) and L2 ⇒ (A B C), so (cdr L1) ⇒ (2 3 4) and X ⇒ (2 3 4 A B C). For this L1 and L2, should ⇒ (1 2 3 4 A B C).
 Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2) will ⇒ (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)

```
Suppose L1 ⇒ (1 2 3 4) and L2 ⇒ (A B C), so (cdr L1) ⇒ (2 3 4) and X ⇒ (2 3 4 A B C).
For this L1 and L2, should ⇒ (1 2 3 4 A B C).
Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2) will ⇒ (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          12
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
  Q.
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
         12
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
       will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
 Q. Is (cons (car L1) X) a good — expression for all
        valid values of L1 and L2 such that L1 \Rightarrow NIL?
 A. If we're not sure, try another pair of values of L1 & L2.
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          12
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          12
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
           L2
           (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
              an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (A B C D E F) and L2 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 5 6 7),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow
                                   and X \Rightarrow
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
           L2
           (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
              an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (A B C D E F) and L2 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 5 6 7),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (B C D E F) and X \Rightarrow
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
           L2
           (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
              an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (A B C D E F) and L2 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 5 6 7),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (B C D E F) and X \Rightarrow (B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          L2
           (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (A B C D E F) and L2 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 5 6 7),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (B C D E F) and X \Rightarrow (B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (A B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  \circ
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          12
           (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (A B C D E F) and L2 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 5 6 7),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (B C D E F) and X \Rightarrow (B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (A B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  \circ (cons (car L1) X) \Rightarrow
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          12
           (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (A B C D E F) and L2 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 5 6 7),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (B C D E F) and X \Rightarrow (B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (A B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  \circ (cons (car L1) X) \Rightarrow (A B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7) too. Good!
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          12
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
              an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
         L2
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
 Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
       will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
```

expression for all valid values of L1 and L2 such that L1 \Rightarrow NIL,

we complete the above definition!

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
         12
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             (cons (car L1) X) )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
 Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
       will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
    (if (null L1)
         12
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
           (cons (car L1) X)))
• X is never used more than once, so we eliminate the LET:
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
    (if (null L1)
        12
        (cons (car L1) \stackrel{\star}{\pm} (append-2 (cdr L1) L2))\stackrel{\star}{\Rightarrow}))
```

Final version:

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
    (if (null L1)
        12
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
          (cons (car L1) X)))
• X is never used more than once, so we eliminate the LET:
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
    (if (null L1)
        12
        (cons (car L1) \stackrel{\star}{\pm} (append-2 (cdr L1) L2))\stackrel{\star}{\Rightarrow}))
Final version: (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
                   (if (null L1)
                        L2
                        (cons (car L1) (append-2 (cdr L1) L2))))
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
```

• In our definition of **append-2**, the <u>first</u> argument of its recursive call has a smaller value than the first argument of the current call, while the <u>second</u> argument has the same value in the recursive call as in the current call.

- In our definition of append-2, the <u>first</u> argument of its recursive call has a smaller value than the first argument of the current call, while the <u>second</u> argument has the same value in the recursive call as in the current call.
- Why can't we define append-2 in the opposite way—i.e., by letting the <u>second</u> argument of its recursive call have a smaller value than the second argument of the current call, and letting the <u>first</u> argument have the same value in the recursive call as in the current call?

Example Without using append, write a function **append-2** such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)

 Why can't we define append-2 in the opposite way—i.e., by letting the <u>second</u> argument of its recursive call have a smaller value than the second argument of the current call, and letting the <u>first</u> argument have the same value in the recursive call as in the current call? **Example** Without using append, write a function **append-2** such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)

• Why can't we define append-2 in the opposite way—i.e., by letting the <u>second</u> argument of its recursive call have a smaller value than the second argument of the current call, and letting the <u>first</u> argument have the same value in the recursive call as in the current call?

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
```

• Why can't we define append-2 in the opposite way—i.e., by letting the **second** argument of its recursive call have a smaller value than the second argument of the current call, and letting the *first* argument have the same value in the recursive call as in the current call? (defun append-2 (L1 L2) What will this be? (if (null L2) value of (append-2 L1 nil) (let ((X (append-2 L1 (cdr L2)))) an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)))

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
```

• Why can't we define append-2 in the opposite way—i.e., by letting the **second** argument of its recursive call have a smaller value than the second argument of the current call, and letting the *first* argument have the same value in the recursive call as in the current call? (defun append-2 (L1 L2) (if (null L2) ____ Value of (append-2 L1 nil). (let ((X (append-2 L1 (cdr L2)))) an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)))

• To <u>try</u> to write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
```

• To <u>try</u> to write the ____ expression, let's consider a

of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:

possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value

• To <u>try</u> to write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:

275

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L2)
         11
          (let ((X (append-2 L1 (cdr L2))))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• To try to write the ____ expression, let's consider a
  possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value
 of X, and what what was alue should be in this case:
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C D E),
  so (cdr L2) ⇒
                              and X \Rightarrow
  For this L1 and L2, ____ should ⇒
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L2)
          11
          (let ((X (append-2 L1 (cdr L2))))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• To try to write the ____ expression, let's consider a
  possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value
  of X, and what what was alue should be in this case:
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C D E),
  so (cdr L2) \Rightarrow (B C D E) and X \Rightarrow
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L2)
          11
          (let ((X (append-2 L1 (cdr L2))))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• To try to write the ____ expression, let's consider a
  possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value
  of X, and what ... 's value should be in this case:
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C D E),
  so (cdr L2) \Rightarrow (B C D E) and X \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 B C D E).
  For this L1 and L2, \overline{\phantom{a}} should \Rightarrow
```

278

- To <u>try</u> to write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C D E), so (cdr L2) \Rightarrow (B C D E) and X \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 B C D E). For this L1 and L2, ... should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C D E).

- To <u>try</u> to write the <u>...</u> expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what <u>...</u> 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C D E), so (cdr L2) \Rightarrow (B C D E) and X \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 B C D E). For this L1 and L2, ... should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C D E).
- There's <u>no good way</u> to construct (1 2 3 4 A B C D E) from (1 2 3 4 B C D E), (1 2 3 4), and (A B C D E), so there's <u>no good way</u> to write ...!

- Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C D E), so (cdr L2) \Rightarrow (B C D E) and X \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 B C D E). For this L1 and L2, should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C D E).
- There's <u>no good way</u> to construct (1 2 3 4 A B C D E) from (1 2 3 4 B C D E), (1 2 3 4), and (A B C D E), so there's <u>no good way</u> to write ...!

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L2)
          11
          (let ((X (append-2 L1 (cdr L2))))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C D E),
  so (cdr L2) \Rightarrow (B C D E) and X \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 B C D E).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C D E).
• There's <u>no good way</u> to construct (1 2 3 4 A B C D E) from
  (1 2 3 4 B C D E), (1 2 3 4), and (A B C D E), so there's
  no good way to write ...
```

- Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C D E), so (cdr L2) \Rightarrow (B C D E) and X \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 B C D E). For this L1 and L2, ... should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C D E).
- There's <u>no good way</u> to construct (1 2 3 4 A B C D E) from (1 2 3 4 B C D E), (1 2 3 4), and (A B C D E), so there's <u>no good way</u> to write ...!
- So our original decision to let the <u>second</u> (rather than the first) argument of append-2 have the same value in the recursive call as in the current call was the right decision!

Example Write a function all-numbers such that:

```
If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then 
 (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow T if <u>every</u> element of the list is a number 
 (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
```

So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then
  (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow T if every element of the list is a number
  (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL

    We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:

  (defun all-numbers (L)
    (if (null L)
        value of (all-numbers nil)
         (let ((X (all-numbers (cdr L))))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (all-numbers L)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L
```

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then
  (all-numbers\ l) \Rightarrow T if every element of the list is a number
  (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL
• We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:
  (defun all-numbers (L)
    (if (null L)
                                 We see from the spec that
                                 (all-numbers nil) \Rightarrow T.
         (let ((X (all-numbers (cdr L))))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (all-numbers L)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L
```

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then
  (all-numbers\ l) \Rightarrow T if every element of the list is a number
  (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL
• We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:
  (defun all-numbers (L)
    (if (null L)
         (let ((X (all-numbers (cdr L))))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (all-numbers L)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L
```

We also see from the spec that (and X (numberp (car L)))
would be a correct ____ expression, so we can now
complete the definition!

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then
  (all-numbers\ l) \Rightarrow T if every element of the list is a number
  (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL

    We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:

  (defun all-numbers (L)
     (if (null L)
         (let ((X (all-numbers (cdr L))))
            (and X (numberp (car L))) )))
```

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (all-numbers\ l) \Rightarrow T if every element of the list is a number
 (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL

    We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:

  (defun all-numbers (L)
    (if (null L)
        (let ((X (all-numbers (cdr L))))
          (and X (numberp (car L))) )))

    X is never used more than once, so we <u>eliminate the LET</u>:

 (defun all-numbers (L)
   (if (null L)
```

Example Write a function all-numbers such that: If l ⇒ a proper list, then (all-numbers l) ⇒ T if every element of the list is a number (all-numbers l) ⇒ NIL otherwise. So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) ⇒ T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) ⇒ NIL

• If the LET isn't eliminated, <u>move any case in which X needn't</u>
<u>be used out of the LET</u>. If the LET <u>is</u> eliminated but <u>there's a</u>
<u>case where the recursive call's result isn't needed, deal with</u>
<u>such cases as base cases--i.e., without making a recursive call</u>.

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then
  (all-numbers\ l) \Rightarrow T if every element of the list is a number
  (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL
• X is never used more than once, so we eliminate the LET:
  (defun all-numbers (L)
    (if (null L)
         (and (all-numbers (cdr L)) (numberp (car L)))))
RECALL:
```

• If the LET isn't eliminated, <u>move any case in which X needn't</u>

<u>be used out of the LET</u>. If the LET <u>is</u> eliminated but <u>there's a</u>

<u>case where the recursive call's result isn't needed, deal with</u>

such cases as base cases--i.e., without making a recursive call.

In the case (numberp (car L)) \Rightarrow NIL, the result of the recursive call (all-numbers (cdr L)) <u>isn't needed</u>, as the function will return NIL regardless of what that call returns! So we rewrite the code to deal with that case <u>without</u> the call.

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
```

```
If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then 
 (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow T if <u>every</u> element of the list is a number 
 (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
```

So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL

• X is never used more than once, so we eliminate the LET:

```
(defun all-numbers (L)
  (if (null L)
    T
        (and (numberp (car L)) (all-numbers (cdr L)))))
```

RECALL:

• If the LET isn't eliminated, <u>move any case in which X needn't</u> <u>be used out of the LET</u>. If the LET <u>is</u> eliminated but <u>there's a case where the recursive call's result isn't needed, deal with such cases as base cases--i.e., without making a recursive call.</u>

In the case (number) (car L)) \Rightarrow NIL, the result of the recursive call (all-numbers (cdr L)) <u>isn't needed</u>, as the function will return NIL regardless of what that call returns! We've rewritten the code to deal with that case without the call.

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then
  (all-numbers\ l) \Rightarrow T if every element of the list is a number
  (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL
  (defun all-numbers (L)
    (if (null L)
         (and (numberp (car L)) (all-numbers (cdr L)))))
• Final cleanup:
```

```
Example Write a function all-numbers such that:
If l \Rightarrow a proper list, then
  (all-numbers\ l) \Rightarrow T if every element of the list is a number
  (all-numbers l) \Rightarrow NIL otherwise.
So: (all-numbers '(6 2 6)) \Rightarrow T; (all-numbers '(7 1 DOG 9)) \Rightarrow NIL
  (defun all-numbers (L)
    (if (null L)
         (and (numberp (car L)) (all-numbers (cdr L)))))
• Final cleanup:
 Since (if c T e) = (or c e) if the value of c is always
 either T or NIL, we can simplify the above definition to:
    (defun all-numbers (L)
      (or (null L)
           (and (numberp (car L)) (all-numbers (cdr L)))))
```

- If l ⇒ a proper list of numbers, then
 (safe-sum l) ⇒ the sum of the elements of that list.
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

So: (safe-sum '(7 2 4 0 9)) \Rightarrow 22; (safe-sum '(7 2 A 9)) \Rightarrow ERR!

- If l ⇒ a proper list of numbers, then (safe-sum l) ⇒ the sum of the elements of that list.
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

• To write the ____ expression, let's first consider a possible value of L, the resulting value of X, and what ___ 's value should be for that value of L:

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- To write the ____ expression, let's first consider a possible value of L, the resulting value of X, and what ___ 's value should be for that value of L:
- Suppose L \Rightarrow (7 2 4 9 3), so (cdr L) \Rightarrow (2 4 9 3). Then X \Rightarrow 2+4+9+3 = 18 and ... should \Rightarrow 7+2+4+9+3 = 25. \circ We see (+ (car L) X) is a good ... expression for <u>this</u> L!

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

• Suppose L \Rightarrow (7 2 4 9 3), so (cdr L) \Rightarrow (2 4 9 3). Then X \Rightarrow 2+4+9+3 = 18 and ... should \Rightarrow 7+2+4+9+3 = 25. \circ We see (+ (car L) X) is a good ... expression for <u>this</u> L!

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

- If l ⇒ a proper list of numbers, then (safe-sum l) ⇒ the sum of the elements of that list.
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

• Suppose L \Rightarrow (7 2 4 9 3), so (cdr L) \Rightarrow (2 4 9 3). Then X \Rightarrow 2+4+9+3 = 18 and ... should \Rightarrow 7+2+4+9+3 = 25. \circ We see (+ (car L) X) is a good ... expression for <u>this</u> L!

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

Suppose L ⇒ (7 2 4 9 3), so (cdr L) ⇒ (2 4 9 3).
Then X ⇒ 2+4+9+3 = 18 and ... should ⇒ 7+2+4+9+3 = 25.
∴ We see (+ (car L) X) is a good ... expression for this L!

Q. For what non-null values of L is (+ (car L) X) a good ...?
A. (+ (car L) X) is a good ... when

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

Suppose L ⇒ (7 2 4 9 3), so (cdr L) ⇒ (2 4 9 3).

Then X ⇒ 2+4+9+3 = 18 and ... should ⇒ 7+2+4+9+3 = 25.

○ We see (+ (car L) X) is a good ... expression for this L!
Q. For what non-null values of L is (+ (car L) X) a good ...?
A. (+ (car L) X) is a good ... when (car L) and X ⇒ numbers (equivalently, when (car L) ⇒ a number and X ⇒ ERR!).

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

```
Q. For what non-null values of L is (+ (car L) X) a good \dots?

A. (+ (car L) X) is a good \dots when (car L) and X \Rightarrow numbers (equivalently, when (car L) \Rightarrow a number and X \Rightarrow ERR!).
```

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list of numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list whose elements are not all numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ symbol\ ERR!
So: (safe-sum '(7 2 4 0 9)) \Rightarrow 22; (safe-sum '(7 2 A 9)) \Rightarrow ERR!
  (defun safe-sum (L)
    (if (null L)
         (let ((X (safe-sum (cdr L))))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (safe-sum L)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L
Q. For what non-null values of L is (+ (car L) X) a good ....?
A. (+ (car L) X) is a good \cdots when (car L) and X \Rightarrow numbers
   (equivalently, when (car L) \Rightarrow a <u>number</u> and X \neq ERR!).
Q.
```

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
If l ⇒ a proper list of numbers, then
(safe-sum l) ⇒ the sum of the elements of that list.
```

• If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- Q. For what non-null values of L is (+ (car L) X) a good ... ?
- A. (+ (car L) X) is a good $\overline{\cdots}$ when (car L) and X \Rightarrow <u>numbers</u> (equivalently, when (car L) \Rightarrow a <u>number</u> and X \Rightarrow ERR!).
- Q. What is a good \longrightarrow when $(car\ L) \Rightarrow a \ \underline{number} \ or\ X \Rightarrow ERR!$?
- A. A good ... expression in these cases is: 'ERR!

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list of numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list whose elements are not all numbers, then
     (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ symbol\ ERR!
So: (safe-sum '(7 2 4 0 9)) \Rightarrow 22; (safe-sum '(7 2 A 9)) \Rightarrow ERR!
   (defun safe-sum (L)
     (if (null L)
          (let ((X (safe-sum (cdr L))))
              (if (and (numberp X) (numberp (car L)))
               \longrightarrow(+ (car L) X)
                   'ERR!<del><>>>></del>
Q. For what non-null values of L is (+ (car L) X) a good ....?
A. (+ (car L) X) is a good ... when (car L) and X \Rightarrow numbers
   (equivalently, when (car L) \Rightarrow a number and X \neq ERR!).
Q. What is a good \longrightarrow when (car L) \Rightarrow a \underline{number} \text{ or } X \Rightarrow ERR!?
```

A. A good ... expression in these cases is: 'ERR!-

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

'ERR!))))

```
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list of numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list whose elements are not all numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ symbol\ ERR!
So: (safe-sum '(7 2 4 0 9)) \Rightarrow 22; (safe-sum '(7 2 A 9)) \Rightarrow ERR!
  (defun safe-sum (L)
     (if (null L)
          (let ((X (safe-sum (cdr L))))
             (if (and (numberp X) (numberp (car L)))
                  (+ (car L) X)
```

Q.

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

```
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list of numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list whose elements are not all numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ symbol\ ERR!
So: (safe-sum '(7 2 4 0 9)) \Rightarrow 22; (safe-sum '(7 2 A 9)) \Rightarrow ERR!
  (defun safe-sum (L)
     (if (null L)
          (let ((X (safe-sum (cdr L))))
             (if (and (numberp X) (numberp (car L)))
                  (+ (car L) X)
                  'ERR! ))))
```

Q. Should we eliminate the LET?

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

• If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$ • If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are **not** all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ L) \Rightarrow the\ symbol\ ERR!.$ So: $(safe-sum '(7 2 4 0 9)) \Rightarrow 22; (safe-sum '(7 2 A 9)) \Rightarrow ERR!$ (defun safe-sum (L) (if (null L) (let ((X (safe-sum (cdr L)))) (if (and (numberp X) (numberp (car L))) (+ (car L) X)'ERR!)))) **Q.** Should we <u>eliminate the LET</u>? A. No, because X is used twice in the case where (and (number X) (number (car L))) \Rightarrow T

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list of numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list whose elements are not all numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ symbol\ ERR!
So: (safe-sum '(7 2 4 0 9)) \Rightarrow 22; (safe-sum '(7 2 A 9)) \Rightarrow ERR!
  (defun safe-sum (L)
    (if (null L)
         (let ((X (safe-sum (cdr L))))
            (if (and (numberp X) (numberp (car L)))
                 (+ (car L) X)
                 'ERR! ))))
Q. Should we <u>eliminate the LET</u>?
A. No, because X is used twice in the case where
         (and (number X) (number (car L))) \Rightarrow T
   \circ In this case X is used as the argument of (number X),
```

and used again as the argument of (+ (car L) X)!

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

```
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list of numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.
• If l \Rightarrow a proper list whose elements are not all numbers, then
    (safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ symbol\ ERR!
So: (safe-sum '(7 2 4 0 9)) \Rightarrow 22; (safe-sum '(7 2 A 9)) \Rightarrow ERR!
  (defun safe-sum (L)
     (if (null L)
          (let ((X (safe-sum (cdr L))))
             (if (and (numberp X) (numberp (car L)))
                  (+ (car L) X)
                  'ERR! ))))
```

Q.

```
Example Write a function safe-sum such that:
```

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

Q. Is there a case that should be <u>moved outside the LET</u>?

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- Q. Is there a case that should be moved outside the LET?
- A. Yes: The case (numberp (car L)) ⇒ NIL should be moved out.
 There's no need to use X in that case, because:

0

0

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- Q. Is there a case that should be moved outside the LET?
- A. Yes: The case (numberp (car L)) ⇒ NIL should be moved out There's <u>no need to</u> use X in that case, because:
 - \circ (number X)'s value <u>isn't needed</u>: (and ...) ⇒ NIL regardless!

0

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- Q. Is there a case that should be moved outside the LET?
- A. Yes: The case (numberp (car L)) ⇒ NIL should be moved out.
 There's no need to use X in that case, because:
 - o (numberp X)'s value <u>isn't needed</u>: (and ...) ⇒ NIL regardless!
 - The value of 'ERR! is returned, and 'ERR! doesn't use X.

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- **Q.** Is there a case that should be <u>moved outside the LET</u>?
- A. Yes: The case (number (car L)) \Rightarrow NIL should be moved out. There's <u>no need to</u> use X in that case.

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.

- **Q.** Is there a case that should be <u>moved outside the LET</u>?
- A. Yes: The case (number (car L)) \Rightarrow NIL should be moved out. There's <u>no need to</u> use X in that case.

- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow the\ sum\ of\ the\ elements\ of\ that\ list.$
- If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list whose elements are <u>not</u> all numbers, then $(safe-sum\ l) \Rightarrow$ the symbol ERR!.