A Correct TinyJ Input File and the Corresponding Parse Tree That is Written to the Output File by a Solution to TinyJ Assignment 1

Input File (a TinyJ program):

```
import java.util.Scanner;
class Simple2 {
  static Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in);
  public static void main(String args[]) {
    int x = input.nextInt();
    x = x % 3;
    System.out.println(x + 2);
  }
}
```

Output File (the above program's parse tree, in sideways representation):

```
ogram>
<importStmt>
 Reserved Word: import
 Reserved Word: java
 Reserved Word: util
 Reserved Word: Scanner
  ... node has no more children
Reserved Word: class
IDENTIFIER: Simple2
<dataFieldDecl>
 Reserved Word: static
  <varDecl>
  Reserved Word: Scanner
  IDENTIFIER: input
  Reserved Word: new
  Reserved Word: Scanner
  Reserved Word: System
  Reserved Word: in
  ... node has no more children
  ... node has no more children
 <mainDecl>
 Reserved Word: public
 Reserved Word: static
 Reserved Word: void
 Reserved Word: main
 Reserved Word: String
 IDENTIFIER: args
 <compoundStmt>
   <statement>
   <varDecl>
```

Reserved Word: int

```
<singleVarDecl>
    IDENTIFIER: x
    <expr3>
      <expr1>
       IDENTIFIER: input
       Reserved Word: nextInt
       ... node has no more children
      ... node has no more children
     ... node has no more children
    ... node has no more children
   ... node has no more children
   ... node has no more children
 <statement>
  <assignmentOrInvoc>
   IDENTIFIER: x
   <expr3>
    <expr2>
     <expr1>
      IDENTIFIER: x
      ... node has no more children
     <expr1>
     UNSIGNED INTEGER LITERAL: 3
      ... node has no more children
     ... node has no more children
    ... node has no more children
   ... node has no more children
   ... node has no more children
 <statement>
  <outputStmt>
   Reserved Word: System
   Reserved Word: out
   Reserved Word: println
   <printArgument>
    <expr3>
     <expr2>
      <expr1>
       IDENTIFIER: x
       ... node has no more children
      ... node has no more children
     <expr2>
      UNSIGNED INTEGER LITERAL: 2
       ... node has no more children
      ... node has no more children
     ... node has no more children
    ... node has no more children
   ... node has no more children
  ... node has no more children
 ... node has no more children
 ... node has no more children
... node has no more children
```

A TinyJ Input File with a Syntax Error, and the Output That is Generated by a Solution to TinyJ Assignment 1

Input File (the error is that public should be preceded by a semicolon):

```
import java.util.Scanner;
class Simple2 {
  static Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in)
  public static void main(String args[])
  {
    int x = input.nextInt();
    x = x % 3;
    System.out.println(x + 2);
  }
}
```

Output on the Screen (shows the tokens that are read <u>before the error</u> is <u>detected</u>):

```
1: import java.util.Scanner;
2:
3:    class Simple2 {
4:
5:    static Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in)
6:
7:    public

ERROR! Something's wrong--maybe the following token is missing: ;
input.currentChar = ' '
LexicalAnalyzer.currentToken = Reserved Word: public
```

Output File (an incomplete parse tree whose leaves are the tokens that appear in the input file before the syntax error):

```
ogram>
<importStmt>
 Reserved Word: import
 Reserved Word: java
 Reserved Word: util
 Reserved Word: Scanner
 ... node has no more children
Reserved Word: class
IDENTIFIER: Simple2
<dataFieldDecl>
 Reserved Word: static
 <varDecl>
  Reserved Word: Scanner
  IDENTIFIER: input
  Reserved Word: new
  Reserved Word: Scanner
  Reserved Word: System
  Reserved Word: in
```

An Old Exam Question

A student is debugging his current version of Parser.java for TinyJ Assignment 1. He compiles his file and then runs his program as follows:

```
java -cp . TJ1asn.TJ X.java X.out
```

He also runs the solution that was provided, as follows:

```
java -cp TJ1solclasses:. TJ1asn.TJ X.java X.sol
```

The first difference between the output files x. out and x. sol is that x. sol has a comma on line 567, but this is missing in x. out. Lines 556 - 568 of x. sol and x. out are reproduced below with line numbers. (Lines 556 - 566 are the same in both output files.)

```
Lines 556 - 568 of X.sol [Output produced by java -cp TJ1solclasses:.
                                                                             TJ1asn.TJ ...]:
556
             <expr1>
              IDENTIFIER: leq
557
558
              <argumentList>
559
560
               <expr3>
561
                <expr2>
562
                <expr1>
                IDENTIFIER: size
563
                 ... node has no more children
564
565
                ... node has no more children
               ... node has no more children
566
567
568
               <expr3>
                                                           TJlasn.TJ ...]:
Lines 556 - 568 of X.out [Output produced by java -cp .
556
           <expr1>
557
              IDENTIFIER: lea
              <argumentList>
558
559
560
               <expr3>
561
               <expr2>
562
                <expr1>
                IDENTIFIER: size
563
                 ... node has no more children
564
565
                ... node has no more children
566
                ... node has no more children
567
               <expr3>
568
                <expr2>
```

Hint: In reading this output, recall that the indentation levels of consecutive lines are either the same or differ by just 1; thus line 567 has the same indentation as line 559.

Now answer the following two questions. In each case, *circle the correct choice*. [The answers are given on the next page.]

```
(i) The output files show there is probably an error in the student's version of the method
```

```
(a) expr1() (b) expr2() (c) expr3() (d) argumentList() (e) ifStmt()
```

[1 pt.]

- (ii) Which one of the following changes might well fix this error?
 - (a) Insert a missing call of accept (COMMA) or nextToken() in the student's Parser.java.
 - (b) Delete a call of accept (COMMA) from the student's Parser.java.
 - (c) Delete a call of nextToken() from the student's Parser.java.
 - (d) Insert a missing call of expr3 () in the student's Parser.java.
 - (e) Delete a call of expr2() from the student's Parser.java.

[1 pt.]

Debugging Hints for TinyJ Assignment 1

- 1. It is a very common mistake to omit a call of accept() or nextToken(). For *each* token on the right side of the EBNF rule that defines a non-terminal <N>, there should be a call of accept() or nextToken() in the body of the corresponding parsing method N(). Another common mistake is to call nextToken() when accept() should be called. This often produces the following error message: Internal error in parser: Token discarded without being inspected Yet another common mistake is to pass a Symbols object that represents a *non*-terminal as an argument to accept()— for example, accept(NTexpr7) must be a mistake.
- 2. The sideways parse tree in the output file can be regarded as an *execution trace* of your program, and can be useful when debugging your code! Assuming you have produced both *k.*sol and *k.*out for some *k* (as described on page 4 of the assignment document), each line in *k.*sol shows something my solution did. If your program is not working correctly, then the first line in *k.*sol that is *not* in *k.*out shows the first thing my solution did that your program did *not* do! (You can easily find that line from the output of diff -c [on euclid or venus] or fc.exe /n [on a PC].) When reading the output file for debugging purposes, bear the following in mind:
 - A. In a sideways parse tree, the parent of a node appears on the most recent previous line that has lower indentation. (Note that adjacent lines of the tree either have the same indentation or have indentation levels that differ by just 1.) For example, in the Old Exam Question, the parent of the comma on line 567 of X.sol, and of <expr3> on line 568, is <argumentList> on line 558.
 - B. Each non-terminal <N> in the output file is written when the corresponding parsing method N() is called. The value of getCurrentToken() at that time is shown by the first token in the output file after < N>'s line. <N>'s parent in the parse tree shows the caller of N(). For example, in the Old Exam Question, <expr3> on line 560 of X.sol was written when expr3() was called. The value of getCurrentToken() was IDENT at the time of the call (as shown by line 563); expr3() was called by the method corresponding to the parent of the <expr3> node on line 560—i.e., by argumentList(), as we see from line 558.
 - C. Each token in the output file is written during execution of a call of accept (T) or nextToken() in some non-terminal's parsing method, at a time when the value of getCurrentToken() is T; here T is the Symbols object that represents the token. The parsing method in question is shown by the token's parent in the parse tree. For example, in the Old Exam Question, the comma on line 567 of X.sol was written during execution of a call of accept(COMMA) or nextToken() in a non-terminal's parsing method; the value of getCurrentToken() was COMMA at the time of the call, and we see from line 558 that the parsing method in question was argumentList().
 - D. The ... node has no more children line that is a child of a node <*N*> of the tree is written *just before* the corresponding call of method *N*() returns control to its caller. The value of getCurrentToken() at that time is shown by the first token in the output file *after* the line ... node has no more children For example, in the Old Exam Question, the line ... node has no more children on line 565 of X.sol is a child of the node <expr2> on line 561, and was therefore written just before the corresponding call of expr2() returned control to its caller. The caller was expr3(), since the parent of <expr2> is the node <expr3> on line 560. Line 567 of X.sol shows that the value of getCurrentToken() was COMMA when expr2() returned control to expr3().

The correct answers to the Old Exam Question are (i)—(d) and (ii)—(a). This follows from hints 2A, 2B, and 2C above.