## Canonical 2-by-2 Difference-in-Differences (DD) Econ 672

- 1) The Takeaway
  - a. A very common evaluation and identification strategy that can handle selection on observed and unobserved factors
  - b. Unlike the Fixed Effects (within) estimator, which handles only time-invariant heterogeneity, the DD estimator can handle time-invariant heterogeneity and time-varying heterogeneity assuming the main assumption of DD holds
- 2) Pros
  - a. A simple yet powerful identification strategy
  - b. Can control for time-invariant and time-varying heterogeneity
- 3) Cons
  - a. The DD estimator estimates the ATT not the ATE
  - b. A dichotomous issue with the DD estimator
    - i. When we only have 1 treatment group, we may have biased standard errors which may be downward biased
    - ii. When we have more than 1 group adopting treatment at varying times, we may have a biased estimate of the ATT
    - iii. (Note: Assuming we have many treatment groups simultaneously, then these two issues are not a problem)
- 4) Assumptions
  - a. Parallel Trends Assumption
    - i. The trends in the comparison group are the true counterfactual trends of the treatment group
  - b. Stable Unit Treatment Value Assumption
    - i. No spillovers; this is more problematic with DDD than DD
- 5) Testable Assumptions
  - a. Parallel Trends is not directly testable, but we can indirectly test for it
  - b. Pre-treatment Balance
    - i. Plotting trends in outcomes or providing the data
  - c. Pre-treatment Leads
    - i. Coefficients on pre-treatment leads shows that outcomes are the same before the actual treatment is implemented
    - ii. This needs a Two-Way Fixed Effects Difference-in-Differences (TWFEDD) to be implemented
  - d. Placebo Falsification Tests
    - i. Placebo tests by assigning treatment to comparison units that never receive treatment
    - ii. Placebo timing by assigning treatment to treatment units earlier than the treatment units actually receive treatment
- 6) Estimation
  - a. The basis of the DD is to compare outcomes before and after the treatment for treatment and control and then compare the 1st differences

- b. In regression:  $Y_{its} = \alpha + \gamma Treat_s + \lambda Post_t + \delta (Treat * Post)_{st} + \epsilon_{its}$
- c.  $\hat{\delta}_{kU}^{2x^2} = E[Y_k^1|Post] E[Y_k^0|Pre] + \left[E[Y_k^0|Post] E[Y_k^0|Pre]\right] \left[E[Y_U^0|Post] E[Y_U^0|Pre]\right] = ATT + ParallelTrends$
- d. Where
  - i.  $ATT = E[Y_k^1 | Post] E[Y_k^0 | Post]$
  - ii.  $Parallel\ Trends = \left[E[Y_k^0 \middle| Post] E[Y_k^0 \middle| Pre]\right] \left[E[Y_U^0 \middle| Post] E[Y_U^0 \middle| Pre]\right]$
  - iii. If Parallel Trends assumption holds the second term is 0 and the DD estimator identifies the ATT
- 7) Difference-in-Differences-in-Differences (Triple DDD or DDD)
  - a. DDD is a placebo test for a DD estimate
  - b. We want to see if a group within the treatment unit is unaffected by the treatment when they do not receive the treatment
  - c. An example is maternity benefits for men or women of non-child bearing age. A treatment of maternity benefits should not directly affect men or women of non-child bearing age
  - d. In regression:
    - i.  $Y_{ijt} = \alpha + \psi X_{ijt} + \beta_1 Post_t + \beta_2 Group_j + \beta_3 Treat_i + \beta_4 (Group * Post)_{jt} + \beta_5 (Post * Treat)_{it} + \beta_6 (Group * Treat)_{jt} + \beta_7 (Treat * Group * Post)_{ijt} \epsilon_{ijt}$
    - ii.  $\beta_7$  is our triple difference interaction and our parameter of interest.